

**Universitat Politècnica de València**  
**Institute for Energy Engineering**  
**Department of Chemical and Nuclear Engineering**



*PREDICTIVE METHODS FOR  
STABILITY MARGIN IN BWR*

DOCTORAL THESIS

Presented by:

José Melara San Román

Supervisors:

Dr. José Luis Muñoz-Cobo González

Dr. Alberto Escrivá

This dissertation is submitted for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

Valencia December 2015

# CONTENTS

<b>1 INTRODUCTION</b> .....	<b>1</b>
1.1 STABILITY IN BWR .....	1
<b>2 PHYSICAL MECHANISMS LEADING TO INSTABILITIES</b> .....	<b>7</b>
2.1 MECHANISM OF BWR POWER OSCILLATIONS .....	7
2.1.1 <i>Density-Wave Instability</i> .....	7
2.1.2 <i>Nuclear Feedback</i> .....	10
2.1.3 <i>Modes of BWR Instabilities</i> .....	11
2.2 DEPENDENCE OF STABILITY ON CHANGES IN OPERATING VARIABLES .....	12
2.3 ANALYSIS METHODS FOR BWR STABILITY .....	15
2.3.1 <i>Experimental Methods</i> .....	16
2.3.2 <i>Stochastic Methods</i> .....	17
2.3.3 <i>Analytical Methods</i> .....	17
2.3.3.1 Frequency Domain Codes .....	17
2.3.3.2 Time Domain Codes .....	18
2.4 NEW CHALLENGES FOR BWR STABILITY: NEW FUEL DESIGNS AND NEW OPERATION DOMAINS. ....	18
2.4.1 <i>New Fuel Designs</i> .....	18
2.4.2 <i>New Operational Domains</i> .....	20
2.5 CHAPTER SUMMARY .....	22
<b>3 STABILITY CONTROL METHODS</b> .....	<b>23</b>
3.1 INTRODUCTION .....	23
3.2 SAFETY CONCERNS OF BWR POWER OSCILLATIONS .....	24
3.3 APPROACHES FOR RESOLUTION OF THE BWR STABILITY ISSUE .....	25
3.3.1 25	
3.3.2 <i>Interim Corrective Actions</i> .....	25
3.3.3 <i>Long Term Solutions</i> .....	28
3.3.4 <i>Prevention Based Methodologies: Enhanced IA (EIA)</i> .....	29
3.3.4.1 E1A Stability Solution Description.....	29
3.3.4.2 E1A Methodology Application Process.....	31
3.3.4.3 E1A Methodology: Advantages and Drawbacks .....	32
3.3.4.4 Drawbacks .....	33
3.3.5 <i>Option III. LPRM-Based Detect &amp; Suppress</i> .....	34
3.3.5.1 D&S Basis.....	34
3.3.5.2 Changes in Stability LTSs and in Designs .....	34
3.4 CHAPTER SUMMARY .....	37
<b>4 LAPUR FREQUENCY DOMAIN CODE</b> .....	<b>39</b>
4.1 BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE CODE LAPUR.....	41
4.2 LAPURX.....	44

4.2.1	<i>The Coolant's Steady State</i> .....	44
4.2.2	<i>Steady State Equations of Fuel</i> .....	45
4.3	LAPURW .....	47
4.3.1	<i>The Dynamics of the Neutron Field</i> .....	47
4.3.2	<i>The Dynamics of the Fuel</i> .....	49
4.3.3	<i>The Fluid Flow Dynamic</i> .....	49
4.3.4	<i>The Thermalhydraulic-Neutronic Interaction</i> .....	51
4.4	THE TRANSFER FUNCTIONS OF LAPUR.....	52
4.4.1	<i>Calculations of the Density Feedback Reactivity Coefficient in LAPUR</i> .....	52
4.4.1.1	<i>Flow to Density Reactivity Feedback Coefficient</i> .....	52
4.4.2	<i>Power to Density Reactivity Feedback Coefficients</i> .....	57
4.4.3	<i>Inlet Temperature to Density Reactivity Feedback Coefficient</i> .....	58
4.4.4	<i>Calculations of the Fuel-Temperature (Doppler) Reactivity Coefficients</i> .....	59
4.4.5	<i>One Core Density Reactivity Coefficient in LAPUR</i> .....	61
4.4.5.1	<i>The Block Diagram of LAPUR</i> .....	61
4.4.5.2	<i>The One-Core Reactivity Feedback Transfer Function</i> .....	67
4.4.6	<i>The Open-Loop and the Closed-Loop Transfer Functions in LAPUR</i> .....	69
4.5	IMPLEMENTATION OF VARIABLE AREA INTO THE CODE LAPUR.....	70
4.6	IMPLEMENTATION OF THE NEW FRICTION MODEL INTO THE CODE LAPUR.....	78
4.6.1	<i>New Friction Multiplier Model</i> .....	78
4.6.2	<i>New Friction Factor Model</i> .....	79
4.7	CHAPTER SUMMARY .....	79

**5 METHODOLOGY USED FOR DECAY RATIOS CALCULATION**

	<b>WITH LAPUR</b> .....	<b>81</b>
5.1	INTRODUCTION .....	81
5.2	DESCRIPTION OF CODES .....	82
5.2.1	LAPUR.....	82
5.2.2	SIMULATE. ....	82
5.2.3	PAPU Post-Processor .....	83
5.2.4	LAPUR Input Pre-Processor (LIP).....	84
5.3	METHODOLOGY .....	85
5.3.1	<i>Calculation Procedure</i> .....	85
5.3.1.1	<i>Set Up of the Core Configuration</i> .....	85
5.3.1.2	<i>LAPURX Input Data Generation</i> .....	85
5.3.1.3	<i>SIMULATE Run to Perform Reactivity Perturbation Calculations</i> ...	91
5.3.1.4	<i>LAPURW Input Data</i> .....	92
5.3.1.5	<i>Perform the Core Stability Evaluation</i> .....	94
5.3.2	<i>Output and Results</i> .....	96
5.4	PRELIMINARY VALIDATION OF LAPUR METHODOLOGY .....	97

5.5 CHAPTER SUMMARY .....	99
<b>6 LAPUR 6.0 VALIDATION .....</b>	<b>101</b>
6.1 GENERIC VALIDATION: COMPARISON OF PRESSURE DROP COMPONENTS FOR TYPE A AND TYPE B FUEL DESIGNS .....	102
6.2 CHAPTER OUTLINE .....	103
6.3 PRESSURE DROP BASIS .....	104
6.3.1 Friction Pressure Drop.....	104
6.3.2 Local Losses.....	104
6.3.3 Spacer or Grid Losses .....	105
6.3.4 Irreversible Losses for Expansion and Contraction .....	105
6.4 ACCELERATION PRESSURE LOSSES .....	106
6.5 ELEVATION PRESSURE DROP.....	106
6.6 GENERIC VALIDATION: COMPARISON OF PRESSURE DROP COMPONENTS FOR TYPE A AND TYPE B .....	107
6.7 INITIAL CONDITIONS .....	108
6.8 ELEVATION PRESSURE DROP.....	110
6.8.1 Elevation Pressure Drop for TYPE A.....	110
6.8.2 Elevation Pressure Drop for TYPE B.....	113
6.8.3 Conclusions of Elevation Results.....	115
6.9 EXPANSION AND ACCELERATION DATA .....	115
6.9.1 Expansion and Acceleration Data for TYPE A.....	116
6.9.2 Expansion and Acceleration Data for TYPE B.....	118
6.9.3 Conclusions of Expansion and Acceleration Results.....	121
6.10 FRICTION PRESSURE DROP .....	121
6.10.1 Friction Data for TYPE A.....	121
6.10.2 Friction Data for TYPE B.....	124
6.10.3 Conclusions of Friction Results.....	127
6.11 LOCAL PRESSURE DROP DATA.....	127
6.11.1 Local Pressure Drop Data for TYPE A .....	127
6.11.2 Local Pressure Drop Data for TYPE B .....	130
6.11.3 Conclusions of Local Losses in Pressure Drop Results.....	133
6.12 VOID FRACTION DATA .....	133
6.12.1 Void Fraction Data for TYPE A .....	133
6.12.2 Void Fraction Data for TYPE B .....	136
6.12.3 Conclusions of Void Fraction Comparison .....	139
6.13 COMPARISON OF LAPUR VOID FRACTION TO FRIGG LOOP DATA .....	139
6.13.1 Experimental Conditions .....	139
6.13.2 Comparison of Void Fraction Results to FRIGG-2 Data .....	140
6.13.3 Conclusions of Void Fraction Results of LAPUR 6 Compared to FRIGG-2 Data.....	143
6.14 COMPARISON OF COFRENTES NUCLEAR POWER PLANT MEASURED DECAY RATIOS TO LAPUR 6 RESULTS .....	144
6.14.1 Cofrentes Nuclear Power Plant.....	144

6.14.2	<i>Stability Control in Cofrentes Nuclear Power Plant</i> .....	144
6.14.3	<i>Methodology of Calculating Decay Ratios with LAPUR 6</i> .....	146
6.14.4	<i>Decay Ratio and Frequency Validation: Start-up and End-of-Cycle Coastdowns</i> .....	147
6.14.5	<i>Generation of SIMULATE-3 Core Configuration Data</i> .....	149
6.14.6	<i>Time Series Analysis of Signal for Decay Ratio Estimation</i> .....	150
6.14.7	<i>Results of Decay Ratio and Oscillation Frequency</i> .....	150
6.14.7.1	<i>Analysis of Data</i> .....	152
6.14.7.2	<i>Comparison of SMART Frequency and Power Spectral Density Peaks</i> .....	154
6.15	<b>DECAY RATIO AND FREQUENCY VALIDATION: COFRENTES CYCLE</b>	
6 INSTABILITY	.....	156
6.15.1	<i>Event Description</i> .....	156
6.15.2	<i>Setup of the Core Configuration</i> .....	157
6.15.3	<i>LAPUR Input Data</i> .....	157
6.15.4	<i>LAPUR 6 Results</i> .....	158
6.15.5	<i>Decay Ratio Values Analysis</i> .....	159
6.15.6	<i>APRMs Signal Analysis</i> .....	159
6.16	<b>LPRM AND APRM DECAY RATIO AND FREQUENCY VALIDATION..</b>	163
6.16.1	<i>New Data Acquisition System Test during Cycle 18 Sequence Exchanges (June 2010, September 2010)</i> .....	164
6.16.2	<i>Signal Analysis</i> .....	165
6.16.3	<i>Decay Ratio Results</i> .....	167
6.16.4	<i>LPRM Data</i> .....	169
6.17	<b>STABILITY MARGIN SENSITIVITIES DURING CORE DESIGN WITH LAPUR</b> .....	171
6.17.1	<i>Example 1 BOC-DF018</i> .....	172
6.17.2	<i>Example 2 BOC-DL056-VARIANTE</i> .....	174
6.17.3	<i>Example 3 BOC-DO079</i> .....	176
6.18	<b>NEW BURNUP-DEPENDENT MODEL FOR URANIA FUEL PELLET THERMAL CONDUCTIVITY</b> .....	178
6.18.1	<i>LAPUR 6.1 and LIP 1.2 Features</i> .....	178
6.18.2	<i>Update of Methodology for Calculation of Core and Channel Decay Ratios with LAPUR</i> .....	182
6.18.3	<i>Changes in LAPUR Methodology Due to the Introduction of a Burnup Dependent Conductivity</i> .....	184
6.19	<b>CHAPTER SUMMARY</b> .....	185
<b>7</b>	<b>UNCERTAINTIES OF DECAY RATIO MEASUREMENTS FOR ANALYTICAL CODES QUALIFICATION PURPOSES</b> .....	<b>189</b>
7.1	<b>BWR SIGNAL SIMULATOR</b> .....	190
7.2	<b>REDUCED ORDER MODEL</b> .....	191
7.3	<b>TRANSFER FUNCTION ON FREQUENCY DOMAIN</b> .....	191
7.4	<b>INPUT FILE</b> .....	193

7.4.1 <i>Introduction to the Model Parameters</i> .....	193
7.4.2 <i>Structure</i> .....	195
7.4.3 <i>Input File Cards</i> .....	196
7.5 OUTPUT PROCESSING .....	200
7.5.1 <i>File DR+Freq.sal</i> .....	201
7.5.2 <i>Signal Representation Example</i> .....	202
7.6 VALIDATION WITH A REDUCED ORDER SYNTHETIC SIGNAL	
GENERATOR .....	205
7.6.1 <i>Preliminary Checking</i> .....	206
7.6.2 <i>Checking</i> .....	206
7.6.3 <i>Results</i> .....	207
7.7 INFLUENCE OF THE INPUT PARAMETERS VARIATION .....	208
7.8 CREATION OF A DATABASE WITH THEORETICAL RESULTS OF	
DECAY RATIO AND FREQUENCY .....	208
7.9 VALIDATION WITH A SET OF 1000 SIGNALS.....	209
7.10 RESULTS .....	211
7.11 CHAPTER SUMMARY .....	213
<b>8 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE</b>	
<b>WORK</b> .....	<b>215</b>
8.1 RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE WORK .....	219
<b>9 REFERENCES</b> .....	<b>221</b>
<b>APPENDIX A. PROCEDURE FOR USING PAPU</b>	
<b>POSTPROCESSOR</b> .....	<b>231</b>
A.1 INPUT FILES DESCRIPTION .....	232
A.2 PAPU INPUT FILE MODIFICATIONS.....	235
A.3 PAPU OUTPUT FILES .....	236
A.4 LAPURX INPUT EXAMPLE .....	237
A.5 LAPURW INPUT EXAMPLE.....	242

## LIST OF TABLES

Table 5.1. SIMULATE perturbation set.....	91
Table 5.2. Recirculation transfer function data for BWR-6 .....	93
Table 5.3. Sample input of frequency point for LAPURW .....	94
Table 6.1. Typical pressure drop uncertainties.....	107
Table 6.2. TYPE A boundary conditions. ....	108
Table 6.3. TYPE B boundary conditions.....	108
Table 6.4. FRIGG-2 test conditions .....	139
Table 6.5. Number of channels assigned to LAPUR for channel decay ratio calculations.....	157
Table 6.6. Number of channels assigned to LAPUR for channel decay ratio calculations.....	158
Table 6.7. Number of channels assigned to LAPUR for channel decay ratio calculations.....	158
Table 6.8. SIMULATE-LAPUR pressure drops comparison.....	158
Table 6.9. Comparison of SIMULATE and LAPUR flow rate channels .....	158
Table 6.10. State point 290191 decay ratio values. ....	159
Table 6.11. Filters applied to APRM signal .....	161
Table 6.12. Cofrentes 290191 instability (signal analysis results).....	162
Table 6.13. RTP2316-M input channels.....	164
Table 6.14. Fbias obtained for the example 1.....	174
Table 6.15. Fbias obtained for the example 2.....	176
Table 6.16. Fbias obtained for the example 3.....	178
Table 6.17. Comparison of LAPUR 6.1 and LAPUR 6.0 results with a LAPUR 6.0 input deck. ....	181
Table 6.18. Effect of gap conductance increase and burnup fuel conductivity dependence in LAPUR 6.1. ....	182
Table 6.19. Effect of gap conductance increase, burnup fuel conductivity dependence in LAPUR 6.1 and a 1.1 multiplier in REAMUL. ....	184
Table 6.20. Cofrentes instability results. ....	186
Table 7.1. Input parameters obtained executing zeropole45.m with Matlab R2009b. ....	207
Table 7.2. Input parameters obtained executing zeropole45.m with Matlab R2011b. ....	207
Table A.2.1. Modified values in entrada input file for PAPU reactivity coefficient calculation .....	235

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1. Schematic representation of the dynamic processes pertaining to power generation in the core of a BWR. ....	3
Figure 2.1. Local pressure drop variations due to inlet flow fluctuation (from [10]). ....	9
Figure 2.2. Variations of pressure drop components for parallel-channel type oscillations (from [11]). ....	10
Figure 2.3. Nuclear feedback loop in a BWR (adapted from [12]). ....	11
Figure 2.4. Definition of the Decay Ratio (adapted from [12]). ....	16
Figure 2.5. Examples of fuel designs: ATRIUM 10XP (AREVA NP) and SVEA-96 OPTIMA-2 (WESTINGHOUSE SWEDEN AB) from [31]. ...	19
Figure 2.6. Fuel design GNF2 (GNF) [32]. ....	20
Figure 2.7. Maximum Extended Load Line Limit Analysis (MELLLA +) domain [33]. ....	21
Figure 3.1. Exclusion regions defined by the Interim Corrective Actions (adapted from [41]). ....	26
Figure 3.2. Power-flow map for a BWR-6 with E1A stability regions. Example of a typical start-up path. ....	32
Figure 4.1. Schematic representation of the dynamic processes pertaining to power generation in the core of a BWR. ....	42
Figure 4.2. Schematic representation of a no-uniformly heated channel. ....	43
Figure 4.3. Schematic representation of the fuel rod composition and thermal mesh. ....	46
Figure 4.4. Block diagram of LAPUR transfer functions. G is the neutron kinetics open loop transfer function. $R_{clp}$ is the core differential pressure to inlet flow transfer function. Q is the power to feedback reactivity transfer function. R is the total flow to feedback reactivity transfer function. S is the inlet temperature to feedback reactivity transfer function. ....	62
Figure 4.5. Block diagram of LAPUR transfer functions in compact form. ...	67
Figure 5.1. Decay ratio calculation steps. ....	86
Figure 5.2. Nyquist diagram for a stable channel. ....	97
Figure 5.3 Wide core DR IVM comparison LAPUR6 Vendor's code. ....	98
Figure 5.4 Hot channel DR IVM comparison LAPUR6 Vendor's code. ....	98
Figure 6.1. Axial power profile used in TYPE A analyses. ....	109
Figure 6.2. Axial power profile used in TYPE B analyses. ....	109
Figure 6.3. Elevation CASE 1 TYPE A. ....	110



Figure 6.4. Elevation CASE 2 TYPE A. ....	111
Figure 6.5. Elevation CASE 3 TYPE A. ....	111
Figure 6.6. Elevation CASE 4 TYPE A. ....	112
Figure 6.7. Elevation CASE 5 TYPE A. ....	112
Figure 6.8. Elevation CASE 1 TYPE B. ....	113
Figure 6.9. Elevation CASE 2 TYPE B. ....	113
Figure 6.10. Elevation CASE 3 TYPE B. ....	114
Figure 6.11. Elevation CASE 4 TYPE B. ....	114
Figure 6.12. Elevation CASE 5 TYPE B. ....	115
Figure 6.13. Expansion and acceleration pressure drop for CASE 1 TYPE A. ....	116
Figure 6.14. Expansion and acceleration pressure drop for CASE 2 TYPE A. ....	116
Figure 6.15. Expansion and acceleration pressure drop for CASE 3 TYPE A. ....	117
Figure 6.16. Expansion and acceleration pressure drop for CASE 4 TYPE A. ....	117
Figure 6.17. Expansion and acceleration pressure drop for CASE 5 TYPE A. ....	118
Figure 6.18. Expansion and acceleration pressure drop for CASE 1 TYPE B. ....	118
Figure 6.19. Expansion and acceleration pressure drop for CASE 2 TYPE B. ....	119
Figure 6.20. Expansion and acceleration pressure drop for CASE 3 TYPE B. ....	119
Figure 6.21. Expansion and acceleration pressure drop for CASE 4 TYPE B. ....	120
Figure 6.22. Expansion and acceleration pressure drop for CASE 5 TYPE B. ....	120
Figure 6.23. Friction CASE 1 TYPE A. ....	122
Figure 6.24. Friction CASE 2 TYPE A. ....	122
Figure 6.25. Friction CASE 3 TYPE A. ....	123
Figure 6.26. Friction CASE 4 TYPE A. ....	123
Figure 6.27. Friction CASE 5 TYPE A. ....	124
Figure 6.28. Friction CASE 1 TYPE B. ....	124
Figure 6.29. Friction CASE 2 TYPE B. ....	125
Figure 6.30. Friction CASE 1 TYPE B. ....	125
Figure 6.31. Friction CASE 4 TYPE B. ....	126
Figure 6.32. Friction CASE 5 TYPE B. ....	126

Figure 6.33. Local CASE 1 TYPE A. ....	128
Figure 6.34. Local CASE 2 TYPE A. ....	128
Figure 6.35. Local CASE 3 TYPE A. ....	129
Figure 6.36. Local CASE 4 TYPE A. ....	129
Figure 6.37. Local CASE 5 TYPE A. ....	130
Figure 6.38. Local CASE 1 TYPE B.....	130
Figure 6.39. Local CASE 2 TYPE B.....	131
Figure 6.40. Local CASE 3 TYPE B.....	131
Figure 6.41. Local CASE 4 TYPE B.....	132
Figure 6.42. Local CASE 5 TYPE B.....	132
Figure 6.43. Void fraction CASE 1 TYPE A. ....	134
Figure 6.44. Void fraction CASE 2 TYPE A. ....	134
Figure 6.45. Void fraction CASE 3 TYPE A. ....	135
Figure 6.46. Void fraction CASE 4 TYPE A. ....	135
Figure 6.47. Void fraction CASE 5 TYPE A. ....	136
Figure 6.48. Void fraction CASE 1 TYPE B. ....	136
Figure 6.49. Void fraction CASE 2 TYPE B. ....	137
Figure 6.50. Void fraction CASE 3 TYPE B. ....	137
Figure 6.51. Void fraction CASE 4 TYPE B. ....	138
Figure 6.52. Void fraction CASE 5 TYPE B. ....	138
Figure 6.53. Void fraction of FRIGG-2 Test 313009.....	140
Figure 6.54. Void fraction of FRIGG-2 Test 313014.....	141
Figure 6.55. Void fraction of FRIGG-2 Test 313016.....	141
Figure 6.56. Void fraction of FRIGG-2 Test 313018.....	142
Figure 6.57. Void fraction of FRIGG-2 Test 313020.....	142
Figure 6.58. Void fraction of FRIGG-2 Test 313024.....	143
Figure 6.59. Typical path during EOC coastdown (Cycle 16b). ....	148
Figure 6.60. Typical start-up path (Cycle 18). ....	149
Figure 6.61. Power history used for SIMULATE-3 simulation of C. 18 start-up.....	150
Figure 6.62. Average APRM decay ratio and frequency versus LAPUR results (EOC Cyles 16 and C17 coastdowns).....	151
Figure 6.63. Average APRM decay ratio and frequency versus LAPUR results (Cycles 17 and 18 start-ups and coastdowns). ....	152
Figure 6.64. Decay ratio based on monitor SMART versus LAPUR. ....	153
Figure 6.65. Natural frequency based on monitor SMART versus LAPUR. ....	154
Figure 6.66. Comparison of Welch’s method periodogram and LAPUR- calculated frequency.....	155
Figure 6.67. Welch’s method periodogram at 0.1 Hz resolution.....	155

Figure 6.68 .Welch’s method periodogram at 0.05 Hz resolution (peak close to LAPUR frequency). .....	156
Figure 6.69. APRM A 1991/01/29 Cofrentes instability event. ....	160
Figure 6.70. PSD based on Welch’s method periodogram (290191 Cofrentes instability). .....	160
Figure 6.71. Bode diagram of applied Butterworth filters to split up fundamental and first mode. ....	161
Figure 6.72. Effect of type 1 and type 2 filtering in APRM A signal. ....	162
Figure 6.73. June 2010 control sequence exchange roadmap. ....	165
Figure 6.74. September 2010 control sequence exchange roadmap. ....	166
Figure 6.75. Histogram of APRM-C and LPRM 22-23B with and without optoisolator. ....	166
Figure 6.76. Decay ratio sample for June and September 2010 maneuvers..	168
Figure 6.77. June and September 2010 maneuver—decay ratio comparison. ....	168
Figure 6.78. June and September 2010 maneuver—frequency comparison. ....	169
Figure 6.79. LPRM and APRM-C decay ratio from autocorrelation versus LAPUR decay ratio comparison—September and June 2010 sequence exchange. ....	170
Figure 6.80. LPRM and APRM-C frequency autocorrelation-based versus LAPUR decay ratio comparison—September and June 2010 sequence exchange. ....	170
Figure 6.81. BOC-DF018-VARIANTE MAP. Distribution of TYPE B (blue) and TYPE A (red) in this example. ....	172
Figure 6.82. Histogram of relative peaking factors for TYPE A and TYPE B	173
Figure 6.83. BOC-DL056-VARIANTE MAP. Distribution of TYPE B (blue) and TYPE A (red) in this example. ....	175
Figure 6.84. Histogram of relative peaking factors for TYPE A and TYPE B. ....	175
Figure 6.85. BOC-DL0079. Distribution of TYPE B (blue) and TYPE A (red) in this example. ....	177
Figure 6.86. Relative peaking factors histogram for this example. ....	177
Figure 6.87. Decay ratio autocorrelation based on LAPUR calculation. ....	186
Figure 6.88. Frequency autocorrelation based on LAPUR calculation. ....	187
Figure 7.1. Blocks diagram of the system. ....	192
Figure 7.2. Example of input file. ....	195
Figure 7.3. DR+frec.SAL. ....	201
Figure 7.4. Neutron excess. ....	203

Figure 7.5. Precursors excess. ....	203
Figure 7.6. Temperature. ....	204
Figure 7.7. Void reactivity.....	204
Figure 7.8. Total reactivity. ....	205
Figure 7.9. DAQ.....	210
Figure 7.10 Decay Ratio. Analytical vs. Theoretical values .....	211
Figure 7.11. Oscillation Frequency. Analytical vs. Theoretical values.....	212
Figure 7.12. DR error vs. Frequency .....	212
Figure 7.13. Frequency error vs. DR.....	213