International Journal of ENERGY AND ENVIRONMENT

Volume 6, Issue 5, 2015 pp.411-424 Journal homepage: www.IJEE.IEEFoundation.org



CFD model for ventilation assessment in poultry houses with different distribution of windows

Eva H. Guerra-Galdo¹, Salvador Calvet Sanz¹, Fernando Estellés Barber¹, P. Amparo López-Jiménez²

¹ Institute of Animal Science and Technology, Universitat Politècnica de València. Camino de Vera s/n. 46022 Valencia. Spain.

² Hydraulic and Environmental Engineering Department. Universitat Politècnica de València. Camino de Vera s/n. 46022 Valencia. Spain.

Abstract

The design of structures for animal husbandry has energy and environmental implications. Particularly, the design of broiler houses should consider the comfort of animals in different situations, which is crucial for their proper development. Building geometry and distribution of fans and windows determine critically the ventilation flows and temperature distribution. The use of fluid analysis techniques can be of valuable help in the initial phases of the design of farms, because potential alternatives may be explored. In this study, Computational Fluid Dynamics (CFD) simulations were used to evaluate the ventilation and temperature distribution in three tunnel, mechanically ventilated broiler houses with identical geometry but different distribution of inlet windows and exhaust fans. The three distributions were: (1) Tunnel (fans at the end of the building); (2) Semitunnel (fans at the middle of the building); and (3) Improved Semitunnel (with improved window distribution). For each distribution, air velocity and temperature at the height of the broilers are evaluated at different outdoor conditions. The Index of Temperature and Velocity (ITV) was used as an indicator of animal comfort. Improved tunnel presented more homogeneous values of velocity and air temperature, with average velocity of 0.89 ± 0.30 m.s⁻¹ and average temperature of 23.37 ± 0.79 °C. This distribution had the highest comfort area considering air velocity and temperature (88.45% and 94.52% of the area, respectively). The lowest average ITV corresponded to tunnel type $(23.24 \pm 1.54^{\circ}C)$ but the highest proportion of comfort zone considering ITV (ITV<25) corresponded to the improved semitunnel (90.35% of the area). The three configurations maintained a productive environment of ITV. The simulation results were similar to the literature indications for velocities and temperatures at animal level.

Copyright © 2015 International Energy and Environment Foundation - All rights reserved.

Keywords: Ventilation; Computational fluid mechanics; Poultry; Index of temperature and velocity.

1. Introduction

The application of Computational Fluid Dynamics (CFD) allows considering complex mathematical models based on the equations of fluid dynamics, numerical methods and algorithms that simulate fluids behavior in 3D spaces. These techniques have been used since 1960 in the aerospace industry, and were implanted in 1980 as a fundamental tool applied to the prediction of fluid flow. Currently CFD applies in other areas of engineering to evaluate the behavior of climatic variables such as ventilation, heat and

mass transfer, air conditioning, chemical reactions, dispersion and transfer of internal and external pollutants emitted in plant and animal structures [1].

CFD simulations easily allow modelers to evaluate different design configurations, especially when different alternatives are a priori embedded within the same computational domain and mesh [2]. Nowadays, CFD techniques are used to analyze airflow distribution in ventilated buildings. This ventilation can be either natural (induced by wind, thermal buoyancy or both) or mechanical (forced by exhaust fans). In the case of livestock buildings, the type and design of ventilation has significant impacts on indoor air quality, thermal comfort animals and energy efficiency [3].

Particularly, in the last decade CFD techniques were used in the poultry sector to analyze the distribution of air velocity and turbulence intensity. Authors as Bustamante *et al.* [4]; Osorio *et al.* [5]; Wu *et al.* [6]; Blanes *et al.* [7] and Lee *et al.* [8], represented the convective heat and mass transfer processes to assess changes in ventilation structures in livestock houses. However, other researchers (Roy *et al.* [9]; Norton *et al.* [10]; Seo *et al.* [11]) evaluated the dispersion of internal and external contaminants as the carbon dioxide (CO₂), ammonia (NH₃) [1, 12] and volatile organic compounds (VOC). Due to implications for energy consumption and environmental conditions, these studies are becoming of relevance for manufacturers and producers.

Animal comfort is crucial for the correct development of a farm industry, and these conditions depend on the internal indoor environmental of the building. Sandoval *et al.* [13] mentions that there is clear evidence that modern breeds selected for intensive production have lost their adaptability to varying environments, thus becoming more susceptible to the biophysical effects derived from adverse environments [14].

In regions exposed to warm climate conditions, broiler buildings are frequently ventilated by means of cross or tunnel mechanical ventilation systems [7, 15]. However, inadequate ventilation systems can cause high mortality rates as warm air is accumulated inside all or part of the poultry building. In these cases, gas concentrations or humidity can also increase dangerously at the broilers heigth.

Thus, the environmental control in poultry buildings requires a proper control of environmental factors (temperature, humidity, radiation and air velocity), physical factors (space, light, sound and pressure) and social factors (number of broilers per farm). For these reasons, all these aspects must be taken into account when designing a farm, together with the local weather conditions and economic characteristics of the farm. These aspects are further described below.

1.1 Air velocity and ventilation rate

The selection of the ventilation rate depends on animal type and size, and is directly related to differences in internal and external temperatures in the building. Farm design must be also considered because heat flows also depend on the thermal resistance through the walls and floor (depending on the overall coefficient of heat transfer to the perimeter of the structure and the same area), the sensitive heat generated by lights and internal equipment [5].

The building design must ensure a uniform distribution of air flow, not exceeding particular maximum velocity limits, to maintain thermal comfort of the broilers. Particularly, this airflow should not affect the homoeothermic condition of animals under extreme temperatures [16, 17].

Maintaining a homogeneous velocity inside a building is desirable to achieve a proper productivity. However, according to Blanes-Vidal *et al.* [7]; Bustamante *et al.* [4]; Osorio *et al.* [5], the uniformity of the air velocity in the area occupied by broilers is modified by different reasons:

• The presence of animals on the farm influence the indoor environment of the poultry building due to the release of convective heat,

• The air inlets and outlets distribution affect the mixture, circulation and air renewal inside the building.

• The air may be physically obstructed: higher density of animals decreases the airflow at broiler level, which reduces heat dissipation from the body to the air. This also reduces air quality due to inadequate air exchange, increases ammonia concentrations, and reduces access to water and feed [18].

Insufficient airflow may also cause migration of broilers to better ventilated areas, thus contributing to increased mortality and a production decrease [1, 19]. Air velocity is also related to the perception of temperature. Higher air velocity increases sensible heat loss and reduce latent heat loss in broilers exposed at temperatures of 29.5 and 35°C [20].

1.2 Temperature

The thermal environment affects the health and productive development of the broilers. The temperature distribution is affected by air streams and determines the thermal environment inside the poultry house. Therefore, the heat generated inside the poultry house should be considered when designing the climate control systems in order to maintain a suitable temperature. Temperature should be kept within certain limits for normal operations of the physiological activities of broilers. These limits define a thermoneutral zone [21-23], which is defined as the temperature ranges at which animals devote the minimum physiological resources to cope with the environment. Lower and higher environmental temperatures affect sensible and latent heat production rates, and eventually produce heat stress which have negative effect on economy of production and animal welfare, demonstrating changes in the cardiovascular system [24] and endocrine activity [25].

1.3 Temperature humidity and velocity index -THVI

Both temperature, velocity and humidity interrelate in the animal response and therefore are crucial to determine the comfortable environment for the animals. Both parameters are unified in the Temperature Humidity and Velocity Index (THVI), formula proposed by Tao and Xin [15]. These authors describe the response of the body temperature of broilers under heat stress interaction with environmental factors dry bulb temperature from 35 to 41°C, and dew point from 19.4 to 26.1°C and air velocity from 0.2 to 1.2 m.s⁻¹. These factors influence the body temperature of the animal, defined as normal, warning, danger and emergency when body temperature increases at 1, 2.5, 4 and more than 4°C.

As mentioned, the environment management within the poultry house is then of paramount importance. For this reason, this paper aims to use a methodological analysis to characterize comfort in poultry house using three CFD simulations with different designs of poultry building, changing window locations, mechanically ventilated with different boundary conditions of velocity and temperature.

2. Materials and methods

2.1 Farm description: Geometry and configuration of windows

The geometry of the house building was defined according to usual dimensions of commercial farms. Building dimensions were 15m wide, 120m long and 3m high with flat roof. Eight fans of diameter 1.40m (airflow 38,072, m^3/h) and two fans of diameter 1.10m (13,406 m^3/h) were considered in order to exhaust air from the building. Fans create a negative pressure forcing air to enter through inlet windows of 0.9m x 0.4m. Different distributions of windows and fans in the house building were considered as variation factors.

The comparison between three designs (Figure 1) was carried out analyzing the air velocity, temperature distribution and their interaction on the broiler zone in terms of uniformity, suitability, and the stability. The Tunnel (T) design had open windows on both lateral sides which allow air entrance for approx. 2/3 of its length and all fans were located in the front of building house. This tunnel is commonly used to achieve high air velocities in the same direction. The Semitunnel (ST) configuration consisted in changing the location and distribution of the fans and blocks of windows. In this case all fans were located at both sides in the middle of the building, thus avoiding excessively long air paths inside the building. Finally, the Improved Semitunnel (IST) configuration, which had identical fan distribution as ST, but differed in window distribution. Particularly, in this geometry windows were not regularly distributed but decrease progressively when approximating to the fans.



Figure 1. Tunnel (T), Semitunnel (ST) and Improved Semitunnel (IST) Configuration. Only half building is presented because symmetry was applied to CFD calculation

2.2 Computational fluid dynamics

2.2.1 Computational fluid dynamics applied for simulating the air movement

The commercial CFD code StarCCM+ by CD-Adapco (version 9.004.009) was used. The CFD technique numerically solves the Navier-Stokes equations within each cell of the computational domain. Streamlines of fluid using numerical algorithms were solved, calculating complex simulations of turbulent flows, thermal distributions, air velocity and convection inside the buildings [26].

The solved equations of Fluid Dynamics applied in a geometrical domain are described, considering turbulence. A discrete manner is applied for calculating variables, at the nodes of a particular mesh and later represented. The continuity or mass conservation equation solved by the software used is expression (1).

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \nabla \rho \vec{v} = S_m \tag{1}$$

where ρ is the fluid density, \vec{v} is velocity and S_m represents the mass source contained in the control volume. Furthermore, momentum equation is considered by equation (2).

$$\frac{\partial(\rho\vec{v})}{\partial t} + \rho(\vec{v}\nabla)\vec{v} = -\nabla p + \nabla\,\vec{\tau} + \rho\vec{g} + \vec{F}$$
⁽²⁾

where p is the static pressure, τ the stress tensor defined in expression (3) and the gravitational (g) and outer forces (F) defined on the control volume, respectively. In (3) μ is the eddy viscosity and I is the unit tensor. A third term is considered for taking into account the effect of the expansion of volume.

$$\bar{\tau} = \mu \left[\left(\nabla \vec{v} + \nabla \vec{v}^T \right) - \frac{2}{3} \nabla \vec{v} I \right]$$
(3)

2.2.2 Computational characteristics

All calculations were performed with a 2.4 GHz processor, RAM memory 8 GB. The numerical method was solved by the finite volume technique with tetrahedral volume mesh. Thus, a grid independence study has been performed to find optimize number of cells for which the solution is independent. Seven different mesh sizes were tested ranging from 1.0m to 0.1m, obtaining from 19 208 to 890 363 control volumes for the larger and finer mesh sizes, respectively. For the 0.4 m mesh case, about 1.5 hours CPU time was needed to finish and for the fine mesh case, about 12 hours CPU. Convergence was evaluated after 5000 iterations and we adopted as convergence criterion the mean square error (RMS) value equal or lower than 10⁻⁵, as recommended by CFX [27] and COST [28].

2.3 Boundary conditions

In the present model, once the volume was discretized in the mesh, the numerical models were chosen for the representation. 2D steady state model was implemented, with constant density fluid flow and second order segregated flow. The CFD analysis was carried on under a steady state. The gravity model was implemented, as it permits the inclusion of the buoyancy source terms in the momentum equations when using the segregated flow model. K-Epsilon turbulence model was used for representing turbulence, to predict velocity flow rate and the temperature distribution in the poultry house, evaluating the viscosity from a relationship between the turbulent kinetic energy (k) and dissipation of turbulent kinetic energy (ε) [8, 26, 29]. The entire domain was defined as a single fluid region (air). The geometry indicated above enclosed a volume domain in space defined by boundaries. A boundary is each surface that surrounds and defines a region in the model. After different initial trials, a symmetry plane was defined as a boundary condition. The three configurations were modeled considering the boundary conditions of the outlet velocities, and the solid surface boundary conditions (Table 1). Identic materials were selected for all geometries among the usually recommended for broiler houses. Air velocity was calculated from fan performance (considering that all fans are in operation) and a single outdoor temperature was considered. The production of sensible heat was estimated from CIGR equation [30], corresponding to animals of 5 weeks of age and 2.5kg of body weight. This heat production was introduced in the model as a uniform flux of sensible heat from the concrete floor.

Comforda	Trues	Duanantiaa
Sufface	Туре	Properties
Windows	Outlet pressure	Outdoor temperature 21.5°C
Fan center or 1.10m	Velocity inlet	Velocity and direction (-3.92m.s ⁻¹)
	·	Internal temperature 22°C
Fan side or 1.40m		Velocity and direction (-6.87m.s^{-1})
		Inside temperature 22°C
Ceiling polystyrene sandwich panel	Wall	3 U = 0.58 W /m ² °K
$(^{1}e = 5cm ^{2}\lambda = 0.033 W/m ^{\circ}K)$	vv all	0 0.50 W/III K
Concrete wells consists: Present concrete		$U = 0.91 W /m^2 9V$
Concrete wans consists. Precast concrete		U = 0.81 W / III K
$(e = 20 \text{ cm}; \lambda = 0.45 \text{ W} / \text{m}^{\circ}\text{K})$, plaster		
cement		
$(e = 4cm; \lambda = 0.4 \text{ W/m} \circ \text{K})$, insulating		
polyurethane (e = 2 cm: $\lambda = 0.04$ W/m °K)		
Concrete floor $(a = 2am; b = 2.5 \text{ W/m }^{\circ}\text{V})$		⁴ Heat flux consider 101.04 W/m^2
Concrete noor ($e = 2 \text{cm}, k = 2.3 \text{ w/m} \text{ K}$),		ficat flux sensible 101.94 W/III
insulating polystyrene ($e=1.5$ cm; $\lambda = 0.046$		
W/m °K)		
Side wall symmetrical	Symmetry plane	

Table 1. Boundary conditions specifications

Where ¹e is thickness; ² λ is thermal conductivity W/m °K; ³U is thermal transmittance W/m² °K, ⁴Production of sensible heat $\phi_s = 0.61\phi_{total} - 0.228 * t^2$, W; ϕ_s is sensible heat production; ϕ_{total} is total heat dissipation animal in animal houses, *t* is internal temperature - International Commission of Agricultural Engineering. 2002

2.4 Analysis of results

Theoretical comfort of animals was evaluated according to temperature, wind speed and ITV. To analyze the ITV formula proposed by Tao and Xin [15] was adapted considering constant relative humidity (100%) to determine the effect of temperature and velocity on the broiler comfort. It can be expressed as follows:

$$ITV = t_{db} * V^{-0.058}$$
(4)

where t_{db} is the dry bulb temperature °C, V is velocity m.s⁻¹, defined in expression (4).

According to the equations proposed by these authors, an optimal ITV was considered to be within the range 18-25°C [21, 22], outside these values we considered that animals would be in discomfort. We calculated that broilers can accelerate heat stress for 24 hours with ITV higher than 30.11°C. ITV higher than 32.56°C and 35.5°C involve a critical thermal environment for broilers for 6 hours and 1 hour, respectively.

Therefore, we analyzed the CFD results to obtain averages standard deviations and spatial distributions of air velocity (m.s⁻¹), temperature (°C), and ITV at 0.20m height (broiler height) and 1.4m too observe the behavior of air flow in different planes. The distribution and proportion of area in which animals could be subjected to discomfort due to improper temperatures (higher than 25°C or lower than 18°C) was determined [31, 32] An air velocity comfort range between 0.5 and 2.0m.s⁻¹ was established [17,33] and ITV was thus calculated considering the limit for heat stress indicated above (higher than 30.11°C). Finally, the three configurations analyzed in this study were compared.

3. Results and discussion

3.1 Sensitivity analysis

According to the calculation with various mesh sizes, it was observed that all mesh sizes predicted the velocity at 0.20m height with similar results. The maximum range of variation of the simulated data is lower than 13.61% of the mean velocity for mesh 0.4m with 122289 cells, 102150 tetrahedral volume elements and 303589 internal faces (Table 2), as shown for each configuration in Figures 3, 4 and 5,

acceptable according to literature [34]. Figure 2 shows the independence between the mean velocities in the simulations with different mesh sizes. The mesh size has been changed from 1.0 to 0.1m. With mesh sizes smaller than 0.1m mean velocity at 0.2m high becomes constant, which is also considered a signal that the sensitivity analysis is satisfactory. The convergence criterion of RMS lower than 10^{-5} was accomplished for different mesh sizes.

Table 2. Volum	e mesh chara	cteristics with	n mesh size 0.4m
----------------	--------------	-----------------	------------------

Characteristics	Tunnel	Semitunnel	Improved Semitunnel
Number of cells	100585	102150	103245
Number of internal faces	298035	303589	306669
Number of vertices	119796	122289	123158



Figure 2. Mean velocity versus different mesh sizes



Figure 3. Tunnel mesh



Figure 4. Semitunnel mesh



Figure 5. Improved tunnel mesh

3.2 Velocity

The distribution of air velocity (magnitude and direction) at 1.4m height is represented in Figure 6. As seen, in all configurations the presence of windows affected flow patterns. In all configurations, as expected, higher velocities were found near exhaust fans, whereas lower velocities occurred in the extreme walls of the building. As air enters the building through the windows, airflow is progressively accelerated, but as expected, velocity magnitude is lower in configurations ST and IST than in tunnel configuration.

Considering the velocity vectors in a 1.4m height plane, the air velocity increases at the nearest windows to the fans, whereas the lowest air velocity was found in the extreme wall of the poultry house (0.03m.s^{-1}) . The airflow in the poultry house space is being accelerated progressively, and the air velocity values increase with windows nearest to the exhaust fans, concentrating the air flow in the center the building. In the case of IST, velocity vectors are more uniform than in ST, observing that windows distributed in blocks of two or three consecutive windows have more uniform velocity vectors than the window distribution in ST.

In tunnel the walls tend to divert the airflow from the side wall towards the center of the farm, resulting higher velocities towards the center of the poultry building and lower velocities near the side walls (Figure 7). In this work, the mean air velocity at the height of the broilers was 1.54 ± 0.74 m.s⁻¹. According to Yamamoto [35] a total air exchange should be done every 1 min 15 s through the entire

length the building at high velocities (which is from 1.77 to 2.0m.s⁻¹). This velocity could provide a better environment for broilers mainly in warm periods, compensating to the addition of sensible heat generated by the broilers themselves. According to Simmons *et al.* [20], at about 2m.s⁻¹ of air velocity total heat loss remains relatively constant if temperature increases, but below 0.5m.s⁻¹ broilers are more exposed to heat stress.



Figure 6. Velocity vector distributions1.4 m height plane: Tunnel (T), Semitunnel (ST) and Improved Semitunnel (IST) configuration



Figure 7. Tunnel (T), Semitunnel (ST), Improved Semitunnel (IST) Configuration velocity modulus: plane z: 0.2m

As shown in Table 3, the average air velocity at the height of the broilers in ST and IST is 0.83 ± 0.32 and 0.89 ± 0.30 m.s⁻¹ respectively. We also observed a higher percentage of area with air velocity ranging between 0.5 and 2.0m.s⁻¹ (80.05% and 88.45% in ST and IST, respectively). On the contrary, in the Tunnel configuration only a 49.95% of the area achieves velocities between 0.5 and 2.0m.s⁻¹, while 50.05% of area has air velocities higher than 2.0m.s⁻¹, particularly near the fans.

Therefore, ST shows the highest proportion of surface with air velocity between 0.5 and 2.0m.s⁻¹. According to Blanes-Vidal *et al.* [7] the air velocity at the height of the broilers predicted by the CFD simulation was 0.54 ± 0.22 m.s⁻¹ in a cross mechanically ventilated broiler building. However, the air velocity obtained in this study is within the ranges provided by Bustamante *et al.* [4], who found similar results of air velocity both in CFD simulations (0.60 ± 0.56 m.s⁻¹) and in direct measurements (0.64 ± 0.54 m.s⁻¹) in poultry building with tunnel mechanical-ventilation. Also our values are similar with Feddes *et al.* [19], who found levels of air speed between 0.32 and 0.82m.s⁻¹. Finally, this fulfills the

recommendations by Yahav *et al.* [17], who suggest velocities from 1.5 to 2m.s⁻¹ when broilers are under very hot conditions (about 35°C).

Table 3. Average \pm standard deviation air velocity (m.s⁻¹) in the three configurations, comfort and discomfort area (m² and %) at 0.2m of floor

Configuration	Average velocity	Dise	Discomfort		Comfort	
	$[m.s^{-1}]$	m^2	%	m^2	%	
Tunnel	1.54 ± 0.74	450.48	50.05	449.51	49.95	
Semi tunnel	0.83 ± 0.32	179.53	19.95	720.47	80.05	
Improved Semitunnel	0.89 ± 0.30	103.94	11.55	796.06	88.45	

3.3 Temperature

The average temperature at the height of the animals by CFD in Tunnel (Figure 8) is $23.55 \pm 1.01^{\circ}$ C, and has comfort area of 91.37% with temperatures between 18 and 25°C according Baeta and Souza [31], Tinôco [36] and Olanrewaju et al. [21]. However, Xin et al. [23] found in tunnel ventilation type at birdlevel, lengthwise temperature gradients distribution across the building poultry, with the center slightly warmer (1.8°C) than the sides, which is similar to the temperature gradients found by Osorio et al. [5]. The air temperature increases as it travels throughout the poultry house due to the addition of sensible heat produced by the broilers of 2.5kg (21.11 W animal⁻¹), however if air velocity changes, the broilers modifies the production of sensible heat. Increasing air velocity makes the animals increase the sensible heat loss, which is needed to cope with high temperatures. However, the energy used for removing heat is not available for growth and, as a result, the growth rate may be altered. According to Simmons et al. [20] for broilers in fifth week of age with ambient temperature of 29°C and air velocity increasing from 1.01 to 3.05m.s⁻¹, the loss of sensible heat increases from 1.19 to 2.09 W kg⁻¹ and the sixth week of age from 1.30 to 2.33 W kg⁻¹. On the contrary, latent heat loss decreases from 2.89 to 2.09 W kg⁻¹ and 2.59 to 2.30 W kg⁻¹ for the two respective groups of age. Consequently, the animals spend less metabolic energy to remove excess heat at higher air velocity [37]. Xin et al. [23], found temperature ranging from 24.9 to 29.6°C with an average of 28.1°C, and higher temperature near the ridge or ceiling of the poultry house than near the floor. The operation of the tunnel is efficient as long as the ambient temperature doesn't exceed 32°C because at higher temperatures the heat introduced by ventilation can't be compensated with the effect of air velocity [38].



Figure 8. Temperature distribution the Tunnel (T), Semitunnel (ST) and Improved Semitunnel (IST) configuration at 0.2m height plane.

In the semitunnel the temperature decreases as a consequence of the different configuration of air velocity, and was on average 23.45 ± 0.82 °C. The comfort area of temperature at 0.2m above floor level was 93.03%, concentrating the largest amount of hot air in the central part of the building. Besides, in the

ISSN 2076-2895 (Print), ISSN 2076-2909 (Online) ©2015 International Energy & Environment Foundation. All rights reserved.

improved semitunnel configuration air entrances were distributed more homogeneously, as well as temperature at the height of the broilers [39]. IST had the lowest average temperature $(23.37 \pm 0.79^{\circ}\text{C})$ of the three configurations analyzed, and the greatest comfort area (94.52% of total surface). Contrarily, the tunnel configuration had a discomfort area of 8.63% (Table 4). The average temperature obtained in this study are similar to Mostafa *et al*, [12], who found 23.86°C at the height of the broilers, and also were in accordance with Blanes-Vidal *et al*. [40], who found the minimum temperature of 23.5°C and the maximum of 26.3°C in the poultry house. On the contrary, Xin *et al*. [23] found bird-level average temperature of 29.3°C and described a higher vertical temperature gradient in conventional model compared with tunnel model from the bird-level to the 3m height. Finally, Medeiros [41] obtained greater yield with temperature range from 21 to 29 °C, air velocities from 1.5 to 2.5m.s⁻¹, and broilers from 21 to 42 days showed higher performance with temperatures between 21 and 27 °C with air velocities between 0.5 and 1.5m.s⁻¹.

Configuration	Average temperature	Discomfort		Comfort	
	[°C]	m^2	%	m^2	%
Tunnel	23.55 ± 1.01	77.67	8.63	822.33	91.37
Semitunnel	23.45 ± 0.82	62.69	6.97	837.31	93.03
Improved Semitunnel	23.37 ± 0.79	49.30	5.48	850.70	94.52

Table 4. Average \pm standard deviation temperature (°C) in the three configurations, comfort and discomfort area (m² and %) at 0.2m of floor

3.4 Index of temperature and velocity – ITV

In the tunnel configuration, the average ITV at 0.2m height was $23.24 \pm 1.54^{\circ}$ C (Table 5), observing higher values in the opposite extreme to the fans in the building. This is a consequence of the low air velocity and high exchange of sensible heat in these areas. The outside air in the current model (21.5°C) reduces the increase of temperature inside the poultry house, which depends on the location of the fans and distributions of windows. In Figure 9 it can be observed that in the semitunnel (average ITV of 23.85 $\pm 1.18^{\circ}$ C) the higher ITV was registered at the center of the poultry building, which concentrates the heat produced by broilers in the building through the exhaust fans. However, in the improved semitunnel (average ITV 23.64 $\pm 0.98^{\circ}$ C) the distribution of ITV was more homogeneous as a consequence of the distribution of inlet windows and fans. This distribution achieved the highest comfort zone according to ITV (90.35% of total area has ITV below 25°C).

Considering the previous results, tunnel ventilation system is frequently used for hot and humid climates by turbulent flow and the sensitivity of the energy that is important in the lateral direction to form a tunnel of high velocity flow that goes through the poultry building and helps the system birds to cool off with a greater loss of sensible heat, removing the warm air inside the farm and improving index of temperature and velocity to maintain a productive environment.

Table 5. Average \pm standard deviation	ITV (°C) in the	three configurations and	l area (m ²)	at 0.2m of floor
\mathcal{O}		\mathcal{O}		

Configuration	Average ITV	Expressed in m ² area ITV			
	[°C]	Discomfort 24 hours 6 hours 1 hour			
		ITV >25 [°C]	ITV >30.11[°C]	ITV >32.56 [°C]	ITV >35.5 [°C]
Tunnel	23.24 ± 1.54	145.51	0.27	0.0	0.0
Semitunnel	23.85 ± 1.18	141.94	1.58	0.04	0.01
Improved	23.64 ± 0.98	86.78	0.44	0.05	0.01
Semitunnel					



Figure 9. ITV model distribution the Tunnel (T), Semitunnel (ST) and Improved Semitunnel (IST) configuration

4. Conclusion

Determining comfort in poultry farms is a complex task, which can be assessed with the use of computational fluid dynamics modeling. In this paper a methodology to quantify the parameters controlling the comfort of the animals is presented. This methodology is implemented for designing buildings with adequate conditions for animal welfare characteristics. Three different poultry house designs were analyzed using CFD. These simulations are useful for investigating the behavior of the location of windows and fans and their effect on the parameters of comfort. A system that aims to improve the mechanical ventilation for depression has been analyzed to improve comfort broilers of six weeks with 2.5kg. The results of the three case studies are compared to determine the effect of temperature. Some conclusions can be achieved:

The CFD shows the calculation of turbulent model predicting the air flow and the fields of temperature, which can be used for various practical purposes.

The poultry building improved using the semitunnel configuration. At 0.20m more homogeneous values of average velocity of 0.89 ± 0.32 m.s⁻¹ and air temperature of $23.37 \pm 0.79^{\circ}$ C with greater comfort area of velocity 88.45% and temperature 94.52%. Although ITV is lower on average using the tunnel type ($23.24 \pm 1.54^{\circ}$ C), the comfort zone is highest with IST (90.35% of total area with ITV lower than 25°C), These results suggest that the improved semitunnel configuration may perform better than the tunnel and semitunnel.

References

- Bjerg B., Giovanni Cascone, In-Bok Lee, Bartzanas T., Norton T., Hong S.-W., Seo Il-H., Banhazi T., Liberati P., Marucci A., Zhang G. Modelling of ammonia emissions from livestock buildings ventilated naturally. Part 2: CFD modelling. Biosystems Engineering 2013, 116, 259-275.
- [2] Montazeri H., Blöcken B. CFD simulation of wind-induced pressure coefficients on buildings with and without balconies: Validation and sensitivity analysis Volume 60-2013. Pp 137-149.
- [3] Norton T. R., Sun D. W., Grant J., Fallon R., Dodd Vicente. Applications of computational fluid dynamics (CFD) in the modelling and design of ventilation systems in the agricultural industry: A review. Bioresource Technology 2007, 98, 2386-2414.
- [4] Bustamante E., García-Diego F.-J., Calvet S., Estellés F., Beltrán P., Hospitaler A., Torres A. G. Exploring Ventilation Efficiency in Poultry Buildings: The Validation of Computational Fluid Dynamics (CFD) in a Cross-Mechanically Ventilated Broiler Farm. Energies 2013, 6(5):2605– 2623.
- [5] Osorio S. J. A., Martins M. A., Marín O. L. Z., Damasceno F. A., Velasquez H. J. C. A. Review About the use of Computational Fluid Dynamics (CFD) in Broiler House. Dyna 2012, (175): 142-149.

- [6] Wu W., Zhang G., Bjerg B., Nielsen P. V. An assessment of to partial pit ventilation system to reduce emission under slatted floor part 2: Feasibility of CFD prediction using turbulence RANS models. Computers and Electronics in agriculture 2012, 83:134-142.
- [7] Blanes-Vidal V., Balasch S., Torres A. G. Application of computational fluid dynamics to the prediction of air flow in to mechanically ventilated commercial poultry building. Biosystems Engineering 2008, 100 (1): 105-116.
- [8] Lee I-B., Sase S., Sung S-H. Evaluation of CFD accuracy for the ventilation study of a naturally ventilated broiler house. Japan Agricultural Research Quarterly 2007, 41 (1), 53-64.
- [9] Roy J. C., Boulard T., Kittas C., Wang S. Convective and ventilation transfers in greenhouses, part
 1: the greenhouse as a perfectly stirred tank considered. Biosystems Engineering 2002. 83 (1): 1-20.
- [10] Norton T. R., Grant J., Fallon R., Sun D.-W. A Computational fluid dynamics study of air mixing in a naturally ventilated livestock building with different porous eave opening conditions. Biosystems Engineering 2010, 106:125 - 137.
- [11] Seo I.-H., Lee I.-B., Moon O.-K., Kim H.-T., Hwang H.-S., Hong S.W., Bitog J., Yoo J.- I., Kwon K.-S., Kim Y.-H., Han J. W. Improvement of the ventilation system of to naturally ventilated broiler house in the cold season using computational simulations. Biosystems Engineering 2009, 104 (1): 106-117.
- [12] Mostafa E., Lee I.-B., Song S.-H., Kwon K.-S., Seo I.-H., Hong S.-W., Hwang H.-S., Bitog J. P., Han H.-T. Computational fluid dynamics simulation of air temperature distribution inside building broiler fitted with duct ventilation system. Biosystems Engineering 2012, 112 (4): 293-303.
- [13] Sandoval G. L., Revidatti F., Terraes J. C., R. J. Fernandez, Asiain M. V., Sindik M. Productive variables in male chickens reared under different semi them heavy thermal conditions. Magazine FAVE - Veterinary Science 2006, 5 (1-2) ISSN 1666 - 938 X.
- [14] Sørensen P., Su G., Kestin S. C. Effects of Age and Stocking Density on Leg Weakness in Broiler Chickens. Poultry Science 2000, 79:864–870.
- [15] Tao X., Xin H. Temperature-Humidity -Velocity Index for Market-size Broilers. Agricultural and Biosystems Engineering 2003, Vol. 46 (2): 491-497.
- [16] Lott B. D., Simmons J. D., May J. D. Air Velocity and High Temperature Effects on Broiler Performance. Poultry Science 1998, 77:391-393.
- [17] Yahav S., Straschnow A., Vax E., Razpakovski V., Shinder D. Air velocity alters broiler performance under harsh environmental conditions. Poultry science 2001, 80 (6): 724-726.
- [18] Atilgan A. H. K. Cultural energy analysis on broilers reared in different capacity poultry houses. Ital. J. Anim. Sci. 2006, Vol. 5, 393-400.
- [19] Feddes J. J. R., Emmanuel E. J., Zuidhof M. J., Korver D. R. Ventilation Rate, Air Circulation, and Bird Disturbance: Effects on the Incidence of Cellulitis and Broiler Performance. Poultry Science Association. J. Appl. Poult. Res. 2003, 12:328–334.
- [20] Simmons J. D., Lott B. D., May J. D. Heat loss from broiler chickens subjected to various air velocities and ambient temperatures. Applied Engineering in Agriculture 1994, Vol. 13 (5): 665-669.
- [21] Olanrewaju H. A., Purswell J.L., Colier S.D., Branton S. L. Effect of ambient temperature and light intensity on physiological reactions of heavy broiler chickens Poultry Science 2010, 89 (12): 2668-2677.
- [22] Schiassi L., Tadayuki Y. J., Leandro F., Alves D. F., Monteiro Y. S. Fuzzy methodology to evaluate the increase in temperature body in broilers. Engenharia na agriculture 2008, Viçosa, MG, verse 16, n.2, 180-191 ABR/Jun.
- [23] Xin H., Berry I. L., Tabler G.T., Barton T.L. Temperature and humidity profiles of broiler houses experimental with conventional and tunnel ventilation systems applied Engineering in Agriculture 1994, Vol. 10 (4): 535-542.
- [24] Yahav S., Hurwitz S. Induction of Thermotolerance in Male Broiler Chickens by Temperature Conditioning at an Early Age. Poultry Science, 1996. 75: 402-406.
- [25] Yahav S. Alleviating heat stress in domestic fowl: different strategies. Poultry Science 2009, 65 (4): 719-732.
- [26] Launder B. E., Spalding D. B., 1974. The numerical computational of turbulent flows. Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering 3, 269 e 289.
- [27] CFX. 2003. "CFX 5 Solver Models" Diddcot Oxfordshire: CFX.

- [28] COST 2004. Cost Action 14: Recommendations on the use of CFD in predicting Pedestrian Wind Environment. Bruxelas: COST
- [29] Evola G., Popov E. V. Computational analysis of wind driven natural ventilation in buildings, Energy and Buildings, 38, pp. 491-501, 2006.
- [30] Pedersen S., Sällvik K. 4th Report of Woking Group on Climatization of Animal Houses. International Comission of Agricultural Engineering, Section II. 2002
- [31] Baeta F. C., Souza C. F. Environment in rural buildings animal comfort. Viçosa, MG: UFV. 1997. Pp 246.
- [32] Estrada M. M., Márquez M. G. S. (2005). Interacción de los factores ambientales con la respuesta del comportamiento productivo en pollos de engorde. Revista Colombiana de Ciencias Pecuarias 18(6):246-257
- [33] Lacy M., Czarick M. (1991). Ventilating poultry houses on cold days. Poultry Digest. 50:68-69.
- [34] Guohong T., Guoqiang Z., Christopher D. M., Bjerg B., Zhangying Y., Cheng J. Evaluation of turbulence models to predict airflow and ammonia concentrations in a scale model swine building enclosure. Journal Computers & Fluids 1999, 74 (3), 267–274.
- [35] Yamamoto M. M. The evaluation of the conditions of the internal environment in the production of two commercial broiler sheds, ventilation and differentiated population density. Universidade Estadual de Campinas, Faculdade de engenharia agricultural. Tese de Doutorado. CAMPINAS. 2005.
- [36] Tinôco, I. F. F. Poultry industry: new concepts of materials, designs and construction techniques available for Brazilian poultry. Brazilian Journal of poultry science, Campinas 2001, V.3, N.1, Pp.1-26.
- [37] Dozier III W. A., Purswell J. L., Branton S. L. Growth Responses of Male Broilers Subjected to High Air Velocity for either Twelve or Twenty-Four Hours from Thirty-Seven to Fifty-One Days of Age. J. Appl. Poult. Res. 2006, 15:362-366.
- [38] Eguez G. R., Davila J., Vasconez C. D. Automation of the breeding poultry A-1 of Broilers of Iasa. Quito. 2007.
- [39] Marrufo V. D., Quintana J., Castaneda S. M. P. Effect of positive pressure ventilation on performance of broilers booths for seven weeks in natural environment. Vet Mex 1999, 30 (1).
- [40] Blanes-Vidal V., Guijarro E., Nadimi E. S., Torres A. G. Development and field test of an on-line computerized instrumentation system for air velocity, temperature and differential pressure measurements in poultry houses. Journal of Agricultural Research 2010, 8(3), 570-579.
- [41] Medeiros C. M. Adjustment models and determination of environmental heat productivity index broilers. Master's thesis, Universida de Federal de Viçosa, MINAS GERAIS. 2001.



Eva Hilda Guerra-Galdo received Master's Degree in Animal Production in the Department of Animal Science and Technology from Universitat Politècnica de València. She is pursuing Doctoral Degree in Animal Science and Technology from Universitat Politècnica de València. She is researching about the modeling of air flow and temperature in poultry buildings. This project is cofounded by PRONABEC of Perú.

E-mail address: ehgg.-@hotmail.com



Salvador Calvet Sanz is Agricultural Engineer (2003) and PhD in Animal Science (2008) at Universitat Politècnica de València (Spain). His areas of research are Livestock and Environment, and Climate control in livestock farms. He leads a project on evaluating how animal feeding is related to environmental impacts of livestock production. In the past he has leaded and participated in other projects related to emissions to the atmosphere, emission inventories or climate control. He has published 28 scientific articles in indexed (JCR) journals as well as several dissemination articles on his topics of research. He has also participated in many international conferences in his area. Dr. Calvet is secretary of the CIGR (International Commission of Agricultural Engineering) Working Group on Hot Climates and participates in scientific societies "Remedia" (Spanish Network for Mitigation of Climate

Change in Agroforestry), CIGR and EurAgEng (European Society of Agricultural Engineers). E-mail address: salcalsa@upvnet.upv.es



Fernando Estélles Barber is Agricultural Engineer (2007), MSc on Livestock Production (2007) and PhD (2010) at Universitat Politècnica de València (Spain) His areas of research are Livestock and Environment, and Climate control in livestock farms. He leads a project on optimizing dairy cows' farm buildings to cope with climate change consequences (Optibarn). He also participates on other projects related to gaseous emission from manure and collaborates with the development of Spanish Emissions Inventories. He has published about 25 scientific articles in indexed (JCR) journals as well as several dissemination articles on his topics of research. He has also participated in many international conferences in his area. Dr. Estellés is one of the Spanish representatives of the Inventories and Monitoring workgroup on the Global Research Alliance on Agricultural Greenhouse Gases. He is also

member of the board of the scientific society "Remedia" (Spanish Network for Mitigation of Climate Change in Agroforestry). E-mail address: feresbar@upvnet.upv.es



Petra Amparo López-Jiménez is M.Sc. and PhD in Industrial Engineering, Associate Professor in the Hydraulic and Environmental Engineering Department at the Universitat Politècnica de València. She is currently the Associate Director of the Hydraulic and Environmental Engineering Department of Universitat Politècnica de València. She has more than a decade of experience in research and teaching in Engineering fields, always related to hydraulic topics. She is author and editor of several publications about Hydraulic an Environmental Engineering and Flow Dynamics. She has participated in national and international R&D projects and co-organized International Seminars and Networks. She is an experienced University Teacher, an active researcher and a former practicing engineer. E-mail address: palopez@upv.es