

Document downloaded from:

<http://hdl.handle.net/10251/62286>

This paper must be cited as:

Garmendia, A.; Ferriol Molina, M.; Juarez, J.; Zajac, A.; Kaluzny, K.; Merle Farinós, HB. (2015). A rare case of a natural contact zone in Morocco between an autopolyploid and an allopolyploid of *Centaurea aspera* with sterile tetraploid hybrids. *Plant Biology*. 17(3):746-757. doi:10.1111/plb.12284.



The final publication is available at

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/plb.12284>

Copyright Wiley-Blackwell

Additional Information

This is the accepted version of the following article: Garmendia, A., Ferriol, M., Juarez, J., Zajc, A., Kałuny, K., Merle, H. (2015), A rare case of a natural contact zone in Morocco between an autopolyploid and an allopolyploid of *Centaurea aspera* with sterile tetraploid hybrids. *Plant Biology*, 17: 746–757, which has been published in final form at <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/plb.12284>

1 A rare case of a natural contact zone in Morocco between an autopolyploid and an
2 allopolyploid of *C. aspera* with a novel tetraploid block.

3

4 Alfonso Garmendia¹, María Ferriol¹, Jose Juarez², Anna Zając³, Katarzyna Kałużny³,
5 and Hugo Merle⁴

6

7 ¹ Instituto Agroforestal Mediterráneo, Universitat Politècnica de València, E-46022 Valencia, Spain.

8 ² Instituto Valenciano de Investigaciones Agrarias, E-46113 Moncada (Valencia), Spain.

9 ³ Institute of Plant Biology and Biotechnology, University of Agriculture in Krakow, 31-425 Krakow,
10 Poland.

11 ⁴ Departamento Ecosistemas Agroforestales. Universitat Politècnica de València, E-46022 Valencia,
12 Spain.

13

14 **Short title**

15 A natural contact zone with a “tetraploid block”

16

17 **Corresponding author:** Hugo Merle Farinos, Department of Agroforestry Ecosystems,
18 Polytechnic University of Valencia, E-46022 Valencia, Spain, humerfa@upvnet.upv.es,
19 +34 96 387 9263, +34 96 387 9269.

20

21 **Keywords**

22 autopolyploid; allopolyploid; sterile tetraploid; triploid block; tetraploid block; *C.*
23 *aspera* ssp. *gentilii*; *C. seridis* var. *auriculata*; *C. x subdecurrens* nothosp. *paucispinus*

24

25 **Abstract**

1 A new contact zone between *C. aspera* and *C. seridis* was found in Morocco.
2 Chromosome counts and flow cytometry showed that both taxa were tetraploid ($4x=44$).
3 Bibliographic research and morphometric analysis established that *C. aspera*
4 corresponds to the autopolyploid *C. aspera* ssp. *gentilii* and *C. seridis* corresponds to
5 the allopolyploid *C. seridis* var. *auriculata*. This contact area was compared with
6 homologous contact zones in Spain formed by the diploid *C. aspera* ssp. *stenophylla*
7 and the tetraploid *C. seridis* ssp. *maritima*. Natural hybrids between the parental species
8 were very frequent in both areas. In Spain, hybrids were triploid (from reduced gametes
9 A and gamete AB) and highly sterile, exerting a ‘triploid block’. In Morocco, cytometry
10 showed that hybrids were tetraploid and therefore hypothetically fertile, but all the
11 capitula lacked achenes. The resulting genome of the new tetraploid hybrid (AAAB),
12 through the fusion of reduced gametes AA (from ssp. *gentilii*) and AB (from var.
13 *auriculata*), could explain irregularities in meiosis by formation of aneuploid gametes,
14 and therefore infertility of the hybrid. Moroccan tetraploid hybrids develop, but have the
15 same identical irregularities as the Spanish triploids, due to the odd number of
16 homologous chromosomes, exerting a ‘tetraploid block’. The new hybrid is first
17 described as *C. x subdecurrens* nothosp. *paucispinus*. In addition, distribution and
18 ecological traits were analyzed.

19

20 **INTRODUCTION**

21 Polyplody, the acquisition of more than two sets of chromosomes, has been
22 suggested as a major driving force in plant evolution (Otto 2007; Soltis & Soltis 2009),
23 and phylogenomic data even indicates ubiquity among them (Jiao *et al.* 2011).
24 Polyplody can promote changes in genetic diversity, heterozygosity, inbreeding
25 tolerance or adaptability. However, whether polyplody represents an evolutionary

1 advantage or disadvantage remains unclear, and mainly depends on taxa (Madlung
2 2013) and evolutionary moment (Parisod *et al.* 2010). The ecological and evolutionary
3 significance of genome multiplication can be best analyzed in contact zones were
4 related taxa, by polyploidization processes, interact (Lumaret 1988; Bretagnolle &
5 Thompson 1995; Gao *et al.* 2014).

6
7 *Centaurea* L. (Compositae) is a recent and taxonomically intricate genus that
8 presents a high rate of diversification and speciation due to the existence of polyploidy
9 and descending dysploidy, as well as hybridization events (Hellwig 2004; Romaschenko
10 *et al.* 2004). Most analyzed *Centaurea* polyploid contact zones included diploid (2x)
11 and tetraploid (4x) cytotypes. In some of them, triploid (3x), pentaploid (5x) or
12 hexaploid (6x) cytotypes were observed at very low frequencies (Hardy *et al.* 2000;
13 Koutecký *et al.* 2012; Mráz *et al.* 2012). The allo or autopolyploid origin of the
14 tetraploids cytotypes usually remains controversial, but evidences in the mode of allele
15 inheritance (Hardy *et al.* 2001), divergence of ribotypes (Mráz *et al.* 2012), and even
16 tetraploid phenotypes (Garcia-Jacas *et al.* 2009), have been useful to infer the origin.
17 Concerning this latter approach, if diploid and tetraploid phenotypes are
18 indistinguishable, then the tetraploid is considered a true autopolyploid (Garcia-Jacas *et*
19 *al.* 2009). If the phenotypes are well differentiated, then other evidence for allo- or
20 autopolyploid origin are needed (Hardy *et al.* 2001; Garcia-Jacas *et al.* 2009; Mráz *et al.*
21 2012). Sometimes, the tetraploid is clearly morphologically differentiated from the
22 related diploid, and an autopolyploid origin is given (Hardy *et al.* 2000; Koutecký *et al.*
23 2012).

24

1 In addition, formation, ploidy level and fertility of natural hybrids and mating
2 barriers between cytotypes are key issues discussed in these aggregates. The main
3 pattern in *C. weldeniana* Rchb., *C. phrygia* L., *C. stoebe* L., *C. toletana* Boiss. & Reut.,
4 *C. jacea* L. and *C. pseudophrygia* C.A. Mey. complexes was a very low or absent
5 formation of natural hybrids between cytotypes, and in these cases hybrids were mostly
6 tetraploids. Triploid hybrids were very rare or absent (Hardy *et al.* 2000; Hardy *et al.*
7 2001; Sijak-Yakovev *et al.* 2005; Garcia-Jacas *et al.* 2009; Koutecký *et al.* 2011; Mráz
8 *et al.* 2012; Koutecký *et al.* 2012; Koutecký 2012). These evidences point to a strong
9 natural reproductive isolation among cytotypes.

10

11 In *Centaurea*, crossing experiments have also been carried out artificially to get
12 additional data about reproductive isolation between cytotypes (Hardy *et al.* 2001;
13 Koutecký *et al.* 2011; Koutecký *et al.* 2012). Inter-cytotype crosses (heteroploids) were
14 much less successful than intra-cytotype crosses (homoploid), showing the existence of
15 strong, but not complete, reproductive barriers (Hardy *et al.* 2001; Koutecký *et al.*
16 2011). The artificial hybrids included triploids (from reduced gametes), tetraploids and
17 pentaploids (involving unreduced and reduced gametes), and hexaploids (from
18 unreduced gametes) (Hardy *et al.* 2001; Spaniel *et al.* 2008; Garcia-Jacas *et al.* 2009;
19 Koutecký *et al.* 2011; Koutecký *et al.* 2012). Furthermore, interspecific homoploid
20 hybridization is frequent in *Centaurea*, and hybrids are often easily recognized due to
21 their intermediate morphology (*e.g.* Koutecký 2007; Blair & Huffbauer 2010; Pisanu *et*
22 *al.* 2011). In fact, it is usually accepted that the extent of hybridization between
23 particular taxa depends on the ploidy level; taxa of the same ploidy level can cross
24 easily and their hybrids are fertile and capable of backcrossing, whereas taxa differing

1 in ploidy levels hybridize only rarely and their hybrids are sterile (Gardou 1972; Hardy
2 *et al.* 2001; Štěpánek & Koutecký 2004).

3

4 Recently, a polyploid complex involving the diploid *C. aspera* L. and the
5 tetraploid *C. seridis* L. has been cited in several contact zones located in Eastern Spain
6 (Garmendia *et al.* 2010; Ferriol *et al.* 2012; Ferriol *et al.* 2014). In contrast with the
7 other reported polyploid complexes in *Centaurea*, highly sterile triploid hybrids
8 between both taxa were very frequent in nature. Ferriol *et al.* (2012) confirmed that the
9 triploid *C. x subdecurrens* Pau represents a true F1 offspring between *C. aspera* and *C.*
10 *seridis*. Genetic analyses showed that backcrossing events and gene flow were very rare
11 or absent, and that genetic diversity was higher in diploid than in tetraploid individuals
12 (Ferriol *et al.* 2012; Ferriol *et al.* 2014). In addition, microsatellite markers suggested an
13 allopolyploid origin of *C. seridis*, being *C. aspera* one of the parental species.

14

15 A new Moroccan contact zone is analyzed in the present study. In this contact
16 zone, the same species as those included in the Spanish polyploid complexes are
17 involved. However, ploidy level and infra-specific adscription change: *C. aspera* is
18 represented by *C. aspera* ssp. *gentilii* (Braun-Blanq. & Maire) Dobignard, considered to
19 be a tetraploid (Vogt & Oberprieler 2008), and *C. seridis* is represented by *C. seridis*
20 var. *auriculata* (Bald.) Ball, whose chromosome number is unpublished.
21 Morphologically intermediate individuals between these two taxa occur.

22

23 We aimed to analyze how this contact zone is operating and how these closely
24 related taxa interact. The main objectives are:

25

1 (i) to study the distribution and ecological features of the contact zone located in
2 Morocco and compare it with the contact zones located in Spain.

3 (ii) To clarify the ploidy level of the individual involved in the Moroccan contact zone,
4 including those that morphologically correspond to *C. aspera* ssp. *gentilii*, those that
5 correspond to *C. seridis* var. *auriculata*, and those that correspond to the intermediate
6 forms.

7 (iii) To establish the morphological differentiation between taxa, both within and
8 between Moroccan and Spanish contact zones. This will allow us to infer the allo or
9 autopolyploid origin of *C. aspera* ssp. *gentilii* and to examine the niche specificity of
10 the phenotypes.

11 (iv) Finally, to analyze the fertility and origin of the morphologically intermediate
12 individuals in the Moroccan contact zone.

13

14 **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

15 *Study species and study area*

16 *Centaurea aspera* and *C. seridis* belong to the Seridia (Juss.) Czerep section.
17 Both are polymorphic species with a large number of subspecies and varieties (Ball
18 1878; Jahandiez & Maire 1934; Tutin *et al.* 1976; Bolòs & Vigo 1995; Mateo & Crespo
19 2009). They are widespread in Western Mediterranean and Southwestern Morocco
20 (Hellwig 2004), included in the Mediterranean biogeographical sub-region (Médail &
21 Quézel 1999). Both species are insect pollinated, self-incompatible perennial herbs
22 (Bosch *et al.* 1997; Arnelas & Devesa 2011 and pers. obs.). The distribution of *C.*
23 *seridis* is usually restricted to the coastline, although it occasionally expands inland,
24 whereas *C. aspera* is more widely distributed (Braun-Blanquet & Maire 1922; Bolòs &
25 Vigo 1995; Mateo & Crespo 2009) (Fig. 1).

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

At the coast of Southeastern Spain, *C. aspera* is represented by *C. aspera* ssp. *stenophylla* (Dufour) Nyman. It grows along the littoral, from Castellón to Murcia, and extends inland (Rigual 1984; Alcaraz *et al.* 1985; Bolòs & Vigo 1995; Mateo & Crespo 2009). In this area, *C. seridis* is represented by two subspecies: *C. seridis* ssp. *maritima* (Duf.) Dostál and *C. seridis* ssp. *cruenta* (Willd.) Dostál (Tutin *et al.* 1976; Bolòs & Vigo 1995; Merle *et al.* 2010). *Centaurea seridis* ssp. *maritima* grows from Almería to Castellón on coastal dunes that frequently exhibit a high level of anthropic disturbance (Garmendia *et al.* 2010; Anthos 2014). Contrarily, *C. seridis* ssp. *cruenta* grows inland, along the valley of the Vinalopó River (Alicante) (Bolòs & Vigo 1995; Maestre 1999).

When the distribution areas of *C. aspera* and *C. seridis* overlap, a polyploid hybrid complex arises. Six contact zones involving *C. aspera* ssp. *stenophylla*, *C. seridis* ssp. *maritima* and their hybrid *C. x subdecurrens* nothosp. *subdecurrens* Mateo & Crespo have been cited. They were found from northern Castellón to Almería, on coastal sand dunes (El Saler, Santa Pola, Guardamar and Calblanque) and on coastal pebble dunes (Marjal dels Moros and Chilches) (Garmendia *et al.* 2010; Ferriol *et al.* 2012; Ferriol *et al.* 2014). In Sax (inner lands of Alicante), *C. aspera* ssp. *stenophylla* and *C. seridis* ssp. *cruenta* also coexist and hybrid individuals *C. x subdecurrens* nothosp. *oblanceolata* Merle *et al.* were observed (Merle *et al.* 2010; Ferriol *et al.* 2014).

The ploidy level of the taxa involved in these seven Spanish hybrid complexes has been previously reported (Ferriol *et al.* 2012; Ferriol *et al.* 2014): all individuals belonging to *C. aspera* ssp. *stenophylla* were diploid; those belonging to *C. x*

1 *subdecurrens* nothosp. *subdecurrens* and nothosp. *oblanceolata* were triploid; and
2 those belonging to *C. seridis* ssp. *maritima* and ssp. *cruenta* were tetraploid (Table 1).
3 Only in the contact zone located at El Saler, morphometric analyses were conducted,
4 showing that flowering characters clearly discriminate the three taxa (Ferriol *et al.*
5 2012)

6
7 In Morocco, *C. aspera* is represented by a single subspecies: *C. aspera* ssp.
8 *gentilii* (Braun-Blanquet & Maire) Dobignard. This taxon is endemic of South-Western
9 Morocco and Gran Canaria Island (Greuter & Raab-Straube 2007). It is common on
10 coastal sandy soils from Essaouira to Tiznit and it advances inland following the Sous
11 valley on sandy soils reaching the surrounding area of Taroudant (Braun-Blanquet &
12 Maire 1922, and pers. orbs.). It belongs to the association *Bubonio imbricati-*
13 *Centaureetum gentilii* Peltier on fixed dunes (Médail & Quézel 1999), growing together
14 with *Argania spinosa* Skeels and *Retama raetam* Webb & Berthel. It is also found on
15 slightly sandy cliffs in association with *Argania spinosa* and *Euphorbia beaumierana*
16 Hook.f. & Coss.

17
18 In Morocco, at least six varieties of *C. seridis* have been described: var.
19 *auriculata* (Bald.) Ball, var. *epapposa* Caballero, var. *calva* Maire & Sauvage, var.
20 *subferox* Pau & Font Quer., var. *pterochaulos* (Pomel) Maire, and var. *oligocentra* Maire
21 (Ball 1878; Caballero 1917; Jahandiez & Maire 1934; Emberger & Maire 1941;
22 González-Bueno 1988). Knowledge about differential characters among these varieties
23 and between these and the Spanish subspecies is scarce. Variety *pterochaulos* and
24 *oligocentra* are currently considered to be synonyms of *Centaurea sphaerocephala* L.
25 Varieties *epapposa* and *subferox* were firstly described and cited in Northeastern

1 Morocco (Melilla and Axdir respectively), and variety *calva* in the Middle Atlas,
2 around 1500 masl, in the Ifrane Valley. *Centaurea seridis* var. *auriculata* is the only
3 variety that was firstly described and cited in the study area, along the littoral near
4 Mazagan. We found it on semi-fixed coastal sand dunes, usually with evidence of
5 grazing and presence of pathways.

6

7 *Ecological characterization*

8 The six Spanish and the Moroccan contact zone habitat traits were evaluated
9 (Table 2). Distance to sea, altitude (meters above sea level), slope , and soil texture
10 (pebble dunes, sand dunes and rocky inner mountain) were recorded for each sampled
11 individual and averaged for each location. Local bioclimates were characterized using
12 Rivas-Martínez (2004) indexes. Thermicity (It), ombrothermic (Io), and simple
13 continentality (Ic) indexes, and annual positive precipitation in millimeters (Pp), were
14 calculated from the thermo-pluviometric data of the nearest weather Stations. In
15 addition, anthropogenic disturbance evidences were also recorded: presence of livestock
16 (Liv), crossing trails (Tra), proximity of roads (Roa), pedestrian tourist influx (Tou), or
17 presence of infrastructures (Inf).

18

19 *Chromosome counts*

20 Chromosomes were photographed and counted at mitotic metaphase in apical
21 root meristems from germinating achenes. Only achenes from clear *C. aspera* and *C.*
22 *seridis* were studied, because morphologically intermediate individuals were found to
23 be sterile. Mature achenes were collected *in situ* from plants included in the
24 morphometric analyses in the Spanish and Moroccan contact zones. Before
25 germination, achenes were disinfected with diluted NaClO (20' in 0.5% active Cl⁻

1 solution) and washed three times (5' each time in distilled water). Seeds were
2 germinated in moistened 9 cm Petri dishes with two layers of sterile filter paper. Petri
3 dishes were kept at room temperature and natural light. Every 5 days, both the distilled
4 water and filter paper were changed. One day before collection, always from 9:30 to
5 10:30 a.m., achenes were watered. Root tips were pre-treated with 8-hydroxyquinoline
6 at 4°C during 4-8h, washed twice (5' each time in distilled water), fixed in absolute
7 ethanol: ferric acetate (3:1) for 24h at 4°C, washed twice and then conserved in ethanol
8 70%. Subsequently, root cells were hydrolyzed for 1h at room temperature in 5N HCL,
9 and washed twice in distilled water before staining. Tips were leaved at least 2h in
10 Schiff reagent in darkness and then the apical part of the root (only the stained pink end)
11 was cut and squashed under a cover glass in a drop of aceto-carmin. At least two
12 achenes per plant and three plants per location were analyzed.

13

14 *Flow cytometry of individuals*

15 Sixty-two individuals were sampled at Zaouiat el Kourati (Morocco) for flow
16 cytometry. Twenty of them were initially identified as *C. aspera* ssp. *gentilii*, 21 as *C.*
17 *seridis* var. *auriculata* and 21 were morphologically intermediate. Leaf samples were
18 sent from the study area by rapid refrigerated transport (approx. 12 hours) to the Flow
19 Cytometry Area of the Instituto Valenciano de Investigaciones Agrarias (IVIA). Ploidy
20 level of Spanish individuals was analyzed in previous studies (Garmendia *et al.* 2010,
21 Ferriol *et al.* 2012, Ferriol *et al.* 2014).

22

23 The methodology described by Aleza *et al.* (2009) was applied. Each sample
24 consisted of a small piece of leaf (~ 0.5 mm²) collected from the plant to be analyzed
25 together with a similar leaf piece taken from a diploid control plant (*C. aspera* ssp.

1 *stenophylla*). Samples were chopped together using a razor blade in the presence of a
2 nuclei isolation solution (High Resolution DNA Kit Type P, solution A; Partec®,
3 Münster, Germany). Nuclei were filtered through a 30-µm nylon filter and stained with
4 a DAPI solution (4,6-diamine-2-phenylindol) (High Resolution DNA Kit Type P,
5 solution B; Partec®). Following a 5-min incubation period, stained samples were run in
6 a CyFlow® Ploidy Analyzer (Partec®) flow cytometer equipped with optical
7 parameters for the detection of DAPI fluorescence. The DNA fluorochrome DAPI was
8 excited by the UV-LED at 365 nm. Histograms were analyzed using the CyView
9 software (Partec®), which determines peak position, coefficient of variation (CV),
10 arithmetic mean and median of the samples.

11

12 *Morphometric analysis*

13 In addition to the previous morphological characterization of *C. aspera* ssp.
14 *stenophylla*, *C. seridis* ssp. *maritima* and *C. x subdecurrens* nothosp. *subdecurrens*
15 growing in El Saler, in the other six Spanish contact zones, 100 individuals were
16 sampled in order to perform morphological analysis (Table 1). In the Moroccan contact
17 zone 24 individuals were collected to perform the same analyses. The morphological
18 traits were evaluated from fresh flowering complete individuals (with caulinar leaves,
19 stems, and capitula) in the field. At least one voucher specimen for each location and
20 taxon was collected for the Herbarium of the Universitat Politècnica de València
21 (VALA). Geographic coordinates were recorded for each individual using G.P.S.
22 (Garmin Oregon 300).

23

24 Thirty-nine quantitative variables were evaluated: 9 corresponded to
25 reproductive traits and 30 to vegetative traits (Table 3). The basal leaves from all

1 studied taxa dried off just before the flowering season and therefore they were not
2 analyzed. Upper leaves were usually entire and sessile, and therefore variables from
3 petioles and lobe number were dismissed. None of the morphologically intermediate
4 individuals and only a few of the measured individuals from *C. aspera* and *C. seridis*
5 had achenes. Consequently the measures from the achenes were not used for
6 multivariate analyses.

7

8 In order to compare the Spanish and Moroccan contact zone, data from the
9 Spanish locations were put together, including Sax. Therefore, *C. seridis* in the Spanish
10 complexes included ssp. *maritima* from coastal localities, as well as ssp. *cruenta* from
11 Sax. Similarly, *C. x subdecurrens* in the Spanish localities included nothosp.
12 *subdecurrens* from the coastal localities, and nothosp. *oblanceolata* from Sax.

13

14 Normality Shapiro-Wilk tests (Royston 1982), and descriptive statistical
15 measures (mean, standard deviation and error, etc.) were computed, as well as Pearson
16 correlation tests between all pairs of variables. Length of involucre (IL) and upper
17 leaves lobe (UAL), were log transformed to meet the normality requirement. ANOVAs
18 and post-hoc Tukey HSD comparisons were calculated for all the variables, both among
19 the Moroccan taxa and between Moroccan and Spanish contact zone. Bonferroni
20 correction (Dunn 1961) was applied to the ANOVAs significance in order to correct the
21 effect of several repeated analyses (Table 4 and supplementary material: Table S1 and
22 Table S2).

23

24 Linear discriminant analysis (LDA), which attempts to maximize differences
25 among *a priori* defined groups, was employed to test the discriminating power of

1 individual characters, both among the Moroccan taxa and between Moroccan and
2 Spanish complexes. Variables were selected among those that were normal, non-
3 correlated and resulted in significant differences in the previous ANOVAs (Table 4).

4
5 To test the accuracy of the discriminant model, a parametric method and a non-
6 parametric k-nearest neighbor algorithm with similar design of cross validation were
7 performed. All analyses were carried out using R (R Core Team 2013), with the
8 following extra libraries: Plotrix library (Lemon 2006), which was used to calculate
9 standard errors, and MASS and class libraries (Venables & Ripley 2002), which were
10 used for discriminant and k-nearest neighbor analyses, respectively.

11 12 **RESULTS**

13 *Distribution and ecological traits of Moroccan and Spanish taxa*

14 The ecology of *C. seridis* and *C. aspera* is very similar in Spain and Morocco.
15 *Centaurea seridis* prefers coastal areas (it is a dune specialist), but it may occasionally
16 appears inland, settling on sandy soils. *Centaurea aspera* has a wider distribution that
17 includes coastal and widespread inland areas. It usually prefers loose sandy soils but can
18 occur on any type of soil. When the distribution area of these two taxa overlaps, both in
19 Spain and Morocco a contact zone with intermediate forms can arise. In the study area
20 of Morocco, *C. seridis* var. *auriculata* was distributed northern, from Safi to Essaouira.
21 Contrarily *C. aspera* ssp. *gentilii* was distributed from Zaouiat to the south (Fig.1).
22 Thus, from Safi to Tiznit we only found one contact zone close to Zaouiat el Kourati
23 (Fig.1 and Table 1). In Spain the overlap area is much larger than in Morocco, therefore
24 more contact zones were found (Garmendia *et al.* 2010). Nevertheless, we cannot rule

1 out the emergence of new contact areas in Morocco, since only part of the territory has
2 been prospected.

3

4 The 8 contact zones appeared to have similar ecological features (Table 2). All
5 were thermo-mediterranean (except for Sax), being Zaouiat el Kourati the warmest ($I_t=$
6 446), but close to the rest (El Saler, $I_t= 395$). Ombrothermic belt varied from upper
7 semiarid (Guardamar del Segura, $I_o= 1.3$) to low dry (El Saler, $I_o= 2.5$). Zaouiat el
8 Kourati highlighted as hyper oceanic ($I_c= 7.5$) while the other locations were oceanic
9 ($I_c= 14.5$ to 16.7). Positive annual rainfall varied from 271 to 536 mm, being 330 mm in
10 Zaouiat el Kourati. All locations had strong evidence of disturbance. In Zaouiat el
11 Kourati grazing was one of the most significant disturbances, while Spanish polyploid
12 complexes were mainly disturbed by tourism, but also grazing in Marjal dels Moros and
13 Chilches. Sandy soils and semi-fixed coastal dunes were the usual habitats in both
14 countries, but in Spain contact zones also appeared in pebble coastal dunes (Chilches
15 and Marjal dels Moros) and inland mountainous slopes (Sax).

16

17 *Chromosome counts*

18 *C. aspera* and *C. seridis* chromosomes were counted. In Spain *C. aspera* ssp.
19 *stenophylla* had 22 chromosomes ($2x=22$) (Fig. 2, A-B), and *C. seridis* ssp. *maritima*
20 had 44 chromosomes ($4x=44$) (Fig. 2, C-D). In Morocco, *C. aspera* ssp. *gentilii* and *C.*
21 *seridis* var. *auriculata* had 44 chromosomes ($4x=44$) (Fig. 2, E-H). No chromosome
22 counts were performed to the morphologically intermediate individuals because none of
23 them produced achenes.

24

25 *Flow cytometry*

1 Ploidy levels were estimated using flow cytometry (Fig. 3). Diploid ($2x=22$),
2 triploid ($3x=33$) and tetraploid ($4x=44$) individuals were identified among the studied
3 populations (Fig. 3). Spanish taxa were previously reported (Table 1). All the 62
4 individuals analyzed but one, included in the Moroccan contact zone, were tetraploids,
5 independently from the taxonomical adscription (*C. aspera* ssp. *gentilii*, *C. seridis* var.
6 *auriculata*, and the morphologically intermediate individuals between both species)
7 (Table 1). However, although populations of *C. aspera* ssp. *gentilii* and *C. seridis* var.
8 *auriculata* were homogeneous with respect to ploidy level for this location, the
9 morphologically intermediate individuals included one triploid and twenty tetraploids.

11 *Morphological analysis of Moroccan taxa*

12 ANOVAs, after Bonferroni correction, showed that the variables that best
13 separated the three Moroccan taxa were the flowering traits: involucre maximum width
14 (IW), involucre proportional roundness (IR), spine maximum length (SL) and number
15 of interior flowers (NI); and the vegetative traits: medium leaves internodal length (MI),
16 upper leaves leaf roundness (UP), upper leaves apical lobe width (UAW) and medium
17 leaves apical lobe width (MAW) (Table 4).

18
19 Although high correlation values were observed between several pairs of
20 variables within taxon, these correlations disappeared when analyzed among taxa. For
21 *C. seridis* var. *auriculata*, three of the four flowering variables were highly correlated:
22 IW ($R=0.95$, $p=0.0011$), IR ($R=0.97$, $p=0.00036$) and SL ($R=0.93$, $p=0.0026$). For *C.*
23 *aspera* ssp. *gentilii* this correlation was only maintained between IW and IR ($R=0.85$,
24 $p=0.008$) and there also was a high correlation between IW and NI ($R=0.92$, $p=0.0011$)
25 For the intermediate individuals, high correlation values were found between SL and IR

1 (R=0.80,p=0.018), SL and IW (R=0.84, p=0.0089), and IW and NI (R=0.78, p=0.024).
2 Therefore, all these flowering variables were useful for the multivariate analysis among
3 Moroccan taxa.

4

5 UW and UAW were highly correlated both within and among taxa and
6 consequently, UW was removed in subsequent analyses. There were only a few
7 significant correlations between the selected vegetative variables. The correlation
8 between MI and MAW (R=0.85, p=0.008) was significant for *C. aspera* ssp. *gentilii*,
9 but not for the other taxa.

10

11 The discriminant analysis clearly separated *C. aspera*, *C. seridis* and the
12 intermediate individuals from Moroccan contact zone (Fig. 4). The model obtained was:
13 $lda1 = 0.06033 IW + 0.0256 IR + 0.4488 SL + 0.1495 NI + 0.7828 MI + 0.9288 UP$
14 $+ 0.5456 UAW + 0.2061 MAW$, with a trace proportion of 0.6479, and $lda2 = -5.206 IW$
15 $+ 1.398 IR + 4.416 SL + 1.996 NI - 0.5246 MI - 0.5646 UP - 1.927 UAW + 0.5005 MAW$,
16 with a trace proportion of 0.3521. Nevertheless there was some overlapping,
17 highlighting the proximity between phenotypes. The apparent error rate was 0, the cross
18 validated error rate was 0.091 and the k-nearest neighbor cross validated error rate was
19 0.273.

20

21 *Morphological comparison of the Moroccan and Spanish taxa*

22 After Bonferroni correction of the ANOVAs, the variables that best separated *C.*
23 *aspera* ssp. *stenophylla* and *C. aspera* ssp. *gentilii* were the lengths of the capitulum
24 (CL), involucre (IL), upper leave (UL), and upper leave lobe (UAL), which were
25 significantly larger in *C. aspera* ssp. *gentilii* (Table S2). As expected, there was a high

1 correlation between CL and IL and between UL and UAL in both subspecies ($R > 0.84$,
2 $p < 0.004$). Therefore only IL and UAL, were selected for the discriminant analysis.

3

4 The number of spines (NS) was lower in the Moroccan *C. seridis* var. *auriculata*
5 (5-7) than in the Spanish *C. seridis* ssp. *maritima* (7-11). The plant height (HP), and the
6 proportion of the internodes occupied by decurrence in upper (UDP) and medium leaves
7 (MDP), were significantly lower in *C. seridis* var. *auriculata*, whereas the upper leaves
8 and apical lobe lengths (UL and UAL respectively), as well as the stalk width of the
9 medium leaves (MSW), were higher. UL and UAL were highly correlated and therefore
10 UAL was chosen for the discriminant analysis. Surprisingly, the number of spines per
11 bract (NS) and UAL were also correlated in both *C. seridis* taxa, saying that the plants
12 with more involucral spines, also had longer upper leaves ($R=0.96$, $p=0.0005$ in
13 Morocco and $R=0.48$, $p=0.0034$ in Spain) (Table S1). Therefore, the selected variables
14 for the multivariate analysis were $\ln(IL)$, $\ln(UAL)$, NS, UDP, MDP and $\ln(UAL)$. Some
15 of them were log transformed to meet normality requirements.

16

17 In the discriminant analysis using morphological data from both Spanish and
18 Moroccan taxa the first axis separated *C. aspera* from *C. seridis* in both countries, being
19 *C. x subdecurrens* and the morphologically intermediate Moroccan individuals in a
20 central position between *C. aspera* and *C. seridis*. The second axis separated Spanish
21 individuals from those from Morocco (Fig. 5).

22

23 The models for the first and second axes were $lda1 = -0.6362 CL + 0.805 \ln(IL) -$
24 $0.87 IW + 0.8417 IR + 0.4022 SL - 0.1966 NS + 0.09341 NI + 0.6504 MI + 0.2972 UDP$
25 $+ 1.197 MDP + 0.1054 UP - 0.5905 \ln(UAL) + 0.1872 UAW$, and $lda2 = -2.624 CL$

1 +2.835 ln(IL) -1.092 IW -0.5919 IR +1.016 SL -0.1082 NS +0.0656 NI +0.5478 MI
2 +0.6082 UDP -0.6518 MDP -0.6325 UP -0.3002 ln(UAL) -0.2768 UAW, with a trace
3 proportions of 0.6150 and 0.2982 respectively.

4

5 **DISCUSSION**

6 *Autopolyploid and allopolyploid origin of parentals*

7 The classification of polyploids into the two major categories of autopolyploids
8 and allopolyploids is still debated (Clausen *et al.* 1945; Ramsey & Schemske 1998;
9 Parisod *et al.* 2010; Mlinarec *et al.* 2012). Autopolyploids are traditionally considered to
10 arise within a single species by doubling of structurally similar, homologous genomes
11 (AAAA), and show multivalent formation during meiosis. In contrast, allopolyploids
12 arise via interspecific hybridization and subsequent doubling of nonhomologous
13 genomes (AABB). They form bivalents during meiosis, as nonhomologous
14 chromosomes do not pair (Jackson 1982; Ramsey & Schemske 2002; Parisod *et al.*
15 2010). This classification is now considered over simplistic, as it draws a somewhat
16 arbitrary division through a continuum of degrees of divergence between parents
17 involved in crossing and polyploid formation (Stebbins 1971; Abbott *et al.* 2013).

18

19 Indistinguishable field phenotypes have been frequently used as a diagnostic
20 trait to differentiate autopolyploids from allopolyploids (Hardy *et al.* 2000; Soltis *et al.*
21 2007; Garcia-Jacas *et al.* 2009). In fact, many studies showed that in both synthetic and
22 natural polyploids, interspecific hybridization is to trigger substantial reorganization of
23 the gene expression network, while genome doubling reforms it to a state similar to that
24 of its diploid progenitors (Rieseberg 2001; Riddle & Birchler 2003; Hegarty *et al.* 2006;
25 Parisod *et al.* 2010; Xu *et al.* 2012).

1

2 In this case, *C. aspera* ssp. *stenophylla* resulted to be diploid ($2x=22$) and *C.*
3 *aspera* ssp. *gentilii* resulted to be tetraploid ($4x=44$) (Fig. 2), according with other
4 published data (Vogt & Oberprieler 2008). Phenotypes of *C. aspera* ssp. *stenophylla*
5 and ssp. *gentilii* were indistinguishable in the field, despite the small differences showed
6 by the morphometric analysis. Consequently, we can consider *C. aspera* ssp. *gentilii*, a
7 true autopolyploid of the diploid *C. aspera sensu lato* (AA).

8

9 *Centaurea seridis* ssp. *maritima* ($4x$) and ssp. *cruenta* ($4x$), have already been
10 pointed out as allopolyploids (AABB), being *C. aspera* ($2x$) (AA) one of the parentals
11 (Ferriol *et al.* 2014). *Centaurea seridis* var. *auriculata* was also tetraploid ($4x$) (Fig. 2)
12 and most likely an allopolyploid, considering that its general phenotype coincided with
13 *C. seridis s. l.* (including subspecies and varieties).

14

15 The morphologically intermediate Moroccan individuals appeared in the
16 discriminant analysis in a equidistant position between *C. aspera* ssp. *gentilii* and *C.*
17 *seridis* var. *auriculata* (Fig. 4). The phenotype of this taxon also coincided with *C. x*
18 *subdecurrens* (Fig. 5), previously confirmed as a triploid F1 hybrid between *C. aspera*
19 ssp. *stenophylla* and *C. seridis* ssp. *maritima* (Ferriol *et al.* 2012). In addition, all these
20 individuals were sterile. These evidences allow us to consider those individuals as
21 hybrids between *C. aspera* ssp. *gentilii* and *C. seridis* var. *auriculata*.

22

23 It is exceptional to find a contact zone between an auto and an allotetraploid
24 originated from the same parental (*C. aspera*), growing in sympatry, and generating
25 sterile hybrids. To our knowledge, this mechanism has not been previously reported.

1 Putative evolutionary advantages of auto or allopolyploids have been long discussed
2 (Parisod *et al.* 2010). For a long time, autopolyploids were believed to suffer from
3 several evolutionary disadvantages when compared with allopolyploids, and this led
4 Clausen *et al.* (1945) and Stebbins (1971) to propose that autopolyploids are rare and
5 represent evolutionary dead-ends. However, *C. seridis* (allopolyploid) and *C. aspera*
6 *ssp. gentilii* (autopolyploid) occupy large areas and are both well established. Therefore,
7 nothing suggests that allo or autopolyploid strategy *per se* gives advantages, according
8 with recent studies (Parisod *et al.* 2010).

9

10 *Triploid / tetraploid block*

11 The term ‘triploid block’ has been defined as the difficulty of obtaining viable
12 triploid seeds by diploid-tetraploid and tetraploid-diploid crosses (Marks 1966).
13 Triploid embryos may die because of abnormal endosperm development, due to non-
14 viable ploidies of an embryo and/or its associated endosperm (Satina *et al.* 1938; Marks
15 1966, Ramsey & Schemske 1998). However, in the Spanish contact zones, the triploid
16 block is taking place later, during gamete formation by the well developed triploid.

17

18 Ferriol *et al.* (2012) found that the diploid *C. aspera ssp. stenophylla* (AA), and
19 the allotetraploid *C. seridis ssp. maritima* or *ssp. cruenta* (AABB) formed sterile
20 triploid hybrids *C x subdecurrens* (AAB, from reduced gamete A and AB, respectively).
21 These triploids (AAB) developed vigorously but were sterile, probably due to
22 asymmetric segregation of alleles during meiosis that led to a high frequency of
23 aneuploid gametes (Darlington 1937; Ramsey & Schemske 1998).

24

1 In the Moroccan contact zone, parentals are presumably the autotetraploid *C.*
2 *aspera* ssp. *gentilii* (AAAA), and the allotetraploid *C. seridis* var. *auriculata* (AABB).
3 Like in the Spanish contact zones, natural hybrids between these two taxa are very
4 frequent, but their ploidy level was mostly tetraploid with only one triploid (discussed
5 after).

6
7 Generally, in *Centaurea*, hybrids resulting from crosses of taxa with the same
8 ploidy level are fertile and capable of backcrossing, whereas hybrids resulting from
9 crosses of taxa with different ploidy level are rare and sterile (Štěpánek & Koutecký
10 2004). Consequently, these tetraploid hybrids were supposed to be fertile. However, we
11 observed no capitula with achenes. This sterility could be explained by the formation of
12 aneuploid gametes by the tetraploid hybrid with a genome (AAAB), formed through the
13 fusion of the reduced gamete AA (from ssp. *gentilii*) and AB (from var. *auriculata*).
14 When compared with the Spanish triploids, these tetraploid hybrids developed also
15 vigorously, but have the same identical meiotic irregularities, due to their odd number
16 of homologous chromosomes. Consequently this tetraploid hybrid may be acting as a
17 block, exerting the same role as the Spanish triploids. Such a model of “tetraploid
18 block” has not been previously described.

19
20 *One triploid in the tetraploid hybrid Moroccan population.*

21 Although, individuals of *C. aspera* ssp. *gentilii* and *C. seridis* var. *auriculata* in
22 Zaouiat el Kourati were all tetraploids, the hybrid population included one triploid
23 individual and 20 tetraploids. Preliminary studies suggested that Moroccan populations
24 of *C. aspera* ssp. *gentilii* may contain very few diploid individuals (data not showed).
25 Probably, a diploid *C. aspera* ssp. *gentilii* individual unsampled at Zaouiat el Kourati

1 would explain the formation of the triploid hybrid within the Moroccan complex.
2 Triploid (3x), pentaploid (5x) and hexaploid (6x) cytotypes have been observed at very
3 low frequencies in other *Centaurea* complexes (Hardy *et al.* 2000; Koutecký *et al.*
4 2012; Mráz *et al.* 2012)

5

6 *Morphological proximity and ecological consequences in Centaurea contact areas*

7 Morphologically, the linear discriminant analysis showed that the three taxa
8 involved in the Spanish complexes were more differentiated than those in the Moroccan
9 contact zone (Fig. 5). In Spain, an exclusion effect of the minor tetraploid cytotype
10 could be acting due to the presence of a triploid block (Ferriol *et al.* 2012). However,
11 spatial segregation and habitat specificity could counteract this cytotype minority effect
12 and promote the survival of the two ploidy levels in mixed populations (Ferriol *et al.*
13 2012; Ferriol *et al.* 2014).

14

15 The morphological similarity of the three taxa was higher in Morocco than in
16 Spain and appears as a continuum (Fig. 5). Particularly, *C. seridis* var. *auriculata* is the
17 most similar *C. seridis* taxon to *C. aspera*, since it only has five spines in the involucre
18 bracts (var. *maritima* has 11) and not very large capitula. Niche specificity is correlated
19 with phenotype variations that are adapted to the specific requirements for each habitat
20 (Sultan 1987; Scheiner 1993). This morphological continuum may indicate the
21 possibility of less habitat specificity and therefore a most direct competition among
22 taxa.

23

24 *New Moroccan hybrid taxonomic adscription*

1 *Centaurea aspera* ssp. *gentilii* differs from ssp. *stenophylla* mainly in their
2 ploidy level and their distinct geographical distributions (Morocco and Spain
3 respectively), therefore it can be treated as autonomous taxa at least at infraspecific
4 level. *Centaurea seridis* var. *auriculata* differs widely from *C. seridis* ssp. *maritima* or
5 ssp. *cruenta* as supported by morphological data. The use of distinct taxonomic
6 categories at infraspecific level (variety or subspecies) is due to the different authors
7 criteria (Dostál and Ball) but not based on the magnitude of the divergences. Therefore,
8 the rare tetraploid hybrid between *C. aspera* ssp. *gentilii* and *C. seridis* var. *auriculata*
9 is described, named and cited for the first time in the present study:

10

11 *Centaurea x subdecurrens* Pau in proceedings Soc. Esp. Hist. Nat. 27: 198.
12 1898 (*C. aspera x C. seridis*) **nothosp. paucispinus** Ferriol M, Merle H & Garmendia
13 A, nothosp. nov. (*C. aspera* ssp. *gentilii x C. seridis* var. *auriculata*).
14 *Holotypus*: Drier (Morocco): Zaouiat el Kourati, near the road between Essaouira to
15 Safí (R301), N31 42.837 W9 38.402, 63 m, semi-fixed coastal dune, inter parentes, 24-
16 VI-2011, *Leg. et Det. Merle H, Ferriol M & Garmendia A* (VALA 9519).

17

18 The nothosp. *paucispinus* differs from other nothosp. of *C. x subdecurrens* in
19 the geographical distribution and in the modal number of spines of the involucre bracts,
20 5 (3-7) in nothosp. *paucispinus*, and 7 (5-9) in nothosp. *oblanceolata* and nothosp.
21 *subdecurrens*. Nothosubspecies *paucispinus* displays intermediate morphological
22 characters between parentals, and sometimes it is difficult to differentiate them.
23 Complete sterility of the capitula and a strong hybrid vigor are differential traits.

24

1 Many times in genus *Centaurea* it has been emphasized that the morphological
2 variability of hybrid is enormous, especially with respect to the key determination
3 character, the shape of appendages of involucre bracts (Vanderhoeven *et al.* 2002;
4 Koutecký *et al.* 2011). In this case, noting the normal distribution and standard
5 deviation of taxa in the discriminant analysis, the morphological variability of both
6 hybrids (Spanish and Moroccan) is similar to the variability of its parent (Fig. 5).

7

8 **SUPPORTING INFORMATION**

9 Additional Supporting Information may be found in the online version of this article:

10 Table S1. ANOVAs of the morphometric variables among *Centaurea aspera*

11 Table S2. ANOVAs of the morphometric variables comparing *Centaurea seridis*

12

13 **REFERENCES**

- 14 Abbott R., Albach D., Ansell S., Arntzen J.W., Baird S.J.E., Bierne N., Boughman J.W.,
15 Brelsford A., Buerkle C.A., Buggs R., Butlin R.K., Dieckmann U.,
16 Eroukhmanoff F., Grill A., Cahan S.H., Hermansen J.S., Hewitt G., Hudson
17 A.G., Jiggins C., Jones J., Keller B., Marczewski T., Mallet J., Martinez-
18 Rodriguez P., Moest M., Mullen S., Nichols R., Nolte A.W., Parisod C., Pfennig
19 K., Rice A.M., Ritchie M.G., Seifert B., Smadja C.M., Stelkens R., Szymura
20 J.M., Vainola R., Wolf J.B.W., Zinner D. (2013) Hybridization and speciation.
21 *Journal of Evolutionary Biology*, **26**, 229–246.
- 22 Alcaraz F., Garre M., Sánchez-Gómez P. (1985) Catálogo de la flora cormofítica de los
23 sistemas de dunas litorales comprendidos entre Santa Pola y Calblanque (SE de
24 España). *Anales de Biología*, **6**, 79–87.
- 25 Aleza P., Juarez J., Ollitrault P., Navarro L. (2009) Production of tetraploid plants of

- 1 non apomictic *Citrus* genotypes. *Plant Cell Reports*, **28**, 1837–1846.
- 2 Anthos (2014) Sistema de información de las plantas de España, Real jardín Botánico,
3 CSIC - Fundación Biodiversidad Website. <http://www.anthos.es/> (accessed 20
4 January 2014).
- 5 Arnelas I., Devesa J. A. (2011) Revisión taxonómica de *Centaurea* sect. *Jacea* (Mill.)
6 Pers. (Asteraceae) en la Península Ibérica. *Acta Botánica Malacitana*, **36**, 33–88.
- 7 Ball J. (1878) Spicilegium Flora Marocana. *Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society*,
8 **16**, 473–568.
- 9 Blair A.C., Huffbauer R.A. (2010) Hybridization and invasion: one of North America's
10 most devastating invasive plants shows evidence for a history of interspecific
11 hybridization. *Evolutionary Applications*, **3**, 40–51.
- 12 Bolòs O., Vigo J. (1995) *Flora dels Països Catalans vol. III*. Editorial Barcino,
13 Barcelona, Spain, pp 940.
- 14 Bosch J., Retana J., Cerda X. (1997) Flowering phenology, floral traits and pollinator
15 composition in a herbaceous Mediterranean plant community. *Oecologia*, **109**,
16 583–591.
- 17 Braun-Blanquet J., Maire R. (1922) Contributions á l'étude de la flore marocaine. Fase
18 2. *Bulletin de la Société d'Histoire Naturelle de l'Afrique du Nord Algiers*, **13**,
19 188–189.
- 20 Bretagnolle F., Thompson J.D. (1995) Tansley review no 78. Gametes with the somatic
21 chromosome number: mechanisms of their formation and role in the evolution of
22 autopolyploid plants. *New Phytologist*, **129**, 1–22.
- 23 Caballero A. (1917) Excursión botánica a Melilla en 1915. *Trabajos del Museo Nacional*
24 *de Ciencias Naturales. Serie Botánica*, **11**, pp 34.

- 1 Clausen J., Keck D.D., Hiesey W.M. (1945) *Experimental studies on the nature of*
2 *species. II. Plant evolution through amphiploidy and autopolyploidy, with*
3 *examples from the Madiinae*. Carnegie Institute of Washington, Washington
4 D.C., USA, pp 287–288.
- 5 Darlington C.D. (1937) *Recent Advances in Cytology, 2nd ed.* London, UK.
- 6 Dunn O.J. (1961) Multiple comparisons among means. *Journal of the American*
7 *Statistical Association*, **56**, 52–62.
- 8 Emberger L., Maire R. (1941). *Catalogue des plantes du Maroc (Spermatophytes et*
9 *Ptéridophytes) Vol IV. Supplément aux volumes I, II et III*. Algiers, Morocco,
10 pp 1160.
- 11 Ferriol M., Garmendia A., Ruiz J.J., Merle H., Boira H. (2012) Morphological and
12 molecular analysis of natural hybrids between the diploid *Centaurea aspera* L.
13 and the tetraploid *C. seridis* L. (Compositae). *Plant Biosystems*, **146**, 86–100.
- 14 Ferriol M., Merle H., Garmendia A. (2014). Microsatellite evidence for low genetic
15 diversity and reproductive isolation in tetraploid *Centaurea seridis* (Asteraceae)
16 coexisting with diploid *C. aspera* and triploid hybrids in contact zones.
17 *Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society*, in press.
- 18 Gao J.Y., Liu Q., Li Q.J. (2014) The comparative reproductive biology of a tetraploid
19 species, *Hedychium villosum*, and its diploid progenitor *H. tenuiflorum*
20 (Zingiberaceae). *Plant Biology*, **16**, 683-689.
- 21 García-Jacas N., Soltis P.S., Font M., Soltis D.E., Vilatersana R., Susanna A. (2009)
22 The polyploid series of *Centaurea toletana*: Glacial migrations and introgression
23 revealed by nrDNA and cpDNA sequence analyzes. *Molecular Phylogenetics*
24 *and Evolution*, **52**, 377–394.

- 1 Gardou C. (1972) Recherches biosystématiques sur la section *Jacea* Cass. et quelques
2 sections voisines du genre *Centaurea* L. en France et dans les régions
3 limitrophes. *Feddes Repertorium*, **83**, 311–472.
- 4 Garmendia A., Merle H., Segura I., Ferriol M. (2010) Biogeografía de *Centaurea x*
5 *subdecurrens* Pau nothosubsp. *subdecurrens* como indicador del estado de
6 degradación de las dunas litorales del levante español. In: Giménez P., Marco
7 J.A., Matarredona E., Padilla A., Sánchez A. (Eds.), *Biogeografía: una ciencia*
8 *para la conservación del medio*. Universitat d’Alacant, Alicante, Spain: 463–
9 469.
- 10 González-Bueno A. (1988) Les campanyes botàniques de Pius Font i Quer al Nord
11 d’Africa. *Treballs de l’Institut Botànic de Barcelona*, **12**, pp 89.
- 12 Greuter W., Raab-Straube E. (2007) Euro+Med Notulae, 3. *Willdenowia*, **37**, 139–189.
- 13 Hardy O.J., De Loose M., Vekemans X., Meerts P. (2001) Allozyme segregation and
14 inter-cytotype reproductive barriers in the polyploid complex *Centaurea jacea*.
15 *Heredity*, **87**, 136–145.
- 16 Hardy O.J., Vanderhoeven S., De Loose M., Meerts P. (2000) Ecological,
17 morphological and allozymic differentiation between diploid and tetraploid
18 knapweeds (*Centaurea jacea*) from a contact zone in the Belgian Ardennes. *New*
19 *Phytologist*, **146**, 281–290.
- 20 Hegarty M.J., Barker G.L., Wilson I.D., Abbott R.J., Edwards K.J., Hiscock S.J. (2006)
21 Transcriptome shock after interspecific hybridization in *Senecio* is ameliorated
22 by genome duplication. *Current Biology*, **16**, 1652–1659.
- 23 Hellwig F.H. (2004) Centaureinae (Asteraceae) in the Mediterranean: history of
24 ecogeographical radiation. *Plant Systematics and Evolution*, **246**, 137–162.
- 25 Jackson R.C. (1982) Polyploidy and diploidy: new perspectives on chromosome-pairing

- 1 and its evolutionary implications. *American Journal of Botany*, **69**, 1512–1523.
- 2 Jahandiez É., Maire R. (1934) *Catalogue des plantes du Maroc (Spermatophytes et*
3 *Ptéridophytes) Vol III. Supplément aux volumes I et II*. Algiers, Morocco, pp
4 816.
- 5 Jiao Y., Wickett N.J., Ayyampalayam S., Chanderbali A.S., Landherr L., Ralph P.E.,
6 Tomsho L.P., Hu Y., Liang H., Soltis P.S., Soltis D.E., Clifton S.W.,
7 Schlarbaum S.E., Schuster S.C., Ma H., Leebens-Mack J., dePamphilis C.W.
8 (2011) Ancestral polyploidy in seed plants and angiosperms. *Nature*, **473**, 97–
9 U113.
- 10 Koutecký P. (2007) Morphological and ploidy level variation of *Centaurea phrygia* agg.
11 (Asteraceae) in the Czech Republic, Slovakia and Ukraine. *Folia Geobotanica*,
12 **42**, 77–102.
- 13 Koutecký P. (2012) A diploid drop in the tetraploid ocean: hybridization and long-term
14 survival of a singular population of *Centaurea weldeniana* Rchb. (Asteraceae), a
15 taxon new to Austria. *Plant Systematics and Evolution*, **298**, 1349–1360.
- 16 Koutecký P., Badurova T., Stech M., Kosnar J., Karasek J. (2011) Hybridization
17 between diploid *Centaurea pseudophrygia* and tetraploid *C. jacea* (Asteraceae):
18 the role of mixed pollination, unreduced gametes, and mentor effects. *Biological*
19 *Journal of the Linnean Society*, **104**, 93–106.
- 20 Koutecký P., Stepanek J., Bad'urova T. (2012) Differentiation between diploid and
21 tetraploid *Centaurea phrygia*: mating barriers, morphology and geographic
22 distribution. *Preslia*, **84**, 1–32.
- 23 Lemon J. (2006) Plotrix: a package in the red light district of R. *R-News*, **6**, 8–12.
- 24 Lumaret R. (1988) Adaptive strategies and ploidy levels. *Acta Oecologica-Oecologia*
25 *Plantarum*, **9**, 83–93.

- 1 Madlung A. (2013) Polyploidy and its effect on evolutionary success: old questions
2 revisited with new tools. *Heredity*, **110**, 99–104.
- 3 Maestre F.T. (1999) Notas sobre la flora vascular endémica de Sax (Alicante).
4 *Blancoana*, **16**, 3–21.
- 5 Marks G.E. (1966) The origin and significance of intraspecific polyploidy: experimental
6 evidence from *Solanum chacoense*. *Evolution*, **20**, 552–557.
- 7 Mateo G., Crespo M.B. (2009) *Manual para la determinación de la flora valenciana*,
8 *4th ed.* Monografías Flora Montibérica 5, Valencia, Spain, pp128.
- 9 Médail F., Quézel P. (1999) The phytogeographical significance of SW Morocco
10 compared to the Canary Islands. *Plant Ecology*, **140**, 221–244.
- 11 Merle H., Garmendia A., Ferriol M. (2010) Nuevo híbrido del género *Centaurea* L.
12 (Compositae) sección Seridia (Juss.) Czerep. *Flora Montibérica*, **44**, 66–71.
- 13 Mlinarec J., Satovic Z., Mihelj D., Malenica N., Besendorfer V. (2012) Cytogenetic and
14 phylogenetic studies of diploid and polyploid members of Tribe Anemoninae
15 (Ranunculaceae). *Plant Biology*, **14**, 525–536.
- 16 Mráz P., García-Jacas N., Gex-Fabry E., Susanna A., Barres L., Mueller-Schaerer H.
17 (2012) Allopolyploid origin of highly invasive *Centaurea stoebe* s.l.
18 (Asteraceae). *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution*, **62**, 612–623.
- 19 Otto S.P. (2007) The evolutionary consequences of polyploidy. *Cell*, **131**, 452–462.
- 20 Parisod C., Holderegger R., Brochmann C. (2010) Evolutionary consequences of
21 autopolyploidy. *New Phytologist*, **186**, 5–17.
- 22 Pisanu S., Mameli G., Farris E., Binelli G., Filigheddu R. (2011) A natural homoploid
23 hybrid between *Centaurea horrida* and *Centaurea filiformis* (Asteraceae) as
24 revealed by morphological and genetic traits. *Folia Geobotanica*, **46**, 69–86.

- 1 R Core Team (2013) *R: A language and environment for statistical computing*. R
2 Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. URL [http://www.R-](http://www.R-project.org/)
3 [project.org/](http://www.R-project.org/)
- 4 Ramsey J., Schemske D.W. (1998) Pathways, mechanisms, and rates of polyploid
5 formation in flowering plants. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics*, **29**,
6 467–501.
- 7 Ramsey J., Schemske D.W. (2002) Neopolyploidy in flowering plants. *Annual Review*
8 *of Ecology and Systematics*, **33**, 589–639.
- 9 Riddle N.C., Birchler J.A. (2003) Effects of reunited diverged regulatory hierarchies in
10 allopolyploids and species hybrids. *Trends in Genetics*, **19**, 597–600.
- 11 Rieseberg L.H. (2001) Chromosomal rearrangements and speciation. *Trends in Ecology*
12 *and Evolution*, **16**, 351–358.
- 13 Rigual A. (1984) *Flora y vegetación de la provincial de Alicante (el paisaje vegetal*
14 *alicantino)*. Instituto de Estudios Juan Gil Albert, Alicante, Spain.
- 15 Rivas-Martínez S. (2004) *Global Bioclimatics (Clasificación bioclimática de la Tierra)*.
16 Phytosociological Research Center, University Complutense of Madrid, Madrid,
17 Spain. URL <http://www.globalbioclimatics.org/book/bioc/> (accessed 19
18 February. 2014).
- 19 Romaschenko K., Ertugrul K., Susanna A., García-Jacas N., Uysal T., Arslan E. (2004)
20 New chromosome counts in the *Centaurea Jacea* group (Asteraceae, Cardueae)
21 and some related taxa. *Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society*, **145**, 345–352.
- 22 Royston J.P. (1982) An extension of shapiro and wilk's w test for normality to large
23 samples. *Applied Statistics-Journal of the Royal Statistical Society Series C*, **31**,
24 115–124.
- 25 Satina S., Blakeslee A.F., Avery A.G. (1938) Chromosome behavior in triploid datura

- 1 III The seed. *American Journal of Botany*, **25**, 595–602.
- 2 Scheiner S.M. (1993) Genetics and evolution of phenotypic plasticity. *Annual Review of*
3 *Ecology and Systematics*, **24**, 35–68.
- 4 Sijak-Yakovev S., Solic M.E., Catrice O., Brown S.C., Papes D. (2005) Nuclear DNA
5 content and chromosome number in some diploid and tetraploid *Centaurea*
6 (Asteraceae : Cardueae) from the Dalmatia region. *Plant Biology*, **7**, 397-404.
- 7 Soltis D.E., Soltis P.S., Schemske D.W., Hancock J.F., Thompson J.N., Husband B.C.,
8 Judd W.S. (2007) Autopolyploidy in angiosperms: have we grossly
9 underestimated the number of species? *Taxon*, **56**, 13–30.
- 10 Soltis P.S., Soltis D.E. (2009) The role of hybridization in plant speciation. *Annual*
11 *Review of Plant Biology*, **60**, 561–588.
- 12 Spaniel S., Marhold K., Hodalova I., Lihova J. (2008) Diploid and tetraploid cytotypes
13 of *Centaurea stoebe* (Asteraceae) in Central Europe: Morphological
14 differentiation and cytotype distribution patterns. *Folia Geobotanica*, **43**, 131–
15 158.
- 16 Stebbins G.L. (1971). *Chromosomal Evolution in Higher Plants*. Edward Arnold,
17 London, UK.
- 18 Štěpánek J., Koutecký P. (2004) *Centaurea* L. In: Slavík B., Štěpánková J. (Eds),
19 *Květena České republiky 7*. Academia, Prague, Czech Republic: 426–449.
- 20 Sultan S.E. (1987) Evolutionary implications of phenotypic plasticity in plants.
21 *Evolutionary Biology*, **21**, 127–178.
- 22 Tutin T.G., Heywood V.H., Burges N.A., Valentine D.H. (1976) *Flora Europaea vol 4:*
23 *Plantaginaceae-Compositae (and Rubiaceae)*. Cambridge University Press,
24 Cambridge, UK.
- 25 Vanderhoeven S., Hardy O., Vekemans X., Lefebvre C., de Loose M., Lambinon J.,

- 1 Meerts P. (2002) A morphometric study of populations of the *Centaurea jacea*
2 complex (Asteraceae) in Belgium. *Plant Biology*, **4**, 403–412.
- 3 Venables W.N., Ripley B.D. (2002) *Modern Applied Statistics with S. Fourth Edition.*
4 Springer, New York, USA.
- 5 Vogt R., Oberprieler Ch. (2008) Chromosome numbers of North African phanerogams
6 VIII. More counts in Compositae. *Willdenowia*, **38**, 497–519.
- 7 Xu Y., Zhao Q., Mei S., Wang J. (2012) Genomic and transcriptomic alterations
8 following hybridisation and genome doubling in trigenomic allohexaploid
9 *Brassica carinata* x *Brassica rapa*. *Plant Biology*, **14**, 734-744.
- 10

1 Figure legends

2

3 Fig. 1. Geographical distribution of the studied taxa. Dots represent the observed
4 individuals (own data) and the bibliography citations data. The colored or white areas
5 represent the approximate distribution of the taxa according to all the data available.

6

7 Fig. 2. Metaphase of root-tip mitoses. A-D: Spanish contact zone; A-B: *Centaurea*
8 *aspera* ssp. *stenophylla* ($2x=22$); C-D: *C. seridis* ssp. *maritima* ($2x=44$). E-H: Moroccan
9 contact zone; E-F: *C. aspera* ssp. *gentilii* ($2x=44$); G-H: *C. seridis* var. *auriculata*
10 ($2x=44$).

11

12 Fig. 3. Histograms of the relative fluorescence (DAPI staining) obtained through flow
13 cytometry. A-C: Spanish contact zone; A: *Centaurea aspera* ssp. *stenophylla* diploid $2x$
14 (left); B: hybrid triploid $3x$ (middle); C: *C. seridis* ssp. *maritima* tetraploid $4x$ (right); D-
15 F: Moroccan contact zone; D: *C. aspera* ssp. *gentilii* tetraploid $4x$ (left); E: hybrid
16 tetraploid $4x$ (middle); F: *C. seridis* var. *auriculata* tetraploid $4x$ (right). In the figures,
17 $2C$, $3C$ and $4C$ represent the relative amount of DNA in each peak (all analyses were
18 performed with $2n$ controls).

19

20 Fig. 4. Discriminant analysis plot for the first two axes (LD1, LD2) between the three
21 Moroccan taxa. Each letter represents an individual plant. G: *Centaurea aspera* ssp.
22 *gentilii*; A: *C. seridis* var. *auriculata*; X: *C. x subdecurrens* nothosp. *paucispinus*.
23 Discriminant analysis is decomposed in histograms for each species in the LD1: *C.*
24 *aspera* is represented by light grey, *C. seridis* is represented by dark grey, and the
25 hybrid is represented by dotted diagrams.

1

2 Fig. 5. Discriminant analysis plot for the first two axes (LD1, LD2) between the
3 Spanish and Moroccan taxa. Each letter represents an individual plant. G: *Centaurea*
4 *aspera* ssp. *gentilii*; A: *C. seridis* var. *auriculata*; X: the hybrid between the
5 aforementioned taxa (*C. x subdecurrens* nothosp. *paucispinus*); S: *C. seridis* in Spain
6 (ssp. *maritima* and ssp. *cruenta*) ; T: *C. aspera* ssp. *stenophylla*; H: *C. x subdecurrens*
7 in Spain (nothosubsp. *subdecurrens* and nothosubsp. *oblanceolata*): Discriminant
8 analysis is decomposed in histograms for each species in the Spanish and Moroccan
9 hybrid complexes for LD1: *C. aspera* is represented by light grey, *C. seridis* is
10 represented by dark grey, and the hybrid is represented by dotted diagrams.

11