Erythemal Ultraviolet Solar Radiation Doses Received by Young Skiers
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The purpose of this study is to quantify UV exposure of young skiers in their training or recreational schedules, using VioSpor personal dosimeters and the results indicated that this group is exposed to UV radiation levels that can potentially cause skin damage and erythema and increase the risk of skin cancer in later life.

◆ Professor Dr. Javier Cañada passed away in January when the study was finished.
Abstract

Children are a special group since the epidemiological evidence indicates that excessive exposure to sunlight at an early age increases the risk of skin cancer in later life. The purpose of this study is to quantify children’s UV exposure when skiing, using dosimeters (VioSpor) placed on the shoulders of 10 participants. The children received a median daily Standard Erythema Dose of 2.1 within a range of 4.9-0.71, this being approximately 35% of the calculated 24 h ambient UV radiation on the horizontal plane. According to the results obtained, young skiers are exposed to UV radiation that can potentially cause skin damage and erythema and increase the risk of skin cancer in the course of a lifetime. These findings emphasise the need for adequate protective measures against solar radiation when skiing. The results also suggest that sun-protection campaigns should be undertaken aimed at children engaged in outdoor sports, including winter activities.
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**Introduction**

Solar ultraviolet radiation (UVR) has a significant influence on the health of humans, especially that of children, who are more susceptible to UV exposure. The harmful effects of excessive sun exposure are well known, as it can cause erythema, sunburn, skin photo aging, and especially carcinogenesis (melanoma and non-melanoma skin cancers)\(^1\)\(^-\)\(^4\).

Many studies show that skin cancer and melanoma are an important problem in Spain and the rest of Europe in the last 20 years, being that its incidence has increased significantly\(^5\)\(^-\)\(^8\). On the one hand, although non-melanoma skin cancer is the most common malignant tumour of all, it has been shown to rarely cause death\(^9\). On the other hand, melanoma is responsible for up to 80% of all deaths from skin cancer, and in Spain its mortality rate has quadrupled in the last 35 years\(^10\), although only a slight increase in mortality has been observed in the last decade. Despite this, the mortality rate from melanoma in Spain is still among the lowest in Europe, since Spain presented an age-standardised mortality rate (world standard population) of 1.0 in 2008, lower than in Europe (1.5) and Northern Europe (2.0)\(^11\), probably due to the population’s skin characteristics.

It is therefore important to intensify efforts to reduce harmful exposure to UVR, particularly among children and adolescents. Children require special protection, since their skin is thinner and more sensitive to sunlight than that of an adult, so that even a short time outdoors at noon can cause erythema. Also it must be taken into account that excessive UV exposure early in life means that there are plenty of time ahead to develop the possible skin cancer. Children are exposed to sunlight for considerable periods and it has been estimated that 25% of an individual’s cumulative exposure to UVR occurs during childhood and adolescence\(^12\). They are therefore at a higher risk of suffering
damage from exposure to UVR than adults. Although sunburn is also a melanoma risk factor among adults\textsuperscript{13,14}, the epidemiological evidence indicates that excessive exposure to sunlight at an early age is an important factor in determining the risk of skin cancer in the course of a lifetime\textsuperscript{15-19}. Melanoma is rare in children, but several studies have documented an increase in their incidence in children and adolescents\textsuperscript{19-21}. Childhood melanoma risk factors are less well understood than in adults. Melanomas in younger individuals are thought to have a different pathology and have different risk factors, mainly genetic such as number of melanocytic nevi, family history of melanoma and immunosuppression, among others\textsuperscript{20-22}. UV exposure is therefore the only known risk factor on which one can act to reduce the risk of skin cancer\textsuperscript{18}.

Athletes who spend a lot of time outdoors receive regular and significant solar UVR. Several studies on the frequency of skin melanomas in marathon runners\textsuperscript{23,24}, cyclists\textsuperscript{25}, mountain guides\textsuperscript{26} and golfers\textsuperscript{27,28} reinforce the belief that outdoor activities can increase the risk of skin cancer. These studies focused on adults and there is little information on the risk of UVR-associated skin cancer in children. In 2011, Mahe et al.\textsuperscript{29} evaluated the relationship between UVR skin damage and outdoor sports in children under 11 years of age in a 2-year period. They observed a significantly higher occurrence of such UV related damage in children who practiced outdoor sports, confirming the opinion that outdoor activities are associated with high exposure to UVR.

But, on the other hand, we should also take into account the beneficial effects of sunlight on human health\textsuperscript{2,4}, such as, for example, the synthesis of Vitamin D\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{30,31} essential for bone mineralization\textsuperscript{32}. Appropriate vitamin D levels, besides being required for healthy bones, especially important in growing children, have also been suggested to be beneficial against the development of many diseases in adulthood\textsuperscript{33-36}. 
Given the concern about the increased incidence of skin cancers, in 1999 a campaign called Euromelanoma\textsuperscript{37} was initiated in Europe, which is an annual campaign for skin cancer prevention that aims to make people aware of skin cancer prevention, early diagnosis and treatment. Since 2000, Spain has participated in several campaigns and they have made a valuable contribution to preventing cancer by the number of early detections and successfully excised melanomas\textsuperscript{38}.

This paper presents the results of solar UV radiation readings taken from children during a ski school organized by the Universitat Politècnica de València in the ski resort of Panticosa (Huesca, Spain) during ski training activities.

**Materials and methods**

**Study location**

The study took place in the ski resort of Panticosa (Huesca) (coordinates 42°42′11″N 0°16′29″W, at an altitude of 1800 m) during a Snow School organized by the Universitat Politècnica de València (UPV) and involved a group of 10 children that took part in a series of four ski sessions (27, 28, 29, and 30 December 2010). Panticosa is strategically located in the heart of the Aragon Pyrenees, in the province of Huesca with 34 km of slopes between altitudes of 1500 m and 2200 m. The skiers were raising the mountain in south direction respect to the sun and were descending to the north.

**Subjects and design**

Ten children, between 9 and 12 years old, participated in the study. Two children were of skin type II, 7 of skin type III and 1 of skin type IV and their skin type was identified by the monitors according to Fitzpatrick’s classification\textsuperscript{39}. The first meeting was with
the head supervisor to explain the requirements of the study and to ask for volunteers. The head supervisor contacted with the parents of the children who were going to take part in the Snow school. Subject recruitment was made on a volunteer basis and included written consent from the parents of participating children. A subsequent meeting took place to inform the monitor group about the details of the study. Monitors were instructed not to change their activities during the measurement sessions and to continue with their normal routines. The group supervisor ensured that the children put on and removed the dosimeter at the programmed times and the type and duration of the outdoor activities and they completed a questionnaire in which they registered this information. The days when measurements were taken were found to be mostly clear, except on the 29th, on which there was 50% cloud cover. The dosimeters (VioSpor type I) were attached with a pin on top of the shoulder at the start of the sessions, covered at lunchtime and removed at the end of the activity. One dosimeter was used per subject per day from about 10:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m. (except during the 1 p.m. - 2 p.m. lunch hour).

**Personal UVR dosimeters**

A UV sensitive spore-film type I dosimeter (VioSpor, Bio-Sense, Bornheim, Germany)\(^40\) was used in the study to measure personal UV doses. These dosimeters have been used effectively in many studies to monitor personal UV exposure in several outdoor activities\(^41-46\).

Details of the preparation of the dosimeters can be found in the following papers\(^47,48\). Basically, spores of a DNA repair-deficient strain of *Bacillus subtilis* form a film, which is covered by a filter system with a spectral sensitivity profile similar to the erythemal response of human skin, similar to the action spectrum of the Commission
Internationale de L’Eclairage (CIE)\textsuperscript{49}. According to the manufacturer, the measurement error is of ±10\%, and it is the standard deviation (minimal erythema dose determined with VioSpor dosimeter and a spectroradiometer) to the spectroradiometer values. The working range for a dosimeter type I is 0.5-30 standard erythema dose (SED), in which 1 SED is defined as an erythemal effective exposure of 100 J/m\textsuperscript{2} when weighted with the CIE erythemal spectrum\textsuperscript{50}.

The VioSpor system validation is performed by comparative measurements in-vivo\textsuperscript{51}. The wavelength-specific calibration of VioSpor was made from measurements on the Okasaki spectrograph in Japan\textsuperscript{47,48}. Additionally, VioSpor has also been validated by several instrument intercomparisons carried out under field conditions, in which VioSpor measurements were put together with the minimal erythema dose values estimated from spectroradiometer data\textsuperscript{52}.

**Ambient solar UVR**

The readings were taken in an area where there were no measuring devices available to measure ambient solar erythemal UV irradiance. Since this irradiance on the horizontal plane is needed to calculate the exposure ratio, the ambient erythemal UVR for each day was obtained by means of two procedures, using satellite data from OMI\textsuperscript{53} and by simulating the FastRT ver 2.3 program\textsuperscript{54}. The input data for this simulation were: geographical coordinates of the study site, type of surface (new snow), sky conditions (50\% cloud cover on 29\textsuperscript{th}, and cloudless on the other days) and total column ozone. For each day, column ozone was obtained from data provided by the Ozone Monitoring Instrument\textsuperscript{55}, and for the days without ozone data they have been estimated based on ozone data from the closest geographical coordinates. 1800 m was taken as the average altitude between the minimum elevation of 1500 m and the maximum of 2200 m above sea level.
Erythemal UV daily dose satellite data were produced with the Giovanni online data system, developed and maintained by the NASA GES DISC\textsuperscript{56}. The input data for the calculation were the geographical coordinates of the study site.

The time needed to cause sunburn each day for each skin type was calculated by the application of a module of the FastRT ver 1.2 program\textsuperscript{57}. The input data for the simulation, besides those listed above, was that sun exposure occurs around noon, i.e. between 12 and 2 p.m., and that the children have untanned skin, i.e. they have not previously been exposed to solar radiation.

Solar UVR can produce negative effects at certain levels, but in winter, low levels can cause vitamin D deficiency. The minimum exposure time ($t_{e-D}$ in minutes) necessary to produce 1000 IU of vitamin D, which is the minimum recommended daily amount, was calculated by FastRT ver 1.2 program\textsuperscript{57}, assuming that 7.5 $\%$ of the skin receives solar radiation (since the face and neck account for approximately 7.5 $\%$ of total skin area according to the Lund and Browder chart for children 10 years old).

**Ethics**

The study was approved by the appropriate ethical committee related to the Universitat Politècnica de València and the parents of the children who participated in the study gave informed consent to the work.

**Statistical analysis**

Data were analysed using the Statgraphics Plus Statistical Package v5.1 software. In this study, the value of standardised skewness is not within the expected range for a normal distribution data, so the median is a better measure of central tendency for skewed distributions than the mean, and the data are expressed as median (maximum-minimum).

**Results**
Ambient solar UVR

Table 1 shows the simulated daily ambient erythemal UVR obtained from the FastRT program\(^5^4\), ozone data and ambient erythemal UVR from the Ozone Monitoring Instrument\(^5^3,5^5\), and the maximum ultraviolet index (UVI)\(^5^8,5^9\) calculated from noonday erythemal UV irradiance (W/m\(^2\)) indicated by the FastRT program\(^5^4\). As can be seen, the solar UVI, between 1 and 2, is a typically low winter value.

As can be seen in Table 1 there are large differences between the ambient erythemal UVR obtained by the two methods, with significantly lower values for the erythemal UV daily dose provided by the OMI. According to the last OMI UVB Algorithm Documents\(^6^0\) and confirmed by several authors\(^6^1-6^3\), OMI underestimates the values of the irradiance when there is a snow cover, because of the climatological surface albedo used by the OMI surface UV algorithm, which leads to overestimation of cloudiness\(^6^1\). For this reason we have chosen for calculations the data provided by the FastRT program\(^5^4\).

Measured UV exposures

Table 2 shows the children’s median daily exposure and range (SED) for each day of the study, as measured by VioSpor dosimeters placed on the shoulder. The range shown gives a measure of the variability between the subjects. The 27\(^{th}\) and 29\(^{th}\) (partly cloudy), are the days of greatest variability, probably due to the important role played by clouds on actual UV irradiance on the 29\(^{th}\), since they can attenuate UV irradiance but can increase up to 25% under broken cloud conditions by reflected radiation from the cloud sides\(^6^4\). On the 27\(^{th}\), a greater range of activities than on the other days could be the cause of this variability. However, these differences cannot be considered
statistically significant. It is also observed that on the 28\textsuperscript{th}, the children received a median daily dose of 2.4 SED, close to the minimal erythema dose (MED) for skin type II (2.5 SED) for the four basic European skin types\textsuperscript{65}.

Table 3 shows the median dose received by each child; it can be seen that several children received a median daily dose above the MED for skin type II, which emphasizes the need for a sunscreen.

The fraction of the ambient erythemal UVR to which children are exposed (ratio of the daily erythemal UV dose of each child and the corresponding 24 h ambient erythemal UV), called the \textit{exposure ratio} (ER), is shown for the corresponding period in Table 2 and for each subject in Table 3. This median ER was 35\%, ranging from 12 to 96\%.

Table 4 shows the exposure time (t\textsubscript{-UVR} in minutes) for sunburn according to the skin type for the period of the study of UVI levels 1 and 2. It is observed that for UVI 2 days, a child with skin type III (Spanish) could be exposed to the sun for a maximum of 110 minutes without suffering erythema, while a fair-skinned (skin type II) person could only stay in the sun 89 minutes.

With regard to vitamin D production, the minimum exposure time (t\textsubscript{-D} in minutes) for vitamin D 1000 IU (minimum recommended daily amount) to be produced is shown in Table 5 for 29 December (partial cloudy) and the remaining days (cloudless).

**Discussion**

Skiers suffer the harmful effects of the sun, due to the clear air at altitude and the fact that snow reflects about 80 percent of the sun's rays. UV irradiance increases with
altitude due to reduced dispersive and absorptive material in the air. The effect of the surface albedo should also be taken into account, since at higher altitude the surface changes from vegetation to rock and snow is often present, so that the higher the albedo the higher the UVR\textsuperscript{66}. This is known as the altitude effect (AE), and depends on the wavelength, solar elevation, atmospheric turbidity, cloudiness and the surface albedo\textsuperscript{67}. The AE ranges in clear conditions for erythemal UV irradiance, for example, between 11-14%/ km in Granada (Spain)\textsuperscript{68} and between 7.2-11.3%/ km in the Swiss Alps\textsuperscript{69}. This increased UV irradiance with altitude is of great importance for human exposure for the observed trend towards the increasing prevalence of melanoma\textsuperscript{70} in people who live at high altitudes and those who practice mountaineering and skiing. Skiers thus receive significant UVR and numerous studies on exposure have been carried out on this group. Epidemiological studies have shown that skiers are at a higher risk of skin cancer\textsuperscript{71}. In 2003, Rigel et al.\textsuperscript{72} studied skiers’ UV exposure in Vail (Colorado) and found that they were exposed to UVR that can cause skin photoaging and potentially increase the risk of developing skin cancer. The subjects in this study received a mean UV dose of 6.21 SED (range 1.2-18.5 SED), when more than two thirds of the skiers were exposed to more than 5 SED per day. In 2008, Siani et al.\textsuperscript{73} studied erythemal UV doses received by a group of Italian alpine skiers with dosimeters placed on the forehead, and obtained a winter median ER of 54%, with a range of 42 - 70%. They also studied the colorimetric parameters of the skin and found that skiers had significantly lower mean values, i.e. darker skin, after exposure to solar radiation than before exposure. In 2005, Allen and McKenzie\textsuperscript{74} measured UV exposure in a New Zealand ski resort and compared the results with values obtained at the same time in a nearby area at sea level using an electronic dosimeter. They found that the UV irradiance on horizontal surfaces in the ski resort was 20-30% higher than at sea level.
and personal doses were significantly higher than those obtained on horizontal surfaces. The authors confirmed these results in a subsequent study\textsuperscript{75}.

In 2000, Moehrle and Garbe\textsuperscript{42} observed occupational exposure to UVR of mountain guides and ski instructors in the spring in the French Alps with dosimeters placed vertically on the head. They found the mountain guides received a mean daily dose of 29.75 SED (range 11-42.75 SED) and the ski instructors a mean daily dose of 15.25 SED (range 7-22 SED).

**Conclusions**

Differences in the design of individual UV studies, such as the position and type of dosimeters, latitude and altitude of study area, time of year, etc. make it difficult to compare results. However, if the ER is available it is used in the comparison to minimize the effects of these factors on the analysis.

Erythemal UV exposure in schoolchildren was analysed during skiing activities. The children’s median daily exposure was 2.1 SED, with some registering over the MED for white skin. If we consider the range, which indicates variability for each student, almost all of the skin type II children would be above their MED on some days during the measurement period and a third of skin type III children would be above their MED (3.5 SED) at least one day of the study period.

Several studies\textsuperscript{76-78} show that parts of human body exposed close to the sun-normal direction are exposed to higher erythemal UVR than the UV dose measured on a horizontal plane. This occurs particularly at higher solar zeniths angles, for example, in the winter season, and in body areas close to the vertical position, such as the face, and it is accentuated if the surface is covered with snow.

The area of the body exposed to solar radiation in this sport is the face, which is in an approximate vertical plane, and it will receive a higher irradiance because the study took
place in the winter season and in a snow cover area, than the top of the shoulder, which is in an approximate horizontal plane. Thus, the received dose in the face is higher than that measured by the dosimeters, so this should be taken into account to insist on the application of high protection facial sunscreen.

The children’s mean daily exposure time during ski sessions was 276 minutes, which exceeds the safe erythema exposure time that produces erythema, except for type IV skinned children in days with the lowest UVI (Level 1) (Table 4). According to these results, children would have suffered sunburn and could have an increased risk of skin cancer later in life, if they were not taken adequate protection measures.

The median daily dose received by the children in this study is lower than that obtained by other studies\textsuperscript{42,72}. The observed differences in terms of dose received by the subjects in the present study with respect to the others could be due to different study design factors, such as different times of the year, different dosimeter positions and mainly that the skiers in these two papers were professionals who were outdoors for about 8 h.

The children in this study received 35\% of ambient erythemal UVR, with values between 12\% and 96\%. In the Italian Alps\textsuperscript{73} obtained a winter median ER of 54\%, with a range of 42-70\%. The differences between the two studies could be due to the different positions of the dosimeters, altitudes and orientations of the ski slopes, among others.

As children can suffer vitamin D deficiency in winter due to low levels of UVR, the minimum exposure time necessary to get the skin to produce the minimum recommended daily amount of vitamin D for type III skinned children was found to be 170 minutes a day in the least favourable conditions. As the mean daily exposure time was 276 minutes during the ski sessions, the type II-III skinned children’s vitamin D
needs could be said to be covered all days during the study period. However, type IV skinned children would not cover their daily needs of Vitamina D in the days of UVI 1. However, the results of our study also show that skiers are exposed to erythemal UVR at levels that can damage the skin, cause erythema, and potentially increase the later risk of skin cancer. This result emphasises the need for protective measures against solar radiation, such as high protection sunscreen (30 or higher), sunglasses, a hat and high quality protective clothing when performing this type of outdoor physical activities. The results of this study are also consistent with the guidelines given by the ICNIRP working group\textsuperscript{79}, which recommends sun protection for those who plan to be outdoors for prolonged periods at UVI Levels 1 and 2.

This information has been sent to the directors of the Snow School concerned so that the appropriate actions can be taken.

The results also suggest that sun-protection campaigns should be undertaken aimed at children engaged in outdoor sports, including winter activities.
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