

Document downloaded from:

<http://hdl.handle.net/10251/63159>

This paper must be cited as:

Capella Hernández, JV.; Bonastre Pina, AM.; Ors Carot, R.; Peris Tortajada, M. (2015). An interference-tolerant nitrate smart sensor for Wireless Sensor Network applications. *Sensors and Actuators B: Chemical*. 213:534-540. doi:10.1016/j.snb.2015.02.125.



The final publication is available at

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.snb.2015.02.125>

Copyright Elsevier

Additional Information

An interference-tolerant nitrate smart sensor for Wireless Sensor Network applications

Juan V. Capella ^a, Alberto Bonastre ^a, Rafael Ors ^a, Miguel Peris ^{b,*}

^a Department of Computer Engineering, Polytechnic University of Valencia, 46071 Valencia, SPAIN

^b Department of Chemistry, Polytechnic University of Valencia, 46071 Valencia, SPAIN

* Corresponding author; *e-mail*: mperist@qim.upv.es

Abstract

As a major contaminant in ground water, nitrate determination is a common practice in environmental analysis, especially the continuous and simultaneous monitoring of its concentration at many different points. For this task, sensor networks are a promising tool, although they require the use of sensors with special features, such as those of Ion Selective Electrodes (ISEs). Unfortunately, their measurements are – to a greater or lesser extent – affected by the presence of other coexisting (interfering) ions. A new methodology is then proposed in this work to deal with major interferences (chloride and bicarbonate in the case of nitrate determination), in such a way that the results obtained in the measurements of the content of NO_3^- with a nitrate selective electrode can be considered as virtually error-free from these interferences. For this purpose, a new sensor node has been developed; it consists of three ISEs (NO_3^- , Cl^- , and HCO_3^-) coupled to a low-consumption, low-cost microcontroller (a small chip containing all the computer components), which receives and processes all signals coming from the electrodes. This information is suitably treated, as described in detail in this paper, to provide an accurate estimation of the true value of NO_3^- concentration.

The application of this methodology results in an interference-tolerant nitrate smart sensor capable of being employed within a wireless sensor network in the continuous monitoring of nitrate concentration in aquifers and rivers.

Keywords: Smart sensor; Interference tolerance; Nitrate determination; Ion selective electrode; Interfering ion.

34 **1 Introduction**

35

36 Nitrate is one of the most common contaminants of ground water, originating mainly
37 from agricultural fertilizer application and release of sewage. As the presence of this
38 species in water presents a well-known risk to health, it seems obvious that the
39 monitoring of nitrate concentration in aquifers and rivers may result fundamental.
40 Several analytical techniques have been used for this purpose [1], ion-selective
41 electrodes (ISEs) being perhaps the most suitable one; in this sense, the literature offers
42 a great deal of references, from early work [2] [3] to recent contributions especially with
43 a view of in-line monitoring [4] [5]. It is straightforward with advantages such as high
44 selectivity, sensitivity, good precision, simplicity, portability, non-destructive analysis,
45 and last but by no means least, low cost and power consumption. The latter makes this
46 technique highly suitable for Wireless Sensor Network (WSN) applications.

47 However, it is a common feature of all analysis methods that when atoms or ions of a
48 different species but with similar properties are also present, i.e. coexist with the atoms
49 or ions of interest, they interfere with measurement. This is also true of analysis using
50 ion selective electrodes, so that if ions similar to the target ions are present, they will –
51 to a greater or lesser extent – affect measurement (when considered in contrast to the
52 target ions, these ions are known as interfering ions). Therefore, when using the ion
53 electrode method, care needs to be taken with regard to mutual interference within each
54 of these groups [6].

55 In this sense, several attempts to deal with this problem have been carried out based on
56 the joint consideration of several ISEs response. Some of these examples include the
57 development of the so-called electronic tongues, arrays of potentiometric sensors (ISEs)
58 coupled to pattern recognition tools. They have been applied to water quality
59 monitoring [7][8], and their performances being compared to those of discrete
60 conventional ion-selective electrodes [9]. On the other hand, recent efforts are focused
61 on the development of artificial neural network (ANN) architectures; they have been
62 applied to raw readings from a chemical sensor multi-probe (e-tongue), comprised of
63 off-the shelf ISEs, to estimate individual ion concentrations in solutions at
64 environmentally relevant concentrations and containing environmentally representative
65 ion mixtures [10]. Nevertheless, all these approaches –though successful–are sometimes

66 too complex (need of high-level computer resources) and time-consuming, and would
 67 not be adequate in case of WSN applications. That is why more simple developments,
 68 i.e. the utilization of discrete ISEs, are usually preferred.

69 No ion-selective electrodes are completely ion-specific [11]; all are sensitive to other
 70 ions having similar physical properties, to an extent which depends on the degree of
 71 similarity. Most of these interferences are weak enough to be ignored, but in some cases
 72 the electrode may actually be much more sensitive to the interfering ion than to the
 73 desired ion, requiring that the interfering ion be present only in relatively very low
 74 concentrations, or entirely absent. In practice, the relative sensitivities of each type of
 75 ion-specific electrode to various interfering ions is generally known and should be
 76 checked for each case; however the precise degree of interference depends on many
 77 factors, preventing precise correction of readings. Instead, the calculation of relative
 78 degree of interference from the concentration of interfering ions can only be used as a
 79 guide to determine whether the approximate extent of the interference will allow
 80 reliable measurements, or whether the experiment will need to be redesigned so as to
 81 reduce the effect of interfering ions.

82 The effects of coexisting ions can be predicted to some extent from the response
 83 membrane material, that is to say, the reactivity of the response membrane material to
 84 the coexisting ions. For example, a solid-state membrane electrode can be seriously
 85 affected by coexisting ions that form insoluble compounds or complex salts with the
 86 material of its response membrane; and a liquid membrane electrode can be affected by
 87 coexisting ions that form ionic associates with the components in its response
 88 membrane.

89 The intensity of the interference produced by an ion species is expressed by the
 90 selectivity coefficient (or by the maximum allowable coexistence factor, this roughly
 91 corresponding to the reciprocal of the selectivity coefficient). The Nicolsky-Eisenman
 92 equation (an extension to the Nernst equation) [12] defines the selectivity coefficient k_{ij}

$$96 \quad E_i = E_i^0 + 2.303 \frac{RT}{n_i F} \log \left\{ a_i + \sum_j k_{ij} (a_j^{(n_i/n_j)}) \right\}$$

93 where E is the emf, E^0 the standard electrode potential, n the ionic valency including the
 94 sign, a the activity, i the ion of interest (target ion), j the interfering ions and k_{ij} is the
 95 selectivity coefficient. The smaller this value, the better the selectivity with respect to

97 the target ion, *i.e.* the less the interference by j [13].

98 Hence, in practice, the ratio of target ion to interfering ion concentration is very
99 important. Higher concentrations of target ions result in the interfering ions having a
100 smaller effect, and conversely, lower concentrations result in them having a larger
101 effect. It is then logical that the ideal ISE should be interference-free, although up till
102 now the objective of the major manufacturers of this type of devices consists of keeping
103 these interferences to a minimum. Our aim is then to address cross-ion interferences in
104 such a way that, although ISEs may be only partially selective for their target analyte,
105 we can take advantage of their promising use in in-situ portable sensors.

106 Recent advances in the field of microelectronics and communications allow for the
107 development of modern applications that require new sensors with different
108 requirements from those of the traditional devices; additionally, and owing to their
109 possibilities of data management, they permit to obtain more precise, robust, and
110 powerful systems [14]. Following this line, in this paper we propose a nitrate smart
111 sensor that is able to eliminate major interferences from other species, namely chloride
112 and bicarbonate ions; moreover, it meets the necessary requirements to be utilized
113 within an application based on WSN [15], with all its inherent benefits in the field of
114 chemical analyses.

115 In the next sections, the procedure proposed for the rejection of major interferences in
116 nitrate determination by ISEs will be described in detail, along with the results obtained
117 after its application to discrete samples, with a view to use it in the future within a WSN
118 for environmental analysis purposes.

119

120 **2 Rejection of interferences**

121

122 As mentioned above, one of the main drawbacks while measuring nitrate concentrations
123 by means of ISEs is the interference caused by other similar species, since their
124 presence may cause an incremental deviation on the results obtained. In case of the
125 nitrate electrode [16], the following ions usually interfere (average selectivity
126 coefficients, SC, in brackets): Chloride ($6 \cdot 10^{-3}$), Bicarbonate ($5 \cdot 10^{-3}$), Nitrite ($1 \cdot 10^{-3}$),
127 Acetate ($5 \cdot 10^{-4}$), Fluoride ($1 \cdot 10^{-4}$), Sulfate ($1 \cdot 10^{-5}$). In this sense, the higher the value of
128 SC, the more interference; therefore, in the present work we will consider those two

129 interferences with the highest SC values, *i.e.* chloride and bicarbonate. Nevertheless, the
130 procedure could easily be applied to other – though less important – potential interfering
131 anions. It should also be remarked that, in our case, the chloride and bicarbonate
132 concentrations have been chosen according to the range found in previous field sample
133 analysis. On the other hand, in the field of Electroanalytical Chemistry it is generally
134 accepted that, at low ionic strengths, (e.g. below 0.01M for monovalent ions and
135 0.001M for divalent ions) the difference between concentration and activity is really
136 small and the use of concentration units instead of activity for the measurements
137 (including calibration) should not cause a significant error in the determinations, even
138 without the use of ionic strength adjustment buffer (ISAB). In our case, all occurring
139 ions being monovalent, the ionic strength of the highest concentrated solution is 0.005,
140 what means that concentrations will be utilized instead of activities with no significant
141 error.

142 The correction system is then based on the evaluation of the accumulative error suffered
143 by the obtained measurement. This error has been found to depend on three factors,
144 namely: the concentrations of nitrate, bicarbonate, and chloride. Our initial hypothesis
145 was that it is possible to estimate, and then compensate, the interference error if $[Cl^-]$
146 and $[HCO_3^-]$ are known. In this way, additional bicarbonate and chloride ISEs were
147 added to the nitrate ISE in order to measure all three concentrations. It must be
148 considered that the real concentrations are not available, as far as they have to be
149 determined by the measurements – probably affected by the interferences and perhaps
150 other instrumental errors – of these ISEs.

151 As described below, an exhaustive set of experiments (Table 2) has been carried out
152 under laboratory conditions. These experiments consisted of the application of the three
153 ISEs to mixtures prepared with known concentrations of all three anions. As expected,
154 measured nitrate concentrations suffered a relative error ranging from 15 % to 30 %
155 (easily determined as the difference between measured values and true values). For their
156 part, the errors of both bicarbonate and chloride measurements were under 2 % in all
157 instances.

158 In order to obtain reliable results, n replications have been performed for each
159 measurement, n being calculated as follows:

160 The results for each measurement have been considered as random variables (X_1 ,
161 X_2 , ..., X_n) with a μ mean value. n simulations have been repeated until an estimation of
162 μ has been obtained with a 90 % confidence interval according to the expression:

163

164
$$\bar{X}(n) \pm t_{n-1,0.95} \sqrt{S^2(n)/n}$$

165

166 where $t_{n-1,0.95}$ represents the upper limit of the Student's t-distribution on $n-1$ degrees of
167 freedom, and $\bar{X}(n)$ and $S^2(n)$ are the mean and the variance of the results obtained in the
168 different experiments. In general, 5-12 replications were carried out for each
169 measurement.

170 We have evaluated different techniques that relation the interferences of chloride and
171 bicarbonate on nitrate measurements, from simple, fixed (predetermined) corrections to
172 more complex techniques. From the corresponding essays, it could be noticed that the
173 former did not prove to be useful in all cases. More complex solutions yielded good
174 results, but their high requirements of computing resources make them unsuitable for
175 WSN. Instead, the proposed methodology brings together simplicity and highly
176 satisfactory results [17].

177 It assumes that there is some relationship between the concentration of interferents and
178 the error committed in the determination. Bearing this in mind, several experiments
179 were carried out using standard solutions; then, with the results obtained as well as the
180 corresponding true values, different mathematical procedures were evaluated to
181 determine the error committed. Least squares linear regression was chosen since it
182 provided negligible errors in the estimation. According to this procedure, the values
183 provided by the three ISEs used are plotted against (correlated with) the errors in nitrate
184 measurements (true values are known), the corresponding regression line coefficients
185 being shown in Table 1. Linear regression has proved to be satisfactory ($R^2 = 0.9607$).
186 This accuracy is better, for example, than the measurement error.

187 This method also deals with cross-ion interferences, since the regression line is based on
188 measured ISE concentrations, rather than on true concentrations. Thus, the mutual
189 interferences have also been considered.

190 Thereafter, an estimation program was implemented; it applied the previously defined
191 error estimation procedure to the obtained measurements. The estimated error is then
192 subtracted to the nitrate concentration measured to obtain the corrected value. Once the
193 latter has been achieved, the error turns out to be under 5 % in all studied cases.

194

195 **3 System description**

196

197 The application of the technique described in the previous section results in the
198 development of a smart sensor node formed by three ISE-type transducers for the
199 determination of nitrate, chloride, and bicarbonate, respectively. The core of the system
200 consists of a low-consumption, low-cost microcontroller; its capacity is nevertheless
201 more than sufficient to carry out all the operations required to apply the developed
202 techniques. This microcontroller is a small integrated circuit that contains all the
203 computer components (CPU, memory, and necessary I/O subsystems), and therefore
204 offers the possibility to implement complete applications using only one chip [18]. The
205 device chosen (ARM Cortex M0) is a 32-bit microcontroller with a high energy
206 efficiency ($12.5 \mu\text{W} / \text{MHz}$) and performance; it has 2 KB RAM memory and 8 KB
207 flash memory, as well as three timers (16 and 32 bits) and an A/D converter (10-bit
208 resolution and 8 channels). Incoming signals from ISEs are adapted/amplified by means
209 of an AD524 Instrumentation Amplifier (Analog Devices).

210 The capture of the information in the sensor node begins in the transducers (nitrate-ISE,
211 chloride-ISE, and bicarbonate-ISE). The analogical signals from these ISEs are
212 conveniently processed and amplified by means of analogical circuits, as shown in
213 Figure 1. The output of this circuit is received by the A/D converters of the
214 microcontroller (Input/Output subsystems) to be discretized. After the suitable
215 conversion, a digital information of each ISE measurement is available and, therefore,
216 able to be processed. From this moment, the error estimation procedure evaluates the
217 interference influence, and the measured nitrate concentration is then corrected by using
218 the proposed method, as described in Section 2.

219 Once the corrected measurement has been calculated, it is transmitted through the
220 communication subsystem, be it periodically, upon request, or in an automatic way
221 when certain conditions are met [19].

222 Since one of the goals was that this interference-tolerant nitrate smart sensor node could
223 be employed in future WSN applications (and thus within the future Internet of Things),
224 both its consumption and maintenance must reach a minimum value [20]. Therefore,
225 low consumption components (the ISEs and the microcontroller) have been utilized;
226 furthermore, the sensor node has been equipped with an energy harvesting subsystem
227 that is able to keep it on over long periods of time [2].

228

229 4. Experimental

230

231 All standard and reagent solutions were prepared from analytical reagent grade
232 chemicals (KNO_3 for nitrate, NaHCO_3 for bicarbonate, and KCl for chloride) using
233 distilled and deionized water from a Milli-Q water purification system. The deionized
234 water had a specific conductivity less than $0.1 \mu\text{s cm}^{-1}$.

235 Nitrate, bicarbonate, and chloride concentrations are measured using three different
236 homemade ISEs. When the ISE is immersed in an aqueous solution, a potential is
237 established across the membrane that depends on the relative amounts of analyte in the
238 medium, this potential being read relative to a double-junction reference electrode of the
239 probe. Our ISEs were prepared and conditioned following some of the guidelines
240 provided by the literature [21].

241 In case of nitrate, the ISE employs a silver/silver chloride wire electrode in a custom
242 filling solution. The internal solution is separated from the sample medium by a
243 polyvinylchloride (PVC) membrane, which selectively interacts with NO_3^- ions. To
244 prepare this membrane, a mixture of 45 mg tridodecylammonium nitrate, 370 mg
245 dibutylphthalate as plasticizer and 160 mg PVC is thoroughly dissolved in 7 mL
246 tetrahydrofuran. The solution obtained is then poured into small glass rings and the
247 organic solvent is evaporated, thus achieving homogeneous membrane layers with a
248 thickness ranging between 450 and 500 μm . The resulting electrode has the following
249 characteristics: a Nernstian slope of $54 \pm 5 \text{ mV}$ per decade change in activity, a limit of
250 detection of 0.05 mg L^{-1} of NO_3^- , a response time of less than 10 seconds, a selectivity
251 coefficient for nitrate against chloride ($k_{\text{NO}_3^-, \text{Cl}^-}$) of 5×10^{-3} , and a selectivity
252 coefficient for nitrate against bicarbonate ($k_{\text{NO}_3^-, \text{HCO}_3^-}$) of 4×10^{-3} .

253 The nitrate-ISE was then calibrated with potassium nitrate standard solutions
254 maintained at 25°C and constantly stirred. Figure 2 shows a calibration curve for this
255 anion. All measurements (including calibration) were carried out with 100 mL of test
256 solution in a suitable Pyrex beaker. All glassware was decontaminated, washed several
257 times with double-distilled water and dried in an oven at 150°C overnight prior to use.
258 The validation of the results obtained by the nitrate-ISE was achieved using an official
259 standard method [22] and showed excellent correlation between the two techniques
260 ($R^2= 0.9948$) over a large range from 0.1 to 20 mg L^{-1} nitrate-N with no systematic
261 errors. On the other hand, the drift of the electrode contacting a 9.9 mM nitrate solution

262 is less than 0.4 mV h^{-1} , measured at constant temperature and with ISE and reference
263 electrode continually immersed.

264 As regards bicarbonate, the ISE consists of a polyvinyl chloride tube covered with a thin
265 ($10\text{-}25 \text{ }\mu\text{m}$) HCO_3^- -selective membrane made from a mixture containing polyvinyl
266 chloride, di-(2-ethylhexyl) sebacate, trioctyl tin chloride and an H^+ interference-
267 removing trifluoroacetophenone (trifluoroacetyldecylbenzene), a liquid solution
268 containing 50 mM phosphate buffer and 0.01 M sodium chloride in the tube, and a lead
269 wire connected to a Ag/AgCl reference electrode positioned in the tube. This ISE has
270 then the following features: a Nernstian slope of $55 \pm 5 \text{ mV}$ per decade change in
271 activity, a limit of detection of 1.5 mg L^{-1} of HCO_3^- , and a response time of less than 20
272 seconds.

273 The bicarbonate-ISE was calibrated with sodium bicarbonate standard solutions
274 maintained at 25°C and constantly stirred. Figure 2 shows a calibration curve for this
275 anion. All measurements (including calibration) were carried out with 100 mL of test
276 solution in a suitable Pyrex beaker. All glassware was decontaminated, washed several
277 times with double-distilled water and dried in an oven at 150°C overnight prior to use.
278 The validation of the results obtained by the bicarbonate-ISE was performed using an
279 official standard method [22] and showed excellent correlation between the two
280 techniques ($R^2= 0.9936$) over a large range from 10 to 250 mg L^{-1} bicarbonate with no
281 systematic errors. It should also be noted that the drift of the electrode contacting a 11.9
282 mM bicarbonate solution is less than 0.5 mV h^{-1} , measured at constant temperature and
283 with ISE and reference electrode continually immersed.

284 Finally, in the case of the chloride-ISE, the membrane is made from a combination of
285 ($[\gamma\text{-}[4,5\text{-dimethyl-}3,6\text{-bis(octyloxy)-}1,2\text{-phenylene}]]\text{bis(trifluoroacetato-O)dimercury}$),
286 tridodecylmethylammonium chloride, bis(2-ethylhexyl)sebacate, and polyvinylchloride,
287 a reference solution containing 0.1 M potassium chloride, and a wire connected to a
288 Ag/AgCl reference electrode. The ISE so constituted is characterized by a Nernstian
289 slope of $54 \pm 5 \text{ mV}$ per decade change in activity, a limit of detection of 1 mg L^{-1} of Cl^- ,
290 and a response time of less than 10 seconds.

291 The chloride-ISE was calibrated with potassium chloride standard solutions kept at
292 25°C and constantly stirred. Figure 2 shows a calibration curve for this anion. All
293 measurements (including calibration) were carried out with 100 mL of test solution in a
294 suitable Pyrex beaker. All glassware was decontaminated, washed several times with
295 double-distilled water and dried in an oven at 150°C overnight prior to use.

296 The validation of the results obtained by the chloride-ISE was performed using an
297 official standard method [22] and showed excellent correlation between the two
298 techniques ($R^2= 0.9963$) over an approximate range between 1 and 300 mg L⁻¹ chloride
299 with no systematic errors. And last but not least, the drift of the electrode contacting a
300 13.4 mM chloride solution is less than 0.4 mV h⁻¹, measured at constant temperature and
301 with ISE and reference electrode continually immersed.

302 Stock solutions of KNO₃ (for nitrate), NaHCO₃ (for bicarbonate), and KCl (for
303 chloride) were prepared by dissolving the appropriate amount of reagent in distilled-
304 deionized water. In order to carry out the measurements, the three aforementioned ISEs
305 were then simultaneously immersed in solutions obtained by suitable mixtures of the
306 stock solutions, in such a way that the following concentrations were selected: (a) 0.0,
307 0.5, 1.0, 1.5, and 2.0 mg L⁻¹ of nitrate, (b) 0, 125, 150, 175, and 200 mg L⁻¹ of
308 bicarbonate, and (c) 0, 50, 100, 150, and 200 mg L⁻¹ of chloride. The contents of the
309 three analytes were then measured in combinations of these solutions in threes (Table
310 2).

311

312 **5 Results and discussion**

313

314 The results achieved are summarized in Figures 3 and 4. Figure 3 shows the values
315 obtained without applying the proposed methodology. The horizontal axis represents the
316 true values of [NO₃⁻] (solutions were prepared by us following the procedure described
317 in the previous section, and are therefore known values), whereas the vertical axis
318 represents the measured concentrations, *i.e.* the experimental values provided by the
319 ISEs. Needless to say that an *ideal* nitrate sensor should give rise to a 45-degree line to
320 which the red line of the figure approximates a lot. This line reflects the results obtained
321 with the nitrate-ISE after its calibration and in the absence of interferences (*i.e.*
322 immersed in a standard nitrate solution). On the other hand, when the interfering anions
323 (chloride and bicarbonate) are added at known concentrations, the measured values start
324 to distance themselves from real concentrations owing to the interferences.

325 Consequently, an uncertainty area appears depending on the concentration of the
326 interfering species. For the concentration ranges of the interferents (see section 4), this
327 uncertainty area is the one between the upper and lower lines.

328 As it can be inferred from our study, and on the basis of a known nitrate concentration

329 (*i.e.* any point at the horizontal axis), the response of nitrate-ISE may vary – as a
330 function of the concentration of interferents – in the range resulting from the
331 intersection of the vertical line at this point with the uncertainty area. As an example, for
332 a known value of $[\text{NO}_3^-] = 2 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$ the results that could be obtained by measuring
333 with the corresponding ISE would lie between 2.04 mg L^{-1} (no interferences) and 2.52
334 mg L^{-1} (corresponding to $[\text{Cl}^-] = 200 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$ and $[\text{HCO}_3^-] = 200 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$). It can be
335 noticed that – depending on the concentrations of interferents – the error obtained can
336 be significant, up to 26 %.

337 On the other hand, attention should also be paid to the real case of the need to determine
338 (using a NO_3^- -ISE) the concentration of nitrate in the presence of an unknown amount
339 of chloride and bicarbonate. In these circumstances, the starting point is the vertical axis
340 (measured values of nitrate-ISE); true nitrate concentrations in the sample may be
341 anyone corresponding to the intersection of a horizontal line passing at this point with
342 the uncertainty area. For instance, for a measured concentration of 2 mg L^{-1} , true values
343 of $[\text{NO}_3^-]$ in the analyzed sample may be in the range between 1.56 mg L^{-1} (highest
344 concentration of interferents) and 1.96 mg L^{-1} (no interferences). The measurement
345 uncertainty is again really high.

346 Figure 4 shows the results obtained after the data treatment of previous measurements
347 with the method described in section 2. The values yielded by a node using this
348 procedure would also generate (as described above for Figure 3) an uncertainty area,
349 although in this case it is significantly smaller. This fact makes the measurements from
350 this node to be virtually free from the effects of the interferences, unlike in case this
351 methodology would not be used.

352 Indeed, if a given value of $[\text{NO}_3^-]$ is now considered and a vertical line is drawn through
353 this point, its intersection with the uncertainty area yields a much lower dispersion of
354 values. In case of the above mentioned example ($[\text{NO}_3^-] = 2.00 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$), the values
355 obtained vary between 1.99 mg L^{-1} (for 100 mg L^{-1} chloride and 125 mg L^{-1}
356 bicarbonate) and 2.07 mg L^{-1} (for 150 mg L^{-1} chloride and 200 mg L^{-1} bicarbonate), that
357 is to say, with a maximum error of 3.5 %, far from the aforementioned 26 %.

358 Again, we return to the real case of the need to determine (using a NO_3^- -ISE) the
359 concentration of nitrate in the presence of an unknown amount of chloride and
360 bicarbonate. A point is taken at the vertical axis (the value corresponding to the
361 measurement obtained using the proposed methodology), for instance 2.00 mg L^{-1}
362 nitrate; when a horizontal line is drawn through this point, it intersects with the

363 uncertainty area at values ranging from 1.93 mg L⁻¹ (for 200 mg L⁻¹ chloride and 175
364 mg L⁻¹ bicarbonate) to 1.99 mg L⁻¹ (for 50 mg L⁻¹ chloride and 125 mg L⁻¹ bicarbonate).
365 This demonstrates that the resulting nitrate concentration is very close to the true value,
366 the error being thus considerably reduced.

367

368 **6. Conclusions**

369

370 This work presents a new methodology to develop smart sensors with interference
371 tolerance based on simple Ion Selective Electrodes (ISE). For this purpose, a correction
372 mechanism based on interferent concentration measurement, error estimation, and a
373 correction procedure has been added. This method permits to “reject” the mutual
374 contributions to the analytical signals of ISEs due to the presence of interfering species
375 in the analyzed samples. Basically, it consists of applying statistical techniques –linear
376 regression of experimental data- to adjust the linear coefficients of each interferent on
377 the basis of the experiments observed.

378 This has been incorporated into the design and implementation of a nitrate smart sensor
379 for WSN applications that is able to overcome the problem associated with interfering
380 anions in ground waters, namely, chloride and bicarbonate. The procedure has been
381 applied to the measurements obtained from a nitrate-ISE in the presence of those two
382 anions by means of a microcontroller. The smart sensor node is then composed of the
383 three ISEs used (NO₃⁻, Cl⁻, HCO₃⁻) along with a low-cost, low-consumption
384 microcontroller. All three ISE’s are simultaneously immersed in a water sample; the
385 corresponding measurements obtained are then conveniently received and processed by
386 the microcontroller. The final result after applying the proposed method is that the
387 nitrate measurements performed by this smart sensor turn out to be virtually
388 interference-free.

389 Finally, the significance of this proposal lies in the application of automatic procedures
390 implemented in microcontrollers in order to compensate physical deficiencies, such as
391 the impact of interferences, in measurement processes. This proposal may be extended
392 to increase the smartness of sensor nodes in order to enhance their features.

393 New-generation smart sensors applying these principles may be suitable for integration
394 in Wireless Sensor Networks, on which Internet of Things and Ambient Intelligence will
395 be based.

396

397 **Acknowledgements**

398

399 The authors gratefully acknowledge the financial support from the Valencian Regional
400 Government under Research Project GV/2014/012, Polytechnic University of Valencia
401 (Research Project UPV PAID-06-12) and Spanish Government (Research Projects
402 CTM2011-29691-C02-01 and TIN2011-28435-C03-0).

403

404 **References**

405

406 [1] L.M. Nollet (Ed.), Handbook of water analysis, CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL (USA),
407 2007.

408 [2] G.J. Moody, J.D.R. Thomas, Selective Ion-sensitive Electrodes, *Sel. Annu. Rev.*
409 *Anal.* 3 (1973) 59-138.

410 [3] W. Simon, E. Pretsch, D. Ammann, W.E. Morf, M. Güggi, R. Bissig, M. Kessler,
411 Recent developments in the field of ion selective electrodes, *Pure Appl. Chem.* 42
412 (1975) 613-626.

413 [4] J.V. Capella, A. Bonastre, R. Ors, M. Peris, In line river monitoring of nitrate
414 concentration by means of a Wireless Sensor Network with energy harvesting, *Sens.*
415 *Actuators B: Chem.* 177 (2013) 419–427.

416 [5] J.V. Capella, A. Bonastre, R. Ors, M. Peris, A step forward in the in-line river
417 monitoring of nitrate by means of a wireless sensor network, *Sens. Actuators B: Chem.*
418 195 (2014) 396-403.

419 [6] G. Dimeski, T. Badrick, A.S. John, Ion Selective Electrodes (ISEs) and interferences
420 – A review, *Clin. Chimica Acta* 411 (2009) 309-317.

421 [7] A.V. Legin, E.A. Bychkov, B.L. Seleznev, Y.G. Vlasov, Development and analytical
422 evaluation of a multisensor system for water quality monitoring, *Sens. Actuators B:*
423 *Chem.* 27 (1995) 377–379.

424 [8] R. Martínez-Máñez, J. Soto, E. García-Breijo, L. Gil, J. Ibáñez, E. Llobet, An
425 “electronic tongue” design for the qualitative analysis of natural waters, *Sens. Actuators*
426 *B: Chem.* 104 (2005) 302–307.

- 427 [9] A.V. Legin, A.M. Rudnitskaya, Y.G. Vlasov, C. di Natale, A. D'Amico, The features
428 of the electronic tongue in comparison with the characteristics of the discrete ion-
429 selective sensors, *Sens. Actuators B: Chem.* 58 (1999) 464–468.
- 430 [10] A.V. Mueller, H.F. Hemond, Extended artificial neural networks: Incorporation of *a*
431 *priori* chemical knowledge enables use of ion selective electrodes for *in-situ*
432 measurement of ions at environmentally relevant levels, *Talanta*, 117 (2013) 112-118.
- 433 [11] P. Bühlmann, E. Pretsch, E. Bakker, Carrier-Based Ion-Selective Electrodes and
434 Bulk Optodes. 2. Ionophores for Potentiometric and Optical Sensors, *Chem. Rev.* 98
435 (1998) 1593-1687.
- 436 [12] C.G. Zoski (Ed.), *Handbook of Electrochemistry*, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 2007.
- 437 [13] Y. Umezawa, P. Bühlmann, K. Umezawa, K. Tohda, S. Amemiya, Potentiometric
438 selectivity coefficients of ion-selective electrodes, *Pure and Applied Chemistry*, 72
439 (2000) 1851-2082.
- 440 [14] S. Oteafy, S.H. Hassanein, *Current hindrances in WSNs*, John Wiley & Sons, New
441 York, NY (USA) 2014.
- 442 [15] J. Suhonen, M. Kohvakka, V. Kaseva, T.D. Hämäläinen, M. Hännikäinen, *Low-*
443 *Power Wireless Sensor Networks*, Springer, New York, NY (USA), 2012.
- 444 [16] M.J. Moorkroft, J. Davis, R.G. Compton, Detection and determination of nitrate: a
445 review, 54 (2001) 785-803.
- 446 [17] Y. Liu, B.C. Seet, A. Al-Anbuky, Ambient Intelligence Context-Based Cross-
447 Layer Design in Wireless Sensor Networks, *Sensors* 14 (2014) 19057-19085.
- 448 [18] O. Casas, M. López, M. Quílez, X. Martinez-Farre, G. Hornero, C. Rovira, M.R.
449 Pinilla, P.M. Ramos, B. Borges, H. Marques, P. Girão, Wireless sensor network for
450 smart composting monitoring and control, *Measurement* 47 (2014) 483-495.
- 451 [19] J.V. Capella, A. Bonastre, R. Ors, M. Peris, A wireless sensor network approach for
452 distributed in-line chemical analysis of water, *Talanta* 80 (2010) 1789-1798.
- 453 [20] N. Zaman, K. Ragab, A.B. Abdullah, *Wireless Sensor Networks and Energy*
454 *Efficiency: Protocols, Routing and Management*, IGI Global, Hershey, PA (USA) 2012.
- 455 [21] E. Pretsch, The new wave of ion-selective electrodes, *TrAC Trends Anal. Chem.*
456 26 (2007) 46–51.
- 457 [22] G.W. Latimer (Ed.), *AOAC International, Official Methods of Analysis of AOAC*
458 *International*, 19th ed., AOAC, Washington D.C. 2012.
- 459
- 460

461 **Legends of figures**

462

463 **Figure 1.-** Structure of a nitrate smart sensor node. A = signal amplifier & conditioner.

464 **Figure 2.-** Calibration plots for the determination of nitrate, bicarbonate, and chloride using the
465 corresponding ion-selective electrodes. Activities are expressed in mol L⁻¹.

466 **Figure 3.-** Experimental results obtained in the presence of interferences. Values between
467 parenthesis on the right side of the figure denote the following: ([HCO₃⁻],[Cl⁻]) All
468 concentrations are expressed in mg L⁻¹.

469 **Figure 4.-** Experimental results obtained with interference tolerance. Values between
470 parenthesis on the right side of the figure denote the following: ([HCO₃⁻],[Cl⁻]) All
471 concentrations are expressed in mg L⁻¹.

472

473 **Table 1.-** Coefficients obtained in the regression analysis (concentrations in mg L⁻¹)

474

475

476

477

478

479

480

481

482

483

Coefficient	Value
Intercept	-0,108336504
[NO ₃ ⁻]	0,123178928
[Cl ⁻]	0,000920765
[HCO ₃ ⁻]	0,000386705

484 **Table 2.-** Initial concentration data set

485

<u>[NO₃⁻], mg L⁻¹</u>		<u>[Cl⁻], mg L⁻¹</u>		<u>[HCO₃⁻], mg L⁻¹</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>
0.5		125		50	
1.0	X	150	X	100	
1.5		175		150	64 experiments
2.0		200		50	

486