Document downloaded from:

http://hdl.handle.net/10251/63893

This paper must be cited as:

Pascual Seva, N.; San Bautista Primo, A.; López Galarza, SV.; Maroto Borrego, JV.; Pascual España, B. (2016). Response of drip-irrigated chufa (Cyperus esculentus L. var. sativus Boeck.) to different planting configurations: Yield and irrigation water-use efficiency. Agricultural Water Management. 170:140-147. doi:10.1016/j.agwat.2016.01.021.



The final publication is available at http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.agwat.2016.01.021

Copyright Elsevier Masson

Additional Information

1	Response of drip-irrigated chufa (Cyperus esculentus L. var. sativus
2	Boeck.) to different planting configurations: yield and irrigation water-
3	use efficiency
4	
5	N. Pascual-Seva ^a ; A. San Bautista ^b ; S. López-Galarza ^c ; J. V. Maroto ^d ; and B. Pascual ^e
6	
7	^a Dept. Producción Vegetal, Universitat Politècnica de València, Camino de Vera s/n,
8	46022 Valencia, Spain. E-mail: nupasse@prv.upv.es
9	^b Dept. Producción Vegetal, Universitat Politècnica de València, Camino de Vera s/n,
10	46022 Valencia, Spain. E-mail: asanbau@prv.upv.es
11	^c Dept. Producción Vegetal, Universitat Politècnica de València, Camino de Vera s/n,
12	46022 Valencia, Spain. E-mail: slopez@prv.upv.es
13	^d Dept. Producción Vegetal, Universitat Politècnica de València, Camino de Vera s/n,
14	46022 Valencia, Spain. E-mail: jmaroto@prv.upv.es
15	^e Dept. Producción Vegetal, Universitat Politècnica de València, Camino de Vera s/n,
16	46022 Valencia, Spain (corresponding author). E-mail: bpascual@prv.upv.es Phone
17	number: 0034-963877336 CORRESPONDING AUTHOR
18	
19	Abstract

A two-year study was conducted to analyse the yield and irrigation water-use efficiency of chufa crop in response to planting configuration and drip irrigation scheduling as a function of the volumetric soil water content. The planting configurations were: beds with three plant rows and three driplines (B3), beds with three plant rows and two driplines (B2), beds with two plant rows and two driplines (b), and ridges (R). The yield

25	was affected by the planting configuration; greater yields were obtained in beds (on
26	average 2.36 kg m ⁻²) than in R (2.14 kg m ⁻²). Considerably less irrigation water was
27	applied in R and in B2 than in beds B3 and b. The irrigation water-use efficiency was
28	affected by the planting configuration in the same line that the irrigation water was
29	applied, with greater values being obtained in B2 (7.58 kg m ⁻³) than in the R (6.63 kg m ⁻³)
30	³), which in turn was higher than B3 (5.92 kg m ⁻³) and b (5.69 kg m ⁻³). These values of
31	the irrigation water-use efficiency were considerably higher than those obtained in
32	previous experiments (based on the volumetric soil water content in the ridges). Neither
33	the yield nor the average tuber weight were affected by the position of the different
34	planting rows in the bed.

35

36 Keywords

37 Chufa yield; Automatic drip irrigation; Planting method

38 **1. Introduction**

39 Chufa, also known as tiger nut, is the botanical variety sativus of Cyperus esculentus L. Chufa is a typical crop from the Huerta Norte area of the Valencia Region in Spain, 40 41 where approximately 500 ha is dedicated to the chufa crop (MAGRAMA, 2014), with 6.5×10^6 kg of tubers grown annually at a value of 4 million Euros. Chufa is cultivated 42 for its tubers, which are mainly used to produce "horchata", which is a popular, 43 44 refreshing, and wholesome drink in Spain, although fresh chufa tubers can also be consumed on their own after soaking. Approximately 46×10^6 L of "horchata" is 45 produced annually, representing a retail market value of 32 million Euros (INE, 2014). 46 Due to the current interest in this crop, the Regional Administration of the Valencian 47 Community has developed specific legislation regarding chufa quality parameters 48 49 (CAPA, 2010). Increasing interest in chufa cultivation, primarily for food technology and biodiesel production, has also been reported in Brazil, Cameroon, China, Egypt, 50 Ghana, Hungary, the Republic of Korea, Poland, Turkey, and the USA (Abdel-Nabey, 51 52 2001; Coskuner et al., 2002; Djomdi et al., 2007; Matos et al., 2008; Asante et al., 53 2014).

In Spain, chufa is cultivated in rotation with other crops, such as potato, onion, lettuce, 54 55 escarole, and red cabbage. Chufa is grown in ridges, and irrigation is only performed by gravity systems, using water that is delivered by open channels, whose flow before has 56 not been previously measured or controlled. In the chufa cultivation area, water is 57 readily available and inexpensive; however, due to extended periods of drought and the 58 59 shift of water to other applications, the use of irrigation water may soon become subject 60 to regulation, increasing its cost (Pascual-Seva et al., 2013a), thus water productivity should be increased. Molden et al. (2003) reported two strategies for improving water 61 productivity: increasing the productivity per unit of water consumed (obtaining higher 62

yields) and lowering non-beneficial depletion (diminishing irrecoverable deep 63 64 percolation and surface runoff). Within this context, a line of research was initiated to identify the best practices for the management of the irrigation of chufa. The effect of 65 furrow irrigation on chufa yield has been addressed in previous research (Pascual-Seva 66 et al., 2013a, 2013b). In these studies, irrigation was optimised through the development 67 68 and validation of an empirical function that estimated the optimum irrigation time as a 69 function of flow discharge, and among other indices, the irrigation water-use efficiency (IWUE) was determined. The IWUE is an indicator to assess the efficient use of 70 irrigation water in crop production (Tolk and Howell, 2003). The term, as introduced by 71 72 Boss (1980), refers to the increase in yield under irrigated production compared to that 73 under dryland production in relation to the mass of the irrigation water that is furnished 74 to the field. But also the IWUE is also currently used to relate the yield to the volume of 75 irrigation water ($I_{applied}$; Tolk and Howell, 2003). The last definition is the one applied in the present study, as the chufa crop is not cultivated under dryland conditions in Spain. 76 77 Drip irrigation is an alternative to surface irrigation, especially in areas where water is scarce. When properly designed, installed and managed, drip irrigation systems usually 78 result in high uniformities and application efficiencies (Evans et al., 2007); furthermore, 79 80 the wetted area is smaller, as water is localised near the plant, thus decreasing soil evaporation in the early stages of the plant (Allen et al., 1998) and conserving water 81 compared to other irrigation methods. The first studies of this irrigation method 82 performed in chufa demonstrated that it could be an alternative to the traditional 83 irrigation (Pascual-Seva et al., 2015). Different refill points (soil moisture levels before 84 the start of each irrigation event) in ridges were compared; the two drip irrigation refill 85 points that maintained higher levels of soil moisture [80% and 90% of field capacity 86 (FC)] resulted in a higher yield $(2.40 \text{ kg m}^{-2} \text{ and } 2.80 \text{ kg m}^{-2}$, respectively) than the 87

traditional furrow irrigation (2.14 kg m⁻²) and resulted in a higher IWUE (4.22 kg m⁻³
on average for drip irrigation; 2.09 kg m⁻³ for furrow irrigation; Pascual-Seva et al.,
2015).

91 Leskovar et al. (2012) stated that it is questionable whether conventional cultivation in ridges results in maximum yield, being the optimization of plant density of great 92 importance in maximizing yield, particularly for root and tuber crops, such as chufa. 93 94 Although other planting systems are not common, most likely because of the special, locally made ridge forming and harvesting machines that are used for its cultivation, 95 slight changes in these machines would allow chufa to be grown in flat raised beds, as 96 discussed by Pascual-Seva et al. (2012). The study, conducted in furrow irrigation, with 97 beds with three and two planting rows and ridges were irrigated simultaneously and an 98 identical application time, resulted in higher yields and IWUE in flat raised beds (2.67 99 kg m⁻² and 3.96 kg m⁻³, respectively) than in ridges (2.47 kg m⁻² and 2.22 kg m⁻³, 100

101 respectively).

102 Considering that both planting in flat raised beds and drip irrigation studies resulted in 103 increased yield, and especially in increased IWUE, the authors decided to analyse the productive response and the IWUE in flat raised beds in relation to ridges, with furrow 104 and drip irrigation systems (Pascual-Seva et al., 2014). Irrigation management was 105 106 conducted as a function of the volumetric soil water content (VSWC) in the ridges, with 107 the beds and ridges irrigated simultaneously and with identical application time. The ridges produced a lower yield (on average 2.09 kg m^{-2}) than the beds with two plant 108 rows (2.30 kg m⁻²), and the yields with drip irrigation (2.33 kg m⁻²) were higher than 109 those obtained using furrow irrigation (2.07 kg m⁻²). Ridges led to the greatest IWUE 110 (4.88 kg m^{-3}) with drip irrigation and to the lowest (1.91 kg m^{-3}) with furrow irrigation. 111

112 Therefore, the herein presented study was designed to improve the IWUE obtained in113 drip irrigated flat raised beds.

The goals of this work were to study the effect of drip irrigation on the productive response and IWUE of chufa cultivated under different planting configurations and irrigation layouts, with irrigation schedules based on the VSWC in each type of planting configuration, and to compare the yield and average tuber weight in the different planting rows.

119

120 **2. Materials and methods**

121 2.1. Cultivation methods

122 This two-year study was conducted in an experimental plot with a total area of approximately 500 m² on the campus of the Universitat Politècnica de València, Spain 123 124 (39°38'N, 0°22'W), which lies within the primary chufa-producing area. According to 125 Papadakis's agro-climatic classification (MOPT, 1992), the climate is subtropical 126 Mediterranean (Su, Me), with hot, dry summers and an average annual rainfall of 127 approximately 450 mm that is distributed irregularly throughout the year, with approximately 40% falling in autumn. Figure 1 shows the most significant 128 129 meteorological data for the two experimental years expressed as monthly values, i.e., temperature and precipitation registered in the experimental plot, and the reference 130 131 evapotranspiration (ETo) as calculated by the Penman-Monteith equation considering the weather information obtained from an automated meteorological station located near 132 133 the experimental plot. 134 To avoid soil replant disorders resulting from serial chufa cropping, the experiments

were conducted in two non-consecutive years (2011 and 2013). The soils are deep with

a sandy texture (Table 1) and classified as anthropic torrifluvent according to the USDA

137 Soil Taxonomy (Soil Survey Staff, 2010). The soil was uniform in the top 0.25 m depth,

138 since it suffers a sieving when the tubers are harvested (in previous seasons), in

139 conjunction with seedbed preparation, which entails two crossed passes with a rotary

tiller. An analysis conducted from a homogenised sample from the top 0.25 m of soil

141 indicated that the soil had a moderately alkaline pH (8.2), was not saline [EC (1:5) 0.24-

142 0.27 dS m^{-1}] and was fertile (Table 1). The average soil bulk density was 1680 kg m⁻³,

and its porosity was 36.6 %.

144 The planting configurations (Figure 2) were: beds with three plant rows and three

driplines (B3); beds with three plant rows and two driplines (B2); beds with two plantrows and two driplines (b); and ridges (R).

147 Standard cultivation practices were followed during the crop period, as described by

148 Pascual et al. (1997). The dates corresponding to planting, straw burning, and tuber

harvesting were 15 April and 5 and 10 December 2011 and 10 April and 5 and 12

150 December 2013. The plots were furrowed at the time of planting with precision

151 mechanical planters. Tubers were planted at a depth of 0.1 m and spaced 0.1 m apart

within rows that, in turn, were spaced 0.3 m apart in beds. The ridges and beds were

made using a disk plough and a plough, coupled with the planters. The bed width in B3

and B2 was adapted to the existing harvesters; the flat raised part of the bed was 0.9 m

155 wide (the distance from bed centre-to-centre was 1.2 m). The distance from bed centre-

to-centre in b was 0.8 m, and R were spaced 0.6 m apart. The corresponding planting

densities were 180 kg ha⁻¹ (b, B2, and B3; in all cases 250,000 plants ha⁻¹) and 120 kg

 ha^{-1} (R; 167,000 plants ha^{-1}). The beds and ridges were oriented lengthwise from west to

east. The furrow length was 30 m, and the average slope was approximately 0.1%.

160 Fertilization management was in accordance with local practices. Basal dressing, which

161 was applied on the day before planting, consisted of 2 kg m⁻² sheep manure $[572 \text{ g kg}^{-1}]$

dry weight; 609 g kg⁻¹ organic matter dry weight] and 90 g m⁻² 15:15:15 (N: P_2O_5 :

163 K_2O). The top dressing was based on Hoagland's No. 2 nutrient solution (Maynard and

164 Hochmuth, 1997) [EC: 2.31 dS m⁻¹; pH adjusted to 6.1; macronutrient concentrations

165 (all in mM): NO₃⁻, 14.0; H₂PO₄⁻, 1.0; SO₄²⁻, 2.45; K⁺, 6.0; Ca²⁺, 4.0; and Mg²⁺, 2.0;

166 micronutrient concentrations (all in μ M): Fe²⁺, 15; Mn²⁺, 10; Zn²⁺, 5; B³⁺, 30; Cu²⁺,

167 0.75; and Mo^{6+} , 0.5], applied up to 3.12 g m⁻² N, fractionated in two alternate irrigation

168 events during the first two weeks of July through the irrigation system.

169

170 2.2. Irrigation management

Plants were irrigated using turbulent flow surface driplines with emitters $(2.2 \text{ L} \text{ h}^{-1})$ 171 spaced 0.25 m apart. The groundwater used for irrigation displayed no restrictions in 172 173 terms of salinity for non-sensitive crops, such as chufa, or permeability (EC = 1.6 dS m^{-1} ¹, SAR_(adjusted) = 2.9, pH = 7.4; Ayers and Westcot, 1994). The VSWC was continuously 174 175 monitored with a multi-depth capacitance probe (Cprobe; Agrilink Inc. Ltd., Adelaide, Australia) in the central line of one ridge or bed of each planting configuration, located 176 177 at half the length of the plot (15 m from the upper head) of the ridge and beds. The 178 multi-depth capacitance probe had sensors at depths of 0.1, 0.2 and 0.3 m that were 179 connected to a radio telemetry unit, which read the value of each sensor every 5 min and stored the average value for 15 min, as reported in Mounzer et al. (2008). Stored raw 180 181 data were sent by radio through a relay station and then to a gateway connected to a 182 computer for data analysis with the addVANTAGE software (ADCON telemetry GMbH; Vienna, Austria; Vera et al., 2009). Before installation in the field, each sensor 183 184 (of the multi-depth capacitance probe) inside its PVC access tube was normalised by taking voltage readings that were exposed to air (Va) and water (Vw) at $\approx 22^{\circ}$ C. The 185 scaled voltage is defined as (Va - Vs) / (Va - Vw), where Vs is the voltage in the soil 186

187 (Abrisqueta et al., 2012). Then, the scaled voltage values were converted to VSWC

using local calibration equations (Vera et al., 2009). The total rainfall and the emitter

flow rate (measured in one emitter per treatment) were recorded using automatic tippingbucket gauges that were connected to the radio telemetry unit.

191 Variations in VSWC in each planting configuration were used to determine in situ the

192 corresponding FC values [defined as the amount of water held in the soil after excess

193 water has drained away and the rate of the downward movement of water has materially

decreased (Veihmeyer and Hendrickson, 1931), and that coincided with the VSWC

195 when its change approached to zero over the elapsed time]. This agrees with Fares and

196 Alva (2000), who stated that given that the soil-water dynamics can not be assessed in

the laboratory, it would be desirably to measure FC in the field.

203

198 Irrigation scheduling was managed by initiating each irrigation event when VSWC

values at a soil depth of 0.1 m decreased to 85% of FC. This value was suggested such

that the soil never becomes dry enough to limit plant growth and yield, as stated by

Evett et al. (2007). The 0.1-m depth was selected given that this depth corresponds to

the maximum root density and water uptake by chufa plants (Pascual-Seva, 2011). The

irrigation time was set at 40 min (1.5 L emitter⁻¹), considering the results obtained in

204 previous experiments (Pascual-Seva et al., 2014; unpublished experiments carried out in

205 2009). Given that all irrigation events lasted 40 min, the $I_{applied}$ per event in each

206 configuration depended on the number of emitters per area, which was higher in B3 and

b (10 emitter m⁻²), than in B2 and R (6.7 emitter m⁻²), so that the $I_{applied}$ per event

corresponded to 14.67 mm in B3 and b and 9.83 mm in B2 and R. In 2013, the first

irrigation event lasted as long as in 2011, 40 min, but given that the soil was dry, 40 min

210 was not enough to increase VSWC up to the FC level; therefore, it was decided to

increase the irrigation duration to 120 min for the second irrigation event (and the thirdone in R).

213

214 2.3. Vegetative and productive parameters

Periodically, 10 plants, corresponding to 1 m of each plant row, were sampled from
each individual plot. The plant height was measured, and plants were divided into their
parts and analysed separately: (i) shoots and all of their leaves (hereinafter referred to as
'leaves') and (ii) tubers. After washing, each sampled plant part (leaves or tubers) was

weighed, and after being dried at 65°C in a forced-air oven (Model 297; JP Selecta,

Barcelona, Spain) for four days to obtain the dry weight and the harvest index, the ratio

of tuber to total biomass was calculated on a dry matter basis (Van der Veeken and

Lommen, 2009). At harvest, the fresh average tuber weight was determined by counting

and weighing tubers from a sample of approximately 500 g. The IWUE was calculated

as the relationship between the yield and the $I_{applied}$ (Tolk and Howell, 2003).

Immediately prior to harvest, 1 m from each of the planting rows (north, centre and

south, in B3 and B2; north and south, in b) was sampled to determine the yield and

227 average tuber weight to detect potential between-row differences.

228

229 2.4. Experimental design and statistical analysis

A randomised block design with three replications was used. Each replicate

combination consisted of a flat raised bed [b (consisting of an area of 24 m^2), B2 and B3

232 $(36 \text{ m}^2 \text{ for both})]$ or two ridges (36 m^2) . The data were analysed with an analysis of

variance using the statistical program Statgraphics 5.1 plus (Statgraphics Plus for

234 Windows 5.1, 2005; Statistical Graphics Corporation, Rockville, Maryland, USA).

235 Differences between the means were compared using an LSD test at $P \le 0.05$.

236

237 3. Results and discussion

238 3.1. Irrigation management

The FC at a depth of 0.1 m for all management strategies was 0.15 m³ m⁻³ in 2011 and 0.17 m³ m⁻³ in 2013; thus, the irrigation start for all management strategies was 0.13 m³ m⁻³ in 2011 and 0.14 m³ m⁻³ in 2013.

For the chufa crop within the traditional cultivation area, considering the positive results presented herein (yield up to 2.38 kg m⁻² and IWUE values up to 6.07 kg m⁻³) and those from previous studies [where the irrigation started when the VSWC at 0.1 m soil depth dropped to 80% and 90% (Pascual-Seva et al., 2014 and 2015; unpublished experiments

carried out in 2009)], it appears adequate to initiate each irrigation event when the

247 VSWC value at a 0.1-m soil depth decreased to 85% FC.

Figure 3 shows the VSWC for the different management strategies at 0.1-m and 0.3-m

249 depths during the vegetative growth stage until the autumn rains started, as well as the

250 water input from each rainfall event. The VSWC at 0.1-m and 0.3-m depths remained

within a relatively narrow range until the autumn rains began for all of the strategies,

both in 2011 and in 2013 (Figure 3).

Table 2 shows the seasonal rainfall, reference evapotranspiration (ETo), and an

estimation of the water requirements [ETo - Effective rainfall, considering ETo (instead

of ETc because Kc of chufa crop is unknown, and the Effective rainfall calculated from

rainfall data using the method of the U.S. Bureau of Reclamation (Stamm 1967) as

presented by Montoro et al. (2011)] from planting to 12 October (a date that can be

considered as the end of the irrigation period, coinciding with the last irrigation event in

259 2013), the number of irrigation events, and the $I_{applied}$ (total and per plant) for the

260 different planting configurations and both seasons. In general terms, the *I_{applied}* in 2011

261 (on average 335 mm) was lower than that in 2013 (on average 396 mm), agreeing with

lower amounts of estimated water required in 2011 (647 mm) than in 2013 (773 mm).

In 2011, rains occurred primarily in spring (Figure 1), thus delaying the start of

irrigation in relation to 2013 (13 June in 2011 vs. 28 May in 2013; Figure 3), and from

265 September – October, moving toward the end of the irrigation period (11 September in

266 2011 vs. 12 October in 2013), consequently reducing the number of irrigation events

267 (on average 27.8 in 2011 and 30.5 in 2013).

Regarding the percentage of *I_{applied}* in relation to the seasonal ETo - Effective rainfall, 268 these values represent 51.7% and 51.2%, respectively, for 2011 and 2013. These values 269 270 are lower than those that were obtained in previous studies, both in ridges (on average 93.8%; Pascual-Seva et al., 2015) and in flat raised beds (on average 141.8%; Pascual-271 272 Seva et al., 2014) in which the management was automated, with each event being 273 started when the VSWC at a 0.10-m depth in ridges reached 85% of the FC value and 274 stopped when the sum of the VSWC values at 0.10-m, 0.20-m and 0.30-m depths in 275 ridges reached the corresponding FC value. The percentages that were obtained in this 276 study show a better adjustment of the $I_{applied}$ to water requirements (considered better adjusted to the water retention capacity of the soil in the root zone) than in the previous 277 278 studies. Therefore, it can be stated that for drip irrigated flat raised beds, considerable 279 water savings are achieved when the irrigation start is scheduled based on its own VSWC instead of the VSWC in ridges, and the irrigation duration is based on time (40 280 281 min). 282 Irrigation water applied in R and in B2 (293 and 284 mm, respectively in 2011 and 362

and 342 mm in 2013) was smaller than in B3 and b (381 mm in both cases in 2011, and

425 and 455 mm for B3 and b, respectively, in 2013). Given that all of the irrigation

events (for all configurations) lasted 40 min, these differences are basically due to the

different number of emitters per area, which was higher in B3 and b than in B2 and R, as mentioned in Section 2.2. This difference was not offset by the increase in the number of irrigation events, with both seasons having more irrigation events in B2 and R than in B3 and b (Table 2). The $I_{applied}$ per plant (Table 2) was higher in R (167,000 plants ha⁻¹; 17.54 L plant⁻¹ in 2011 and 20.48 L plant⁻¹ in 2013) than in the flat raised beds (250,000 plants ha⁻¹; on average 13.9 and 15.5 L plant⁻¹ in 2011 and 2013, respectively)

293 The increase in VSWC at a depth of 0.3 m can be considered an indicator of deep percolation, given that roots do not reach this depth. Figure 3 shows that for all of the 294 295 planting configurations and both experimental seasons, the average VSWC at the 0.3-m 296 soil depth remained within a narrow range, indicating that deep percolation was smaller 297 in this irrigation management. Comparing the VSWC variations for each irrigation 298 event, larger variations per irrigation event are shown in B3 and R than in B2 and b. 299 This result is in part due to a lower level of adjustment to the water retention capacity of 300 the soil and in part by the different position of the probes in relation to the driplines 301 (under the pipelines in B3 and R) and to the different bed widths (Figure 2), which does not allow the percolation levels between the different bed types to be compared. To 302 303 compare the deep percolation among the different planting configurations and irrigation 304 layouts, probes should be placed at an identical distance from the emitter in every 305 strategy. In particular, probes should be located under the emitter, the most critical point for determining deep percolation. 306

307

308 3.2. Productive response

Figure 4 shows the changes in the plant height, average leaf and tuber biomass, and

310 harvest index for all of the management strategies. Although data were determined for

311 each plant row in each season, the average values are presented, as in Pascual-Seva et 312 al. (2015), given that there were no significant differences among the values 313 corresponding to different plant rows and the two growing seasons. The plant height 314 increased up to 102 cm (Figure 4) in agreement with Pascual-Seva et al. (2013a), and no differences were observed among planting configurations. Plant height and leaf biomass 315 peaked at the end of July; thereafter, leaf senescence began. Initially, the aboveground 316 317 biomass accounted for most of the plant biomass (harvest index < 0.5), but starting at the end of August, the aboveground biomass was exceeded by the tuber biomass 318 because of the translocation to the tubers and leaf senescence, which is consistent with 319 320 Pascual-Seva et al. (2014 and 2015). The lowest leaf and tuber biomass of plants, by surface unit, occurred in the case of R, a consequence of their lower planting density. 321 322 Nevertheless, there were no differences among harvest indexes because the lower values 323 of the two parameters for R were compensated for in their ratio. The lowest tuber 324 biomass of plants corresponded to R, which is consistent with the results obtained at harvest time on a plot scale (Table 3). 325 326 Table 3 presents the yield, the average tuber weight, and IWUE corresponding to the commercial harvest period. Tuber yield was significantly affected by the planting 327 configuration ($P \le 0.05$). The tuber yield obtained in R (2.14 kg m⁻²) was lower than that 328 obtained in b (2.36 kg m⁻²), B3 (2.38 kg m⁻²) and B2 (2.35 kg m⁻²), without any 329 330 differences ($P \le 0.05$) among them. 331 Despite the differences among growing seasons that are typical in traditional chufa 332 cultivation (Pascual-Seva et al., 2013a), tuber yield was unaffected ($P \le 0.05$) by the growing season, and their values are consistent with those obtained in previous 333 334 investigations conducted with drip irrigation, both in flat raised beds (Pascual-Seva et

al., 2014) and in ridges (Pascual-Seva et al., 2015). The average tuber weight was not 335 336 affected ($P \le 0.05$) by the planting configuration nor by the growing season. The optimization of plant density is of great importance in maximizing yield, 337 338 particularly for root and tuber crops (Leskovar et al., 2012), because excessive plant density per unit area may result in competition between plants for growth resources (as 339 solar radiation, water, and nutrients), whereas suboptimal densities lead to underuse of 340 these inputs (Caliskan, 2009; Leskovar et al., 2012). The increased yield with increasing 341 342 plant density up to a certain threshold may have occurred in a previously conducted study on flat raised bed furrow irrigation of chufa (Pascual-Seva et al., 2012), which 343 reported higher yield in beds with two planting rows (222,000 plants ha⁻¹) than in ridges 344 (167,000 plants ha⁻¹) and a significant interaction between the growing season and 345 planting configuration, with significant differences between beds with two and three 346 $(250,000 \text{ plants ha}^{-1})$ planting rows and between beds with three planting rows and 347 348 ridges, depending on the growing season. Similar results have been reported in other 349 root and tuber crops [i.e., potato (Caliskan et al., 2009) and onion (Brewster and Salter, 350 1980; Leskovar et al., 2012)]. In the present study, with the same plant density in b, B2 and B3 (250,000 plants ha⁻¹) and lower plant density in R (167,000 plants ha⁻¹), the 351 352 yield of R was significantly lower ($P \le 0.05$) than that of b, B2 and B3, with no 353 significant differences ($P \le 0.05$) observed between them. 354 Regarding the plant row layout, Howeler et al. (1993) demonstrated for both potato tuber yield and the root yield of sweet potato small differences between the yields as 355 356 obtained in row-ridge and in two-row bed planting methods. In potatoes, Essah and Honeycutt (2004) reported higher total yields and marketable yields for raised beds 357 358 using green-sprouted seed tubers, but this result was not observed for non-sprouted seed tubers. In sweet potatoes, Nasare et al. (2009) reported no significant increase in tuber 359

360 yield when broad bed furrows were used rather than ridges and furrows. Leskovar et al. 361 (2013) reported that a single line per bed for artichoke crops significantly increased the head number of jumbo and large sizes per plant compared to double lines in only one of 362 363 the two years of the study. In the present study, all bed types produced a higher yield than did R ($P \le 0.01$), but no significant differences ($P \le 0.05$) were detected among them, 364 365 nor among the average tuber weight as obtained for ridges and beds. In accordance with 366 these results and consistent with Mundy et al. (1999), the technique of using raised beds appears promising if the proper equipment (adapted to the bed dimensions) is available. 367 The IWUE was affected ($P \le 0.01$) by both the growing season and the planting 368 configuration (Table 3). The IWUE, in addition to the yield, depends on the $I_{applied}$, 369 370 which in turn depends on the evapotranspiration, the rainfall and its distribution; 371 therefore, its value may change with the growing season meteorology. With the 372 irrigation management presented herein, b and B3 resulted in statistically lower ($P \le 0.05$) IWUE values (5.69 and 5.92 kg m⁻³, respectively) than R (6.63 kg m⁻³), which 373 in turn was lower ($P \le 0.05$) than B2 (7.58 kg m⁻³). Given that yield in flat raised beds 374 375 resulted in higher values ($P \le 0.05$) than in R, with no differences between them, these differences in IWUE were a result of variations in *I_{applied}*, which were analysed in 376 377 section 3.1. The main goal of both researchers and growers is to increase either yields or 378 profits. Strategies to achieve maximum yield (although decreasing IWUE) are the most 379 profitable option when water availability is not an issue. However, if water is a limiting 380 factor, strategies to achieve maximum IWUE will be the best option. In this sense, it 381 should be stated that flat raised beds led to the highest yield, and B2 in particular led to the highest IWUE. 382 383 The IWUE values that were obtained in this experiment increased considerably

384 compared to previous investigations (on average 3.76 kg m⁻³, for beds with drip

385	irrigation automated as a function of the VSWC in ridges; Pascual-Seva et al., 2014).
386	Given that the corresponding yields were similar, these increases in the IWUE were a
387	consequence of a reduction in $I_{applied}$, particularly in $I_{applied}$ per event, adjusting $I_{applied}$ to
388	water requirements in each planting configuration and consequently reducing deep
389	percolation. Among the different studied drip irrigation management options for chufa
390	cultivated in flat raised beds (Pascual-Seva, 2011, Pascual-Seva et al, 2014 and the
391	herein presented results), it can be stated that in the traditional chufa cultivation area,
392	the best option is to start each irrigation event when the VSWC at a 0.10-m soil depth in
393	each bed decreases to 85% FC with irrigation over 40 min.
394	A comparison of the diverse planting rows in the different bed types (Tables 4 and 5)
395	shows that neither the yield nor the average tuber weight was affected by their position.
396	These results agree with those obtained in the previously cited studies on flat raised
397	beds with drip irrigation (Pascual-Seva et al., 2014) and furrow irrigation (Pascual-Seva
398	et al., 2012). Nevertheless, although they were not significant ($P \le 0.05$), higher values of
399	both parameters were observed for the north row; this trend could be related to a higher
400	soil moisture but must be analysed in further studies, with soil moisture sensors being
401	placed in the different planting rows. In beds with three plant rows, the use of two or
402	three driplines did not affect the yield or the average tuber weight, no differences were
403	observed between plant rows (Table 5), and higher IWUE values were obtained in B2.
404	Therefore, the two-dripline layout would be the most advisable option, given that it
405	requires a lower investment, and especially because it leads to the highest IWUE.
406	

4. Conclusions

408 Chufa planting in flat raised beds and using drip irrigation increases the yield relative to409 the traditional ridge planting system without diminishing the average tuber size;

therefore, it would be advisable for chufa growers to adopt these planting 410 411 configurations. For drip-irrigated flat raised beds, considerable water savings and consequent increases in the irrigation water-use efficiency are achieved when the 412 413 irrigation start is scheduled based on the soil moisture measured in each bed type and the irrigation duration is based on time. Beds with three plant rows using two driplines 414 415 lead to the highest irrigation water-use efficiency; thus, this layout would be the most 416 advisable option for chufa crop in the traditional cultivation area. In future studies, it would also be of interest to analyse the productive response of the chufa crop to 417 cultivation in two plant row beds with one dripline. 418 419 Acknowledgements 420 421 This study was funded by the Regulatory Council of Denomination of Origin Chufa of Valencia of Spain. 422 423 References 424 425 Abdel-Nabey, A.A. 2001. Chemical and technological studies on chufa (tiger nut) 426 tubers (Cyperus esculentus L.). Alex. J. Agric. Res. 46, 71-80. 427 Abrisqueta, I., Vera, J., Tapia, L.M., Abrisqueta, J.M., Ruiz-Sánchez, M.C., 2012. Soil 428 water content criteria for peach trees water stress detection during the postharvest period. Agric. Water Manage. 104, 62-67. 429 430 Allen, R.G., Pereira, L.S., Raes, D., Smith, M., 1998. Crop evapotranspiration. Guidelines for computing crop water requirements. FAO, Rome. 431 432 Asante, F.A., Oduro, I., Ellis, W.O., Saalia. F.K. 2014. Effect of planting period and site 433 on the chemical composition and milk acceptability of tigernut (Cyperus esculentus L) tubers in Ghana. Am. J. Food Nutr. 2, 49-54. 434 18

- Ayers, R.S., Westcott, D.W., 1994. Water quality for agriculture. FAO Irrigation and
 Drainage, 29. FAO, Rome.
- 437 Bos, M.G., 1980. Irrigation efficiencies at crop production level. ICID Bull. 29, 18-25.
- Brewster, J.L., Salter, P.J., 1980. The effect of plant spacing on the yield and bolting of
- two cultivars of overwintered bulb onions. J. Hort. Sci. Biotechnol. 55, 97-102.
- 440 Caliskan, M.E., Kusman, N., Caliskan, S. 2009. Effects of plant density and yield
- components of true potato seed (TPS) hybrids in early and main crop potato
 production systems. Field Crops Res. 114, 223-232.
- 443 CAPA [Regional Administration of the Valencian Community for Agriculture, Fishing
- and Food[[Conselleria de Agricultura, Pesca y Alimentación], 2010. Orden
- 445 17/2010, de la Conselleria de Agricultura, Pesca y Alimentación, por la que se
- 446 aprueba el texto del reglamento de la Denominación de Origen Protegida Chufa
- de Valencia y su Consejo Regulador. Diari Oficial de la Comunitat Valenciana.

448 Num. 6273/24.05.2010: 21055-21069.

- 449 Coskuner, Y., Ercan, R., Karababa, E., Nazlican, A.N. 2002. J. Sci. Food Agric. 82,
 450 625-631.
- 451 Djomdi, Ejoh, R., Ndjouenkeu, R. 2007. Soaking behaviour and milky extraction
- 452 performance of tiger nut (*Cyperus esculentus* L.) tubers. J. Food Eng. 78, 546453 550.
- Essah, S.Y.C., Honeycutt, C.W. 2004. Tillage and seed-sprouting strategies to improve
 potato yield and quality in short season climates. Am. J. Potato Res. 81, 177-186.
- 456 Evans, RG., Wu, I-P., Smajstrala, A.G. 2007. Microirrigation systems, in: Hoffman,
- 457 G.J., Evans, R.G., Jensen, M.E., Martin, D.L., Elliot, R.L. (Eds), Design and
- 458 operation of farm irrigation systems. ASABE, St Joseph, MI, USA, pp. 57-75.

- Evett, S.R., Howell, T.A., Tolk, J.A. 2007. Comparison of soil water sensing methods
 for irrigation management and research. Proc. Central Plains Irrig. Conf. 2007,
 Kearney, NE, pp. 1-19.
- 462 Fares, A., Alva, A.K., 2000. Evaluation of capacitance probes for optimal Irrigation of
- 463 citrus through soil moisture monitoring in an entisol profile. Irrig. Sci. 19, 57-64.
- Howeler, R.H., Ezumah, H.C., Midmore, D.J., 1993. Tillage system for root and tuber
 crops in the tropics. Soil Till. Res. 27, 211-240.
- 466 INE [Spanish Statistics Institute] [Instituto Nacional de Estadística], 2014. Encuesta
- 467 industrial de productos. http://www.ine.es/inebmenu/mnu_agricultura.htm.
- 468 Accessed 29 December 2014.
- 469 Leskovar, D.I., Agehara, S., Yoo, K., Pascual-Seva, N., 2012. Crop coefficient-based
- déficit irrigation and planting density for onion: growth, yield, and bulb quality.
 HortScience 47, 31-37.
- 472 Leskovar, D.I., Xu, C., Agehara, S., 2013. Planting configuration and plasticulture
- 473 effects on growth, physiology and yield of globe artichoke. HortScience 48, 1496-474 1501.
- 475 MAGRAMA [Spanish Ministry of Agriculture, Food and Environment] [Ministerio de
- 476 Agricultura, Alimentación y Medio Ambiente], 2014. Anuario de estadística
- 477 2013. <u>http://www.magrama.gob.es/es/estadistica/temas/publicaciones/anuario-de-</u>
- 478 <u>estadistica/2013/default.aspx?parte=3&capitulo=13&grupo=3&seccion=3</u>.
- 479 Accessed 18 August 2015.
- 480 Matos, F.J.A., Cavalcanti, F.S., Parente, J.P., 2008. Estudo agronômico qualitativo e
- 481 quantitativo de Cyperus esculentus L. (junça) Uma fonte inexplorada de
- alimento energético. Rev. Ciênc. Agron. 39, 124-129.

- 483 Maynard, D.N., Hochmuth, G.J., 1997. Knott's handbook for vegetable growers. John
 484 Wiley & Sons Inc., New York.
- 485 Molden, D., Murray-Rust, H., Sakthivadivel, R., Makin, I., 2003. A water-productivity
- 486 framework for understanding and action, in Kjine, J.W., Barker, R., Molden, D.
- 487 (Eds), Water productivity in agriculture. International Water Management
- 488 Institute, Colombo, pp. 1–18.
- Montoro, A., Lopez-Fuster, P., Fereres, E. 2011. Improving on-farm water management
 through an irrigation scheduling service. Irrig Sci 29, 311–319.
- 491 MOPT [Spanish Ministry of Public Works and Transport] [Ministerio de Obras Públicas
- 492 y Transportes], 1992. Guía para la elaboración de estudios del medio físico.
- 493 Ministerio de Obras Públicas y Transportes, Madrid.
- 494 Mounzer, O.H., Mendoza-Hernández, J.R., Abrisqueta-Villena, I., Tapia-Vargas, L.,
- 495 Abrisqueta-García, J.M., Vera-Muñoz, J., Ruiz-Sánchez, M.C., 2008. Soil water
- 496 content measured by FDR probes and thresholds for drip irrigation management497 in peach trees. Agr. Tec. México 34, 313-322.
- 498 Mundy, C., Greamer, N., Crozier, C.R., Wilson, L.G., 1999. Potato production on wide
- beds: impact on yield and selected soil physical characteristics. Am. J. Potato Res.
 76, 323-330.
- 501 Nasare, M.D., Dhonde, M.B., Gaikwad, C.B., 2009. Response of sweet potato to
- 502 pressurized irrigation under varying irrigation regimes and planting methods. J.
- 503 Maharashtra Agric. U. 34, 109-111
- 504 Pascual, B., Maroto, J.V., López-Galarza, S., Alagarda, J., Castell-Zeising, V., 1997. El
- 505 cultivo de la chufa. Estudios realizados. Generalitat Valenciana, Conselleria de
- 506Agricultura, Pesca y Alimentación, Valencia.

507	Pascual-Seva N., 2011. Estudios agronómicos sobre el cultivo de la chufa (Cyperus
508	esculentus L. var. sativus Boeck.): estrategias de riego, tipos de plantación,
509	absorción de nutrientes, y análisis fitoquímico. PhD thesis. Universitat Politècnica
510	de València, Valencia.
511	Pascual-Seva, N., San Bautista, A., López-Galarza, S., Maroto, J.V., Pascual, B., 2012.
512	Yield and Water Use Efficiency under Ridge and Bed Cultivated Chufa (Cyperus
513	esculentus L. var sativus Boeck.). Acta Horticulturae 936, 125-131.
514	Pascual-Seva, N., San Bautista, A., López-Galarza, S., Maroto, J.V., Pascual, B., 2013a.
515	Furrow-irrigated chufa crops in Valencia (Spain). I: Productive response to two
516	irrigation strategies. Span. J. Agric. Res. 11, 258-267.
517	Pascual-Seva, N., San Bautista, A., López-Galarza, S., Maroto, J.V., Pascual, B., 2013b.
518	Furrow-irrigated chufa crops in Valencia (Spain). II: Performance analysis and
519	optimization. Span. J. Agric. Res. 11, 268-278.
520	Pascual-Seva, N., San Bautista, A., López-Galarza, S., Maroto, J.V., Pascual, B., 2014.
521	Saving water in chufa cultivation by using flat raised beds and drip irrigation. J.
522	Irrig. Drain EASCE 140, 040130081-040130087.
523	Pascual-Seva, N., San Bautista, A., López-Galarza, S., Maroto, J.V., Pascual, B., 2015.
524	Response of nutsedge (Cyperus esculentus L. var sativus Boeck.) tuber production
525	to drip irrigation based on volumetric soil water content. Irrig Sci 33, 31-42.
526	Soil Survey Staff, 2010. Keys to soil taxonomy (11th ed.). USDA-NRCS, Washington,
527	D.C.
528	Soil Conservation Services, 1987. Soil Mechanics Level I. Module 3. USDA Textural
529	Classification. Study Guide. Soil Conservation Service. USDA.

- 530 Stamm G.G., 1967. Problems and procedures in determining water supply requirements
- 531 for irrigation projects. In: Hagan et al. (eds) Irrigation of agricultural lands.
- 532American Society of Agronomy.Wisconsin.
- Tolk, J.A., Howell, T., 2003. Water use efficiencies of grain sorghum grow in three
 USA southern Great Plains soils. Agric. Water Manage. 59, 97-111.
- Van der Veeken, A.J.H., Lommen, W.J.M., 2009. How planting density affects number
- and yield of potato minitubers in a commercial glasshouse production system.
 Potato Res. 52, 105-119.
- Veihmeyer, F.J., Hendrickson, A.H., 1931. The moisture equivalent as a measure of the
 field capacity of soils. Soil Sci. 32, 181-193.
- 540 Vera, J., Mounzer, O., Ruiz-Sánchez, M.C., Abrisqueta, I., Tapia, L.M., Abrisqueta,
- 541 J.M., 2009. Soil water balance trial involving capacitance and neutron probe
- 542 measurements. Agric. Water Manage. 96, 905-911.