

Masts and Towers

Ulrik STØTTRUP-ANDERSEN

Chairman of IASS Working Group No. 4 “Masts and Towers”
Technical Director, Ramboll Telecom
Teknikerbyen 31, DK-2830 Virum, Denmark
usa@ramboll.dk

Abstract

The analysis and design of masts and towers requires special knowledge and experience, especially when it concerns guyed masts. The special problems related to these structures are underlined by the many collapses during the years. The basis of design for such antenna supporting structures are sometimes many and often mutual contradictory, and the overall structural layout may have a dramatic effect on the loading on the structure. The loads are mainly meteorological from wind and ice and combination of these, and the dynamic nature of the wind has to be taken into account as masts and towers are more or less sensitive to dynamic loads. This paper gives a brief introduction to the problems related to the design, as well as several practical examples are mentioned. The aesthetic elements are becoming more and more important for antenna supporting structures and are also mentioned. The IASS Working Group No. 4 Masts and Towers is the only international forum for the exchange of knowledge and experience within the field of masts and towers, and this Working group is briefly mentioned in the paper.

Keywords: Design, Masts, Towers.

1. Introduction

Within the last decades the need for tall structures has accelerated with the requirements for effective communication especially the advent of radio, radar and television. Latest the exponential growth in the use of cellular phones has meant a new era for towers and masts, however smaller in height but larger in number.

There are many challenges for the engineers associated with these tall and slender structures, and many experts have stated that “a guyed mast is one of the most complicated structures an engineer may be faced with”. This statement is unfortunately underlined by the fact that the number of collapses of masts is relatively far greater than for other types of structures, for instance the tallest mast in the world, the 648m high long wave mast in Konstantynow, Poland collapsed in 1991, see figure 1.



Figure 1: The tallest mast in the World, the 648 m high long wave mast in Konstanynow, Poland collapsed in 1991

2. Basis of the design

Before starting the analysis and detailed design it is very important for the designer to establish the basic requirements for the actual structure. Here the professionalism of both the client and the designer and their co-operation is vital for the result.

Unfortunately the situation is quite often a combination of a not too experienced client hiring a designer who is not familiar with the special problems associated with design of masts and towers. Over the years this combination has created many structures that are not suitable for the intended purpose, that are too safe or more often are unsafe. The latter has in some incidences lead to failures or even a total collapse of the structure.

Sometimes compromises are necessary. A single structure is often required to carry UHF omni directional aerials, VHF radio, microwave link dishes, telephone arrays as well as various monitoring aerials, etc.

Some of the factors to be considered by the client and the designer are for instance:

- mean aerial height for each aerial system,
- directions for the various directional antennas,
- wind drag on each element of the array and dependent on wind direction,
- size, weight and disposition of all feeders and cables,
- the permitted angular rotations in azimuth and elevation of each aerial above which the broadcast signal is significantly reduced,
- the need for all-weather access to some of the aerials,
- besides the known antenna and aerial configuration the possible future extension should be defined,

- atmospheric ice formation on the structure and aerials and its likelihood to occur with high wind,
- wind drag of the structure itself without ice and with ice if feasible,
- the degree of security required,
- the available ground area and access to the site,
- the geological nature of the site,
- the overall cost of land, foundations and structure,
- the cost and implications of future maintenance or structural replacement,
- any special planning considerations imposed by statutory bodies,
- the aesthetic appearance of the structure.

The above list is far from being complete, and any one of these factors can influence, or even define, the primary choice of the optimum layout of the structure. Should the structure be a guyed mast or should it be a free-standing tower is quite often a choice to be taken.

3. Design

The design of towers and masts is normally quite integrated with the analysis, and the optimal design often requires a series of ping-pong between what may be called design and the analysis. The first step is the choice of the overall layout of the appropriate structure, and this choice is influenced by a number of factors that in some instances even can be conflicting. Having chosen the principal layout of the structure it is necessary to undertake some preliminary designs, for instance of different cross-sections, bracing configurations, profiles for structural members, etc. as this information is necessary input for the analysis.

As the predominant loading of towers and masts is nearly always the wind load, it is important to calculate the wind resistance of the structure, including its ancillaries such as ladders and platforms, aerials and associated feeders and cables as accurately as possible. It is also important to minimise the wind resistance of the structure itself. For instance is the wind resistance of a lattice structure very much dependent on the choice of cross-section - triangular or square, the bracing pattern and especially the types of profiles - circular or flat-sided, used for legs and bracing.

For example, a self-radiating medium-wave antenna mast, in which the mast itself acts as the antenna it is only the structure itself including the climbing ladder, which causes the wind resistance, as there are no antennas, cables, feeders, etc. in the mast. Using round profiles for legs and bracing the wind resistance is smaller than for flat-sided profiles, such as angular. A triangular cross-section of the mast instead of a square cross-section also reduce the wind resistance further, see figure 2 and figure 3. Hence a triangular mast constructed entirely of solid round bars where also the ladder is made of solid round bars will often result in the minimum wind resistance. Unfortunately round bars are a poor profile for resisting compression forces, but despite of this such a structural outline is often an optimal solution. The relative weight of a triangular mast

with solid round members and a square mast using angle sections could, for a 200m mast perhaps be 100 tons against 300 tons.




Figure 2: Drag coefficient of square structures




Figure 3: Drag coefficient of triangular structures

Some antenna masts and towers are so heavily equipped with antennas, cables, feeders, etc. that the wind resistance for the structure itself is not that important even though careful considerations should be taken in the total optimisation, see figure 4. Also for masts and towers situated in locations where severe atmospheric icing occurs, the ice may completely block the structure so the choice of both cross-section and the profiles for members is not governed by the wind resistance of the bare structure without ice.




Figure 4: Some masts are so heavily equipped with antennas so the wind resistance of the structure itself is not so important, and some masts are exposed to atmospheric icing which in combination with wind will decide the design.

For self-supporting towers the choice of both cross-section, triangular or square, as well as the profiles for the legs and the bracing members will also depend on more practical issues, as for instance the slenderness of the members, the practical profile sizes, their price and delivery time, the possibilities of a rational and cheap production especially of the connections, the facilities for hot dip galvanising, transportation and erection, etc. When it for the self-radiating medium-wave antenna mast may be optimal to use an all welded triangular mast in solid round bars, see figure 5, the same principle may not be feasible if it concerns a relatively high self-supporting tower, as the round bars poor stiffness will result in much too high consumption of steel.




Figure 5: Self-radiating medium-wave mast in solid round bars

In order to reduce the delivery costs it is not only important to reduce the costs of the raw material but also the costs of manufacturing. For towers produced of angular profiles the costs of the manufacturing are rather low since normally the joints consists of bolts and plates and no welding is included. The joints for lattice sections of circular profiles are traditionally more complicated and time consuming. As an example hereof the bolted joint between the leg and diagonals is mentioned. This has traditionally been rather complicated with gusset plates welding etc. as shown in Figure 6. This picture is taken from a series of standard towers to Connect-Austria. However the towers were very competitive and more than 800 of these towers were delivered within a short period.



Figure 6: Traditional joint between diagonal and legs, both circular tubes. Austria

As an example of design for a series of standard antenna towers with heights from 30m to 72m, it may be mentioned that the optimum solution showed to be a triangular tower with legs and bracing in circular tubes. Tubes are very effective profiles when the design forces are compression forces as they have a large stiffness for a small steel area, and this means that the lattice structure may be quite “open” minimising the number of structural elements. The series of towers are shown in figure 7.




Figure 7: Series of standard antenna towers for UMTS Network in Sweden, with heights from 30 m to 72 m

On the other hand is the material price per kilo steel for tubes in general higher compared with other profiles like angles and solid round bars, as well as the connections in a tubular lattice structure normally involves welding on legs and bracing members. For the standard series of towers a new type of simple connections was introduced so bolts could be used to assembly legs and diagonals without welding. The ends of the circular tubes are squeezed partly permitting the end to be pushed over the special designed gusset plates, as illustrated in figure 8. The gusset plate is prepared in a way that is possible to exchange the diagonals.




Figure 8: Principles of the assembly of the diagonals

When using circular tubes as legs, welding can not be totally avoided and involves welding of gusset plates and flanges for the joints of the legs, but when welding is necessary it is normally a good idea to minimise the number of elements that need welding.

In general guyed masts are more cost effective than self-supporting towers for supporting of normal antennas. It is not possible to give a precise height above which the guyed mast is optimal as this height also depends on other factors as for instance the cost of land for the specific location, but quite often guyed masts are used for heights more than 60 to 80 m. A kind of a hybrid solution where a guyed masts is placed on the top of a concrete tower has been adopted for main telecommunication stations where many parabolics shall be placed in moderate heights as well as the station have radio and TV antennas in high levels. The parabolics that are sensitive to rotations of the supporting structure are mounted on the rather stiff concrete tower, while the less directional sensitive antennas for radio and TV are supported by the guyed mast made of steel, see figure 9.



Figure 9: The parabolics are fixed to the stiff concrete tower

When optimising the overall layout of guyed masts the same principles as mentioned above concerning the choice of cross-section and of profiles is valid, but many more parameters than for towers influence the optimisation of masts. In general the number of guy directions should be three, but the number of guy levels has an important influence on the design, as well as the number and the placing of the guy foundations. The geometrical requirements to the antennas may to a certain degree govern the placing of the guy levels in the mast, but many other factors have influence on the design. In some countries there are a tradition for using more guy levels than in other countries and this may also be caused by the local codes and standard for the analysis and design. For instance are more guy levels used in North America, where quite simple static gust factor analysis has been codified up to very recently. Atmospheric icing may also have a direct influence on the optimum number of guys, and in areas with heavy icing it is normally a good idea to minimise the number of guys as well as the optimum guy inclination should be steeper than for masts without icing.

Another choice to be taken in the conceptional design phase is whether the mast base should be fixed to the mast foundation or it should be pinned. Even though the design of a fixed mast base is quite simple compared to a pinned masts base connection, the latter should nearly always be adopted, see figure 10 The fixed mast base require that the mast foundation can bear the relatively large bending moments from the mast shaft, as well as the fixed mast is very sensitive to settlement of the foundation. If the design of the mast shaft shall have any benefit of the fixed base it also require that the lowest set of guys is rather stiff. Despite of this surprisingly many guyed masts have fixed mast base,

and when reanalysing of existing structures it have in many instances showed to be an effective part of a modification for overload to change the fixed base to a pinned joint.




Figure 10: Mast base foundation with pinned mast bearing

3.1. Special structural design

In a guyed mast the detailing of the attachment of the guys to the mast and especially to the guy foundation is of utmost importance. It is essential that the guys can pivot as freely as possible at their attachments as any tendency to restrain the guys may result in fatigue damage. The guys will inevitably vibrate more or less due to the wind on the mast and especially due to the wind, perhaps in combination with ice, on the guys themselves. In carefully designed masts such details can be designed to achieve the highest possible freedom to pivot in all connections.

At the attachment of the guys to the guy foundations an adjustable tension system is incorporated to apply initial tension to the guys. The tension system must account for small inaccuracies in the initial guy lengths as well for future possible creep of the stranded guy ropes, see figure 11.



Figure 11: Guy attachment to foundation with tension system

Tower and mast shaft foundations are very simple unless unusual soil conditions are encountered. However the guy foundation design is a little more sophisticated, as it needs to resist sliding, overturning and uplift. The most structurally efficient method is by attaching the guys to a vertical concrete web plate. On the front is a vertical plate fixed perpendicularly to the web plate to resist the horizontal component of the guy reactions while a horizontal concrete slab, together with the weight of the soil above, resist the vertical components of the guy reaction. Figure 12 shows a sketch of a typical guy foundation.




Figure 12: Guy foundation

4. Aesthetics in the design of masts and towers

Whilst the average height of new masts and towers, at least in Europe, is decreasing the rapid extension of local and commercial radio and TV channels combined with the explosion in the use of cellular telephones calls for more and more masts and towers. This considerable increase in the number of new structures has given increased importance in many countries to the aesthetic appearance of these structures, and their impact on the landscape. This has already resulted in more attention and concern from telecommunication companies towards an aesthetic approach in the layout and design of new antenna supporting structures. This is a new challenge to the designers and today a new mast or tower design often involves a close co-operation between architects and engineers while in the past engineers/fabricators traditionally undertook the total design. There are several examples of new designs of antenna supporting structures where the aesthetic elements pay a dominant role in the design, and in the future this will surely be a natural part of the design phase, see figure 13.



Figure 13: Aesthetic tower for mobile communications (The ID-Tower which received the Danish ID-Award 1999 for outstanding industrial design)

5. The IASS Working Group No 4 Masts and Towers

In 1968 the IASS (International Association for Shell and Spatial Structures) appointed a new working group to deal with masts and towers. The main reason for creating a working group within this field was the need for international codes and standards especial focussing on these special structures. Especially for guyed masts there had been several collapses pointing out the lack of well documented rules and design approaches in the international context.

The first years of its life the Working Group focussed on creating international recommendations for guyed masts. These recommendations were published by the IASS in 1981, and have since then been the basic document behind the modern codes and standards for analysis and design of masts and towers.

Having published the recommendations for guyed masts the IASS WG 4 have been working with developing other recommendations relating to masts and towers, but the main focus for the group is to be the international forum for the exchange of experience and knowledge on masts and towers. The members of the Working group are representing the owners of masts and towers, the fabricators and contractors, the researchers primarily from universities, and consulting engineers designing masts and towers.




Figure 14: Two Recommendations of the WG 4 and published by the IASS

References

- [1] Nielsen, M.G., “Advantages of using Tubular profiles in Telecommunications Structures”, 11th International Symposium on Tubular Structures, August 2006, Quebec, Canada.
- [2] Støttrup-Andersen, U., “Masts and Towers for the UMTS Network in Sweden”, EuroSteel 2005, Maastricht Nederland, June 2005
- [3] Støttrup-Andersen, U., “Analysis and Design of Masts and Towers”, Structural World Congress, San Francisco, 1998.
- [4] “Eurocode 3: Design of Steel Structures, Part 3.1: Towers and Masts”, ENV 1993-3-1, June 1997.
- [5] Leuchsenring, P., Støttrup-Andersen, U., “Aesthetic Approach to Mast and Tower Design”, IASS Symposium, Singapore, November 1997.
- [6] Smith, B.W., Støttrup-Andersen, U., “Towers and Masts: The Past, Present and the Future”, IASS Colloquium, Madrid, April 1997.
- [7] Smith, B.W., Støttrup-Andersen, U., “Development of the Eurocode for Towers, Masts and Chimneys”, CSCE Annual Conference, Sherbrooke, May 1997.
- [8] Nielsen, M.G., “Comparison of Maximum Dynamic Response for Guyed Masts using four different Methods of Analysis”, IASS WG4, Winchester, September 1995.
- [9] Støttrup-Andersen, U., “The 12 new 300 m Masts for the Danish Channel 2”, RAMBØLL Bulletin No. 5, June 1989.