

Document downloaded from:

<http://hdl.handle.net/10251/66087>

This paper must be cited as:

Santiago Felipe, S.; Tortajada-Genaro, LA.; Morais, S.; Puchades, R.; Maquieira Catala, À. (2015). Isothermal DNA amplification strategies for duplex microorganism detection. *Food Chemistry*. 174:509-515. doi:10.1016/j.foodchem.2014.11.080.



The final publication is available at

<https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2014.11.080>

Copyright Elsevier

Additional Information

# 1 Isothermal DNA amplification strategies for 2 duplex microorganism detection

3 Sara Santiago-Felipe, Luis Antonio Tortajada-Genaro, Sergi Morais, Rosa Puchades,  
4 Ángel Maquieira\*  
5 Centro de Reconocimiento Molecular y Desarrollo Tecnológico (IDM) - Departamento  
6 de Química, Universidad Politécnica de Valencia, Camino de Vera s/n, 46022 Valencia,  
7 Spain.

8

## 9 ABSTRACT

10 A valid solution for micro-analytical systems is the selection of a compatible  
11 amplification reaction with a simple, highly-integrated efficient design that allows the  
12 detection of multiple genomic targets. Two approaches under isothermal conditions are  
13 presented: recombinase polymerase amplification (RPA) and multiple displacement  
14 amplification (MDA). Both methods were applied to a duplex assay specific for  
15 *Salmonella* spp. and *Cronobacter* spp., with excellent amplification yields ( $0.2-8.6 \cdot 10^8$   
16 fold). The proposed approaches were successfully compared to conventional PCR and  
17 tested for the milk sample analysis as a microarray format on compact disc (support and  
18 driver). Satisfactory results were obtained in terms of resistance to inhibition,  
19 selectivity, sensitivity ( $10^1-10^2$  CFU/mL) and reproducibility (below 12.5%). The  
20 studied methods are efficient and cost-effective, with a high potential to automate  
21 microorganisms detection by integrated analytical systems working at a constant low  
22 temperature.

23

24 Keywords: isothermal DNA amplification; pathogens; milk; microarraying

25

26 \*Corresponding Author. Tel.: +34 963877342; fax: +34 963879349

27 E-mail: [amaquieira@qim.upv.es](mailto:amaquieira@qim.upv.es) (A. Maquieira).

28

## 29 **1. Introduction**

30 The development of effective detection methods for pathogenic microorganisms covers  
31 several areas, such as food safety, environmental monitoring and clinical diagnostics.  
32 Microbiological methods are replaced with those based on DNA, where amplification  
33 with polymerase chain reaction (PCR) is the most widespread approach. For instance,  
34 automation of DNA amplification enables the use of portable microdevices,  
35 multiplexing, reduced sample volumes and reagents, and prevents contamination risks  
36 (Asiello, & Baeumner, 2011). Efforts are being made to overcome its drawbacks for  
37 extended point-of-need applications; for example, increasing multiplexing ability or  
38 reducing costs, time analysis and technical requirements. Yet the integration of nucleic  
39 acid amplification into microdevices, such as digital PCR or lab-on-a-chip, is complex,  
40 and several issues must be resolved. PCR demands accurate temperature control and  
41 rapid thermocycling at between 55°C and 95°C. When initiating a specific step in the  
42 PCR, temperature fluctuation results in over- and under-shooting (Kim, Yang, Bae, &  
43 Park, 2008). High temperatures also lead to variations in the volume reaction and gas  
44 bubble formation, which are the main causes of PCR failure in lab-on-a-chip devices  
45 (Nakayama, Hiep, Furui, Yonezawa, Saito, Takamura, & Tamiya, 2010). Consequently,  
46 the design of simple, cost-efficient systems is no trivial matter, particularly when  
47 integrating sample preparation and/or multiplex detection into the same platform.

48 The use of enzymes mimicking DNA replication *in vivo* conditions is an alternative to  
49 conventional DNA polymerases (Gill, & Ghaemi, 2008). Thus amplification can be  
50 performed using a simple thermoblock, peltier or oven at a fixed temperature. The  
51 commonest isothermal methods are strand displacement amplification (SDA), nucleic  
52 acid sequence-based amplification (NASBA), helicase-dependent amplification (HDA),  
53 isothermal recombinase polymerase amplification (RPA), loop-mediated isothermal  
54 amplification (LAMP), and multiple displacement amplification (MDA) (Zanoli, &  
55 Spoto, 2013, Yan, Zhou, Zheng, Gamson, Roembke, Nakayama, & Sintim, 2014). The  
56 performance of RPA (Piepenburg, Williams, Stemple, & Armes, 2006) and MDA (Dean  
57 et al., 2002) offers a quite interesting high-throughput analytical system, as  
58 demonstrated in a bright approach (digital RPA) proposed for the detection of a single  
59 pathogen on a chip (Shen, Davydova, Du, Kreutz, Piepenburg, & Ismagilov, 2011).  
60 However, these methods have not been described for multiplex strategies.

61 Several factors should be considered when integrating an amplification reaction for a  
62 high-capacity analytical platform, such as microarrays, including the compatibility of

63 the amplification mechanism with multiplexing detection because other isothermal  
64 reactions are intrinsically limited to one target analyte (e.g., LAMP). Very few data  
65 describing analytical performances are available given the novelty of these  
66 amplification methods for analytical purposes. For instance, information about  
67 properties, such as temperature tolerance or the effect of inhibitors from a sample  
68 matrix, is scarce or even null.

69 The present research work deals with the potential integration of RPA (sequence-  
70 specific method) and MDA (massive method) into a duplex system. This study, for  
71 which *Salmonella* spp. and *Cronobacter* spp. were the chosen targets, was based on  
72 food safety and environmental monitoring or clinical diagnostics (Cahill, Wachsmuth,  
73 Costarrica, & Embarek, 2008, Hyeon, Park, Choi, Holt, & Seo, 2010, Derzelle, &  
74 Dilasser, 2006, Wang et al., 2009). As there is clear evidence for a causal association  
75 between the presence of *Salmonella* spp. and *Cronobacter* spp. in food and illness in  
76 humans, infections from these microorganisms have been documented as both sporadic  
77 cases and outbreaks (Codex Alimentarius Commission, 2008). Several methods have  
78 been proposed to determine the presence/absence of these specific bacterial pathogens.  
79 Contamination of infant milk has been extensively reported, based on traditional  
80 microbiological examination and DNA-based techniques, including culture enrichment  
81 and PCR amplification. The method was applied in milk samples to evaluate duplex  
82 isothermal amplification. Furthermore, DVD (Digital Versatile Disc) has been used as  
83 low-cost, integrated effective microarray platforms and detection technology (Morais,  
84 Tortajada-Genaro, Arandis-Chover, Puchades, & Maquieira, 2009, Siegrist, Peytavi,  
85 Bergeron, & Madou, 2010) to demonstrate the concept by simultaneously quantifying  
86 both pathogens with a view to future screening applications (allergens, GMOs, species  
87 identification, etc.).

88

## 89 **2. Material and methods**

### 90 **2.1. Amplification protocols**

91 The target gene *hns*, which codes for a DNA-binding protein, was selected because it is  
92 conserved in all the *Salmonella* spp. The specific target for the *Cronobacter* spp. species  
93 was located in the 16S-23S rDNA internal transcribed spacer sequence.

94 PCR mixtures (25  $\mu$ L) consisted of 5 ng of extracted genomic DNA, 1 $\times$  Tris-KCl buffer  
95 (100 mmol/L Tris-HCl, 500 mmol/L KCl, pH 8.3), 2 mmol/L MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 200  $\mu$ M dNTPs,  
96 1.25 units of Taq DNA polymerase (Roche, Mannheim, Germany) and 400 nmol/L of

97 each primer (Table S-1). The thermal program was: denaturation (95°C, 7 min) followed  
98 by 40 cycles of denaturation (95°C, 30 s), annealing (59°C, 30 s) and elongation (72°C,  
99 30 s), and a final elongation (72°C, 4 min).

100 RPA reactions (25 µL) were performed by adding 5 ng of genomic DNA from  
101 inoculated milk samples and 240 nmol/L of the primer pairs (Table S-1) to the  
102 reconstituted solution of enzymes, nucleotides and buffer (TwistDx, Cambridge, UK).

103 The duplex reactions were carried out in an oven (40°C, 40 min).

104 MDA reactions (25 µL) were performed with final concentrations of 2 ng of genomic  
105 DNA, 1× MagniPhi buffer reaction (X-Pol Biotech, Madrid, Spain) and 50 µmol/L of  
106 random hexamer primer. After heating (95°C, 3 min), 500 µmol/L dNTPs, plus phi29  
107 polymerase (1 U), were added and the reaction was run in an oven (35 °C, 4.5 h) until a  
108 final inactivation step at 65°C (10 min).

109

## 110 **2.2. Bacterial strains, milk samples and DNA extraction**

111 *Salmonella* serovar Typhimurium group B (CECT 443) and *Cronobacter sakazakii*  
112 (ATCC BBA-894) were used as reference strains (positive controls). Milk products,  
113 bought in local food stores, were inoculated with both pathogens. Inoculation assays  
114 were prepared by adding 10-fold serial dilutions of an 18-hour culture in sterile saline  
115 solution (0.8% NaCl) to cover a range from 0 to 4·10<sup>4</sup> CFU g<sup>-1</sup> for each pathogen.  
116 Genomic DNA was extracted from bacterial cultures and samples using the DNeasy  
117 Blood & Tissue Kit (Qiagen, Inc., Valencia, CA, USA).

118

## 119 **2.3. Analysis of amplification products**

120 Amplification products were separated by electrophoresis on 3% (w/v) agarose gel, 1×  
121 TBE buffer (89 mmol/L Tris base, 89 mmol/L borate, 2 mmol/L EDTA, pH 8) at 120 V  
122 and room temperature. Gels were stained for 30 min with 1× TBE containing 0.01%  
123 (v/v) of SYBR-Safe (Life Technologies, Carlsband, CA), and bands were visualized on  
124 an UV transilluminator. Size was determined by comparing with a 50-bp ladder. Single  
125 amplification yields were calculated from the fluorescence measurements with SYBR-  
126 Safe at 0.01% (v/v) in a microtiter plate reader (Wallac, model Victor 1420 multilabel  
127 counter, Turku, Finland).

128

## 129 **2.4. Post-amplification protocol**

130 Two post-amplification protocols were assayed: restriction enzyme digestion and  
131 sonication.

132 The EcoNI enzyme (Fermentas, Vilnius, Lithuania), also called XagI, produced the  
133 double-stranded cut of sequence CCTNN-N-NNAGG, which was closed to the target  
134 region of both pathogens. The distance to the target region was 41 bp for *Salmonella*  
135 spp. and 92 bp for *Cronobacter* spp. The digestion conditions were optimized:  
136 temperature (30-45 °C), time (1-16 h) and restriction enzyme units (1-4 U). The optimal  
137 protocol (37 °C, 8 h and 2 U of restriction enzyme) was performed in a total volume of  
138 32 µL by adding 3.2 µL of 10× digestion buffer (10 mmol/L Tris-HCl, 10 mmol/L  
139 MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 100 mmol/L KCl, 0.1 mg/mL BSA, pH 8.5), 10 µL of amplification product  
140 and 3.2 µL of the EcoNI enzyme solution (20 U). After the reaction, the restriction  
141 enzyme was inactivated by incubation at 65°C for 20 min.

142 For the second option, small DNA fragments were obtained by sonication (UP200S  
143 ultrasonic disruptor, Hielscher, Teltow, Germany) using a microtip (1 mm in diameter)  
144 by applying 10 cycles (30 s ON, 30 s OFF) at 24 the kHz operating frequency, pulse 0.5  
145 s and 70% amplitude. Vials were cooled in an ice bath to maintain sample integrity.

146

## 147 **2.5. Inhibition assays**

148 The amplification yield was evaluated in the presence of potential inhibitors. To that  
149 end, skimmed cow's milk samples and powdered infant formulas were added to the  
150 amplification mixtures to obtain a final concentration ranging from 0.05% to 20% (v/v).  
151 The effect of the Ca<sup>2+</sup> ion was determined by adding CaCl<sub>2</sub> (0.2-8 mmol/L) to the  
152 amplification solutions.

153

## 154 **2.6. Addressing a biosensor based on DVD technology**

155 Amplification products were analyzed by DVD-technology (Tortajada-Genaro,  
156 Santiago-Felipe, Morais, Gabaldón, Puchades, & Maquieira, 2012). Streptavidin (10  
157 mg/L) and 5'-biotinylated probes (50 nmol/L), listed in Table S.1, were spotted on the  
158 polycarbonate surface of a digital versatile disk (DVD), and 10 arrays were printed  
159 (6×6). Amplified products (1 µL) were mixed with 49 µL of hybridization buffer (NaCl  
160 750 mmol/L, sodium citrate 75 mmol/L, formamide 25%, pH 7), heated (95°C, 5 min)  
161 and dispensed onto sensing arrays to perform the simultaneous analysis of 10 samples.  
162 After hybridisation (37°C, 60 min) and washing, 1 mL of anti-digoxigenin antibody-  
163 HRP solution in PBS-T (1: 500) was dispensed onto the DVD (room temperature, 30

164 min). After washing, 1 mL of the 3,3',5,5'-tetramethylbenzidine solution was dispensed  
165 and incubated for 8 min. After the recognition process and the developer reaction, the  
166 disc was placed into the DVD-drive and scanned by laser, and reflected light was  
167 measured. In the absence of a solid deposit (reaction product), the reflection properties  
168 of the DVD surface remained unchanged and the maximum intensity of the reflected  
169 beam was collected by the DVD drive (background signal). However when the laser hit  
170 a microarray spot, the reflected laser beam attenuated and, consequently, the laser beam  
171 intensity that reached the photodiode of the DVD pickup diminished (Figure S-1). By  
172 means of data acquisition software, a microarray image was generated, and the signal of  
173 each spot correlated with pathogen concentration. Assay sensitivity was established by  
174 analysing the bacterial DNA extracts obtained by serial dilution (0.1 to 10<sup>5</sup> CFU/mL).  
175 Limits of detection (LODs) were calculated as the pathogen concentration that produced  
176 a signal-to-noise ratio of 3. Assay reproducibility, expressed as relative standard  
177 deviations (RSDs), was calculated from triplicates. The microarray layout (6×6) on a  
178 compact disc consisted in four blocks (specific for *Salmonella* spp., specific for  
179 *Cronobacter* spp., positive control and negative control) of nine dots each. With this  
180 arrangement, the 50-nL printing solution yielded spots of 500-μm diameter and a track  
181 pitch (centre to centre distance) of 1.5 mm. An array density of about 1.0 spot mm<sup>-2</sup> was  
182 achieved (Figure S-2).

183

## 184 **2.7. Quality control**

185 Firstly, a parallel analysis of control samples was performed (Arandis-Chover, Morais,  
186 Tortajada-Genaro, Puchades, Maquieira, Berganza, & Olabarria, 2012). Blank cultures  
187 and non-inoculated milk samples were included as negative controls. A mixture with the  
188 genomic DNA from the cultures of both pathogens (*Salmonella* spp. and *Cronobacter*  
189 spp.) was used as the positive sample. The results show the right amplification,  
190 hybridisation and detection protocol (external control). Secondly an oligonucleotide,  
191 non-complementary to the target pathogens, (negative block) and a digoxigenin-labelled  
192 oligonucleotide (positive block) were added in the array layout. These internal controls  
193 informed whether the detection protocol in the same array that hybridizes the DNA  
194 from the unknown sample was successful.

195 The duplex amplifications performed with the specific primers of the two target  
196 pathogens were compared to the single amplifications containing the specific primers

197 for one pathogen (specific *Salmonella* spp. or specific *Cronobacter* spp.). No  
198 performance differences were observed between both study approaches.

199

### 200 **3. Results and discussion**

#### 201 **3.1. Amplification methods**

202 In the RPA reaction, multi-variable initial experiments for the duplex assay (*Salmonella*  
203 spp. and *Cronobacter* spp.) revealed that primer concentration, incubation temperature  
204 and reaction time were the most critical parameters. Figure 1A shows that the individual  
205 concentration of the primers in a duplex assay can lower if compared to those used in  
206 the single assay, probably because the maximum reaction rate was achieved. Regarding  
207 kinetic behaviour, the amplification process reached a stationary phase after 40 min  
208 (Figure 1B). A similar amplification yield was obtained when the oven was working  
209 within the 37-42°C range, showing high tolerance to temperature fluctuations.  
210 Therefore, the selected concentrations of primers were 240 nmol/L and the largest  
211 number of copies was reached at 40±2°C for 40 min, which corresponds to an  
212 amplification yield of 8.6·10<sup>8</sup>. No false-positive results were obtained due to pre-  
213 initiation or non-specific amplification.

214 Furthermore, RPA is a sequence-specific amplification method that requires the design  
215 of primers, similarly to PCR, to control product properties or selectivity. The initial  
216 experiments showed that the distance between the forward and reverse primers had a  
217 major effect on amplification yield. Therefore, product length varied, and the forward  
218 primer specific to *Salmonella* changed, while the rest of the reaction conditions  
219 remained constant. Figure 1C shows the number of copies which lowered with product  
220 length, but the reaction rate increased. These results were interpreted according to the  
221 RPA mechanism (Piepenburg, et al. 2006) and polymerase processivity; i.e.,  
222 measurement of the global number of nucleotides added per time unit (Zhuang, 2010).  
223 A short product involves cutting the time that proteins are bound to the DNA compared  
224 to the proteins that are free in the solution, and the total number of nucleotides  
225 incorporated lowers. However, template replication finished early and, consequently,  
226 the exponential amplification was favoured, and the number of copies for the target  
227 sequences increased. It is worth mentioning that this effect is important for isothermal  
228 methods because processivity in PCR can be controlled by changing the elongation step  
229 time.



230 RPA specificity was checked at different levels. Firstly, an alignment against closely  
231 related species was performed by the Blastn software (NCBI databank). The forward  
232 primer of *Salmonella*, used to amplify the 100-bp product, was rejected because it  
233 aligned against other pathogens, such as *Escherichia*, *Shigella* and *Photorhabdus*. The  
234 set of specific oligonucleotides to the 152-bp product for *Salmonella* spp. and the 190-  
235 bp product for *Cronobacter* spp. were selected for the duplex amplification method.  
236 Secondly, the analysis of pure bacterial cultures, listed in Table S-2, was satisfactorily  
237 done and provided negative amplification results for the non-target pathogens.

238 In the MDA reaction, a non-primer design was necessary because phi29 polymerase  
239 combined with a random hexamer randomly amplified the whole genome (massive  
240 amplification method). The mechanism involved strand displacement DNA synthesis on  
241 single- and double-strand DNA templates by primer annealing at multiple sites.  
242 Therefore, the amplification yield depended mainly on primer concentration, primer  
243 nature, temperature and reaction time. Reproducible results were obtained for hexamer  
244 concentrations under 50  $\mu\text{mol/L}$ , which was the optimum value (Figure 2A). The  
245 primers resistant to the exonuclease activity of phi29 polymerase (the thiophosphate  
246 linkage for two 3' terminal nucleotides) provided good efficiencies as compared to the  
247 exo-sensitive primers (non-internal modification). The results also indicated that phi29  
248 polymerase was less tolerant to temperature fluctuations because the number of copies  
249 changed according to the working temperature (Figure 2B). Finally, the reaction time  
250 study showed that a stationary phase was reached after 270 min. Therefore, the best  
251 yield,  $9.8 \cdot 10^4$  in genomic units, was reached at  $35.5 \pm 0.5^\circ\text{C}$  for 4.5 h.

252 The results of both duplex methods were comparable to single pathogen approaches,  
253 and amplification yields were highly reproducible (variation < 5%). Low liquid  
254 evaporation and gas-bubble formation were observed at working temperatures. Thus  
255 these amplification methods are technically simpler for miniaturised systems, and are  
256 less sensitive to temperature fluctuations than PCR.

257

### 258 **3.2. The post-amplification protocol**

259 Duplex RPA reactions yielded the two predicted products, as confirmed by agarose gel  
260 electrophoresis. The multibranch polymerisation mechanism of MDA leads to a  
261 massive amplification method that provides several products. The bands in the  
262 electrophoretic separation appeared as smears, which were evenly distributed from 0.3  
263 to 4 kbp for the mixtures of both pathogens. In this case, integration with microarraying

264 platforms was limited because the good stability of the large-sized products resulted in  
265 low hybridisation yields, as described for PCR-based methods (Halperin, Buhot, &  
266 Zhulina, 2006).

267 Further fragmentation of amplification products prior to the hybridisation assays was  
268 required. To that end, enzymatic and physical protocols were assayed. For the first  
269 option, restriction enzyme EcoNI was selected because a common sequence (CCTNN-  
270 N-NNAGG) was presented for both target regions (gene *hns* in *Salmonella* spp. and the  
271 16S-23S rDNA internal transcribed spacer sequence in *Cronobacter* spp.). This enzyme  
272 provided fragments from 0.1 to 0.8 kbp after 8 h of digestion. Comparable amounts of  
273 small-sized oligonucleotides (Figure 3) were obtained by sonication (high frequency  
274 acoustic waves >20 kHz). Although sonication is a fast automatable option for MDA,  
275 the absence of a post-amplification treatment in the RPA approach simplifies its  
276 integration into a high-throughput platform.

277

### 278 **3.3 Analytical features. Addressing a biosensor based on DVD technology**

279 To date, the RPA method has been reported only for single determinations using end-  
280 point fluorescent detection, lateral-flow strips or microfluidic chips (Shen et al., 2011,  
281 Lutz et al., 2010). The MDA method has been used for whole genome amplification in  
282 combination with functional gene arrays (Dean et al., 2002, Erlandsson, Rosenstjerne,  
283 McLoughlin, Jaing, & Fomsgaard, 2011). The reached LODs were between 10 and  
284 1000 copies/mL. However, very few described approaches are quantitative methods and  
285 they have not been applied as real multiplex approaches, such as microarray platforms.

286 By way of example of a simple portable detection system, the combination of  
287 isothermal amplification with compact disc technology was firstly studied. The results  
288 indicated that this analytical platform and the detector were highly compatible with  
289 isothermal amplification approaches because no further DNA product treatment, for  
290 example purification, was required. A duplex assay to simultaneously detect *Salmonella*  
291 spp. and *Cronobacter* spp. was also easily implemented in a microarray format on a  
292 DVD surface following the protocols described in previous studies (Tortajada-Genaro et  
293 al., 2012). The positive and negative controls were included to guarantee the reliability  
294 of the pathogen analysis.

295 The results were compared to those obtained with the PCR-based method, and showed a  
296 good correlation between the amount of DNA and the optical signal. Thus, the LODs  
297 for *Salmonella* spp. were 17-32 copies/mL for PCR, 10-48 copies/mL for RPA and 7-31

298 copies/mL for MDA (Table 1). Although the amplification factor of MDA was lower  
299 than RPA, the LODs were similar in the microarraying format, probably because of the  
300 amplification mechanism. In RPA, denaturation was performed by a mixture of  
301 enzymes and polymerisation generated double-strand DNA (Piepenburg et al., 2006).  
302 Amplification by the MDA method involved strand displacement DNA synthesis on  
303 templates (Dean et al., 2002). Then the formation of single-stranded regions during  
304 multibranch polymerisation should increase the hybridisation yield. Intra-day  
305 reproducibility was lower than 8.5% for PCR, and below 12.5% for RPA and MDA.  
306 Inter-day reproducibility ranged from 6.3% to 16.8%. The analytical performances  
307 obtained with both amplification methods, without an enrichment culture, were similar,  
308 or better, than those obtained by RT-PCR, glass microarrays or traditional  
309 microbiological methods.

310

### 311 **3.4 Milk sample analysis**

312 It is well-known that some enzymes used for amplification are not compatible with the  
313 specific substances present in the sample matrix, which diminishes their activity and,  
314 subsequently, assay sensitivity (Wilson, 1997). For this reason, resistance to inhibition  
315 for the three studied amplification approaches was assessed in milk samples (Table 2).  
316 The RPA method amplified even in presence of 15-25% of milk, while the MDA  
317 method provided less tolerance to the matrix (14-16%). Moreover, all the studied  
318 amplification methods showed similar inhibitions to those caused by  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ , where 6.3-  
319 7.2 mmol/L was the maximum concentration tolerated. This inhibitory effect has been  
320 previously reported for PCR (Al-Soud, & Rådström, 1998), but has never been  
321 described in isothermal polymerases.

322 Powdered infant formulas and skimmed milk were spiked with *Salmonella* spp. and  
323 *Cronobacter* spp. (0 to  $4 \cdot 10^4$  CFU/mL). Non-inoculated milks were negative, whereas  
324 all the inoculated samples were positive, and a correlation between pathogen amount  
325 and optical intensities was found (Figure 4). The obtained recovery levels were in good  
326 agreement with the spiked concentration in all cases (Table 3). It is worth mentioning  
327 that the methods did not require an overnight enrichment step, which considerably cut  
328 the analysis time and allowed duplex detection in the samples with pathogens under 40  
329 CFU/mL. The proposed approaches open up an advantageous form of pathogen  
330 determination using automated devices. The development of a competitive, portable,  
331 low-energy analytical system that integrates all the steps is underway.

332

#### 333 **4. Conclusions**

334 RPA and MDA are two innovative methods that offer several advantages for the  
335 automation of DNA assays in wide range of point-of-need applications. Isothermal  
336 amplifications do not require sophisticated hardware for accurate temperature control  
337 against thermocycling PCR-based methods. Moreover, both enzymes operate near room  
338 temperature if compared to other isothermal reactions (e.g., LAMP at 60°C). The results  
339 reveal that the studied amplification methods offer portability or cost-effectiveness  
340 without compromising analytical performance, tolerance to inhibitors or price per assay.  
341 Nevertheless, some properties, such as short times or lack of a post-amplification  
342 protocol, indicate RPA has a higher potential than the MDA method for point-of-need  
343 applications. MDA is also an interesting method for high-multiplexing determination  
344 because RPA, such as PCR, is limited by a restrictive primer design and to a small  
345 number of targets (<10 genes).

346 A duplex system using these isothermal amplifications is firstly proposed. This study  
347 also demonstrates that the integration of nucleic acid amplification and detection into  
348 analytical devices, such as compact discs (bio-recognition and reading), is technically  
349 possible and allows high-throughput analyses. Therefore, the low-cost detection of  
350 different targets in parallel and minimal manipulation comes closer to such approaches.

351

#### 352 **ASSOCIATED CONTENT**

353 Additional figures are described in the *Supplementary Information*.

354

#### 355 **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

356 Funding projects MINECO CTQ/2010/15943 and GV Prometeo/2010/008. MECD  
357 provided S.S. with a PhD grant.

358

359

360 **REFERENCES**

- 361 Al-Soud, W. A., Rådström, P. (1998). Capacity of nine thermostable DNA polymerases  
362 to mediate DNA amplification in the presence of PCR-inhibiting samples. *Applied*  
363 *Environmental Microbiology*, *64*, 3748-3753
- 364 Arandis-Chover, T., Morais, S., Tortajada-Genaro L. A., Puchades, R., Maquieira, Á,  
365 Berganza, J, Olabarria, G (2012). Detection of food-borne pathogens with DNA  
366 arrays on disk. *Talanta*, *101*, 405-412
- 367 Asiello, P. J., Baeumner, A. J. (2011). Miniaturized isothermal nucleic acid  
368 amplification, a review. *Lab on a Chip*, *11*, 1420-1430
- 369 Cahill, S. M., Wachsmuth, I. K., Costarrica, M. L., Embarek, P. K. B. (2008). Powdered  
370 infant formula as a source of Salmonella infection in infants. *Clinical Infectious*  
371 *Diseases*, *46*, 268-73
- 372 Codex Alimentarius Commission (2008). Code of hygienic practice for powdered  
373 formulae for infants and young children *CAC/RCP 66 – 2008*.
- 374 Dean, F. B., Hosono, S., Fang, L. H., Wu, X. H., Faruqi, A. F., Bray-Ward, P., Sun, Z  
375 Y., Zong, Q. L., Du, Y. F., Du, J., Driscoll, M., Song, W. M., Kingsmore, S. F.,  
376 Egholm, M., Lasken, R. S. (2002). Comprehensive human genome amplification  
377 using multiple displacement amplification. *Proceedings of the National Academy*  
378 *of Sciences USA*, *99*, 5261-5266
- 379 Derzelle, S., Dilasser, F. (2006). A robotic DNA purification protocol and real-time  
380 PCR for the detection of *Enterobacter sakazakii* in powdered infant formulae.  
381 *BMC Microbiology*, *6*, 100-112
- 382 Erlandsson, L., Rosenstjerne, M. W., McLoughlin, K., Jaing, C., Fomsgaard, A. (2011).  
383 The microbial detection array combined with random Phi29-amplification used as  
384 a diagnostic tool for virus detection in clinical samples. *PloS One*, *6*, e22631
- 385 Gill, P., Ghaemi, A. (2008). Nucleic acid isothermal amplification technologies—a  
386 review. *Nucleosides, Nucleotides and Nucleic Acids*, *27*, 224–243
- 387 Halperin, A., Buhot, A., Zhulina, E. B. (2006). On the hybridization isotherms of DNA  
388 microarrays, the Langmuir model and its extensions. *Journal of Physics:*  
389 *Condensed Matter*, *18*, S463-S490
- 390 Hyeon, J., Park, C., Choi, I., Holt, P. S., Seo, K. (2010). Development of multiplex real-  
391 time PCR with internal amplification control for simultaneous detection of  
392 *Salmonella* and *Cronobacter* in powdered infant formula. *International Journal of*  
393 *Food Microbiology*, *144*, 177-181

394 Kim, Y. H., Yang, I., Bae, Y. S., Park, S. R. (2008). Performance evaluation of thermal  
395 cyclers for PCR in a rapid cycling condition. *BioTechniques*, *44*, 495-505

396 Lutz, S., Weber, P., Focke, M., Faltin, B., Hoffmann, J., Müller, C., Mark, D., Roth, G.,  
397 Munday, P., Armes, N., Piepenburg, O., Zengerle, R., von Stetten, F. (2010).  
398 Microfluidic lab-on-a-foil for nucleic acid analysis based on isothermal  
399 recombinase polymerase amplification. *Lab on a Chip*, *10*, 887-893

400 Morais, S., Tortajada-Genaro, L. A., Arnandis-Chover, T., Puchades, R., Maquieira, A.  
401 (2009). Multiplexed microimmunoassays on a digital versatile disk. *Analytical*  
402 *Chemistry*, *81*, 5646-5654

403 Nakayama, T., Hiep, H. M., Furui, S., Yonezawa, Y., Saito, M., Takamura, Y., Tamiya,  
404 E. (2010). An optimal design method for preventing air bubbles in high-  
405 temperature microfluidic devices. *Analytical and Bioanalytical Chemistry*, *396*,  
406 457-464

407 Piepenburg, O., Williams, C. H., Stemple, D. L., Armes, N. A. (2006). DNA detection  
408 using recombination proteins. *PLOS Biology*, *4*, 1115-1121

409 Shen, F., Davydova, E. K., Du, W., Kreutz, J. E., Piepenburg, O., Ismagilov, R. F.  
410 (2011). Digital isothermal quantification of nucleic acids via simultaneous  
411 chemical initiation of recombinase polymerase amplification reactions on slip-  
412 chip. *Analytical Chemistry*, *83*, 3533-3540

413 Siegrist, J., Peytavi, R., Bergeron, M., Madou, M. (2010). Microfluidics for IVD  
414 analysis, Triumphs and hurdles of centrifugal platforms Part 3, Challenges and  
415 solutions. *IVD Technology*, *16*, 22-26

416 Tortajada-Genaro, L. A., Santiago-Felipe, S., Morais, S., Gabaldón, J. A., Puchades, R.,  
417 Maquieira, A. (2012). Multiplex DNA detection of food allergens on digital  
418 versatile disk. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, *60*, 36-43

419 Wang, M., Cao, B., Gao, Q., Sun, Y., Liu, P., Feng, L., Wang, L. (2009). Microarray-  
420 based evaluation of whole-community genome DNA amplification methods.  
421 *Journal of Clinical Microbiology*, *47*, 3178-3184

422 Yan, L., Zhou, J., Zheng, Y., Gamson, A. S., Roembke, B. T., Nakayama, S., Sintim, H.  
423 S. (2014). Isothermal amplified detection of DNA and RNA. *Molecular*  
424 *BioSystems*, *10*, 970-1003.

425 Zanolì, L. M., Spoto, G. (2013). Isothermal amplification methods for the detection of  
426 nucleic acids in microfluidic devices. *Biosensors*, *3*, 18-43.

- 427 Zhuang, Z., Ai Y. (2010). Processivity factor of DNA polymerase and its expanding  
428 role in normal and translesion DNA synthesis. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta*,  
429 *1804*, 1081-1093
- 430 Wilson, I. G. (1997). Inhibition and facilitation of nucleic acid amplification. *Applied*  
431 *and Environmental Microbiology*, *63*, 3741-3751
- 432

433 **FIGURE CAPTIONS**

434 **Fig. 1.** Effect on amplification efficiency for the duplex RPA method (*Salmonella* spp.  
435  $4 \cdot 10^1$  CFU/mL and *Cronobacter* spp.  $4 \cdot 10^1$  CFU/mL): (A) individual primer  
436 concentration, (B) temperature and time, and (C) amplification product length varied,  
437 while the forward primer specific to *Salmonella* changed, and the rest of working  
438 conditions remained constant.

439

440 **Fig. 2.** Effect on amplification efficiency for the duplex MDA method (*Salmonella* spp.  
441  $4 \cdot 10^1$  CFU/mL and *Cronobacter* spp.  $4 \cdot 10^1$  CFU/mL): (A) primer concentration and  
442 (B) temperature and time.

443

444 **Fig. 3.** Fluorescence response of small-sized products (< 800 bp) measured after post-  
445 amplification treatment and gel electrophoresis separation.

446

447 **Fig. 4.** The microarray signals yielded by amplification techniques for inoculated milk  
448 samples with both pathogens: (A) Average spot density for *Salmonella* spp. and (B)  
449 Average spot density for *Cronobacter* spp.

450

451

452 **TABLE CAPTIONS**

453 **Table 1.** Comparison of the experimental protocols and analytical performances  
454 obtained by the PCR, RPA, and MDA techniques.

455

456 **Table 2.** Maximum calcium inhibition concentrations tolerated during the duplex DNA  
457 amplification reactions.

458

459 **Table 3.** Recovery results for the milk samples.