

Document downloaded from:

<http://hdl.handle.net/10251/66917>

This paper must be cited as:

Tortajada-Genaro, LA.; Rodrigo, A.; Niños Rodenes, R.; Angel Maquieira Catala (2015). Microarray on digital versatile disc for identification and genotyping of Salmonella and Campylobacter in meat products. *Analytical and Bioanalytical Chemistry*. 407(24):7285-7294. doi:10.1007/s00216-015-8890-0.



The final publication is available at

<https://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00216-015-8890-0>

Copyright Springer Verlag (Germany)

Additional Information

Microarray on digital versatile disc for identification and genotyping of *Salmonella* and *Campylobacter* in meat products

5 Luis Antonio Tortajada-Genaro,^a Alejandro Rodrigo,^b Elizabeth Hevia,^a Salvador Mena,^a Regina Niñoles,^a Ángel Maquieira^a

^a Instituto interuniversitario de Reconocimiento Molecular y Desarrollo Tecnológico (IDM), Departamento de Química, Universitat Politècnica de València, Camino de Vera s/n, E46022 Valencia, Spain. Email: amaquieira@qim.upv.es

10 ^b Asociación de Investigación de la Industria Agroalimentaria (AINIA), Benjamín Franklin 5-11, E46980-Paterna, Valencia, Spain

ABSTRACT

Highly portable, cost effective and rapid-response devices are required for the
15 subtyping of the most frequent food-borne bacteria, thereby the sample rejection
strategies and hygienization techniques along of the food chain can be tailor-designed.
Here, a novel biosensor is presented for the generic detection of *Salmonella* and
Campylobacter and the discrimination between their most prevalent serovars (*S.*
Enteritidis, *S. Typhimurium*) and species (*C. jejuni*, *C. coli*), respectively. The method is
20 based on DNA microarray developed on a standard digital versatile disc (DVD) as support
for a hybridization assay and a DVD driver as scanner. This approach was found to be
highly sensitive (detection limit down to 0.2 pg of genomic DNA), reproducible (relative
standard deviation 4-19 %), and high working capacity (20 samples per disc). The
inclusivity and exclusivity assays indicated that designed oligonucleotides (primers and
25 probes) were able to discriminate targeted pathogens from other *Salmonella* serovars,
Campylobacter species or common food-borne pathogens potentially present in the
indigenous microflora. One hundred isolates from meat samples, collected in a poultry
factory, were analyzed by the DVD-microarraying and fluorescent real-time PCR. An
excellent correlation was observed for both generic and specific detection (relative
30 sensitivity 93-99 % and relative specificity 93-100 %). Therefore, the developed assay
has been shown to be a reliable tool for use in routine food safety analysis, especially in

settings with limited infrastructure due to the excellent efficiency-cost ratio of compact disc technology.

35 Keywords: genotyping, food-borne pathogens, DNA assay, compact disc, microarray

40

INTRODUCTION

Food-borne zoonotic diseases are an important human health problem in most countries, in spite of the improvement in hygiene tools during food processing and handling. Hundreds of thousands cases are reported each year in the European Union and USA [1, 2]. Food safety actions have been implemented including risk management (e.g. legislative measures) and risk assessment (e.g. data collection). *Salmonella* and *Campylobacter* are among the most important food-borne pathogens in the world, and consequently, specific regulations have been established. Consequently, controls are being increasingly applied in order to tailor the disinfection methods to targeted microorganisms and to minimize the risk for consumers of ingesting contaminated food (directly or by cross-contamination). Conventional methods detect these pathogens by enrichment and isolation on selective media [3, 4]. Specific morphology of colonies can be recognized; but confirmation and identification of the presumptive positive cultures require subsequent serological and biochemical tests. At least three antibody-antigen reactions are performed to identify a particular *Salmonella* serovar (Kauffmann–White scheme). In case of *Campylobacter*, further identification to the species level requires assays such as antibiotic sensitivity and biochemical tests (sodium hippurate hydrolysis reaction). These laborious methods take several days to complete (3 to 5 days for *Salmonella* [3] and 4 to 8 days for *Campylobacter* [4]) and often, lead to an erroneous identification of *Campylobacter* species. Besides, the possible simultaneous presence of several pathogens, species and serotypes increase the number of assays required for each food product. There are a special interest in the simultaneous detection of both pathogens *Salmonella* and *Campylobacter*, discriminating the presence of their most prevalent serovars (*S. Enteritidis*, *S. Typhimurium*) or species (*C. jejuni*, *C. coli*).

The advances on proteomics and genomics allowed research community to have molecular fingerprinting of food-borne pathogens, identifying specific proteins and genes for distinct lineages and sub-lineages within a bacterial population [5]. Using available information, different bioanalytical methods have been developed [6, 7]. DNA-based methods, particularly PCR methods, have experienced a huge growth in the last years due to their excellent performances. In fact, the real-time PCR technique (qPCR) is considered as the gold-standard method for testing microbial contamination. In the last years, a new generation of methods has been proposed, incorporating properties such as miniaturization, portability, and cost-effectiveness [8, 9]. The reported methods for bacteria screening include electrochemical biosensors [10], lab-on-a-chip devices [11, 12]

and chemiluminescence based-reactors [13], among others. However, the main drawback
75 of some methods is the restricted number of targets that can be analyzed per reaction, i.e
the limited choices of fluorescent detectors in qPCR. Nucleic acid microarrays, also called
gene chips, provide a robust system for the simultaneous detection of several microbial
pathogens [14-17]. Conventional approaches are based on hybridization of fluorescent-
80 labelled amplified products to an array of oligonucleotide probes immobilized on a glass
support covalently [18]. However, the intrinsic properties of microarray scanners (size,
price, and maintenance) restrict the use of this technology to centralized laboratories,
frequently far from the sampling locations or food industry needs. In this way, our
research group has recently shown the advantages of audio-video technology-based
biosensors for testing microbial contamination in low-level labs [19, 20]. These systems
85 integrate compact discs as polymeric supports for carrying out assays in microarray
format and standard compact disc-drives as detectors. The methodology involves similar
steps than those based on glass slide microarrays, but the detection of target/probe
biorecognition is performed by an advanced, very simple, inexpensive and portable
optical sensing system.

90 In this study, efforts have been focused on setting-up of an assay based on digital
versatile disc (DVD) technology for identification of prominent serovars of *Salmonella*
sp. and species of *Campylobacter* sp. Important challenges have been addressed to
overcome some of the disadvantages of described techniques. First, the oligonucleotide
design was critical to amplified and detect all targeted bacteria, present in very low
95 amounts among a background of indigenous microflora and other abundant serovars (e.g.
S. Infantis). Second, as food products are of perishable nature, the screening methodology
has been developed to be completed in the shortest time and highest multiplexing
capability. Third, an upper level of demonstration has been reached by analyzing poultry
products in an inter-laboratory validation study.

100

EXPERIMENTAL

Bacterial strains and growth conditions

Reference bacterial strains (targeted and non-targeted serovars and organisms),
supplied by CECC (Spain), NCTC (UK) and ATCC (USA), are listed in Table 1.
105 *Salmonella* strains were aerobically grown at 37 °C in ASAP medium (BioMerieux,
Marcy l'Etoile, France). *Campylobacter* was grown in mCCDA medium (Oxoid,

Basingstoke, UK) at 42 °C in microaerophilic conditions. After overnight incubation from fresh colonies, bacterial cells were collected and subjected to genomic DNA isolation.

Meat samples

110 Chicken samples bought in local supermarkets were inoculated using serial dilutions (10^0 to 10^5 CFU/mL) of pure culture (*S. Enteritidis*, *S. Typhimurium*, *C. jejuni*, and *C. coli*).

Sample collection was carried out in slaughterhouse facility focusing on broiler batches and broiler carcasses to determine the degree of microbial contamination at the
115 beginning of the process line. This critical location was selected because contamination, growth, and survival of targeted microorganisms could occur if the intervention or preventive strategy were not working effectively or operations must be carried out or corrected by staff [21]. The campaign included 170 samples, being analyzed by microbiological identification and qPCR. For the inter-laboratory validation assay, a
120 hundred samples were determined by DVD-based microarray method following a randomized controlled trial (blind samples).

The enrichment of pathogens used 25 g of each sample placed in a stomacher bag containing 225 ml of Buffered Peptone Water (Oxoid) for *Salmonella* and Preston Broth (Oxoid) for *Campylobacter*. After 20-hr and 24-hr incubation, respectively, a mixture of
125 both samples was subjected to qPCR and disc-based microarray analysis. The microbiological identification of targeted pathogens was achieved by individual culturing in ASAP agar and xylose-lysine-deoxycholate agars for *Salmonella* and mCCDA medium for *Campylobacter*, after enrichment during 20-hr and 48-hr, respectively. Bacteria classification was based on the analytical profile index (API, BioMerieux). Quantitative
130 real time-PCR analysis (qPCR) was performed under an external certified laboratory (ISO17025) conditions. Four amplification reactions were prepared per sample: *Salmonella* sp. and *Campylobacter* sp. in single format and *S. Enteritidis*/*S. Typhimurium*, and *C. coli*/*C. jejuni* in duplex format, including specific probes conjugated minor groove binders. The detection limits of these standardized qPCR
135 methods were 1 CFU/25 g of food.

DNA isolation and quantification

For microarray analysis, genomic DNA was extracted from 0.2 ml of bacterial culture (peptone water and Preston broth) using the automatic method Maxwell16 (Promega, Madison, WI) following the manufacturer's instructions. The quantity and

140 quality of the purified DNA was determined using a BioPhotometer (Eppendorf, Germany) by measuring A260 and the ratio A260/A280, respectively.

Design of multiplex PCR primers and microarray probes

Gene targets used were selected for generic detection of *Salmonella* and *Campylobacter*, as well as their serotypes or species, respectively. Histone-like protein Hlp-II gene (*hns* gene) and rRNA-16S ribosomal RNA gene (*16S* gene) were selected for
145 generic detection of *Salmonella* and *Campylobacter*, respectively. Threonine operon leader peptide/predicted phage protein gene (*sdf* gene) and putative cytoplasmatic protein gene (*STM4497* gene) were selected for specific identification of serovars *S. Enteritidis* and *S. Typhimurium*, respectively. Hippurate hydrolase gene (*hipO* gene) and
150 enterochelin uptake periplasmic binding protein gene (*ceuE* gene) were chosen for specific identification of *C. jejuni* and *C. coli* species, respectively.

Specific oligonucleotides (two PCR primers and one probe) were designed for microarray analysis, totally independent to primers of qPCR method. The nucleotide sequences were chosen from those registered in the GenBank database of National Center
155 for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) (<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov>). The Primer 3Plus software (<http://www.bioinformatics.nl>) was used to design all primers. Probes were designed in the unique sequence regions of these targeted genes, checking the absence of stable secondary structures or self-complementarity. A tail of 10 thymines was included in order to reduce the surface interactions. For quality control of the
160 immobilization/developing process, a 40-mer oligonucleotide (5'-digoxigenin-T₁₅-GTCATGGGCCTCGTGTCTCGGAAAACC-Biotin-3') and a 35-mer oligonucleotide (5'-biotin-T₁₀-TAGAGACTTAAAGAGGGAGCCCGGG-3') were chosen as positive and negative probes, respectively. No sequence homology was found in any microbial genomes (BLAST search). All oligonucleotides used were synthesized by Eurofins
165 (Ebersberg, Germany).

Spotting of probes on DVD-chips

Bulk DVDs were purchased from MPO Iberica (Madrid, Spain). Probes (100 nM) prepared in printing solution (190 nM streptavidin, 50 mM carbonate buffer, pH 9.6 and 1 % glycerol (v/v)), were transferred to the disk (50 nL) with a non-contact arrayer (AD
170 1500 BioDot, Inc., Irvine, CA). The working temperature and relative humidity were controlled at 25 °C and 90%, respectively. The layout was 7×9 spots per array and 20

arrays per DVD, being the distances between flanking spots of 1.5 mm. Hence, each array has nine replicate spots corresponding to each gene (*hns*, *sdf*, *STM4497*, *16S*, *hipO*, and *ceuE* genes), two positive controls, and four negative controls (immobilization and hybridization). The spot diameter was 500±10 µm. Complementary experiments were performed to determine the immobilization density, based on the measurement of fluorescence produced after the printing/incubation of a double-labeled oligonucleotide (5'-Cy5-T₁₀-TTTGATTACAGCCGGTGTACGACCCT-Biotin-3').

Hexaplex PCR

The reaction mixture (10 µL) was prepared adding 1.5 U Taq polymerase, 2 mM MgCl₂, 200 µM dNTPs, and 20 µM digoxigenin-labeled dUTPs to the reaction buffer. The primer concentrations used for asymmetric amplification were 0.1 µM/0.4 µM (forward:reverse). The amount of DNA template per reaction was 1 ng for pure cultures and 5-10 ng for meat samples. A negative (human genomic DNA) and a positive control (mixture of genomic DNA from pure cultures of *S. Typhimurium* and *C. coli*) were included in each experimental batch. The thermocycling was carried out in a TC400 thermocycler (Bibby Scientific, Staffordshire, UK) as follows: 95 °C for 5 min for initial activation; 40 cycles of denaturing at 94 °C for 30 s, annealing at 56 °C for 30 s, and extension at 72 °C for 30 s; and 72 °C for 5 min for a final extension. The amplification factor was calculated from the number of copies synthesized and the amount of genomic DNA added to reaction solution, considering that the length of bacteria genome is 4760 kb for *Salmonella* (4.9 fg DNA/cell) and 1640 kb for *Campylobacter* (1.7 fg DNA/cell). The size of PCR products was determined by electrophoretic separation in 3% (w/v) agarose gels and visualization by fluorescent staining.

Hybridization and scanning of DVD-chips

All reagents were directly dispensed on disc using a silicon gasket of 20 wells, simplifying the working protocol. A fraction of the amplification product (3 µL) was mixed with 57 µL of hybridization buffer (saline sodium citrate, NaCl 650 mM, sodium citrate 65 mM, 25% formamide pH 7). The solution was denatured at 95 °C for 5 min and chilled on ice, then applied onto the surface area of an array which was pre-framed grid in silicon sheet (Electron Microscopy Sciences, Hatfield, England). Discs were introduced inside a container (common plastic box for DVDs) at water-saturated atmosphere, and the hybridizations carried out at 37 °C for 45 min into a heating oven.

Discs were gently washed with washing buffer (NaCl 15 mM, sodium citrate 1.5 mM, pH
205 7) and water. The immobilized product reacted with a mixture of sheep anti-digoxigenin
antibody at 1:4,000 dilution (Dig-Ab) and rabbit horseradish peroxidase-labeled anti-
sheep antibody (HRP-Ab) at 1:500 dilution in phosphate buffer saline (PBS-T, phosphate
100 mM and NaCl 1.5 M, plus 0.05 % Tween 20 at pH 7.5). Discs were incubated during
25 min at room temperature and darkness. For the developing step, 1 mL of 3,3',5,5'-
210 tetramethylbenzidine solution (TMB, Sigma-Aldrich, Sant Louis, MO) was added, and
incubated 8 min at room temperature and darkness.

The optical densities of microarrays were directly read by the DVD drive (rotation
speed $4\times \equiv 13.46$ m/s, 26 dB gain, 1700 Mega-samples/s), being the reading time lower
than 10 min. Grey-scale images (tagged image file format, color depth 16 bit, scale 0-
215 65,535) were analyzed. Complete image processing (feature gridding, addressing,
segmentation, quality assurance) was automatically performed using in-home software in
less than 5 min by disc. The mean intensity of each spot was calculated from 450 pixels
with the highest intensity (equivalent spot diameter 200 μm).

220 RESULTS

Optimization of the multiplex PCR

A hexaplex PCR was developed to simultaneously amplify all targeted genes using
specifically designed primers (Table 2). The optimization set included genomic DNA
extracts from several *Salmonella* and *Campylobacter* strains. The most important
225 variables to achieve a high amplification factor were found to be MgCl_2 concentration,
number of cycles and annealing temperature. Then, a 3-factor experiment design was
applied, indicating the optimum values as follows: 2 mM, 40 cycles, and 56 $^\circ\text{C}$,
respectively. The optimization of primer concentrations, following a 6-factor
experimental design, indicated that the primers of *hns* and *16S* genes (generic detection
230 of both pathogens) should be added in a lower concentration to obtain similar
amplification factors for the six targeted genes. Probably, these variations were sequence-
associated due to the formation of secondary structures. Also, asymmetric amplification
was studied modifying the primer concentrations from 1:1 to 1:100 (forward:reverse).
Variation of the ratio between both primers induced a linear amplification producing
235 differences in the replicated amounts of each strand and rendering double and single
strand DNA. This strategy helped latter hybridization to immobilized probes on DVD

platform. The best results were achieved using a 1:4 ratio, being the higher concentration for the primer (reverse complementary strand) with respect to the probe. Under the selected conditions (Table 2), six targeted genes were specifically amplified (factors
240 between 2.7×10^9 and 2.5×10^{10}). In all cases, the products obtained had the predicted size (Figure 1).

Optimization of microarray detection

Layout. Amplification products from multiplex PCR were hybridized on the bottom layer of DVD discs. The microarray layout was selected considering the intrinsic
245 properties of the substrate surface and the optical resolution of the DVD reader. For a conventional disk (12 cm diameter), the available sensing area starts at a radius of 2 cm and finish at 5.9 cm (track pitch area), being approximately 97 cm^2 . On the other hand, the optical resolution of the DVD driver used, as microarray scanner, is about $8.2 \mu\text{m}/\text{pixel}$ (laser diode emission $\lambda = 650 \text{ nm}$, numerical aperture $\text{NA} = 0.6$). Given these
250 high performances of DVD-technology, dozens of probes/arrays can be potentially immobilized allowing the detection of a high number of different samples or identify more bacterial types by assay. As proof-of-concept, a low-density format, based on silicon gasket, was chosen for detecting 20 independent samples/replicates in a single assay. The microarray dimensions were $10.5 \times 13.5 \text{ mm}$ (7×9 spots, inter-flanking distance
255 1.5 mm), occupying 2.3 % of DVD area per microarray (including inter-sample barriers). The use of low-volume printing systems (few nL), lower inter-flanking distance between spots or other compartmentation systems (e.g. drops, hybridization chambers) can improve the number of microarrays per disc [20]. The multiplexing capabilities, expressed by number of samples/assay are better than conventional planar chips.
260 Therefore, massive screening in food safety applications can be addressed using the proposed method.

Immobilization strategy of probes. The proposed hybridization assay involved the immobilization of biotin-labeled probes via streptavidin adsorption on raw surface of standard DVDs (bottom layer, grooved polycarbonate substrate with track pitch). The
265 selected option is quite simple compared to covalent attachment of probes described for glass or plastic substrates [22]. From the practical point of view, the maximum immobilization density, approximately $0.1 \text{ fmol}/\text{mm}^2$, was reached incubating 190 nM streptavidin and 100 nM probes at $\text{pH} = 9.6$ during 12 h at $4 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$. The system took advantage of the demonstrated compatibility of streptavidin-biotin recognition (affinity

270 constant $K_d \approx 10^{-15}$ M) and the simultaneous protein physisorption on disc. These results obtained using double-labelled probes, were also confirmed by the later hybridization of PCR products at fixed concentration.

Hybridization. Multiplex PCR products were hybridized on printed probe microarray, by dispensing a dilution in stringent buffer. No post-amplification steps were
275 required except a fast denaturation by heating. The chosen format avoided time-consuming and expensive strategies such as labeling by Klenow polymerase or purification in silica columns [23, 24]. Reactions were carried out at 37 °C for 45 min into a simple heating oven. An immunoassay combined with an enzymatic enhancement was selected as developing reaction, as shown in Figure 2a. As digoxigenin labelled
280 nucleotides were added during the amplification process, the products were specifically recognized by the combination of antibodies. Optimization experiments indicated that the best dilutions were 1:4000 for primary antibody and 1:500 for secondary antibody (Figure 2b). Due to the hydrophobic properties of polycarbonate, no blocking of DVD surface was needed to avoid unspecific binding of reaction mixtures.

285 *Detection.* The DVD drive reading principle was based on the variation of the reflection properties of the DVD surface due to the presence of the biorecognition product. During the disc scanning, the reflected laser reached the photodiode of the pickup, generating the background signal (maximum reflection). But, when the laser hit a microarray spot, an attenuation of the reflected beam is produced, and consequently, the
290 intensity of laser beam decreased. Figure 3 images generated after surface scanning of each array, registering signal-to-noise ratios up to 30. Spots were unambiguously distinguished from background, determining six genes in a single array. The spot intensity was related to the amount of PCR product incubated on the microarray.

Analytical performances

295 The sensitivity was examined by hybridizing the multiplex PCR products from a series of 10-fold diluted genomic DNA. Yet, the mixtures contained from 0.01 pg to 10 ng of extracted DNA from each targeted strain. The lowest amounts of genomic DNA detected as positive responses (signal-to-noise ratio higher than 3) were $1.6 \pm 0.6 \times 10^{-4}$ ng for *Salmonella* and $1.7 \pm 0.6 \times 10^{-4}$ ng for *Campylobacter*. Sensitivity was also determined
300 applying the method to 10-fold diluted pure cultures, being the detection limit 14-57 CFU/mL for *Salmonella* and 11-60 CFU/mL for *Campylobacter*, prior to DNA extraction and PCR amplification. For pure cultures, a clear relationship between optical density

captured by DVD drive and pathogen concentration was observed (Figure 4). Sensitivity variations observed among the different probes should be due to the discrepancy of product concentrations (amplification efficiency) or differences in hybridization yield. A 100-fold increase of detection sensitivity was reached compared to single PCR and subsequent gel electrophoresis. This improvement is mainly due to the selected enzymatic development of microarrays. The assay reproducibility was calculated from culture extracts with 1 ng/ μ L of genomic DNA from each pathogen. Intra-assay reproducibility, expressed as the relative standard deviation of three replicates, performed in the same assay, was 4-19%. Inter-assay reproducibility, expressed as the relative standard deviation of three replicates, performed in different assays, was 8-24%.

The assay selectivity was evaluated in terms of the inclusivity (detection of the target organism) and exclusivity (nondetection of nontarget microorganisms). Table 3 summarizes the results obtained analyzing a selected set of pure cultures (pathogen concentration $2 \cdot 10^5$ CFU/mL). Negative and positive controls provided the expected responses, supporting the quality assurance of the hexaplex-PCR and hybridization assay. The microarray-based method succeeded in detecting all serovars of *Salmonella* tested (total of 21 isolates belonging to 19 serovars) and identifying the targeted serotypes. In case of detection of *Campylobacter*, neither false-positive nor false-negative were reported for pure culture isolates analyzed (10 isolates). Genomic extracts from reference strains of other pathogens were assayed to determine the exclusivity (13 isolates). No response was observed in any case.

Regarding to the analysis time, starting from a minimal bacterial cells, genomic DNA extraction (1 h, <2 €/sample), and PCR amplification (nearly 2 h, <1.5 €/sample) are general protocols of microbial DNA-methods. The specific steps of DVD based-method are performed in two hours: hybridization (70 min), developing reaction (40 min), DVD-reading (5 min), and image processing (2 min), being the hands-on-time about 30 min. The estimated cost of materials and reagents is less than 1 € per disc (20 samples).

Application to food safety control

DVD-microarray detection was applied to identify the presence of *Salmonella* and *Campylobacter* in meat samples bought in local supermarkets and poultry products collected in a slaughterhouse facility.

The analysis of inoculated chicken samples indicated that the method provides discrepancies respect to the spiked amount. Probably, the matrix effect affects the

polymerase activity, changing the amount of PCR products at the end of the amplification reaction. These phenomena have been described for techniques based on end-point PCR [25]. Then, the proposed approach was applied as semiquantitative method in meat samples (concentration degrees).

340 In the slaughterhouse facility, the sample collection covered the processing chain from raw material to fresh poultry meat preparations. An inter-laboratory validation study was designed in order to demonstrate the capabilities of the proposed method. Hence, a set of one hundred blind samples were determined by DVD microarray approach. Thirteen samples provided negative responses for all targets. The presence of *Salmonella* or *Campylobacter* was detected in 21 and 67 samples, respectively. Respect to the specific identification, *S. Enteritidis* (10 cases) was more detected than *S. Typhimurium* (9 cases) or other *Salmonella* serovars (9 cases). *C. jejuni* was identified in 52 cases, *C. coli* in 13 cases, and both in 2 cases being the only *Campylobacter* species detected. The information was used for the elaboration of effective tailor-made actions against these
345 human health hazards, i.e. specific hygienization.

Microarray results were compared to the obtained by microbiological/biochemical methods and quantitative real-time PCR (single/duplex format) to the same insulates. Figure 5 shows an example of the results obtained in poultry samples with microbiological contamination. The relative sensitivity and specificity of DVD-based
355 method were calculated from the number of positive and negative results, according to reference methods. The relative sensitivity for generic detection was 95.5 % for *Salmonella* (1 case of false-negative) and 98.5 % for *Campylobacter* (1 case of false-negative). The relative specificity was 100 % for both pathogens (no false-positives). Also, a successful specific identification of *Salmonella* serovars (sensitivity 94.4 % and selectivity 98.9 %) and *Campylobacter* species was reached (sensitivity 92.9 % and selectivity 96.2 %). Although the number of meat samples analyzed is limited (n=100), the results obtained by microarray detection agreed well with the reference figures, demonstrating the reliability of the DVD-based technique for screening purposes. The multiplex approach was especially interesting because raw meat is a common source and
360 vehicle for transmitting all these virulent strains to humans [6-8]. Hence, the microarray method provided an important reduction of time analysis and reagent consumption as well as a better high-throughput capacity (e.g. number of samples simultaneously analyzed) than most of current methods [3, 4, 8-9, 26].

The studied method also provides similar or improved performances than other
370 microarray-based assays for detecting food-borne pathogens. For instance, recent
oligonucleotide microarray methods have been developed with detection limits of 1-200
CFU per 25 g sample [10, 17-20, 23-24, 27-29]. The proposed tool has important
advantages over the current array methods, such as an economical (500-2000 folds
cheaper detector), easy-to-use diagnostic tool (DVD technology) and fully automated
375 data analysis for a high throughput use. Also, the pathogens panel (including serovars)
and/or the samples number analyzed on a disc in parallel may be gradually expanded
through addition of newly designed probes or microarrays into the system. In fact,
thousands of 500 μm -diameter dots can be printed on the DVD surface.

Regarding to the food safety control of meat products, this approach is able to
380 screen products along the entire production/distribution chain with best performances
than methods based on integrated devices or real-time PCR (few targets) and DNA
microarrays (expensive instruments). The proposed device had improved properties like
versatility, portability and low cost of acquisition and maintenance. After using
conventional enrichment culture-based techniques, the isolates were identified by a
385 portable, rapid, reliable and cost-effective method. Therefore, the developed system
fulfills the requirement for an alternative microbiological testing during production and
processing [6, 7].

CONCLUSIONS

390 An extensive monitoring of food safety, especially microbial contamination,
involves the use of reliable, speed-to-answer, and cost-effective methods. However, a vast
majority of food companies do not have access to robust, effective and quick-response
microbiological techniques. Exploiting a consolidated consumer electronics as DVD
technology, a powerful biosensing tool is achieved and validated. First, the device
395 covered the demand of simple, robust, low-cost instrument/platform without
compromising detection capabilities. Second, the developed methodology satisfies the
expected analytical performances. In this sense, the present study demonstrates that it is
possible to identify targeted serovars and species in a single assay with high sensitivity
and specificity (closed to 100 %). Their better performances than RT-PCR based methods,
400 particularly cost and working capability, will support an enhanced control of food-borne
pathogens and the effective management of hygiene measurements. Hence, this novel

approach can be easily integrated in a hazard analysis and critical control points (HACCP) system, adapting the production lines and accelerating the distribution of perishable foods.

405 **Acknowledgments**

Financial support of Spanish government FEDER - Call INNPACTO (Ref. IPT-2011-1011-31000). Contribution of grants GVA-PROMETEO II/2014/040 (Generalitat Valenciana) and CTQ/2013/45875-R (MINECO).

REFERENCES

1. European Food Safety Authority (2014) *EFSA Journal* 12:3547
2. Gould LH, Walsh KA, Vieira AR, Herman K, Williams IT, Hall AJ, Cole D (2013) *Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report (MMWR)* 62:1-34.
3. ISO 6579:2002, Microbiology of food and animal feeding stuffs. Horizontal method
415 for the detection of *Salmonella* spp.
4. ISO 10272-1:2006, Microbiology of food and animal feeding stuffs. Horizontal
method for detection and enumeration of *Campylobacter* spp. Part 1: detection
method.
5. Kim S, Frye JG, Hu J, Fedorka-Cray PJ, Gautam R, Boyle DS (2006) *J Clin Microb*
420 44:3608-3615.
6. Jasson V, Jacxsens L, Luning P, Rajkovic A, Uyttendaele M (2010) *Food Microb*
27:710-730
7. Park SH, Aydin M, Khatiwara A, Dolan MC, Gilmore DF, Bouldin JL, Ahn S, Ricke
SC (2014) *Food Microb* 38:250-262
- 425 8. Lazcka O, Del Campo FJ, Munoz FX (2007) *Biosens Bioelectron* 22:1205-1217
9. Velusamy V, Arshak K, Korostynska O, Oliwa K, Adley C (2010) *Biotechnol Adv*
28:232-254
10. Wang Y, Ye Z, Ying Y (2012) *Sensors (Basel)* 12:3449-3471
11. Mairhofer J, Roppert K, Ertl P (2009) *Sensors (Basel)* 9:4804-4823
- 430 12. Hsieh K, Patterson AS, Ferguson BS, Plaxco KW, Soh HT (2012) *Angew Chem Int*
Edit 51:4896-4900
13. Donhauser SC, Niessner R, Seidel M (2011) *Anal Chem* 83:3153-3160
14. Vora GJ, Meador CE, Stenger DA, Andreadis JD (2004) *Appl Environ Microb*
70:3047-3054
- 435 15. Wang XW, Zhang L, Jin LQ, Jin M, Shen ZQ, An S, Chao FH, Li JW (2007) *Appl*
Environ Microb 76:225-233
16. Lopez-Campos G, Martínez-Suarez JV, Aguado-Urda M, Lopez-Alonso V (2012)
Microarray detection and characterization of bacterial foodborne pathogens Springer,
New York
- 440 17. Suo B, He Y, Paoli G, Gehring A, Tu SI, Shi X (2010) *Mol Cell Probe* 24:77-86
18. Gronlund H, Riber L, Vigre H, Lofstrom C, Folling L, Huehn S, Malorny B,
Radstrom P, Rudi K, Hoorfar J (2011) *International J Food Microb* 145:S79-S85

19. Armandis-Chover T, Morais S, Tortajada-Genaro LA, Puchades R, Maquieira A, Berganza J, Olabarria G (2012) *Talanta* 101:405-412
- 445 20. Santiago-Felipe S, Tortajada-Genaro LA, Morais S, Puchades R, Maquieira A (2015) *Food Chem* 174:509–515
21. Jacxsens L, Kussaga J, Luning PA, Van der Spiegel M, Devlieghere F, Uyttendaele M (2009) *Int J Food Microb* 134:113-125
22. Sethi D, Gandhi RP, Kuma P, Gupta KC (2009) *Biotechnol J* 4:1513-1529
- 450 23. Kim HJ, Park SH, Lee TH, Nahm BH, Kim YR, Kim HY (2008) *Biosens Bioelectron* 24:238-246
24. Guo D, Liu B, Liu F, Cao B, Chen M, Hao X, Feng L, Wang L (2013) *Appl Environ Microb* 79:3392-3399
25. Smith CJ, Osborn AM (2009) *FEMS Microbiol Ecol* 67:6-20
- 455 26. Cheung PY, Kam KM (2012) *Food Research International* 45:802-808
27. Feng J, Wang X, Cao G, Hu S, Kuang X, Tang S, You S, Liu L (2013) *Eur Food Res Technol* 236:1073-1083
28. Suo B, He Y, Irwin P, Gehring A (2013) *Food Anal Method* 6:1477-1484
29. Shin HH, Hwang BH, Seo JH, Cha HJ (2014) *Appl Environ Microb* 80:366-373

460

List of Figures

Figure 1: Agarose gel electrophoresis image of hexaplex-PCR products. Pathogen concentration 16×10^3 CFU/ μ L. Fluorescent dye: real safe. Lane 1: 50 bp mini DNA ladder (Fisher Scientific International Inc.). Lane 2: *Salmonella Typhimurium* CECT 433. Lane 3: *Salmonella Enteritidis* CECT 4300. Lane 4: *Salmonella Nottingham* NCTC 7832. Lane 5: *Campylobacter jejuni* ATCC 33560, Lane 6: *Campylobacter coli* ATCC 33559. Lane 7: *Cronobacter sakazakii* ATCC BBA-894. Lengths of PCR products: 139 pb (*hns* gene), 189 pb (*sdf* gene), 62 pb (*STM4497* gene), 108 pb (*I6S* gene), 85 pb (*hipO* gene), and 81 pb (*ceuE* gene).

Figure 2: (a) Illustration of developing reaction: digoxigenin-labeled PCR products are recognized by sheep anti-digoxigenin antibody and then by the anti-sheep antibody conjugated with rabbit horseradish peroxidase. The addition of a substrate generates a solid deposit on the spot, where the specific target DNA-probe complex is formed (b) Optical intensity of spot depending on the concentration of developing antibodies: PCR product from a pure culture of *Salmonella Typhimurium* CECT 443.

Figure 3: (a) Scheme of reading principle by a DVD-drive: The reflections of the laser beam following the spiral track are converted into electrical pulses and collected by data acquisition card. As the laser starts reading the disc from the inside ring and ends up on the outside, the data from the complete disc is registered and the software generates an image by microarray. (b) Microarray layout: inter-spot distance 1.5 mm, spot diameter 0.55 mm. C-: negative control, non-complementary probe; C+: positive control, digoxigenin labeled probe (c) Microarray images obtained for pure cultures (Pathogen concentration 16×10^3 CFU/ μ L).

Figure 4: Standard curves for reference pathogens in pure cultures: (a) *S. Enteritidis*, (b) *S. Typhimurium*, (c) *C. jejuni*, (d) *C. coli*

Figure 5: Results for twenty-five poultry samples with positive presence of pathogens. Microarray results are shown in a color scheme based on optical densities registered by DVD-drive: green-negative, light red-positive with a low signal (concentration $< 10^2$), red-positive with an intermediate signal (concentration 10^2 - 10^4), dark red-positive with a high signal (concentration $> 10^4$). Results of reference methods (qPCR and microbiological/biochemical analysis) are expressed as positive presence (+) or negative presence (-).

List of Tables

495 Table 1: List of oligonucleotides. FP: forward primer, RP: reverse primer, P: probe

Table 2: Optimization factors of multiplex amplification

Table 3: DVD-microarray results for pure culture of different pathogens and strains.

Concentration: $2 \cdot 10^5$ CFU/mL

500

Table 1

Pathogen	Gene ¹	Use ²	Sequence (5'-3') ³	Product size (bp)
<i>Salmonella sp.</i>	<i>hns</i> AE006468.1	FP	TACCAAAGCTAAACGCGCAGCT	139
		RP	TTCCAGTTGCTTACCTTGTCTTCCA	
		P	Btn-TG-T ₁₀ -AGGGTCGTACACCGGCTGTAATCAAA	
<i>S. Enteritidis</i>	<i>sdf</i> AM933172.1	FP	AGCGAGCATGTTCTGGAAAG	184
		RP	CGTTCGTTCTTCTGGTACTTAC	
		P	Btn-TG-T ₁₀ -AATCAGCCTGTTGTCTGCTCACCATTC	
<i>S. Typhimurium</i>	<i>STM4497</i> AE006468.1	FP	GCGCACCTCAACATCTTTC	62
		RP	CGGTCAAATAACCCACGTTC	
		P	Btn-TG-T ₁₀ -AGATCATCGTCGACATGCTCAC	
<i>Campylobacter sp.</i>	<i>16S</i> AL111168.1	FP	CACGTGCTACAATGGCATAT	108
		RP	GGCTTCATGCTCTCGAGTT	
		P	Btn-TG-T ₁₀ -AGACGCAATACCGCGAGGTGGAGCA	
<i>C. jejuni</i>	<i>hipO</i> CP000025.1	FP	CTGCTTCTTTACTTGTGTGGCT	85
		RP	GCTCCTATGCTTACAACCTGCTG	
		P	Btn-TG-T ₁₀ -CATTGCGAGATACTATGCTTTG	
<i>C. coli</i>	<i>ceuE</i> X88849.1	FP	GATAAAGTTGCAGGAGTTCCAG	81
		RP	AACTCCACCTATACTAGGCTTG	
		P	Btn-TG-T ₁₀ -CTGTAAGTATTTGGCAAGTTT	

¹ GenBank accession

²FP: forward primer, RP: reverse primer, P: probe

³Btn-TG: Biotin with triethylene glycol spacer; T10: Thymine tail (10 nucleotides)

Table 2

	Variable	Studied interval	Selected
Reaction mixture	MgCl ₂ concentration	1 – 5 mM	2 mM
	dNTPs	100 – 400 μM	200 μM
	Digoxigenin-dUTPs	10 – 50 μM	20 μM
	Forward primer concentration	0.05 – 0.5 μM	0.1 μM
	Reverse primer concentration	0.05 – 0.5 μM	0.4 μM
	DNA template concentration	1 – 20 ng	5 – 10 ng
	Taq polymerase	0.3 – 2.5 U	1.5 U
Thermocycling	Cycles	20 – 50 cycles	40 cycles
	Annealing time	30 s – 90 s	30 s
	Annealing temperature	50 – 70 °C	56 °C

Table 3

Pathogen	C-	C+	<i>hns</i>	<i>sdf</i>	<i>STM</i>	<i>16S</i>	<i>ceuE</i>	<i>hipO</i>
<i>Salmonella Bredeney</i>	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Salmonella Cremieu</i>	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Salmonella Cubana</i>	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Salmonella Edimburg</i>	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Salmonella Enteritidis (a)</i>	-	+	+	+	-	-	-	-
<i>Salmonella Enteritidis (b)</i>	-	+	+	+	-	-	-	-
<i>Salmonella Glostrup (a)</i>	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Salmonella Glostrup (b)</i>	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Salmonella Hadar</i>	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Salmonella Mbandaka</i>	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Salmonella Meleagridis (a)</i>	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Salmonella Meleagridis (b)</i>	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Salmonella Mikawasima</i>	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Salmonella Nottingham</i>	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Salmonella Schwarzengrund</i>	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Salmonella Senftenberg</i>	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Salmonella Sytanley</i>	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Salmonella Thompson</i>	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Salmonella Typhimurium (a)</i>	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	-
<i>Salmonella Typhimurium (b)</i>	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	-
<i>Salmonella Umbilo</i>	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Campylobacter coli (a)</i>	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	-
<i>Campylobacter coli (b)</i>	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	-
<i>Campylobacter coli (c)</i>	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	-
<i>Campylobacter coli (d)</i>	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	-
<i>Campylobacter jejuni (a)</i>	-	+	-	-	-	+	-	+
<i>Campylobacter jejuni (b)</i>	-	+	-	-	-	+	-	+
<i>Campylobacter jejuni (c)</i>	-	+	-	-	-	+	-	+
<i>Campylobacter jejuni (d)</i>	-	+	-	-	-	+	-	+
<i>Campylobacter jejuni (e)</i>	-	+	-	-	-	+	-	+
<i>Campylobacter upsaliensis</i>	-	+	-	-	-	+	-	-
<i>Listeria monocytogenes</i>	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Bacillus cereus</i>	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Cronobacter sakazakii</i>	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Cronobacter dublinensis</i>	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Cronobacter malonaticus</i>	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Cronobacter turicensis</i>	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Citrobacter freundii</i>	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Escherichia coli</i>	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Proteus vulgaris</i>	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i>	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Enterobacter aerogenes</i>	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Hafnia alvei</i>	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Serratia marcescens</i>	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-

-: negative response, +: positive response