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ABSTRACT (English)

Packaging plays an important role in ensuring food safety and quality. The development antimicrobial packaging enables actively inhibiting/killing the spoilage microorganisms, and thus extending food product’s shelf life. Generally, 50% shelf life extension is possible. The interest for using metallic nanoparticles in active packaging derives from its superior antimicrobial efficacy and no negative impact on the food sensory properties.

In this thesis, the packaging material of concern is a PLA (Polylactic Acid) coated paper incorporating zinc oxide nanoparticles (ZnO NPs) in the coating layer. The material was characterized and its antimicrobial activity was evaluated. The SEM images show that the nanoparticles were homogenously distributed across the surface thanks to its surface modification. Antimicrobial assay indicates that the active material was effective in inactivating *E. coli* and *S. aureus*. Furthermore, *E. coli* was found to be more susceptible to this type of agent, showing 3.14 log reduction for 0.5 wt% agent loading in the PLA coating layer. This result was compared across the publications using the same agent for treating both Gram-positive and Gram-negative microorganisms. The discrepancy between the results can be explained by the fact that ZnO nanoparticles have multiple action mechanisms, and different antimicrobial testing methods may activate part of the action mechanisms.

On the other hand, recyclability is regarded as an important attribute for paper-based packaging material, as it enables to conserve the resources and reduce the environmental impacts. Accordingly, when it comes to the nano-enabled paper packaging material, recyclability should be maintained. In this case, a recyclability test was carried out in a lab-scale paper recycling line. The protocol was based on a method adapted from the ATICELCA MC501-13, which enabled to recover over 99% of the solids material. The mass balance result indicates that 86%-91% zinc oxide nanoparticles ended up in the rejected material stream, mostly embedded within the polymer coating; whereas 7%-16% nanoparticles ended up in the accepted material stream. Besides, the tensile strength of the recycled handsheets suggests that the nano-enabled coating had no negative impacts on the recovered fibre quality.

Active packaging plays a positive role in reducing food losses. If food and packaging are considered as a whole system, its overall environmental impact can be justified by incorporating the food loss reduction due to the application of active packaging. The LCA calculation shows that a breakeven point can be easily achieved for the case of red meat products of high environmental impact.
RESUMEN (Castellano)

El envase juega un papel importante asegurando la seguridad y calidad de los alimentos. El desarrollo de envases activos, especialmente envase antimicrobiano, permite inhibir o matar los microorganismos causantes del deterioro de los alimentos, alargando por tanto su vida útil. De forma general es posible extender la vida útil de los alimentos en un 50%. El interés por el uso de nanopartículas metálicas en el envasado activo se deriva de su gran efectividad antimicrobiana sin causar un efecto negativo en sus propiedades sensoriales.

En la presente tesis, se ha desarrollado un papel recubierto de PLA (ácido poliláctico) con nanopartículas de óxido de zinc (ZnO NPs) incorporadas en la capa de recubrimiento. Se ha caracterizado el material y se ha evaluado su capacidad antimicrobiana. Las imágenes obtenidas mediante SEM muestran que las nanopartículas fueron distribuidas a lo largo de la superficie gracias a su modificación. Los ensayos de efectividad antimicrobiana indicaron una actividad del material frente a E. coli y a S. aureus. Además, E. coli resultó ser más susceptible a este agente activo incorporado al 0.5 % en peso en el recubrimiento de PLA, mostrando una reducción de 3.14 log. Este resultado fue comparado con publicaciones donde se emplearon los mismos agentes activos para frente a microorganismos Gram-positivos y Gram-negativos. Las discrepancias encontradas entre los resultados pueden deberse a que las nanopartículas de ZnO tienen múltiples mecanismos de acción, y los diferentes métodos de ensayo poder estimular parte de estos mecanismos.

Por otra parte, el reciclado juega un importante papel en la conservación de los recursos y en la reducción de los impactos medioambientales. Por tanto, cuando se trata de un material de envase de papel con sustancias de tamaño nano, el reciclado debe tratarse adecuadamente. El ensayo de reciclabilidad fue llevado a cabo a escala laboratorio en una línea de reciclado de papel. El protocolo de ensayo se basó en el método adaptado de ATICELCA MC501-13, permitiendo una recuperación del 99% de material sólidos. Los resultados del balance de materia indicaron que el 86%-91% de las nanopartículas de óxido de zinc llegaron al flujo de material de rechazo, principalmente mezclado en el recubrimiento polimérico. Además, los resultados de tracción de las láminas recicladas sugieren que el recubrimiento con partículas nano no tiene un efecto negativo sobre la calidad de la fibra recuperada.

El envase activo juega un papel positivo en la reducción de los residuos alimentarios. Como resultado del uso del envase activo, considerando el envase y el alimento como un todo, el impacto ambiental sobre este sistema completo puede ser compensado por la reducción de pérdidas de alimentos. El cálculo LCA muestra
que el punto de equilibrio se puede conseguir fácilmente en el caso de productos de carne roja de elevado impacto ambiental.
RESUM (Valenciano)

L’envàs té un paper prou important en la seguretat i la qualitat dels aliments. El desenvolupament dels envasos actius, especialment l’envàs antimicrobià, el qual permeteix l’inhibició o mort dels microorganismes que produeixen el deteriorament dels aliments i, per tant, allargant la seua vida útil. De manera general, es possible l’allargament de la vida útil dels aliments en un 50%. L’interès per la utilització de nanopartícules metàl·liques en l’envasat actiu es deriva de la seua gran efectivitat antimicrobiana sense produir un efecte negatiiu en les seus propietats sensorials.

En aquesta present tesis, s’ha desenvolupat un paper recobert de PLA (àcid polilàctic) amb nanopartícules d’òxid de zinc (ZnO NPs) incorporades a la capa de recobriment. S’ha caracteritzat el material i s’ha avaluat la seua capacitat antimicrobiana. Les imatges obtingudes per mitjà del SEM mostren que les nanopartícules foren distribuïdes en tota la superfície gràcies a la seua modificació. Els assajos d'efectivitat antimicrobiana varen indicar una activitat del material front a E.coli i a S. aureus. A més, E. coli va resultar ser més susceptible a aquest agent actiu incorporat al 0.5 % en pes en el recobriment de PLA, mostrant una reducció de 3.14 log. Aquest resultat va ser comparat amb publicacions on es van emprar els mateixos agents actius front a microorganismes Gram-positiu i Gram-negatiu. Les discrepàncies trobades entre els resultats poden deure's a que les nanopartícules de ZnO tenen diversos mecanismes d'acció, i els diferents mètodes d'assaig poder estimular part d’aquestes mecanismes.

Per altra banda, el reciclatge també té un paper important en la conservació dels recursos i en la reducció dels impactes mediambientals. Per tant, quan es tracta d’un material d’envàs de paper amb substàncies de la grandària ‘nano’, el reciclatge ha de tractar-se adecuadament. El assaig de reciclabilitat va ser dut a terme a escala de laboratori en una línia de reciclatge de paper. El protocol de l’assaig es va basar en el mètode adaptat d’ATICELCA MC501-13, permetent una recuperació del 99% del material sòlid. Els resultats del balanç de matèria van indicar que el 86-91% de les nanopartícules d’òxid de zinc varen arribar al flux material de rebuig, principalment mesclat en el recobriment polimèric. A més, els resultats de tracció de les làmines reciclades suggereixen que el recobriment amb nanopartícules nano no tenen un efecte negatiiu sobre la qualitat de la fibra recuperada.

L’envàs actiu juga un paper positiu en la reducció dels residus alimentaris. Com a resultat de l’ús de l’envàs actiu, considerant l’envàs i l’aliment com un tot conjunt, l’impacte ambiental sobre aquest sistema complet pot ser compensat per la reducció de pèrdues d’aliments. El càlcul LCA mostra que el punt d’equilibri es pot aconseguir fàcilment en el cas de productes de carn roja d’elevat impacte ambiental.
ABBREVIATIONS

AP: Acidification Potential  
CD: Cyclodextrin  
CEC: Cation Exchange Capacity  
CFU: Colony Forming Unit  
EFSA: European Food Safety Authority  
EP: Eutrophication Potential  
FDA: US Food and Drug Administration  
FU: Functional Unit  
GWP: Global Warming Potential  
ICP-MS: Inductively Coupled Plasma-Mass Spectrometer  
LCA: Life Cycle Assessment  
LCI: Life Cycle Inventory analysis  
LCIA: Life Cycle Impact Assessment  
MMT: Montmorillonite  
NFC: Nanofibrillated Cellulose  
NP: Nanoparticle  
PCL: Polycaprolactone  
LDPE: Low-Density Polyethylene  
PHA: Polyhydroxyalkanoates  
PLA: Polylactic Acid  
PP: Polypropylene  
RH: Relative Humidity  
ROS: Reactive Oxygen Species  
SEM: Scanning Electron Microscopy  
SML: Specific Migration Limit  
TEM: Transmission Electron Microscopy  
TGA: Thermogravimetric Analysis  
TSA: Tryptone Soy Agar  
WWTP: Wastewater Treatment Plant  
XRD: X-Ray Diffraction
1 GENERAL INTRODUCTION

1.1 Active packaging

The latest trend of food consumption shows that minimally processed food and food containing less synthetic preservatives and additives are gaining popularity. This will pose more pressure to the food distribution. On the other hand, food producers and distributors already suffer significant food losses, and reducing food waste has become a strong voice in our society. In recent years, active packaging has attracted significant research efforts for being an effective solution for the abovementioned problems.

The official definition of active packaging is given in the regulation EC No. 450/2009 [1]: active materials and articles means materials and articles that are intended to extend the shelf-life or to maintain or improve the condition of packaged food; they are designed to deliberately incorporate components that would release or absorb substances into or from the packaged food or the environment surround the food.

A typical structure of active packaging is illustrated in Figure 1. The outer layer next to the environment functions as a barrier against microorganisms, chemicals, light, moisture, oxygen, etc.; while the internal layer containing active agents can actively interact with the foodstuff for the intended purposes.

![Figure 1. The concept of active packaging.](image-url)
In a packaging system, several mass transport mechanisms co-exist (see Figure 2):

1) Gas molecules, moisture, small aroma molecules from the environment could permeate through the polymer packaging material to reach the food; the transport can also occur in the reverse direction, from the food to the environment, depending on the concentration equilibrium at the phase interfaces.

2) The residues and additives (including active agents) contained within the polymer packaging material could migrate to the other phases, the environment and the headspace of the packaging/food. The kinetics of mass transport is governed by the Fick’s diffusion law and this will be discussed in details in Section 1.4.5.

![Figure 2. Mass transport in a packaging system.](image)

The first generation of active packaging is based on the concept of “sachet”—the active agents are contained within a permeable bag. However, this solution has some obvious drawbacks. For example, it has poor consumer perception (many consumers show rejection to a sachet printed with words like “DO NOT EAT” next to their food). There is also a risk of consumer exposure to high concentration of active agents, which is especially dangerous to children. Besides, this solution requires additional operations at food producer’s site. To overcome these drawbacks, active packaging evolves to the second generation, in which the agents are incorporated in the polymer matrix, i.e. being part of the packaging material [2] (see Figure 1).

Over the past decade various types of active packaging have emerged to the market. Some typical active packaging applications are summarized in Table 1. (It should
be noted that active packaging is mainly used for food but not limited to food; other applications are possible, e.g. cosmetics and pharmaceuticals.)

Active packaging needs to be tailor made for a specific food. This is because a successful design requires identifying the spoilage mechanisms and choosing the corresponding active agent to suppress the spoilage. For example, ground coffee is a lipid-rich food product, and the lipid substances can be quickly oxidized after exposure to oxygen and result in deterioration of taste (e.g. rancidity). In this case, oxygen-scavenging packaging could effectively reduce the rancidity, and hence extending the product’s shelf life.

As claimed by a UK food retailer, use of ethylene-absorbing packaging has cut the waste of berries by 4%[3]. US army has showed great interest to use nano-enabled antimicrobial packaging for ready-to-eat food for their soldiers [4]. Furthermore, antimicrobial packaging can be used as a measure to control the microbiological risks in food distribution [5-7].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Active packaging types</th>
<th>Target food</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Oxygen scavenging</td>
<td>Fresh and pre-cooked pasta, catering, meat products (e.g. smoked ham and salami), bakery products (e.g. bread, pizza crust, pastries, cookies, cakes), cheese, coffee, nuts and potato chips</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon dioxide absorbing/emitting</td>
<td>The O₂ absorbers/CO₂ generators are mainly used in products where package volume and package appearance are critical e.g. peanuts or potato crisps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moisture absorbing</td>
<td>Cheeses, meats, chips, nuts, popcorn, candies, gums and spices</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethylene scavenging</td>
<td>Fresh fruits and vegetables</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethanol emitting</td>
<td>Fruits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antimicrobial releasing/contact active</td>
<td>Meat, fish, poultry, bread, cheese, fruits</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Antioxidant releasing | Meat, cereals
--- | ---
Flavour absorbing | Juice, fish
Flavour releasing | Ice cream

Among these applications, antimicrobial packaging has attracted more research effort than the others for its ability to reduce food losses [9, 10]. These advantages will be explored in details in the following sections.

### 1.2 Antimicrobial packaging and shelf life extension

Shelf life is an important characteristic of food products. It is subjected to the relevant regulations. Basically, anyone who packages and sells food that is required to be labeled “use by” or “best before” date is legally responsible for calculating how long their products can be reasonably expected to keep under what storage conditions without any appreciable change in quality [11, 12]. In most of the cases, it is the responsibility of the food producers, but it is also applicable to other players in food distribution, e.g. the re-packers, secondary processors, and food retailers (e.g. supermarket).

Two factors influence the food product’s shelf life:

- **Microbial spoilage**

  This means spoilage/pathogenic organism growth and amount of microorganisms present. It is usually regulated by the relevant industry standards.

- **Non-microbial spoilage**

  This means sensory or biochemical deterioration, e.g. colour, texture, flavours, etc.

Active packaging extends the shelf life by counteracting either of the spoilage mechanisms: to inhibit the microorganism growth (e.g. the antimicrobial type), or to enhance or maintain the sensory qualities (to control the internal atmosphere, e.g. oxygen, moisture, humidity, CO₂, ethanol, ethylene, etc.). Accordingly, in a shelf life study [13, 14], a set of criteria will be considered, including the sensory change, microbiological change and physiochemical change due to spoilage (microbe’s metabolism). The effect of active packaging on shelf life extension is significant. As observed in many works (see Table 2), generally, 50% of shelf life extension is possible.
Table 2. Examples of shelf life extension as a result of using active packaging.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Food products</th>
<th>Shelf life in control packaging [day]</th>
<th>Shelf life in active packaging [day]</th>
<th>Active packaging description</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ground beef</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Coating layer containing nisin/lacticin</td>
<td>Kim et al., 2002 [15]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beef steak</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>PP film coated with oregano extract</td>
<td>Camo et al., 2011 [16]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beef steak</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>PP film co-extruded with natural antioxidants</td>
<td>Nerín et al., 2006 [17]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fresh lamb steaks</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>Active film containing extracts of rosemary/oregano</td>
<td>Camo et al., 2008 [18]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raw skinless sausages</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>Chitosan treatment</td>
<td>Sagoo et al., 2002 [19]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grilled pork</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>Chitosan treatment (on sample surface)</td>
<td>Yingyuad et al., 2006 [20]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fresh-cut fruit</td>
<td>1-2</td>
<td>5-7</td>
<td>Polymer extrusion incorporating essential oil component</td>
<td><a href="http://www.easyfruit.eu">www.easyfruit.eu</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mozzarella cheese</td>
<td>5-7</td>
<td>10-14</td>
<td>Packaging incorporating lemon extract</td>
<td>Conte et al., 2007 [21]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peeled shrimps</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>MAP in combination with thymol-enabled coating</td>
<td>Mastromatteo et al., 2010 [22]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1.3 Essential oil encapsulated by cyclodextrin: a controlled release system

Depending on the design, active packaging may function as a release system or as a contact active system. A release system means the agents could migrate to the packaging’s headspace or to the food surface in a controlled manner [23]; while for a contact active system, the agents are immobilized in the packaging material, and it functions by direct contacting with the food surface. Cyclodextrin (CD) encapsulated essential oil component is a good example of release system.

It is well known that some essential oils (extracted from herbs and plants) contain intrinsic antimicrobial properties, e.g. carvacrol in thyme and oregano [22, 24], eugenol in clove [25], and cinnamic acid in cinnamon [26].

Cyclodextrin (CD) is a derivative product of starch. It is often used as a complexing agent to entrap some guest molecules. The complexation/encapsulation is an equilibrium process (see Figure 3). The disassociation of a complex is driven by water molecule concentration gradient in the surrounding environment, i.e. the RH (Relative Humidity) [27], which can be seen as a triggering mechanism for the design of a release system.

Ayala-Zavala et al. proposed a release system for packaging fresh-cut fruits (See Figure 4) [27]. As the fruit resired, the RH within packaging started to increase. When the RH reached a threshold point (33% in this case, as shown in Figure 5), the release of the active compounds (eugenol) was triggered.
Figure 4. An active packaging system using eugenol as antimicrobial agents for fresh-cut fruits. The release of eugenol is triggered by the RH within the packaging. Reproduced from [27].

Figure 5. Controlled release of eugenol from $\beta$-Cd complex as a function of the RH (Relative Humidity). Reproduced from [27].
1.4 Nanotechnology and nanocomposite

1.4.1 Nanomaterial
Nanomaterial means solids substance with at least one dimension less than 100 nm (nanometre) [28, 29]. In contrast to the bulk material of the identical composition, when a material’s physical dimension is reduced to nano-scale, its physical and chemical properties are governed by the Quantum mechanics, which leads to the enhanced reactivity, catalysis, mechanical strength, electrical conductivity, etc.

Another important attribute of nanomaterial is its exceptional large surface-to-volume ratio. This can be demonstrated with an example (see Figure 6): for a cube of 1 x 1 x 1 cm, the total surface area is 6 cm$^2$; when the cube is broken into smaller cubes, 1 x 1 x 1 mm, the total surface area will be 10 times larger; if further broken into nano cubes, 1 x 1 x 1 nm, the total surface area will be $10^6$ times larger. When such large surface area exposed to the surrounding environment, it will influence the interactions occurring at the interface and hence the behaviour of nanomaterials, e.g. transport, deposit and uptake mechanisms [30-32].

![Figure 6. Nanomaterial: surface to volume ratio. Reproduced from [33].](image)

1.4.2 Nanocomposite
The clay-polymer system is a typical example of nanocomposite. The relevant research work began in the 1980s, and it was found that by introducing a small amount of nanoclay into the polymer matrix, a range of properties could be improved. In particular, the enhancement in barrier properties and flame retardant property has attracted more research interest. Food packaging is seen as one of its potential applications. The recent research work shows that the best reinforcement
effect is attained when the silicate layers are in an exfoliated state, i.e. exposing its nano-structure [34, 35]. This will be discussed in details in the following sections.

1.4.3 How the clay-polymer system works

As illustrated in Figure 7, a typical clay-polymer nanocomposite contains three fundamental components: the nanoclay, modifier, and polymer matrix. To fabricate the nanocomposite, pure clay needs to be modified first. The aim of the modification treatment is to render the hydrophilic clay surface to be hydrophobic, and this will ensure better compatibility with the polymer matrix. After that, the organically modified clay (also called “organoclay”) is incorporated into the polymer as additives/fillers, usually at a loading less than 5 wt%. Melt-extrusion is the most readily accepted method by the industry for it would not cause significant changes to the existing polymer processing.

1.4.4 Clay structure and organic modification

Nanoclay (Montmorillonite, MMT for short) is a material with naturally occurring nano-structure. As illustrated in Figure 8, a clay particle is actually composed of numerous silicate layers (also called “platelets”). Typically, one single platelet is about 1 nm in thickness and the lateral dimension can be as large as 10 µm[4, 35]. If described in aspect ratio, the value can range from 10 to 1000. These silicate
layers are stacked together by van der Waals’ forces and/or weak ionic interactions, and can be separated with engineering methods.

Figure 8. The structure of nanoclay on different scale levels. Reproduced from [4].
**Nanoclay** has a typical 2:1 structure: 2 tetrahedral sheets (opposing 6-unit-rings with a silicon atom in the centre) sandwiching an octahedral sheet (the unit has a aluminium/iron/magnesium atom in the centre). The sheets are connected by sharing the apical oxygen or hydroxyl.

Interestingly, between the “sandwiches”, there is an interlayer (called “gallery”) consisting of water and interlayer cations (e.g. Na⁺, Ca²⁺, and Mg²⁺), which can be exchanged with other cations, e.g. organo-surfactant [37]. The reaction readily takes place in aqueous media. Usually, a portion of ethanol and heat are used to enhance the reaction.

Cation Exchange Capacity (CEC) is an indicator to describe the number of sites available for the ion exchange. It is measured by reacting the nanoclay with Cu(trien)²⁺ [38]. MMT has a typical value of CEC 80-150 meq/100g clay.

### 1.4.5 Clay exfoliation and barrier reinforcement

The enhanced barrier properties have important meanings for packaging application. This mechanism of the reinforcement is illustrated in Figure 9. When a nanocomposite is properly produced, the silicate layers are homogenously dispersed within the polymer matrix, and thus building up a tortuous pathway for the permeating molecules. The clay platelet is well crystallized, it is impermeable to the small molecules, e.g. O₂ and H₂O [39]. As a result of this, the molecules need to travel a more tortuous route d’ instead of a more direct route d, which corresponds to the case when no clay is used. For the case of travelling the route d’, in accordance with the Fick’s diffusion law (see Equation 1 and 2), the material’s apparent diffusivity of is reduced.
Figure 9. Image (a): the mechanism of barrier enhancement in the clay-polymer system. Image (b): a TEM image showing the exfoliated silicate layers distributed within the PLA matrix.

Fick’s first law of diffusion is described in Equation 1 [40]:

$$ J = -D \frac{\partial c}{\partial x} $$

where
\( J \) is the diffusion flux, with a unit of \( \frac{\text{mol}}{\text{m}^2\text{s}} \). It measures amount of substance flowing through a unit area per unit time.

\( D \) is the diffusivity (also called diffusion coefficient), with a unit of \( \text{m}^2/\text{s} \).

\( c \) is the concentration of the substance of interest, with a unit of \( \text{mol}/\text{m}^3 \).

\( x \) is the position in the spatial dimension considered.

Fick’s second law of diffusion describes how the concentration profile evolves with respect to time (see Equation 2) [40]:

\[
\frac{\partial c}{\partial t} = D \frac{\partial^2 c}{\partial x^2} \tag{2}
\]

The reinforcement in barrier properties depends on how well the clay is dispersed in the polymer matrix. The desired state of dispersing is called exfoliation, in which the individual palates are separated by the polymer phase [35].

Clay modification is essential to achieve the exfoliation. Surfactant containing QAG groups (Quaternary Ammonium Group) is often used to do this job. After modification, the clay becomes “organoclay”, and the interlayer distance (d-spacing) increases. In fact, from clay modification to clay incorporation (melt-intercalating), it can be seen as a process of progressively expanding the silicate layers.

As an example, the relevant data are extracted from a comparative study [39] (see Table 3). The same polymer is reinforced with different organoclays (based on the same clay but modified with different modifiers; the number of octadecyl carbon chain connecting to the nitrogen atom increases from 1 to 4). The data indicates that the d-spacing of the organoclay increases as a function of the number of alky chains. While on the nanocomposite level, a greater d-spacing of the organoclay (c.a. 2 nm increasing) enables 27% decreasing in the composite’s oxygen permeability. This relationship is illustrated in Figure 10.
Table 3. The effect of modifier on the composite’s oxygen permeability. Data source [39].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>C18</th>
<th>2C18</th>
<th>3C18</th>
<th>4C18</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The d-spacing of the organoclay [nm]</td>
<td>1.85</td>
<td>2.66</td>
<td>3.58</td>
<td>3.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The O$_2$ permeability of the composite [cm$^3$µm/m2·day·mmHg]</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 10. The effect modifier type on the d-spacing of the organoclay and the gas permeability of the composite material using this organoclay. Reproduced from [4].

Research shows that when clay is modified with two modifiers, at least one polar and one non-polar, preferably having different chain lengths, the organic modification result is better than a single modifier system [41, 42]. In a two-modifier system, it is assumed that on a platelet surface there might be some regions consisting of a single organic modifier type, and other regions consisting of another single organic modifier type. And the platelet surface got “roughening” or “texturing”. Thus, such modification will greatly enhance the interactions between the platelet and the polymer matrix.

1.4.6 Composite characterization

The composite material’s performance (i.e. the effect of nano-reinforcement) greatly depends on how well the clay is dispersed within the polymer matrix. This can be explained from a physical point of view: as the stacked clay platelets becomes delaminated and separated by the polymer phase (exfoliated), more nano-
scale solids surface area become exposed, which allows for more interactions between the surface and polymer chains.

XRD (X-Ray Diffraction) and TEM (Transmission Electron Microscopy) are often used to characterize clay-polymer nanocomposite. They are useful tools to evaluate the clay dispersing state within the composite. As an example, Figure 11 shows different clay dispersing states that can be achieved within a composite: intercalated, intercalated-flocculated, and exfoliated. However, it should be noted that in a composite the state of clay dispersing can be complex and various states may co-exist. Generally, XRD provides a more averaged picture about the test piece, while the TEM image gives direct information about the clay’s spatial distribution in a specific observation spot.

![Figure 11. Different clay dispersing states within a composite as examined by XRD and TEM. Reproduced from [35].](image-url)
1.4.7 Improvement in other properties

For the clay-polymer system, besides the gas barrier properties, the enhancement is also observed in other properties such as the mechanical properties, flame retardant property, thermostability and antimicrobial properties [35, 43, 44].

In terms of mechanical properties, the effect of reinforcement is significant. For example, in a study shows that the incorporation of organoclay improved the material’s yield strength by 44.6% [45]. The reinforcement effect can be explained by the adhesion created between the polymer matrix and clay platelets which restricts the segmental motion of polymer chains [45].

However, this reinforcement is not yet comparable with the conventional glass fibre. A comparison was made for the best possible reinforcement that can be achieved with the two filler systems (see Figure 12). In this study, Nylon-6 was reinforced with 4 wt% nanoclay and 48 wt% glass fibre, respectively. Clearly, in both tensile strength and Young’s modulus the fibre-reinforced composite has outperformed the clay system with a great margin [34].
Figure 12. The reinforcement in mechanical properties of Nylon6 (tensile strength and Young’s modulus) by using two different filler systems: 4 wt% nanoclay and 48 wt% glass fibre. Reproduced from [34].

The clay-polymer nanocomposite also contains good flame retardant property [46, 47]. This is because a special char forms (silicate based) during the material burning, and the char functions as an insulation layer to retard the mass and heat transport (e.g. the ingress of oxygen and the diffusion of compostable products). The nanofiller has already been commercialized as flame retardant additive for thermal plastics, e.g. the CLOISITE from BYK [36], the Maxxam® from PolyOne.
and a similar additive from Eupen [48]. They are often used in the cable jacket material to meet the flammability regulations (e.g. UL94 V-0).

As claimed in the relevant patent information [42], nanoclay also improves the material’s thermostability. This reinforcement is especially useful for some biopolymers (e.g. PLA) that are susceptible to high temperature applications, e.g. as beverage packaging for hot filling. A study shows that the clay-PLA nanocomposite bottles maintained their shapes after conditioning in the oven of 60 °C, while the pristine PLA bottle got deformed (see Figure 13) [49].

![Figure 13. Thermostability test of clay-PLA nanocomposite bottles. Before and after conditioning in the oven of 60 °C. Adapted from [49].](image)

Due to the enhancement in barrier property, one concern about using nanoclay in biopolymer is that it may compromise the material’s intrinsic biodegradability.

Some biopolymers such as PLA, their biodegradation depends on the mechanism of hydrolysis. Thus, the penetration of moisture plays an important role in this process. On the other hand, a concern is that using nanoclay to enhance PLA’s barrier property may compromise the material’s biodegradability. By contrast, based on the results from various studies, it is found that the biodegradation of the composite is accelerated rather than being retarded. For example (see Figure 14), in a
comparative study [50, 51], when the clay-PLA composite reached 90% of biodegradation degree, the pristine PLA only reached 60% (image a). This result is consistent with the evolution of the molecular weight of the test pieces (image b). As concluded in the work, this behaviour is attributed to the hydroxyl groups presented on the clay platelets, which may trigger the heterogeneous hydrolysis of the PLA matrix.

![Graph](image)

**Figure 14.** A comparative biodegradation test: the biodegradation degree (CO$_2$ evolution, image a) and the evolution of the test piece’ molecular weight (image b). PLACN4 means PLA contains 4 wt% of nanoclay filler. Reproduced from [50].

### 1.4.8 Summary and market prospect
Nanoclay holds a great potential in the application of lightweight packaging. That is, to achieve the same barrier property, adding nanoclay will reduce the material’s thickness. The cost of nanoclay is low, which is favoured by the packaging industry.

Besides, the nanoclay is a good filler for biopolymers such PLA. It enables to reinforce the material’s thermostability and biodegradability. And this will make the composite material more competitive for the packaging application.

Lastly, it is anticipated that nanoclay will be approved by the authority (EFSA) for food contact application in the near future.

1.5 Metallic nanoparticles for active packaging application

1.5.1 Introduction to metallic Nanoparticles

Metallic particles could possibly mean several chemical forms [52, 53], including the metal particles (e.g. Ag), the metal oxides (TiO$_2$), as well as the hybrid particles with layers of different metals (e.g. Ag-TiO$_2$).

It is well known and widely accepted that metallic particles have antimicrobial properties [52, 54]. Compared with the bulk form of these particles, the nano-size form (metallic nanoparticles) has enhanced antimicrobial activity [55]. In general, the smaller the particle size the greater the antimicrobial activity [54], which makes them promising antimicrobial agent for packaging application [52, 56]. Some representative research works are summarized in Table 4. The focus is placed on three NPs, ZnO, TiO$_2$ and Ag, as they are the top three candidates for antimicrobial packaging application.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agent</th>
<th>Size</th>
<th>Host material</th>
<th>Microorganism for the in-vitro test</th>
<th>Log reduction [log(CFU)/mL]</th>
<th>Target food</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ag</td>
<td>nano</td>
<td>PLA film</td>
<td><em>Salmonella enterica</em>; feline calicivirus (FCV)</td>
<td><em>Salmonella</em>: 6; FCV: 4.4 (in vitro)</td>
<td>Lettuce; paprika</td>
<td>Martinez-Abad et al., 2013[57]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ag</td>
<td>5-35 nm</td>
<td>Cellulose</td>
<td>All spoilage-related (total mesophilic aerobic counts)</td>
<td>3 logCFU/g (in the absorbent pad)</td>
<td>Fresh-cut melon</td>
<td>Fernandez et al., 2010 [58]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ag</td>
<td>41-50 nm</td>
<td>PHA</td>
<td><em>Salmonella enterica</em>; <em>Listeria monocytogenes</em></td>
<td>6.89 for <em>Salmonella enterica</em>; 5.51 for <em>Listeria monocytogenes</em></td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Castro-Mayorga et al., 2016 [59]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂</td>
<td>0.7-0.9μm</td>
<td>Glass</td>
<td><em>E. coli</em>; <em>Listeria</em>; <em>S. aureus</em>; <em>Salmonella</em></td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>Lettuce</td>
<td>Kim et al., 2009 [60]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂</td>
<td>7 nm, 5μm</td>
<td>Oriented PP</td>
<td><em>E. coli</em></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Lettuce</td>
<td>Chawengkijwanich &amp; Hayata, 2008 [61]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂</td>
<td>20 nm</td>
<td>Wheat gluten/cellulose nano-crystal</td>
<td><em>S. aureus</em>; <em>E.coli</em>; <em>S. cervisiae</em></td>
<td>Up to 98% reduction for <em>S. aureus</em> and <em>E. coli</em>; 100% reduction for <em>S. cervisiae</em></td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>El-Wakil et al. 2015 [62]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZnO</td>
<td>40.7 nm</td>
<td>Coating on paper surface</td>
<td><em>S. aureus</em>; <em>K. pneumoniae</em>; <em>B. cereus</em></td>
<td>1.6-3.8</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Martins, et al., 2013[56]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZnO</td>
<td>Nano</td>
<td>PLA coating</td>
<td><em>Salmonella</em></td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>Liquid egg albumen</td>
<td>Jin &amp; Gurtler, 2011 [63]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZnO</td>
<td>1-1.2μm</td>
<td>Isotactic PP</td>
<td><em>E. coli</em></td>
<td>1.07 (24 h)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Silvestre et al, 2016 [64]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ag, TiO₂, kaolin</td>
<td>Nano</td>
<td>PE bags</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A (Extended shelf life)</td>
<td>Chinese jujube</td>
<td>Li et al., 2009 [65]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In the following discussion, two aspects will addressed:

1) the action of mechanism of the NPs,
2) and the method to incorporate the NPs into the host material.

1.5.2 Antimicrobial action mechanism

1.5.2.1 Zinc oxide nanoparticles

As reported in the work of Liu, et al. [66], zinc oxide nanoparticles (ZnO NPs) of particle size 70 ± 15 nm in various concentrations were “immobilized” by a TSA agar (Tryptone Soy Agar) to prevent the particle precipitation. And then the challenge culture was inoculated and then incubated for 12 h. The assay indicates that the antimicrobial effect was evident and in line with the NP concentration. The bacterial strain (E. coli O157:H7) was completely inhibited by the NPs at concentration of 12 mmol/L.

In the same study, SEM (Scanning Electron Microscopy) was used to investigate the NP’s action mechanism (see Figure 15). It was found that the ZnO NPs had a tendency to interact with the cell membrane without causing significant changes to the cell’s morphology (size, shape, appearance, etc.). However, the change underlying the morphology was observed with TEM (see Figure 16) on a thin slice of microbe cell. It can be seen that the cell’s membrane was perforated and the leakage of intracellular contents such as nucleic acids were detected. The attacking action was also supported by the Raman spectra—the products from destruction/denaturalization of lipid and protein were detected, which gives evidence to the cell membrane damage.
After the attacking action, the well-dispersed NPs became agglomerated and partly entrapped by the leaked contents, as seen from the image (a) in Figure 15. This may provide evidence to the interaction between the NPs and the denatured protein. This hypothesis is also supported by the experimental results from another work [67], in which Ag NPs were used to inactivate \textit{E. coli}. It was found that the NPs coagulated/chelated with the leaked intracellular contents. As a result of this, some antimicrobial agents became isolated from the system.

Activity and toxicity are closely related to each other. In a study [68], the toxicity of ZnO NPs was investigated using fish egg hatching rate. It was found that the ZnO NPs had a greater toxicity than the zinc salt solution of the same concentration (see Figure 17). This suggests that the dissolved Zn$^{2+}$ is not solely responsible for the ZnO NP’s toxicity.
As concluded in various sources, ZnO NP has multiple action mechanisms [56, 66, 68, 69]. They are

1) leaching of metallic ions (i.e. Zn\(^{2+}\)),
2) direct contact with the microorganism’s cell membrane,
3) and the ROS (Reactive Oxygen Species) due to the photocatalytic property of ZnO.

Very often, these mechanisms work together to create an enhanced activity, which is termed as the “synergistic effect” [63, 69, 70].

### 1.5.2.2 Titanium dioxide nanoparticles

The antimicrobial activity of titanium dioxide nanoparticles (TiO\(_2\) NPs) can be attributed to the semiconductor nature of this material [60, 71, 72]. ROS can be generated through a series of photocatalysis reactions (Equations 3-7). The ROS include the superoxide radicals (\(O_2^-\)) in Equation 4, the hydroxyl radicals (\(HOO\cdot\)) in Equation 6, and the hydrogen peroxide (H\(_2\)O\(_2\)) in Equation 7. It is the oxidative stress of these ROS that causes damages to the microbe’s cell membrane, altering its permeability and integrity, and inactivating the microbes.

\[
TiO_2 + hv \rightarrow e_{CB}^- + h_{VB}^+
\]  
\[
O_2 + e^- \rightarrow O_2^-
\]
\[ H_2O \rightarrow OH^- + H^+ \] (5)
\[ O_2^- + H^+ \rightarrow HOO\cdot \] (6)
\[ HOO\cdot +H^+ \rightarrow H_2O_2 \] (7)

where

*hv* is photo energy of light irradiation
*e* is electron
*h* is hole

N.B. subscript of CB and VB in Equation 3 indicate different energy bands.

One noticeable property of TiO\(_2\) is that, if given sufficient reaction time, the dead cell (debris) can be completely degraded to CO\(_2\) and H\(_2\)O. This process is illustrated in Figure 18: first, the ROS attack on the outer membrane, and then on the inner membrane, and finally degrade the entire cell membrane.

![Figure 18. The action mechanism of TiO\(_2\) NPs. Reproduced from [72].](image)

### 1.5.2.3 Silver nanoparticles

Similar to the case of ZnO NP, Ag NP has multiple action mechanisms. Ag NPs have a strong tendency to interact with the cell membrane (see Figure 19). Thus, direct contact is regarded as an important action mechanism for this agent. As reported in one study [67], the *E. coli* suspension was treated with Ag NPs, and the change of morphology was captured by SEM (see Figure 20). It can be seen that the cell membrane was perforated. In another study [73], it was found that the Ag NPs dispersion contained stronger activity than the silver salt solution (AgNO\(_3\)) at the same concentration.
The Ag NP’s morphology also plays a role in its activity [4, 74, 75]. In accordance with the study of Pal et al. [76], Ag NPs in triangle shape enjoyed greater activity than the circle and rod shapes (see Figure 21).

Besides, some external conditions such as the electric field, photo-excitation can also affect the NP’s activity [4].
In summary, Ag NP has multiple action mechanisms [4, 52]. They are:

1) direct contact with the cell membrane,
2) oxidative stress from the generated ROS,
3) and the disruption effect on the cell DNA replication.

1.5.3 **Hybrid metallic nanoparticles and synergistic effect**

The synergistic effect has been identified when using different antimicrobial agents in a system. For example, the TiO$_2$-Ag hybrid NPs are being frequently reported [77, 78]. The hybrid NPs are synthesized by reducing silver nitrate (AgNO$_3$) in the presence of the TiO$_2$ NPs. Or simply mix two or more NPs will also give an enhanced activity [65].

Besides, metallic NPs can be used in combination with other organic antimicrobial agents. For example, Ag NPs in combination with lysozyme demonstrated good inhibitory effect against two silver-resistant strains (*Proteus mirabili* and a pMG101 type *E. coli*) [79]; ZnO NPs in combination with allyl isothiocyanate and nisin showed higher efficacy than the single agent system in inhibiting *Salmonella* [63].

1.5.4 **Methods to incorporate nanoparticles in the host materials**
For packaging application, these metallic NPs are incorporated into the polymer material with two methods: 1) in coating formulation 2) as nanofillers for polymer processing.

1.5.4.1 Coating formulation

This method has been found in many studies. For example, ZnO NPs were formulated in a NFC-based coating color to produce antimicrobial paper [56, 80]. Similarly, Ag NPs were introduced into a starch-based coating to produce antimicrobial paper [81]. TiO₂ NPs were dispersed in a PCL-based coating colour [71], and Ag NPs were incorporated in a PLA-based coating colour [57].

1.5.4.2 Nanocomposite

In this method, the NPs are used as nanofiller for polymer processing, e.g. for film extrusion [65, 82, 83]. Usually, the NPs are surface modified in order to achieve a good dispersing within the polymer matrix.

1.5.4.3 Embedded in a inorganic carrier

It is possible to embed metallic NPs into inorganic carriers (e.g. nanoclay, zeolite, halloysite, cloisite, kaolinite, zironium phosphate, etc.) [52]. The aim is to achieve a controlled release system.

- Silver-loaded clay

In this method, silver nitrate solution (AgNO₃) is added to a clay suspension for ion-exchange, and after that Ag⁺ is reduced to Ag NP by adding a reducing agent (e.g. NaBH₄, formaldehyde and ascorbic acid) [52]. In this way, Ag NPs become intercalated in the clay gallery [84]. This type of agent is commercially available (e.g. from Nanobiomatters).

- Silver-loaded zeolite

In a similar way, Ag NPs can be loaded in zeolite [52, 85, 86]. This type of agent is also commercially available (e.g. from Agion-Tech).

The release of Ag⁺ from the carrier follows the first order kinetics, which can be described with Equation 8 and 9 [85].
\[ \ln \left( \frac{[\text{Ag}^+]_t}{[\text{Ag}]_0} \right) = -kt \]  

(8)

\[ T_{1/2} = \frac{\ln 2}{k} \]  

(9)

Where \( k \) is the decay constant;

\( \ln[\text{Ag}^+]_0 \) and \( \ln[\text{Ag}^+]_t \) are the concentrations at initial exposure time \((t = 0)\) and at time \( t \) (after each exposure and after retrieval/reuse);

\( T_{1/2} \) is the half life of the decay.

### 1.5.5 Other methods

There are other methods to deposit a nano-scale coating on a solid surface, e.g. chemical vapour deposition and physical vapour deposition [87].

### 1.6 End-of-life of nanocomposite as packaging material

#### 1.6.1 Effect of nano-reinforcement on biopolymer’s biodegradability

As the consumer’s environmental awareness increases, materials of biodegradability (e.g. cellulose, PLA, PHA, PCL) are being used for packaging applications [86, 88-90]. The biodegradation of these polymers strongly depends on the microbe flora contained in the compost. Thus, one concern is that if an antimicrobial agent is incorporated in these polymers, will they still retain the biodegradability? And to what extent will the biodegradation be retarded?

This issue has been investigated in a comparative study [91], in which a cotton fabric was incorporated with three different silver-based agents, Ag NPs, AgCl (silver salt) and Ag NPs in a stabilized colloidal state. The effect of antimicrobial agent on the fabric’s biodegradation was investigated with a soil burial test. It was found that the degradation rate and degree was in an order of Ag NPs, AgCl (silver salt), and colloidal silver, in an inverse proportion with the agent’s antimicrobial potency. When exposed to the soil compost, the Ag NPs got agglomerated and therefore lost its nano-specific activity. The fabric coated with AgCl retained its activity by leaching silver ions (Ag\(^+\)). While the colloidal Ag NPs were stabilized to maintain its nano-form, so the system showed the highest activity.
Balaguer et al. [92] carried out a compostability test on the PLA-based composites reinforced with three different nanofillers, organoclay, CaCO$_3$, and SiO$_2$. The biodegradability test shows that the incorporation of organoclay and CaCO$_3$ accelerated the biodegradation slightly. As PLA degrades with hydrolysis, it is estimated that these nanofillers have some effect on this mechanism[50]. In terms of eco-toxicity, no significant differences were observed between the composites and the control (pristine PLA). However, the degradation of the ZnO-PLA composite in phosphate buffer solution was remarkably retarded [93].

1.6.2 Fate of nanomaterials in the waste stream

For nano-enabled application, a big concern is the issue of waste management [32, 94-96]. For example, when nano-enabled packaging enters the municipal waste stream, will they be properly processed the existing waste processing equipment? Are there any nano-specific risks? Besides, when NPs release to the environment, they will interact with the eco-system (e.g. soli, water, and air). And this will further affect the their transport, transformation and persistence in the environment [97].

The issue becomes clearer as the number of research work increases. There are a few positive feedbacks. In accordance with a risk assessment study [98], nano-enabled applications have low risk and limited impact to environment and human health. In biological WWTPs (Wastewater Treatment Plants), bacteria are employed to degrade the organic matters, and they may be susceptible to the accumulation of NPs. Wang et al. investigated this issue [32], and they found that within the environmentally-relevant NP loading and biomass concentration, the NPs in question (Ag, TiO$_2$ and C$_{60}$) had negligible effects on the system. Another study indicates that the NP’s toxicity can be mitigated when exposed to the natural sediment (consisting of sand, silt-clay mixture, alpha cellulose, humic acid, and dolomite) [68].

NPs have a strong tendency of agglomeration/aggregation [99, 100]. The agglomeration even starts when the NPs are in dry powder form. Therefore, in some applications, the NPs are surface modified to achieve a stabilized dispersion system [101]. And these surface modified NPs may pose higher risks to the biological and environmental system. Therefore, further research is needed to clarify this issue.

1.7 Food contact regulatory issues

Active food contact material shall comply with the EU regulation (EC) No. 450/2009, which gives particular attention to the nanomaterials, stating that a case-
by-case approach shall be used [102]. To ensure consumer safety, one important issue is the agent migration. Especially the migration of NPs has raised significant concern regarding its food contact application[103-106].

In 2005, EFSA issued a positive opinion on silver loaded zeolite for food contact application, with a SML (Specific Migration Limit) of 50 µg Ag/kg food [107]. More specifically, the agent loading in the polymer (silver zeolite A, containing ≤ 5% silver) should not exceed 10% (w/w). In 2009, FDA (the US Food and Drug Administration) approved silver as antimicrobial agent for bottled water with a SML of 17 µg Ag/kg water [52]. However, the migration in nano-form of is not clear in these early cases.

In a more recent publication, Echegoyen and Nerín investigated the nano-form migration from commercially available food containers containing Ag NPs [108]. The samples included two rigid PP lunch boxes and one LDPE resealable bag. The Migration test was carried out in accordance with the EU food contact regulation. It was found that acetic acid 3% (w/v) as food simulant promoted the highest amount of Ag leaching (31.46 ng/cm²), which is attributed to the dissolved silver ions. This value is still far below the SML set by the EU regulation and FDA. The migration of silver in nano-form was confirmed with ICP-MS and SEM-EDX.

In accordance with the work of Reig et al. [109], to date only three nanomaterials are authorized by EFSA (the European Food Safety Authority) to be used for food contact applications. They are titanium nitride (TiN), carbon black (C) and silica (SiO₂), and all of them are to be used as polymer production aids (no active properties).

For active packaging application, the some nano agents are currently under reviewing. In 2015, EFSA carried out a specific migration test with a composite (LDPE film containing ZnO NPs). In their report, the panel expresses their worries on the zinc migration for high concentration of Zn²⁺ was detected in a food simulant, acetic acid 3% (w/v) [110].

Agent migration (both ionic-form and nano-form) from the composite could be affected by many factors, such as the host polymer’s property [4, 89, 111], processing parameters (by affecting the polymer’s crystallinity) [86], particle size, particle support (e.g. zeolite or kaolinite), ionic strength of immersion solution [53], coating methods and surface roughness [112]. Thus, further studies are needed to clarify this issue.

1.8 Consumer perception
Besides regulator, other players along the packaging value chain, e.g. the food producer, brand owner, packaging producer, and consumers, their perception to the nano-enabled packaging also matters [113, 114]. A survey conducted with Swiss consumers suggests that nano-enabled packaging is positively welcomed for its advantages in food preservation [113]. On the other hand, German consumers held a more conservative attitude towards the application of nanotechnology to food and food packaging [115].

1.9 Sustainability in packaging sector

In recent years, as the consumer’s environmental awareness increases, and the society development advocates low carbon economy, the packaging’s sustainability has drawn significant attention. Packaging not only plays an important role in product protection and distribution, but also serves as a direct medium where the food producers can inform their commitment to sustainability, which is often reflected on the packaging’s attributes, e.g. the material selection, design, format, carrying eco label/mark, easy to empty for minimizing product losses, use of biodegradable materials, etc. Improvement on these attributes could greatly enhance the consumer’s user experience and is valued by the consumer of high environmental awareness. And this will strongly influence their buying decisions. A study suggests that sustainable packaging solution can potentially lift the sales by of 2-4% [116].

1.9.1 What is sustainable packaging

When it comes to the definition of “sustainable packaging”, things become fuzzy as it covers so many aspects. For example, Allione and Petruccelli proposed a sustainability indicator matrix in their work [117], which covers the aspects of environment, function and communication. In fact, it is very likely that each economic operator in the packaging value chain has their own understanding and interpretation of sustainability. On a regional level, the packaging associations have reached agreement. This includes:

SPA (the Sustainable Packaging Alliance of Australia) in 2010 issued their definition about sustainable packaging [118]. A sustainable packaging comprises four key attributes:

1) effective (fit for purpose)
2) efficient (minimal use of materials, energy, water)
3) cyclic (generates minimal waste)
4) safe (non-polluting and non-toxic)
In 2009, EUROPEN (the European Organization for Packaging and the Environment) and ECR Europe jointly published a report “Packaging in the sustainability agenda: a guide for corporate decision makers” [119]. The report stressed the packaging’s role in promoting the sustainability rather than the packaging itself. In accordance with this report, a sustainable packaging should:

- be designed holistically with the product in order to optimize overall environmental performance;
- be made from responsibly sourced materials;
- be designed to be effective and safe throughout its life cycle;
- meet market criteria for performance and cost;
- meet consumer choice and expectations;
- and be recovered efficiently after use.

SPC (the Sustainable Packaging Coalition of the US) also presented their definition of sustainable packaging in 2009 [120]. So, a sustainable packaging

1) is beneficial, safe and healthy for individuals and communities throughout its life cycle;
2) meets market criteria for performance and cost;
3) is sourced, manufactured, transported and recycled using renewable energy;
4) optimizes the use of renewable or recycled source materials;
5) is manufactured using clean production technologies and best practices;
6) is made from materials healthy in all probable end-of-life scenarios;
7) is physically designed to optimize materials and energy;
8) is effectively recovered and utilized in biological and/or industrial closed loop cycles.

1.9.2 Life Cycle Assessment (LCA)

In sustainability assessment, the “three pillar model” is often employed. It considers the impacts of environmental, economic and social aspects [121]. Particularly, in recent years the environmental impacts has gained more attention.

Life cycle assessment (LCA) is the most recognized tool for evaluating the impacts caused to the environment due to the use of product or service. It enables a holistic view by evaluating a product’s life cycle. As shown in Figure 22, LCA takes into account all the inputs (e.g. raw materials, chemicals, energy, etc.) and outputs (e.g. emissions, waste, co-products, etc.) associated with the life cycle of product (raw material extraction, manufacturing, use/reuse/maintenance, and the end-of-life). If a full life cycle is considered, it is termed as “cradle-to-grave” approach [121].
Therefore, LCA helps to avoid shifting the potential environmental burdens from one stage to another, or from one stakeholder to another.

Figure 22. Principles of LCA. Reproduced from [122].

LCA is conducted with respect to the international standard framework (ISO 14040 and ISO 14044) [123-125]. Generally, a full LCA consists of four stages (see Figure 23).

Figure 23. The key steps in carrying out a LCA study. Adapted from [131, 132].

1) Goal and scope definition
In this stage, the aim or the intended use of LCA is defined. It concerns about defining two important parameters, the “system boundaries” and “FU (Functional Unit)”. The system boundaries define the scope of the study, i.e. what life cycle stages are included or excluded. The FU provides a common basis for comparing the LCA results between the systems. For example, Cordella et al. carried out a LCA study to compare the environmental impacts of two different beer packaging systems, a 20L returnable steel keg and a 33 cl single use disposal glass bottle [126]. The system boundaries were set as “cradle-to-grave”, including the raw material production (agricultural processes of barley and hop), malt and beer production, manufacturing of packaging and other auxiliary materials, transportation to consuming point, and end-of-life of packaging. The FU was defined as 1 L beer served to the consumer.

2) Life Cycle Inventory analysis (LCI)

LCI is a step for collecting the data and summarizing the inputs (raw material and energy) and outputs (emissions, wastes, co-products and refuses) from each life cycle stage defined in the system boundaries. The data should match the industry level as close as possible, and reflect the average technology and practice in the geographical area considered.

3) Life Cycle Impact assessment (LCIA)

In this step, the inventory data are translated into different impact indicators to quantify the impacts on the environment, human health, or depletion of natural resources. The conversion process can be described with Equation 10.

\[
\text{Inventory Data} \times \text{Characterization Factors} = \text{Impact Indicators} \quad (10)
\]

An example is given in Figure 24. For the impact of acidification (measured in SO\(_2\) equivalents), all the contributing emissions (NH\(_3\), NO\(_x\) and SO\(_2\)) are multiplied with a specific conversion factor, which derives from the experimental studies (1.88, 0.7, and 1). It should be noted that one substance can contribute to more than one impact indicators, e.g. NO\(_x\) contributes to both acidification and eutrophication.
4) Interpretation

In this step, the following tasks can be performed:

- the environmental scores for each alternative system in comparison (scenario) can be ranked;
- the key impacts can be identified;
- and the methods for reducing of impacts can be proposed by evaluating the alternative systems.

Besides, uncertainty analysis is usually performed in order to draw a robust conclusion.

1.9.3 LCA application to packaging
LCA has been widely used to evaluate the environmental impacts of packaging [126-130]. As depicted in Figure 25, a typical packaging life cycle is closely related to the life cycle of the product it packages. For example, for food packaging, packaging’s role on food waste reduction should be taken into account [131]. As already discussed in Section 1.2, active packaging enables shelf life extension, which further contributes to the minimizing of food waste. To demonstrate this relationship, a study developed a model to describe the potential environmental gains as a result of using a more advanced packaging system [132, 133]. It was found that for the same fraction of food loss reduction, the food of high impact e.g. cheese and beef enjoyed a greater freedom in packaging design (without increasing the system’s environmental impact) than the food of low impact e.g. bread and ketchup.

Figure 25. The life cycle of food packaging. Reproduced from [134].

To carry out a LCA for packaging, various commercial tools are available [135]. Tools such as “SimaPro” and “GaBi” are designed for the professional LCA practitioners. They contain comprehensive and updated databases and are used to evaluate the generic product.

Additionally, there are streamlined LCA tools that only target a specific sector or product. Tools such as COMPASS, PIQET, PackageSmart, and Pack-in are tailor-
made for packaging. They are designed for the “non-LCA” users. So, they usually come with user-friendly interface for an easy modelling experience, packaging-specific impact indicators, and quick calculation (e.g. less than 30 min). The results are reported in a bar chart or radar chart for easy interpretation.

1.9.4 LCA application to nanomaterials

As concluded within the LCA research community [29, 136, 137] the existing ISO-based LCA framework (ISO14040 and ISO14044) is fully applicable to nanomaterials/nanomaterial-enabled products despite the barriers/gaps for performing a comprehensive LCA. The early attempt of using LCA for nanomaterial evaluation can be found in a publication in 2001 [138], in which Greijer et al. investigated a nanocrystalline dye (made of TiO$_2$ NPs and carbon powder) following a cradle-to-grave approach. In 2003, Lloyd and Lave carried out a hybrid LCA on a nanoclay-reinforced composite [139]. After that, the number of publications on such topic has increased drastically, and in the meanwhile the research interest has expanded to more types of nanomaterials and application fields, e.g. carbon nanotube [140], nanosilver [55] nano silica [141]. By going through these publications, some common issues with regard to applying LCA for nanomaterials can be extracted:

- scarcity of inventory data (in particular the comprehensiveness on the emission side),
- lack of appropriate characterization factors to address nano-specific toxicity in the LCIA (Life Cycle Impact Assessment),
- and uncertainty/ambiguity concerned with the fate of nanomaterials, which strongly depends on the nanomaterial’s colloidal behaviour.

One major concern about using nanomaterials is its toxicity posed to the environment and human health [28]. At current stage the USEtox™ model is the best existing characterization model to quantify the toxicity impact to human and freshwater [142, 143]. However, it is not applicable to evaluate nano-toxicity [142, 144]. In accordance with [145], to date only two publications pioneered in quantifying the nano-specific toxicity of freshwater using LCIA. One concerns about titanium dioxide nanoparticles [146] and the other about carbon nanotube [147].

Hischier and Walser in their work proposed some useful strategies to overcome the existing gaps for applying LCA to nanomaterials [144]. First of all, the data of the most important nanomaterials should be improved. The release of nanomaterial during their product life cycle should be tracked. The fate and transport parameters should be investigated to support the characterization of nano-toxicity [147].
### 1.9.5 Packaging waste management

#### 1.9.5.1 EU strategies in packaging waste management

In 1994 the European parliament adapted the “Packaging and Packaging Waste Directive” (94/62/EC). The aim is to harmonize the national measures, and to prevent and minimize the environmental impacts caused by packaging and packaging waste. The directive uses a hierarchy strategy (see Figure 26). In the hierarchy, the resources efficiency decreases from top to bottom, which is in agreement with the packaging waste valorisation. The approaches are explained in details in the following discussions.

![Figure 26. The packaging waste management hierarchy described in the directive of 94/62/EC.](image)

**Prevention (at source)**

Prevention means use less packaging material, e.g. use lightweight material, only use the necessary amount, and generate less waste from the producer, converter, and user. It focuses on the waste source:

- materials and substances contained in the packaging (quantity/mass),
- and packaging waste generated in the course of production, distribution, utilization and elimination.
For example, in a case study of packaging waste generated by a fast food company (has 87 stores in Finland), it was found that packaging material harmonization was an effective measure in reducing the packaging waste [148]. This includes increasing the use of lightweight and less bulky material, reducing the types of materials, and simplifying the waste sorting process (better sorting means less contamination). It was estimated that these measures would cut the company’s annual packaging waste by 16%.

**Reuse**

Reuse means that packaging can be reused for the same purpose or for other purposes.

**Recycling**

Recycling covers two aspects (see Figure 27), material recycling (e.g. PET bottles are recycled to make new PET bottles or carpet) and organic recycling (e.g. use biodegradable materials to produce compost). Furthermore, under the scope of organic recycling, there are aerobic and anaerobic processes. N.B. landfill shall not be considered as a form of organic recycling.

![Figure 27. The concept of recycling in packaging waste management.](image)

**Recovery**

The “Waste Directive” (75/442/EEC) defines a number of recovery operations. With regard to packaging waste, it covers material recycling and energy recovery.
Energy recovery means direct incinerating the material for energy. In addition, recovery involves collecting, sorting and transportation.

1.9.5.2 Packaging waste recycling and recovery in the EU

The EU packaging waste directive contains specific measures and targets addressing the rate of recovery and recycling to be achieved by the member states. The target is revised every 5 years. Figure 28 and Figure 29 depict the rate of recovery and rate of recycling of packaging waste respectively for the time period of 2005-2012 in the EU-27.

As reported by Eurostat [153], the rate of recovery is defined in Equation 11 (unit in weight):

\[
\text{Rate of recovery} = \frac{\text{recycled} + \text{incinerated}}{\text{total waste generated}}
\]

Figure 28. The rate of recovery of packaging waste in the EU-27 (2005-2012). Data source: Eurostat [149]
In an overview, it can be seen that there is a steady increasing in both the recycling and recovery rate on a yearly basis (2005-2012). Especially the category of paper and cardboard enjoyed the highest rate of recovery and recycling among the packaging waste, being 83.8% and 91.3% respectively in 2012. On the other hand, the recycling rate of plastic packaging was only 35.3% in 2012, far lagging behind the paper and cardboard. As pointed out in a report [151], this situation is attributed to several factors:

- a large number of different polymers are in use (50 different family groups and under which there are hundreds of varieties);
- the difficulty in collection and sorting, which accounts for 2/3 of financial cost spent in plastic recycling;
- the problems of transporting a large amount of bulky and flammable material;
- products made from different polymers containing different additives (e.g. composites), which adds difficulty to the separation;
- post-consumer contamination (e.g. food packaging).

1.9.6 Biopolymer-based packaging
Biopolymer/biodegradable polymer has experienced a fast growing demand for its environmental benefits, e.g. its biodegradability and a shorter carbon cycle [152]. As a successful example, PLA has already reached a global production capacity of 240,000 tons [153]. And PLA-based packaging applications are available on the market, e.g. yogurt cups, cold drink cups, trays, beverage bottles (still beverages), packaging films, etc.

In general, biopolymer/biodegradable polymer can be classified in three categories [90, 154]:

1) polymer directly extracted from the biomass, e.g. polysaccharides (starch, cellulose, chitosan), proteins, polypeptides, polynucleotides;
2) polymer produced by classical chemical synthesis using renewable bio-based monomers, e.g. PLA, or mixed sources of biomass and petroleum, e.g. PCL, PVA, PGA;
3) polymer produced by microorganisms or genetically modified bacteria, e.g. polyhydroxybutyrate (PHAs), bacterial cellulose, xanthan, curdlan, pullan.

Davis and Song in their work [151] concluded that the biodegradable material is highly suitable for single use disposable packaging (e.g. shopping bag and yogurt cup), where the post-consumer packaging can be locally composted. A typical industrial composting facility (anaerobic process) enables the PLA degradation up to 85 wt%. 95 wt% of the biogenic gas (mainly methane) can be recovered. After collection and purification, it can be fed to the industrial furnace to generate electricity [155]. On the other hand, several LCA studies pointed out that for the end-of-life of PLA-based packaging a close-loop recycling was the best option, having less impacts of GWP (Global Warming Potential) and CED (Cumulative Energy Demand) [127, 155].

More importantly, the biopolymer-based packaging enables to divert the organic waste from landfilling to composting. As is often the case post-consumer packaging is often highly contaminated [148], especially when they used to package some sticky and viscos foods. Therefore, packaging like this is no longer feasible for material recycling. By introducing the biopolymer-based packaging, this part of waste stream can be better valorised for composting instead of landfilling (see Figure 26). Besides, food and its packaging can be disposed in one go, which will greatly ease the waste sorting. Survey results show that the households are willing to sort and collect organic waste (kitchen waste, garden waste, etc.) when biodegradable bags are available [152].
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1.10 CONCLUSIONS

From the literature review, we learn that active packaging holds a great potential in prolonging the food shelf life, and generally 50% of extension is possible. This is very appealing for food producers and all the other players along the food value chain, including the consumers. Especially, the concept proposed in one study where the cyclodextrin (CD) encapsulated eugenol can be triggered by the relative humidity within the packaging [27]. This enables packaging designer to finely control the release of the active agent.

However, from a life cycle point of view, there is one issue that requires our attention. As the packaging system gets more advanced, accordingly its environmental profile increases, which results from the manufacturing of the active agent and the processing to incorporate it into the packaging material, either by coating or by extrusion. In the meanwhile, such active packaging enables food loss saving, which may offset the additional aforementioned input and emissions. So, it becomes interesting to investigate where exactly the threshold point locates. This can be done with LCA by considering food and its packaging as whole system.

As compared with the organic agents (e.g. organic acid, essential oil component, nisin, etc.), using metallic nanoparticles (NPs) as antimicrobial agent offers a number of advantages, such as superior antimicrobial efficacy, no negative impacts on the food sensory properties, and compatibility with harsh polymer processing conditions. This type of agent can be simply used as additives in polymer processing without any further modifications or investment in the equipment. We can see that currently there are lots of research works going on in this field. They focus on the material’s antimicrobial efficacy, food contact regulatory issues, migration of NPs, potential toxic effects to human and ecosystem, etc.

Considering the antimicrobial material developed in our project, some issues require further investigation. For example, there is limited information available for the following two points:

1) When several metallic nanoparticles are available to be used as active agent, which solution gives the lowest environmental impacts.

2) Since the active agent will be coated on a paper substrate, the material needs to be characterized and its recyclability need to be checked. Especially, the fate of NPs in paper recycling process should be tracked to avoid any harmful effects at an early stage.
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2 OBJECTIVES

This thesis is part of the “NewGenPak” project (7th Framework Program of the EU, grant agreement No. 290098), which aims to develop the next generation cellulose-based packaging material. In order to compete with the oil-derived polymer, cellulose-based material will be imparted with novel properties e.g. enhanced barrier properties by using nanoclay, antimicrobial properties by incorporating organic/inorganic agents, in addition to the material’s biodegradability and renewability. While introducing these novel properties, the material’s overall sustainability should be maintained (the general objectives).

The objective of this thesis is to ensure the sustainability of the active materials developed in the project. It is a collection of manuscripts (either published or unpublished, a list is attached on the following page). The relationship between the general objectives and the specific objectives of each paper is illustrated in Figure 30.

First of all, an active material incorporating metallic nanoparticles (NPs) will be produced and characterized. The material’s antimicrobial activity will be assessed in accordance with the relevant standards and its action of mechanisms will be analysed. As the material is intended for food contact application, the migration of nanoparticles will be taken into account (the objective of Paper I).

The end-of-life of such packaging material will be investigated. As the NP-containing coating will be applied on a paper substrate, it is expected that such material will enter the paper recycling process after disposal. Therefore, it becomes important to know the fate of NPs in paper recycling process in order to avoid any harmful effects (Objective of Paper II).

Furthermore, under the “NewGenPak” project, there are two students working with the organic agents (cinnamaldehyde, penicillin, nisin, phenyl isothiocyanate). From an environmental point view, there is a need to justify the trade-off relationship between the food loss saving and the additional input and emissions involved in use such type of active packaging. This will be demonstrated with a case study: essential oil component enabled active MAP (Modified Atmosphere Packaging) for fresh beef (the objective Paper III).

There are another two students working with the inorganic agents (TiO$_2$ and ZnO NPs). Several metallic NPs have been shown to contain antimicrobial properties and they are suitable to be incorporated into the coating formulation, and the choice of which can be assisted by considering their environmental profiles. While the
challenge is the scarcity of the inventory data of these NPs despite the current ISO-based LCA framework is applicable to nanomaterials (the objective of Paper IV).

Figure 30. The relationship between the general objectives and the specific objectives of each paper.
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3 Paper I. ZnO-PLA nanocomposite coated paper for antimicrobial packaging application
3.1 INTRODUCTION

Choosing appropriate active agent is key to the development of antimicrobial packaging system. Compared with the organic agents (e.g. organic acid, essential oil component, nisin, etc.), metallic-based nanoparticles (NPs) as antimicrobial agent offers a few advantages, such as superior antimicrobial efficacy, no negative impacts on the food sensory properties, and compatibility with the harsh polymer processing conditions [1-4]. As a result of NP’ strong antimicrobial activity, it holds potential application not only in food spoilage control [5-7], but also in food safety control by inactivating the food-borne pathogens. The latter aspect has been explored in a number of research works, for example, impressive inhibitory effect was observed in treating *E. coli* O157:H7 with ZnO NPs [4]; PLA coating containing ZnO NPs was found to be effective in inactivating *Salmonella* inoculated in liquid egg albumen [8]; in the test with ready-to-eat chicken, 2 log reduction in the inoculated bacteria (*S. aureus* and *S. typhimurium*) was observed after 24 h applying the ZnO NP-containing active packaging, and complete inhibition after 6-8 days [9].

Survey of literature shows that the relevant research has mainly concentrated on four nanoparticles: silver (Ag), titanium dioxide (TiO$_2$), zinc oxide (ZnO) and copper (Cu) [2]. Among them, there is an increasing interest to use ZnO NPs for food contact application, which derives from the following merits:

1) The non-nano form of ZnO is already authorized by EFSA (European Food Safety Authority) as an additive for plastic materials and articles, with a SML (Specific Migration Limit) of 25 mg/kg food [10].

2) ZnO NPs exhibit low toxicity to the biological systems [11]. Furthermore, zinc is an essential element for human’s physiological activity, c.a. 10 mg/person/day needed [10]. Toxicity studies recommend an upper limit of 25 mg/person/day [10].

3) In food packaging, transparency remains a determining factor for choosing the packaging material. On the other hand, some foods are susceptible to UV light. To solve this problem, UV-blocking agent is used in polymer processing. Studies showed that ZnO NP loading as low as 1 wt% enabled good UV-blocking performance without impairing the host polymer’s transparency [12-14].

In this study, we proposed a paper-based packaging material, which is coated with ZnO-PLA nanocomposite. The application can be paper wrap for deli foods since there is a relatively high risk of microbiological contamination in suchlike cold processed foods. The focus is placed on the assessment of the antimicrobial activity.
3.2 MATERIALS AND METHODS

3.2.1 Coating recipe and coating on paper substrate

ZnO NPs (Zano® 20 Plus-3) were kindly supplied by Umicore, Belgium. The NPs are surface coated with organosilane ([3-(methacryloxy)propyl] trimethoxysilane) for improved dispersibility in polymer processing. The NPs have an average particle size of 30 nm [13]. As the NPs were supplied in powder form, first they were dispersed in the solvent: adding a proper amount of NPs (0.075 g, 0.15 g, and 0.45 g in respective beakers) into 100 mL ethyl acetate, stirring vigorously for 10 min, and then applying 5 min ultrasonic treatment (Sonics, microtip CV 334, 750 w 20 kHz) to break up the agglomerates/aggregates. Afterwards, 15 g PLA pellets (Polylactic Acid, 4060D, Natureworks) were added into each NPs dispersion under vigorous stirring at room temperature until full dissolving. In this way, three coating solutions were prepared, in concentrations of 0.5 wt%, 1 wt% and 3 wt% (NP over PLA in dry solids weight).

A white bleached kraft paper (basis weight 106 g/m², ash content 7.7%, top side sized) was used as substrate for coating. The coating was done on the sized side.

Coating was carried out on a lab film applicator (Elcometer 4340) using a smooth bar for depositing 50 micron wet film onto the substrate. After coating, the samples were allowed to dry overnight at room temperature. The structure of the final packaging material is illustrated in Figure 31. Sample identification and description is summarised in Table 5.

Figure 31. The packaging material’s structure.
Table 5. Sample identification and sample description.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample identification</th>
<th>Sample description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control/Substrate</td>
<td>Base paper coated with pristine PLA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5%-NP</td>
<td>Substrate coated with 0.5 wt% ZnO-PLA composite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1%-NP</td>
<td>Substrate coated with 1 wt% ZnO-PLA composite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3%-NP</td>
<td>Substrate coated with 3 wt% ZnO-PLA composite</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.2.2 Material characterization

3.2.2.1 Nanoparticle analysis by TGA

The NPs are surface coated with organosilane that serves as coupling/dispersing agent to improve the agent dispersibility. The amount of surface coating was determined with TGA (Thermogravimetric Analysis, measurement carried out with TGA Q5000, TA). 7.36 mg ZnO NPs were loaded in a platinum sample holder. The temperature program was set as following: hold the temperature at 80 °C for 10 min, then increase it to 600 °C at a rate of 20 °C/min, and after that, hold it at 600 °C for 10 min. Nitrogen gas of flow rate 25 mL/min was used to purge the sample atmosphere.

3.2.2.2 SEM of coating surface

The morphology of the coated material and the NP distribution were examined with SEM (Scanning Electron Microscopy). Prior to analysis, the samples were coated with a thin layer of carbon, 15 nm in thickness (sputter coating carried out with Q150T ES, Quorum). The images were captured with a SEM system (Quanta 650, FEI) equipped with detectors of secondary electrons and backscattered electrons. The presence and distribution of ZnO NPs were further confirmed with EDX (Energy Dispersive X-ray spectroscopy, supplied by X-MAX, Oxford Instrument, coupled with the SEM).

3.2.2.3 Antimicrobial assay

The sample’s antimicrobial activity was assessed with JIS Z 2801, which is widely used for evaluating the antimicrobial activity of non-porous surfaces or materials,
In brief, the treated and control samples were cut into squares 5 x 5 cm, the coated side was inoculated with 0.4 mL inoculum (S. aureus 1.1E6 CFU/mL, E.coli 8.4E5 CFU/mL), covered with a piece of clear film 4x4 cm (cleaned with ethanol before use), and placed in a covered petri dish. The samples were incubated at 35°C and RH≥90% for 24 h. Afterwards, the samples were washed with 50 mL neutralizing solution (recipe: 34 g neutralizing broth base in 1 L distilled water with 5 mL Polysorbate 80, boil and autoclaved) with a stomacher (BagMixer, Interscience). The serial dilutions of the rinse liquid were plated on agar plate using an automatic plater (easySpiral, Interscience) to enumerate the viable cells in CFU (Colony Forming Unit). Controls at 0 h and 24 h of inoculation were used and denoted as C0 and C24, respectively.

Each sample was tested in triplicate (two CFU readings per assay). Data were presented as mean ± standard deviation. The differences between the samples (the effect of NP presence and NP loading) were evaluated with one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA, StatPlus:mac). The difference was considered statistically significant when p value < 0.05.

Log Reduction (also called activity value) is an indicator to describe a material’s antimicrobial activity. As shown in Equation 12, it is calculated as the difference between the number of viable cells in the control (logCFUcontrol24h) and in the treated sample (logCFUtreated24h) after 24 h inoculation.

\[ \text{Log Reduction} = \log \text{CFU}_{\text{control}}^{\text{24h}} - \log \text{CFU}_{\text{treated}}^{\text{24h}} \]  

(12)

3.3 RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Good dispersion of NPs in the polymer matrix influences the final material’s antimicrobial performance. NPs have a strong tendency to agglomerate, so it is essential to apply the de-agglomeration treatment. The de-agglomeration protocol used in this study is established by testing with different treatment methods (e.g. ultrasonic tip and high speed rotor-stator dispersion tool) and treatment times. The improvement on particle size distribution is monitored with a laser diffraction device. This part of result is not reported in this article. Besides, as the PLA pellets dissolve in the solvent, the solution viscosity increases, which helps to retard the NPs’ re-agglomeration.

3.3.1 TGA

The weight loss behaviour of the NPs is shown in Figure 32. The isothermal segment at 80 °C for 10 min is to dry the NPs’ moisture. After that, with the
temperature increasing, in total 1.24% weight loss was observed (the green curve), which corresponds to the thermal degradation of the organosilane coating.

![Graph showing weight loss and derivative weight loss of ZnO nanoparticles.](image)

**Figure 32.** Weight loss (green) and derivative weight loss (blue) of ZnO nanoparticles.

The peak at the derivative curve (the blue curve) centres at 450 °C, and covers a broad temperature range from 200 to 550 °C. In practical industrial production, extrusion coating is widely used. This suggests that the organosilane coated on NPs is able to sustain the PLA melt-extrusion temperature, which is around 200 °C [15]. However, in this study we used solvent casting method for coating. The material produced with this method is suitable to study the material’s antimicrobial property.

### 3.3.2 SEM-EDX

Representative SEM images were taken from each sample (see Figure 33). Note that the images are presented in pairs as they were captured at the same view spot but generated with different detectors: secondary electrons gives more information about the sample’s morphology (e.g. image a), while backscattered electrons contrast the material’s composition (e.g. images a’).
Figure 3. SEM images of the coated samples: images are put in pairs (e.g. a and a’) for they were probed at the same spot but generated by different detectors: secondary electrons (a, b and c) and backscattered electrons (a’, b’ and c’).
In an overview, the paper surface was well covered by the coating, showing good smoothness and absence of surface pores. This is important for the following antimicrobial test with the standard JIS Z 2801. Moreover, the NPs were homogenously distributed, which is more evident in the backscattered images (small white dots); on the other hand, few NP aggregates persisted in the coating (bigger white dots). Clearly, as the NP loading increases, more NPs became present on the surface, and thus stronger antimicrobial activity from the material can be expected.

EDX was carried out with the sample 1%-NP, and the element distribution is depicted in a 2D map (see Figure 34). It shows that zinc is homogenously distributed over the sample surface, which provides additional support to the observation in Figure 33 b’. The presence of the elements is confirmed with a spectrum by summing the signal from the probed area. The spike of zinc is identified. Besides, as the coating is PLA-based and the sample is carbon coated, there are spikes of carbon and oxygen.
3.3.3  Antimicrobial activity

The susceptibility assay of *S. aureus* (Gram-positive) with respect to the active materials is shown in Figure 35. For the convenience of comparison, the bacterial concentration of the control at 0 h is used as baseline. As expected, the susceptibility of *S. aureus* follows the NP concentration – higher concentration gives a stronger antimicrobial effect. If translated into log reduction, this corresponds to 1.17, 1.68, and 5.17. A log reduction greater than 2 suggests the killing efficacy is greater than 99%, and accordingly the material can be described
as “antimicrobial” [13, 16]. Thus, in this sense, only the sample 3%-NP can be said antimicrobial against S. aureus.

![S. aureus](image)

**Figure 35. Antimicrobial assay against S. aureus.** The number of viable cells after 24 h inoculation is reported in CFU (Colony Forming Unit). The bacterial concentration at 0 h is used as baseline (the horizontal line). Error bar represents the standard deviation from three replicates. Different letters over the bar end (A, B, and C) indicate significant differences between the samples. 3.4 log(CFU/mL) is the detection limit of the agar plating method used. Refer to Table 5 for sample identification description.

This result is comparable with those reported in previous publications: for example in the study of Martins, et al. [1], starch based coating containing 1.37 wt% ZnO NPs induced 1.6 log reduction against S. aureus; in a similar study [13], 3 wt% NP loading achieved 4.3 log reduction against the same bacterial strain; whereas in the work of Pantani et al. [16], it took longer time (7 days instead of 24 h) to reach a satisfactory log reduction.

The discrepancy between the results from different sources can be attributed to several factors. The NP grade is one of them, which concerns about NP attributes such as size/particle size distribution, shape/morphology, with or without surface modification, etc. [3]. As reported in the relevant research, ZnO NP in certain morphology has stronger activity than the others [17]. Additionally, the smaller the particle size the stronger the antimicrobial efficacy [18]. As a result of this, issues such as the de-agglomeration treatment before incorporating NPs into the host
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material and how well the NPs are dispersed within the host polymer all have impacts on the final material’s antimicrobial performance.

As shown in Figure 36, stronger inhibitory effect was observed with all the NP-enabled samples against *E. coli* (Gram-negative), which induced 3.14, 3.55 and 4.29 log reduction, respectively, in proportion with their NP loadings. Note that the detection limit of the agar plating method used is 3.4 log(CFU/mL). Clearly, this assay provides evidence that *E. coli* (Gram-negative) is more susceptible to ZnO NPs than *S. aureus* (Gram-positive).

![Figure 36. Antimicrobial assay against *E. coli*. The number of viable cells after 24 h inoculation is reported in CFU (Colony Forming Unit). The bacterial concentration at 0 h is used as baseline (the horizontal line). Error bar represents the standard deviation from three replicates. Different letters over the bar end (A, B, and C) indicate significant differences between the samples. 3.4 log(CFU/mL) is the detection limit of the agar plating method used. Refer to Table 5 for sample identification description.](image)

### 3.3.4 Antimicrobial action mechanism and the effect of testing methods

Our test indicates that *E. coli* (Gram-negative) was less resistant to ZnO NPs than *S. aureus* (Gram-positive). However, it is generally accepted that the Gram-negative species are more resistant to this agent than the Gram-positive one for the following reasons [19]:

73
1) The Gram-negative bacteria have an additional outer membrane as compared to the Gram-positive one.

2) The membrane of *S. aureus* is less negatively charged than that of *E. coli*. As a result of this, *S. aureus* has stronger interactions with the negatively charged ROS (Reactive Oxygen Species), which is associated with one of the action mechanisms of ZnO NPs.

On the other hand, the antimicrobial activity of ZnO NPs has been assessed in different studies, and the results seem to lack consistency. For the convenience of comparison, a table is compiled (see Table 6). Key information includes the agent characteristics, testing method and target bacteria used in each test (Gram-positive and Gram-negative). Particular interest is placed on the susceptibility of the microorganisms to ZnO NPs.
Table 6. Summary of publications which concern about developing antimicrobial materials using ZnO NPs as active agent against both Gram-positive (G+) and Gram-negative (G-) bacterial species. Log reduction is measured in Log (CFU/mL).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Antimicrobial agent and material description</th>
<th>Testing method</th>
<th>Activity observed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Murariu et al., 2011</td>
<td>ZnO NP without surface modification, PLA-ZnO NP composite by melt-extrusion</td>
<td>ISO 20743 (textile products), the testing piece was challenged with the culture suspension</td>
<td>Log reduction in 24 h (3 wt% agent loading)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• 4.3 for <em>S. aureus</em> (G+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• 6.67 for <em>K. pneumoniae</em> (G-)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Martins et al., 2013</td>
<td>ZnO NP-NFC (Nanofibrillated Cellulose) composite based coating on paper surface</td>
<td>AATCC-100 (textile products), the testing piece was challenged with the culture suspension</td>
<td>Log reduction in 24 h (1.37 wt% agent loading, without light irradiation)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• c.a. 1.6 for <em>S. aureus</em> (G+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• c.a. 3.8 for <em>K. pneumoniae</em> (G-)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>The activity was greatly enhanced by light irradiation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Our study</td>
<td>ZnO NP with surface modification, ZnO NP-PLA composite solvent casted on paper surface</td>
<td>JIS Z 2801 (non-porous surface, e.g. plastic)</td>
<td>Log reduction in 24 h (1 wt% agent loading)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• 1.68 for <em>S. aureus</em> (G+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• 3.55 for <em>E. coli</em> (G-)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Authors, Year</td>
<td>Material Description</td>
<td>Methodology</td>
<td>Results</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Reddy et al., 2007 | ZnO NP dispersed in agar media | The culture suspension was plated on the agar plates containing various concentrations of ZnO NPs | Complete inhibitory concentration (no CFU count)  
- 1 mmol/L for *S. aureus* (G+)  
- 3.4 mmol/L for *E. coli* (G-) |
| Pantani et al., 2013 | ZnO NP with surface modification, ZnO NP-PLA composite by melt-extrusion | The testing piece in dynamic contact with the culture suspension, shaking flask method (similar to ASTM E2149) | Log reduction in 7 days (3 wt% agent loading)  
- 2.85 for *S. aureus* (G+)  
- 2.26 for *E. coli* (G-) |
| Akbar et al., 2014 | ZnO NP-sodium alginate film | In-vitro test using inhibition zone method and challenge test with ready-to-eat chicken meat | Bigger inhibition zone diameter was observed in the agar plate of *S. aureus* (G+) than the plate of *S. typhimurium* (G-) |
| Shankar et al., 2015 | ZnO NP-gelatin film | The testing piece in dynamic contact with the culture suspension, shaking flask method (similar to ASTM E2149) | Stronger inhibitory effect against *L. monocytogenes* (G+) than *E. coli O157:H7* (G-) |
| Esmailzadeh et al., 2016 | ZnO NP-LDPE (Low-Density Polyethylene) composite by melt-extrusion | Testing piece in static contact with the culture suspension, monitor the evolution of OD (Optical Density) over time till 24 h | Stronger inhibitory effect observed for *B. subtilis* (G+) than for *E. aerogenes* (G-) |
As reported in these works [1, 13], ZnO NPs showed a stronger inhibiting effect against *K. pneumoniae* (Gram-negative) than *S. aureus* (Gram-positive), which is in good agreement with our results. On the other hand, opposite results are reported: the log reduction for *S. aureus* was found to be slightly greater than *E. coli* [16]. Stronger inhibitory effect was observed against *L. monocytogenes* (G+) than *E. coli* O157:H7 (G-) [20]; In another study [17], the evolution of OD (Optical Density) versus time suggests that *Bacillus subtilis* (Gram-positive) was more susceptible to the ZnO NP-enabled material than *Enterobacter aerogenes* (Gram-negative). Similarly, the complete inhibitory concentration (no CFU count in the agar plate) was found to be 3.4 mmol/L for *E. coli*, which was 3 times of that for *S. aureus* [11].

To explain the inconsistency of the results, one needs to look at the action mechanisms of ZnO NP. Basically, ZnO NP is more complex than other types of agents since it has multiple action mechanisms. As concluded in various publications, [1, 4, 9, 19-21], these action mechanisms include

- a) leaching of metallic ions (i.e. Zn$^{2+}$ ions),
- b) direct contact between the NP surface and the microorganism’s cell membrane,
- c) and photocatalytic production of ROS (Reactive Oxygen Species) with the aid of external conditions.

Very often, a stronger activity can be achieved when several different action mechanisms work together, which is termed as synergistic effect [8, 19, 22]. Nonetheless, for the case of ZnO NP it is not known which mechanism plays a greater role than the others [20]. Besides, it is necessary to provide suitable conditions to activate these mechanisms. In this sense, a proper leaching medium, direct contact between the agent and the microorganism, and proper external conditions such as moisture and light irradiation are essential for activating the respective mechanisms.

Antimicrobial surfaces incorporating ZnO NPs appear in various research fields, e.g. textile products, biomedical devices, and food packaging. Therefore, various testing methods are used to meet the requirement from different industries. However, some testing methods may only activate part of the action mechanisms of the agent, and this may lead to the inconsistency in the results.

**Light irradiation**

It is known that the material’s antimicrobial activity can be greatly enhanced by exposing to light irradiation [1], which is attributed to the ROS (Reactive Oxygen
Species) generated in the photocatalytic reactions with the aid of ZnO NPs. Therefore, as shown in the study of Pantani, et al. [16] and Esmailzadeh, et al. [17], this action mechanism is activated and the generated ROS would favour the interactions with S. aureus than E. coli due to the cell membrane polarity [19]. If this mechanism dominates in the assay, it will lead to the conclusion that S. aureus is more susceptible to this agent. While for other testing methods, e.g. JIS Z2801, ISO 20743 and AATCC-100, the factor of light irradiation is not particularly stressed and the assay is often carried out in a dark incubator, and accordingly the action mechanism of ROS may be depressed. In this case, a different conclusion may be drawn.

**Leaching media**

In our study, before testing with the standard JIS Z 2801, the same material was tested with ASTM E2180, a method that allows full contact of bacterial cultures with the test surfaces with the aid of agar slurry (recipe: 0.85 g NaCl and 0.3 g agar-agar in 100 mL deionised water). However, after 24 h contact time no antimicrobial effect was observed. The possible reason is that as the bacterial culture is retained within the slurry (gel like under the incubation condition), which is not a proper medium to facilitate the leaching of Zn\textsuperscript{2+} ions. Moreover, the gel structure lacks fluid movement, restricting the access to the NPs.

The inhibition zone method has been used in some studies to assess the material’s activity [9, 23]. Inhibition zone is a good indicator of the leaching of Zn\textsuperscript{2+} ions/the diffusion of ROS, which is sensitive to the moisture content within the surrounding environment. However, it overlooks the mechanisms of NPs in direct contact with the microorganisms. And therefore, in some cases, it may lead to a wrong conclusion.

**Food types and packaging forms**

Furthermore, the discussion of action mechanisms could provide useful tips for the selection of food and packaging forms for the application of such active packaging materials. As shown in the example of Akbar, et al., [9] satisfactory inhibitory effect is achieved when the active material is allowed to be in tight contact with the food, used as sausage wrap. The same can be seen from the example of agent in direct contact with a liquid food [8]. On the contrary, in another example [6], the packaging setup prevents the agent from direct contact with the melon, and the action mechanism only functions by leaching of silver ion into the melon juice imbibed into the absorbent pad, which gives weak activity (less than 1 log reduction in mesophilic and psychrotrophic counts).
3.3.5 Regulatory issues and market prospect

Under the current EU regulation framework, active packaging material shall comply with the general requirements for food contact materials (EC 1935/2004) as well as the specific requirements on the use of active and intelligent materials (EC 450/2009), including nanomaterials [24]. In accordance with the work of Reig, et al. [25], to date only three nanomaterials are authorised by EFSA to be used for food contact applications. They are titanium nitride (TiN), carbon black (C) and silica (SiO$_2$), and all of them are to be used as polymer production aids (no active properties). The only metallic-based nanoparticles, TiN, according to the EFSA scientific opinion [26], is only to be used in PET (Polyethylene Terephthalate) up to 20 mg/kg (i.e. loading 20 ppm), and within the PET matrix, both primary particles (20 nm) and agglomerates (100-500 nm) coexist. The scientific panel concluded that there is no safety concern for consumers under intended use conditions (for all types of foodstuffs and up to hot filling temperature) [26].

From this case, one can infer the authority’s attitude towards nanomaterials. Firstly, a case-by-case approach. This means if this application is aimed for PET, all the tests will concentrate on PET, and once approved, it is regulated only to be used in PET below a specific loading, which has been verified by the test. Secondly, the authority is very cautious with nanomaterials. Apart from the experimental studies using food simulants, they also carried out theoretical analysis based on diffusion models [27].

In our case, the ZnO-PLA composite coating was shown to be effective in inactivating the selected bacterial strains. However, for successful food contact application, another important issue is migration. In regard to this point, EFSA carried out specific migration test on a similar composite material (the same agent but incorporated in LDPE film) and the panel expressed their worries on zinc migration for high concentration of Zn$^{2+}$ was detected in the food simulant B, acetic acid 3% (w/v) [10]. This is attributed to the enhanced solubility of the NP when the particle size is reduced to nano-range. Thus, in order to get this agent authorised, the key lies in suppressing the dissolution of NPs in acid media and at the same time maintaining other desired properties, e.g. antimicrobial activity and UV-blocking property. This implies challenges for future research.

Moreover, in accordance with the regulation of EFSA, the organosilane coated on the NP surface should also respect the SML (Specific Migration Limit) [10]. For the case of [3-(methacryloxy)propyl] trimethoxysilane, the SML is 0.05 mg/kg.

Besides the regulator and policy maker, other actors along the value chain, e.g. food producer, brand owner, packaging producer, and consumers, their perception and
acceptance to this material/technology also matters [28, 29]. If one examines the TiN case closely, it can be noticed that the agent loading is far below the commonly accepted range for nanomaterials, shifting from pph (part per hundred) to ppm (part per million), but in the meanwhile such low loading enables over 10 °C reheat temperature improvement in PET blow moulding production [30], which means significant saving of energy and cost for the packaging producers.

3.4 CONCLUSIONS

In this study, ZnO NPs were incorporated in PLA coating for antimicrobial packaging application. The NPs were surface coated with 1.24 wt% organosilane, which is thermally stable in the PLA extrusion temperature range as suggested by the TGA analysis. The packaging material was characterised with SEM and the NPs were found to be homogenously distributed across the surface thanks to the surface modification, despite some aggregated persisted. Antimicrobial assay indicates that the incorporation of ZnO NPs rendered the material surface antimicrobial against S. aureus and E. coli. In addition, the agent was found to be more potent against E. coli, giving 3.14 log reduction for 0.5 wt% agent loading. Comparison was made between the results reported from different sources, and the lack of consistency is attributed to the different testing methods used. ZnO NP has multiple action mechanisms of ZnO NP and different testing methods may only activate part of the action mechanisms.

To introduce a novel packaging material to market, besides the technical performance, other important factors should be considered, for example the regulatory issues regarding nanomaterials for food contact application. The regulator (EFSA) is adapting a case-by-case approach towards nanomaterials. To get the agent authorised, there are still some challenges lying ahead, e.g. control the zinc migration both in nano-form and in ionic-form.

There are constraints in this study. For future research, the following improvements can be considered. Firstly, the material can be produced with extrusion coating, which is more close to the industrial application. Secondly, apart from the in vitro test, the material’s antimicrobial performance should be validated by testing with real food.
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4 Paper II. PLA coated paper containing active inorganic nanoparticles: material characterization and fate of nanoparticles in the paper recycling process
4.1 INTRODUCTION

Incorporating Nanoparticles (denoted as NPs) in paper products has recently become a strong innovating point and therefore has attracted many research efforts, including several EU-funded projects. For example, silver nanoparticles (Ag NPs) [1] and zinc oxide nanoparticles (ZnO NPs) [2] were used as antimicrobial agents in paper coating, which holds potential application in active packaging; in a similar fashion, Ag NP-enabled cellulose absorbent pad was used in the packaging of fresh cut fruit [3]; Manda et al. [4] proposed a sandwich-like structure for producing printing paper using TiO$_2$ NP-based coating layers to shield the unbleached kraft pulp in between, which enables to omit the pulp bleaching stage, and hence reducing the associated consumption of energy and chemicals as well as emissions; in the work of Steward et al. [5], the authors explored the innovation opportunities in using NPs to enhance paper's printability and deinkability.

In the case of paper and paperboard packaging material, recycling is regarded as one of the most favourable options in terms of waste management [6] for its important role in minimising the packaging’s environmental impacts [7]. Therefore, when it comes to the nano-enabled paper packaging material, its recyclability shall be retained and not be compromised. However, only very few studies have addressed this issue: for example, a relevant research found that using NFC (Nano Fibrillated Cellulose) to replace part of the synthetic latex in paperboard coating would not cause negative impacts on paper recycling [8]. As reported in a recent publication [9], Ag NP-based ink had some noticeable impacts on the optical properties of the recovered fibres.

Nanomaterial as a new class of material, its safe and sustainable use has raised considerable attention. One major concern is the nanomaterial's toxicity (ecosystem and human health). As the dimension of solid material is reduced below 100 nm, it tends to exhibit enhanced reactivity/activity/toxicity, which is associated with nano-specific physico-chemical properties, such as particle size distribution, particle shape, solubility, surface charge, surface functionalization, etc. [10]. Furthermore, when nanomaterials release to the environment or expose to human, their fate and nano-toxicity strongly depends on the NPs’ colloidal behaviour, e.g. the kinetics of transformation, aggregation and degradation, which is a complex mechanism and not yet fully understood [11, 12]. In a relevant study [13] the researchers tracked the emission of the NPs from a building’s exterior facades that are coated with NP-containing paint. And they found that 30% of Ag NPs released after one-year exposure, and these released NPs were in binder attached colloidal form.
The objective of this study is to track the fate of nanomaterials in the paper recycling process. It will help to identify the critical stages where control measures should be implemented for the safe use of nanomaterials. The packaging material of concern is a PLA (Polylactic Acid) coated paper incorporating ZnO NPs in the coating layer. It is to be used as sandwich wrap or deli wrap to control the microbiological risk.

In the case of antimicrobial packaging application, the material shall comply with the EU regulation EC No 450/2009. The authority is taking a case-by-case approach towards the nano-agent/nano-additive [14]. Agent migration in both nano-form and ionic form is a key issue in successful authorisation [15].

4.2 MATERIALS AND METHODS

A white bleached kraft paper (basis weight 106 g/m², ash content 7.7%, top side sized) was used as substrate for coating.

4.2.1 Coating recipe

PLA pellets (4060D, Natureworks) were dissolved in ethyl acetate under vigorous stirring at room temperature. The final solution had a concentration of 15 wt.%.

ZnO nanoparticles (Zano® 20 Plus-3) were kindly supplied by Umicore, Belgium. In accordance with the producer’s information, the NPs are silane coated (ester functional group) for enhanced dispersibility in polymer. The NPs have an average particle size of 30 nm [16]. As the NPs were supplied in powder form, first they were dispersed in the solvent: adding 2 g NPs into 100 mL ethyl acetate, stirring vigorously for 10 min, and then applying ultrasonic treatment for 5 min (Sonics, microtip CV 334, 750 w 20 kHz) to break up the agglomerates/aggregates. Afterwards, the NPs dispersion was mixed with the PLA solution at the designated loadings, 0.5%, 1% and 3%, NPs over PLA in dry solids weight. The mixtures were subjected to 20 min vigorous stirring before coating.

Coating was carried out on a lab film applicator (Elcometer 4340) using a smooth bar which allows to deposit 50 micron wet film onto the substrate. Coating was applied on the sized side of the paper in order to control the polymer solution penetration. After coating, the samples were dried overnight at room temperature.

The structure of the packaging material is illustrated in Figure 37.
In total, three different coated samples were produced. Base paper without coating was used as control. Sample identification and description are listed in Table 7.

Table 7. Sample identification and description.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample identification</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Blank</td>
<td>Base paper, no coating applied</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coat-0.5</td>
<td>PLA coating containing 0.5 wt.% ZnO NPs on base paper</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coat-1</td>
<td>PLA coating containing 1 wt.% ZnO NPs on base paper</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coat-3</td>
<td>PLA coating containing 3 wt.% ZnO NPs on base paper</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.2.2 PLA coated paper characterization

Before testing, all the samples were conditioned at 23 °C, 50% RH.

Basis weight was determined with an analytical balance (Sartorius, CPA 225D). The measurement was done in triplicate. Data were presented as mean ± standard deviation.

Sample Coat-3 was selected for the SEM-EDX measurement (Scanning Electron Microscope and Energy Dispersive X-ray spectroscopy). The SEM images were acquired from the gold coated specimen (carried out with Cressington 108 auto), using a field emission scanning electron microscope (MIRA3, Tescan) operating at 15 kV accelerating voltage. The elemental analysis was carried out with an energy
dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (Quantax EDS, detector Xflash 6/10, Bruker), which is coupled with the SEM instrument.

In order to assess the coating quality, the following tests were carried out according to their respective standards. N.B. for sample Blank, all the measurements were conducted on the sized side.

- Smoothness was measured with a Bendtsen smoothness tester following ISO 8791-2:2013. 10 measurements were made for each sample. Data were presented as mean ± standard deviation.
- Water absorptiveness was determined with Cobb-60 method (apparatus Acquati Giuseppe). The measurement was done in triplicate following ISO 535:2014.
- Contact angle was measured with an optical instrument (OCA 15EC, DataPhysics) using water as the probe liquid. The images were recorded within 1 min time span, and the measurement was done in duplicate.
- Brightness was measured with a spectrophotometer (UltraScan PRO, HunterLab). 10 measurements were made for each sample.

4.2.3 Recyclability test

4.2.3.1 Testing protocol

The testing protocol was based on the Italian method of recyclability of paper-based packaging (ATICELCA MC501-13, Testing of recyclability of paper based packaging. <www.aticelca.it>). To accommodate our interest in tracking the fate of NPs in paper recycling line, the method was partly modified and the procedure is illustrated in Figure 38. In brief, 25 g samples were cut into squares, approximately 2x2 cm, fed in a laboratory wet disintegrator (Enrico Toniolo, Italy), pulped with 1.5 L 40 °C tap water for 20 min, following ISO 5263:2004. After that, the pulp suspension was subjected to 7 min Somerville screening (L&W, Sweden, slits width 150 micron), following a modified procedure deriving from Standard TAPPI T 275 sp-12. All the “rejects” (material separated by the screen) and the “accepts” (fibrous material passing through the screen and possible coating fragments and filler particles) were collected, filtered and oven dried overnight at 105 °C to obtain the solids weight.
4.2.3.2 Materials from the paper recycling test

For the materials involved in the recycling test, the following tests were carried out:

- ICP-MS (Inductively Coupled Plasma-Mass Spectrometer)

Zinc concentration was determined with an ICP-MS instrument equipped with a double pass spray chamber (Sciex Elan 9000, Perkin Elmer). In sample preparation, a proper amount of sample was dissolved in a mixture of HNO₃, H₂O₂, and HF (volume ratio 16:8:1), with the aid of a microwave reactor (Multiwave 3000, Anto Paar). The corresponding ICP calibration curve was carried out with multi-elements standard solution XVI, 100 mg/l (Merck). After dilution the concentration used for ZnO were 50-100-200-1000 ug/l.

The measurement was done in duplicate. Data were presented as mean ± standard deviation.

- Ash content

Ash content was determined on ignition of the samples at 525 °C in a furnace (Bicasa B.E.43), following ISO 1762:2001. The measurement was done in duplicate.

- Tensile strength of recycled paper handsheets

In order to assess the effect of PLA coating/NPs on the quality of the recovered fibres, laboratory handsheets were made with a Rapid-Köthen type sheet former (Estanit, Germany) following ISO 5269-2:2004. The protocol is similar to the one described in Figure 38. 25 g sample was pulped and screened, but only the accepts coming out in the first minute were collected and used to make the handsheets. The
handsheets were about 1.8 g and 20 cm in diameter, which is equivalent to basis weight 60 g /m².

The handsheets were tested on a universal tensile testing machine (M350-20CT) following ISO 1924-3:2005. In brief, the preconditioned strips were subjected to a constant rate of elongation (100 mm/min) from a pair of clamps with a span of 100 mm. 10 measurements were made for each sample.

**4.3 RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS**

**4.3.1 SEM-EDX analysis**

SEM-EDX analysis was performed on sample Coat-3 to learn the surface morphology of the coating (in a 2D perspective). The SEM images (see Figure 39) show that the coating provided a certain degree of coverage over the paper surface, but still left some surface pores unshielded (image a), which can inevitably cause uncertainties and poor values in the material characterization, e.g. contact angle and Cobb-60 test. Secondly, as shown in a greater magnification (image b), the distribution of ZnO NPs was fairly homogenous, which is mainly attributed to the NP surface modification. The presence of ZnO NPs (the white dots in the images) is confirmed with the EDX spectrum (shown in Figure 39 c), in which the peaks of Zn are dominant (area under the peaks); while Au (gold) and Pd (palladium) came from the test piece preparation.
Figure 39. SEM images (a and b) and EDX spectrum (c) for sample Coat-3 (refer to Table 7 for sample description). Two magnifications were used: 353 times in image a and 1430 times in image b.

On the other hand, some NP aggregates/agglomerates persisted in the coating. This is partly because the magnetic stir used for preparing the coating solution has a rather low agitation torque, especially for treating a highly viscous polymer
solution. It is therefore anticipated that the NP dispersing could be further improved by using a better tool, e.g. Turrax.

4.3.2 Surface properties of PLA coated paper

As indicated in the measurement, the paper substrate had a basis weight of 106.1±1.5 g/m², and c.a. 9.4±2.1g/m² coat weight was achieved for the coated samples.

Table 8 summaries the results of Bendtsen smoothness, Cobb-60 and contact angle. In regard to smoothness, generally all the values showed large variations, which implies the irregularities of the contour of the samples. In addition, it suggests that the PLA coating does not improve the surface smoothness as expected. This may originate from the constraint of the solvent casting method used (not enough coating material deposited over the paper surface, i.e. not good coverage). Another possible cause could be the NP aggregates/agglomerates presented on the surface. For the results of Cobb-60 and contact angle, the coated samples distinguished themselves from the control sample: water absorption decreased significantly and contact angle dropped to 70 degree from 96 degree (sized paper surface). However, a relationship between the loading of NP and its effect on Cobb-60/contact angle is difficult to establish, since at this coating weight the surface morphology is complex, which can be observed from the SEM images (see Figure 39).

Table 8. Surface characterization: the control and PLA coated samples.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample ID</th>
<th>Smoothness [mL/min]</th>
<th>Cobb-60 [g/m²]</th>
<th>Contact angle [degree]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Blank</td>
<td>709±252</td>
<td>24.2</td>
<td>96.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coat-0.5</td>
<td>662±188</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>72.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coat-1</td>
<td>743±186</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>76.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coat-3</td>
<td>565±240</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>71.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.3.3 Effect ZnO NPs on optical properties

As indicated in Figure 40, the samples’ brightness value dropped as a function of NP loading in the coating. It is well known that ZnO NPs have good UV (Ultraviolet) blocking property, and the relevant research [16] suggests that PLA
film incorporating ZnO NPs as low as 0.5 wt.% can effectively reduce the light transmittance at the UV range (<400 nm) while can only cause slight changes to the visible range (400-700 nm). Particularly, the effect is more noticeable at the low wavelength end, i.e. close to the 400 nm end. As brightness measures the spectral reflectance at 457 nm (blue/violet light), the incorporation of ZnO NPs will reduce the reflectance at this wavelength, and hence a lower brightness value can be expected.

![Brightness of the samples](image)

*Figure 40. Brightness of the samples. Error bar represents 95% confidence interval from 10 measurements. Refer to Table 7 for the sample description.*

### 4.3.4 Mass balance in paper recycling test

The mass balance of the materials in the recycling test is presented in Table 9. In an overview, the protocol enabled to recover the most of the solids material in the system (> 99%), including the fines such as mineral fillers (confirmed by the ash content measurement, results presented in Table 11), fibre fines, and maybe tiny fragments of the PLA coating.
Table 9. Material mass balance in the paper recycling test.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Coat-1</th>
<th>Coat-3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Coated sample [g]</td>
<td>24.82</td>
<td>24.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rejects [g]</td>
<td>5.29</td>
<td>5.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accepts [g]</td>
<td>19.32</td>
<td>19.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total recovered [g]</td>
<td>24.61</td>
<td>24.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Process Loss [g]</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The process waste (i.e. the rejects separated by the screen) was very high, being 21% (see Figure 41). Theoretically if the polymer coating and fibres were completely separated, it would give a maximum value corresponding to 8% process waste. According to the evaluation criteria of the method ATICELCA MC501-13, such high percentage of waste shall be rated as “level C”, which means that the sample is recyclable only upon specific sorting arranged during the municipality collection in order to separate this kind of material for dedicated recycling in specialized plants. Apart from the process waste, the material had an acceptable disintegration behaviour, absence of adhesion in the recycled handsheets and low level of optical inhomogeneity.

We assume that such high process waste is mainly attributed to the solvent casting method used to produce the samples. As the PLA coating solution can penetrate deep into the fibre network, after solvent evaporation the polymer will bind significant amount of fibres that are subsequently rejected by the screen. This also reflects the dilemma in formulating a suitable polymer coating solution for paper substrate: if the concentration is too high, it will cause problems in dispersing the NPs (low agitation torque of the magnetic stir), as well as runnability issues on the lab coater; if it is too low, large amount of coating will be imbibed by the paper substrate, which will increase the process waste as seen in this example. However, it is anticipated that such problem could be avoided in the industrial production where extrusion coating is used.
4.3.5 Fate of ZnO NPs in paper recycling test

The main objective of this study is to track the fate of ZnO NPs in paper recycling line. The test involves different material flows and the possible NP exposure routes include the rejects, the accepts, and the processing water during screening (see Figure 38), it is therefore necessary to track the ZnO NP concentration in each of them. However, as the processing water had a large volume (c.a. 40 L) and low NP concentration, direct measurement is difficult. But, instead, it can be roughly estimated on the basis of mass balance calculation.

First of all, it is important to clarify that the value measured with ICP-MS can represent the NPs contained in the different material streams. Many of such nano-enabled materials are intended for food contact applications, and therefore the issue of NP migration has been addressed in a number of studies [17-19]. A recent report published by European Food Safety Authority [15] concluded that in the case of LDPE (Low-Density Polyethylene) film using ZnO NPs as nanofillers (both surface
coated and uncoated), zinc does not migrate in nano-form, i.e. immobilised by the polymer matrix, but migrates in ionic form (Zn^{2+}) due to the NP solubilisation. ZnO NP is practically insoluble in aqueous media, below 50 mg/L [20], but more susceptible to acidic media [15].

As compared with the migration test, a paper recycling process commonly involves intensive mechanical impacts in a neutral or weak alkaline media over a shorter period of time. By considering these aspects, it is reasonable to assume that NP solubilisation will not occur during the paper recycling test. Thus, the mass balance of ZnO NPs can be calculated on the basis of the zinc concentration determined from the ICP-MS measurement.

For future investigation, zinc in different forms, i.e. the nano-form and ionic form, can be distinguished with the ICP-MS following the method described in the relevant publications [21, 22]: skip the acid dissolving step in the sample preparation, and set the ICP-MS instrument to work in spectrum mode for single particle detection.

The mass balance calculation of ZnO NPs are given in Table 10, where Re-1 and Ac-1 mean the corresponding rejects and accepts coming from the recycling trial of sample Coat-1. The same applies to sample Coat-3. In an overview, 86%-91% of ZnO NPs ended up in the rejects, and very likely most of the NPs were embedded within the PLA matrix. On the other hand, about 7-16% ZnO NPs ended up in the accepts, which is exceptionally high. Several factors may contribute to this result. Firstly, when the coating penetrates into the fibre network, it may attach to the surface of individual fibres, and therefore it is small enough to pass the screen slit and consequently ends up in the accepts as tiny coating fragments. Another possibility is that part of the PLA coating gets fragmented and even dissolved during the recycling process. According to the producer’s information [23], PLA coating is readily repulpable for its greater water susceptibility and lower impact strength compared with the petroleum-derived polymer coating. Under some harsh pulping conditions (e.g. pH, temperature and aid of chemicals), a small amount of coating may degrade and get dissolved in water. In our test, after pulping, the suspension was measured as pH 8.81 and temperature 32.6 °C. So there is a possibility that a small amount of ZnO NPs leach into the pulp suspension due to PLA degradation, and become associated with the recovered fibres.

The deviation of NPs balance from 100% may be attributed to the statistical sample size (mean value from two measurements) and the potential NP leaching to the processing water. Therefore, more measurement points are recommended for future study in order to get close to the true mean value.
Table 10. Mass balance of ZnO NPs in the paper recycling test. Coat-1 means PLA coating containing 1 wt.% of ZnO NPs on base paper; Re-1 and Ac-1 mean the corresponding rejects and accepts coming from the recycling trial of Coat-1. The same applies to sample Coat-3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Zn concentration [mg/g]</th>
<th>Material balance [g]</th>
<th>ZnO NPs balance [mg/g]</th>
<th>ZnO NPs balance in %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Coat-1</td>
<td>0.687±0.240</td>
<td>24.82</td>
<td>21.23150</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Re-1</td>
<td>2.775±0.130</td>
<td>5.29</td>
<td>18.27851</td>
<td>86%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ac-1</td>
<td>0.0595±0.0049</td>
<td>19.32</td>
<td>1.43135</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coat-3</td>
<td>1.6625±0.1266</td>
<td>24.79</td>
<td>51.31689</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Re-3</td>
<td>7.0285±0.1577</td>
<td>5.31</td>
<td>46.47071</td>
<td>91%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ac-3</td>
<td>0.3395±0.0078</td>
<td>19.38</td>
<td>8.19249</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Due to presence of mineral fillers, the interpretation of the ash content results becomes difficult (see Table 11). However, some information can be extracted: if assume Re-3 only contains NPs, (i.e. all the mineral fillers end up in Ac-3), the ash content value can be interpreted as ZnO NPs concentration, 43.40 mg/g, which is close to the value obtained from the ICP-MS measurement, 46.47 mg/g. This consistency suggests good NP dispersing in the coating.

Table 11. Ash content. Coat-3 means PLA coating containing 3 wt.% of ZnO NPs on base paper, Re-3 and Ac-3 mean the corresponding rejects and accepts coming from the recycling trial of Coat-3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample ID</th>
<th>Ash content [%]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Blank</td>
<td>7.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coat-3</td>
<td>7.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ac-3</td>
<td>8.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Re-3</td>
<td>4.34</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4.3.6 Tensile strength of handsheets

As known from the aforementioned discussion, a large proportion of ZnO NPs ended up in the accepts. These NPs may associate with the fibres with some interactions or they may be embedded in the fragments of the PLA coating. And both cases may cause negative effects on the recycled paper. To clarify this issue, handsheets were made from the accepts and the tensile strength was tested. The results are given in Figure 42. It can be seen that sample HS-Coat-1 (handsheet made from the accepts of Coat-1 trial) had a higher value than the other two samples, including the control; whereas sample HS-Coat-3 (handsheet made from the accepts of Coat-3 trial) was not statistically significant different than the control HS-Blank. Thus, the NP-enabled PLA coating has no negative impacts in the tensile strength of the handsheet. Besides, the result may suggest that the coating fragments/NPs are not strongly associated with the fibre and thus can be drained away in handsheet-making. And if this is the case, the water drained during sheet preparation should be analysed in future investigation.

Figure 42. Tensile strength of the handsheets. HS-Coat-1 means handsheet made from the accepts of Coat-1 trial. The same applies to HS-Coat-3. Error bar represents 95% confidence interval from 10 measurements.

4.4 CONCLUSIONS

In this study, a paper-based packaging material containing ZnO NPs was produced and characterized, and particular attention was paid to the fate of nanomaterials in the paper recycling process. The SEM-EDX analysis suggests that the coating (coat
weight 9.4 g/m²) provided some degree of coverage over the paper surface but left some surface pores unshielded, which affected the material’s performance in water absorbptiveness (Cobb-60) and wetting properties (contact angle). The NPs were homogenously distributed in the polymer matrix thanks to the NP surface modification, and the presence of aggregates can be further minimised by using a better mixing tool.

The recyclability of such packaging material was assessed with a method adapted from ATICELCA MC501-13, which enabled to recover over 99% of the solids material. The ZnO NPs mass balance indicates that 86%-91% NPs ended up in the rejected material stream, mostly embedded within the coating; whereas 7%-16% NPs were found in the accepted fibre material stream. However, since NPs were not directly measured in the process water, their presence cannot be completely ruled out. As a matter of fact, tiny coating fragments/residues loosely attached to the recovered fibres might still migrate into the water system in handsheets’ formation. In a broader view, this means that some NPs may accumulate in the white water system of paper machine, and therefore proper measures should be taken for the safe use of nanomaterials. The tensile strength of the handsheets suggested that the ZnO NP-enabled coating has no negative impacts on the recycled paper quality.

For future research, it is interesting to apply the same testing method to samples produced with extrusion coating. The concentration of the NPs in the processing water shall be assessed in order to obtain a more complete picture about the fate of NPs in the paper recycling process. Besides, the physical state of these NPs in the different process streams should be further investigated with suitable techniques (e.g. TEM), as it influences the nano-toxicity.
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5 Paper III. The effect of active packaging on minimising food losses: Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) of essential oil component enabled packaging for fresh beef
5.1 INTRODUCTION

The statistics for food losses is staggering: a rough estimation for avoidable losses in EU (European Union) is 280 kg per capita per year, of which 13% arising from the agricultural production, 31% from the product processing, and 45% from the household [1]. In particular, the household is subject to criticism for generating such high percentage of food waste; for example, a survey conducted by Williams, et al. in 2012 revealed that food waste was 1.7 kg per week per household in a local community in Sweden [2]. This situation may be attributed to various reasons: purchased too much, leftover after cooking, leftover after eating, misunderstanding of “use before” (inedible after due date) and “best before” (safe to taste and judge by the consumers) [2], mismatch between the packaging size and a smaller family unit as the society develops, which is very often associated with the failure of protection once the packaging is opened, packaging difficult to empty, e.g. yoghurt and ketchup.

To minimise food losses, a number of practical measures have been proposed to consumers [1]; for example, pre-shopping planning, avoiding the temptation of special offer coming with large quantity packs, decreasing the cooking/warming proportions in one’s diet, etc. However, when looking at the issue from a bigger picture, i.e. the whole food chain, packaging plays a key role in reducing food losses. This is because foods serving to our tables are subject to various risks, including physical damage, physicochemical deterioration, microbial spoilage, etc., and particularly, contamination/cross-contamination of pathogens could cause severe threat to human health [3]. Packaging provides an effective barrier against these risks, and the development of active packaging, especially the antimicrobial packaging enables actively inhibiting/eliminating the food spoilage mechanisms, e.g. bacteria, fungi and virus [4-6], and hence leading to longer shelf life and safer food.

In accordance with Commission Regulation EC No. 450/2009 from the European Union [7], the official definition of active packaging is given as: “active materials and articles means materials and articles that are intended to extend the shelf-life or to maintain or improve the condition of packaged food; they are designed to deliberately incorporate components that would release or absorb substances into or from the packaged food or the environment surround the food.” Depending on the intended applications, there are different types of active packagings on the market [8], including the antimicrobial/antioxidant type, the internal atmosphere control type (e.g. oxygen scavenging, moisture control, CO₂ generating, ethylene absorbing, etc.), and odour/aroma removing/enhancing type [9-12].
One of the most attractive benefits of using active packaging is to extend the shelf life of the packaged food [13, 14]. Generally, two main factors influence food’s shelf life [15]:

- **Microbial spoilage**: contamination caused by spoilage/pathogenic microorganisms and the amount of microorganisms present. It is usually regulated by relevant industry standards/laws [16].

- **Non-microbial spoilage**: sensory or biochemical deterioration, e.g. colour, texture, flavours, etc.

The active agents to be incorporated in the packaging material may function by combating either of the spoilage mechanisms, or sometimes both. However, one thing should be noted is that in the literature research very often shelf life extension is not clearly identified: depending on the authors research interest, in some studies the active material was just tested with the challenging culture (agar/liquid media) [17-19], and therefore the effect on real food is not known; while in other studies, test of sensory properties is missing (e.g. food was used as a medium for culturing or the author only focused on a specific spoilage mechanism of interest) [10, 20, 21]. However, shelf life is an averaged indicator consisting of considerations in microbiological limit and sensory properties (e.g. physicochemical attributes, colour, taste, texture, odour, decay rate, etc.). And the latter usually involves panel test and unbiased evaluation remains challenging [22], despite the increasing application of electronic nose/electronic tongue [23].

Table 12 summaries the life extension reported from different sources, focusing on the application of active packaging on red meat products. The data of shelf life extension were extracted by considering the following factors: in some cases, the data may be subject to specific test conditions, for example both the control and the sample using active packaging were evaluated at the refrigeration temperature; in some works the authors have clearly indicated the achieved/anticipated shelf life extension, while in other works this information has been merged in each indicator tested, and thus, estimation has been made. It can be seen that almost 50% shelf life extension can be attained, which is a remarkable effect.
# Table 12. Active packaging application for red meat products and its effect on shelf life extension.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Red meat products</th>
<th>Shelf life in control packaging</th>
<th>Shelf life in active packaging</th>
<th>Active packaging description</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ground beef</td>
<td>5 days</td>
<td>9 days</td>
<td>Coating layer containing nisin/lacticin</td>
<td>Kim et al., 2002 [24]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ground beef</td>
<td>12 days</td>
<td>16 days</td>
<td>Oregano essential oil releasing from a paper disc</td>
<td>Ammor et al., 2009 [25]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beef steak</td>
<td>10 days</td>
<td>20 days</td>
<td>Spray on beef surface (Rosemary extract &amp; vitamin C)</td>
<td>Djenane et al., 2003 [26]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beef steak</td>
<td>14 days</td>
<td>23 days</td>
<td>PP film coated with oregano extract</td>
<td>Camo et al., 2011 [27]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beef steak</td>
<td>12 days†</td>
<td>14 days</td>
<td>PP film co-extruded with natural antioxidants</td>
<td>Nerin et al., 2006 [28]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fresh lamb steaks</td>
<td>8 days</td>
<td>13 days</td>
<td>Active film containing extracts of rosemary/oregano</td>
<td>Camo et al., 2008 [29]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raw skinless sausages</td>
<td>7 days</td>
<td>15 days</td>
<td>Chitosan treatment</td>
<td>Sagoo et al., 2002 [30]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grilled pork</td>
<td>14 days</td>
<td>28 days</td>
<td>Chitosan treatment (on sample surface)</td>
<td>Yingyuad et al., 2006 [31]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

† Shelf life only based on sensory panel evaluation.
Obviously, all the stakeholders involved in the food chain would welcome products of longer shelf life, not only for the economic reasons, but also for issues such as the pressure imposed on logistics, food waste management, and sustainability concerns. Interestingly, from an environmental perspective, when adapting a more sophisticated packaging system for food, on the one hand, it will induce additional resources input and emissions; on the other hand, it will enable to lower a product’s environmental impact by minimising food losses. So, some questions would naturally arise: will the additional input be offset by the saved food losses? What is the desired product shelf life in order to achieve a positive/improved sustainability profile? To answer these questions, it is helpful to assess the environmental performance of the food and its packaging as a whole system incorporating the effect of food loss reduction by means of Life Cycle Assessment (LCA). As concluded by McMillin in his work [32], “from a life cycle perspective, any assessment of the environmental impact of food packaging must take into account the positive benefits of reduced food losses in the value chain”.

Although there have been extensive studies addressing the eco-profile of food packaging using LCA, most of them focused on issues such as using of biopolymers, increasing the share of renewable energy in the system input, as well as the end-of-life impacts of packaging materials [33-35]. On the other hand, the capability of active packaging in reducing food losses has already been widely recognised by the packaging and food industry. Wikstrom and Williams in their works [36, 37] proposed a model that describes the potential environmental gains from reducing food losses by using new packaging. This is an important issue simply because not all active packaging will lead to an improved eco-profile of the system. First of all, the food type matters. As shown in their study [36], for the same fraction of food loss reduction, the high impact foods e.g. cheese and beef enjoy a greater freedom in new packaging design (without increasing the system’s environmental impact) than the low impact foods e.g. bread and ketchup. In other words, the high impact food and its packaging give good response to food loss reduction. Additionally, for example, when using metal nanoparticles (e.g. Ag, TiO₂, ZnO) as antimicrobial agents [38, 39], and very likely, it tends to rise the system’s environmental burden, due to the high energy input in nanoparticle manufacturing [40], dispersing and incorporation in host material [41], and its eco-toxicity, i.e. the fate when these engineered nanoparticles releasing to the environment [42].

The objective of this study is to provide a link between food loss saving and food-packaging system’s overall environmental performance. Therefore, LCA methodology has been applied to contrast the situations of food using conventional packaging (current state) and food using novel active packaging (containing active coating). This was demonstrated with a case study, focusing on the application of
essential oil component enabled active packaging for fresh beef. Additionally, a sensitivity analysis has been integrated, with the aim to identify the breakeven point of the balance. (A breakeven point means the threshold where the environmental impact becomes equal to the control case.) It is expected that the obtained results would serve as guidelines for active packaging development, which strives for a positive sustainability profile of the food-packaging system.

5.2 MATERIALS AND METHODS

SimaPro 7.3.3 (PRé Consultants, Netherlands) was used for the LCA modelling and the corresponding life cycle impact assessment (LCIA) calculation. It is a software specifically designed for the purpose of LCA, considering inputs and outputs from the defined system boundaries with respect to the framework defined by the standards (ISO14040 and 14044).

5.2.1 Goal and scope definition

The purpose of this LCA study is to assess the eco-profile of the food and its packaging as a whole system, i.e. a product-packaging system [35], and the interest is placed on the effect of active packaging on food loss reduction, which further leads to reduced environmental impact. This relationship was demonstrated with a specific case study: fresh beef packaged in essential oil component enabled active packaging.

The conventional packaging concerned is the modified atmosphere packaging (MAP), which is the industrial standard solution for distributing fresh beef. As shown in Figure 43, for using MAP, a designed gas mixture is flushed into the tray to replace air, and then the tray is sealed with a lid film. In order to provide a good gas barrier, usually the packaging is made from multilayer structure material, e.g. co-extruded PP and EVOH. The active packaging of interest only differs from the “conventional MAP” in an additional active coating layer coated on the lid film. The active coating is formulated with EVOH polymer and thymol/carvacrol as antimicrobial agent. In the following discussion, this system will be denoted as “active MAP”.
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As the active MAP is still under development, specific issues such as choice and validation of active agent, methods to incorporate in packaging material are to be determined. Detailed LCA modelling is therefore restricted by data availability. However, this kind of situation will become more and more common with the industry’s increasing acceptance and application of eco-design concept [43]. To address such problem, for example, Kunnari, et al. in their publication [44] proposed a stepwise LCA procedure, which was about a continuous iterative process as the data quality improves in parallel with the development of the product. Following this concept, a preliminary LCA modelling was set up and the model will be updated once more data become available.

5.2.2 Functional unit

The Functional unit (FU) is defined as to deliver 1 kg fresh beef to the retail gate and display until the end of shelf life.

5.2.3 System boundaries

The system boundaries are illustrated in Figure 44. The LCA was performed in a cradle-to-gate perspective, i.e. from raw material extraction to the retail gate (supermarket).
Figure 44. System boundary and key life cycle stages considered.
Food consumption at household level was deliberately excluded from the system boundaries. One of the reasons is that before the consumption stage the food flow is generally managed by few major players/stakeholders (e.g. farmers, food packers, brand holders, wholesalers and supermarkets), so data collection is relatively easy [1]. However, as the flow reaching the consumption stage, this situation drastically changes due to the diversity of consumer behaviour. And as a result, the food loss data collection becomes challenging and inevitably involves large amount of variations.

More importantly, in the modelling we are especially looking for food waste data attributed to the expiry of shelf life, which concerns about the issues of food waste classification, definition and methods used in the data source. For example, Lebersorger & Schneider in their work [45] proposed a methodology for household food waste classification and practised it with a case study by focusing on a district in the northwest of Austria (consisting of 58500 inhabitants). By analysing the waste composition, it was found that 6% (in mass) food waste was in originally packaged & whole unused, and 15% in opened package and partly consumed. However, the exact reason behind these waste is still missing: it may be because no longer wanted, or due of shelf life.

End-of-life of packaging was also excluded, assuming both the conventional and the active packaging would be subject to the identical disposal scenario (e.g. municipal incineration). Additionally, the active coating layer containing essential oil component accounts for a small weight share over the entire packaging, and it is therefore reasonable to assume that the associated impact is negligible.

The geographical boundary was set that all activities happened in the EU.

5.2.4 Life cycle inventory (LCI)

Data sources and their references used in this study are summarised in Table 13. Data from SimaPro databases, especially Ecoinvent unit data were preferably used when available. For chemicals/substances/processing not available in SimaPro databases, the inventory was established by referring to the relevant publications, scientific papers, datasheet of equipment, on site visit, and consulting experts in the relevant fields.
Table 13. Summary of data sources (life cycle inventory).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Life cycle stages/processes</th>
<th>Data source</th>
<th>Notes and references</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Food production (cattle fattening)</td>
<td>Nguyen et al. [47]</td>
<td>Data for suckler cow-calf system was used</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slaughtering house</td>
<td>SimaPro, literatures</td>
<td>A study regarding LCA on meat [48]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Packaging raw material extraction (pellets production)</td>
<td>SimaPro (Ecoinvent)</td>
<td>EVOH substituted by its precursor ethylene vinyl acetate copolymer (EVA) [49, 50]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co-extrusion</td>
<td>SimaPro (Ecoinvent)</td>
<td>Waste stream 0.024 kg/kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermoforming</td>
<td>SimaPro (Ecoinvent)</td>
<td>Waste stream 0.023 kg/kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corona treatment and other converting processes</td>
<td></td>
<td>Cut off</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Components for coating formulation</td>
<td>SimaPro, literatures</td>
<td>Active agent loading 5 wt.% [51, 52], coat weight assumed to be 5 g/m²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coating and drying</td>
<td></td>
<td>Own calculation and assumption based on industrial coating process [53]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAP (modified atmosphere packaging) packing</td>
<td>Site specific</td>
<td>Machine model: ULMA SMART 300, power 5 kW, sealing 2 trays per min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gas mixture (80% O₂&amp;20%CO₂) for MAP</td>
<td></td>
<td>Cut off</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supermarket shelf display</td>
<td>Site specific</td>
<td>Machine model: ZERO ZONE RVMC30, 5.61 kWh/meter/day, 12 days shelf display [54]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transport</td>
<td>SimaPro, literatures assumptions</td>
<td>Road transport, lorry&gt;32t, Euro 5. Refrigerated road transport used for the section from slaughtering house to supermarket, which causes additional diesel consumption, low-sulphur, 5 L/100 km [46]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Electricity (allocated to 1 kg fresh beef) | SimaPro, literatures | slaughtering house 0.079 kWh  
coating on lid film 39.359 kJ  
packing machine 0.149 kWh  
supermarket shelf display (refrigeration) 0.687 kWh |

Electricity is the major form of energy input for production processes, so the origin of electricity has a strong influence on the environmental impact calculation. In this study, UCTE electricity mix (data supplied by Union for the Co-ordination of the Transmission of Electricity, including most of European countries) was used as default to reflect the EU average level in electricity generation, transmission, and consumption. For the industrial users, e.g. on-farm production, packaging production plant, medium voltage electricity was chosen; while for the end users, e.g. supermarket, low voltage electricity was chosen.

Road transport (lorry > 32 t, Euro 5) and the distances connecting the life stages were assumed. In particular, the refrigerated transport was considered (lorry 16-32 t, Euro 5) for the section from slaughtering house to supermarket [46].

More details are available in the Supporting Information.

### 5.2.5 Selection of impact assessment categories

Following the common practice of LCA of agricultural products [36, 47, 55], four impact categories were selected, and the method for assessment employed in SimaPro is indicated in the bracket behind each impact category:
• Global warming (IPCC in 2007 under a 100-year perspective)
Emission of greenhouse gases is considered to be the main reason for such global warming trend development, especially, livestock production involves considerable amount of methane (CH$_4$) derived from enteric fermentation and manure management, nitrous oxide (N$_2$O) coming from manure management and pasture, and applied fertilizers and crop residues, and carbon dioxide (CO$_2$) due to crop cultivation, land use conversion, etc. [56].

• Non-renewable fossil energy consumption (Cumulative Energy Demand, non-renewable)
This impact indicator provides information about energy generated from fossil fuels, such as coal, oil, natural gas etc., which are considered non-renewable.

• Acidification potential (CML 2 baseline 2000)
This indicator is associated with the combustion of fossil fuel (emission of SO$_x$ and NO$_x$), and livestock production (NH$_3$). Increase of acidification could cause damages to woodlands, lakes, rivers and other eco-systems in the form of acid rain or dry deposition.

• Eutrophication potential (CML 2 baseline 2000)
Using fertilizer could lead to nutrient enrichment to an aquatic system and cause damages to the eco-system.

5.2.6 System performance and scenarios
The objective of this study is to highlight the role of active packaging in minimising food losses, and therefore, a sensitivity study considering active packaging with different food loss saving abilities was incorporated.

The food loss data was extracted from the study of Beretta, et al. [1], who reported primary data about beef loss occurring at the retail in Swiss market, being 0.1%, 0.5% and 1.2%, and all of which were attributed to “too long on the retail shelves”. Accordingly, four scenarios were set up to address the food-packaging systems with different food loss saving abilities (see Table 14): conventional MAP, without active coating layer, which serves as the control; Solution 1, 2, and 3 enjoy an increasing saving abilities in a cumulative manner. The unsaved losses are equivalent to produce more in the beginning of the food production flow in order to fulfil the functional unit. To model such relationship, the corresponding reference flows were scaled with a factor, which is also indicated in the table.
Table 14. Scenarios and their corresponding food loss saving percentages and reference flow scaling factors.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario identification</th>
<th>Food loss saving</th>
<th>Reference flow scaling factor</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Conventional MAP</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>1.018</td>
<td>Without active coating</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solution 1</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
<td>1.017</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solution 2</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
<td>1.012</td>
<td>With active coating</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solution 3</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.3 RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

According to the data source [57], beef consumption in the EU in 2010 was 8200000 ton. So in the case of active packaging used, e.g. the Solution 3 as described in the scenario setup in Table 14, potentially, the food loss can be avoided at the retail will be 147600 tons per year. This suggests that the impact of active MAP on the beef distribution can be significant.

5.3.1 Eco-profile of different scenarios

Life cycle impact assessment (LCIA) was calculated with respect to the functional unit (1 kg fresh beef) and summarized in Table 15. As expected, the best performance active packaging, i.e. the one used in Solution 3, has achieved an improved eco-profile across all the impact categories evaluated, with reduction percentage about 1%-2% compared to the control, i.e. the conventional MAP.
Table 15. Eco-profiles of different scenarios.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Global warming [kg CO\textsubscript{2} eq.]</th>
<th>Cumulative energy demand (fossil) [MJ]</th>
<th>Acidification potential [kg SO\textsubscript{2} eq.]</th>
<th>Eutrophication potential [kg PO\textsubscript{4} eq.]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Conventional MAP</td>
<td>23.2844</td>
<td>95.2307</td>
<td>0.2808</td>
<td>0.2157</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solution 1</td>
<td>23.2794</td>
<td>95.5147</td>
<td>0.2806</td>
<td>0.2156</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solution 2</td>
<td>23.1650</td>
<td>95.0451</td>
<td>0.2793</td>
<td>0.2145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solution 3</td>
<td>22.8903</td>
<td>93.9181</td>
<td>0.2759</td>
<td>0.2120</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.3.2 Global warming (GW)

Global warming (GW) for different scenarios is plotted in Figure 45, including the GW contribution from different life cycle stages. The figure is plotted as a panel chart, which shows both the global impact distribution and the impact arising from the life stages other than food production. The same applies to the rest figures.
Figure 45. Global warming potential (under a 100-year perspective) for different scenarios: conventional MAP serving as reference, solution 1-3 corresponding to the application of active packagings having different food loss saving abilities 0.1%, 0.6% and 1.8%, respectively. The figure is plotted in panel chart in order to highlight the contributions arising from the life stages other than food production.

As expected, food production (cattle fattening) contributes to the vast majority of the CO₂ eq., being 96% across all the scenarios. In terms of absolute GW, it is 21.9 kg CO₂ eq, which is in line with other sources: 27.3 as reported in [47] and 14-32 as reported in [55]. In this case study, food production itself overwhelmingly dominates the impact category, and the role of active packaging is to offset certain
amount of the food losses by introducing a small amount of additional resources, and thus resulting in decreased CO$_2$ eq. of the whole system.

It can be noticed that from conventional MAP to solution 1, their cumulative CO$_2$ eq. are almost equal, suggesting that the eliminated food loss (0.1%) is enough to offset the additional life cycle stages due to use of active packaging. As the food loss saving increases, the overall GW impact decreases. As seen in Solution 3, the system generates 1.7% less CO$_2$ eq. compared to the conventional MAP.

Apart from the major contributor (cattle fattening), it is possible to locate the hotspot from other life cycle stages, although they are of minor contribution to the overall GW of the system. For example, shelf display at retail was responsible for 0.4 kg CO$_2$ eq., followed by packaging manufacturing 0.28 kg CO$_2$ eq., meat processing and packing 0.19 kg CO$_2$ eq., and distribution 0.12 kg CO$_2$ eq.

5.3.3 Cumulative energy demand (non-renewable, fossil)

Cumulative energy demand (non-renewable, fossil) for different scenarios is plotted in Figure 46, including the contributions from different life cycle stages.
Figure 46. Cumulative energy demand (non-renewable, fossil) for different scenarios: conventional MAP serving as reference, solution 1-3 corresponding to the application of active packagings having different food loss saving abilities 0.1%, 0.6% and 1.8%, respectively. The figure is plotted in panel chart in order to highlight the contributions arising from the life stages other than food production.

In a similar way, the food production again dominates the system’s cumulative energy demand, being 82% across all the scenarios investigated. In terms of absolute value, it gives a value around 77 MJ, which is higher than that reported by other sources: for example, 59.2 MJ in [47], and 34-52 MJ in [55]. This discrepancy may be due to the impact allocation methods used in different studies [58, 59]. In
our calculation, we directly focus on the suckler cow-calf (SCC) system, and the impact is fully allocated to beef rather than distributing it among other products, e.g. milk, leather, etc.

Firstly, a breakeven point can only be reached after going up to Solution 2, and this is because in this impact category, the contribution from food production is slightly lower, just accounting for 82% of the system, which suggests that it requires a better performance active packaging with higher level food loss saving ability to offset the impact associated with using of active packaging.

Secondly, based on the calculation it is possible to locate the hotspots in terms of energy consumption. Among the life cycle stages, packaging manufacturing is an energy intensive component (c.a. 7.5 MJ), followed by shelf display at retail (c.a. 5.0 MJ), distribution and meat processing & packing, representing c.a. 2.0 MJ and 2.3 MJ, respectively. Therefore, some improvement measures can be considered, for example, optimised packaging design, improved inventory turnover (i.e. shorter shelf display time) through refined management practices, etc.

5.3.4 Acidification Potential (AP) and Eutrophication Potential (EP)

Acidification potential (AP) and eutrophication potential (EP) for different scenarios are plotted in Figure 47 and Figure 48, respectively. For AP and EP, food production accounts for 98% of the overall system, which is reasonable and can be attributed to the on-farm emissions sources, including animal feeding, crop cultivation, use of fertilizer, etc. The absolute SO₂ eq. and PO₄ eq. locate in the reasonable range as reported in [55].
Figure 47. Acidification potential (AP) for different scenarios: conventional MAP serving as reference, solution 1-3 corresponding to the application of active packagings having different food loss saving abilities 0.1%, 0.6% and 1.8%, respectively. The figure is plotted in panel chart in order to highlight the contributions arising from the life stages other than food production.
Figure 48. Eutrophication potential (EP) for different scenarios: conventional MAP serving as reference, solution 1-3 corresponding to the application of active packagings having different food loss saving abilities 0.1%, 0.6% and 1.8%, respectively. The figure is plotted in panel chart in order to highlight the contributions arising from the life stages other than food production.

Generally, the contribution from other life cycle stages follows the use of energy, with retail and slaughterhouse taking the major shares. And the breakeven point can be achieved as early as in Solution 1 for both impact categories.
5.4 CONCLUSIONS

To highlight the ability of active packaging on minimising food losses, an LCA (life cycle assessment) study was carried out by focusing on a case study, which is to use thymol/carvacrol enabled active packaging for fresh beef. From the LCIA (life cycle impact assessment) calculation, the eco-profiles of food using conventional MAP (current state) and food using novel active MAP (containing active coating) were compared.

On the basis of food loss saving ability of the active packaging concerned, a series of scenarios have been established. In the scenario using the best performance active packaging, it was shown that a breakeven point can be achieved across the four impact categories evaluated, including global warming (GW), fossil energy demand, acidification potential (AP), and eutrophication potential (EP). On the other hand, differences were observed between the impact categories; for example, for the impact of AP and EP, the breakeven point can be achieved as early as 0.1% food loss elimination, whereas in the case of cumulative energy demand (fossil), it required more efforts to reach the breakeven point, and more specifically, the active packaging performance shall be good enough to reduce food losses at least by 0.6%.

As an example, using active packaging solutions could potentially reduce the beef losses at the retail of the EU market up to 147600 ton per year. Besides, if the system boundaries are extended to the consumption at household, more loss saving can be expected.

Finally, it is expected that the obtained results would serve as guidelines for active packaging development considering the reduction of food losses as a key parameter.
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6 Paper IV. Selection of nanomaterial-based active agents for packaging applications: using Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) as a tool
6.1 INTRODUCTION

Choosing the appropriate active agent is a key to the development of antimicrobial packaging system. Compared with the organic agents (e.g. organic acid, essential oil component, nisin, etc.), metallic nanoparticles (NPs) as antimicrobial agent offers a few merits, such as superior antimicrobial efficacy, no negative impacts on the food sensory properties, and compatibility with harsh polymer processing conditions [1-4].

As the NPs contain strong antimicrobial activity, they are not only used for food spoilage control [5-7], but also used to inactivate the food-borne pathogens to ensure food safety. This attribute has been examined in a number of works: for example, PHA (Polyhydroxybutyrate) film containing silver nanoparticles (Ag NPs) induced 6.89 log reduction against Salmonella enterica and 5.51 log reduction against Listeria monocytogenes (log reduction greater than 2 means inactivation efficacy over 99%) [8]; Titanium dioxide nanoparticles (TiO$_2$ NPs) embedded in wheat gluten film enabled up to 98.5% bacterial reduction after 2 h of light irradiation [9]; impressive inhibitory effect was observed for using zinc oxide nanoparticles (ZnO NPs) to inactivate E. coli O157:H7 [4]; PLA coating containing ZnO NPs was also found to be effective in inactivating Salmonella inoculated in liquid egg albumen [10].

Survey of literature shows that the relevant research has mainly focused on four nanoparticles: silver (Ag), titanium dioxide (TiO$_2$), zinc oxide (ZnO) and copper (Cu) [2]. So when all of them can impart good antimicrobial activity to the host material, a practical question lying ahead is how to make a selection between them. In this case, sustainability can be used as a criterion for the selection. This is because sustainability has already become an important consideration in the packaging value chain. The sustainability of packaging covers so many aspects, e.g. material selection, design, format, carrying eco label, easy to empty to minimise the product losses, and the use of biodegradable materials. Improvement on these attributes is highly valued by the group of consumers of high environmental awareness and can strongly influence their buying decisions. As claimed by a packaging producer, sustainable packaging solution can potentially lift the sales by 2-4% [11].

Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) is a tool that enables a holistic view over the impacts caused to the environment due to use of a product or a service. It takes into account all the inputs (e.g. raw materials, chemicals, energy, etc.) and outputs (e.g. emissions, waste, co-products, etc.) associated with the life stages of the product, including raw material extraction, manufacturing, use/reuse/maintenance till the end-of-life, i.e. a cradle-to-grave approach [12]. To carry out a LCA, the inventory...
data are collected and used as input for different impact characterization models such that a specific environmental impact can be measured in a quantitative manner. With regard to the packaging sector, LCA has already gained a universal recognition for being a useful tool to evaluate the environmental profile of a packaging solution. Additionally, LCA enables to avoid shifting the potential environmental burdens from one stage to another, or from one stakeholder to another.

The early attempt of using LCA for nanomaterial evaluation can be found in a publication in 2001 [13], in which the authors investigated a nanocrystalline dye (composed of TiO$_2$ NPs and carbon powder) following a cradle-to-grave approach. In 2003, Lloyd and Lave carried out a hybrid LCA on a nanoclay reinforced polymer composite [14]. After that, the number of publications on such topic has increased promptly, and in the meanwhile the research interest has expanded to more types of nanomaterials and application fields, e.g. carbon nanotube [15], nanosilver [16] and nano silica [17]. As concluded in the LCA research community the current ISO-based LCA framework (ISO14040 and ISO14044) is fully applicable to nanomaterials/nanomaterial-enabled products despite the barriers and data gaps to carry out a comprehensive LCA [18-20]. The barriers include

- scarcity of inventory data (in particular the comprehensiveness on the emission side),
- lack of appropriate characterization factors to address the nano-specific toxicity in LCIA (Life Cycle Impact Assessment),
- and uncertainty/ambiguity concerned with the fate of nanomaterials, which strongly depends on the nanomaterial’s colloidal behaviour.

Hischier et al. in their work [21] proposed some strategies to overcome the existing gaps for applying LCA to nanomaterial/nanomaterial-enabled product. In this study, we incorporate these strategies to evaluate three packaging films incorporating different NPs as antimicrobial agents. The agents used are Ag NPs, TiO$_2$ NPs, and a mixture of both since the inventory data of these two nanoparticles are available. A biodegradable polymer, PLA (Polylactic Acid), is used as the host material.

### 6.2 MATERIALS AND METHODS

This LCA study was modelled in SimaPro 7.3.3 (PRé Consultants, The Netherlands). The impact categories and the corresponding characterization models used for impact assessment are described as following:

- Climate change (IPCC 2007 GWP 100a V1.02)
• Non-renewable energy demand, fossil (Cumulative Energy Demand V1.08)

6.2.1 Goal and scope

The packaging concerned is a simple polymer film made out of PLA. It is produced by blow film extrusion process incorporating the designated loadings of NPs. Three packaging films (Packaging A, B and C) were considered by considering the antimicrobial efficacy of each agent used.

The Functional Unit (FU) was defined as to produce 1 kg active packaging material (PLA polymer co-extruded with NPs) that provides equivalent effectiveness to ensure food safety and quality (i.e. equivalent antimicrobial efficacy). Packaging specifications, e.g. size and thickness, were not defined, as it depends on the intended applications or the user’s need.

The system boundaries are depicted in Figure 49, including raw material extraction (production of PLA pellets and nanoparticles), active packaging film manufacturing (film extrusion), active film distribution and transport, use, and the end-of-life of packaging (50% for municipal incineration and 50% for landfill), i.e. a cradle-to-grave approach.

Assumption was made that the production and other life cycles took place in the EU (European Union).
6.2.2 Life cycle inventory analysis

Raw material extraction and packaging manufacturing

Data for PLA pellets production and film extrusion process were extracted from Ecoinvent database V2.2. In accordance with the description of the data source, the PLA production data was supplied by the world largest PLA plant at that time; a production yield of 97.6% was taken into account.

The inventory of Ag NP production was established according to the study of Walser et al. [16], which the data are extracted from an industrial scale FSP (Flame Spray Pyrolysis) process having a capacity of 500 kg/year. The primary data input
is summarised in Table 16. The corresponding material and energy input was extracted from the databases of SimaPro, and the Ecoinvent process was preferably used whenever available. For the electricity use in the production, “UCTE mix” was used in order to reflect the average energy consumption in the EU.

Table 16. Resources input and emissions for producing 1 kg silver nanoparticles (Flame Spray Pyrolysis). Data source: [16].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Input</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Oxygen (O$_2$)</td>
<td>33.4 kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methane (CH$_4$)</td>
<td>1.53 kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tap water (H$_2$O)</td>
<td>62.8 kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silver-octanoate (AgC$<em>8$H$</em>{15}$O$_2$)</td>
<td>2.35 kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-thylhexanoic acid (C$<em>8$H$</em>{16}$O$_2$)</td>
<td>6.29 kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xylene (C$<em>8$H$</em>{10}$)</td>
<td>6.29 kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electricity, medium voltage, at grid UCTE</td>
<td>25.1 kWh</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Emissions</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nitric oxide</td>
<td>0.387 kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon dioxide, fossil</td>
<td>43.9 kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water</td>
<td>16.8 kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wastewater treatment, class 3</td>
<td>0.063 m$^3$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The inventory of TiO$_2$ NP production was extracted from the work of Grubb et al. [22]. The primary resources input is summarised in Table 17. (N.B. lack of data on the emission side). In this study, the authors reported a pilot scale production process called Hydrochloride process. No allocation was considered for the by-product generated at pyrohydrolysis stage due to its low value. Similarly, “UCTE mix” was used as the electricity input for the production.
Table 17. Resources input for producing 1 kg titanium dioxide nanoparticles (hydrochloride process). Data source: [22]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Input</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ilmenite</td>
<td>2.165 kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iron powder</td>
<td>0.103 kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrochloric acid (HCl)</td>
<td>0.065 kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heat (natural gas)</td>
<td>44.894 MJ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steam</td>
<td>14.948 kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electricity</td>
<td>5.443 MJ</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Transport

Assumptions were made for the transport scheme:

- the PLA pellets and the additives were shipped to the packaging plant and covered a distance of 100 km by lorry (16-32t, EURO 5);
- the active PLA film was shipped to other life cycle stages (packaging converter, user, and waste treatment facilities) and covered a total distance of 100 km by lorry (>16 t).

Filling and packing

The active film is used for packing foods at the food plant. Operations such as filling and hot sealing were considered. No specific food is assigned to the packaging film, and food production was excluded. Usage by consumer was excluded.

End-of-life of packaging

The disposal scenario was set as 50% going for municipal incineration [23] and the other 50% for landfill as inert material. The idea is to reflect a more realistic situation.

PLA as a biodegradable polymer offers more options in waste management. Mechanical recycling and composting are recommended [24]. But in current stage
the facilities still lag behind and are not widely available (e.g. collecting, sorting, and recycling). In the previously published LCA studies the disposal scenarios are often based on assumptions [25], e.g. 100% ends up in landfill [26], or 100% ends up in incineration as mixed plastics [27]. Besides, the rate of the post-consumer plastic packaging recycling is low, and a large part of it still goes for landfill [23].

PLA was treated as inert material in landfill, which is supported by the relevant study [28]. It is assumed that the incorporated nanoparticles have an inert role in the PLA composite’s behaviour both in incineration and in landfill.

6.3 RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

6.3.1 Impact of nanoparticle production

Global Warming Potential (GWP) and Cumulative Energy Demand (CED) are the two mostly reported impacts factors as seen from the previous nanomaterial-focused LCAs [21]. Though nanomaterial is often used as additives at a low weight share (<5%), it still makes sense to look at the energy consumption related impacts. One reason is that nanomaterial synthesis is an energy intensive process [29]. Another reason is that in recent years the global production of nanomaterials has increased rapidly. According to the report from Keller et al. [30], the global volume of nanomaterial production in 2010 was 260,000-309,000 ton, distributing over 800-1500 consumer products [31]. In the case of TiO$_2$ NPs, the total volume of production in 2010 was about 88,000 ton, with coating/paint/pigments and cosmetics being the major application fields [32]. While for Ag NPs, in the same year, the global production was 452 ton, much smaller than TiO$_2$ NPs, with its application in the fields of medical (141 ton) and coating/paints/vanishes (104 ton), which together accounts for more than half of the total production volume [32].

The environmental impacts for producing 1 kg of NPs are summarized in Table 18. In an overview, there is a big difference between the two NPs across the impact categories concerned. A two-order magnitude difference can be observed in GWP, and a one-order magnitude difference in the non-renewable fossil energy consumption of CED. This is mainly due to the different production methods of the NPs. Similarly, in one LCA study [22] a three-order magnitude difference was found between TiO$_2$ NPs and carbon nano fibres (CNF) in energy demand. There is also an issue of technology maturation in nanomaterial synthesis [33]. Another reason is that, as seen from the inventory (Table 16 and Table 17), the majority of material and energy input were captured for both NPs; whereas only the data source of Ag NPs [16] maintains a decent level of comprehensiveness on the emission side (air, water, and soil) [21]. In fact, as reported in the work of Hischier et al. [21],
among the 17 nanomaterial-focused LCA studies, this data inventory has attained the best data comprehensiveness, and hence the calculated impact is closer to the true value. While for the data of TiO$_2$ NPs [22], since the emissions are omitted the calculated impacts are inevitably underestimated.

Table 18. Environmental impacts for producing 1 kg silver nanoparticles (Ag NPs) and titanium dioxide nanoparticles (TiO$_2$ NPs). Cradle-to-gate.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Ag NPs</th>
<th>TiO$_2$ NPs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IPCC GWP 100a (CO$_2$ eq. kg)</td>
<td>291.8</td>
<td>8.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy, non-renewable, fossil (MJ)</td>
<td>3531</td>
<td>133.47</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The GWP impact distribution for producing 1kg Ag NPs is depicted in Figure 50. It can be seen that silver octanoate (including the upstream stages, e.g. bulk silver production and mining) is the biggest contributor, accounting for 60% of the total impact, followed by the carbon dioxide fossil emission associated with the Ag NPs production (15%) and the 2-ethylhexanoic acid (10%). This suggests that when the emission is properly tracked, it would contribute a significant share to the overall impact. As seen in this example, 15% carbon dioxide fossil emission has been assigned to the Ag NPs production.
The GWP impact distribution for producing 1 kg TiO$_2$ NPs is depicted in Figure 51. The contribution share from the mineral itself (ilmenite ore) is low, being less than 6% of the total impact; while the majority of the impact derives from using steam and burning natural gas, accounting for c.a. 42% and 39%, respectively. This implies that the hydrochloride process is very energy intensive because a large amount of natural gas is burnt to dehydrate the nanoparticles, and high-pressure steam is used to drive the distillation in the parallel recycle loop of hydrochloric acid (HCl) [22].
Figure 51. Global Warming Potential (GWP) for producing 1 kg titanium dioxide nanoparticles (TiO$_2$ NPs) and the contribution from each component.

Regarding the CED for producing 1 kg Ag NPs, in particular the non-renewable fossil energy (see Figure 52), silver octanoate (including its upstream flows) contributes over 50% of the total consumption. That is 2 GJ in absolute value. Similarly, this can be attributed to the raw material extraction and the production method.
In contrast, for the associated energy consumption (non-renewable, fossil) for producing 1 kg TiO₂ NPs (see Figure 53), steam and natural gas dominate in the impact, and the two together accounts for 86% of the total consumption. That is 114.5 MJ in absolute value. This is because steam for chemical process derives from burning of natural gas and heavy fuel oil in the industrial furnace.
6.3.2 Packaging scenario setup

Clearly, there is a difference in the antimicrobial efficacy between the different active agents, which could leads to different loadings in the host polymer material in packaging application. Accordingly, to achieve an equivalent microbe inhibitory effect, the high efficacy agent will be added less. Thus, in order to set up a reasonable packaging scenario, the antimicrobial efficacy of Ag NPs and TiO$_2$ NPs were extracted from the relevant publications, in particular the comparative studies evaluating these two agents under the same experimental test.

Among the metallic NPs, Ag NPs are shown to have the highest antimicrobial efficacy. In the study of Besinis et al.[34] MIC (Minimum Inhibitory Concentration) of Ag NPs and TiO$_2$ NPs were investigated against a Gram-positive bacterium (*Streptococcus mutans*). It was found that the MIC of the Ag NPs (mean primary particle size in water 56.8 nm, aggregate size164 nm) was 50 µg/mL, while the MIC of the TiO$_2$ NPs (mean primary particle size in water 22.8 nm, aggregate size 176 nm) was100 µg/mL. This means a 2-fold greater efficacy for the Ag NPs against the strain. As reported from the same study, the Ag NPs were found to have 8-fold greater efficacy in the live and dead staining assay. In another study [35], the
MIC of Ag NPs and TiO$_2$ NPs were determined by testing against several strains and the results indicate that the Ag NPs (primary particle size 20-25 nm) had a MIC of 0.4-1.7 µg/mL, which is comparable to commercial antibiotics; whereas the TiO$_2$ NPs had limited antimicrobial effect. By summarising these information, it is therefore reasonable to assume that the efficacy of Ag NPs is 5-fold of TiO$_2$ NPs, and accordingly, in the packaging application (1 kg PLA polymer), 1 wt% of Ag NPs enables an equivalent antimicrobial effect as 5 wt% TiO$_2$ NPs. The loading of the NPs was based on the relevant studies [36, 37].

The synergistic effect from using a mixture of different NPs/hybrid NP has been verified [10, 37-39]. When different agents are used in combination, the system contains multiple action mechanisms and hence it enables stronger antimicrobial activity than the mono agent. As reported in the work of Chen et al. [38], the MIC of a hybrid NP (Cu-TiO$_2$) against *E. coli* and *S. aureus* was about 5-fold lower than the mono NP (Cu or TiO$_2$), i.e. the hybrid NP contains 5-fold greater antimicrobial efficacy. Hybrid Ag-TiO$_2$ NPs were found to contain stronger antimicrobial activity [40-42].

Ag NP contains several action mechanisms. This includes NPs attacking on the microbe’s cell membrane by direct contact, silver ions leached from NPs, and Reactive Oxygen Species (ROS) generated on the particle surface [2, 3]. The antimicrobial activity of TiO$_2$ NP derives from the material’s photocatalytic property. Under suitable conditions ROS can be generated from the TiO$_2$ NP surface [43], e.g. superoxide radicals (O$_2^-$), hydroxyl radicals (HOOR), and hydrogen peroxide (H$_2$O$_2$). The ROS cause oxidative stress to the microbe’s cell membrane, altering its permeability and integrity, and kill them. Thus, with regard to the packaging scenario, a reasonable assumption can be made: a mixture of Ag NPs and TiO$_2$ NPs (in 1:1 ratio) at 0.2 wt% loading will enable an equivalent antimicrobial efficacy to 1 wt% loading of Ag NPs.

In summary, scenarios can be set up to address three packaging films (Packaging A, B and C) incorporating different agents and agent loadings to fulfill the functional unit defined in this study. This is summarized in Table 19.
Table 19. Packaging identification and description.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Components</th>
<th>Packaging A</th>
<th>Packaging B</th>
<th>Packaging C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Components</td>
<td>PLA film + Ag NPs</td>
<td>PLA film + TiO₂ NPs</td>
<td>PLA film + Ag-TiO₂ NPs (1:1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active agent loading with respect to the polymer</td>
<td>1wt%</td>
<td>5 wt%</td>
<td>0.2 wt%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6.3.3 **Impact of different packaging materials**

An important benefit for applying the antimicrobial packaging is to extend the shelf life of food products, i.e. it enables food loss saving. The potential environmental gain can been clearly justified when considering packaging and food as a whole system [44, 45]. And this is an important issue to take into account for LCA study that addresses the comparison between active packaging and non-active packaging. However, in this study, the focus is placed on the comparison between the active packaging films that are assumed to deliver the same performance, either shelf life extension or reduce the risks of food-borne illness. For this reason, the factor of food is excluded and the packaging is taken as an independent product.

The impact of GWP and CED (non-renewable fossil energy) of the active films are plotted in Figure 54 and Figure 55, respectively. N.B. the impact arising from the PLA pellets production, film extrusion, transport and end-of-life of packaging are presented in an aggregated manner, which is termed as “other life cycle stages”.
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Figure 54. Global Warming Potential (GWP) of different packaging materials (cradle-to-grave). Packaging A: 1 wt% Ag NPs in 1 kg PLA packaging film; Packaging B: 5 wt% TiO$_2$ NPs in 1 kg PLA packaging film; Packaging C: 0.2 wt% mixture of Ag NPs & TiO$_2$ NPs (1:1) in 1 kg PLA packaging film.
Figure 5. Cumulative Energy Demand (non-renewable fossil) of different packaging materials (cradle-to-grave). Packaging A: 1 wt% Ag NPs in 1 kg PLA packaging film; Packaging B: 5 wt% TiO$_2$ NPs in 1 kg PLA packaging film; Packaging C: 0.2 wt% mixture of Ag NPs & TiO$_2$ NPs (1:1) in 1 kg PLA packaging film.

Generally, both impacts follow a similar trend as the packaging material shifts from A, to B and C. As seen in Packaging B, despite the weight share of the NPs is 5-fold of Packaging A, the resulting impact is still lower than its counterpart, being c.a. 63% in GWP and 67% in energy consumption (non-renewable fossil). This is due to the high impacts from producing Ag NPs. For Packaging C, both impacts are slightly lower than Packaging B. Because it has the lowest loading of NPs, it is reasonable to have the lowest environmental impacts. This result could serve as a guideline of sustainability for the nano-enabled material development.

Aside from the impacts in GWP and energy consumption, the toxicity of nanomaterial is another critical issue to look at [21]. In the framework of LCA, at current stage the USEtox™ model is the best characterization model to quantify the toxicity related impact to human and ecosystems (only in freshwater) [46, 47]. However, this model is not applicable to nano-toxicity [21, 46]. In accordance with the work of Barberio et al. [33], to date only two publications pioneer in quantifying the freshwater nano-toxicity [29, 48]. Additionally, model’s satisfactory level is low, which means improvement actions are needed for this model and apply with caution [46]. Therefore, further research is needed to develop the characterization model addressing nano-toxicity.
6.3.4 Nanomaterial for food contact application

Active food contact material shall comply with the EU regulation (EC No 450/2009), which gives particular attention to the nanomaterials [49]. In accordance with the work of Reig et al. [50], to date, only three nanomaterials have been authorised by EFSA (European Food Safety Authority) for food contact application. They are titanium nitride (TiN), carbon black (C) and silica (SiO$_2$), and all of them are to be used as polymer production aids (ino active properties). The only metallic nanoparticles, TiN, according to the EFSA scientific opinion [51], is only to be used in PET (Polyethylene Terephthalate) up to 20 mg/kg (i.e. loading 20 ppm). Primary particles (20 nm) and agglomerates (100-500 nm) coexist within the PET matrix. The scientific panel concludes that there is no safety concern for consumers under intended use conditions (for all types of foodstuffs and up to hot filling temperature) [51].

From the TiN case, it can be noticed that the agent loading is far below the commonly accepted range for nanomaterials, shifting from pph (part per hundred) to ppm (part per million), but in the meanwhile such low loading enables good technical performance (re-heat improvement in this case) [52]. In the meanwhile, from this case one can infer the authority’s attitude towards nanomaterials: a case-by-case approach. This means if this application is aimed for PET, all the tests will concentrate on PET, and once approved, it is only allowed to be used in PET below the specific loading that has been verified by the test.

Migration both in nano-form and in ionic-form is major concern for using NPs for food contact application [53, 54]. In a recent opinion issued by EFSA) [55], they evaluated the case of using ZnO NPs for food contact application. LDPE films containing the maximum use level of the ZnO NPs were subjected to the standard migration test, and high concentration of Zn$^{2+}$ was detected in one food simulant, acetic acid 3% (w/v). The panel expresses their concerns on such migration. Other NPs may face the same issue, because as the particle size is reduced to nano-range the NP dissolution is often enhanced.

Therefore, in order to get the NPs authorised for food contact application, the key is to suppress the dissolution of NP at the same time maintaining the desired properties, e.g. antimicrobial activity. As suggested from the LCA results of this study, using a mixture of NPs or hybrid NP can be an effective way to reduce the NP loading and minimise the associated environmental impacts.
CONCLUSIONS

Nanomaterial as a new class of material, its safe and sustainable use has raised considerable concern. According to the literature, there have been some common issues agreed within the research community for applying LCA to nanomaterials: the existing ISO-based LCA framework is applicable to nanomaterial/nanomaterial-enabled product; at current stage the inventory data of nanomaterial is scarce; there is lack of satisfactory characterization model to address the nano-toxicity.

Despite the barriers, we carried out a LCA by focusing on the selection of metallic NPs as active agent for food packaging application. Three packaging films were considered and their environmental impacts were calculated and compared. The functional unit was set in such a way that it directly focuses on the function that the antimicrobial packaging is supposed to deliver: to ensure food safety and quality. To this end, the antimicrobial efficacy of each agent was incorporated in the life cycle impact assessment. The result indicates that the packaging film using TiO$_2$ NPs had lower environmental impacts in GWP and non-renewable fossil energy consumption, being c.a. 65% of the case of using Ag NPs. This is partly due to the omission of data on the emission side of TiO$_2$ NPs production. As seen in the case of using both NPs as agent, the agent loading in the film can be further reduced due to synergistic effect. Accordingly, the associated environmental impacts of this film dropped by 2-5% below the film using TiO$_2$ NPs.

There are some constraints in this study, for example, we only looked at the impacts of GWP and energy consumption, and only two NPs’ inventory data are available. This calls for a to-do list for future research: improve the characterization model for addressing the nano-toxicity; the producers should add more transparency in the data inventory of nanomaterials; more efforts should be made to track the nanomaterial emission and the unintentional release throughout the product’s life cycle.
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7 FINAL REMARKS AND OUTLOOK FOR FUTURE RESEARCH

Active packaging, particularly antimicrobial packaging, can make positive contributions to reducing food losses by extending food shelf life. This benefit of applying a more advanced packaging can be clearly justified when considering packaging and food as a whole system. The breakeven point can be easily achieved for the case of high impacts foodstuff, e.g. fresh beef.

Metallic nanoparticles as antimicrobial agent offers a few advantages such as superior antimicrobial efficacy, no negative impacts on the food sensory properties, and compatibility with harsh polymer processing conditions. The strong antimicrobial activity derives from the multiple action mechanisms of the agent. The ability to inactivate food borne pathogen implies that food packaging containing metallic NPs can be an effective measure to control microbiological risks.

In this thesis, we produced a nano-enabled active packaging in the lab: PLA (Polylactic Acid) coating layer containing ZnO NPs was coated on paper surface using solvent casting method. Antimicrobial assay indicates that the active material was effective in inactivating E. coli and S. aureus. Furthermore, E. coli was found to be more susceptible to this type of agent, showing 3.14 log reduction for 0.5 wt% agent loading in PLA coating layer. This result was compared across the publications using the same agent for treating both Gram-positive and Gram-native microorganisms. The discrepancy between the results can be explained by the fact that ZnO nanoparticles have multiple action mechanisms, and different antimicrobial testing methods may activate part of the action mechanisms.

Incorporating the life cycle thinking, the end-of-life of such packaging material was investigated. As the coating is applied on paper substrate, it is anticipated that the material will be subjected to paper recycling process after use. Therefore, the fate of nanoparticles in the paper recycling process has become an interest issue to investigate as the toxicity of the released nanoparticles has raised significant concern. The recyclability test was based on a method adapted from ATICELCA MC501-13, which enabled to recover over 99% of the solids material. The mass balance result indicates that 86%-91% zinc oxide nanoparticles ended up in the rejected material stream, mostly embedded within the polymer coating; whereas 7%-16% nanoparticles ended up in the accepted material stream. Besides, the tensile strength of the recycled handsheets suggests that the nano-enabled coating had no negative impacts on the recovered fibre quality.
To introduce a novel packaging material to market, besides the technical performance, other important factors should be considered, for example the regulatory issues regarding nanomaterials for food contact application. The regulator (EFSA) is treating nanomaterial on a case-by-case basis. Risk assessment with nanomaterial is highly needed to address the nano-toxicity. Lately, the regulator has evaluated the request for using ZnO NPs food contact application. They issued their scientific opinion on this agent and expressed worries on the leaching of zinc ions especially under acidic conditions for the migration in food simulant B is close to the upper limit set by the toxicological studies. So the agent is not officially approved yet.

If we look at the nanoparticles already authorized by EFSA for food contact application, for example the TiN case, it can be noticed that the agent loading is far below the commonly accepted range for nanomaterials, shifting from pph (part per hundred) to ppm (part per million). But in the meanwhile such low loading enables good technical performance, which enables significant saving of energy and cost. Therefore, for successful authorization of ZnO NP, the key lies in suppressing the dissolution of NPs in acidic media and at the same time maintaining other desired properties, e.g. antimicrobial activity and UV-blocking. This implies challenges for future research.

There are limitations in this thesis and the origin is the sample material. In this study, the material is produced using solvent casting method on paper substrate. For this coating method, it is difficult to obtain a satisfactory coating concentration. Finally, the priority was given to the nanoparticle dispersion and runnability on the coating machine; polymer coating of low concentration was used (10-15% w/v). But the thin coating penetrates into paper structure in a less controlled manner, and this has caused the high reject rate in the recycling test. In the antimicrobial test, if the surface pores exist, the testing method JIS Z 2801 may fail and SEM analysis is necessary. For future study, it is recommended to produce the material with extrusion coating, and this will eliminate the above-mentioned problems and make the results more meaningful to the industry.

Another point that can be improved is the material validation. As the material has been shown effective in in-vitro test, the next step is to carry out in-vivo test with real food. This is important as reduced activity is often observed in the in-vivo test. Besides, as the antimicrobial mechanism of ZnO NP is complex, it is critical choose suitable foodstuffs, e.g. dry, half dry, or liquid, which could significantly influences the material’s performance.
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