Document downloaded from:

http://hdl.handle.net/10251/80074

This paper must be cited as:

Rallo, G.; Baiamonte, G.; Manzano Juarez, J.; Provenzano, G. (2014). Improvement of FAO-56 Model to Estimate Transpiration Fluxes of Drought Tolerant Crops under Soil Water Deficit: Application for Olive Groves. Journal of Irrigation and Drainage Engineering. 140(9):1-8. doi:10.1061/(ASCE)IR.1943-4774.0000693.



The final publication is available at http://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)IR.1943-4774.0000693

Copyright American Society of Civil Engineers

Additional Information

# Improvement of FAO-56 model to estimate transpiration fluxes of drought tolerant crops under soil water deficit: An application for olive groves

4
5 G. Rallo<sup>1</sup>, G. Baiamonte<sup>2</sup>, J. Manzano Juárez<sup>3</sup> and G. Provenzano<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>PhD, Junior Investigator. Dipartimento Scienze Agrarie e Forestali (SAF), Università degli
 Studi, Viale delle Scienze 12, Palermo, Italy email: rallo.giovanni@gmail.com

- 9 <sup>2</sup> PhD, Associate Professor. Dipartimento Scienze Agrarie e Forestali (SAF), Università degli Studi, Viale delle Scienze 12, Palermo, Italy.
- <sup>3</sup>Ph.D. Researcher, Departamento de Ingeniera Rural y Agroalimentaria, Unidad Hidráulica,
- 12 Univ. Politécnica de Valencia, Camino de vera s/n, 46022 Valencia, Spain.
- 13 <sup>4</sup>PhD, Associate Professor. Dipartimento Scienze Agrarie e Forestali (SAF), Università degli
- 14 Studi, Viale delle Scienze 12, Palermo, Italy.

#### Abstract

1 2

3

6

15

16

- 17 Agro-hydrological models are considered an economic and simple tool to quantify crop water
- 18 requirements. In the last two decades, agro-hydrological physically based models have been
- developed to simulate mass and energy exchange processes in the soil-plant-atmosphere
- 20 system. Although very reliable, due to the high number of required variables, simplified
- 21 models have been proposed to quantify crop water consumes.
- 22 The main aim of the paper is to propose an amendment of FAO-56 spreadsheet program in
- order to introduce a more realistic shape of the stress function, valid for mature olive orchards
- 24 (Olea europaea L.). The modified model is successively validated by means of the
- 25 comparison between measured and simulated soil water contents and actual transpiration
- 26 fluxes. These outputs are finally compared with those obtained with the original version of the
- 27 model.
- 28 Experiments also allowed assessing the ability of simulated crop water stress coefficients to
- 29 explain the actual water stress conditions evaluated on the basis of measured relative
- 30 transpirations and midday stem water potentials.
- 31 The results show that the modified model significantly improves the estimation of actual crop
- 32 transpiration fluxes and soil water contents under soil water deficit conditions, according to
- 33 the RMSEs associated to the revised model, resulting significantly higher than the
- 34 corresponding values obtained with the original version.

#### Kevwords

- 36 FAO-56 agro-hydrological model, Water stress Function, Water uptake ability, Table Olive
- 37 orchards. Midday Stem Water Potential, Relative Transpiration.

#### 39 Introduction

40 The quantification of crop water requirements of irrigated land is crucial in the Mediterranean regions characterized by semi-arid conditions, where water scarcity and increasing 41 42 competition for water resources are pressurizing farmers to adopt different water saving 43 techniques and strategies, which may range from a simple periodic estimation of the soil 44 water balance terms to a precise assessment of temporal and spatial distribution of water 45 exchange within the soil-plant-atmosphere continuum (Provenzano et al., 2013). The knowledge of actual transpiration fluxes can allow the correct estimation of crop water 46 47 requirements and to dispose of irrigation management strategies aimed to increase water use efficiency. Physically based and stochastic hydrological models, although very reliable, in 48 49 relation to the high number of variables and the complex computational analysis required 50 (Laio et al., 2001, Agnese et al., 2013), cannot often be applied. The use of simplified models, 51 considering a simple water bucket approach, may therefore represent a useful and simple tool 52 for irrigation scheduling. 53 FAO Irrigation and Drainage Paper 56 (Allen et al., 1998) provides a comprehensive 54 description of the widely accepted Penman-Monteith method to estimate reference 55 evapotranspiration from standard weather data and also an affordable procedure to compute 56 actual crop evapotranspiration under standard and non-standard (stressed) conditions. A first 57 amendment of the algorithm, was recently proposed by Rallo et al. (2012) for arboreal crops 58 in order to allow irrigation scheduling under soil water deficit conditions; with this 59 modification the eco-physiological factor, affected by the crop stress, was separated from the 60 Management Allowed Depletion (MAD) term, more related to the farmer choices and 61 dependent on aleatory variables like the economic factors. 62 Even if several studies have been carried out (Fernández et al., 2001; Testi et al., 2004; Ezzahar et al., 2007; Er-Raki et al., 2008; Cammalleri et al, 2013) on the evaluation of olive 63 water consumptions and in particular on the partition of the components of crop 64 evapotranspiration in semiarid areas, a few studies have been considering the eco-65 physiological processes influencing the kinetic of root water uptake. This missing feature 66 represents a limitation of the available version of the model that schematizes the crop water 67 uptake by means of a transpiration reduction function in which the stress coefficient,  $K_s$ , is 68 69 assumed linearly dependent on the soil water depletion, in the range between a certain critical 70 value and the wilting point. Actually, the shape of  $K_s$  depends on eco-physiological processes, 71 like plant resistance/tolerance/avoidance to water stress and soil water availability in the root 72 zone. For xerophytes crops like olives, Rallo and Provenzano (2013) recognized a convex

- shape of the  $K_s$  relationship and also that crop water stress conditions occur for soil matric
- 74 potentials lower than -0.40 MPa. Moreover, it was showed that the reduction of actual
- 75 transpiration becomes severe only under extreme water deficit conditions.
- 76 The main objective of the paper is to propose an amendment of FAO-56 original spreadsheet
- 77 program and to assess its suitability to simulate table olive (Olea europaea L.) water
- 78 requirement under soil water deficit conditions. In particular, a more realistic shape of the
- 79 water stress function, valid for the considered crop, is introduced into the model in place of
- 80 the original liner function; the validation is firstly carried out through the comparison between
- 81 measured and simulated soil water contents (SWCs) and actual transpiration fluxes  $(T_a)$ .
- 82 Outputs of the amended model are then compared with those obtained with the original
- 83 version. Finally, the measured relative transpirations and midday stem water potentials
- 84 (MSWP) are used to evaluate the ability of simulated stress coefficients to explain the actual
- 85 crop water stress conditions.

#### Overview on FAO-56 dual approach model and critical analysis

- 87 FAO 56 model evaluates the root zone depletion at a daily time step with a water balance
- 88 model based on a simple tipping bucket approach:

$$P_{i} = D_{i-1} - (P_{i} - RO_{i}) - I_{i} + ET_{c,i} + DP_{i}$$

$$(1)$$

- where  $D_i$  [mm] and  $D_{i-1}$  [mm] are the root zone depletions at the end of day i and i-1
- 91 respectively,  $P_i$  (mm) is the precipitation,  $RO_i$  the surface runoff,  $ET_{c,i}$  [mm] is the actual
- evapotranspiration and  $DP_i$  [mm] is the deep percolation of water moving out of the root
- 93 zone.

- The domain of the depletion function,  $D_i$ , is between 0, which occurs when the soil is at the
- 95 field capacity, and a maximum value, corresponding to the total plant available water, TAW
- 96 [mm], obtained as:

$$TAW = 1000 \left( SWC_{fc} - SWC_{wp} \right) Z_r \tag{2}$$

- 98 where  $SWC_{fc}$  [cm<sup>3</sup> cm<sup>-3</sup>] and  $SWC_{wp}$  [cm<sup>3</sup> cm<sup>-3</sup>] are the soil water contents at field capacity
- and wilting point respectively and  $Z_r$  [m] is the depth of the root system.
- In absence of water stress (potential condition), the crop potential evapotranspiration  $ET_c$  is
- obtained multiplying the dual crop coefficients  $(K_{cb} + K_e)$  and the Penman-Monteith reference
- evapotranspiration rate,  $ET_0$ , (Allen et al., 1998). In particular the "dual crop coefficients
- approach", as explained in FAO 56 paper, splits the single  $K_c$  factor in two separate terms, a

basal crop coefficient,  $K_{cb}$ , considering the plant transpiration and a soil evaporation coefficient  $K_e$ .

When water represents a limiting condition, the basal crop coefficients,  $K_{cb}$ , has to be

multiplied to a reduction factor,  $K_s$ , variable between 0 and 1. The reduction factor can be

108 express by:

124

125

126

127

128

129

132

133

$$K_s = \frac{TAW - D_i}{TAW - RAW} \tag{3}$$

110 where RAW [mm] is the readily available water, that can be obtained multiplying TAW to a 111 depletion coefficient, p, taking into account the resistance of crop to water stress. In 112 particular, when water stored in the root zone is lower than RAW ( $D_i > RAW$ ), the reduction 113 coefficient  $K_s$  is lower than 1, whereas for  $D_i \leq RAW$  results  $K_s=1$ . Values of p, valid for 114 different crops, are proposed in the original publication (Allen at al., 1998). Considering that the term p depends of the atmospheric evaporative demand, a function for adjusting p for  $ET_c$ 115 116 is suggested (van Diepen et al., 1988). The soil evaporation coefficient,  $K_e$ , describes the evaporation component of  $ET_c$ . When the 117 118 topsoil is wet, i.e after a rainfall or an irrigation event,  $K_e$  is maximum. Dryer the soil surface, 119 lower is  $K_e$ , with a value equal to zero when the water content of soil surface is equal to 120  $SWC_{wp}$ . When the topsoil dries out, less and less water is available for evaporation: the soil 121 evaporation reduction can be therefore considered proportional to the amount of water in the

122 soil top layer, or:  $\left(K_r * \left(K_{c, max} - K_{ch}\right)\right)$ 

123 
$$K_{e} = MIN \begin{cases} K_{r} * (K_{c_{\max}} - K_{cb}) \\ f_{ew} * K_{c_{\max}} \end{cases}$$
 (4)

where  $K_r$  is a dimensionless evaporation reduction coefficient depending on the cumulative depth of water evaporated from the topsoil,  $f_{ew}$  is the fraction of the soil that is both exposed and wetted, i.e. the fraction of soil surface from which most evaporation occurs and  $K_{c\_max}$  is the maximum value of  $K_c$  following rain or irrigation;  $K_{c\_max}$  represents an upper limit of evapotranspiration fluxes from any cropped surface, whereas the term  $f_{ew}$  depends on vegetation fraction cover and irrigation system, the latter influencing the wetted area.

130 The evaporation decreases in proportion to the amount of water in the surface soil layer:

$$K_r = \frac{TEW - D_{e,i-1}}{TEW - REW} \tag{5}$$

where  $D_{e,i-1}$  is cumulative depth of evaporation (depletion) from the soil surface layer at the end of (i-1)th day [mm], TEW [mm] is the total evaporable water from an effective depth  $Z_e$ 

of soil surface subject to drying, and *REW* [mm] is the readily evaporable water, representing the maximum depth of water that can evaporate from the topsoil layer without restrictions.

When TEW is unknown, it can be estimated as  $TEW = 1000(SWC_{fc} - 0.5SWC_{wp})Z_e$ , where  $Z_e$  is

usually assumed equal to 0.10-0.15 m. On the other hand, REW can be estimated according to

soil texture (Allen et al., 1998).

Buckets models are very sensitive to the rooting depth parameter,  $Z_r$ , directly influencing the

ability of the plant to extract water. Errors in its determinations determine an incorrect

estimation of soil water stress coefficient and, as indicated by Er-Raki et al. (2008), the values

of simulated evapotranspiration increase with increasing  $Z_r$ . In fact, higher  $Z_r$  causes

increments of TAW within the root zone and, according to eq. 3, leads to higher Ks values.

144

145

147

148

149

150

154

158159

160

163

164

165

140

141

142

143

#### **Materials and methods**

146 Investigations were carried out during irrigation seasons 2009, 2010 and 2011 (from April 15,

DOY 105 to September 30, DOY 273) in the experimental farm "Tenute Rocchetta", located

in Castelvetrano (Sicily, UTM EST: 310050, NORD: 4168561). The farm, with an extension

of about 13 ha, is mostly cultivated with table olive grove (Olea europaea L., var. Nocellara

del Belice), representing the main crop in the surrounding area. The experimental plot is

151 characterized by 17 years old olive trees, planted on a regular grid of 8 x 5 m (250 plants/ha);

the mean canopy height is about 3.7 m and the average fraction of vegetation cover is about

153 0.35. Irrigation is practiced by means of pipelines with on line emitters installed along the

plant rows. Each plant was irrigated with four 8 l/h emitters. Soil textural class, according

155 USDA classification, is silty clay loam.

156 Standard meteorological data (incoming short-wave solar radiation, air temperature, air

humidity, wind speed and rainfall) were hourly collected by SIAS (Servizio Informativo

Agrometeorologico Siciliano), with standard equipments installed about 500 m apart from the

experimental field. Net radiation R and its components were measured with a 4-component

net radiatiometer (NR01, Hukeseflux). According to ASCE-ESRI, the standardized Penman-

Monteith method (Allen at et al., 2008) was used to calculate atmospheric water demand.

A preliminary investigation on the root spatial distribution was carried out in order to identify

the soil volume within which the highest root density is localized and where most of water

uptake processes occur. A more detailed description of the soil physical properties and the

root distribution is presented and discussed in Rallo and Provenzano (2013).

- 167 Irrigation scheduling followed the ordinary management practised in the surrounding area.
- The total irrigation depth provided by the farmer was equal to 80 mm in 2009, 33 mm in 2010
- and 150 mm in the 2011.

170

#### Soil and crop water status measurements

171 During the investigation periods, soil water contents were measured with Time Domain 172 Reflectometry (TDR 100, Campbell Inc.) and Frequency Domain Reflectometry (FDR, 173 Diviner 2000, Sentek) probes. On the basis of the results of Rallo and Provenzano (2013), the 174 soil volume in which most of the root absorption occurs have been considered, in order to 175 install the soil moisture probes and to dispose of a representative measure of the average SWC 176 in the entire system (Xiloyannis et al., 2012). In particular, the soil volume where 80% of 177 roots are localized, can be assumed as a parallelepiped with a length equal to the tree spacing (5.0 m), a width of 1.5 m and a depth of 0.75 m. Referring to this soil volume, spatial and 178 179 temporal variability of soil water contents was monitored, from the soil surface to a depth of 180 100 cm, using a FDR probe. Five access tubes were installed along two parallel directions, the 181 first below the irrigation pipeline, at distances of 1.0 m, 2.0 m and 2.5 m from the plant and 182 the second along a parallel direction, at a distance of 0.50 m from the first and about 1.0 m 183 and 2.50 m from the plant. In this way it was possible to take into account the spatial 184 variability of soil water content after irrigation. Additional measurements of soil water 185 contents were carried out using nine TDR probes connected to a multiplexer. The probes, 186 having a length of 20 cm, were installed below the irrigation pipeline, at the same distances of 187 the FDR access tubes, but opposite side of the plant, in the layer 10-30 cm, 35-55 cm and 60-188 80 cm. Values of soil water contents measured with FDR and TDR systems were then 189 averaged in order to determine, for each measurement day, a single value of 190 representative of the soil layer where most of the root absorption takes place. 191 Transpiration fluxes were monitored on three consecutive trees, selected within the field 192 according to their trunk diameter, so that they can be considered representative of the grove, 193 using standard sap flow sensors (Thermal Dissipation Probes, Granier, 1987). For each plant, 194 two probes were installed on the north side of the trunk and then insulated, to avoid the direct 195 sun exposure. The measurements acquired by the two sensors were then averaged. The central 196 plant was the same in which SWCs were measured. 197 Daily values of actual transpiration were obtained by integrating the sap flux, under the hypothesis to neglect the tree capacitance. Daily transpiration depth [mm d<sup>-1</sup>] was obtained 198 dividing the daily flux [1 d<sup>-1</sup>] for the pertinence area of the plant, equal to 40 m<sup>2</sup>. Then, in 199 200 order to evaluate a representative value of the stand transpiration referred to the entire field, it

- was necessary to up-scale the plant fluxes by considering, as a proximal variable, the ratio
- between the average Leaf Area Index, *LAI* (m<sup>2</sup> m<sup>-2</sup>), measured in field, and the average value,
- 203  $LAI_p$  (m<sup>2</sup> m<sup>-2</sup>), measured on the plants in which sap fluxes were monitored.
- 204 In the same trees selected for transpiration measurements, midday stem water potentials
- 205 (MSWP) were measured in 2009 and 2011 by using a pressure chamber (Scholander et al.,
- 206 1965), according to the protocol proposed by Turner e Jarvis (1982).

#### Amendment of the FAO-56 model and parameterization of soil and crop

- FAO 56 model has been applied i) in the original form and ii) in its amended version, in
- which the stress function, the threshold value of the soil water content below which water
- stress occurs, SWC\*, and the minimum seasonal value of soil water content recognized in the
- field,  $SWC_{min}$ , were experimentally determined.
- In the first case, the model parameter p was assumed equal to 0.65, as indicated in table 22 of
- 213 the original paper, corresponding for the investigated soil to SWC = 0.20, whereas  $SWC_{fc}$  and
- $SWC_{wp}$  were considered equal to 0.33 and 0.13, determined according to the soil water
- 215 retention curve, for matric potentials of -0.33 MPa and -1.50 MPa respectively.
- In the second case, in order to consider a more realistic water stress response of olive crops,
- 217 the original function, as implemented in the model, was modified according to the
- 218 relationship proposed by Steduto et al., 2009, in which  $K_s$  is a function of the relative
- 219 depletion,  $D_{rel}$ :

207

$$Ks = 1 - \frac{e^{D_{rel} f_s} - 1}{e^{f_s} - 1} \tag{6}$$

- where  $f_s$  is a fitting parameter characterizing the shape of the stress function. The value of  $f_s$
- was assumed equal to 2.89 as experimentally determined by Rallo and Provenzano (2013).
- Relative depletion can be determined as:

$$D_{rel} = \frac{SWC^* - SWC}{SWC^* - SWC_{\min}}$$
(7)

- 225 in the domain of soil water contents determining stress conditions for the crop
- $226 \quad (SWC_{min} < SWC < SWC^*).$

228

232

Fig. 1 shows the water stress function, as implemented in the spreadsheet program.

## Figure 1 – Water stress functions for table olive orchards, as implemented in the spreadsheet

The shape of the considered function evidences that the water stress models is convex and demonstrates that water stress becomes more and more severe at decreasing soil water status

235	( $D_{rel}$ tending to 1); therefore, the reduction of actual transpiration is critical only for the most
236	extreme water stress conditions. Moreover, the modified crop water stress function allows
237	smoothing the unrealistic angular point indicating, in the $K_s$ linear relationship, the passage
238	from no-water stress to water stress conditions.
239	Under the investigated conditions, $SWC^*$ and $SWC_{min}$ was assumed to correspond to a matrix
240	potential of -0.4 MPa representing the thresholds soil water status separating a condition of
241	negligible water stress (relative transpiration is approximately equal to 1) from a condition in
242	which relative transpiration decreases with soil water content (Rallo and Provenzano, 2013).
243	On the other side, $SWC_{min}$ =0.07 m <sup>3</sup> m <sup>-3</sup> , lower than the measured wilting point of 0.13 m <sup>3</sup> m <sup>-3</sup> ,
244	represents the minimum soil water content measured during the investigated seasons. The
245	choice to consider $SWC_{min}$ as the minimum seasonal value of soil water content recognized in
246	the field and not the soil wilting point, as traditionally used for most crops, followed the
247	suggestion of Ratliff et al., 1983 and, more recently, of Pellegrino et al. (2006). This
248	assumption allowed to consider the strong ability of olive trees to extract water from the soil
249	even below the soil wilting point and consequently a more coherent evaluation of the crop
250	water availability (Lacape et al., 1998).
251	The depth of the root system, $Z_r$ , was assumed equal to 0.75 m, as obtained on the basis of the
252	measured root distribution, corresponding to the soil layer within which 80% of roots were
253	encountered (Martin et al., 1999).
254	The average value of basal crop coefficient, in the mid and late stage seasons, was considered
255	equal to 0.60, as recommended from Allen et al. (1998) and recently verified in the same
256	experimental field (Minacapilli et al., 2009; Cammalleri et al., 2013).
257	Simulations were run during the three investigated years, from DOY 105 to DOY 273. For all
258	the investigated periods, SWC <sub>fc</sub> equal to 0.33 m <sup>3</sup> m <sup>-3</sup> was considered as initial condition, as a
259	consequence of the copious precipitation occurred in the decade antecedent mid of April each
260	year.
261	The values of the simulations variables, used as input for the original and modified models
262	are showed in Tables 1.
263	

Tab. 1 –Values of the variables used for the simulations carried out with the original and modified FAO 56 model.

#### Performance of the models

- The performance of the models was evaluated by the root mean square error (RMSE), and the
- mean bias error (MBE), defined as:

270 
$$RMSE = \sqrt{\left(\frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^{N}d_{i}^{2}\right)}$$
 (8)

271 
$$MBE = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} d_i$$
 (9)

- where N is the number of measured data,  $d_i$  is the difference between predicted and measured
- values (Kennedy and Neville, 1986).
- An additional Student t-test was applied, as proposed by Kennedy and Neville (1986):

275 
$$t = \sqrt{\frac{(N-1)MBE^2}{RMSE^2 - MBE^2}}$$
 (10)

- 276 To determine if the differences between measured and simulated soil water contents are
- statistically significant, the absolute value of the calculated t must be less than the critical t
- value  $(t_{crit})$ , for a fixed significance level. In this analysis, a significance level  $\alpha$ =0.05 was
- assumed.

280

267

### 281 Results and discussion

- Fig. 2 shows the temporal dynamic of measured SWCs during the investigation periods 2009,
- 283 2010 and 2011 (2a-c), as well as the estimated potential crop transpiration (dashed line),  $T_c$ ,
- and the measured actual transpiration,  $T_a$ , in the same time intervals (2d-f). In addition the
- 285 figure displays the corresponding simulation results obtained by considering the original
- 286 (light line) and the modified (bold line) versions of the model. At the top of the figure the
- water supplies (precipitation and irrigation) are also shown.
- As can be observed, compared to the original version, the amended model, provides better
- estimation in terms of either actual transpiration fluxes and soil water contents.
- The statistical comparison, express in term of RMSE and MBE associated to SWC and  $T_a$
- simulated by modified and original models are presented in table 2.

292293

Fig. 2a-i - Temporal dynamic of observed and simulated SWCs and  $T_a$  fluxes during 2009, 2010 and 2011. Potential transpiration fluxes and total water supplies are also shown

295296

294

298 299 300	Tab. 2 – $RMSEs$ and $MBEs$ associated to $SWC$ and actual $T_a$ simulated with the original and modified models
301	A substantial agreement between measured average soil water contents in the root zone and
302	the corresponding values, simulated with the revised model, is generally observed, with a root
303	mean square error variable between 0.03 and 0.09.
304	Moreover, after a first simulation period in which the results of original and amended models
305	are identical (absence of crop water stress), the original model determines a systematic
306	overestimation of SWC, with RMSE variable between 0.05 and 0.10. The better estimation of
307	minimum values of SWC obtained with the modified model is a consequence of considering
308	$SWC_{min}$ in place of $SWC_{wp}$ , allowing a better modeling of the root water uptake ability, as
309	actually recognized for olive trees.
310	As can be observed in fig. 2d-f, the seasonal trends of actual daily transpiration fluxes
311	simulated with the modified model, in all the investigated periods, generally follow the
312	observed values with RMSE, on average, equal to 0.54 mm if considering all the data. Despite
313	the reasonable global agreement, some local discrepancies can be observed in the periods
314	immediately following irrigations (wetting events) in which peak values of $T_a$ , due to the
315	quick decrease of the depletion, are simulated. This evidence is corroborated by Liu and Luo
316	(2010) and Peng et al. (2007), who observed that the dual approach of FAO-56 is appropriate
317	for simulating the total quantity of evapotranspiration, but inaccurate in simulating the peak
318	values after precipitation or irrigation.
319	The highest differences between simulated (modified model) and measured actual
320	transpiration fluxes, observed from mid of July and end of August 2010 (RMSE=0.78 mm),
321	could be due to the neglected contribute to transpiration of the water stored in the tree. After
322	any input of water in the soil, in fact, even the modified model does not consider the water
323	redistribution processes occurring in the soil, as well as the tree capacitance effect, taking into
324	account the increasing water stored in the leaves, branches and trunk of the tree. Anyway,
325	contribution of the tree capacitance on transpiration fluxes needs a more specific
326	investigation, in order to further improve the FAO-56 model framework. In addition, the
327	result could be also due to the circumstance that after a prolonged drought period, it is
328	possible that trees activate the portion of the root system placed outside the soil volume where
329	soil moisture was actually monitored.
330	On the other hands, if comparing the original and the revised version of the model
331	characterized of average RMSE values (all the data) equal to 1.40 mm and 0.54 mm
332	respectively (table 2), it is evident that for both the simulations the predicted transpiration
333	fluxes are coincident during the first period of simulation (absence of crop water stress) and

become quite different in the subsequent dry periods (fig. 2). The quickest reductions of actual transpiration fluxes, visible for the original model, are a direct consequence of the adopted linear stress function, detecting a rapid reduction of the  $K_s$  coefficient since the initial phase of the crop water stress.

Moreover, during dry periods, despite simulated  $SWC_s$  were generally higher than the corresponding measured, the values of actual transpiration resulted systematically lower.

Table 3 shows the statistical comparison in terms of Student-t test. As can be observed, differences between measured SWC and  $T_a$  values and the corresponding estimated by the revised model are statistically not significant ( $\alpha$ =0.05) in 2009 and 2011, while they are always significantly different when the original model is considered. According to this result, it is evident that the modified model considerably improves the estimation of soil water content and actual transpiration fluxes.

## Tab. 3 – Student-t related to $T_a$ and SWC obtained with the original and modified model. The corresponding critical t-values are also shown

Fig. 3a-c shows, from the beginning of July to the end of September each year, the comparison between actual measured cumulative transpiration fluxes together with the corresponding predicted by the original (light line) and amended (bold line) version of the model. As discussed, except that for a certain underestimation observable since the end of July 2010, compared to the original model, the modified version estimates quite well the cumulative crop water consumes during the examined periods.

Fig. 3a-c - Comparison between cumulative tree transpiration fluxes simulated by the models for a) 2009, b) 2010 and c) 2011 seasons and corresponding measured values (white circles)

The better performance of simulated transpiration fluxes obtained with the modified model is

therefore consistent with the combined effects of the improved SWC estimation and the more adequate schematization of the stress function. Additional simulations evidenced that, assuming the depletion fraction p, as computed on the basis of experimental  $SWC^*$  and  $SWC_{min}$ , without modifying the stress function, slightly improve the estimation of soil water contents and actual transpiration fluxes compared to the original version of the model (data not showed), due to the increased total available water and to the reduced slope of the stress function. This results indicated that the impact on simulated variables (SWC and  $T_a$ ) is mainly due to the shape of the stress function, more than the choice of  $SWC^*$  and  $SWC_{min}$ .

In order to assess the ability of simulated crop water stress coefficient to explain the actual water stress conditions, fig. 4a-c shows the temporal dynamic of measured relative transpirations and simulated  $K_s$  values obtained with the original (light line) and modified (bold line) model. Midday stem water potentials are also shown in the secondary axis, whereas total water supplies are presented at the top of the figure.

## Fig. 4a-f - Temporal dynamic of measured relative transpiration, $T_a$ $T_c$ <sup>-1</sup>, and simulated water stress coefficient, $K_s$ , during 2009, 2010 and 2011. Measured midday stem water potential (MSWP) and total water supply are also shown

As can be observed, both the models determines a quick increasing of the relative transpiration immediately after irrigations, similarly to what observed for actual transpiration. Even in this case the modified model allows to better explain the dynamic of relative transpiration, showing a convex curve reflecting the marked tendency of the  $K_s(SWC)$  relationship. Conversely, the stress coefficient simulated by the original model systematically underestimates the relative transpiration with an opposite tendency, certainly due to the misrepresentation of the stress function. Additionally, if the amended model allows determining  $K_s$  values not lower than 0.6, as observed in the field in terms of relative transpiration, with the unmodified model unrealistic lower  $K_s$  are displayed, with a minimum of about 0.1. In the same figure it can be evidenced that the water stress coefficients follow the general seasonal trend observed for midday stem water potentials.

Fig. 5a-b illustrates the predicted  $K_s$  values, as a function of MSWPs, respectively obtained when the original and the modified model are considered. The regression equations, characterized by  $R^2$ =0.06 and 0.46 respectively, are also shown. As can be observed in the figure,  $K_s$  values estimated with the modified model are characterized by a lower variability compared to those evaluated with the original FAO 56 model; furthermore, for the revised model, the fitted regression allows to explain the variance of the considered MSWP data set.

## Fig. 5a-b - Relationships between water stress coefficient, $K_s$ , and midday stem water potential, MSWP, in the original (left) and modified (right) FAO 56 model

This result is well in agreement to the relationship experimentally obtained in 2008 using independent measurements of relative transpiration and midday stem water potential (unpublished data) and evidences how the modified model is able to properly reproduce, for the investigated crop, the stress conditions as recognized in the field.

#### 407 Conclusions

408 In the paper, an improvement of FAO 56 spreadsheet program, aimed to consider a more 409 realistic convex shape of the stress function for drought tolerant crops like olive trees, has 410 been proposed and assessed. 411 The suitability of the amended agro-hydrological model was verified according to soil water 412 contents and actual transpiration fluxes measured during the three irrigation seasons 2009, 413 2010 and 2011. At the same time, the ability of the model to simulate crop water stress 414 coefficients was also verified on the basis of an independent dataset of midday stem water 415 potentials measured in the field. 416 Compared to the original version, the modified model allows a better modelling of the root 417 water uptake ability and consequently to predict quite well the soil water contents in the root 418 zone, with differences generally not statistically significant ( $\alpha$ =0.05). In fact, the assumption 419 of the minimum soil water content measured in the field, in place of the traditionally used 420 wilting point, allowed taking into account the root ability of olive trees to extract water from 421 the soil. 422 The amendment of the original model also permitted a considerable enhancement in the 423 estimation of actual transpiration fluxes, as confirmed by the Student-t test applied for the 424 three investigated seasons. The better performance of simulated fluxes is consistent firstly 425 with the combined effects of the more realistic schematization of the stress function and 426 secondly with the improved estimation of soil water content thresholds. 427 The underestimation of actual transpiration fluxes observed in the period from mid of July to 428 the end of August 2010 could be due to the soil volume explored by the roots and/or to the 429 neglected contribute of the tree capacitance, related to the water stored in the leaves, branches 430 and trunk of the tree. This aspect needs a more specific investigation in order to verify the 431 possibility of a further improvement of FAO-56 model.

#### **Acknowledgements**

- 433 A special thanks to Dr. Pierluigi Crescimanno, manager of the farm "Tenute Rocchetta"
- 434 hosting the experiments, for his great sensibility to any subject related to environmental
- 435 safeguard.

- Research was carried out in the frame of the projects PRIN 2010 co-financed by Ministero
- dell'Istruzione, dell'Università e della Ricerca (MIUR) and FFR 2012-2013 granted by
- 438 Università degli Studi di Palermo.

- The contribution to the manuscript has to be shared between authors as following:
- Experimental set-up, data processing and final revision of the text have to be divided equally
- between Authors. Field data collection was cared by G. Rallo. Text was written by G. Rallo
- and G. Provenzano.

443

444

#### References

- Agnese, C., Baiamonte, G., Cammalleri, C. (2013), "Probabilistic modelling of the occurrence
- of rainy days". Submitted to Advances in Water Research.

447

- 448 Allen, R.G., Periera, L.S., Raes, D., Smith, M. (1998). Crop Evapotranspiration: Guidelines
- for Computing Crop Requirements, Irrigation and Drainage Paper No. 56. FAO, Rome, Italy,
- 450 pp. 300.

451

- 452 Cammalleri, C., Rallo, G., Agnese, C., Ciraolo G., Minacapilli, M., Provenzano, G. (2013).
- 453 Combined use of eddy covariance and sap flow techniques for partition of ET fluxes and
- water stress assessment in an irrigated olive orchard. Agric. Water Manage. 120, 89-97.

455

- 456 Er-Raki, S., Chehbouni, A., Hoedjes, J., Ezzahar, J., Duchemin, B., Jacob, F. (2008).
- 457 Improvement of FAO-56 method for olive orchards through sequential assimilation of
- 458 thermal infrared based estimates of ET. Agric. Water Manage. 95, 309–321.

459

- Ezzahar, J., Chehbouni, A., Hoedjes, J.C.B., Er-Raki, S., Chehbouni, Ah., Bonnefond, J.- M.,
- De Bruin, H.A.R. (2007). The use of the scintillation technique for estimating and monitoring
- water consumption of olive orchards in a semi-arid region. Agric. Water Manage. 89, 173–
- 463 184.

464

- 465 Fernández, J.E., Palomo, M.J., Díaz-Espejo, A., Clothier, B.E., Green, S.R., Giron, I.F.,
- Moreno, F. (2001). Heat-pulse measurements of sap flow in olives for automating irrigation:
- tests, root flow and diagnostics of water stress. Agric. Water Manage. 51, 99–123.

468

Granier A (1987) Mesure du flux de seve brute dans le tronc du Douglas par une nouvelle methode thermique. Ann. Sci. For. 44:1-14.

471

- Kennedy, J.B., Neville, A.M. (1986). Basic Statistical Methods for Engineers and Scientists.
- 473 3rd edn. Harper & Row, New York.

474

- Lacape, M.J., Wery, J., Annerose, D.J.M. (1998). Relationships between plant and soil water
- status in five field-grown cotton (Gossypium hirsutum L.) cultivars. Field Crop Res. 57, 29–
- 477 43.

478

- 479 Laio, F., Porporato, A., Ridolfi, L., Rodriguez-Iturbe, I. (2001), Plants in water-controlled
- ecosystems: active role in hydrologic processes and response to water stress II. Probabilistic
- 481 soil moisture dynamics, Adv. Water Resour., 24(7), 707-723, doi:10.1016/S0309-
- 482 1708(01)00005-7.

- 484 Liu, Y., Luo, Y. (2010). A consolidated evaluation of the FAO-56 dual crop coefficient
- approach using the lysimeter data in the North China Plain. Agric. Water Manage. 97, 31–40.

486

487 Martin, C.D., Moffat, A.J. (1999). Examination of tree and root performance on closed

488 landfills in Merseyside. Arbor. J. 23(3), 261-272. doi:10.1080/03071375.1999.9747244

489

- 490 Minacapilli, M., Agnese, C., Blanda, F., Cammalleri, C., Ciraolo, G., D'Urso, G., Iovino, M.,
- 491 Pumo, D., Provenzano, G., Rallo, G. (2009). Estimation of Mediterranean crops
- evapotranspiration by means of remote-sensing based models. Hydr. and Earth Syst. Scie. 13,
- 493 1061-1074.

494

Pellegrino A., Goz'e, E., Lebon, E., Wery, J. (2006). A model-based diagnosis tool to evaluate the water stress experienced by grapevine in field sites. Europ. J. Agron. 25, 49–59.

497

Peng, S., Ding, J., Mao, Z., Xu, Z., Li, D. (2007). Estimation and verification of crop coefficient for water saving irrigation of late rice using the FAO-56 method. Trans. CSAE 23 (7), 30–34.

501

Provenzano, G., Tarquis, A.M., Rodriguez-Sinobas, L. (2013). Soil and irrigation sustainability practices. Agric. Water Manage. 120, 1-4.

504

- Rallo, G., Agnese, C., Minacapilli, M., Provenzano, G. (2012). Comparison of SWAP and
- 506 FAO agro-hydrological models to schedule irrigation of wine grape. J. Irr. and Drain. Eng.
- 507 138(7), 581–591.

508

Rallo, G., Provenzano, G. (2013). Modelling eco-physiological response of table olive trees (*Olea europaea* L.) to soil water deficit conditions. Agric. Water Manage. 120, 79-88.

511

Ratliff, L.F., Ritchie, J.T., Cassel, D.K. (1983). Field-measured limits of soil water availability as related to laboratory-measured properties. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 47, 770–775.

514

515 Scholander, R.R., Hammel, H.T., Bradstreet, E.D., Hemmiegsen, E.A. (1965). Sap pressure in 16 vascular plants. Science. 148, 339-346.

517

518 Steduto, P., Hsiao, T.C., Raes, D., Fereres, E. (2009). AquaCrop. The FAO crop model to simulate yield response to water. Reference Manual.

520

Testi, L., Villalobos, F.J., Orgaz, F. (2004). Evapotranspiration of a young irrigated olive orchard in southern Spain. Agric. For. Meteorol. 12, 1–18.

523

Turner, M.T., Jarvis, G.P. (1982). Measurement of plant water status by the pressure chamber technique. Irrig. Sci. 9, 289-308.

- Van Diepen, C. A., Rappoldt, C., Wolf, J., van Keulen, H. (1988). CWFS crop growth
- simulation model WOFOST. Documentation; Version 4.1, Centre for World Food Studies,
- 529 Wageningen, The Netherlands.
- 530 Xiloyannis C., Montanaro, G., Dichio, B. (2012). Irrigation in Mediterranean fruit tree
- orchards. Irrigation systems and practices in challenging environments: edited by Teang Shui
- 532 Lee INTECH 2012.