

Document downloaded from:

<http://hdl.handle.net/10251/80552>

This paper must be cited as:

López Molina, JA. (2012).  $(n+1)$ -Tensor norms of lapresté type. Glasgow Mathematical Journal. 54(3):665-692. <http://hdl.handle.net/10251/80552>.



The final publication is available at

<http://doi.org/10.1017/S0017089512000286>

Copyright Cambridge University Press (CUP)

Additional Information

# $(n + 1)$ -tensor norms of Lapresté's type

J. A. López Molina

## Abstract

We study an  $(n + 1)$ -tensor norm  $\alpha_{\mathbf{r}}$  extending to  $(n + 1)$ -fold tensor products the classical one of Lapresté in the case  $n = 1$ . We characterize the maps of the minimal and the maximal multilinear operator ideals related to  $\alpha_{\mathbf{r}}$  in the sense of Defant and Floret. As an application we give a complete description of the reflexivity of the  $\alpha_{\mathbf{r}}$ -tensor product  $(\otimes_{j=1}^{n+1} \ell^{u_j}, \alpha_{\mathbf{r}})$ .

## 1 Introduction

In [14] Pietsch proposed building a systematic theory of ideals of multilinear mappings between Banach spaces, similar to the already well-developed one regarding linear maps, as a first step to study ideals of more general non linear operators. Since then several classes of multilinear operators more or less related to classical absolutely  $p$ -summing operators has been studied although without to deal with aspects derived from a general organized theory.

Having in mind the close connection existing in linear case between problems of this kind and tensor products (see [2] for a systematic survey of the actual state of the art), in the present setting it is expected an analogous connection with multiple tensor products. However a systematic study of this approach has not been initiated until the works [4] and [5] of Floret, mainly motivated by the potential applications of the new theory to infinite holomorphy. In this way, classical notions of maximal operator ideals and its associated  $\alpha$ -tensor norm, dual tensor norm  $\alpha'$  and the related  $\alpha$ -nuclear and  $\alpha$ -integral operators can be extended to the framework of multilinear operator ideals and multiple tensor products.

However, there are few concrete examples of multi-tensor norms to whose the general concepts of the theory have been applied and checked. The purpose of this paper is to study an  $(n + 1)$ -tensor norm  $\alpha_{\mathbf{r}}$  on tensor products  $\bigotimes_{j=1}^{n+1} E_j$ ,  $1 \leq n$ ,

---

<sup>1</sup>AMS Math. Sub. Class.: Primary 46M05, 46A32.

<sup>2</sup>Key words:  $(n + 1)$ -fold tensor products,  $\alpha_{\mathbf{r}}$ -nuclear and  $\alpha_{\mathbf{r}}$ -integral  $n$ -linear operators,  $\mathbf{r}$ -dominated  $n$ -linear operators, ultraproducts.

of  $n + 1$  Banach spaces  $E_j$ , extending the classical one of Lapresté for  $n = 1$ , as well its associated  $\alpha_{\mathbf{r}}$ -nuclear and  $\alpha_{\mathbf{r}}$ -integral multilinear operators. Knowledge of such operators allows us to characterize the reflexivity of the corresponding tensor product  $(\widehat{\bigotimes}_{j=1}^{n+1} \ell^{u_j}, \alpha_{\mathbf{r}})$  of spaces  $\ell^{u_j}$ .

The paper is organized as follows. First we introduce the notation and some general facts to be used. In section 2 we define the  $(n + 1)$ -fold tensor product  $\bigotimes_{\alpha_{\mathbf{r}}}(E_1, E_2, \dots, E_n, F)$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  of type  $\alpha_{\mathbf{r}}$  of Banach spaces  $E_j, 1 \leq j \leq n$  and  $F$ . We find its topological dual introducing the so called  $\mathbf{r}$ -dominated maps and we obtain multilinear extensions of the classical theorems of Grothendieck-Pietsch and Kwapien (theorem 3). The latter one is the key to approximate  $\mathbf{r}$ -dominated maps by multilinear maps of finite rank in many usual cases (theorem 7) and to compare different tensor norms  $\alpha_{\mathbf{r}}$ , a tool which will be very useful in our applications in the final section of the paper.

The elements of a completed  $\alpha_{\mathbf{r}}$ -tensor product canonically lead to multilinear  $\mathbf{r}$ -nuclear operators from  $\prod_{j=1}^n E_j$  into  $F$ , which are considered in section 3 and characterized by means of suitable factorizations in theorem 9. According the pattern of the general theory of multi-tensor norms, the next step must be the study of the so called  $\mathbf{r}$ -integral multilinear maps, i. e. the maps in the ideal associated to the  $\alpha_{\mathbf{r}}$ -tensor norm in the sense of Defant-Floret [2]. To do this we need a technical result about the structure of some ultraproducts which follows easily from the work of Raynaud [15]. It will be presented in section 4 just before its use.

In section 4 we characterize the  $\mathbf{r}$ -integral operators, obtaining as main result the "continuous" version of the previous factorizations of  $\mathbf{r}$ -nuclear operators. Finally in section 5 we apply the characterizations of sections 3 and 4 to study the reflexivity of  $\alpha_{\mathbf{r}}$ -tensor products and, more particulary, to characterize the reflexivity of  $\alpha_{\mathbf{r}}$ -tensor products of  $\ell^u$  spaces, a result that, as far as we know, is new indeed for classical Lapresté's tensor norms.

We shall deal always with vector spaces defined over the field  $\mathbb{R}$  of real numbers. Notation of the paper is standard in general. Some not so usual notations are settled now.

Given a normed space  $E$ , we shall denote by  $B_E$  its closed unit ball and  $J_E : E \rightarrow E''$  will be the canonical isometric inclusion of  $E$  into the bidual space  $E''$ .  $B_{E'}$  will be considered as a compact topological space  $(B_{E'}, \sigma(E', E))$  when provided with the topology induced by the weak\*-topology  $\sigma(E', E)$ . For every  $x \in E$ , we shall denote by  $f_x$  the continuous function defined on  $(B_{E'}, \sigma(E', E))$  as  $f_x(x') = \langle x, x' \rangle$  for every  $x' \in B_{E'}$ . The symbol  $E \approx F$  will mean that  $E$  and  $F$  are isomorphic normed spaces. The closed linear span in a Banach space  $E$  of a sequence  $\{x_m\}_{m=1}^{\infty} \subset E$  (respectively of a single vector  $x$ ) will be represented by  $[x_m]_{m=1}^{\infty}$  (resp.  $[x]$ ).

As usual,  $e_k$  denotes the  $k$ -th standard unit vector in every  $\ell^p$ ,  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ .

$\ell_h^p$ ,  $h \in \mathbb{N}$  will be the  $\ell^p$ -space defined over the set  $\{1, 2, \dots, h\}$  with the standard measure.

Given a normed space  $E$ , a sequence  $\{x_m\}_{m=1}^k \subset E$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ , and  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ , we define in the case  $p < \infty$

$$\pi_p((x_m)_{j=1}^k) := \left( \sum_{m=1}^k \|x_m\|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad \varepsilon_p((x_m)_{m=1}^k) := \sup_{x' \in B_{E'}} \left( \sum_{m=1}^k |\langle x_m, x' \rangle|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

and when  $p = \infty$

$$\pi_\infty((x_m)_{m=1}^k) := \varepsilon_\infty((x_m)_{m=1}^k) = \sup_{1 \leq m \leq k} \|x_m\|.$$

A sequence  $\{x_m\}_{m=1}^\infty \subset E$  is called weakly  $p$ -absolutely summable, notation  $(x_m)_{m=1}^\infty \in \ell^p(E)$ , (resp.  $p$ -absolutely summable), if  $\varepsilon_p((x_m)_{m=1}^\infty) < \infty$  (resp.  $\pi_p((x_m)_{m=1}^\infty) < \infty$ ). Given Banach spaces  $E$  and  $F$ , an operator or linear map  $T \in \mathcal{L}(E, F)$  is said to be  $p$ -absolutely summing if there exists  $C \geq 0$  such that

$$(x_m)_{m=1}^\infty \in \ell^p(E) \implies \pi_p\left((T(x_m))_{m=1}^\infty\right) \leq C \varepsilon_p((x_m)_{m=1}^\infty). \quad (1)$$

The linear space  $\mathfrak{P}_p(E, F)$  of all  $p$ -absolutely summing operators from  $E$  into  $F$  becomes a Banach space under the norm  $\mathbf{P}_p(T) := \inf\{C \geq 0 \mid (1) \text{ holds}\}$  for every  $T \in \mathfrak{P}_p(E, F)$ .

We consider always a finite cartesian product  $\prod_{m=1}^h E_m$  of normed spaces  $E_m$ ,  $1 \leq m \leq h \in \mathbb{N}$  as a normed space provided with the  $\ell^\infty$ -norm  $\|(x_m)_{m=1}^h\| = \sup_{m=1}^h \|x_m\|$ . If  $F$  is a Banach space we shall denote by  $\mathcal{L}^h(\prod_{m=1}^h E_m, F)$  the Banach space of all  $h$ -linear continuous maps from  $\prod_{m=1}^h E_m$  into  $F$ . Given  $T \in \mathcal{L}^h(\prod_{m=1}^h E_m, F)$  we can define in a natural way the transposed *linear* map  $T' : F' \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}^h(\prod_{m=1}^h E_m, \mathbb{R})$  putting

$$\forall y' \in F' \quad \forall (x_m)_{m=1}^h \in \prod_{m=1}^h E_m \quad \langle T'(y'), (x_m)_{m=1}^h \rangle = \langle T((x_m)_{m=1}^h), y' \rangle.$$

Given maps  $A_j \in \mathcal{L}(E_j, F_j)$  between normed spaces  $E_j$  and  $F_j$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq n$  we write

$$(A_j)_{j=1}^n := (A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n) : \prod_{j=1}^n E_j \longrightarrow \prod_{j=1}^n F_j$$

to denote the *continuous linear* map defined by

$$\forall (x_j)_{j=1}^n \in \prod_{j=1}^n E_j \quad (A_j)_{j=1}^n((x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)) = (A_1(x_1), A_2(x_2), \dots, A_n(x_n)).$$

Some times we will write  $(A_j)$  instead of  $(A_j)_{j=1}^n$ . Concerning  $(n+1)$ -tensor norms,  $n \geq 1$  (or multi-tensor norms) we refer the reader to the pioneer works [4] and [5]. If it is needed to emphasize,  $\alpha\left(z; \bigotimes_{j=1}^{n+1} M_j\right)$  or similar notations will denote the value of the multi-tensor norm  $\alpha$  of  $z \in \bigotimes_{j=1}^{n+1} M_j$ .

As customary, for  $p \in [1, \infty]$ ,  $p'$  will be the conjugate extended real number such that  $1/p + 1/p' = 1$ . Given  $n \geq 1$ , in all the paper we denote by  $\mathbf{r}$  an  $(n+2)$ -pla of extended real numbers  $\mathbf{r} = (r_0, r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n, r_{n+1})$  such that  $1 < r_0 \leq \infty$ ,  $1 < r_j < \infty$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq n+1$ , and

$$1 = \frac{1}{r_0} + \frac{1}{r'_1} + \frac{1}{r'_2} + \dots + \frac{1}{r'_{n+1}}. \quad (2)$$

Such  $\mathbf{r}$  will be called an admissible  $(n+2)$ -pla. Moreover, we define  $w$  such that

$$\frac{1}{w} := \frac{1}{r'_1} + \frac{1}{r'_2} + \dots + \frac{1}{r'_n} \quad (3)$$

which gives the equality

$$n = \frac{1}{w} + \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{r_j}. \quad (4)$$

For later use we note that (2) implies

$$1 = \frac{r'_0}{r'_1} + \frac{r'_0}{r'_2} + \dots + \frac{r'_0}{r'_n} + \frac{r'_0}{r'_{n+1}} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{r_{n+1}} = \frac{1}{r_0} + \frac{1}{r'_1} + \frac{1}{r'_2} + \dots + \frac{1}{r'_n} \quad (5)$$

as well

$$\frac{1}{w} = \frac{1}{r'_0} - \frac{1}{r'_{n+1}} = \frac{1}{r_{n+1}} - \frac{1}{r_0} \quad \implies \quad 1 = \frac{1}{w} + \frac{1}{r_0} + \frac{1}{r'_{n+1}}. \quad (6)$$

and moreover,

$$\forall 1 \leq j \leq n \quad r_{n+1} < w < r'_j, \quad (7)$$

and

$$\forall 1 \leq j \leq n+1 \quad r_j < r_0. \quad (8)$$

To finish this introduction we consider the following construction which will be of fundamental importance in all the paper. Given any measure space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  and an admissible  $(n+2)$ -pla  $\mathbf{r}$ , as a direct consequence of generalized Hölder's inequality and (2), we have a canonical  $(n+1)$ -linear map  $\mathfrak{M}_\mu : L^{r_0}(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu) \times \prod_{j=1}^n L^{r'_j}(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu) \longrightarrow L^{r_{n+1}}(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  defined by the rule

$$\forall (f_j)_{j=0}^n \in L^{r_0}(\Omega, \mu) \times \prod_{j=1}^n L^{r'_j}(\Omega, \mu) \quad \mathfrak{M}_\mu((f_j)) = \prod_{j=0}^n f_j$$

verifying  $\|\mathfrak{M}_\mu((f_j))\| \leq \|g\|_{L^{r_0}(\Omega)} \prod_{j=1}^n \|f_j\|_{L^{r'_j}(\Omega)}$ . If  $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  is  $\mathbb{N}$  with the counting measure we will write simply  $\mathfrak{M}$  instead of  $\mathfrak{M}_\mu$ . Moreover, given  $g \in L^{r_0}(\Omega, \mu)$  we shall write  $D_g$  to denote the  $n$ -linear map from  $\prod_{j=1}^n L^{r'_j}(\Omega, \mu)$  into  $L^{r_{n+1}}(\Omega, \mu)$  such that

$$\forall (f_j)_{j=1}^n \in \prod_{j=1}^n L^{r'_j}(\Omega, \mu) \quad D_g((f_j)_{j=1}^n) = \mathfrak{M}_\mu((g, f_1, \dots, f_n)). \quad (9)$$

It will be important for later applications to remark that  $\mathfrak{M}_\mu$  induces a linearization map  $\widehat{\mathfrak{M}}_\mu : (L^{r_0}(\Omega, \mu) \widehat{\otimes} (\widehat{\otimes}_{j=1}^n L^{r'_j}(\Omega, \mu)), \pi) \longrightarrow L^{r_{n+1}}(\Omega, \mu)$  and a canonical map

$$\widehat{\mathfrak{M}}_\mu : (L^{r_0}(\Omega, \mu) \widehat{\otimes} (\widehat{\otimes}_{j=1}^n L^{r'_j}(\Omega, \mu)), \pi) / \text{Ker}(\widehat{\mathfrak{M}}_\mu) \longrightarrow L^{r_{n+1}}(\Omega, \mu)$$

such that  $\|\widehat{\mathfrak{M}}_\mu\| \leq 1$ . Moreover, by (5) we obtain  $f = f^{\frac{r_{n+1}}{r_0}} \prod_{j=1}^n f^{\frac{r'_j}{r_0}}$  for every  $f \geq 0$  in  $L^{r_{n+1}}(\Omega, \mu)$ . As  $f = f^+ - f^-$  for every  $f \in L^{r_{n+1}}(\Omega, \mu)$  it turns out that  $\widehat{\mathfrak{M}}_\mu$  is a surjective map and  $\widehat{\mathfrak{M}}_\mu$  becomes an isomorphism such that  $\|\widehat{\mathfrak{M}}_\mu^{-1}\| \leq 2$ .

## 2 $\alpha_r$ -tensor products and $r$ -dominated multilinear maps

Let  $E_j, 1 \leq j \leq n+1$  be normed spaces. Using classical methods we can show that

$$\alpha_r \left( z; \bigotimes_{j=1}^{n+1} E_j \right) := \inf \pi_{r_0} \left( (\lambda_m)_{m=1}^h \right) \prod_{j=1}^{n+1} \varepsilon_{r'_j} \left( (x_m^j)_{m=1}^h \right), \quad (10)$$

taking the infimum over all representations of  $z$  of type

$$z = \sum_{m=1}^h \lambda_m \left( \bigotimes_{j=1}^{n+1} x_{jm} \right), \quad x_{jm} \in E_j \quad 1 \leq j \leq n+1, \quad 1 \leq m \leq h, \quad h \in \mathbb{N},$$

is a norm on  $\bigotimes_{j=1}^{n+1} E_j$  which defines an  $(n+1)$ -tensor norm in the class of normed spaces. It is interesting to note that if  $n=1$  we obtain the classical tensor norm  $\alpha_{r_2 r_1}$  of Lapresté (see [[2]] for details).

The just defined normed tensor product space will be denoted by  $(\bigotimes_{j=1}^{n+1} E_j, \alpha_r)$  or  $\bigotimes_{\alpha_r} (E_1, E_2, \dots, E_{n+1})$  and its completion by  $\widehat{\bigotimes}_{\alpha_r} (E_1, E_2, \dots, E_{n+1})$ . It is clear that for every permutation  $\sigma$  on the set  $\{1, 2, \dots, n+1\}$  the map

$$I_\sigma : \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_m \bigotimes_{j=1}^{n+1} x_{jm} \in (\bigotimes_{j=1}^{n+1} E_j, \alpha_r) \longrightarrow \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_m \bigotimes_{j=1}^{n+1} x_{\sigma(j)m} \in \left( \bigotimes_{j=1}^{n+1} E_{\sigma(j)}, \alpha_s \right),$$

where  $\mathbf{s}$  is the admissible  $(n+2)$ -pla  $s_0 := r_0$  and  $s_j = r_{\sigma(j)}$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq n+1$ , is an isometry from  $(\bigotimes_{j=1}^{n+1} E_j, \alpha_{\mathbf{r}})$  onto  $(\bigotimes_{j=1}^{n+1} E_j, \alpha_{\mathbf{s}})$ . We shall use this type of isomorphism in section 5 in the particular case of transpositions  $\sigma$  simply indicating the transposed indexes  $\sigma(j_0) = j_1, \sigma(j_1) = j_0$  in the way  $j_0 \rightarrow j_1, j_1 \rightarrow j_0$ .

To compute the topological dual of an  $\alpha_{\mathbf{r}}$ -tensor product we set a new definition:

**Definition 1** Let  $F$  and  $E_j, 1 \leq j \leq n$  be normed spaces. A map  $T \in \mathcal{L}^n(\prod_{j=1}^n E_j, F)$  is said to be  $\mathbf{r}$ -dominated if there is  $C \geq 0$  such that for every  $h \in \mathbb{N}$  and every set of finite sequences  $\{x_{jk}\}_{k=1}^h \subset E_j, 1 \leq j \leq n$  and  $\{y'_k\}_{k=1}^h \subset F'$  the inequality

$$\pi_{r'_0} \left( \left( \left| \left\langle T(x_{1k}, x_{2k}, \dots, x_{nk}), y'_k \right\rangle \right| \right)_{k=1}^m \right) \leq C \left( \prod_{j=1}^n \varepsilon_{r'_j} \left( (x_{jk})_{k=1}^m \right) \right) \varepsilon_{r'_{n+1}} \left( (y'_k)_{k=1}^h \right) \quad (11)$$

holds.

It is easy to see that the linear space  $\mathfrak{P}_{\mathbf{r}}(\prod_{j=1}^n E_j, F)$  of  $\mathbf{r}$ -dominated  $n$ -linear maps from  $\prod_{j=1}^n E_j$  into  $F$  is normed setting  $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{r}}(T) := \inf \left\{ C \geq 0 \mid (11) \text{ holds} \right\}$  for every  $T \in \mathfrak{P}_{\mathbf{r}}(\prod_{j=1}^n E_j, F)$ , becoming a Banach space when  $F$  does. The interest on  $\mathbf{r}$ -dominated multilinear maps follows from the next result:

**Theorem 2**  $\left( \bigotimes_{\alpha_{\mathbf{r}}} (E_1, E_2, \dots, E_n, F) \right)' = \mathfrak{P}_{\mathbf{r}}(\prod_{j=1}^n E_j, F')$  for all normed spaces  $F$  and  $E_j, 1 \leq j \leq n$ .

**Proof.** 1). Given  $T \in \mathfrak{P}_{\mathbf{r}}(\prod_{j=1}^n E_j, F')$  and  $z = \sum_{k=1}^h \lambda_k (\bigotimes_{j=1}^n x_{jk}) \otimes y_k$  in  $(\bigotimes_{j=1}^n E_j) \otimes F$  we define  $\varphi_T(z) = \sum_{k=1}^h \lambda_k \left\langle T((x_{1k}, x_{2k}, \dots, x_{nk})), y_k \right\rangle$ . It follows directly from Hölder's inequality, definition 1 and (10)

$$|\varphi_T(z)| \leq \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{r}}(T) \alpha_{\mathbf{r}}(z) \implies \|\varphi_T\| \leq \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{r}}(T). \quad (12)$$

2) Conversely, let  $\psi \in \left( \bigotimes_{\alpha_{\mathbf{r}}} (E_1, E_2, \dots, E_n, F) \right)'$ . We define  $T_{\psi} \in \mathcal{L}^n(\prod_{j=1}^n E_j, F')$  as

$$\forall (x_j)_{j=1}^n \in \prod_{j=1}^n E_j, \forall y \in F \quad \left\langle T_{\psi} \left( (x_j)_{j=1}^n \right), y \right\rangle = \psi(x_1 \otimes x_2 \otimes \dots \otimes x_n \otimes y).$$

Given  $\{x_{jk}\}_{k=1}^h \subset E_j, 1 \leq j \leq n$  and  $\{y_k\}_{k=1}^h \subset F, h \in \mathbb{N}$  we have

$$\pi_{r'_0} \left( \left( \left\langle T_{\psi} \left( (x_{jk})_{j=1}^n \right), y_k \right\rangle \right)_{k=1}^h \right) = \sup_{(\alpha_k) \in B_{\ell_h^{r'_0}}} \left| \sum_{k=1}^h \alpha_k \psi \left( (\bigotimes_{j=1}^n x_{jk}) \otimes y_k \right) \right| =$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sup_{(\alpha_k) \in B_{\ell_h^{r_0}}} \left| \psi \left( \sum_{k=1}^h \alpha_k (\otimes_{j=1}^n x_{jk}) \otimes y_k \right) \right| \leq \\
&\leq \sup_{(\alpha_k) \in B_{\ell_h^{r_0}}} \|\psi\| \pi_{r_0} \left( (\alpha_k)_{k=1}^h \right) \left( \prod_{j=1}^n \varepsilon_{r'_j} \left( (x_{jk})_{k=1}^h \right) \right) \varepsilon_{r'_{n+1}} \left( (y_k)_{k=1}^h \right) \leq \\
&\leq \|\psi\| \left( \prod_{j=1}^n \varepsilon_{r'_j} \left( (x_{jk})_{k=1}^h \right) \right) \varepsilon_{r'_{n+1}} \left( (y_k)_{k=1}^h \right).
\end{aligned}$$

By  $\sigma(F'', F')$ -density of  $F$  in  $F''$  the latter inequality also holds when  $y_k \in F''$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq h$ . Hence  $\mathbf{P}_r(T_\psi) \leq \|\psi\|$  and clearly  $\varphi_{T_\psi} = \psi$ , giving by 1)  $\mathbf{P}_r(T_\psi) = \|\psi\|$ . ■

The name of  $\mathbf{r}$ -dominated multilinear maps is suggested by the following characterization.

**Theorem 3** *Given Banach spaces  $E_j$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq n$  and  $F$  and  $T \in \mathcal{L}^n(\prod_{j=1}^n E_j, F)$ , the following assertions are equivalent:*

- 1)  $T \in \mathfrak{P}_r(\prod_{j=1}^n E_j, F)$ .
- 2) (Pietsch-Grothendieck's domination theorem) *There are Radon probability measures  $\mu_j$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq n$  (resp.  $\nu$ ) in the unit balls  $B_{E'_j}$ , (resp. in  $B_{F''}$ ) and  $C \geq 0$  such that,  $\mathcal{B}_j$  (resp.  $\mathcal{B}_{n+1}$ ) being the  $\sigma$ -algebra of Borel sets in  $B_{E'_j}$  (resp.  $B_{F''}$ ), for every  $(x_j)_{j=1}^n \in \prod_{j=1}^n E_j$  and every  $y' \in F'$  one has*

$$\left| \left\langle T \left( (x_j)_{j=1}^n \right), y' \right\rangle \right| \leq C \left\| f_{y'} \right\|_{L^{r'_{n+1}}(B_{F''}, \mathcal{B}_{n+1}, \nu)} \prod_{j=1}^n \left\| f_{x_j} \right\|_{L^{r'_j}(B_{E'_j}, \mathcal{B}_j, \mu_j)} \quad (13)$$

Moreover,  $\mathbf{P}_r(T) = \inf C$  taking the infimum over all  $C \geq 0$  and  $\mu_j$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq n$  and  $\nu$  verifying (13).

- 3) (Generalized Kwapien's factorization theorem). *There exist Banach spaces  $M_j$  and linear maps  $A_j \in \mathfrak{P}_{r'_j}(E_j, M_j)$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq n$  and an  $n$ -linear map  $S : \prod_{j=1}^n M_j \rightarrow F$  such that  $T = S \circ ((A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n))$  and the adjoint map  $S' \in \mathfrak{P}_{r'_{n+1}}(F', \mathcal{L}^n(\prod_{j=1}^n M_j, \mathbb{R}))$ .*

**Proof.** 1)  $\implies$  2). Clearly, the restriction to  $\mathcal{C}((B_{E'}, \sigma(E', E)))$  of each  $\Psi \in (L^\infty(B_{E'}))'$  is a Radon measure. Then condition 2) follows from 1) directly by definition of  $\mathbf{r}$ -dominated maps and the very general result of Defant [ [3], theorem 1 ]. Moreover, the proof of that result allow us to obtain

$$\inf \left\{ C \geq 0 \mid (13) \text{ holds} \right\} \leq \mathbf{P}_r(T). \quad (14)$$



2)  $\implies$  3). Let  $\mu_j, 1 \leq j \leq n$  and  $\nu$  be probability Radon measures in the unit balls  $B_{E'_j}$  and  $B_{F''}$  respectively (with corresponding  $\sigma$ -algebras  $\mathcal{B}_j$  and  $\mathcal{B}_{n+1}$  of measurable sets) such that (13) holds.

Put  $\Omega := \prod_{j=1}^n B_{E'_j}$  provided with the product measure  $\mu := \otimes_{j=1}^n \mu_j$  and its corresponding  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{B}$  of measurable sets. For every  $x_j \in E_j, 1 \leq j \leq n$ , we define the map  $G_{x_j} : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  given by  $G_{x_j}(\mathbf{x}') = \langle x_j, x'_j \rangle$  for every  $\mathbf{x}' = (x'_1, x'_2, \dots, x'_n) \in \Omega$ . Clearly, as a consequence of Fubini's theorem, we have  $G_{x_j} \in L^{r'_j}(\Omega, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$  and moreover, for each  $y' \in F'$  the inequality

$$\left| \left\langle T\left((x_j)_{j=1}^n\right), y' \right\rangle \right| \leq C \left\| f_{y'} \right\|_{L^{r'_{n+1}}(B_{F''}, \mathcal{B}_{n+1}, \nu)} \prod_{j=1}^n \left\| G_{x_j} \right\|_{L^{r'_j}(\Omega, \mathcal{B}, \mu)} \quad (15)$$

holds still.

Define  $A_j \in \mathcal{L}(E_j, L^{r'_j}(\Omega, \mathcal{B}, \mu))$ , as  $A_j(x_j) = G_{x_j}$  for every  $x_j \in E_j$  and  $M_j := \overline{A_j(E_j)}$ , taking the closure in  $L^{r'_j}(\Omega, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$  and providing it with the induced topology. It is easy to check (classical Pietsch-Grothendieck's domination theorem) that

$$\forall 1 \leq j \leq n \quad A_j \in \mathfrak{P}_{r'_j}(E_j, M_j) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{P}_{r'_j}(A_j) \leq 1. \quad (16)$$

Now we define the *multilinear* map  $S : \prod_{j=1}^n A_j(E_j) \rightarrow F$  as

$$\forall (x_j)_{j=1}^n \in \prod_{j=1}^n E_j \quad S((G_{x_j})_{j=1}^n) = T((x_j)_{j=1}^n).$$

$S$  is well defined because  $(G_{x_j})_{j=1}^n = (G_{\bar{x}_j})_{j=1}^n$  implies  $G_{x_j} = G_{\bar{x}_j} \in L^{r'_j}(\Omega, \mathcal{B}, \mu), 1 \leq j \leq n$  and

$$T((x_j)_{j=1}^n) - T((\bar{x}_j)_{j=1}^n) = \sum_{j=1}^n T(\bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_{j-1}, x_j - \bar{x}_j, x_{j+1}, \dots, x_n)$$

and by (15) we obtain  $\|T((x_j)_{j=1}^n) - T((\bar{x}_j)_{j=1}^n)\| = 0$ . (15) gives too the continuity of  $S$  and hence it can be *continuously* extended to a map (still denoted by  $S$ ) in  $\mathcal{L}^n\left(\prod_{j=1}^n M_j, F\right)$ . To finish the proof we only need to see that  $S' \in \mathfrak{P}_{r'_{n+1}}(F', \mathcal{L}^n(\prod_{j=1}^n M_j, \mathbb{R}))$ .

Given  $\{y'_k\}_{k=1}^h \subset F', h \in \mathbb{N}$ , fix a finite sequence  $\{\alpha_k\}_{k=1}^h$  verifying  $\left\| (\alpha_k)_{k=1}^h \right\|_{\ell_h^{r'_{n+1}}} = 1$ . For every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there are  $G_{x_{jk}} \in B_{M_j}, 1 \leq k \leq h, 1 \leq j \leq n$  such that

$$\forall 1 \leq k \leq h \quad \left\| S'(y'_k) \right\|_{\mathcal{L}^n(\prod_{j=1}^n M_j, \mathbb{R})} \leq \left| \left\langle S'(y'_k), (G_{x_{jk}})_{j=1}^n \right\rangle \right| + \varepsilon |\alpha_k|.$$

Hence, from Hölder's inequality and (13) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi_{r'_{n+1}} \left( (S'(y'_k))_{k=1}^h \right) &= \sup_{(\beta_k) \in B_{\ell_h^{r'_{n+1}}}} \left| \sum_{k=1}^h \beta_k \left\| S'(y'_k) \right\|_{\mathcal{L}^n(\prod_{j=1}^n M_j, \mathbb{R})} \right| \leq \\
&\leq \sup_{(\beta_k) \in B_{\ell_h^{r'_{n+1}}}} \left| \sum_{k=1}^h \beta_k \left( \left| \left\langle S'(y'_k), (G_{x_{jk}})_{k=1}^n \right\rangle \right| + \varepsilon |\alpha_k| \right) \right| \leq \\
&\leq \sup_{(\beta_k) \in B_{\ell_h^{r'_{n+1}}}} \left\| (\beta_k) \right\|_{\ell_h^{r'_{n+1}}} \left( \sum_{k=1}^h \left| \left\langle y'_k, T(x_{jk})_{j=1}^n \right\rangle \right|^{r'_{n+1}} \right)^{\frac{1}{r'_{n+1}}} + \\
&\quad + \varepsilon \sup_{(\beta_k) \in B_{\ell_h^{r'_{n+1}}}} \left\| (\beta_k)_{k=1}^h \right\|_{\ell_h^{r'_{n+1}}} \left\| (\alpha_k)_{k=1}^h \right\|_{\ell_h^{r'_{n+1}}} \leq \\
&\leq C \left( \sum_{k=1}^h \left( \left\| f_{y'_k} \right\|_{L^{r'_{n+1}}(B_{F''}, \mathcal{B}_{n+1}, \nu)} \prod_{j=1}^n \left\| G_{x_{jk}} \right\|_{L^{r'_j}(\Omega, \mathcal{B}, \mu)} \right)^{r'_{n+1}} \right)^{\frac{1}{r'_{n+1}}} + \varepsilon \leq \\
&\leq C \left( \sum_{k=1}^h \left( \int_{B_{F''}} \left| \left\langle y'_k, y'' \right\rangle \right|^{r'_{n+1}} d\nu(y'') \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{r'_{n+1}}} + \varepsilon = \\
&= C \left( \int_{B_{F''}} \sum_{k=1}^h \left| \left\langle y'_k, y'' \right\rangle \right|^{r'_{n+1}} d\nu(y'') \right)^{\frac{1}{r'_{n+1}}} + \varepsilon = \\
&= C \varepsilon_{r'_{n+1}} \left( (y'_k)_{k=1}^h \right) \nu(B_{F''})^{\frac{1}{r'_{n+1}}} + \varepsilon = C \varepsilon_{r'_{n+1}} \left( (y'_k)_{k=1}^h \right) + \varepsilon
\end{aligned}$$

and  $\varepsilon > 0$  being arbitrary, the result follows. Moreover, by (16) and the definition of  $\mathbf{P}_{r'_{n+1}}(S')$  we obtain

$$\mathbf{P}_{r'_{n+1}}(S') \prod_{j=1}^n \mathbf{P}_{r'_j}(A_j) \leq C. \quad (17)$$

3)  $\implies$  1). Assume there are Banach spaces  $M_j$  and maps  $A_j \in \mathfrak{P}_{r'_j}(E_j, M_j)$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq n$  and  $S \in \mathcal{L}^n(\prod_{j=1}^n M_j, F)$  such that  $S' \in \mathfrak{P}_{r'_{n+1}}(F', \mathcal{L}^n(\prod_{j=1}^n M_j, \mathbb{R}))$  and  $T = S \circ ((A_j)_{j=1}^n)$ . Given finite sequences  $\{x_{jk}\}_{k=1}^h \subset E_j$  and  $\{y'_k\}_{k=1}^h \subset F'$ ,  $h \in \mathbb{N}$ , using (2) and Hölder's inequality we have

$$\pi_{r'_0} \left( \left( \left\langle T \left( (x_{jk})_{j=1}^n \right), y'_k \right\rangle \right)_{k=1}^h \right) = \sup_{(\alpha_k) \in B_{\ell_h^{r'_0}}} \left| \sum_{k=1}^h \alpha_k \left\langle (A_j(x_{jk}))_{j=1}^n, S'(y'_k) \right\rangle \right| \leq$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \sup_{(\alpha_k) \in B_{\ell_h^{r_0}}} \sum_{k=1}^h |\alpha_k| \left\| S'(y'_k) \right\|_{\mathcal{L}^n(\prod_{j=1}^n M_j, \mathbb{R})} \prod_{j=1}^n \left\| A_j(x_{jk}) \right\| \leq \\
&\leq \sup_{(\alpha_k) \in B_{\ell_h^{r_0}}} \left\| (\alpha_k)_{k=1}^h \right\|_{\ell_h^{r_0}} \left( \prod_{j=1}^n \pi_{r'_j} \left( (A_j(x_{jk}))_{k=1}^h \right) \right) \pi_{r'_{n+1}} \left( (S'(y'_k))_{k=1}^h \right) \leq \\
&\leq \mathbf{P}_{r'_{n+1}}(S') \left( \prod_{j=1}^n \mathbf{P}_{r'_j}(A_j) \right) \varepsilon_{r'_{n+1}} \left( (y'_k)_{k=1}^h \right) \left( \prod_{j=1}^n \varepsilon_{r'_j} \left( (x_{jk})_{k=1}^h \right) \right)
\end{aligned}$$

and hence  $T \in \mathfrak{P}_{\mathbf{r}} \left( \prod_{j=1}^n E_j, F \right)$  and

$$\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{r}}(T) \leq \mathbf{P}_{r'_{n+1}}(S') \prod_{j=1}^n \mathbf{P}_{r'_j}(A_j). \quad (18)$$

The assertions about  $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{r}}(T)$  follow from (14), (17) and (18).  $\blacksquare$

Theorem 3 can be used to find some equivalences between some tensor norms  $\alpha_{\mathbf{r}}$  and  $\alpha_{\mathbf{s}}$  derived from different admissible  $(n+2)$ -plas  $\mathbf{r}$  and  $\mathbf{s}$  on certain classes of Banach spaces. We present some results of this type which will be of fundamental importance in the final section of the paper.

**Corollary 4** *Let  $\mathbf{r} = (r_j)_{j=0}^{n+1}$  be such that  $r'_{n+1} \leq 2$  and let  $\mathbf{s} = (s_j)_{j=0}^{n+1}$  be an admissible  $(n+2)$ -pla such that  $s'_{n+1} \leq 2$ , and  $s'_j = r'_j$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq n$ . If  $E_j$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq n+1$  are Banach spaces and  $E''_{n+1}$  has cotype 2, one has  $(\widehat{\otimes}_{j=1}^{n+1} E_j, \alpha_{\mathbf{r}}) \approx (\widehat{\otimes}_{j=1}^{n+1} E_j, \alpha_{\mathbf{s}})$ .*

**Proof.** By theorem 2 and the open mapping theorem it is enough to see that  $\mathfrak{P}_{\mathbf{s}}(\prod_{j=1}^n E_j, E'_{n+1}) = \mathfrak{P}_{\mathbf{r}}(\prod_{j=1}^n E_j, E'_{n+1})$ . Given  $T \in \mathfrak{P}_{\mathbf{s}}(\prod_{j=1}^n E_j, E'_{n+1})$  and using Kwapien's generalized theorem, we choose a factorization  $T = C \circ (A_j)_{j=1}^n$  throughout some product  $\prod_{j=1}^n M_j$  of Banach spaces in such a way that  $A_j \in \mathfrak{P}_{s'_j}(E_j, M_j)$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq n$  and  $C' \in \mathfrak{P}_{s'_{n+1}}(E''_{n+1}, \mathcal{L}^n(\prod_{j=1}^n M'_j, \mathbb{R}))$ . Being  $E''_{n+1}$  of cotype 2 and  $r'_{n+1} \leq 2$ , Maurey's theorem [ [2], corollary 3, §31.6 ] and Pietsch's inclusion theorem for absolutely  $p$ -summing maps give  $C' \in \mathfrak{P}_1(E''_{n+1}, \mathcal{L}^n(\prod_{j=1}^n M'_j, \mathbb{R})) \subset \mathfrak{P}_{r'_{n+1}}(E''_{n+1}, \mathcal{L}^n(\prod_{j=1}^n M'_j, \mathbb{R}))$ . As  $r'_j = s'_j$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq n$ , by the sufficient part of Kwapien's generalized theorem we obtain  $T \in \mathfrak{P}_{\mathbf{r}}(\prod_{j=1}^n E_j, E'_{n+1})$ . In the same way we show  $\mathfrak{P}_{\mathbf{r}}(\prod_{j=1}^n E_j, E'_{n+1}) \subset \mathfrak{P}_{\mathbf{s}}(\prod_{j=1}^n E_j, E'_{n+1})$  and the proof is complete.  $\blacksquare$

**Corollary 5** *Let  $E_j$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq n+1$  be Banach spaces and let  $\mathbf{r} = (r_j)_{j=0}^{n+1}$  be an admissible  $(n+2)$ -pla such that  $r'_j \geq 2$  for every  $1 \leq j \leq n+1$ . Let  $\mathbf{s} = (s_j)_{j=0}^{n+1}$  be another admissible  $(n+2)$ -pla such that  $2 \leq s'_j$  for every  $1 \leq j \leq n$  and  $s_{n+1} = r_{n+1}$ . Then  $(\widehat{\otimes}_{j=1}^{n+1} E_j, \alpha_{\mathbf{r}}) \approx (\widehat{\otimes}_{j=1}^{n+1} E_j, \alpha_{\mathbf{s}})$ .*

**Proof.** Arguing as above, we only need to show that  $\mathfrak{P}_s(\prod_{j=1}^n E_j, E'_{n+1}) = \mathfrak{P}_r(\prod_{j=1}^n E_j, E'_{n+1})$ . The crucial step is the proof of the inclusion  $\mathfrak{P}_r(\prod_{j=1}^n E_j, E'_{n+1}) \subset \mathfrak{P}_s(\prod_{j=1}^n E_j, E'_{n+1})$  since the proof of the converse inclusion can be made exactly in the same way.

Let  $T \in \mathfrak{P}_r(\prod_{j=1}^n E_j, E'_{n+1})$ . By the proof of 2)  $\implies$  3) in theorem 3 there are a probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ , maps  $A_j \in \mathfrak{P}_{r'_j}(E_j, L^{r'_j}(\Omega; \mu))$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq n$  and a map  $S \in \mathcal{L}^n(\prod_{j=1}^n \overline{A_j(E_j)}, E'_{n+1})$  such that  $S' \in \mathfrak{P}_{r'_{n+1}}(E''_{n+1}, \mathcal{L}^n(\prod_{j=1}^n \overline{A_j(E_j)}, \mathbb{R}))$  and  $T = S \circ ((A_j)_{j=1}^n)$ . Consider the tensor products  $\mathfrak{T}_\pi := (\widehat{\bigotimes}_{j=1}^n L^{r'_j}(\Omega, \mu), \pi)$  and  $\mathfrak{H}_\pi := L^{r_0}(\Omega, \mu) \widehat{\bigotimes}_\pi \mathfrak{T}_\pi$ . The canonical linear map  $\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_\mu$  from  $\mathfrak{H}_\pi$  onto  $L^{r_{n+1}}(\Omega, \mu)$ , (recall the notation of introductory section) induces an isomorphism  $\widehat{\mathfrak{M}}_\mu$  from the quotient space  $K_1 := \mathfrak{H}_\pi / \text{Ker}(\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_\mu)$  onto  $L^{r_{n+1}}(\Omega, \mu)$ . As  $r_{n+1} \leq 2$ ,  $K_1$  has cotype 2.

Let  $\Psi_1 : \mathfrak{H}_\pi \longrightarrow K_1$  be the canonical quotient map. For every  $1 \leq j \leq n$  we consider the map  $\psi_j \in \mathcal{L}(L^{r'_j}(\Omega), \mathfrak{H}_\pi)$  defined by

$$\psi_j : z \in L^{r'_j}(\Omega) \longrightarrow [\chi_\Omega] \otimes [\chi_\Omega] \otimes \dots \otimes [\chi_\Omega] \otimes z \otimes [\chi_\Omega] \otimes \dots \otimes [\chi_\Omega]$$

( $z$  in the position  $j + 1$ ) and define  $\mathfrak{T}_j := \psi_j(L^{r'_j}(\Omega))$ .  $[\chi_\Omega]$  being of dimension 1 is complemented in each  $L^p(\Omega, \mu)$ ,  $p \geq 1$ . It follows that  $\mathfrak{T}_j$  is a complemented (and hence closed) subspace of  $\mathfrak{H}_\pi$ . Define  $F_j := \overline{A_j(E_j)}$ . Clearly  $H_j := \psi_j(F_j)$  is a closed subspace of  $\mathfrak{T}_j$ .

**Claim.** For every  $1 \leq j \leq n$ ,  $\Psi_1(\mathfrak{T}_j)$  is closed in  $K_1$ .

**Proof of the claim.** Fix  $1 \leq j \leq n$ . Let  $P_j \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{H}_\pi, \mathfrak{T}_j)$  be a projection and let  $W_j := \text{Ker}(P_j) \oplus (\text{Ker}(\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_\mu) \cap \mathfrak{T}_j)$ . The quotient space  $K_{2j} := \mathfrak{H}_\pi / W_j$  is well defined. Let  $\Psi_{2j} \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{H}_\pi, K_{2j})$  be the canonical quotient map. The map

$$\forall z \in \mathfrak{H}_\pi \quad L_j : \Psi_{2j}(z) \in K_{2j} \longrightarrow \Psi_1 \circ P_j(z) \in \Psi_1(\mathfrak{T}_j) \subset K_1$$

is well defined and continuous. In fact, given  $z_1 = P_j(z_1) + (I_\pi - P_j)(z_1) \in \mathfrak{H}_\pi$  and  $z_2 = P_j(z_2) + (I_\pi - P_j)(z_2) \in \mathfrak{H}_\pi$  ( $I_\pi$  denotes the identity map on  $\mathfrak{H}_\pi$ ) such that  $\Psi_{2j}(z_1) = \Psi_{2j}(z_2)$ , as  $(I_\pi - P_j)(z_1) \in \text{Ker}(P_j) \subset W$  and  $(I_\pi - P_j)(z_2) \in \text{Ker}(P_j) \subset W$ , we obtain  $\Psi_{2j} \circ P_j(z_1) = \Psi_{2j} \circ P_j(z_2)$ , i. e.

$$P_j(z_1) - P_j(z_2) \in W \implies P_j(z_1) - P_j(z_2) \in \text{Ker}(\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_\mu) \cap \mathfrak{T}_j \subset \text{Ker}(\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_\mu)$$

and hence  $L_j(z_1) = \Psi_1 \circ P_j(z_1) = \Psi_1 \circ P_j(z_2) = L_j(z_2)$  and  $L_j$  is well defined. On the other hand, given  $\Psi_{2j}(z) \in K_{2j}$  there is  $w \in \mathfrak{T}_\pi$  such that  $\Psi_{2j}(w) = \Psi_{2j}(z)$  and  $\|w\|_{\mathfrak{T}_\pi} \leq 2 \|\Psi_{2j}(z)\|_{K_{2j}}$ . Then

$$\|L_j \circ \Psi_{2j}(z)\|_{K_1} = \|L_j \circ \Psi_{2j}(w)\|_{K_1} = \|\Psi_1 \circ P_j(w)\|_{K_1} \leq$$

$$\leq \|\Psi_1\| \|P_j\| \|w\|_{\mathfrak{H}_\pi} \leq 2 \|P_j\| \|\Psi_{2j}(z)\|_{K_{2j}}$$

and  $L_j$  turns out to be continuous. But, clearly,  $L_j$  is surjective. Then the canonical induced map  $\tilde{L}_j \in \mathcal{L}(K_{3j}, K_1)$  from the quotient space  $K_{3j} := K_{2j}/\text{Ker}(L_j)$  onto  $K_1$  is an isomorphism. Let  $\Psi_{3j} \in \mathcal{L}(K_{2j}, K_{3j})$  be the canonical quotient map. Note that we have

$$\Psi_1 \circ P_j = L_j \circ \Psi_{2j} = \tilde{L}_j \circ \Psi_{3j} \circ \Psi_{2j}. \quad (19)$$

Next take  $z \in \overline{\Psi_1(\mathfrak{T}_j)}$ . There is a sequence  $\{z_m\}_{m=1}^\infty \subset \mathfrak{T}_j$  such that  $z = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \Psi_1(z_m)$  in  $K_1$ . Then  $\{\tilde{L}_j^{-1}(z_m)\}_{m=1}^\infty$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $K_{3j}$ . By a standard procedure (see [ [8], §14.4. (3)] for instance) and switching to a suitable subsequence if necessary, we can assume that there is a sequence  $\{w_m\}_{m=1}^\infty \subset \mathfrak{H}_\pi$  such that

$$\forall m \in \mathbb{N} \quad \Psi_{3j} \circ \Psi_{2j}(w_m) = \tilde{L}_j^{-1}(z_m) = \Psi_{3j} \circ \Psi_{2j}(z_m) \quad (20)$$

and

$$\forall m, k \in \mathbb{N} \quad \|w_m - w_k\|_{\mathfrak{H}_\pi} \leq 2 \|\Psi_{2j}(w_m) - \Psi_{2j}(w_k)\|_{K_{2j}} \leq 4 \|\tilde{L}_j^{-1}(z_m) - \tilde{L}_j^{-1}(z_k)\|_{K_{3j}}.$$

Then  $\{w_m\}_{m=1}^\infty$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $\mathfrak{H}_\pi$  and there exists  $w = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} w_m \in \mathfrak{H}_\pi$ . By (20) we obtain

$$\Psi_{3j} \circ \Psi_{2j}(z_m) = \Psi_{3j} \circ \Psi_{2j}(w_m) = \Psi_{3j} \circ \Psi_{2j}(P_j(w_m) - (I_\pi - P_j)(w_m)) = \Psi_{3j} \circ \Psi_{2j} \circ P_j(w_m)$$

and since  $P_j$  is a projection and  $P_j(z_m) = z_m$ , by the definitions of  $\Psi_{3j}$  and  $L_j$

$$\Psi_1(z_m) = \Psi_1 \circ P_j(z_m) = L_j \circ \Psi_{2j}(z_m) = L_j \circ \Psi_{2j} \circ P_j(w_m) = \Psi_1 \circ P_j(w_m)$$

and  $\Psi_1 \circ P_j(w) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \Psi_1 \circ P_j(w_m) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \Psi_1(z_m) = z$ . As  $P_j(w) \in \mathfrak{T}_j$  we obtain  $z \in \Psi_1(\mathfrak{T}_j)$  and  $\Psi_1(\mathfrak{T}_j)$  is closed. ■

**End of the proof of corollary 5.** Let  $\Phi_j$  be the restriction to  $\mathfrak{T}_j$  of  $\Psi_1$ . Let  $\Psi_{4j}$  be the canonical quotient map from  $\mathfrak{T}_j$  onto the quotient space  $K_{4j} := \mathfrak{T}_j / (\mathfrak{T}_j \cap \text{Ker}(\mathfrak{M}_\mu))$ . The map  $\tilde{\Phi}_j : \Psi_{4j} \circ \psi_j(z_j) \in K_{4j} \longrightarrow \Phi_j \circ \psi_j(z_j) \in \Phi_j(\mathfrak{T}_j)$ ,  $z_j \in F_j$  is well defined. In fact, if  $\bar{z}_j \in F_j$  and  $\Psi_{4j} \circ \psi_j(z_j - \bar{z}_j) = 0$ , we will have  $\psi_j(z_j - \bar{z}_j) \in \text{Ker}(\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_\mu)$  and hence, by definition of  $\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_\mu$  and  $\psi_j$ , one has  $z_j = \bar{z}_j$  and  $\Phi_j \circ \psi_j(z_j) = \Phi_j \circ \psi_j(\bar{z}_j)$ , turning  $\tilde{\Phi}_j$  well defined. The same argument shows that  $\tilde{\Phi}_j$  is injective. By the claim  $\Phi_j(\mathfrak{T}_j)$  is closed in  $K_1$ . As  $\tilde{\Phi}_j$  is clearly surjective by the open map theorem it turns out that  $\tilde{\Phi}_j$  is an isomorphism from  $K_{4j}$  onto  $\Phi_j(\mathfrak{T}_j)$ .

Next, remark that given  $z_j \in L^{r'_j}(\Omega, \mu)$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is  $\bar{z}_j \in L^{r'_j}(\Omega, \mu)$  such that  $\Psi_{4j} \circ \psi_j(z_j) = \Psi_{4j} \circ \psi_j(\bar{z}_j)$  and

$$\|\psi_j(\bar{z}_j)\|_{\mathfrak{T}_j} \leq \|\Psi_{4j} \circ \psi_j(z_j)\|_{K_{4j}} + \varepsilon \leq \|\tilde{\Phi}_j^{-1}\| \|\tilde{\Phi}_j \circ \Psi_{4j} \circ \psi_j(z_j)\|_{K_1} + \varepsilon =$$

$$= \|\tilde{\Phi}_j^{-1}\| \|\Phi_j \circ \psi_j(z_j)\|_{K_1} + \varepsilon \leq \|\tilde{\Phi}_j^{-1}\| \|\psi_j(z_j)\|_{\mathfrak{X}_j} + \varepsilon.$$

But, as we have shown previously,  $\Psi_{4j} \circ \psi_j(z_j) = \Psi_{4j} \circ \psi_j(\bar{z}_j)$  implies  $z_j = \bar{z}_j$  and so  $\psi_j(z_j) = \psi_j(\bar{z}_j)$ . Then  $\varepsilon > 0$  being arbitrary we obtain

$$\|\psi_j(z_j)\|_{\mathfrak{X}_j} \leq \|\tilde{\Phi}_j^{-1}\| \|\Phi_j \circ \psi_j(z_j)\|_{K_1} \leq \|\tilde{\Phi}_j^{-1}\| \|\psi_j(z_j)\|_{\mathfrak{X}_j}$$

which means that  $\Phi_j$  is an isomorphism from  $\mathfrak{X}_j$  onto  $\Phi_j(\mathfrak{X}_j)$ .

As a consequence the isomorphisms  $F_j \approx H_j \approx \Phi_j(H_j)$  hold and  $F_j$  has cotype 2 because  $\Phi_j(H_j)$  is a closed subspace of  $K_1$  which has cotype 2. As  $A_j \in \mathfrak{P}_{r'_j}(E_j, F_j)$ , by Maurey's theorem [ [2], corollary 3, §31.6 ] and Pietsch's inclusion theorem for  $p$ -absolutely summing maps, we obtain  $A_j \in \mathfrak{P}_2(E_j, F_j) \subset \mathfrak{P}_{s'_j}(E_j, F_j)$ . It follows from the properties of  $S$  and from Kwapien's generalized theorem that  $T \in \mathfrak{P}_s(\prod_{j=1}^n E_j, E'_{n+1})$  as desired. ■

**Corollary 6** *Let  $E_j, 1 \leq j \leq n+1$  be Banach spaces and let  $\mathbf{r} = (r_j)_{j=0}^{n+1}$  be an admissible  $(n+2)$ -pla such that  $r_{j_0} \leq 2$  for some  $1 \leq j_0 \leq n+1$  and  $r'_{j_1} \geq 2$  for some  $1 \leq j_1 \neq j_0 \leq n+1$ . Choose  $s_{j_0} < r_{j_0}$  and define  $\frac{1}{s_0} := \frac{1}{r_0} + \frac{1}{r'_{j_0}} - \frac{1}{s'_{j_0}}$  and  $s_j := r_j, 1 \leq j \neq j_0 \leq n+1$ . Then  $\mathbf{s} = (s_j)_{j=0}^{n+1}$  is an admissible  $(n+2)$ -pla such that  $s_0 < \infty$  and  $(\bigotimes_{j=1}^{n+1} E_j, \alpha_{\mathbf{r}}) \approx (\bigotimes_{j=1}^{n+1} E_j, \alpha_{\mathbf{s}})$ .*

**Proof.** After the eventual transposition  $j_1 \rightarrow n+1, n+1 \rightarrow j_1$  we can assume that  $j_1 = n+1$ . Then the proof is essentially the same of corollary 5 because we have  $r_{n+1} \leq 2$  and Maurey's theorem will be applicable still in the "axis"  $j_0$ . ■

Another application of theorem 3 concerns to the approximation of  $\mathbf{r}$ -dominated maps by finite rank maps.

**Theorem 7** *Let  $E_j, 1 \leq j \leq n+1$ , be Banach spaces with duals  $E'_j$  having the metric approximation property and such that each  $E'_j, 1 \leq j \leq n$  has the Radon-Nikodym property. Then  $\mathfrak{P}_{\mathbf{r}}(\prod_{j=1}^n E_j, E'_{n+1}) = (\widehat{\bigotimes}_{j=1}^{n+1} E'_j, \alpha'_{\mathbf{r}})$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $T \in \mathfrak{P}_{\mathbf{r}}(\prod_{j=1}^n E_j, E'_{n+1})$ . By Kwapien's theorem (theorem 3) there are Banach spaces  $M_j$  and operators  $A_j \in \mathfrak{P}_{r'_j}(E_j, M_j), 1 \leq j \leq n$  and  $S \in \mathcal{L}^n(\prod_{j=1}^n M_j, E'_{n+1})$  such that  $T = S \circ (A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n)$ . Since every  $E'_j$  has the Radon-Nikodym property, by the result [ [11], page 228 ] of Makarov and Samarskii, each  $A_j$  is a quasi  $r'_j$ -nuclear operator. By [ [13], theorems 26 and 43 ] there is a sequence

$$\left\{ B_{jh} = \sum_{s_j=1}^{t_{jh}} x'_{jhs_j} \otimes m_{jhs_j} \right\}_{h=1}^{\infty} \subset E'_j \otimes M_j,$$

of finite rank operators such that

$$\forall 1 \leq j \leq n \quad \lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{P}_{r'_j}(A_j - B_{jh}) = 0. \quad (21)$$

In particular, every sequence  $\{B_{jh}\}_{h=1}^\infty$  is a Cauchy sequence (and so bounded) in  $\mathfrak{P}_{r'_j}(E_j, M_j)$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq n$ .

Since for every  $(x_j)_{j=1}^n \in \prod_{j=1}^n E_j$  and  $h \in \mathbb{N}$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left( S \circ ((B_{jh})_{j=1}^n) \right) ((x_j)_{j=1}^n) &= S \left( \left( \sum_{s_j=1}^{t_{jh}} \langle x'_{jhs_j}, x_j \rangle m_{jhs_j} \right)_{j=1}^n \right) = \\ &= \sum_{s_1=1}^{t_{1h}} \dots \sum_{s_n=1}^{t_{nh}} \left( \prod_{j=1}^n \langle x'_{jhs_j}, x_j \rangle \right) S((m_{jhs_j})_{j=1}^n), \end{aligned}$$

it turns out that  $S \circ ((B_{jh})_{j=1}^n) \in \mathcal{L}^n(\prod_{j=1}^n E_j, E'_{n+1})$  has finite dimensional range and

$$S \circ ((B_{jh})_{j=1}^n) = \sum_{s_1=1}^{t_{1h}} \dots \sum_{s_n=1}^{t_{nh}} \left( \otimes_{j=1}^n x'_{jhs_j} \right) \otimes S((m_{jhs_j})_{j=1}^n) \in \bigotimes_{j=1}^{n+1} E'_j.$$

With a similar proof to the one given in [2] it can be seen that  $(\widehat{\bigotimes}_{j=1}^{n+1} E'_j, \alpha'_r)$  is a topological subspace of  $\mathfrak{P}_r(\prod_{j=1}^n E_j, E'_{n+1})$ . Hence by theorem 3, (18) and (21)

$$\begin{aligned} &\alpha'_r \left( S \circ (B_{1h}, B_{2h}, \dots, B_{nh}) - S \circ (B_{1k}, B_{2k}, \dots, B_{nk}) \right) = \\ &= \mathbf{P}_r \left( \sum_{j=1}^n \left( S \circ B_{1k}, \dots, B_{j-1,k}, B_{jh} - B_{jk}, B_{j+1,h}, \dots, B_{nh} \right) \right) \leq \\ &\leq \mathbf{P}_{r'_{n+1}}(S') \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbf{P}_{r'_j}(B_{jh} - B_{jk}) \left( \prod_{1 \leq s < j} \mathbf{P}_{r'_s}(B_{sk}) \right) \left( \prod_{j < s \leq n} \mathbf{P}_{r'_s}(B_{sh}) \right) \end{aligned}$$

is arbitrarily small when  $h$  and  $k$  lets to infinity and so there exists  $z := \lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} S \circ (B_{1h}, B_{2h}, \dots, B_{nh}) \in (\widehat{\bigotimes}_{j=1}^{n+1} E'_j, \alpha'_r)$ . On the other hand, it can be shown in an analogous way that

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{P}_r \left( T - S \circ ((B_{jh})_{j=1}^n) \right) = \lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{P}_r \left( S \circ ((A_j)_{j=1}^n) - S \circ ((B_{jh})_{j=1}^n) \right) = 0$$

and hence  $T = z$ .  $\blacksquare$

### 3 $\mathbf{r}$ -nuclear multilinear maps

With the same methods used in the classical case of Lapresté's tensor topologies, it can be shown that every element  $z \in \widehat{\bigotimes}_{\alpha_{\mathbf{r}}}(E_1, E_2, \dots, E_n, F)$  can be represented as a convergent series

$$z = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \lambda_m \left( \bigotimes_{j=1}^n x_{jm} \right) \otimes z_m \quad (22)$$

where  $(\lambda_m) \in \ell^{r_0}$ ,  $(x_{jm})_{m=1}^{\infty} \in \ell^{r'_j}(E_j)$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$  and  $(z_m)_{m=1}^{\infty} \in \ell^{r_{n+1}}(F)$ . Moreover, the norm of such elements  $z$  can be computed as in (10) but using representations (22) and  $h = \infty$ .

If  $F$  is a Banach space every  $z \in \widehat{\bigotimes}_{\alpha_{\mathbf{r}}}(E_1, E_2, \dots, E_n, F)$  defines canonically a multilinear map  $T_z \in \mathcal{L}^n \left( \prod_{j=1}^n E'_j, F \right)$  by the rule

$$\forall (x'_j)_{j=1}^n \in \prod_{j=1}^n E'_j \quad T_z((x'_j)_{j=1}^n) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \lambda_m \left( \prod_{j=1}^n \langle x'_j, x_{jm} \rangle \right) z_m. \quad (23)$$

Remark that  $T_z$  is independent on the representing series (22) for  $z$  as a consequence of theorem 2 and the easy fact that  $(\bigotimes_{j=1}^n E'_j) \otimes F' \subset \mathfrak{R}_{\mathbf{r}} \left( \prod_{j=1}^n E_j, F' \right)$  canonically. In this way we have defined a canonical *linear map*

$$\Phi : z \in \widehat{\bigotimes}_{\alpha_{\mathbf{r}}}(E_1, E_2, \dots, E_n, F) \longrightarrow T_z \in \mathcal{L}^n \left( \prod_{j=1}^n E'_j, F \right) \quad (24)$$

which suggest the next definition:

**Definition 8** A multilinear map  $A \in \mathcal{L}^n \left( \prod_{j=1}^n E_j, F \right)$  is said to be  $\mathbf{r}$ -nuclear if it is the restriction  $R(T_z)$  to  $\prod_{j=1}^n E_j$  of a map  $T_z$  for some  $z \in \widehat{\bigotimes}_{\alpha_{\mathbf{r}}}(E'_1, E'_2, \dots, E'_n, F)$ .

It can be shown that the set  $\mathfrak{N}_{\mathbf{r}} \left( \prod_{j=1}^n E_j, F \right)$  of all  $n$ -linear  $\mathbf{r}$ -nuclear maps from  $\prod_{j=1}^n E_j$  into  $F$  becomes a Banach space under the  $\mathbf{r}$ -nuclear norm

$$\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{r}}(A) = \inf \left\{ \alpha_{\mathbf{r}}(z) \mid A = R(T_z), z \in \widehat{\bigotimes}_{\alpha_{\mathbf{r}}}(E'_1, E'_2, \dots, E'_n, F) \right\}$$

if all  $E_j$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq n$  and  $F$  are Banach spaces.  $\mathbf{r}$ -nuclear maps can be characterized by means of suitable factorizations as follows.

**Theorem 9** Let  $F$  and  $E_j$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq n$  be Banach spaces and  $T \in \mathcal{L}^n \left( \prod_{i=1}^n E_j, F \right)$ .  $T$  is  $\mathbf{r}$ -nuclear if and only if there are maps  $A_j \in \mathcal{L}(E_j, \ell^{r'_j})$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq n$ ,  $C \in \mathcal{L}(\ell^{r_{n+1}}, F)$  and  $\lambda := (\lambda_m) \in \ell^{r_0}$  such that  $T$  factorizes in the way



$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\prod_{j=1}^n E_j & \xrightarrow{T} & F \\
(A_j)_{j=1}^n \downarrow & & \uparrow C \\
\prod_{j=1}^n \ell^{r'_j} & \xrightarrow{D_\lambda} & \ell^{r_{n+1}} .
\end{array}$$

Moreover  $\mathbf{N}_r(T) = \inf \left( \prod_{j=1}^n \|A_j\| \right) \|D_\lambda\| \|C\|$  taking the infimum over all factorizations as above.

**Proof.** The proof being quite standard (compare with [10]) is omitted.

**Remark.** By theorem 9, (2) and the compactness result ([1], theorem 4.2) of Alencar and Floret, if  $r_0 < \infty$ , every  $\mathbf{r}$ -nuclear mapping is compact.

As an application of theorem 7 we can obtain a sufficient condition in order that the map  $\Phi$  be injective. Although the formulation of this condition is far to be optimal, it will be enough for our applications in the sequel.

**Corollary 10** *Let  $E_j, 1 \leq j \leq n$  be reflexive Banach spaces having the approximation property. Then, for every Banach space  $E_{n+1}$  such that  $E'_{n+1}$  has the metric approximation property, the map  $\Phi$  in (24) is injective and so  $(\widehat{\otimes}_{j=1}^{n+1} E_j, \alpha_r) = \mathfrak{N}_r(\prod_{j=1}^n E'_j, E_{n+1})$ .*

**Proof.** Since we have actually  $\Phi \in \mathcal{L}\left(\left(\widehat{\otimes}_{j=1}^{n+1} E_j, \alpha_r\right), \mathfrak{N}_r\left(\prod_{j=1}^n E'_j, E_{n+1}\right)\right)$ , it is enough to show that this map is injective. Is easy to see that  $\widehat{\otimes}_{j=1}^{n+1} E_j \subset \left(\mathfrak{N}_r\left(\prod_{j=1}^n E'_j, E_{n+1}\right)\right)'$ . Now theorem 7 implies that the transposed map

$$\Phi' : \left(\mathfrak{N}_r\left(\prod_{j=1}^n E'_j, E_{n+1}\right)\right)' \longrightarrow \mathfrak{B}_r\left(\prod_{j=1}^n E_j, E'_{n+1}\right)$$

has dense range, getting the injectivity of  $\Phi$ . ■

## 4 $\mathbf{r}$ -integral multilinear maps

**Definition 11** *Let  $E_j, 1 \leq j \leq n$ , and  $F$  be Banach spaces. A continuous  $n$ -linear map  $T$  from  $\prod_{j=1}^n E_j$  into  $F$  is called  $\mathbf{r}$ -integral if  $J_F T \in \left(\widehat{\otimes}_{\alpha'_r}^{n+1} (E_1, E_2, \dots, E_n, F')\right)'$ .*

The norm of  $J_F T$  in that dual space is taken as definition of the  $\mathbf{r}$ -integral norm  $\mathbf{I}_r(T)$  of a map  $T \in \mathfrak{I}_r\left(\prod_{j=1}^n E_j, F\right)$ , the set of  $\mathbf{r}$ -integral multilinear maps from  $\prod_{j=1}^n E_j$

into  $F$ .  $(\mathfrak{J}_r, \mathbf{I}_r)$  turns out to be the maximal ideal of multilinear maps associated to the  $(n+1)$ -tensor norm  $\alpha_r$  in the sense of Defant and Floret (see [2] and theorem 4.5 in [5]). The next theorem gives the prototype of  $\mathbf{r}$ -integral maps.

**Theorem 12** *Given a measure space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  and  $g \in L^{r_0}(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ , the canonical multilinear map  $D_g : \prod_{j=1}^n L^{r'_j}(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu) \longrightarrow L^{r_{n+1}}(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  is  $\mathbf{r}$ -integral.*

**Proof.** Let  $\mathcal{S}_j, 1 \leq j \leq n$  be the subspace of  $L^{r'_j}(\Omega, \mu)$  of simple functions with support of finite measure. Every  $\mathcal{S}_j$  being dense in  $L^{r'_j}(\Omega, \mu)$ , it is enough so see that  $D_g \in (\otimes_{\alpha_r} (\mathcal{S}_1, \mathcal{S}_2, \dots, \mathcal{S}_n, L^{r_{n+1}}(\Omega, \mu)))'$  (density lemma for  $(n+1)$ -tensor norms).

Fix  $z \in \otimes_{\alpha_r} (\mathcal{S}_1, \mathcal{S}_2, \dots, \mathcal{S}_n, L^{r_{n+1}}(\Omega, \mu))$ . There exist finite dimensional subspaces  $M_j \subset \mathcal{S}_j, 1 \leq j \leq n$  generated by the characteristic functions  $\{\chi_{B_k}\}_{k=1}^h$  of a finite family of pairwise disjoint sets of finite measure  $\{B_k\}_{k=1}^h \subset \mathcal{A}$  and there exists a finite dimensional subspace  $N \subset L^{r_{n+1}}(\Omega, \mu)$  such that  $z \in \otimes (M_1, M_2, \dots, M_n, N)$ . Then for every  $f_j \in M_j, 1 \leq j \leq n$  and  $f_{n+1} \in N$ , using (4)

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \otimes_{j=1}^{n+1} f_j, D_g \rangle &= \left\langle \left( \otimes_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^h \alpha_{jk} \chi_{B_k} \right) \otimes f_{n+1}, D_g \right\rangle = \sum_{k=1}^h \left( \prod_{j=1}^n \alpha_{jk} \right) \langle \chi_{B_k} g, f_{n+1} \rangle = \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^h \frac{1}{\mu(B_k)^n} \left( \prod_{j=1}^n \left( \int_{B_k} f_j d\mu \right) \right) \langle \chi_{B_k} g, f_{n+1} \rangle = \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^h \left( \int_{B_k} |g|^{r_0} d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{r_0}} \left( \prod_{j=1}^n \left( \frac{1}{\mu(B_k)^{\frac{1}{r_j}}} \int_{B_k} f_j d\mu \right) \right) \left\langle \frac{\left( \int_{B_k} |g|^{r_0} d\mu \right)^{-\frac{1}{r_0}}}{\mu(B_k)^{\frac{1}{w}}} \chi_{B_k} g, f_{n+1} \right\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

As a consequence

$$\forall z \in \otimes (M_1, M_2, \dots, M_n, N) \quad \langle z, D_g \rangle = \langle z, V \rangle \quad (25)$$

where we have defined

$$V := \sum_{k=1}^h \left( \int_{B_k} |g|^{r_0} d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{r_0}} \left( \otimes_{j=1}^n \varphi_{jk} \right) \otimes \frac{\left( \int_{B_k} |g|^{r_0} d\mu \right)^{-\frac{1}{r_0}}}{\mu(B_k)^{\frac{1}{w}}} \chi_{B_k} g$$

and where  $\varphi_{jk}$  is the class in  $L^{r_j}(\Omega, \mu)/M_j^\perp = M_j'$  of the function  $\mu(B_k)^{-\frac{1}{r_j}} \chi_{B_k}$  for every  $\forall 1 \leq j \leq n, 1 \leq k \leq h$ . Moreover, (the class of)  $\chi_{B_k} g \in N'$  for every  $1 \leq k \leq h$  since  $\chi_{B_k} g \in L^{r_0}(\Omega, \mu)$  and by (7) we obtain  $\chi_{B_k} g \in L^{r_{n+1}}(\Omega, \mu)$ ,  $B_k$  being of finite measure.

Note that, by finite dimensionality

$$V \in \bigotimes_{\alpha_{\mathbf{r}}} (M'_1, M'_2, \dots, M'_n, N') = \left( \bigotimes_{\alpha'_{\mathbf{r}}} (M_1, M_2, \dots, M_m, N) \right)'. \quad (26)$$

Now we perform some computations. The first one is

$$\pi_{r_0} \left( \left( \left( \int_{B_k} |g|^{r_0} d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{r_0}} \right)_{k=1}^h \right) = \left( \sum_{k=1}^h \int_{B_k} |g|^{r_0} d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{r_0}} = \|g\|_{L^{r_0}(\Omega)} \quad (27)$$

In second time, for every  $1 \leq j \leq n$ , using (4) and Hölder's inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_{r'_j} \left( \left( (\varphi_{j,k})_{k=1}^h \right) \right) &= \sup_{\|f\|_{L^{r'_j}(\Omega)} \leq 1} \left( \sum_{k=1}^h \frac{1}{\mu(B_k)^{\frac{r'_j}{r_j}}} \left( \int_{B_k} f d\mu \right)^{r'_j} \right)^{\frac{1}{r'_j}} \leq \\ &\leq \sup_{\|f\|_{L^{r'_j}(\Omega)} \leq 1} \left( \sum_{k=1}^h \frac{1}{\mu(B_k)^{\frac{r'_j}{r_j}}} \left( \int_{B_k} |f|^{r'_j} d\mu \right) \mu(B_k)^{\frac{r'_j}{r_j}} \right)^{\frac{1}{r'_j}} \leq \\ &\leq \sup_{\|f\|_{L^{r'_j}(\Omega)} \leq 1} \left( \sum_{k=1}^h \int_{B_k} |f|^{r'_j} d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{r'_j}} = \sup_{\|f\|_{L^{r'_j}(\Omega)} \leq 1} \|f\|_{L^{r'_j}(\Omega)} = 1. \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

Finally, by Hölder's inequality and (6) we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\varepsilon_{r'_{n+1}} \left( \left( \left( \mu(B_k)^{-\frac{1}{w}} \left( \int_{B_k} |g|^{r_0} d\mu \right)^{-\frac{1}{r_0}} \chi_{B_k} g \right)_{k=1}^h \right) \right) = \\ &= \sup_{\|f\|_{L^{r'_{n+1}}(\Omega)} \leq 1} \left( \sum_{k=1}^h \mu(B_k)^{-\frac{r'_{n+1}}{w}} \left( \int_{B_k} |g|^{r_0} d\mu \right)^{-\frac{r'_{n+1}}{r_0}} \left( \int_{B_k} g f d\mu \right)^{r'_{n+1}} \right)^{\frac{1}{r'_{n+1}}} \leq \\ &\leq \sup_{\|f\|_{L^{r'_{n+1}}(\Omega)} \leq 1} \left( \sum_{k=1}^h \int_{B_k} |f|^{r'_{n+1}} d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{r'_{n+1}}} = \sup_{\|f\|_{L^{r'_{n+1}}(\Omega)} \leq 1} \left( \int_{\Omega} |f|^{r'_{n+1}} d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{r'_{n+1}}} = 1. \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

Then, by (25), (26), (27), (28) and (29)

$$|\langle z, D_g \rangle| \leq \alpha'_{\mathbf{r}}(z; \bigotimes_{\alpha'_{\mathbf{r}}} (M_1, M_2, \dots, M_n, N)) \alpha_{\mathbf{r}}(V; \bigotimes_{\alpha_{\mathbf{r}}} (M'_1, M'_2, \dots, M'_n, N')) \leq$$

$$\leq \alpha'_r(z; \bigotimes(M_1, M_2, \dots, M_n, N)) \|g\|_{L^{r_0}(\Omega)}$$

and,  $\alpha'_r$  being a finite generated  $(n+1)$ -tensor norm,

$$|\langle z, D_g \rangle| \leq \alpha'_r(z; \bigotimes(\mathcal{S}_1, \mathcal{S}_2, \dots, \mathcal{S}_n, L^{r_{n+1}}(\Omega, \mu))) \|g\|_{L^{r_0}(\Omega)},$$

which means  $\mathbf{I}_r(D_g) \leq \|g\|_{L^{r_0}(\Omega)}$ . ■

To find a characterization of  $\mathbf{r}$ -integral maps we need to use ultraproducts  $(E_\gamma)_\mathcal{U}$  of a given family  $\{E_\gamma, \gamma \in \mathfrak{G}\}$  of Banach spaces over an ultrafilter  $\mathcal{U}$  on the index set  $\mathfrak{G}$ . For this topic our main reference is [17]. We use the natural notation  $(x_\gamma)_\mathcal{U}$  for every element in  $(E_\gamma)_\mathcal{U}$ .

Given a family  $\{T_\gamma \in \mathcal{L}^n(\prod_{j=1}^n E_\gamma^j, F_\gamma), \gamma \in \mathfrak{G}\}$  of maps between the cartesian product  $\prod_{j=1}^n E_\gamma^j$  of Banach spaces  $E_\gamma^j$  and  $F_\gamma, 1 \leq j \leq n, \gamma \in \mathfrak{G}$ , such that  $\sup_{\gamma \in \mathfrak{G}} \|T_\gamma\| < \infty$ , there is a canonical  $n$ -linear continuous ultraproduct map  $(T_\gamma)_\mathcal{U}$  from the ultraproduct  $(\prod_{j=1}^n E_\gamma^j)_\mathcal{U}$  into the ultraproduct  $(F_\gamma)_\mathcal{U}$  such that for every  $\mathbf{x} := ((x_\gamma^j)_{j=1}^n)_\mathcal{U} \in (\prod_{j=1}^n E_\gamma^j)_\mathcal{U}$  we have  $(T_\gamma)_\mathcal{U}(\mathbf{x}) = (T_\gamma((x_\gamma^j)_{j=1}^n))_\mathcal{U}$ . The main result we shall need is the following factorization theorem:

**Lemma 13** *Consider a family of canonical maps  $D_{g_\gamma} : \prod_{j=1}^n \ell^{r'_j} \longrightarrow \ell^{r_{n+1}}, \gamma \in \mathfrak{G} \neq \emptyset$  defined by a family of elements  $\{g_\gamma | \gamma \in \mathfrak{G}\} \subset \ell^{r_0}$  such that  $0 < \sup_{\gamma \in \mathfrak{G}} \|D_{g_\gamma}\| < \infty$ . There exist a decomposable measure space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{M}, \mu)$ , a function  $g \in L^{r_0}(\Omega, \mathcal{M}, \mu)$  and order onto isometries  $\mathfrak{X}_j : (\ell^{r'_j})_\mathcal{U} \longrightarrow L^{r'_j}(\Omega, \mathcal{M}, \mu), 1 \leq j \leq n, \mathfrak{X}_0 : (\ell^{r_0})_\mathcal{U} \longrightarrow L^{r_0}(\Omega, \mathcal{M}, \mu)$  and  $\mathfrak{X}_{n+1} : (\ell^{r_{n+1}})_\mathcal{U} \longrightarrow L^{r_{n+1}}(\Omega, \mathcal{M}, \mu)$  such that the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\prod_{j=1}^n \ell^{r'_j})_\mathcal{U} & \xrightarrow{(D_{g_\gamma})_\mathcal{U}} & (\ell^{r_{n+1}})_\mathcal{U} \\ (\mathfrak{X}_j)_{j=1}^n \downarrow & & \uparrow \mathfrak{X}_{n+1}^{-1} \\ \prod_{j=1}^n L^{r'_j}(\Omega) & \xrightarrow{D_g} & L^{r_{n+1}}(\Omega). \end{array}$$

is commutative. Moreover,  $\|D_g\| = \|(D_{g_\gamma})_\mathcal{U}\|$ .

**Proof.** By (5) and a factorization result of Raynaud, [ [15], theorem 5.1 ] there are a decomposable measure space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{M}, \mu)$  and isometric order isomorphisms

$$\mathfrak{X}_0 : (\ell^{r_0})_\mathcal{U} \longrightarrow L^{r_0}(\Omega, \mathcal{M}, \mu), \quad \mathfrak{X}_j : (\ell^{r'_j})_\mathcal{U} \longrightarrow L^{r'_j}(\Omega, \mathcal{M}, \mu), \quad 1 \leq j \leq n,$$

and  $\mathfrak{X}_{n+1} : (\ell^{r_{n+1}})_\mathcal{U} \longrightarrow L^{r_{n+1}}(\Omega, \mathcal{M}, \mu)$  such that,  $\mathfrak{M}_\gamma$  being the map corresponding to  $\gamma \in \mathfrak{G}$  (recall the notations introduced in section 1), we have  $(\mathfrak{M}_\gamma)_\mathcal{U} = \mathfrak{X}_{n+1}^{-1} \circ \mathfrak{M}_\mu \circ ((\mathfrak{X}_j)_{j=1}^n)$ . The lemma follows taking  $g = \mathfrak{X}_0((g_\gamma)_\mathcal{U})$ . ■

Now we can obtain the following characterization:

**Theorem 14** Let  $E_j, 1 \leq j \leq n$  and  $F$  be Banach spaces and  $T \in \mathcal{L}^n(\prod_{j=1}^n E_j, F)$ . The following are equivalent:

- 1)  $T$  is  $\mathbf{r}$ -integral.
- 2)  $J_F T$  can be factorized as

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \prod_{j=1}^n E_j & \xrightarrow{T} & F & \xrightarrow{J_F} & F'' \\
 \downarrow (A_j)_{j=1}^n & & & & \uparrow C \\
 \prod_{j=1}^n L^{r'_j}(\Omega, \mathcal{M}, \mu) & \xrightarrow{D_g} & & & L^{r_{n+1}}(\Omega, \mathcal{M}, \mu)
 \end{array} \tag{30}$$

where  $A_j \in \mathcal{L}(E_j, L^{r'_j}(\Omega, \mathcal{M}, \mu)), 1 \leq j \leq n$ ,  $C \in \mathcal{L}(L^{r_{n+1}}(\Omega, \mathcal{M}, \mu), F'')$  and  $D_g$  is the multilinear diagonal operator corresponding to some  $g \in L^{r_0}(\Omega, \mathcal{M}, \mu)$ . Moreover

$$\mathbf{I}_r(T) = \inf \|D_g\| \|C\| \prod_{j=1}^n \|A_j\| \tag{31}$$

taking the infimum over all factorizations as in the previous diagram.

3)  $J_F T$  can be factorized as above but  $(\Omega, \mathcal{M}, \mu)$  being a finite measure space and  $g = \chi_\Omega$ . Formula (31) holds too taking the infimum over the factorizations of that type.

**Proof.** 1)  $\implies$  2). This can be done using standard methods with help of theorem 9 and lemma 13 (see for instance [10] for a detailed development of the method, used in a similar framework).

2)  $\implies$  3). Given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , select a factorization of type (30) with  $g \in L^{r_0}(\Omega, \mathcal{M}, \mu)$  and such that

$$\|g\|_{L^{r_0}(\Omega, \mu)} \|C\| \prod_{j=1}^n \|A_j\| \leq \mathbf{I}_r(T) + \varepsilon. \tag{32}$$

After projection onto the sectional subspaces  $L^{r'_j}(Supp(g)), 1 \leq j \leq n$  if necessary, we can assume that  $\Omega = Supp(g)$ . Consider the new finite measure  $\nu$  on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{M})$  defined by

$$\forall M \in \mathcal{M} \quad \nu(M) = \int_M |g|^{r_0} d\mu$$

and the mappings

$$\forall 1 \leq j \leq n \quad H_j : f_j \in L^{r'_j}(\Omega, \mu) \longrightarrow H_j(f_j) = f_j |g|^{-\frac{r_0}{r'_j}} \in L^{r'_j}(\Omega, \nu)$$

and

$$H_{n+1} : f \in L^{r_{n+1}}(\Omega, \mu) \longrightarrow H_{n+1}(f) = f |g|^{-\frac{r_0}{r_{n+1}}} \in L^{r_{n+1}}(\Omega, \nu).$$

By Radon-Nikodym's theorem

$$\left\| H_{n+1}(f) \right\|_{L^{r_{n+1}}(\Omega, \nu)} = \left\| f \right\|_{L^{r_{n+1}}(\Omega, \mu)}, \quad \left\| H_j(f_j) \right\|_{L^{r'_j}(\Omega, \nu)} = \left\| f_j \right\|_{L^{r'_j}(\Omega, \mu)}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq n \quad (33)$$

and for every  $(f_j)_{j=1}^n \in \prod_{j=1}^n L^{r'_j}(\Omega, \mu)$ , using (2)

$$\begin{aligned} \left( H_{n+1}^{-1} \circ D_{\chi_\Omega} \circ (H_j)_{j=1}^n \right) \left( (f_j)_{j=1}^n \right) &= |g|^{\frac{r_0}{r_{n+1}}} \prod_{j=1}^n f_j |g|^{-\frac{r_0}{r'_j}} = |g|^{r_0 \left( \frac{1}{r_{n+1}} - \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{r'_j} \right)} \prod_{j=1}^n f_j = \\ &= |g|^{r_0 \left( \frac{1}{r_{n+1}} - 1 + \frac{1}{r_0} + \frac{1}{r_{n+1}} \right)} \prod_{j=1}^n f_j = g \prod_{j=1}^n f_j = D_g \left( (f_j)_{j=1}^n \right). \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

As  $\chi_\Omega \in L^{r_0}(\Omega, \nu)$ , joining the factorization (34) with the initial one we get our goal and moreover, by (33) and (32)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{I}_r(T) &\leq \|C \circ H_{n+1}^{-1}\| \|D_{\chi_\Omega}\| \prod_{j=1}^n \|H_j \circ A_j\| \leq \\ &\leq \|C\| \|H_{n+1} \circ D_g \circ H_j^{-1}\| \prod_{j=1}^n \|A_j\| \leq \mathbf{I}_r(T) + \varepsilon. \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

3)  $\implies$  1). It is immediate by theorem 12 and the ideal properties of multilinear  $\mathbf{r}$ -integral operators.  $\blacksquare$

## 5 Applications to reflexivity

Previous results allows us to obtain some information about the reflexivity of completed tensor products of type  $\alpha_r$ .

**Theorem 15** *Let  $E_j, 1 \leq j \leq n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $F$  be reflexive Banach spaces such that  $E'_j, 1 \leq j \leq n$  and  $F'$  have the metric approximation property. Given an admissible  $(n+2)$ -pla  $\mathbf{r}$ , the space  $\widehat{\otimes}_{\alpha_r}(E_1, E_2, \dots, E_n, F)$  is reflexive if and only if*

$$\mathfrak{N}_r \left( \prod_{j=1}^n E'_j, F \right) = \mathfrak{J}_r \left( \prod_{j=1}^n E'_j, F \right). \quad (36)$$

**Proof.** If (36) holds, by theorem 7 and corollary 10 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \widehat{\bigotimes}_{\alpha_{\mathbf{r}}} (E_1, E_2, \dots, E_n, F) \right)'' &= \left( \mathfrak{P}_{\mathbf{r}} \left( \prod_{j=1}^n E_j, F' \right) \right)' = \left( \widehat{\bigotimes}_{\alpha_{\mathbf{r}'}} (E'_1, E'_2, \dots, E'_n, F') \right)' = \\ &= \mathfrak{I}_{\mathbf{r}} \left( \prod_{j=1}^n E'_j, F \right) = \mathfrak{R}_{\mathbf{r}} \left( \prod_{j=1}^n E'_j, F \right) = \widehat{\bigotimes}_{\alpha_{\mathbf{r}}} (E_1, E_2, \dots, E_n, F). \end{aligned}$$

Conversely, if  $\widehat{\bigotimes}_{\alpha_{\mathbf{r}}} (E_1, E_2, \dots, E_n, F)$  is reflexive, by definition of  $\mathbf{r}$ -integral maps, theorem 7 and corollary 10 we obtain

$$\mathfrak{I}_{\mathbf{r}} \left( \prod_{j=1}^n E'_j, F \right) = \left( \mathfrak{P}_{\mathbf{r}} \left( \prod_{j=1}^n E_j, F' \right) \right)' = \widehat{\bigotimes}_{\alpha_{\mathbf{r}}} (E_1, E_2, \dots, E_n, F) = \mathfrak{R}_{\mathbf{r}} \left( \prod_{j=1}^n E'_j, F \right). \blacksquare$$

We apply theorem 15 to characterize the reflexivity of  $(\widehat{\bigotimes}_{j=1}^{n+1} \ell^{u_j}, \alpha_{\mathbf{r}})$ . First, we need a lemma.

**Lemma 16** *Let  $\mathbf{r} = (r_j)_{j=0}^{n+1}$  an admissible  $(n+2)$ -pla verifying  $r_0 = \infty$  and let  $1 < u'_j \leq r'_j$  for every  $1 \leq j \leq n+1$ . Then there exists a non compact map  $T \in \mathfrak{I}_{\mathbf{r}}(\prod_{j=1}^n \ell^{u'_j}, \ell^{u_{n+1}})$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $I_1 := [0, \frac{1}{2}[$  and  $I_m := [\sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{2^i}, \sum_{i=1}^{m+1} \frac{1}{2^i}[$  if  $m > 1$ . The map  $A_j : (\beta_i) \in \ell^{u'_j} \longrightarrow \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \beta_m \mu(I_m)^{-\frac{1}{r'_j}} \chi_{I_m} \in L^{r'_j}([0, 1], \mu)$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq n$  ( $\mu$  is the Lebesgue measure on  $[0, 1]$ ), is well defined and continuous since

$$\|A_j((\beta_m))\| = \left( \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{|\beta_m|^{r'_j}}{\mu(I_m)} \mu(I_m) \right)^{\frac{1}{r'_j}} \leq \|(\beta_m)\|_{\ell^{u'_j}}.$$

Take  $g = \chi_{[0,1]} \in L^{\infty}([0, 1], \mu)$ . Consider now the closed linear subspace  $F$  generated by the set  $\{\chi_{I_m}, m \in \mathbb{N}\}$  in  $L^{r_{n+1}}([0, 1])$ . The map

$$Q : f \in L^{r_{n+1}}([0, 1]) \longrightarrow \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\mu(I_m)} \left( \int_{I_m} f d\mu \right) \chi_{I_m} \in F$$

is continuous since, by Hölder's inequality

$$\|Q(f)\|_F = \left( \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left( \int_{I_m} f d\mu \right)^{r_{n+1}} \mu(I_m)^{1-r_{n+1}} \right)^{\frac{1}{r_{n+1}}} \leq$$

$$\leq \left( \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left( \int_{I_m} |f|^{r_{n+1}} d\mu \right) \mu(I_m)^{\frac{r_{n+1}}{r_{n+1}} + 1 - r_{n+1}} \right)^{\frac{1}{r_{n+1}}} = \|f\|_{L^{r_{n+1}}([0,1])}.$$

It is immediate that  $Q$  is a projection from  $L^{r_{n+1}}([0,1])$  onto  $F$ . Finally consider the map

$$C : f = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \beta_m \chi_{I_m} \in F \longrightarrow \left( \beta_m \mu(I_m)^{\frac{1}{r_{n+1}}} \right) \in \ell^{u_{n+1}}$$

is continuous since  $r_{n+1} \leq u_{n+1}$  and

$$\|C(f)\|_{\ell^{u_{n+1}}} = \left( \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} |\beta_m|^{u_{n+1}} \mu(I_m)^{\frac{u_{n+1}}{r_{n+1}}} \right)^{\frac{1}{u_{n+1}}} \leq \left( \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} |\beta_m|^{r_{n+1}} \mu(I_m) \right)^{\frac{1}{r_{n+1}}} = \|f\|_F.$$

Hence  $T := C \circ Q \circ D_g \circ ((A_j)_{j=1}^n) \in \mathfrak{J}_{\mathbf{r}}(\prod_{j=1}^n \ell^{u'_j}, \ell^{u_{n+1}})$  but  $T$  is not compact since, using (2)

$$\forall m \in \mathbb{N} \quad T((\mathbf{e}_m, \mathbf{e}_m, \dots, \mathbf{e}_m)) = \frac{1}{\mu(I_m)^{\frac{1}{r_{n+1}}}} \mu(I_m)^{\frac{1}{r_{n+1}}} \mathbf{e}_m = \mathbf{e}_m. \quad \blacksquare$$

We can state now the main result of this section:

**Theorem 17** *If  $1 < u_j < \infty$  for every  $1 \leq j \leq n+1$ ,  $(\widehat{\otimes}_{i=1}^{n+1} \ell^{u_i}, \alpha_{\mathbf{r}})$  is reflexive if and only if at least one of the following set of conditions holds:*

S1). *There is  $1 \leq j_0 \leq n+1$  such that  $u'_j > 2$  and  $u'_j > r'_j$  for all  $1 \leq j \neq j_0 \leq n+1$ .*

S2). *There exists  $1 \leq j_0 \leq n+1$  such that  $u'_j > 2$  for every  $1 \leq j \neq j_0 \leq n+1$  and*

$$\frac{1}{r_{j_0}} > \sum_{1 \leq j \neq j_0}^{n+1} \frac{1}{u'_j}. \quad (37)$$

*and moreover, there exists  $1 \leq j_1 \neq j_0 \leq n+1$  such that  $r'_j \geq 2$  for every  $1 \leq j \neq j_1 \leq n+1$ .*

S3). *We have  $u'_j > 2$  for every  $1 \leq j \leq n+1$ , and there exists  $1 \leq j_0 \leq n+1$  such that  $r'_{j_0} \leq 2$  and*

$$\frac{1}{2} > \sum_{1 \leq j \neq j_0}^{n+1} \frac{1}{u'_j}. \quad (38)$$

S4). *There is  $1 \leq j_0 \leq n+1$  such that  $u'_{j_0} = 2, r'_{j_0} \leq 2, u'_j > 2$  for every  $1 \leq j \neq j_0 \leq n+1$  and*

$$\frac{1}{2} > \sum_{1 \leq j \neq j_0}^{n+1} \frac{1}{u'_j}. \quad (39)$$



**Proof. Sufficient conditions. Case S1).** After the transposition  $j_0 \rightarrow n+1, n+1 \rightarrow j_0$  if necessary, we can assume  $j_0 = n+1$  and so  $u'_j > 2$  and  $u'_j > r'_j$  for every  $1 \leq j \leq n$ .

By theorem 14, given  $T \in \mathfrak{I}_r\left(\prod_{j=1}^n \ell^{u'_j}, \ell^{u_{n+1}}\right)$  there are a finite measure space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{M}, \mu)$  and mappings  $A_j \in \mathcal{L}(\ell^{u'_j}, L^{r'_j}(\Omega, \mu))$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq n$  and  $C \in \mathcal{L}(L^{r_{n+1}}(\Omega, \mu), \ell^v)$  such that  $T = C \circ D_{\chi_\Omega} \circ (A_j)_{j=1}^n$ . By Rosenthal's result [ [16],theorem A.2 ] every  $A_j$  is compact, and by the metric approximation property of  $\ell^{u_j}$ , there is a bounded sequence

$$\left\{ A_{jm} = \sum_{k=1}^{k_{jm}} \mathbf{x}_{jk} \otimes f_{jm}^k \right\}_{m=1}^{\infty} \subset \ell^{u_j} \otimes L^{r'_j}(\Omega, \mu) \quad (40)$$

such that

$$\forall 1 \leq j \leq n \quad \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \left\| A_j - A_{jm} \right\|_{\mathcal{L}(\ell^{u'_j}, L^{r'_j}(\Omega, \mu))} = 0. \quad (41)$$

Define  $T_m := C \circ D_{\chi_\Omega} \circ ((A_{jm})_{j=1}^n)$  for every  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Arguing as in theorem 7 and using theorem 14 we obtain for every  $1 \leq j \leq n$  and  $m \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\left\{ C \circ D_{\chi_\Omega} \circ (A_{1m}, \dots, A_{j-1,m}, A_j - A_{jm}, A_{j+1,m}, \dots, A_{nm}) \right\}_{m=1}^{\infty} \subset \mathfrak{I}_r\left(\prod_{j=1}^n \ell^{u'_j}, \ell^{u_{n+1}}\right)$$

and by (41)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{I}_r(T - T_m) &\leq \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbf{I}_r(C \circ D_{\chi_\Omega} \circ (A_{1m}, \dots, A_{j-1,m}, A_j - A_{jm}, A_{j+1}, \dots, A_n)) \leq \\ &\leq \mu(\Omega)^{\frac{1}{r_0}} \|C\| \sum_{j=1}^n \|A_j - A_{jm}\| \left( \prod_{1 \leq s < j} \|A_{sm}\| \right) \left( \prod_{j < s \leq n} \|A_s\| \right) \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

which approach to 0 if  $m \rightarrow \infty$ . But actually we have

$$T_m = \sum_{k=1}^{k_{jm}} (\otimes_{j=1}^n \mathbf{x}_{jk}) \otimes (C \circ D_{\chi_\Omega} \circ ((f_{jm}^k))) \in \mathfrak{N}_r\left(\prod_{j=1}^n \ell^{u'_j}, \ell^{u_{n+1}}\right).$$

It follows from theorem 7 that  $\mathbf{N}_r(T_m - T_s) = \mathbf{I}_r(T_m - T_s)$  for  $m, s \in \mathbb{N}$  and using (42), it turns out that  $\{T_m\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $\mathfrak{N}_r(\prod_{j=1}^n \ell^{u'_j}, \ell^{u_{n+1}})$ . Then  $T \in \mathfrak{N}_r(\prod_{j=1}^n \ell^{u'_j}, \ell^{u_{n+1}})$  and by theorem 15  $(\widehat{\otimes}_{i=1}^{n+1} \ell^{u_i}, \alpha_r)$  is reflexive.

**Case S2).** Let  $1 \leq j_0 \neq j_1 \leq n+1$  such that  $u'_j > 2, 1 \leq j \neq j_0 \leq n+1, r'_j \geq 2, 1 \leq j \neq j_1 \leq n+1$  and (37) holds. In a first step we are going to see that we can assume  $r'_{j_1} \geq 2$  too.

Consider the case that  $r'_{j_1} < 2$ . In such a case we have  $u'_{j_1} > 2$  because  $j_0 \neq j_1$ . If  $j_1 = n + 1$ , defining  $s'_{n+1} = 2, s'_j := r'_j, 1 \leq j \leq n$  and  $\frac{1}{s_0} := \frac{1}{r_0} + \frac{1}{r'_{n+1}} - \frac{1}{2}$  we obtain an admissible  $(n + 2)$ -pla  $\mathbf{s} = (s_j)_{j=0}^{n+1}$  verifying (37) still and such that,  $\ell^{u_{n+1}}$  having cotype 2, by corollary 4, we have  $(\widehat{\otimes}_{j=1}^{n+1} \ell^{u_j}, \alpha_{\mathbf{r}}) \approx (\widehat{\otimes}_{j=1}^{n+1} \ell^{u_j}, \alpha_{\mathbf{s}})$ . If  $1 \leq j_1 \leq n$ , a transposition  $j_1 \rightarrow n + 1, n + 1 \rightarrow j_1$  would reduce the situation to the just considered case. So, in the formulation of S1) we can assume that  $r'_j \geq 2, 1 \leq j \leq n + 1$ .

After the eventual transposition  $j_0 \rightarrow n + 1, n + 1 \rightarrow j_0$  we can assume that  $u'_j > 2$  for every  $1 \leq j \leq n$ ,  $r'_j \geq 2$  for every  $1 \leq j \leq n + 1$  and (37) holds for  $j_0 = n + 1$ . Using (5) this last condition can be written in the way

$$\frac{1}{r_0} + \sum_{\{j \mid r'_j < u'_j\}} \left( \frac{1}{r'_j} - \frac{1}{u'_j} \right) > \sum_{\{j \mid r'_j \geq u'_j\}} \left( \frac{1}{u'_j} - \frac{1}{r'_j} \right). \quad (43)$$

For every  $1 \leq j \leq n$  such that  $r'_j \geq u'_j$ , choose  $2 \leq t'_j < u'_j$  close enough to  $u'_j$  in order that

$$\frac{1}{t_0} := \frac{1}{r_0} + \sum_{\{j \mid r'_j < u'_j\}} \left( \frac{1}{r'_j} - \frac{1}{u'_j} \right) - \sum_{\{j \mid r'_j \geq u'_j\}} \left( \frac{1}{t'_j} - \frac{1}{r'_j} \right) > 0. \quad (44)$$

Now define  $t'_j := r'_j$  if  $r'_j < u'_j, 1 \leq j \leq n$  and  $t_{n+1} := r_{n+1}$ . By (2) we have

$$\frac{1}{t_{n+1}} = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{t'_j} + \sum_{\{j \mid r'_j < u'_j\}} \left( \frac{1}{r'_j} - \frac{1}{t'_j} \right) + \sum_{\{j \mid r'_j \geq u'_j\}} \left( \frac{1}{r'_j} - \frac{1}{t'_j} \right) + \frac{1}{r_0}$$

and it turns out that  $\mathbf{t} = (t_j)_{j=0}^{n+1}$  is an admissible  $(n+2)$ -pla such that  $2 \leq t'_j < u'_j$  and  $t'_j \leq r'_j$  for every  $1 \leq j \leq n$  and moreover, by corollary 5 we have  $(\widehat{\otimes}_{j=1}^{n+1} \ell^{u_j}, \alpha_{\mathbf{r}}) \approx (\widehat{\otimes}_{j=1}^{n+1} \ell^{u_j}, \alpha_{\mathbf{t}})$ . Hence by case S1),  $(\widehat{\otimes}_{j=1}^{n+1} \ell^{u_j}, \alpha_{\mathbf{r}})$  is reflexive.

**Case S3).** Once again after the transposition  $j_0 \rightarrow n + 1, n + 1 \rightarrow j_0$  we can assume that  $r'_{n+1} \leq 2, u'_j > 2$  for every  $1 \leq j \leq n + 1$  and (38) holds for  $j_0 = n + 1$ , or in an equivalent way (by (2)),

$$\frac{1}{r_0} + \frac{1}{r'_{n+1}} - \frac{1}{2} + \sum_{\{j \mid r'_j < u'_j\}} \left( \frac{1}{r'_j} - \frac{1}{u'_j} \right) > \sum_{\{j \mid r'_j \geq u'_j\}} \left( \frac{1}{u'_j} - \frac{1}{r'_j} \right).$$

Remark that, by (2) we have necessarily  $r'_j \geq 2, 1 \leq j \leq n$ . Since  $\ell^{u_{n+1}}$  has cotype 2, by corollary 4 there exists an  $(n + 2)$ -pla  $\mathbf{s} = (s_j)_{j=0}^{n+1}$  such that  $s'_{n+1} = 2, s'_j := r'_j, 1 \leq j \leq n$  and  $\frac{1}{s_0} := \frac{1}{r_0} + \frac{1}{r'_{n+1}} - \frac{1}{2}$  and  $(\widehat{\otimes}_{j=1}^{n+1} \ell^{u_j}, \alpha_{\mathbf{s}}) \approx (\widehat{\otimes}_{j=1}^{n+1} \ell^{u_j}, \alpha_{\mathbf{r}})$ . Then  $(\widehat{\otimes}_{j=1}^{n+1} \ell^{u_j}, \alpha_{\mathbf{s}})$  is reflexive by the case S2) and so  $(\widehat{\otimes}_{j=1}^{n+1} \ell^{u_j}, \alpha_{\mathbf{r}})$  does.

**Case S4).** Assume the existence of  $1 \leq j_0 \leq n+1$  such that  $u'_{j_0} = 2, r'_{j_0} \leq 2, u'_j > 2$  for every  $1 \leq j \neq j_0 \leq n+1$  and (39) holds. Consider the admissible  $(n+2)$ -pla  $\mathbf{s} = (s_j)_{j=0}^{n+1}$  such that  $s_{j_0} := 2, s_j := r_j$  for every  $1 \leq j \neq j_0 \leq n+1$  and  $\frac{1}{s_0} := \frac{1}{r_0} + \frac{1}{r'_{j_0}} - \frac{1}{2}$ . We obtain from Kwapien's generalized theorem and Pietsch's inclusion theorem that  $\mathfrak{P}_{\mathbf{r}}(\prod_{j=1}^n \ell^{u_j}, \ell^{u_{n+1}}) \subset \mathfrak{P}_{\mathbf{s}}(\prod_{j=1}^n \ell^{u_j}, \ell^{u_{n+1}})$ . The reverse inclusion is true by Kwapien's factorization theorem and Maurey's theorem [ [2], corollary 3, §31.6 ] because  $\ell^{u_{j_0}} = \ell^2$  has cotype 2 and  $r'_{j_0} < 2$  give  $\mathfrak{P}_2(\ell^2, M) = \mathfrak{P}_{r'_{j_0}}(\ell^2, M)$  for every Banach space  $M$ . Then  $(\widehat{\otimes}_{j=1}^{n+1} \ell^{u_j}, \alpha_{\mathbf{r}}) \approx (\widehat{\otimes}_{j=1}^{n+1} \ell^{u_j}, \alpha_{\mathbf{s}})$  and  $(\widehat{\otimes}_{j=1}^{n+1} \ell^{u_j}, \alpha_{\mathbf{s}})$  is reflexive by (39) and the case S2).

**Necessary conditions.** We are going to see that  $(\widehat{\otimes}_{j=1}^{n+1} \ell^{u_j}, \alpha_{\mathbf{r}})$  is not reflexive if none of the previous conditions holds. It is enough to consider the following cases.

**Case N1).** Assume there exist  $1 \leq j_0 \leq n$  such that  $u'_{j_0} \leq 2$  and  $1 \leq j_0 \neq j_1 \leq n+1$  such that  $u_{j_1} \geq 2$ . After the transposition  $j_1 \rightarrow n+1, n+1 \rightarrow j_1$  on  $\{1, 2, \dots, n+1\}$  if necessary, we can assume that  $j_1 = n+1$ , i.e.  $u_{n+1} \geq 2$ .

For every  $1 < p < \infty$ , let  $\{R_{p,h}\}_{h=1}^{\infty}$  be the sequence of Rademacher functions in  $L^p([0, 1])$ . It is well known that the sequence  $\{R_{p,h}\}_{h=1}^{\infty}$  is equivalent to the standard unit basis of  $\ell^2$  and its closed linear span  $X_p$  is complemented in  $L^p([0, 1])$  (Khinchine's inequality and [ [12], proposition 5 ]).

Let  $P_{n+1} \in \mathcal{L}(L^{r_{n+1}}([0, 1]), X_{r_{n+1}})$  be a projection. Let  $S_{j_0} : \ell^{u'_{j_0}} \rightarrow X_{r'_{j_0}}$  be the continuous linear map such that  $S_{j_0}(\mathbf{e}_h) = R_{r'_{j_0}, h}$ . On the other hand, for every  $1 \leq j \neq j_0 \leq n$  fix a sequence  $(\alpha_{jh})_{h=1}^{\infty} \in \ell^2$  such that  $\alpha_{j1} = 1$  and denote by  $S_j : \ell^{u'_j} \rightarrow X_{r'_j}$  the continuous linear map such that  $S_j(\mathbf{e}_h) = \alpha_{jh} R_{r'_j, h}$  (remark that

$$\|S_j((\beta_h))\| \leq C_j \|(\alpha_{jh}\beta_h)\|_{\ell^2} \leq C_j \|(\alpha_{jh})\|_{\ell^2} \|(\beta_h)\|_{\ell^\infty} \leq C_j \|(\alpha_{jh})\|_{\ell^2} \|(\beta_h)\|_{\ell^{u'_j}}$$

for some  $C_j > 0$  by Khinchine's inequality).

Take  $g := \prod_{j=1, j \neq j_0}^n R_{r'_j, 1} \in L^{r_0}([0, 1])$ , and consider the well defined map  $T_{n+1} \in \mathcal{L}(X_{r_{n+1}}, \ell^{u_{n+1}})$  such that  $T_{n+1}(R_{r_{n+1}, h}) = \mathbf{e}_h$  for  $h \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then

$$T := T_{n+1} \circ P_{n+1} \circ D_g \circ (S_j)_{j=1}^n$$

is  $\mathbf{r}$ -integral by theorem 14. Let  $\{z_{j_0, h}\}_{h=1}^{\infty} := \{(a_{1h}, a_{2h}, \dots, a_{nh})\}_{h=1}^{\infty} \subset \prod_{j=1}^n \ell^{u'_j}$  such that  $a_{jh} = \mathbf{e}_1$  if  $j \neq j_0$  and  $a_{j_0 h} = \mathbf{e}_h$ , for every  $h \in \mathbb{N}$ . We obtain  $T(z_{j_0, h}) = \mathbf{e}_h$  for every  $h \in \mathbb{N}$  and so  $T$  is not compact. If  $r_0 \neq \infty$ , by the remark after theorem 9 we have  $T \notin \mathfrak{N}_{\mathbf{r}}(\prod_{j=1}^n \ell^{u'_j}, \ell^{u_{n+1}})$  and by theorem 15,  $(\widehat{\otimes}_{j=1}^{n+1} \ell^{u_j}, \alpha_{\mathbf{r}})$  is not reflexive.

In the case  $r_0 = \infty$  we need to consider several possibilities. First assume that there are  $1 \leq j_2 \neq j_0 \leq n+1$  and  $1 \leq j_3 \neq j_2 \leq n+1$  such that  $r'_{j_2} \geq 2$  and  $r'_{j_3} \geq 2$ . By corollary 6 there is an admissible  $(n+2)$ -pla  $\mathbf{s} = (s_j)_{j=0}^{n+1}$  such that  $s_0 \neq \infty$  and

$(\widehat{\bigotimes}_{j=1}^{n+1} \ell^{u_j}, \alpha_{\mathbf{r}}) \approx (\widehat{\bigotimes}_{j=1}^{n+1} \ell^{u_j}, \alpha_{\mathbf{s}})$ . Then by the previous case with  $r_0 \neq \infty$ , we see that  $(\widehat{\bigotimes}_{j=1}^{n+1} \ell^{u_j}, \alpha_{\mathbf{r}})$  is not reflexive.

Finally, having (2) in mind, it remains to consider the case that  $r'_{j_0} \leq 2$  and  $n = 1$ . We are dealing with  $\ell^{u_1} \widehat{\bigotimes}_{\alpha_{\mathbf{r}}} \ell^{u_2}$  where  $u'_1 \leq 2, r'_1 \leq 2$  and  $u_2 \geq 2$ . By theorems 2 and 7 we have  $(\ell^{u_1} \widehat{\bigotimes}_{\alpha_{\mathbf{r}}} \ell^{u_2})' = \ell^{u'_1} \widehat{\bigotimes}_{\alpha'_{\mathbf{r}}} \ell^{u'_2}$ . The set  $K := \{\mathbf{e}_i \otimes \mathbf{e}_i, i \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset \ell^{u'_1} \widehat{\bigotimes}_{\alpha'_{\mathbf{r}}} \ell^{u'_2}$  is bounded. If  $\ell^{u_1} \widehat{\bigotimes}_{\alpha_{\mathbf{r}}} \ell^{u_2}$  were reflexive,  $\ell^{u'_1} \widehat{\bigotimes}_{\alpha'_{\mathbf{r}}} \ell^{u'_2}$  would be reflexive too and by Smul'yan's theorem, switching to a suitable subsequence if necessary, we would assume that  $\{\mathbf{e}_i \otimes \mathbf{e}_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$  is weakly convergent to some  $z \in \ell^{u'_1} \widehat{\bigotimes}_{\alpha'_{\mathbf{r}}} \ell^{u'_2}$ . It follows from boundedness of  $K$  and the density of  $[\mathbf{e}_h]_{h=1}^{\infty} \otimes [\mathbf{e}_h]_{h=1}^{\infty}$  in  $\ell^{u_1} \widehat{\bigotimes}_{\alpha_{\mathbf{r}}} \ell^{u_2}$  that given  $T \in \ell^{u_1} \widehat{\bigotimes}_{\alpha_{\mathbf{r}}} \ell^{u_2}$  and  $\rho > 0$ , there exist  $w \in \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} [\mathbf{e}_h]_{h=1}^k \otimes [\mathbf{e}_h]_{h=1}^k$  and  $m_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \forall m \geq m_0 \quad |\langle T, z \rangle| &\leq |\langle T, z - \mathbf{e}_m \otimes \mathbf{e}_m \rangle| + |\langle T - w, \mathbf{e}_m \otimes \mathbf{e}_m \rangle| + |\langle w, \mathbf{e}_m \otimes \mathbf{e}_m \rangle| \leq \\ &\leq |\langle T, z - \mathbf{e}_m \otimes \mathbf{e}_m \rangle| + \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} |\langle T - w, \mathbf{e}_k \otimes \mathbf{e}_k \rangle| + |\langle w, \mathbf{e}_m \otimes \mathbf{e}_m \rangle| \leq \rho \end{aligned}$$

because  $\langle w, \mathbf{e}_m \otimes \mathbf{e}_m \rangle = 0$  if  $m$  is large enough. Then  $z = 0$ . But we are assuming that  $\mathfrak{J}_{\mathbf{r}}(\ell^{u_1}, \ell^{u_2}) = (\ell^{u'_1} \widehat{\bigotimes}_{\alpha'_{\mathbf{r}}} \ell^{u'_2})' = \ell^{u_1} \widehat{\bigotimes}_{\alpha_{\mathbf{r}}} \ell^{u_2}$  and so, by the construction made in the case  $r_0 \neq \infty$  there is  $T \in \ell^{u_1} \widehat{\bigotimes}_{\alpha_{\mathbf{r}}} \ell^{u_2}$  such that  $\langle T(\mathbf{e}_i), \mathbf{e}_i \rangle = \langle \mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{e}_i \rangle = 1$  for every  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , a contradiction. Then  $\ell^{u_1} \widehat{\bigotimes}_{\alpha_{\mathbf{r}}} \ell^{u_2}$  is not reflexive.

**Case N2).** Assume that  $u'_j \geq 2$  for every  $1 \leq j \leq n$ ,  $r'_j \geq 2$  for every  $1 \leq j \leq n+1$ ,  $u'_{n+1} \leq r'_{n+1}$  and  $\frac{1}{r_{n+1}} \leq \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{u'_j}$ , or equivalently (by (5))

$$\frac{1}{r_0} + \sum_{\{j | r'_j < u'_j\}} \left( \frac{1}{r'_j} - \frac{1}{u'_j} \right) \leq \sum_{\{j | r'_j \geq u'_j\}} \left( \frac{1}{u'_j} - \frac{1}{r'_j} \right). \quad (45)$$

Given  $1 \leq j \leq n$ , if  $r'_j < u'_j$  and  $t'_j \in [u'_j, \infty[$  it turns out that we have

$$\frac{1}{r_0} + \sum_{\{j | r'_j < u'_j\}} \left( \frac{1}{r'_j} - \frac{1}{t'_j} \right) \in \left[ \frac{1}{r_0} + \sum_{\{j | r'_j < u'_j\}} \left( \frac{1}{r'_j} - \frac{1}{u'_j} \right), \frac{1}{r_0} + \sum_{\{j | r'_j < u'_j\}} \frac{1}{r'_j} \right].$$

On the other hand, if  $r'_j \geq u'_j$  and  $t'_j \in [u'_j, r'_j]$  we have

$$\sum_{\{j | r'_j \geq u'_j\}} \left( \frac{1}{t'_j} - \frac{1}{r'_j} \right) \in \left[ 0, \sum_{\{j | r'_j \geq u'_j\}} \left( \frac{1}{u'_j} - \frac{1}{r'_j} \right) \right].$$

Then it follows from (45) that we can choose  $t'_j \geq u'_j$  for every  $1 \leq j \leq n$  such that  $r'_j < u'_j$  and  $u'_j \leq t'_j \leq r'_j$  for every  $1 \leq j \leq n$  which verifies  $u'_j \leq r'_j$  in order that

$$\frac{1}{r_0} + \sum_{\{j \mid r'_j < u'_j\}} \left( \frac{1}{r'_j} - \frac{1}{t'_j} \right) = \sum_{\{j \mid r'_j \geq u'_j\}} \left( \frac{1}{t'_j} - \frac{1}{r'_j} \right).$$

By (2) we have

$$\frac{1}{r_{n+1}} = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{t'_j} + \sum_{\{j \mid r'_j < u'_j\}} \left( \frac{1}{r'_j} - \frac{1}{t'_j} \right) + \sum_{\{j \mid r'_j \geq u'_j\}} \left( \frac{1}{r'_j} - \frac{1}{t'_j} \right) + \frac{1}{r_0} = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{t'_j}.$$

Taking  $t_0 = \infty$  and  $t_{n+1} = r_{n+1}$  we obtain an admissible  $(n+2)$ -pla  $\mathbf{t} = (t_j)_{j=0}^{n+2}$  such that  $t'_j \geq u'_j \geq 2$  for every  $1 \leq j \leq n$ . By corollary 5 we have  $(\widehat{\otimes}_{j=1}^{n+1} \ell^{u_j}, \alpha_{\mathbf{r}}) \approx (\widehat{\otimes}_{j=1}^{n+1} \ell^{u_j}, \alpha_{\mathbf{t}})$  and so  $\mathfrak{I}_{\mathbf{t}}(\prod_{j=1}^n \ell^{u'_j}, \ell^{u_{n+1}}) = \mathfrak{I}_{\mathbf{r}}(\prod_{j=1}^n \ell^{u'_j}, \ell^{u_{n+1}})$ . But by lemma 16 there is a non compact map  $S \in \mathfrak{I}_{\mathbf{t}}(\prod_{j=1}^n \ell^{u'_j}, \ell^{u_{n+1}})$ . Now we take  $s'_j = t'_j$  if  $1 \leq j \leq n$ ,  $s'_{n+1} > t'_{n+1}$  and define  $s_0 < \infty$  such that  $\frac{1}{s_0} := \frac{1}{t'_{n+1}} - \frac{1}{s'_{n+1}}$ . Then  $\mathbf{s} = (s_j)_{j=0}^{n+1}$  is another admissible  $(n+2)$ -pla verifying  $(\widehat{\otimes}_{j=1}^{n+1} \ell^{u_j}, \alpha_{\mathbf{s}}) \approx (\widehat{\otimes}_{j=1}^{n+1} \ell^{u_j}, \alpha_{\mathbf{t}})$  corollary 6 and  $S \in \mathfrak{I}_{\mathbf{s}}(\prod_{j=1}^n \ell^{u'_j}, \ell^{u_{n+1}})$ . By remark after theorem 9 we have  $S \notin \mathfrak{N}_{\mathbf{s}}(\prod_{j=1}^n \ell^{u'_j}, \ell^{u_{n+1}})$  and by theorem 15  $(\widehat{\otimes}_{j=1}^{n+1} \ell^{u_j}, \alpha_{\mathbf{r}}) \approx (\widehat{\otimes}_{j=1}^{n+1} \ell^{u_j}, \alpha_{\mathbf{s}})$  turns out to be not reflexive.

**Case N3).** Assume that  $u'_j \geq 2$  for every  $1 \leq j \leq n+1$ ,  $r'_{n+1} \leq 2$  and  $\frac{1}{2} \leq \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{u'_j}$ , or, in an equivalent form (by (2))

$$\frac{1}{r_0} + \frac{1}{r'_{n+1}} - \frac{1}{2} + \sum_{\{j \mid r'_j < u'_j\}} \left( \frac{1}{r'_j} - \frac{1}{u'_j} \right) \leq \sum_{\{j \mid r'_j \geq u'_j\}} \left( \frac{1}{u'_j} - \frac{1}{r'_j} \right).$$

By (2) we have  $r'_j \geq 2, 1 \leq j \leq n$ . Defining  $\frac{1}{s_0} := \frac{1}{r_0} + \frac{1}{r'_{n+1}} - \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $s'_j := r'_j, 1 \leq j \leq n$  and  $s_{n+1} := 2$  we obtain an admissible  $(n+2)$ -pla  $\mathbf{s} = (s_j)_{j=0}^{n+1}$  such that,  $\ell^{u_{n+1}}$  having cotype 2, by corollary 4 one has  $(\widehat{\otimes}_{j=1}^{n+1} \ell^{u_j}, \alpha_{\mathbf{s}}) \approx (\widehat{\otimes}_{j=1}^{n+1} \ell^{u_j}, \alpha_{\mathbf{r}})$ . Then  $(\widehat{\otimes}_{j=1}^{n+1} \ell^{u_j}, \alpha_{\mathbf{s}})$  is not reflexive by the case N2), obtaining the desired conclusion by isomorphism.

**Case N4).** Assume there are  $1 \leq j_0 \leq n$  and  $1 \leq j_1 \neq j_0 \leq n+1$  such that  $u'_{j_0} < 2, r'_{j_0} < 2$  and  $r_{j_1} \leq u_{j_1}$ .

a) First we consider the case that  $n \geq 2$ . By (2) necessarily exist  $1 \leq j_2 \neq j_3 \leq n+1$  such that  $r'_{j_2} \geq 2$  and  $r'_{j_3} \geq 2$  and so, by corollary 6 and eventually switching to an isomorphic tensor product  $(\widehat{\otimes}_{j=1}^{n+1} \ell^{u_j}, \alpha_{\mathbf{s}})$ , we can suppose moreover, that  $r_0 < \infty$ .

After the transposition  $j_1 \rightarrow n+1, n+1 \rightarrow j_1$  if necessary we can assume that  $j_1 = n+1$ , i. e.  $r_{n+1} \leq u_{n+1}$  indeed. If there exists  $1 \leq j_4 \neq j_0 \leq n+1$  such that  $u'_{j_4} \leq 2$ , the result follows from case N1). Hence we can assume  $u'_j > 2$  for every  $1 \leq j \neq j_0 \leq n+1$ .

Fix  $t < 2$  such that  $r'_{j_0} < t, u'_{j_0} < t$  and  $u_{n+1} < t$ . Let  $\{\varphi_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  be a sequence of standard independent identically distributed  $t$ -stable random variables in  $[0, 1]$ . It is known that the norm  $K_{t,p} := \|\varphi_k\|_{L^p([0,1])}$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  is only dependent on  $t$  and  $p$  for every  $1 \leq p < 2$  and that  $\{\Phi_{k,p} := \frac{\varphi_k}{K_{t,p}}\}_{k=1}^\infty$  is isometrically equivalent in  $L^p([0, 1])$ ,  $1 \leq p < t$  to the canonical basis of  $\ell^t$  (see [ [6], proposition IV.4.10 ] for example ). Then  $\{\Phi_{k,r_{n+1}}\}_{k=1}^\infty$  is a normalized basis in the reflexive subspace  $[\Phi_{k,r_{n+1}}]_{k=1}^\infty \approx \ell^t$  of  $L^{r_{n+1}}([0, 1])$  and thus it is weakly convergent to 0 in  $L^{r_{n+1}}([0, 1])$  (see [ [7], footnote page 169 ] for instance). Switching to a suitable subsequence if necessary, by [ [18], chapter III, theorem 1.8 ], the sequence  $\{\Phi_{k,r_{n+1}}\}_{k=1}^\infty$  can be enlarged to obtain a normalized basis  $\mathcal{B} := \{\Phi_{k,r_{n+1}}\}_{k=1}^\infty \cup \{\Psi_m\}_{m=1}^\infty$  in  $L^{r_{n+1}}([0, 1])$ . By reflexivity the sequence  $\{\Phi_{k,r_{n+1}}^*\}_{k=1}^\infty \cup \{\Psi_m^*\}_{m=1}^\infty$  of associated coefficient functionals to  $\mathcal{B}$  is a basis in  $L^{r'_{n+1}}([0, 1])$ . From [ [18], chapter I, theorem 3.1 ] we find  $1 \leq M \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $1 \leq \|\Phi_{k,r_{n+1}}^*\| \leq M$  and  $1 \leq \|\Psi_m^*\| \leq M$  for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . As above we obtain that  $\{\Phi_{k,r_{n+1}}^*\}_{k=1}^\infty$  must be weakly convergent to 0. As  $r'_{n+1} > 2$ , by the result [ [7], corollary 5 ] of Kadec and Pełciński, switching to a subsequence again, it can be assumed that  $\{\Phi_{k,r_{n+1}}^*\}_{k=1}^\infty$  is equivalent to the standard unit basis in  $\ell^{r'_{n+1}}$  or to the standard unit basis in  $\ell^2$ . By [ [7], corollary 1 ], the latter possibility would imply that  $[\Phi_{k,r_{n+1}}^*]_{k=1}^\infty$  would be complemented in  $L^{r'_{n+1}}([0, 1])$  and by reflexivity and duality, we would have the isomorphisms  $([\Phi_{k,r_{n+1}}^*]_{k=1}^\infty)' \approx [\Phi_{k,r_{n+1}}]_{k=1}^\infty \approx \ell^t \approx \ell^2$  which is not possible. Then  $\{\Phi_{k,r_{n+1}}^*\}_{k=1}^\infty$  is equivalent to the standard basis of  $\ell^{r'_{n+1}}$  and so, the map  $V \in \mathcal{L}(\ell^{u'_{n+1}}, L^{r'_{n+1}}([0, 1]))$  such that  $V(\mathbf{e}_h) = \Phi_{h,r_{n+1}}^*$ ,  $h \in \mathbb{N}$  is well defined.

Let  $S_j \in \mathcal{L}(\ell^{u'_j}, L^{r'_j}([0, 1]))$ ,  $1 \leq j \neq j_0 \leq n$  be defined as in previous case N1) and consider  $S_{j_0} \in \mathcal{L}(\ell^{u'_{j_0}}, L^{r'_{j_0}}([0, 1]))$  such that  $S_{j_0}(\mathbf{e}_k) = \Phi_{k,r'_{j_0}}$  for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Taking  $g$  as in case N1), the map  $T := V' \circ D_g \circ ((S_j))_{j=1}^n$  is  $\mathbf{r}$ -integral. However, for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and every  $(\gamma_h) \in \ell^{u'_{n+1}}$  we have

$$\left\langle T(z_{j_0,k}), (\gamma_h) \right\rangle = \left\langle \frac{K_{t,r_{n+1}}}{K_{t,r'_{j_0}}} \Phi_{k,r_{n+1}}, \sum_{h=1}^\infty \gamma_h \Phi_{h,r_{n+1}}^* \right\rangle = \frac{K_{t,r_{n+1}}}{K_{t,r'_{j_0}}} \gamma_k$$

and so  $T(z_{j_0,k}) = \frac{K_{t,r_{n+1}}}{K_{t,r'_{j_0}}} \mathbf{e}_k$  and  $T$  is not compact. By remark after theorem 9 we obtain  $T \notin \mathfrak{N}_{\mathbf{r}}(\prod_{j=1}^n \ell^{u'_j}, \ell^{u_{n+1}})$  and by theorem 15  $(\widehat{\otimes}_{j=1}^{n+1} \ell^{u_j}, \alpha_{\mathbf{r}})$  is not reflexive.

b) Now we consider the case  $n = 1$ . If  $r_0 \neq \infty$  the previous argumentation can be used still and  $\ell^{u_1} \widehat{\otimes}_{\alpha_{\mathbf{r}}} \ell^{u_2}$  is not reflexive. If  $r_0 = \infty$ , after an eventual transposition, we will be dealing with the case  $u'_1 \leq 2, r'_1 < 2$  and  $r_2 \leq u_2$ . If  $u_2 \geq 2$  the result follows from N1). If  $u_2 < 2$  and  $u'_1 = 2$  we repeat the proof given in this case for  $n \geq 2$  and  $\ell^{u_1} \widehat{\otimes}_{\alpha_{\mathbf{r}}} \ell^{u_2}$  turns out to be non reflexive. If  $u_2 < 2$  and  $u'_1 < 2$  the same construction just used in the case  $n \geq 2$  show the existence of a map  $T \in \mathfrak{I}_{\mathbf{r}}(\ell^{u'_1}, \ell^{u_2})$  such that  $T(\mathbf{e}_i) = \frac{K_{t,r_2}}{K_{t,r'_1}} \mathbf{e}_i$  for every  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then we can repeat the argumentation used in the last part of N1) with the set  $K := \{\mathbf{e}_i \otimes \mathbf{e}_i, i \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset \ell^{u'_1} \otimes \ell^{u_2}$  to conclude that  $(\widehat{\otimes}_{j=1}^{n+1} \ell^{u_j}, \alpha_{\mathbf{r}})$  is not reflexive.

Finally we check that the proof of theorem 17 is complete. Assume that neither condition S1), S2), S3), S4) holds.

a) First case: assume there is  $1 \leq j_0 \leq n+1$  such that  $u'_{j_0} \leq 2$ . After an eventual transposition with any  $1 \leq k \neq j_0 \leq n+1$ , we can take  $j_0 \leq n$ . If there is some  $1 \leq j_1 \neq j_0 \leq n+1$  such that  $u'_{j_1} \leq 2$ , by N1),  $(\widehat{\otimes}_{j=1}^{n+1} \ell^{u_j}, \alpha_{\mathbf{r}})$  is not reflexive. Then we can assume  $u'_j > 2, 1 \leq j \neq j_0 \leq n+1$ . As S1) does not holds, there exists  $j_1 \neq j_0$  such that  $r_{j_1} \leq u_{j_1}$ . If it would be  $u'_{j_0} < 2$  and  $r'_{j_0} < 2$ ,  $(\widehat{\otimes}_{j=1}^{n+1} \ell^{u_j}, \alpha_{\mathbf{r}})$  would be not reflexive by N4). If  $u'_{j_0} = 2$  and  $r'_{j_0} < 2$ , as S4) does not holds, after the transposition  $j_0 \rightarrow n+1, n+1 \rightarrow j_0$ , by N3)  $(\widehat{\otimes}_{j=1}^{n+1} \ell^{u_j}, \alpha_{\mathbf{r}})$  is not reflexive.

In the case  $r'_{j_0} \geq 2$ , by (2) there is at most an unique  $1 \leq j_2 \leq n+1$  such that  $r'_{j_2} < 2$ . Necessarily  $j_2 \neq j_0$ . As S2) does not holds, after an eventual transposition  $j_0 \rightarrow n+1, n+1 \rightarrow j_0$ , we see that  $u'_{n+1} \leq 2 \leq r'_{n+1}$  and by N2)  $(\widehat{\otimes}_{j=1}^{n+1} \ell^{u_j}, \alpha_{\mathbf{r}})$  is not reflexive.

b) Second case: assume that  $u'_j > 2, 1 \leq j \leq n+1$ . As S1) does not holds, after an eventual transposition, it turns out that  $u'_{n+1} \leq r'_{n+1}$ . But S3) is not verified. Then for every  $1 \leq j_0 \leq n+1$  we have  $r'_{j_0} > 2$  or (38) does not holds. If it would be  $r'_j > 2$  for every  $1 \leq j \leq n+1$ , as S2) is not verified,  $(\widehat{\otimes}_{j=1}^{n+1} \ell^{u_j}, \alpha_{\mathbf{r}})$  would be not reflexive by N3). If it would exists  $1 \leq j_1 \leq n+1$  such that  $r'_{j_1} \leq 2$ , then (38) would fails for this index  $j_1$ . After an evident transposition, by N3)  $(\widehat{\otimes}_{j=1}^{n+1} \ell^{u_j}, \alpha_{\mathbf{r}})$  would be not reflexive. ■

The application of theorem 17 to the case  $n = 1$  gives the following characterization of reflexivity of classical Lapresté's tensor products:

**Corollary 18** *Let  $n = 1$  and let  $\mathbf{r} = (r_0, r_1, r_2)$  be an admissible triple. If  $1 < u_1, u_2 < \infty$ ,  $\ell^{u_1} \widehat{\otimes}_{\alpha_{\mathbf{r}}} \ell^{u_2}$  is reflexive if and only if one of the following sets of conditions holds*

- 1)  $u'_1 > 2, u'_1 > r'_1$ .
- 2)  $u'_2 > 2, u'_2 > r'_2$ .

- 3)  $u'_1 > 2, r_2 \leq 2$ .
- 4)  $u'_2 > 2, r_1 \leq 2$ .
- 5)  $u'_1 \geq 2, u'_2 > 2$ .
- 6)  $u'_1 > 2, u'_2 \geq 2$ .

**Proof.** By theorem 17,  $\ell^{u_1} \widehat{\otimes}_{\alpha_r} \ell^{u_2}$  is reflexive if and only if one of the following sets of conditions holds

- a)  $u'_1 > 2, u'_1 > r'_1$ .
- b)  $u'_2 > 2, u'_2 > r'_2$ .
- c)  $u'_1 > 2, u'_1 > r_2, r'_1 \geq 2$ .
- d)  $u'_2 > 2, u'_2 > r_1, r'_2 \geq 2$ .
- e)  $u'_1 > 2, u'_2 > 2, r'_1 \leq 2$ .
- f)  $u'_1 > 2, u'_2 > 2, r'_2 \leq 2$ .
- g)  $u'_1 = 2, u'_2 > 2, r'_1 \leq 2$ .
- h)  $u'_2 = 2, u'_1 > 2, r'_2 \leq 2$ .

Clearly c) and 3) (resp. d) and 4) ) are equivalent. On the other hand, if 5) holds and  $r'_1 \leq 2$  then e) or g) holds. If 5) and  $r'_1 > 2$  are true we have  $r_1 < 2 < u'_2$  and d) is verified. The remaining of the proof is similar or trivial. ■

## References

- [1] ALENCAR, R., FLORET, K.: *Weak-strong continuity of multilinear mappings and the Pelczynski-Pitt theorem*, J. Math. Ann. Appl. 206, 532-546, (1997).
- [2] DEFANT, A., FLORET, K.: *Tensor norms and operator ideals*, North Holland Math. Studies 176, North Holland, Amsterdam (1993).
- [3] DEFANT, A.: *Variants of the Maurey-Rosenthal theorem for quasi Köthe function spaces*, Positivity, 5, no. 2, 153-175, (2001).
- [4] FLORET, K.: *Natural norms on symmetric tensor products of normed spaces*, Note di Matematica 17, 153-188, (1997).
- [5] FLORET, K., HUNFELD, S: *Ultrastability of ideals of homogeneous polynomials and multilinear mappings on Banach spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math.Soc. 130, 5, 1425-1435, (2001).
- [6] GUERRE-DELABRIÈRE, S.: *Classical sequences in Banach spaces*, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, (1992).
- [7] KADEC, M. I., PELCZYŃSKI, A.: *Bases, lacunary sequences and complemented subspaces in the spaces  $L_p$* , Studia Math. 21, 161-176, (1962).



- [8] KÖTHER, G.: *Topological vector spaces I, II*, Springer Verlag, Berlin, (1969) and (1979).
- [9] LÉVY, M., RAYNAUD, Y.: *Ultrapuissances des espaces  $L^p(L^q)$*  C. R. Acad. Sc. Paris 299, I, 3, 81-84, (1984).
- [10] LÓPEZ MOLINA, J. A.: *Multilinear operator ideals associated to Saphar type  $(n + 1)$ -tensor norms*, Positivity 11, 95-117, (2007).
- [11] MAKAROV, B. M., SAMARSKII, V. G.: *Certain properties inherited by the spaces of  $p$ -kernel and quasi- $p$ -kernel operators*, Funct. Analysis Appl. 15, 227-229, (1981).
- [12] PEŁCZYŃSKI, A.: *Projections in certain Banach spaces*, Studia Math. 19, 209-228, (1960).
- [13] PERSSON, A., PIETSCH, A.:  *$p$ -nukleare und  $p$ -integrale Abbildungen in Banachräumen*, Studia Math. 33, 19-62, (1969).
- [14] PIETSCH, A.: *Ideals of multilinear functionals (designs of a theory)*, Proceeding of the Second International Conference on Operator Algebras, Ideals and their applications in Theoretical Physics, Leipzig 1983. Teubner-Texte, 185-199, (1983).
- [15] RAYNAUD, Y.: *On ultrapowers of non commutative  $L_p$  spaces*, J. Operator Th. 48, 41-68, (2002).
- [16] ROSENTHAL, H. P.: *On quasi-complemented subspaces of Banach spaces with an appendix on compactness of operators from  $L^p(\mu)$  to  $L^r(\nu)$* , J. Funct. Anal. 4, 176-214, (1969).
- [17] SIMS, B.: *"Ultra"-techniques in Banach space theory*, Queen's Papers in Pure and Appl. Maths. 60, Ontario, Canada, (1982).
- [18] SINGER, I.: *Bases in Banach spaces I, II*, Springer Verlag, Berlin, (1970) and (1981).

Author's address:

J. A. López Molina

E. T. S. Ingeniería Agronómica y del Medio Natural

Camino de Vera

46072 Valencia

Spain

e-mail: jalopez@mat.upv.es