

## **ELUCIDATING THE MOLECULAR BASIS OF COPPER STRESS IN** *Erwinia amylovora*

Begoña Águila Clares

ADVISORS: María Milagros López Ester Marco Noales

**March 2017** 



### ELUCIDATING THE MOLECULAR BASIS OF COPPER STRESS IN Erwinia amylovora

This thesis has been performed in:



With the collaboration of:





*El talento, en buena medida, es una cuestión de insistencia* (For the most part, talent is a matter of persistence)

-Francisco Umbral (1932-2007); Spanish writer



#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This thesis was supported by the Spanish Ministry of Science and Innovation with reference No. AGL2008-05723-C02-0, by two fellowships of the Spanish Personnel Training Programme (2011 and 2012), and by Michigan State University AgBioresearch. It was performed at Instituto Valenciano de Investigaciones Agrarias (IVIA, Spain), Estación Experimental del Zaidín (EEZ-CSIC, Spain) and at Michigan State University (MSU, USA).

This thesis was a long and hard road, there were a lot of headaches and disappointments along the way, however I want to remember the good things, like the opportunity this thesis has given me to experience other cultures, to meet new and amazing people and to grow professionally and personally.

First of all, I want to thank my advisors Ester and María for giving me the opportunity to get trained as a researcher, for believing that I was able to confront this challenge. Thanks for all your valuable time spend in this thesis, all the support and the courage to make this happened. I hope that I have met your expectations. Thanks especially to Ester for her huge effort with my thesis when she was living one of the worst times of her life, thanks a lot!

Thanks to my American advisor, Professor George W. Sundin from Michigan State University (MSU, USA) for accepting me in his lab and put all the facilities and tools up at my disposal. Thanks to Jeff

Landgraf (Research Technology Support Facility, MSU) and Ryan McNally (MSU) for technical assistance in microarray hybridization. Thanks to José Miguel Quesada and Manuel Espinosa, both from Estación Experimental del Zaidín (EEZ-CSIC, Spain). The first one, for all the support in setting up the real-time PCRs assays and the last one, for hosting me in his lab and giving us those rewarding comments. Thanks to Ian Toth from Scottish Crop Research Institute (SCRI) for providing us with the customized microarray for *E. amylovora*. Thanks to Juan Carbonell (UV, Spain) for the statistical work. Thanks to José Fco. Català Senent (IVIA, Spain) for his contribution to the *copA* paper and our good times spent at Elena's Laboratory.

Thanks to Elena González Biosca from Univerity of Valencia (UV, Spain), she was first my Professor at the University and my first contact with "scientific world" almost at the same time. Then she was my Advisor of the Master's thesis and now she will be forever a good friend. I will always remember the first time we met, in practice class, she wanted to open a new research line at the Microbiology and Ecology Department of the University and my research instinct started to awaken when she said that the new line would be called Plant Bacteriology. I will never forget our two-year collaboration performing my first experiments but also equipping a new laboratory. It was an amazing experience that I will never forget. It was very hard to say bye when I won a grant to start my thesis at the IVIA. I hope you did not mind. Also, thanks a lot to her for giving us the

opportunity to work with the mutant of *E. amylovora* in *rpoS* gene that was obtained by her team.

Thanks to Ramón (IVIA, Spain) for his support in molecular work and his funny meeting times. You are crazy, man! Thanks also for re-starting the *copA* paper, although the experience was not too much gratifying for you I will always be grateful to you for this.

Thanks to my tutor at the University, Rosa Peiró (UPV, Spain) for helping us with all the paperwork and for being always there. I could not have a better tutor, you are the best!

I also want to thank the great friends who I am proud to have, they made this experience far easier and were an important source of release throughout this journey.

Thanks thin guy for your sense of humor that always got to put a smile back on my face despite despair moments. Thanks to remind me that I was not the only one with difficulties and that I can count on you every time I need it. I miss working with you a lot, when the long and hard days of work seemed to me just seconds.

Thanks to my lovely mermaid for helping me in the last stage of my experimental work, I don't know what I would have done without you, thanks for hanging out with me in those complicated moments and for consider me your confident and friend. I will never forget you.

Thanks to my "Lunch Mates" for make me the lunch time the best thing of all day. For allowing my brain switch from science to real world, to give the exact everydayness to my life keeping me down to earth, thanks for those funny moments... I am already missing you guys and I will miss those times forever.

Thanks American sweetheart for being with me in the tunnel, for all the kind, gratifying and sincere conversations and for making me feel that I could see in you a great, good and lasting friend. Also thanks for taking me to visit Grand Rapids and its lovely ArtPrize festival, it was an amazing experience. Thanks to my "American mother" (sorry mom) for taking care of me during the second period abroad, for treating me like one of your daughters, for your interest about my dairy work, for wishing me good morning and good night every day, for taking me to visit Detroit, for introducing me to your friends and family, for making me feel like home... Definitely, you will always be in my heart.

Thanks Bangor guy for your wise advises, although you are younger than me! Always is good to see things from another perspective but, as you told me, never to lose sight of why we are here and why we came for. Thanks for those Skype conversations that made me to realize the definition of a good friend. I miss you a lot!

Thanks to my USA lab mates for helping me in the second period abroad. Especially Luisa, thanks for accepting to be part of this thesis and spending part of your time in it, thanks a lot! Thank you and Alejo for taking me to visit Chicago in the first period abroad. Thanks also to my Chinese colleague Jei (from the lab in front of mine) for those kind walks at the mall, for all the deep conversations (in spite of my bad English), thanks for being my friend in United States.

Thank YOU, for believing in me since day one, for your support all the way, even in the hardest moments ever. I couldn't have made it without you, without your understanding and respect. I'm very proud to have you in my life and I'm very sorry for the

collateral effects that this whole process made in our relationship. Now, finally, it is all gone and it was well worth it because it made our relationship stronger.

Thanks Mom for all the courage and the support, thanks for bringing me Spain to Michigan in those long Skype conversations. That was very important for me, to feel close to you and close to home. Thanks for trying to understand this crazy world of science. I will never be enough grateful to you for all you made and still you keep making for me everyday... thank you ALWAYS.

To everybody who has supported me along this journey in so many ways, and probably I'm missing them now, please accept these words as a thank you. It has been appreciated!



#### INDEX

SUMMARY	17
RESUMEN	20
RESUM	23
1. INTRODUCTION	27
1.1. Fire blight of Rosaceae	29
1.1.1. Symptomatology of fire blight and host range of	29
Erwinia amylovora	
1.1.2. Economic impact	40
1.1.3. Global distribution	42
1.1.4. Situation in Spain	48
1.1.5. Fire blight epidemiology and control	50
1.1.5.1. Fire blight cycle	50
1.1.5.2. Preventive and cultural measures	53
1.1.5.3. Chemical control	54
1.1.5.4. Biological control	56
1.1.5.5. Cultivar susceptibility and genetic control	58
1.1.5.6. Integrated control in Spain and the European Union	58
(EU)	
1.1.5.6. Legislation	59
1.2. Copper and field application	62
1.2.1. The history of the use of copper	62
1.2.2. Copper formulations and mode of action	64
1.2.3. Field applications in the EU	65
1.3. Copper, friend and foe for bacterial cells: targets for	67
copper action and strategies	
1.3.1. Copper and generation of reactive oxygen species	68
1.3.2. Copper uptake and homeostasis	69
1.3.3. Multicomponent copper efflux systems	72
1.3.4. Biosynthesis of extracellular polymeric substances (EPS)	73
1.3.5. The viable but nonculturable (VBNC) state can be	74

induced by copper

1.4. Erwinia amylovora, the causal agent	76
1.4.1. Taxonomy and general features	76
1.4.2. Virulence factors	78
1.5. <i>E. amylovora</i> genetics	82
1.5.1. <i>E. amylovora</i> genome	82
1.5.2. Plasmids	87
1.5.3. Genetics of host-pathogen interaction	89
1.5.4. E. amylovora under stress	91
1.5.5. Transcriptomic approach for gene expression	94
evaluation	
2. OBJECTIVES	97
3. MATERIALS AND METHODS	101
3.1. Bacterial strains and growth conditions	103
3.2. Minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC) of copper	104
3.3. Short-term assay for <i>E. amylovora</i> survival in AB	107
medium supplemented with different concentrations of	
copper	
3.4. RNA isolation	107
3.5. Stress-related selected genes. Primers and probes for	108
relative quantitation of gene expression by real-time RT-PCR	
3.6. Real-time RT-PCR standard curve for estimating PCR	110
amplification efficiency of <i>rpoS</i> gene	
3.7. Long-term assay for <i>E. amylovora</i> survival in AB medium	112
supplemented with copper sulfate and expression of <i>rpoS</i>	
gene under these conditions	
3.8. Microarray experiment	113
3.8.1. Erwinia amylovora microarray	113
3.8.2. Experimental set-up for preparation of samples for	115
microarry hybridization	
3.8.3. Microarray data analysis	118

3.9. Validation of the differentially expressed genes by quantitative real-time PCR	118
3.10. Mutant construction and complementation	122
3.11. Experiments with mutants of <i>E. amylovora</i> to evaluate the role of different genes	125
3.11.1. The possible role of <i>rpoS</i> gene in the survival of strain CFBP 1430	125
3.11.2. Assays to evaluate the possible role of <i>copA</i> , <i>soxS</i> , <i>arcB</i> , <i>yjcE</i> , <i>ygcF</i> , <i>yhhQ</i> , <i>galF</i> and EAM_3469 genes of strain <i>Ea</i> 1189 after copper shock	125
3.11.2.1. Copper tolerance in vitro	125
3.11.2.2. Effect of introduction of target genes in the mutants	126
3.12. The <i>copA</i> case	127
3.12.1. Expression curve of <i>copA</i> in <i>E. amylovora</i> after	127
copper-shock induction	
3.12.2. Copper tolerance in planta	129
4. RESULTS	131
4.1. Minimal inhibitory concentration for copper	133
4.2. Short-term assay for <i>E. amylovora</i> survival in AB	134
medium supplemented with different copper concentrations	
4.3. Efficiency of RNA extraction	134
4.4. Sensitivity of rpoS, katG and dsbC primers	136
4.5. Real-time PCR standard curve for <i>rpoS</i> gene	137
4.6. Long-term assay for <i>E. amylovora</i> survival in AB medium supplementd with copper sulfate and expression of <i>rpoS</i> gene through time	139
4.7. General characteristics of the <i>E. amylovora Ea</i> 1189 strain transcriptome in response to a copper shock	141
4.8. Evaluation of differentially expressed genes under copper conditions by quantitative real-time PCR	147
4.9. Mutants of selected genes of <i>E. amylovora</i>	151
4.9.1. Assays to evaluate the possible role of <i>rpoS</i> gene	151
4.9.2. Assays to evaluate the possible role of <i>copA</i> , <i>soxS</i> ,	153

arcB, yjcE, ygcF, yhhQ, galF and EAM_3469 genes	
4.9.2.1. Copper tolerance in vitro	153
4.9.2.2. Introduction of target genes in the mutants	154
4.10. The <i>copA</i> case	157
4.10.1. Induced expression of <i>copA</i> gene	157
4.10.2. Involvement of the <i>copA</i> gene in copper tolerance <i>in</i>	158
planta	

5. GENERAL DISCUSSION	161
5.1. Survival of <i>E. amylovora</i> under several copper	167
conditions and the role of a general stress regulator	
5.2. Global response against a copper shock	170
5.2.1. Copper transport genes (copper homeostasis)	172
5.2.2. Oxidative stress (copper toxicity)	178
5.3. Response model to copper in <i>E. amylovora</i>	181
6. CONCLUSIONS	185
7. REFERENCES	190

19

#### SUMMARY

*Erwinia amylovora*, a quarantine organism of the European Union (EU), is the causal agent of fire blight. This disease causes substantial economic losses in all countries where it is present and its control turns out difficult, due to the absence of effective chemical and biological treatments and the ability of persistence and dissemination of *E. amylovora*. Cupric treatments constitute the base of the integrated management of fire blight in the European Union countries, because the antibiotics, although have been proved useful against this disease, are forbidden in the EU for plant treatments.

This thesis, mostly performed in a P2 security lab, is aimed to dilucidate molecular mechanisms implicated in the response of *E. amylovora* to copper sulfate as a stress factor, considering that copper is a well known toxic element for bacterial cells over a certain threshold concentration. The global objective was first addressed with the study of a selection of genes that have been related in other bacterial models with copper stress or with stress in general. The quantification of the **rpoS** gene expression in presence of copper showed that, at least in long-term survival, this gene may be involved in the *E. amylovora* response to copper stress.

Second, a transcriptomic study was performed by microarray after subdue the bacteria to a copper shock treatment. The analysis of the microarray results showed that 44 genes were differentially expressed in presence of this metal. Each one of these genes was studied by gene ontology and, after comparing them with databases

published in NCBI, they were classified in functional categories. The gene expression of twenty-five out of fourty-four differentially expressed genes was validated by real-time PCR. In the validation, copA gene was expressed more than 19-fold in presence than in absence of copper and, because of that, it was selected together with other seven genes (soxS, yjcE, yqcF, yhhQ, qalF, arcB, EAM 3469), which also showed an increased expression, to generate mutants of E. amylovora. The responses of mutants to copper, and the fact that the wild phenotype was restored in the complemented mutants, has shown the role of *copA*, *soxS*, *yjcE*, *ygcF*, *arcB* and *yhhQ* genes in the E. amylovora in vitro survival against copper stress. Besides, the implication of *copA* gene has also been proved *in planta*, in copper treated shoots from pear trees. Finally, all the results obtained along this thesis have allowed to elaborate a putative model of the different genetic mechanisms that seem are involved in the interaction between E. amylovora and copper. The most important mechanism seems to be to face up reactive oxygen species (ROS) by the activation of the **soxS** and **yjcE** genes. The activity of these genes is supported by CopA protein, which pumps copper from inside the cell out to the periplasmic space. The activation of *arcB* gene, which allows the change from aerobic metabolism to anaerobic metabolism, would also help *E. amylovora* to reduce ROS.

Taking together, the results of this thesis have allowed an approximation to the genetic basis of *E. amylovora* response to copper stress and they constitute a start point to move forward in

the knowledge of the molecular mechanisms underlying that response.

#### RESUMEN

*Erwinia amylovora,* organismo de cuarentena en la Unión Europea (UE), es el agente causal del fuego bacteriano. Esta enfermedad produce grandes pérdidas económicas en todos los países en los que está presente y su control resulta muy difícil, debido a la carencia de tratamientos químicos y biológicos eficaces y a la persistencia y facilidad de diseminación de *E. amylovora*. Los tratamientos con compuestos cúpricos constituyen la base de la gestión integrada del fuego bacteriano en los países de la UE, puesto que el uso de antibióticos, aunque se ha demostrado útil contra esta enfermedad, está prohibido en la UE para el tratamiento de bacteriosis en plantas.

Esta tesis, realizada en su mayoría en un laboratorio de seguridad biológica P2, pretende dilucidar mecanismos moleculares implicados en la respuesta de *E. amylovora* al sulfato de cobre como factor de estrés, ya que este metal es un elemento tóxico para las células bacterianas por encima de una determinada concentración umbral. El objetivo global se abordó, en primer lugar, con el estudio de una selección de genes que se han relacionado en otros modelos bacterianos con el estrés que produce el cobre o con el estrés en general. La cuantificación de la expresión del gen *rpoS* en presencia de cobre mostró que este gen puede estar implicado en la supervivencia a largo plazo de *E. amylovora* para combatir el estrés que produce este metal.

En una segunda aproximación, se realizó un estudio transcriptómico mediante *microarray* tras someter a la bacteria a un breve tratamiento de cobre. El análisis de los resultados del microarray reveló que 44 genes se expresaban de forma diferencial en presencia del metal. Cada uno de ellos se estudió mediante gene ontology y por comparación con las bases de datos publicadas en el NCBI, y así se clasificaron en categorías funcionales. Las categorías de estrés y transporte fueron las más abundantes, tanto respecto a los genes que aumentaron su expresión tras la aplicación de cobre como a los que la disminuyeron. De los 44 genes que se expresaron de forma diferencial, se validó la expresión de 25 de ellos por PCR en tiempo real. En dicha validación, el gen *copA* se expresó 19 veces más en presencia que en ausencia de cobre, por lo que fue seleccionado, junto con siete genes más (soxS, yjcE, ygcF, yhhQ, galF, arcB, EAM 3469), en los que el incremento en la expresión fue menos pronunciado, para generar mutantes de *E. amylovora*. La respuesta de los mutantes a la presencia de cobre, y la restauración de fenotipos al complementar las mutaciones generadas, han revelado el papel de los genes copA, soxS, yjcE, ygcF, arcB y yhhQ en la supervivencia in vitro de E. amylovora frente al estrés por cobre. Además, la implicación del gen copA se ha demostrado también in *planta* en brotes de peral tratados con cobre. Finalmente, todos los resultados obtenidos han permitido elaborar un posible modelo de los diferentes mecanismos genéticos que parecen estar implicados en la interacción de E. amylovora con el cobre. El mecanismo más importante parece ser combatir las especies reactivas del oxígeno

(ERO), mediante la activación de la expresión de los genes *soxS* e *yjcE*. La actividad de estos genes está apoyada, además, por la proteína CopA, que bombea cobre desde el interior celular al espacio periplásmico. La activación del gen *arcB*, que permite el cambio de un metabolismo aerobio a uno anaerobio, también ayudaría a la reducción de las ERO. En definitiva, los resultados han permitido una aproximación al sustrato genético de la respuesta de *E. amylovora* al estrés por cobre, y constituyen un punto de partida para avanzar en el conocimiento de los mecanismos moleculares implicados en dicha respuesta.

#### RESUM

*E. amylovora*, organisme de quarantena a la Unió Europea (UE), és l'agent causal del foc bacterià. Aquesta malaltia produeix grans pèrdues econòmiques a tots els països on està present, i el seu control resulta molt difícil, a causa de l' absència de productes químics i biològics eficaços i també per la capacitat de persistència i disseminació d'*E. amylovora*. Els tractaments amb composts cúprics constitueixen la base de la gestió integrada del foc bacterià als països europeus, ja que l'ús d'antibiòtics, tot i que s'ha demostrat eficaç per a combatre aquesta malaltía, està prohibit a la UE per al tractament de bacteriosi en plantes.

Aquesta tesi, realitzada majoritàriament a un laboratori de seguretat biològica P2, pretén dilucidar mecanismes moleculars implicats en la resposta d'*E. amylovora* davant del coure com a factor d'estrés, ja que el coure és un element tòxic per la cèl.lula per damunt d'una determinada concentració umbral. L'objectiu global es va abordar, en primer lloc, amb l'estudi d'una selecció de gens relacionats en altres models bacterians amb l'estrés que produeix el coure, o amb l'estrés en general. La quantificació de l'expressió del gen **rpoS** en presència de coure va mostrar que aquest gen pot estar implicat en la supervivència a llarg termini d'*E. amylovora* per a combatre l'estrés que produeix aquest metall.

En una segona aproximació, es va realitzar un estudi transcriptòmic mitjançant *microarrays* després de sotmetre els bacteris a un breu tractament de coure. L'anàlisi dels resultats dels

microarrays va revelar que 44 gens s'expressen de forma diferencial en presència del metall. Cadascun d'ells es va estudiar mitiancant gene ontology i, per comparació amb les bases de dades publicades al NCBI, es van classificar en categories funcionals. Les categories d'estrés i transport van ser les més enriquides, tant en els gens que augmentaren la seua expressió després de l'aplicació de coure com en aquells que la van reduir. Dels 44 gens que s'expressaren de forma diferencial, es va validar l'expressió de 25 d'ells per PCR a temps real. En la validació, el gen copA es va expressar 19 vegades més en presència que en absència de coure, per aquesta raó va ser seleccionat junt amb set gens més (soxS, yjcE, ygcF, yhhQ, galF, arcB, EAM\_3469), en els que l'increment de l'expressió va ser menys pronunciada, per a generar mutants d'*E. amylovora*. La resposta dels mutants a la presència de coure, i la restauració dels fenotips originals al complementar les mutacions generades, han revelat el paper dels gens copA, soxS, yjcE, ygcF, arcB i yhhQ en la supervivència in vitro d'E. amylovora davant a l'estrés per coure. A més a més, la implicació del gen copA s'ha demostrat també in planta, en brots de perera tractats amb coure. Finalment, tots els resultats obtinguts han permès elaborar un possible model dels diferents mecanismes genètics que semblen estar implicats en la interacció d'E. amylovora amb el coure. El mecanisme més important sembla ser combatre les especies reactives de l'oxigen (ERO), mitjançant l'activació de l'expressió dels gens soxS i yjcE. L'activitat d'aquestos gens és recolzada també per l'acció de la proteïna copA, que bombeja coure des de l'interior cel·lular a l'espai periplàsmic.

L'activació del gen *arcB*, que permet el canvi d'un metabolisme aerobi a un metabolisme anaerobi, també ajudaria a reduir la producción de les ERO. En conclusió, els resultats han suposat una aproximació al substrat genètic de la resposta d'*E. amylovora* a l'estrés per coure, i constitueixen un punt de partida per avançar en el coneixement dels mecanismes moleculars implicats en aquesta resposta.

# INTRODUCTION

#### 1.1. Fire blight of Rosaceae

Fire blight is a destructive and highly infectious disease of apple, pear and other plants of the Spiraeoideae subfamily of the *Rosaceae*. It is apparently indigenous to North America, as the disease was first noticed in the late 18th century in New York State and was not reported in any other country until over a century later. Thus, it was detected in New Zealand in 1919, Europe in 1957 and Africa in 1964 (van der Zwet *et al.*, 2012). Today, the most important question is how to stop fire blight spreading all over the world. At the present time, chemicals against fire blight and the cultivation of fire blight resistant hosts seem to be the most helpful plant protection measurements against this disease (Fischer, 2012). Nevertheless it is very important not to forget the role that ornamental plants may play as disease reservoirs (Giayetto and Rossini, 2011).

## **1.1.1.** Symptomatology of fire blight and host range of *Erwinia amylovora*

The symptoms of fire blight are easily recognized and, with few exceptions, are readily distinguished from those of other apple and pear diseases. According to van der Zwet *et al.* (2012), the name fire blight describes the most characteristic symptom of the disease, a blackening of twigs, flowers, and foliage looking like they had been burnt by fire (Fig. 1). The disease is also known by other names, depending on the plant part affected, such as blossom blight, twig blight, fruit blight, and trunk and collar blight (Eastgate, 2000). Often,

when succulent shoots are affected, they bend forming the characteristic shepherd's crook (van der Zwet *et al.*, 2012) (Fig. 2).

Blossom blight is usually the first symptom of fire blight (van der Zwet *et al.*, 2012). Blossoms first appear water-soaked; they then wilt, shrivel, and turn brownish to black. According to van der Zwet *et al.* (2012), the blight progresses into the peduncle, which also may appear water-soaked and turns dark green and then black. In the most favorable weather conditions for fire blight development, that is, when it is warm and humid, ooze droplets sometimes exude from the peduncle. Tissues affected by fire blight turn black, appear dried and shriveled, but usually remain attached to the tree. This disease has a rapid spread using the midrib and main veins to invade adjacent tissues.





Figure 2. The characteristic fire blight symptom of shepherd's crook in a pear shoot (Photo by E. Marco-Noales, Spain)



Fruit also becomes blighted at any time but most commonly during the growing season following a severe hailstorm (van der Zwet and Keil, 1972; van der Zwet *et al.*, 1974). The affected part of the fruit is first appearing oily or water-soaked and later, as infection progress, becomes brown to black. Infection spreads directly through lenticels in the skin, through wounds, or from an infected spur into the fruit (Fig. 3) (van der Zwet *et al.*, 2012). Infected fruit, such as infected tissues, remains attached to the spur, with a mummified appearance (Fig. 4), and may even produce ooze favoured by hail damage and warm weather (van der Zwet *et al.*, 2012).

Leaves may become infected after blight bacteria enter directly through stomata, trichomes, and hydathodes, but more often through wounds caused by insects, hail, or wind whipping (van der Zwet *et al.*, 2012). When infection occurs in the leaf blade, a necrotic section usually appears within 48h. This part of the leaf may dry, but infection often spreads through the secondary veins into the midrib, then into the petiole and the supporting stem (Fig. 5) (van der Zwet *et al.*, 2012). There is often a characteristic blackening of the petiole and leaf midrib and ooze drops are usually present. Leaves can also show symptoms when the branch is infected and they can not receive enough nutritive substances, showing the typical necrotic aspect (van der Zwet *et al.*, 2012).

Figure 3. Fire blight infected pear (Photo by E. Marco-Noales, Spain)



Figure 4. Blossom blight and ooze production (red arrow) in pear (Photo by E. Marco-Noales, Spain)



#### 1.1. Fire blight of Rosaceae

Figure 5. Necrotic pear-shoot after fire blight infection (Photo by E. Marco-Noales, Spain)


In fire blight-susceptible hosts, the disease may advance downward from blossoms, shoots, or fruit through the larger scaffold limbs to older branches and eventually into the trunk (van der Zwet *et al.*, 2012), and the advance can also be observed in the subcortex tissue. The disease may cause small or large cankers in limb and trunk tissues (Fig. 6), which consist mainly of dead and collapsed bark cortex and phloem tissues. For the fire blight pathogen, the main overwintering sites are indeterminate cankers formed at the base of blighted shoots and fruit spurs, water sprouts, limbs, or branches or trunk, staying alive usually in the healthy tissue immediately adjacent to the edge of the visible canker (van der Zwet *et al.*, 2012). Often, when conditions are conducive, abundant ooze flows along the bark, accompanying the progress of infection. Flies, using the ooze to feed on, may be also an instrumental vehicle to spread the disease (van der Zwet *et al.*, 2012; Ordax *et al.*, 2015).

Fire blight was first described as a disease of apple and pear (van der Zwet *et al.*, 2012). Since that time knowledge of the disease has grown exponentially, and many more host plants have become known (Table 1). To determine the types and number of host plants susceptible to the disease, most natural blight observations and artificial inoculations of plants were made during the period 1925-1935 (Rosen and Groves, 1928; Rosen, 1929; Pierstorff, 1931; Thomas and Thomas, 1931; Thomas and Parker, 1933; Thomas and Ark, 1934; Parker *et al.*, 1956). Since that time, many more records have been collected. Besides genera *Malus* and *Pyrus*, 129 species in

37 genera of the *Rosaceae* family have been reported being susceptible to fire blight. Of these genera, six are fruit crops: *Cydonia*, *Eriobotrya*, *Fragaria*, *Mespilus*, *Prunus* and *Rubus*. The remaining 21 genera, with 37 species, are nearly all ornamental host plants and trees (Thomas and Thomas, 1931; Shaw, 1934; Thomas and Ark, 1934; Jock *et al.*, 2000; Vogelsanger *et al.*, 2006; Bastas and Sahin, 2014). Among them, those that are most susceptible and are the cause of more economic loses, and usually exhibit the most severe blight, are species of *Cotoneaster*, *Crataegus*, *Pyracantha*, and *Sorbus* (van der Zwet *et al.*, 2012) (Table 1). Figure 6. Canker caused by *E. amylovora* in a pear tree. (Photo by E. Marco-Noales, Spain)



Genus	Main representative fire	Common
	blight host	name
Fruit trees		
Malus	Malus communis	Apple
Pyrus	Pyrus communis	Pear
Cydonia	Cydonia oblonga	Quince
Eriobotrya	Eriobotrya japonica	Japanese medlar
Mespilus	Mespilus germanica	Medlar
Prunus	Prunus salicina	Plum
<b>Ornamental plants</b>		
Crataegus		
Chaenomeles		
Cotoneaster		
Photinia		
Pyracantha		
Sorbus		
Stranvaesia		
Rubus		

Table 1. Main genera and species susceptible to fire blight.

## 1.1.2. Economic impact

A number of bacterial diseases are of major economic importance, with direct losses due to decreased agricultural production, both in quality and quantity, and indirect losses due to the implementation of expensive control measures (van der Zwet *et al.*, 2012). *E. amylovora* has proved to be extremely destructive to the apple and pear industries in many countries and also to the cultivation of various ornamentals as mentioned before (van der Zwet *et al.*, 2012). In apple and pears, the disease not only destroys the current season's crops but may also lead to loss of branches and whole trees, leading to long-term devastation of orchards and fruit tree nurseries (van der Zwet *et al.*, 2012). If during blooming there 40 have been favorable weather conditions for the pathogen, blossoms are affected, because of that yield is considerably reduced and in some cases nullified and also the next year's productivity is significantly affected because of the destruction of fruiting spurs (van der Zwet *et al.*, 2012). In susceptible hosts, the infection spreads so rapidly through the tree under favorable conditions. Once trees are infected, they cannot be saved even in spite of drastic and immediate surgery and usually die in a short time after the first visual sign of infection (van der Zwet *et al.*, 2012).

It is difficult to get information about the economic losses caused annually by fire blight but they are quite high (van der Zwet and Keil 1979; van der Zwet *et al.*, 2012). It is necessary to add to the cost of direct production losses, associated costs of the control measures (treatments, intensive vigilance, analysis, infected trees destruction, etc...) and the obligatory varietal structure modification of the fruit growing sector. The fast dissemination of fire blight and the progressive death of susceptible cultivars (especially pear trees) have made to quit its cultivation in some areas of United States of America (USA) and the European Union (EU).

To get an idea of the significance of losses, we can look at some numbers through years. In USA, detailed accounts of the early history of fire blight in California (USA) have been published, suggesting that two-thirds of the pear trees cultivar "Bartlett" were eliminated, at a cost of \$5 million, during the period 1903-1908 (Woods, 1909; Gardner and Ark, 1924; Baker, 1971). More recently, fire blight was particularly severe in 1991 in south-western Michigan, where the estimate of losses was \$3.8 million (van der Zwet and Beer, 1995). In Netherland, in 1982, a particularly severe year, the combined economic impact of the disease on nurseries and fruit orchards and the total cost of eradication and control were estimated at \$6 million (Vanneste, 2000). At the end of 1996, in Hungary more than 65,000 trees and shrubs were eradicated by the Ministry of Agriculture at a total cost of \$1.1 million (Vanneste, 2000). The more detailed recent data are those about the epidemy of Michigan (USA) in 2000, having an evaluation of crop costs and trees losses near to 80 million dollars (van der Zwet *et al.*, 2012). In Spain, only in Aragón and in a period between the years 2000 and 2004, costs of the disease (inspections and eradications) were estimated in more than one million euros (Palacio-Bielsa *et al.*, 2012). And these are only some examples of the economical losses caused by fire blight.

This disease also have negative consecuences for the producers and the nursery sector (Lanthier, 2011), because the prohibition to export plants and in some cases fruits from countries with *E. amylovora* to countries that are free of the disease.

## 1.1.3. Global distribution

After its origin in the Hudson valley of New York in 1780, fire blight has moved into most states of the USA. This process took a period of more than hundred years at the same time as the movement of humans and the advance of industrialization (van der Zwet and Keil, 1979; van der Zwet *et al.*, 2012). By 1925 fire blight had spread across USA border into Canada and Mexico and had moved overseas to New Zealand (van der Zwet *et al.*, 2012). From 1925, the disease spread to 42 additional countries, and up to date it has been reported in a total of 53 countries (Table 2, Fig. 7). According to van der Zwet *et al.* (2012), sometime during the 1950s the fire blight organism was most probably disseminated, via infested bud wood or trees, to two different areas from North America to north-western Europe and to the north-east corner of Africa. Without a doubt, human activity has been very influential in the spread of fire blight and another important factor for the fast spreading of the disease has been the increasing use of susceptible cultivars and rootstocks, as well as high tree densities in nurseries and young planting orchards (Vanneste, 2000).

In Europe, in 1958 the disease was first detected in England (Crosse *et al.*, 1958), suggesting, although never proved, that the introduction was due to contaminated fruit crates, which were recycled in those orchards in Kent where the initial blight symptoms were observed in 1956/57 (Lelliott, 1959). In 1966 it appeared on the mainland of the European continent, in The Netherlands (Netherlands Plant Protection, 1966) and the Baltic coast of Poland (Borecki *et al.*, 1967). It was also detected in Denmark in 1968, in Germany in 1971, and in Belgium and France in 1972 (Vanneste, 2000). Because the first reports of the disease in Denmark (1968) and the northern coast of former West Germany (1971), Belgium (1972)

and France (1972) all appeared within six years, it has been suggested although not proved, that migratory birds may have been instrumental in the dissemination of the bacterium across the English Channel to the western and northern European coastlines (Meijneke, 1972; van der Zwet, 1994; Billing and Berrie, 2002).

In the early 1960s, fire blight also appeared in Egypt in north-east corner of the African continent (El-Helaly et al., 1964), whereas the first report of fire blight in Israel dates from 1985 (Zutra and Shabi, 1985), and on the island of Cyprus from 1986 (Psallidas and Dimova, 1986). In 1985, fire blight was reported from Turkey and the following year from Crete (Greece) (EPPO, 1987). Once fire blight became established in the Egypt-Cyprus-Israel triangle, it was only a matter of time before the disease appeared in neighbouring countries (Vanneste, 2000). By 1988, the disease was reported in Lebanon (EPPO, 1988), in 1990 in Jordan (Tehabsim et al., 1992), and in 1995 in Iran (Afunian and Rahimian, 1996). After Crete and Turkey, fire blight was found into the mainland areas of Greece and then into Bulgaria (Bobev, 1990), Romania (Baicu et al., 1994), Macedonia (Mitrev, 1996), and Hungary (Hevesi, 1996). Once fire blight was established throughout the southern Balkans, it came as no surprise the observation of symptoms in the southern part of Italy (Cariddi and Piglionica, 1992). In 1995, the first outbreak of fire blight was identified in northern Spain (de la Cruz Blanco, 1996). Since then, the disease has been reported in new countries and now E. amylovora has been identified in all the EU members including Finland. In 2000,

host plants of *E. amylovora* in the Royal Botanic Gardens of Melboune (Australia) were positive for the presence of the pathogen, although it was not isolated from wood samples (Jock *et al.*, 2000). In 2008, fire blight was first reported in Morocco on pear, apple and quince (Fatmi *et al.*, 2008) and in 2013 a report showed that the characterization of a selection of strains from Middle Atlas Mountains of Morocco had notable similarities with a Spanish strain obtained from plants imported from Belgium (Hannou *et al.*, 2013). Recently, in 2012, *E. amylovora* was reported from different host plants and locations in Serbia and Montenegro (Ivanovic *et al.*, 2012) and it is also present in other countries like Tunisia, first reported in 2014 in pear (Rhouma *et al.*, 2014) or Algeria, that had its first characterization of isolates in 2012 (Laala *et al.*, 2012).

The last first report of *E. amylovora* has been on pear trees in Finland (Soukainen *et al.*, 2015), that have a Protected Zone status against fire blight in the EU, and in Kyrgyzstan, Kazakhstan and South Korea (Myung *et al.*, 2016; Zhao and Sundin, 2017).

Area	Countries	
Africa	Tunisia	Algeria
	Morocco	Egypt
America	Bermuda	Mexico
	Canada	United States
	Guatemala	
Asia	Iran	Kazakhstan
	Israel	Lebanon
	Jordan	Syria
	Kyrgyzstan	South Korea
Europe	Albania	Lithuania
	Armenia	Luxemburg
	Austria	FYROM*
	Belarus	Moldova
	Belgium	Montenegro
	Bosnia-Herzegovina	Netherlands
	Bulgaria	Norway
	Croatia	Poland
	Cyprus	Romania
	Czech Republic	Rusia
	Denmark	Serbia
	Estonia	Slovakia
	Finland	Slovenia
	France	Spain
	Germany	Sweden
	Greece	Switzerland
	Hungary	Turkey
	Ireland	Ukraine
	Italy	United Kingdom
	Latvia	
Oceania	New Zealand	

Table 2. Countries where fire blight is present (EPPO, 2017).

\*Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia, mentioned as Macedonia in the EPPO database.

Figure 7. Global distribution of fire blight (EPPO 2017). Red circle indicates countries. Red cross indicates regions or states inside some countries.



#### 1.1.4. Situation in Spain

In 1984, while fire blight was spreading across north-western Europe, Sampayo and Palazón (1984) published a detailed list of preventive measures to try to keep the disease out of Spain. In spite of this, the first report of fire blight in Spain dates from 1995 (de la Cruz Blanco, 1996), as mentioned before. The disease was observed in August 1995, mainly on cider apple trees, a few kilometers south of the French border, in Guipúzcoa, near the Atlantic coast. In the following two years, fire blight was observed in pear, apple, quince, loquat, and several ornamental hosts (López *et al.*, 1999). The spatial and temporal distribution of the disease foci strongly suggest that infested plant material was responsible for the introduction of the disease (López *et al.*, 2002). Moreover, molecular analysis of a large number of Spanish strains of *E. amylovora* support the hypothesis of several introductions in Spain of infected plant material from different European countries (Donat *et al.*, 2007).

Spain was recognized as "protected zone" (PZ) for fire blight in 2000 (DOCE 2000). This is the most important protection considered in the European Union Phytosanitary legislation. Countries or places where a pathogen is not established and perform surveys to try to find it may obtain the status of PZ, and introduction of vegetal material and its movement are subjected to high severe quarantine requirements (Real Decreto 58/2005). In 2011 and the following years, there were new fire blight outbreaks in many of the pome fruit production zones of the national territory. Even though measures to eradicate the disease or delay its establishment have been adopted in some cases, the situation today in 2017 is not encouraging. It is considered that the disease is established in the following areas that have lost, therefore, the PZ status: Castilla y León, Extremadura, La Rioja, Castilla La Mancha, Murcia, Navarra, Guipúzcoa (País Vasco), Aragón, Murcia and Valencia (green spots in Fig. 8). Recently, several regions of a city of Catalonia named Lleida lost its PZ status as well (official communication).

Figure 8. Current distribution of fire blight in Spain (<u>www.magrama.gob.es</u> 2017).



## 1.1.5. Fire blight epidemiology and control

#### 1.1.5.1. Fire blight cycle

Fire blight bacteria hibernate in the bark at the edge of cankers formed during previous growing seasons. As weather becomes warm in the spring and temperature reach 18-30°C the bacteria multiply and ooze to the surface in sticky droplets (Palacio-Bielsa and Cambra, 2009). Under low relative humidity the bacteria can survive in the dry exudates for over a year (Rosen, 1938). Relatively few cankers survive winter, becoming active and producing bacteria in the spring. However, a single active canker will produce millions of bacteria, enough to infect an entire orchard. Cankers produce bacteria in droplets of ooze that are transferred to flowers by splashing rain or by insects, mostly bees, flies and ants (Fig. 9).

According to van der Zwet *et al.* (2012), once on the flower stigmas, the bacteria can grow epiphytically under favorable circumstances and reaching 10<sup>6</sup>-10<sup>7</sup> colony-forming units (CFU) per healthy flower. The presence of high densities of epiphytic bacteria on healthy flowers facilitates an efficient movement of the bacteria from flower to flower by rain or by any insect that visits the flowers (Fig. 9). Blossom infection occurs when the bacteria are washed by rain to natural openings at the flower base. These openings located in the hypanthium are specialized stomata, termed nectar glands. Blossoms wilt and die in about 1-2 weeks after infection occurs, and the bacteria that ooze from them provide inoculum for secondary

spread to other flowers and to young succulent shoots (Fig. 9). The bacteria are moved to shoots by insects and rain. Bacteria may enter the leaves through stomata and water pores (hydathodes) but usually they enter through wounds made by insects, hailstorms and other mechanical damage. As the season advances, shoots become progressively less susceptible to new infections as their growth slows and stops. Bacterial progression through woody tissues also slows and cankers are formed (Fig. 9), where some bacteria overwinter and renew the disease cycle the following spring.

Hibernating bacteria occasionally move internally from canker margins to nearby shoots, which they infect in a systemic way. If bacteria reach the phloem, they are carried upward to the tip of the twig and to the leaves. Invasion of large twigs and branches is restricted primarily to the cortex. Figure 9. Fire blight cycle.



(Modified from APS (www.aspnet.org) by Ordax, 2008)

## 1.1.5.2. Preventive and cultural measures

To prevent fire blight, it is basic not to illegally introduce vegetal material of *Rosaceae* from infected areas or countries. The plant purchase must be made exclusively from authorized nurseries, requiring the phytosanitary passport which guarantees the requirement compliance established in the European legislation (BOE 2005; DOCE 2000). The elimination of symptomatic or infected plants laid down in the Real Decreto 1201/99 (BOE 1999) as eradication measures has been very effective (Palacio-Bielsa *et al.*, 2012) and it is necessary to carry out the eradication measures as faster as possible to reduce inoculum and avoid *E. amylovora* dissemination.

Control of fire blight will be only possible if disease symptoms are detected prematurely in the orchard. It has been observed that fire blight progresses faster when more symptomatic plant material is present in an orchard or closer to it. Therefore, it is very important to examine the orchard during and after bloom, rain, storms and above all after hail. Moreover, June, July and September are in general the most critical months for symptom detection since trees have an active vegetative growth and more susceptible material is available.

All factors that favor plant susceptibility and/or pathogen spreading should be controlled. Pruning is recommended only when trees are hibernating to remove all suspicious cankers, favoring the maximum ventilation of the orchard, combined with disinfecting frequently all the tools used, and burning the plant debris. Other recommended practices include the removing of secondary bloom, a limited use of nitrogen fertilizer to prevent vegetative overgrowth, and avoidance of spraying watering.

# 1.1.5.3. Chemical control

Chemicals are applied to reduce the number of *E. amylovora* cells or, at least, inhibit their multiplication. To achieve these effects, it is necessary to eliminate sources of inoculum, such as overwintering cankers on fruit trees or alternate hosts, or to protect potential invasion sites, such as blossoms, shoots, leaves, or fruit, especially after wounding (Palacio-Bielsa and Cambra, 2009). In this sense, to be effective against this pathogen, any bactericide should be applied during the three distinct periods of the host: when the tree or plant is dormant, in bloom, and during the postbloom period. To prevent the development of new blossom infections, chemical agents are used during the dormant period and before budbreak, since *E. amylovora* may overwinter in cankers. During bloom the objective is to decrease pathogen populations and blossom necrosis and in the postbloom to avoid shoot infections (van der Zwet *et al.*, 2012).

Two groups of chemical agents, antibiotics and copper compounds, have played the most important role in controlling fire blight of apples and pears since the 1930s. In the early 1950s, discovery and application of antibiotics was the most important development in fire blight control, due to their successful use in treating human diseases (van der Zwet *et al.*, 2012). Moreover, for fire blight control, in general, streptomycin gave better result than copper, and normally it caused no fruit russet. This lack of injury probably appealed to many growers even though several treatments are necessary and the antibiotic cost is considerably higher than that of copper (van der Zwet et al., 2012). In early antibiotic research, a widely used formulation contained 15% streptomycin sulfate and 1.5% oxytetracycline (Terramycin) (Dunegan et al., 1954; Higdon, 1954; Ark, 1958; Keil, 1963). Laboratory studies showed that E. amylovora developed resistance far more slowly with this combination than with streptomycin alone (English and van Halsema, 1954). Then, it was used commercially for many years, until oxytetracycline was removed during the 1960s, apparently because the combination showed no advantage when used in the field (van der Zwet et al., 2012). According to Stockwell and Duffy (2013), streptomycin is applied in several countries of the United States to control fire blight before infection have place, in springtime, because after infection antibiotics are ineffective. Antibiotics have been indispensable for crop protection in USA for more than 50 years without reports of adverse effects on human health or persistent impacts on the environment, since antibiotics are active on plants for less than a week (Stockwell and Duffy, 2013). A study with streptomycin to control fire blight in experimental orchards of Europe was recently carried out by Walsh et al. (2014). They demonstrated that there was not abundance of streptomycin or tetracycline resistant genes in the bacteria neither a negative impact on the bacterial community after three streptomycin treatments.

Numerous copper compounds have given variable results in the control of fire blight, ranging from poor to excellent (Rosen, 1932, 1934; Pinckard *et al.*, 1936; Sherbakof and Andes, 1939; Veerkamp, 1945; Gardiner, 1951-1957; Agrios, 1968; Hickey *et al.*, 1998; Bastas *et al.*, 2010). Copper sulfate mixed with lime (Bordeaux mixture) has been used more often than any other form of copper. It is applied only during the dormant period and the bloom period, to avoid fruit russet that is directly proportionate to the copper content of the formulation. Probably because of this fruit injury, copper is not used more often.

A wide and renew overview about the use of copper in the management of bacterial diseases of fruit trees will be explained in section 1.2.

#### 1.1.5.4. Biological control

The possibility of biological control of fire blight, using different microorganisms, has been investigated, discussed, and reviewed for more than four decades (Schroth *et al.*, 1974; Aldwinckle and Beer, 1979; Pusey, 2002; Stockwell *et al.*, 2002; Ozaktah and Bora, 2004; Cabrefiga *et al.*, 2014). At first, mainly antagonistic bacteria were tested as potential biological control agents, but since then several natural compounds (plant extracts and etheric oils) have also been assayed against the fire blight pathogen (Briffaerts *et al.*, 1996; Zeller and Laux, 2006; Farkas *et al.*, 2012). Increased research on the biocontrol of this disease has been motivated by the development of

resistance in *E. amylovora* to the antibiotic streptomycin, and the restrictions to the use of several chemicals and antibiotics in countries of the EU and other states (Zeller and Laux, 2001, 2002a, 2002b; Montesinos *et al.*, 2009; BOE 2012).

The surface of the stigma, located on top of the floral pistil, is the site where bacterial bio-control agents must interact with and successfully antagonize E. amylovora (Hattingh et al., 1986; Thomson, 1986; Wilson et al., 1989; Vanneste, 1995; Farkas et al., 2012). In fact, biological control of fire blight is successful when a bacterial antagonist establishes and develops a large population on the stigmatic surface prior to the establishment of *E. amylovora* (Wilson et al., 1992; Johnson et al., 1993; Wilson and Lindow, 1993). These populations, through a combination of mechanisms, can suppress the establishment and epiphytic growth of the pathogen (Farkas et al., 2012). Decrease of *E. amylovora* population on stigmatic surfaces reduces the probability of floral infection and spread of the pathogen to other blossoms. Effective biological control requires colonization of the most stigmas of the flowers in the orchard by the bacterial antagonists (Johnson et al., 1993; Lindow et al., 1996), and requires a larger population of them on these surfaces (Farkas et al., 2012). Fire blight is a good candidate for biological control because the bacterial antagonists need to persist on the nutrient-rich, stigmatic surfaces for only about one week to suppress blossom infection effectively (Cabrefiga et al., 2007; Cabrefiga et al., 2011; Farkas et al., 2012; Cabrefiga and Montesinos, 2017).

## 1.1.5.5. Cultivar susceptibility and genetic control

A very limited number of apple and pear cultivars are responsible for a large proportion of annual world production (Vanneste, 2000). To retain a cultivar with fruiting desirable characteristics and to introduce disease-resistance genes by conventional breeding methods is virtually impossible, because of apple and pear heterozygosity, long generation time and selfincompatibility. All this make back-cross programs of several generation prohibitively long term and expensive (Vanneste, 2000). The use of biotechnology can now overcome these handicaps by introducing resistance genes directly into current valuable commercial cultivars and thereby transforming them into resistant forms of the same cultivars (van der Zwet *et al.*, 2012).

Targeting gene expression to fire blight-susceptible tissues or during specific developmental stages could be advantageous in providing resistance where and when needed (Vanneste, 2000). Exogenous application of plant resistance inducers (PRIs) able to activate plant defenses is the most novel approach for new integrated pest management practices (de Bernonville *et al.*, 2014).

## 1.1.5.6. Integrated control in Spain and the European Union (EU)

Optimal control of fire blight seems to be only achieved by eliminating all diseased plant material and by reducing host susceptibility with available cultural measures (Deckers and Porreye, 1987; Deckers *et al.*, 1987). The disease control must be considered 58 as an integrated strategy, selecting the lower sensitivity varieties and applying the prophylactic measures, the cultural techniques and necessary treatments to reduce the inoculum amount (Johnson and Stockwell, 1998; Palacio-Bielsa and Cambra, 2009; Johnson and Temple, 2013; Smith, 2014).

Genetic improvement of apple and pear trees to obtain resistant varieties with commercial interest did not provide the expected results despite that it has been obtained significative advances (van der Zwet *et al.*, 2012). Also, transgenic and cisgenic varieties of apple and pear trees with significant levels of fire blight resistance have been obtained (Litz and Padilla, 2012), but not authorized and commercialized in EU yet.

A combination of all available control measures (sanitation, selection of resistant varieties, and cultural practices) is the preferred way to keep the disease to a minimum.

#### 1.1.5.7. Legislation

In Spain, the regulation against fire blight started with a Ministry Order in 1975 about the prohibition of host plants import from contaminated countries. In 1999, it was published the Real Decreto 1201/1999 (BOE 1999) on the National Program for eradication and control of fire blight, that was based on the European legislation but adapted to the Spanish situation. This law was modified in 2005 (Real Decreto 1512/2005), 2010 (Real Decreto 246/2010) and 2011 (Real Decreto 1786/2011). The following rules were determined as obligatory in disease free zones (PZ): a) official declaration of the disease, b) destruction of the affected vegetal material by particular people and public entities, and c) systematic research by the Autonomous Communities. Obligatory phytosanitary measures were established in this Real Decreto in zones where the disease was present, to slow down its propagation, as well as the prohibition to plant host ornamental species in public road and gardens in the hazard zones decided by each Autonomous Community (Montesinos *et al.*, 1999).

The European Economic Community (EEC) published in 1977 the Directive 77/93/CEE about the circulation of damaging organisms of plants where *E. amylovora* appeared as a quarantine organism (present in some countries of the EEC but not in all of them). Restrictions were imposed to the distribution of vegetal material coming from epidemic zones, which were considered as Protected Zones (PZ) for this disease, being Spain among them. The EEC member countries not yet affected by fire blight established a supervision network for maintaining these areas free of the disease. According to that, all the vegetal material susceptible to the disease must be commercialized under the phytosanitary passport with the initials PZ (Montesinos *et al.*, 1999).

In 2000, the Directive 2000/29/CE was published in the Official Diary of the European Economic Communities. The Directive is relative to the protection measures against the introduction in the Community of damaging organisms for the vegetables or vegetal 60 products and against their dissemination inside the Community. In Spain, the Plant Health law (Law 43/2002, of November 20th of 2002) regulates thoroughly general aspects relative to the prevention and fight against the different pests and diseases. The Autonomous Communities, basing on the Real Decreto 1201/1999 and 1512/2005, have adopted in Spain other complementary measures to reinforce the effects that are being pursued (Palacio-Bielsa and Cambra, 2009).

## 1.2. Copper and field application

Bacterial infections of plants are some of the most difficult diseases to control because there is still little effective chemistry available (Civerolo, 1982; López *et al.* 2003; Janse, 2004, 2005). Antimicrobials for prophylactic treatment of bacterial diseases of plants are limited in availability, use, and efficacy, and therapeutic use is largely ineffective (Vidaver, 2002). One type of the products more frequently used are copper formulations that have been extensively used in agriculture since more than 200 years ago, with a significant track history of relative success, and nowadays the utilisation of different copper-based bactericides is a piece in the whole of controlling bacterial diseases.

## **1.2.1.** The history of the use of copper

Copper is considered a unique metal known for its antimicrobial properties throughout millennia. It was the first metal used by humans, probably because of its metallic native form (Elguindi *et al.*, 2011). Thus, use of copper has been reported as far as in ancient Chinese civilization around 2500 B.C (Yu *et al.*, 1995); in ancient Egypt to sterilize drinking water and chest wounds (Dollwet and Sorenson 1985); by Greeks in 400 B.C., for treating pulmonary diseases and purifying drinking water (Dollwet and Sorenson, 1985); and by ancient Aztecs, in Mexico, for treating skin conditions. And during the circa 1850 cholera epidemic in Paris, copper workers were found to be immune to it (Michels *et al.*, 2005). Throughout history, men have exploited the antimicrobial attributes of copper.

As early as the beginning of 18th century, Prevost (1807) had demonstrated that bunt of wheat, caused by *Tilletia caries*, could be controlled to some degree by copper sulfate. He provided the first scientific evidence that this compound would kill fungal structures. Previously, Schulthess (1761) had observed that copper sulfate could provide some control of bunt but did not know the mode of action (van Zweiten et al., 2007). It was in the latter half of the 19th century that chemical disease control really started to develop. Because of its high phytotoxicity, copper was not used as a foliar pesticide until 1885 (Millardet, 1885), when French scientist Millardet accidentally observed that a mixture of copper sulfate, lime and water, that had been applied to grapevines near roadways in order to discourage thieves from stealing the grapes, was not phytotoxic but exhibited a fungicidal action against *Plasmopara viticola* (Russell, 2005). His vinter's spray formulation was then the fungicide of choice in USA and was named "Bordeaux mixture" (Borkow and Gabbay, 2005). It had represented the first large scale use of a fungicide in Europe and America (Dunegan and Doolittle, 1953; Floyd, 1991). It later proved also to be a good bactericide; in fact, it has been used widely against bacterial diseases on different crops (Jiang et al., 2008). Already in one of the first publications on bacterial diseases of plants (Smith, 1920), it is reported that the number of infections in walnut blight in California was reduced by 50% using Bordeaux mixture, and that in Italy this mixture was recommended for olive trees following hailstorms to protect them against tuberculose.

## 1.2.2. Copper formulations and mode of action

Copper bactericides work by coating the leaf surface with minute particles of copper which then react with acid and moisture on the surface to release copper ions that kill bacteria and prevent fungal spores from germinating. Over time the protective coating provided by copper bactericides is diluted by the action of rain, wind and the growth of the crop. Interestingly, despite the long-term use of copper as antimicrobial, its precise mode of action has not been fully elucidated (Dupont *et al.,* 2011; Fones and Preston, 2012).

The antimicrobial activity of copper is due to the soluble fraction of Cu<sup>++</sup> or Cu<sup>+</sup> metal. Copper compounds used in agriculture are generally composed of the active ingredient Cu<sup>++</sup> combined with an anionic component to form inorganic or organic salts, or quelates. The other ingredients improve water miscibility, adherence and spreadibility to plant surfaces, and other additional properties.

Copper, although no target specific, kills all living cells. Hovewer, plants are less susceptible to copper toxicity than microorganisms, due to tissue barriers and structures, such as leaf cuticle, fruit wax or trunk suber, which avoid the entry of copper. The role of copper for control of bacterial plant diseases is primarily based on its direct action on the pathogenic cells. Copper can interact with many vital cell structures due to reactive nature of Cu<sup>++</sup> with anionic cell components. Moreover, increased intracellular copper levels may induce the synthesis of reactive oxygen species (ROS), causing an additional oxidative damage to lipids, proteins and DNA in

the internal structures of the bacterial cell. The result of these actions is a loss of the functionality of the bacterial cell envelope by membrane disruption, a protein-enzyme denaturation, inhibition of DNA replication, transcription, and protein synthesis. Therefore, copper exhibits a bactericidal activity. However, there is a second mechanism of action of copper, which is mediated by the host. It consists on a stress response induced by copper in the plant, with overproduction of ROS and increased levels of antioxidant enzymes (SOD, GPX, APX) (Ros-Barceló, 2006; Yadav *et al.*, 2010).

## 1.2.3. Field applications in the EU

As described before, copper compounds through agriculture history have been used for vegetable and fruit crops to restrict the spread of plant pathogens, both bacteria and fungi. They have constitued for decades the main protection instrument against plant diseases. However, today there is a strong tendency to reduce the use of copper and copper-based products to minimize their environmental impact. Development of copper resistant bacterial strains and accumulation of copper in soil are detrimental effects derived from use of this chemical.

In the EU, plant protection products containing copper must fulfil the safety requirements laid down in BOE (2012). According to it, several active substances were evaluated, and the following products were included in the Annex I of the Directive: copper hydroxide, copper oxychloride, copper oxide, Bordeaux mixture, and tribasic copper sulfate. The phytosanitary products that contain these substances can be therefore authorized in the Member States. Nevertheless, due to the fact that the risk assessment of copper compounds revealed eco-toxicological concerns, a restriction on the inclusion period is deemed necessary to allow Member States to review after a shorter period copper containing plant protection products already on the market.

Chemical treatments are very useful and contribute to reduce losses in fruit production due to bacterial infections. However, no complete protection can be expected by chemical treatments alone, but they should be considered as a part of an integrated control system aiming to decrease disease incidence. The objective for a sustainable disease management is to improve the efficiency of disease control while reducing the amount of copper application to an environmentally acceptable level. An attempt to adequately control diseases and limit unnecessary chemical applications is to select the copper compounds to be used, applying them in a strategic way, timed according to pathogen activity, and test alternative products and farming practices.

# **1.3.** Copper, friend and foe for bacterial cells: targets for copper action and strategies

Copper is a transition metal utilized by bacteria in many cellular processes. However, while copper plays critical roles it can be toxic when levels are beyond cellular needs. Bacterial cells may prevent copper toxicity in part by keeping copper compartmentalized in the cell periphery. Trace copper is sufficient for survival as there are few Cu-dependent enzymes and they are most often localized within the cell periphery. The most widespread Cu-dependent enzyme in bacteria is cytochrome *c* oxidase (Cox), located in the cell membrane (Festa and Thiele, 2012).

Our current understanding of the molecular mode of action of copper ions against bacteria is still limited and the microorganism more studied is *Escherichia coli*. Rensing and Grass (2003) demostrated that the copper translocating P-type ATPase, CopA, was the central component in *E. coli* for copper homeostasis, responsible for removing excess copper from the cytoplasm. Macomber and Imlay (2009) showed that copper toxicity involved the action of ROS and that the primary target of copper in *E. coli* was the iron-sulfur cluster of proteins. A connection between copper and cell integrity was discovered when Espirito Santo *et al.* (2011) challenged *E. coli* to dry copper surfaces. Cells suffered extensive membrane damage within minutes of exposure to dry copper.

## 1.3.1. Copper and generation of reactive oxygen species

Metals can act directly as antimicrobial toxins, and, consequently, they can be used directly to limit pathogen growth (Fones and Preston, 2012). Besides that, the ability of copper to undergo redox changes between Cu<sup>+</sup> and Cu<sup>++</sup>, although makes it essential for life by its indispensable role in various enzymatic processes, also makes it dangerous since it is able to elicit the production of ROS. Aerobically, copper readily catalyzes reactions that result in the production of hydroxyl radicals through the Fenton-like and Haber-Weiss reactions  $(Cu^+ + H_2O_2 \rightarrow HO^+ + HO^- + Cu^{++}; O_2^{-+} + Cu^{++} \rightarrow Cu^+ + O_2)$  (Halliwell and Gutteridge, 1984, 1990; Rensing and Franke-McDevitt, 2013). The highly reactive oxygen intermediates are responsible for lipid peroxidation, oxidation of proteins and damage to nucleic acids (Halliwell and Gutteridge, 1984; Imlay and Linn, 1988; Stadtman, 1992). The effect of Cu<sup>+</sup> on generation of ROS can also be indirect, since free copper ions are able to oxidize sulfhydryl-groups, such as cysteine in proteins or the cellular redox-buffer glutathione (Stohs and Bagchi, 1995; Helbig *et al.*, 2008). Moreover, Cu<sup>+</sup> can attack and destroy iron-sulfur clusters realeasing iron which can in turn cause oxidative damage through iron-based Fenton chemistry (Kever and Imlay, 1996; Rensing and Franke-McDevitt, 2013).

It was believed that copper ion toxicity in bacteria was only mediated by oxidative DNA damage. However, under anaerobic conditions copper ions reduce the growth rate of *E. coli* even more strongly than under aerobic conditions (Outten *et al.*, 2001). Figure 10 shows a summary of the multitarget action of copper in a Gram-negative bacterial cell.

Figure 10. The multitarget action of copper in a Gram-negative bacterial cell. IM: inner membrane, CW: cell wall, EM: external membrane, EPS: exopolysacharide (Courtesy of Prof. E. Montesinos, University of Girona, Spain).



## **1.3.2.** Copper uptake and homeostasis

Copper compounds have been used to control bacterial diseases since long time ago and consequently pathogens have been under copper pressure all that time. They have developed detoxification strategies and copper-resistance mechanisms to face up to the bactericide properties of high level copper concentrations (Rensing and Grass, 2003; Teitzel and Parsek, 2003; Waldron and Robinson, 2009; Fones and Preston, 2012).

Copper homeostasis must be carefully regulated in pathogens to allow enough metal to be available for protein assembly but below damage induction threshold. Within the cytoplasm, copper is sequestered by various proteins and molecules, including specific copper chaperones, to prevent cellular damage (Rae et al., 1999). Hernández-Montes et al. (2012) reported that the bacteria of the plant pathogenic genera such as Xanthomonas, Pantoea, Erwinia, and Pseudomonas, have proteins involved in copper homeostasis (Table 3). They found that CopA was the most abundant protein with a physiological role as an internal membrane ATPase. It was identified in the chromosomes of 70 genera, with few exceptions, such as seven Xanthomonas and Xylella spp. CopA is an inner membrane pump to extrude copper ( $Cu^{\dagger}$ ) from the cytoplasm to the periplasm and usually works with an external membrane pump that export copper from the periplasm to the extracellular matrix (CusC). Thus, pathogens can control the cell copper levels to avoid damages.

Table 3. Copper homeostasis proteins presence in four plant pathogenic genera (Hernández-Montes *et al.*, 2012). Only proteins with highest abundance within a genus are shown.

Genera	Proteins for copper homeostasis	
Erwinia	CueO, CopA, CusC	
Pantoea	YebZ, CueO, CopA, CusC	
Pseudomonas	CopA, CusC	
Xanthomonas	CusA, CusC	

Relevance of copA is also supported by Rademacher and Masepohl (2012), who described that upon copper addition all bacteria examined induced ATPase expression, even though different 70 species utilize structural and functionally different regulators to control ATPase gene transcription. As for the ATPase gene regulation and as a general rule, Gram-negative bacteria activate ATPase gene transcription with increasing copper concentrations, mostly by CueRlike one-component regulator or by CusRS-like two-component systems (Rademacher and Masepohl, 2012). Yamamoto and Ishihama (2005) described that CueR from *E. coli* is the main system regulating copper-response under aerobic conditions. On the other hand, the CusSR system may play an important role in copper tolerance under anaerobic conditions because self-phosphorylation of CusS is activated in that situation.

Other partners playing an important role in copper homeostasis are the multicopper oxidase proteins (MCOs). The most outstanding toxicity of copper is given when Cu<sup>+</sup> is in the cytoplasm, whereas Cu<sup>++</sup> is less toxic for the cell. In fact, many bacteria synthesize MCOs as additional copper defence determinants. Comparative analysis showed that MCOs can be found in approximately 13% of the bacterial genomes (Ridge et al., 2008). Although the sequence homology among MCOs is low, amino acid alignments show that the overall structures and copper-binding motifs are highly conserved (Reiss et al., 2013). Based on the type of substrates, several types of MCOs can be differentiated (Sakurai and Kataoka, 2007). MCO expression in Gram-negative bacteria is activated by either CueR or CusRS homologues as we mentioned above for copper-ATPase proteins. Although MCOs function occurs in the periplasm, there is no apparent preference for CusRS systems, which sense periplasmic 71

copper concentrations, over CueR sensors, which respond to the cytoplasmic copper status (Rademacher and Masepohl, 2012).

# 1.3.3. Multicomponent copper efflux systems

Resistance-nodulation-cell division (RND) superfamily efflux systems are responsible for the active transport of toxic compounds from the Gram-negative bacterial cell. Besides copper-ATPases and MCOs. many Gram-negative bacteria synthesize RND-type multicomponent copper efflux systems (Rademacher and Masepohl, 2012). These pumps typically assemble as tripartite complexes, spanning the inner and outer membranes of the cell envelope. These inner-membrane substrate-binding complexes consist of an transporter (or pump), a periplasmic membrane fusion protein (or adaptor), and an outer membrane-anchored channel (Delmar et al., 2013). The assembled tripartite efflux complex is responsible for removing toxic compound out of the cell, and mediating bacterial resistance to these noxious chemicals (Delmar et al., 2013). Taking E. coli as a model (Outten et al., 2001; Rensing and Grass, 2003), we know that it harbours the divergently transcribed cusCFBA and cusRS operons, which encode an RND-type copper efflux system and a copper-responsive two-component system, respectively. Membranebound CusS is thought to sense the periplasmic copper status. Upon binding of Cu<sup>+</sup>, CusS is expected to autophosphorylate and donates the phosphoryl group to CusR, which in turn, activates transcription of the cusCFBA and cusRS operons (Outten et al., 2001; Franke et al., 2003; Rensing & Grass, 2003; Gudipaty et al., 2012). Primarily, these
RND systems excrete copper from the periplasm and, maybe less important for copper export, from the cytoplasm. As it would be expected for bacteria lacking an outer membrane, copper induced RND systems are absent in Gram-positive species (Rademacher and Masepohl, 2012).

#### **1.3.4.** Biosynthesis of extracellular polymeric substances (EPS)

A common feature of many bacteria is the biosynthesis of EPS that can offer a protective barrier under environmental stress conditions. These extracellular polymeric substances may protect cells by binding toxic metal ions (Geddie and Suhterland, 1993) and, in fact, bacteria can increase their EPS production in the presence of toxic metals as a defense mechanism (Decho, 1994). Commonly, exopolysaccharides are composed of glucose, fructose, manose, pyruvate and fucose, as well as mannuronic and glucuronic-acid complexes (Brisou, 1995). In addition. the EPS matrix comprises а mixture of heteropolysaccharides, proteins and nucleic acids (Filipe *et al.*, 1999). Exopolysaccharides and other biopolymers exhibit excellent metalbinding properties with varying degrees of specificity and affinity. The binding of cations to bacterial biopolymers generally occurs through electrostatic interaction with negatively charged functional groups such as uronic acids, phosphoryl groups of amino acids, acidic amino acids and phosphate-containing nucleotides (Beech and Sunner, 2004). It has been described that copper increases production of the main exopolysaccharide of E. amylovora, amylovoran (Bereswill et al., 1998). Amylovoran and levan contribute to long term survival of this pathogen in a culturable state in presence of copper and can be used as carbon sources under deprivation conditions providing to *E. amylovora* survival advantage (Ordax *et al.*, 2010).

## 1.3.5. The viable but nonculturable (VBNC) state can be induced by copper

Copper induces a particular physiological state, the VBNC state, in several plant-associated bacteria. such as Aarobacterium tumefaciens, Ralstonia solanacearum, Ε. amylovora, and Xanthomonas citri subsp. citri as part of the survival strategies of these microorganisms (Alexander et al., 1999; Grey and Steck, 2001; Ordax et al., 2006; del Campo et al., 2009). Effectiveness of copper to control bacterial plant disease is often measured by the absence of bacterial growth on a solid medium. However, the failure to produce a visible colony may not necessarily mean that the bacterial cell is dead. VBNC state can be induced in bacteria under some stress conditions, losing their culturability on nonselective solid medium but remaining viable and virulent, potentially creating a hidden reservoir of the pathogen. Induction of the VBNC state is a general mechanism to retain viability, at least in a proportion of cells, and it can be considered a long-term dormant-like survival mechanism for nonspore-forming bacteria. Resuscitation of nonculturable cells does not always occur by a simple reversal of the stress that induced the nonculturability, and there appears to be no a universal resuscitation condition (Kell et al., 1998). In the case of E. amylovora and X. citri subsp. citri cells, resuscitation has been reported even after several

74

months under copper stress (Ordax *et al.*, 2006; Golmohammadi *et al.*, 2013).

#### 1.4. Erwinia amylovora, the causal agent

#### 1.4.1. Taxonomy and general features

*E. amylovora* was the first bacterium for which its pathogenicity to plants was demonstrated, and as a plant pathogenic bacterium was shown to be the causative agent of fire blight (Burrill, 1883). It is Gram-negative bacterium belonging to the familv а of Enterobacteriaceae, which includes some human and animal pathogens such as Escherichia coli, Yersinia spp., Shigella spp. and Salmonella spp. Cells are rod-shaped, have a size of about 0,3µm x 1-3µm, and are motile in culture media by means of two to seven peritrichous flagella per cell (van der Zwet *et al.*, 2012). On the plant surface, the motility of E. amylovora is easily expressed (Paulin, 2000), but no motile bacterial cells have been observed in the intercellular spaces of infected plant tissues (Cesbron et al., 2006). E. amylovora is a facultative anaerobe and is quoted as being weakly fermentative (Holt et al., 1994). The optimal temperature for its growth is 25-27°C, although it can grow between 3-5°C and up to 37ºC (Billing et al., 1961).

Its appearance on plate depends on the culture medium (Fig. 11). For example in KB medium (EPPO, 2013) *E. amylvora* colonies are visible at 24h and are creamy white, circular and non-fluorescent under UV light color at 366nm after 48h (Fig. 11 A). This allows distinction from fluorescent pseudomonads. Colonies of *E. amylovora* on Levan medium (EPPO, 2013) are apparent at 24h and are whitish,

circular, domed, smooth and mucoid after 48h (Fig. 11 B). *E. amylovora* colonies on CCT medium (EPPO, 2013) are visible at about 48h and are pale violet, circular, highly convex to domed, smooth and mucoid after 72h, showing slower growth than on KB and Levan media (Fig. 11 C). CCT medium inhibits most pseudomonads but not *Pantoea agglomerans* (EPPO, 2013) that is a frequent member of the native microbiota in *E. amylovora* host plants. KB, Levan and CCT are the three media recommended by EPPO to maximum recovery of *E. amylovora* from samples in poor condition.

Figure 11. *Erwinia amylovora* appearance in different solid media. Courtesy of Laboratory of Bacteriology of IVIA, Spain.





B)



C)



According to phenotypic and genomic studies, the specie *E. amylovora* is very homogeneous at the biochemical and serological levels (Paulin, 2000; Smits *et al.*, 2010), but exhibits differences among strains in several characteristics, including host range, virulence (Norelli *et al.*, 1984; Cabrefiga and Montesinos, 2005; Wang and Zhao, 2009) and presence of different plasmids (Llop *et al.*, 2012).

#### 1.4.2. Virulence factors

Pathogenicity and virulence in *E. amylovora* mainly depend on several factors (van der Zwet *et al.*, 2012): (1) the synthesis of amylovoran and levan, (2) a type III secretion system, (3) the effector protein DspE, (4) the iron-scavenging siderophore desferrioxamine and (5) the presence of plasmids pEA29 and pEI70 (Llop *et al.*, 2011).

Amylovoran is a polymer of a pentasaccharide repeating units that consists mainly of four galactose residues and one glucuronic acid residue (Nimtz et al., 1996). It is produced in the early stationary growth phase and its size varies according to environmental conditions (Bellemann et al., 1994; Geider et al., 1999; Li et al., 2014). Levan is a neutral polyfructan ( $\beta$ -2, 6-D-fructofuranan) synthesized by E. amylovora via the secreted enzyme levansucrase from environmental sucrose (van der Zwet et al., 2012). Lack of levan synthesis can result in slow symptom development on shoots of host plants (Bogs and Geider, 2000). E. amylovora cells moving through plant vessels result in bacterial aggregate and produce accumulation of EPS, and disruption of water flow (Sjulin and Beer, 1978). The bacterial aggregation causes leakage of the vessels and extrusion of bacteria into the parenchyma, forces bacterial ooze out of the plant surface, and thus causes shoot wilting (Brisset and Paulin, 1991; Vanneste, 1995; Zhao et al., 1996). It has been shown that virulence of E. amylovora is dependent on the production of EPS, since strains that do not have the capacity to synthesize EPS are nonpathogenic and are unable to move into plant vessels (van der Zwet et al., 2012).

*E. amylovora* requires a functional Hrp secretion-translocation pathway, in order to be pathogenic (Klement, 1982; Goodman and Novacky, 1994). The Hrp type III secretion system (TTSS) delivers effector proteins into host plants (He *et al.*, 1994; Kim *et al.*, 1997a, 1997b, 1997c; Bogdanove *et al.*, 1998a, 1998b; Kim and Beer, 2000; Oh *et al.*, 2005). The *hrp/dsp* gene cluster of *E. amylovora* contains

79

the *hrp/hrc* and the HEE regions (Oh and Beer, 2005). The *hrp/hrc* region contains 25 genes, including four regulatory genes which control the expression of other genes, and nine *hrc* (hypersensitive response-conserved) genes (Bogdanove *et al.*, 1998b). The HEE region has seven genes including two encoding harpin proteins (hrpN and hrpW) and two *dsp* genes (Oh and Beer, 2005). HrpN is considered to be involved in disease development (Kim *et al.*, 1997a). As for *dsp* genes DspA/E, Boureau *et al.* (2006) confirmed that they act as major inducers of cell death during disease and hypersensitive response (HR). DspA/E-mediated necrosis may be associated with an alteration of defense responses (Boureau *et al.*, 2006), maybe inhibiting photosysthesis in young leaves.

The production of siderophores provides an efficient strategy to allow pathogens to overcome conditions of iron limitation in host tissues, and also to protect cells against iron toxicity. In iron-limited environments, *E. amylovora* produces trihydroxamate siderophores belonging to the desferrioxamine (DFO) family (Feistner *et al.*, 1993; Kachadourian *et al.*, 1996). Mutants of *E. amylovora* CFBP 1430 lacking a functional high affinity in the iron transport system mediated by DFO are impaired in their ability to initiate fire blight symptoms (Dellagi *et al.*, 1998). Feistner and coworkers (Feistner *et al.*, 1993; Feistner, 1995) identified and characterized the siderophores of *E. amylovora* in which proferrioxamines were found, with desferrioxamines D<sub>2</sub> and E being the major siderophores of all strains studied.

The importance of the role of plasmids pEA29 and pEI70 in the virulence of *E. amylovora* has recently been demonstrated at least for some strains. Llop et al. (2011) proved that when pEI70 is introduced into strains with low levels of aggressiveness, the intensity of symptoms increased. On the other hand, after curing this plasmid from strains that showed a standard level of aggressiveness, the intensity of symptoms decreased to levels similar to strains without plasmids or cured of pEA29. Moreover, in this study, wild-type strains lacking pEA29, but containing plasmid pEI70, presented similar levels of aggressiveness compared to the reference strain CFBP 1430 that harbors only pEA29. In contrast, no significant effect of the introduction of pEA29 was detected on the incidence of infection in strains only harboring pEI70. This plasmid seems to provide some features that compensate for the lack of pEA29 and could explain the standard aggressiveness levels generally observed in the strains harboring it (Llop et al., 2011).

#### 1.5. E. amylovora genetics

#### 1.5.1. E. amylovora genome

The first two published genomes of *E. amylovora* were those of a North American strain isolated from apple, *Ea*273 (ATCC 49946) (Sebaihia *et al.*, 2010) and the strain CFBP 1430 (Smits *et al.*, 2010), isolated in France in 1972 from *Crataegus*. Afterwards, the draft genome of a *Rubus* strain, ATCC BAA-2158 (Powney *et al.*, 2011) was published. Draft sequences of seven isolates from Maloideae and two additional *Rubus* strains were also generated (Mann *et al.*, 2012), and raw assemblies for eight further Maloideae isolates are available (Smits *et al.*, 2011). The total collection of the genomes of these sequenced strains provides a good overview of the diversity within the species.

The genome of *E. amylovora* ATCC 49946 strain consists of a circular chromosome of 3,805,874 bp and two plasmids, AMYP1 (28,243 bp) and AMYP2 (71,487 bp) (Sebaihia *et al.*, 2010) (Fig. 12). Coding regions in the chromosome account for 85.1% of the total sequence, with 3,483 identified coding sequences (CDS) in 2010 (Sebaihia *et al.*, 2010). The smaller plasmid, AMYP1, has been reported as pEA29 (McGhee and Jones, 2000), with nearly identical sequences. The larger plasmid, AMYP2, renamed pEA72 for consistency in nomenclature, contains 87 predicted CDSs, with two predicted mobile-element-related CDSs and one pseudogene. Among the CDSs with annotated functions are a cluster of genes (AMYP2\_49

to AMYP2\_62) that encode a putative type IV fimbrial system (pil genes).

Figure 12. Genome of *Erwinia amylovora Ea*273 and plasmids that harbors. Circular representation. http://www.plantpath.cornell.edu/labs/beer/Research.html



The complete genome sequence of *E. amylovora* CFBP 1430 strain consists of the 3,8Mb circular chromosome and the nearly ubiquitous plasmid pEA29. The genome of this strain was assembled against the sequence of strain ATCC 49946 (Smits *et al.*, 2010). Overall, the genome sequences of the European and USA strains were found to be nearly identical (>99.99%), with only a low number of nucleotide differences, reinforcing indications of low diversity within this pathogen. This high genetic-homogeneity suggests that minimal evolution has occurred since *E. amylovora* global dispersal. The *E. amylovora* CFBP 1430 chromosome is 301 bp smaller than that 83 of *E. amylovora* ATCC 49946 and this is largely due to intergenomic intergenic transcribed spacer (ITS) variation. This level of conservation between a European and USA strain reflects the relatively recent dispersal of the species and indicates a low rate of significant evolution (Smits *et al.*, 2010).

The genome of all these *E. amylovora* strains is less than 4Mb long, whereas most free-living enterobacteria, including plant pathogens, have genomes of 4.5 Mb to 5.5 Mb. The E. amylovora strains have many more predicted pseudogenes than other enterobacteria with similar lifestyles. It has also revealed clear signs of pathoadaptation to the rosaceous plant environment (Sebaihia et al., 2010), since genome contains proteins more similar to other plant pathogens than proteins of closely related enterobacteria. Examples of this probable pathoadaptation are: the type III effectors are homologous to those of plant-pathogenic pseudomonads; a sorbitol-metabolizing cluster that may confer a competitive advantage for survival in rosaceous plants; or the remarkable reduced genome size and erosion or loss of genes involved in anaerobic respiration and nitrate assimilation, relative to other plantand animal-pathogenic members of the Enterobacteriaceae (Sebaihia et al., 2010).

Although many of the virulence factors found in *E. amylovora* are present in the genomes of other *Erwinia* species such as *E. pyrifoliae* and *E. tasmaniensis*, a comparative analysis demonstrated that many others are specific for *E. amylovora* and, therefore, may 84

contribute to the broad-spectrum and severe-disease phenotype of this species (Oh and Beer 2005). Currently, the major factors considered critical for pathogenicity or virulence on rosaceous hosts are those related to Hrp T3SS and the amylovoran biosynthesis (Bernhard *et al.* 1996; Oh and Beer 2005; Oh *et al.* 2005; Zhao *et al.*, 2009).

*E. amylovora* can be divided into two host-specific groups: strains infecting a broad range of hosts within the Rosaceae subfamily Spiraeoideae (eg., Malus, Pyrus, Crataegus, Sorbus) and strains infecting Rubus (raspberries and blackberries). Mann et al. (2013) performed a comparative genomic analysis of 12 strains representing distinct populations belonging to each host-specific grouping, with the objective of describing the pan-genome of E. amylovora. This pan-genome is highly conserved relative to other phytopathogenic bacteria, comprising on average 89% conserved core genes. Analysis of the annotated sequences revealed that 86% of the average E. amylovora genome consists of CDS and has an average CDS density of approximately 1 per kb. Comparison of average amino acid identities (AAI) between the strains indicated that the core genome of E. amylovora is highly conserved (>99% AAI among all strains). The pan-genome of *E. amylovora* was calculated to contain 5,751 CDS of which 3,414 CDS were considered as core. The chromosomes of Spiraeoideae-infecting strains were highly homogeneous, while greater genetic diversity was observed among

85

*Rubus*-infecting strains, the majority of which was attributed to variable genomic islands.

According to Mann *et al.* (2013), in comparison with other studies, the pan-genome of *E. amylovora* has a high percentage of CDS per individual genome classified as core. This highlights the relatively small amount of intra-species genetic diversity observed in *E. amylovora* even with the inclusion of the more genetically diverse *Rubus*-infecting strains. It has been speculated that *E. amylovora* has a relatively low genetic diversity (compared to other plant pathogens like *P. syringae*) because it undergoes limited genetic recombination and it has a high degree of specialization to a narrow ecological niche. In Spiraeoideae-infecting strains, the genome is exposed to limited selection pressure due to pome fruit breeding strategies favoring high-value varieties that often are highly susceptible to fire blight (McManus and Jones, 1995; Smits *et al.*, 2011).

The number of genomes required to estimate the size of a species' pan-genome has been mathematically modeled (Hogg *et al.*, 2007; Tettelin *et al.*, 2008), leading to the concept of "open" and "closed" pan-genomes. In an open pan-genome new genes are added to the gene repertoire of the species with every new strain sequenced (Tettelin *et al.*, 2008). Based on EDGAR analysis (Blom *et al.*, 2009), using two complete genome sequences and ten draft genome sequences of *E. amylovora*, its pan-genome is predicted to be open. Singleton development analysis estimated that 52 novel CDS (including plasmids) or 40 novel CDS (excluding plasmids) would 86

be added to the pan-genome with each additional genome of *E. amylovora* sequenced (Mann *et al.*, 2013).

#### 1.5.2. Plasmids

One of the most obvious differences among *E. amylovora* strains is the presence of different plasmids (Llop *et al.*, 2012). Nine plasmids, and someone else of minor size, have been reported in *E. amylovora* up to date. The more studied is plasmid pEA29, that was first described by Merckaert *et al.* (1982) and since then the information about it is very abundant. Its size can actually vary from 27.6 to 34.9 kb (Schnabel and Jones, 1998; Kim and Geider, 1999; McGhee and Jones, 2000), due to a variable number of short sequence repeats harboured. The plasmid encodes a thiamine biosynthesis operon and several candidate genes that could affect virulence and survival in plants (McGhee and Jones, 2000). It could play a role in the physiology or metabolism of extracellular polysaccharide production, and these traits are associated with full virulence of the pathogen (McGhee and Sundin, 2008).

The other less studied plasmids are the following, in decreasing order of size. Plasmid pEA72 was described in strain ATCC 49946, and is considered cryptic (Sebaihia *et al.*, 2010).

Plasmid pEI70 (Llop *et al.*, 2006) has characteristics that make it unusual: it is conjugative (Llop *et al.*, 2011), is widespread in 11 European countries, and induces faster development of symptoms when introduced in low virulence *E. amylovora* strains (Llop *et al.*, 2008 and 2011). This plasmid is thought to provide a fitness advantage to the host bacterium, but its specific role has not been determined yet (Llop *et al.* 2011).

A plasmid called pCPP60 (Steinberg *et al.*, 1990) was renamed as pEA72 in strain ATCC 49946 (AMY P2 in Sebaihia *et al.*, 2010), but it could be pEI70 in another ones (Llop *et al.*, 2012).

Plasmid pEA68 was recently discovered in *E. amylovora* strain 692 (LMG 28361), isolated in Poland from *Sorbus* (mountain ash) (Ismail *et al.*, 2014). Although the spread of pEA68 is currently limited to Europe, pEA68 together with pEA72 and pEA78 (both found in North America) belong to a new plasmid family that is present in two continents (Ismail *et al.*, 2014).

Plasmid pEL60 (Foster *et al.*, 2004), reported in strains from Lebanon, may be of environmental significance related to UV radition tolerance.

Plasmid pEA34 was reported from some strains with resistance to streptomycin (Chiou and Jones, 1991, 1993) and seems to be present only in strains from Michigan (USA) and plasmid pEU30 was reported from USA strains (Foster *et al.*, 2004). Genetic content of pEU30 is similar to that of other plasmids inhabiting plant pathogenic bacteria, with no specific genes apparently related to virulence or fitness. Plasmid pEA8.7 was reported from a survey in California (USA) looking for strains showing streptomycine resistance (Palmer *et al.*, 1997), and it is closely related, if not identical, to a broad host-range plasmid reported in a wide variety of clinical bacteria.

#### 1.5.3. Genetics of host-pathogen interaction

Extensive genetic studies have been performed to identify and characterize the genes involved in the ability of *E. amylovora* to cause fire blight (Oh and Beer, 2005). The main virulence factors have been described previously in section 1.4.2. which are the protein secretion/translocation pathway, called the *hrp* type III secretion system (*hrp*-T3SS), the type 3 effector DspA/E, and the exopolysaccharide amylovoran (*ams*) and levan (*rls*) required for *E. amylovora* pathogenicity (Oh and Beer, 2005).

Related to the *hrp*-T3SS, was reported that a *hrp*-T3SS mutant and an *ams* mutant can complement each other, and co-inoculation of both mutants restored pathogenicity (Zhao *et al.*, 2009). The *hrp*-T3SS mutant was altered in a basic attack mechanism required at the beginning of the infection process, whereas the *ams* mutant was blocked later on, as amylovoran is required for the bacterial progression in planta through biofilm formation (Koczan *et al.*, 2009).

The first type III effectors identified in *E. amylovora* were harpins and DspA/E. Recent data suggest that harpins HrpN and HrpW could be injected inside the plant cell (Bocsanczy *et al.*, 2008; Boureau *et al.*, 2011), and that HrpN localizes in the plant plasma membrane as part of the translocon apparatus (Malnoy *et al.*, 2012). DspA/E is central to the disease process, since it is required to block callose deposition (DebRoy *et al.*, 2004) and to repress PR1 expression (Boureau *et al.*, 2006), suggesting that it contributes to disease development inhibiting salicylic acid-dependent innate immunity (Malnoy *et al.*, 2012).

Many studies, including genome sequencing, have reached the conclusion that only five effector genes (*eop1*, *eop3*, *avrRpt2*<sub>Ea</sub>, *dspA/E*, and *hopC1*) exist in the genome of *E. amylovora*, which are subjected to direct *hrpL* regulation, a master regulator of T3SS (Zhao *et al.*, 2005; Nissinen *et al.*, 2007; Zhao *et al.*, 2006). A fast transcriptional induction of *hrp* expression was observed within 48 hours in flower challenge as monitored by qPCR (Pester *et al.*, 2012); thereafter, expression of *hrpL* and *hrpA* genes, enconding for major players in T3SS, declines, which correlates well with the onset of transcriptional plant response (Pester *et al.*, 2012), indicating that *E. amylovora* outcompetes plant defense in time during natural flower infections (Pester *et al.*, 2012). Interestingly, *hrp* expression varied between single flowers, and this variability may mimic the natural conditions and thus reflect the epidemiological behaviour of *E. amylovora* (Pester *et al.*, 2012).

It has been recently described by Zeng and Sundin (2014) that small RNAs also regulate multiple virulence determinants. According to these authors, there is an RNA chaperone (Hfq) that enhances the stability of small RNAs and facilitate their regulatory function. Small 90 RNAs are important post-trancriptional regulators in bacteria and in this sense they found that the two of them, ArcZ and RprA, were required for the full virulence of *E. amylovora*.

#### 1.5.4. E. amylovora under stress

Bacteria are constantly exposed to environmental stresses, such as nutritional downshifts, variations in pH and osmolarity, DNA damage by reactive oxygen species (ROS), etc. (Landini *et al.*, 2014). Modulation of expression, as regulated by specific as well as global strategies, has a central role in bacterial adaptation. The global regulation of transcription is a general response to the environmental changes dependent on alternate sigma factors, histone-like proteins, and other transcriptional regulators (Hildebrand *et al.*, 2006).

Copper stress is caused because copper ions, although essential for life, are very toxic when allowed to accumulate to levels beyond cellular needs. The fact that copper resistance mechanisms are frequently found among pathogens, and required for virulence, suggests that this is an important aspect of survival in the host (Festa and Thiele, 2012). Pathogenic microorganisms implement tightly controlled copper homeostatic mechanisms to utilize copper yet resist its toxicity (Festa and Thiele, 2012). Organisms avoid free copper ions within the cell by developing copper translocation routes based in precise sequences of specific protein-protein interactions (Djoko *et al.*, 2007; Bagai *et al.*, 2008). In most cases, expression of the genes for the copper resistance factors acting in the periplasm is

91

under the control of a two-component regulatory system (Munson *et al.*, 2000; Franke *et al.*, 2001; Yamamoto and Ishihama, 2005; Gudipaty *et al.*, 2012). In enterobacteria, three mechanisms are used to prevent the toxic action of copper ions in the periplasm: oxidation, sequestration and efflux (Nies and Herzberg, 2013).

Regarding the response to copper conditions of *E. amylovora*, in the context of its survival under this stress, and according to other bacterial models, we can hypothesize the involvement of some genes related with a general stress response (*rpoS*) (Battesti, *et al.*, 2011; Landini *et al.*, 2014), an oxidative stress response (*katG*) (Madar *et al.*, 2013) and a specific copper stress response (*dsbC*) (Hiniker *et al.*, 2005):

-*rpoS*: The general stress response is governed by the alternative sigma factor  $\sigma^{s}$ . The *rpoS* encoding  $\sigma^{s}$  is associated with RNA polymerase and, through transcription of genes belonging to the *rpoS* regulon, allows the activation of a "general stress response", which protects bacterial cells from harmful environmental conditions (Landini *et al.*, 2014).

-*katG*: This gene codes a periplasmic catalase which is under  $\sigma^{s}$  regulon control. This enzyme removes reactive oxygen species that are produced in some stress conditions and that are detrimental for the bacterial survival (Loewen *et al.*, 1985). The VBNC state has been related with the oxidative stress (Bloomfield *et al.*, 1998).

-*dsbC*: Copper, a redox-active metal, catalyzes periplasmic disulfide bond formation under aerobic conditions. The primary role of DsbC may be to rearrange incorrect disulfide bonds that are formed during certain oxidative stress (Hiniker *et al.*, 2005).

E. amylovora faces to copper exhibiting survival strategies like the VBNC state (Ordax et al., 2006, 2008, 2010), but the molecular level of this interaction is still unrevealed. In other enterobacteria, such as *E. coli*, the transcriptional response under copper stress has been reported, using DNA microarray and in vivo and in vitro transcription assays (Yamamoto and Ishihama, 2005). In this case, microarray analysis indicated that at least 29 genes (28 up-regulated and one down-regulated) were marked and specifically affected (Yamamoto and Ishihama, 2005), suggesting that copper homeostasis in *E. coli* is maintained mainly by controlling the export of excess copper out of the cells. In this sense, *copA* gene, that codes for Cu<sup>+</sup>translocating P-type ATPase pump, and cueO gene, encoding the enzyme that is considered to convert periplasmic Cu<sup>+</sup> into the lesstoxic oxidative form Cu<sup>++</sup>, were more than threefold up-regulated by copper (Yamamoto and Ishihama, 2005). Copper-responsive genes seem to be organized into a hierarchy of the regulation network, with several regulons which sense and respond to different concentrations of external copper (Yamamoto and Ishihama, 2005).

#### 1.5.5. Transcriptomic approach for gene expression evaluation

Studying which genes are induced or not in *E. amylovora* under copper stress can help us to understand how this pathogen is affected at molecular level by the presence of this toxic metal. With the development of microarray technology, it is possible to examine how active thousands of genes are at any given time.

According to Pallás et al. (2008), in order to build a microarray, first it is necessary to immobilize thousands of molecules of DNA above an inert substrat (generally a glass of a microscope slide size), placed in an organized way (hence the term microarray or micromatrix) and with a high density. Each microarray point belongs to one molecule, generally one gene (or an oligonucleotide whose sequence is contained in a gene). These immobilized acid nucleic points will be subjected to hybridization with labelled molecules of acid nucleics from biological samples. If the immobilized molecule of one microarray point, meet complementary labelled molecules, those will be selectively retained, while those unable to hybridize will be removed during the washed process. The label process is made by union of fluorescent molecules. Afterwards, the hybridized slide must be read by a scann that bombs the slide with a determined wavelength laser and captures the emitted light by the fluorescence of each point. The final result is a table that can provide us an estimation of the expression level of each gene in a particular biological sample. The key of the process lies in using at the same time two kinds of probes labelled with two different fluorofores and 94

coming from two different physiological situations. Since the scann can read the slides in more than one wavelength, the final result allow us to compare the relative amount of each gene in the two mentioned situations, considering that this amount is variable depending on the situation, whatwill be reflected in the degree of hybridization with its complementary sequence. Therefore, we will have the response to the question of what genes are differentially expressed in the two compared situations.

The availability of complete genome sequences of *E. amylovora* has enabled genome-level transcriptomic studies utilizing different types of oligonucleotide microarrays. One of the most used includes 3,483 chromosomal sequences from *E. amylovora* ATCC 49946 and 483 sequences from known *E. amylovora* plasmids (McNally *et al.*, 2012). A *hrpL* mutant of *E. amylovora* Ea1189 was used to validate the array and revealed that 19 genes exhibited positive direct or indirect regulation by HrpL and five genes were negatively regulated (McNally *et al.*, 2012). This work also identified novel genes of the HrpL regulon of *E. amylovora*, including EAM\_2938, which encodes a putative membrane protein and has a strong virulence phenotype when mutationally interrupted (McNally *et al.*, 2012).

A second study utilized this same microarray to define the RcsB and RcsC regulons of *E. amylovora* during immature pear infection, identifying 648 differentially regulated genes, which include those related to amylovoran biosynthesis, cell wall proteins, and cell membrane proteins (Wang *et al.*, 2012). Of particular interest was the identification that the RcsBCD phosphorelay system regulates the expression of EAM\_2938, also regulated by HrpL as described above (Wang *et al.*, 2012).

Microarray experiments greatly facilitate studies focused on a deeper, more comprehensive understanding of *E. amylovora* pathogenesis, a broader identification of virulence gene regulatory circuit, and the discovery of new virulence genes (Malnoy *et al.*, 2012). And, in general, not only limited to pathogenesis issue, microarray techonology allows to go further in many other aspects of the *E. amylovora* biology.

# OBJECTIVES

2

To accomplish the general objective of determining underlying mechanisms of the *E. amylovora* response facing copper stress conditions, we planned the following partial objectives:

- To evaluate the expression of *rpoS* gene in the survival of *E. amylovora* strain CFBP1430 in the presence of 0.005mM copper sulfate.
- To analyze the differential gene expression of *E. amylovora* strain *Ea*1189 after a copper sulfate shock of 0.5mM through a transcriptomic study.
- 3. To assess the real role of *copA* gene in *E. amylovora* strain *Ea*1189 survival during copper exposure *in planta* conditions.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### 3.1. Bacterial strains and growth conditions

The bacterial strains and plasmids used are listed in Table 4. In the experiments performed at IVIA, that is, survival studies and the specific evaluation of expression of *rpoS* gene, the French reference strain CFBP 1430 was used. The Spanish strain IVIA-1892 was also included as a control for minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC) determination. For the transcriptomic study performed in Michigan State University, the obtention of mutants and their evaluation, the German strain *Ea*1189, which has been deeply studied there by Dr. Sundin team since long time ago, was selected. To assess the role of some genes differentially expressed in this study, mutants of strain *Ea*1189 were built with the helper plasmid pKD46.

Besides *E. amylovora*, a bacterial strain of *E. coli* was used for the cloned process. Strain S-17 of *E. coli* and a total of six genes separately cloned into plasmid pBBR1-MCS-1 were employed for complementation assays of the mutants.

In order to identify the importance of selected genes for *E. amylovora* survival after copper sulfate exposure, one mutant of *E. amylovora* strain CFBP 1430 and eight mutants of strain *Ea*1189 were challenged to copper sulfate .

*E. amylovora* strains were routinely cultured at 26-28°C in King's B (KB) (King *et al.*, 1954) or Luria–Bertani (LB) (Bertani, 1951) media, unless otherwise stated. Mutants were cultured in the same media supplemented with  $100\mu$ g/mL ampicillin,  $20\mu$ g/mL chloramphenicol

or 30µg/mL kanamycin. *E. coli* strain S-17 was cultured in LB at 37°C. Copper sulfate used for all the experiments was pentahydrated (Sigma-Aldrich C7631).

Because of the quarantine status of *E. amylovora* in the EU, the experiments of this thesis performed in Spain, were made inside a P2 security lab.

#### 3.2. Minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC) of copper

To know the copper concentration that constitutes the growth threshold for *E. amylovora* cells in our conditions, we performed an assay to determine the MIC of copper in a non bounding copper solid medium. To select the medium to be employed, first the free copper ion bounding ability of casitone yeast extract (CYE) (Zevenhuizen *et al.*, 1979, Al-Daoude *et al.*, 2009, Sholberg *et al.*, 2001), LB and KB media was compared by using Copper Test MQuant<sup>TM</sup> strips (Merck; Darmstadt, Germany) which provide a semi-quantitative measure of Cu<sup>+</sup> and Cu<sup>++</sup> ion concentrations. Then, for MIC assays, drops of 10µl of bacterial suspensions of approximately  $1x10^8$  CFU/ml of *E. amylovora* strains *Ea*1189, CFBP 1430 and IVIA-1892 were spotted on CYE and KB media containing copper sulfate at different concentrations from 0 to 4mM. Plates were incubated at 26°C for 72h.

Strains and plasmids	Relevant characteristics*	Source or reference
Strains		
Erwinia amylovora		
Wild type		
IVIA-1892	Wild type strain isolated from <i>Pyrus communis</i>	Donat <i>et al.,</i> 2007
CFBP 1430	Wild type strain isolated from Crataegus sp.	Collection Française de Bactéries Phytopathogènes
Ea1189	Wild type strain isolated from apple	Burse <i>et al.,</i> 2004
Mutants		
CFBP 1430Δ <i>rpoS</i>	<i>rpoS</i> deletion mutant, Km <sup>R</sup>	Santander <i>et al.,</i> 2014
Ea1189∆arcB	<i>arcB</i> deletion mutant, Amp <sup>R</sup> , Cm <sup>R</sup>	This thesis
Ea1189∆copA	<i>copA</i> deletion mutant, Amp <sup>R</sup> , Cm <sup>R</sup>	This thesis
Ea1189∆galF	<i>galF</i> deletion mutant, Amp <sup>R</sup> , Cm <sup>R</sup>	This thesis
<i>Ea</i> 1189∆EAM_3469	EAM_3469 deletion mutant, Amp <sup>R</sup> , Cm <sup>R</sup>	This thesis
Ea1189∆soxS	<i>soxS</i> deletion mutant, Amp <sup>R</sup> , Cm <sup>R</sup>	This thesis
Ea1189∆ygcF	<i>ygcF</i> deletion mutant, Amp <sup>R</sup> , Cm <sup>R</sup>	This thesis
Ea1189∆yhhQ	<i>yhhQ</i> deletion mutant, Amp <sup>R</sup> , Cm <sup>R</sup>	This thesis
Ea1189∆yjcE	<i>yjcE</i> deletion mutant, Amp <sup>R</sup> , Cm <sup>R</sup>	This thesis
Escherichia coli		
S17-1	Pro <sup>-</sup> Res <sup>-</sup> Mod <sup>+</sup> <i>recA</i> ; integrated copy of RP4- Tet::Mu-Kan::Tn7: Moh <sup>+</sup> Tn <sup>R</sup>	Simon <i>et al.,</i> 1983
Plasmids		
pKD46	$\lambda$ red recombinase helper plasmid; Amp <sup>R</sup>	Datsenko and Wanner, 2000
pBBR1-MCS-2	RK2-based broad-host-range cloning vector: Km <sup>R</sup>	Kovach <i>et al.,</i> 1995
pArcB	2,829-pb PCR fragment	This thesis

Table 4. Bacterial strains and plasmids used in this thesis.

105

	containing the <i>arcB</i> ORF (2,340pb) cloned into pBBR1- MCS-2 that complements the corresponding <i>arcB</i> mutation; Km <sup>R</sup>	
рСорА	2,703pb PCR fragment containing the <i>copA</i> ORF (2,508pb) cloned into pBBR1- MCS-2 that complements the corresponding <i>copA</i> mutation; Km <sup>R</sup>	This thesis
pSoxS	682-pb PCR fragment containing the <i>soxS</i> ORF (333pb) cloned into pBBR1- MCS-2 that complements the corresponding <i>soxS</i> mutation; Km <sup>R</sup>	This thesis
pYgcF	813-pb PCR fragment containing the <i>ygcF</i> ORF (672pb) cloned into pBBR1- MCS-2 that complements the corresponding <i>ygcF</i> mutation; Km <sup>R</sup>	This thesis
pYjcE	2,271-pb PCR fragment containing the <i>yjcE</i> ORF (1,650pb) cloned into pBBR1- MCS-2 that complements the corresponding <i>yjcE</i> mutation; Km <sup>R</sup>	This thesis
pYhhQ	1,009-pb PCR fragment containing the <i>yhhQ</i> ORF (666pb) cloned into pBBR1- MCS-2 that complements the corresponding <i>yhhQ</i> mutation; Km <sup>R</sup>	This thesis

\*Mob<sup>+</sup> mobilizes transfer of other plasmids; Tp<sup>R</sup>, Amp<sup>R</sup>, Cm<sup>R</sup> and Km<sup>R</sup> indicate resistance to trimethoprim, ampicillin, chloramphenicol and kanamicine, respectively.

## **3.3.** Short-term assay for *E. amylovora* survival in AB medium supplemented with different concentrations of copper

Containers with 250mL of AB medium (Alexander *et al.*, 1999) were supplemented or not with copper sulfate at four concentrations chosen below the MIC: 0.005, 0.01, 0.05 and 0.5mM and then inoculated with a suspension of CFBP 1430 *E. amylovora* strain at a final concentration of 10<sup>7</sup>CFU/mL. Containers were incubated for 7 days at 26°C without shaking. Culturability of *E. amylovora* cells after copper exposure was measured by plating serial ten dilutions on KB at different time points and plates were incubated at 26°C for 72h.

#### 3.4. RNA isolation

For the gene expression assays detailed in 3.5., five different commercial kits to extract total RNA were tested with the purpose of selecting that yielding enough and highest quality RNA: RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen), PureLink RNA mini kit (Invitrogen), RiboPure bacteria isolation kit (Life technologies), *mir*Vana miRNA isolation kit (Invitrogen) and RealTime ready cell Lysis kit (Roche). Total RNA was extracted with each different kit, from a suspension of approximately 10<sup>9</sup> CFU/mL of strain CFPB 1430 growed in KB medium for 72h and then measured with NanoDrop 2000 UV-Vis spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific). Since only the last kit included a final step to remove DNA, for the rest of kits we added this step with the TURBO DNA-free kit (Life Technologies). With this exception, in all cases, kits were used according to manufacturer directions.

### **3.5.** Stress-related selected genes. Primers and probes for relative quantitation of gene expression by real-time RT-PCR

For an overview of stress-related genes potentially playing a role in *E. amylovora* survival under copper conditions, we selected *rpoS*, *katG* and *dsbC* genes for expression evaluation assays in presence of copper. These three genes, previously described in section 1.5.4, are involved in the response to general (*rpoS*), oxidative (*katG*) and copper (*dsbC*) stresses, in other bacterial models (Battesti, *et al.*, 2011; Landini *et al.*, 2014; Madar *et al.*, 2013; Hiniker *et al.*, 2005).

Primers and probes (TaqMan) for target sequences of these genes were designed based on the genome sequence of the European reference *E. amylovora* strain CFBP 1430 (Smits *et al.,* 2010) and using Primer Express<sup>®</sup> Software v.3.0.1. (Applied Biosystems):

*rpoS* gene: forward primer, 5'–GTTTTGGCCTGTTAGGCTATGAA-3';
reverse primer, 5'–CTCACGGGTCAAACCAATTTC-3'; probe: FAM-CGGCCACGCTGGAAGATGTAGGC-TAM.

*katG* gene: forward primer, 5'–CCCGCTCAATGTGAATTTCA-3';
reverse primer, 5'–CAGTGATTTGAGGTCGGCTTTA-3'; probe: FAM-ATGAGTTCCGCAAACTGGATTATTCCCAG-TAM.

*dsbC* gene: forward primer, 5'–TATCCGGCAGTCGTTAAGCA-3';
reverse primer, 5'–TGGCGAAGGTTGGATCTCA-3'; probe: FAM-ACTGGGCTTACAACAGA-TAM.
The RNA used for real-time RT-PCR assays had different origins. To test the sensitivity of the amplification protocol, RNA was extracted from a suspension of approximately 10<sup>9</sup> CFU/mL of strain CFBP 1430 growed in KB medium for 72h, in the case of the elaboration of standard curve for real-time RT-PCR (section 3.6.), RNA was obtained from a transcription reaction with MEGAscript T7 transcription kit (Life Technologies) and in the case of section 3.4 and 3.7., RNA was extracted from strain CFBP 1430 cells incubated 7 or 50 days in containers with AB medium and different concentrations of copper.

The real-time PCR assay was performed in a 12µL reaction mixture containing 2µL RNA, 6µL 2X TaqMan AgPath-ID MasterMix (with ROX) (Life Technologies), 0.5µL M-MLV reverse transcriptase (Life Technologies), 0.12µL each of forward and reverse primers (100µM), and 0.3µL of probe (6µM). Amplification and detection were performed with a LightCycler Real-Time PCR detection system (Roche) under the following conditions: RNA was reverse transcribed at 45°C for 10min, followed by PCR activation at 95°C for 10min and 45 cycles of amplification (95°C for 15sec and 60°C for 45sec). Analysis of assays was conducted with LightCycler 480 software (version 1.5; Roche).

## **3.6.** Real-time RT-PCR standard curve for estimating PCR amplification efficiency of *rpoS* gene

The *rpoS* gene was selected to follow amplification efficiency because of its possible potential role in survival of *E. amylovora* under copper stress. To addres the performance of the standard curve for *rpoS* gene we used two strategies.

In a first strategy, based on clonning the *rpoS* gene into a vector, the fragment contained the ORF and the entire promoter region of the gene was amplified using new designed external forward primer (5'-TGAACTCAACGCCAAGCAGC-3') and reverse external primer (5'-ATTTCACGCAGACGACGACGAG-3') from CFBP 1430 strain using Primer Express® Software v.3.0.1. (Applied Biosystems). The PCR product was cloned into pGEM-T easy vector system (Promega) and then introduced in *E. coli* according to the manufacturer instructions. The transformant colonies of *E. coli* were confirmed by PCR. Cloned pGEM-T was extracted from *E. coli* with Real Miniprep turbo kit (REAL) and digested with Not1. The multiple copies of *rpoS* DNA were transcribed to RNA with MEGAscript T7 transcription kit (Life Technologies). Serial 10-fold dilutions of *rpoS* RNA were prepared and stored at -80°C prior to use in standard curve generation.

In a second strategy, *rpoS* gene was amplified using the external forward primer described above but cointaining the promoter of T7 RNApol (5'-TAATACGACTCACTATAGGGTGAACTCAACGCCAAGCAGC-3') and the reverse primer as described before. The PCR product was

cleaned with UltraClean PCR Clean-up kit (MoBio). Then, *rpoS* DNA was transcribed to RNA with MEGAscript T7 transcription kit and purified with MEGAclear transcription Clean-up kit (Life Technologies). Serial 10-fold dilutions of *rpoS* RNA were prepared and stored at -80°C prior to use in standard curve generation.

In all cases, the quantity of RNA transcripted was measured with NanoDrop 2000 UV-Vis spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific), Quant-iT RiboGreen RNA assay kit (Life Technologies) and Qubit<sup>®</sup> Fluorometric Quantitation (Life Technologies) device.

The real-time RT-PCR assay was performed as described before in 3.5.

PCR amplification efficiency is the rate at which a PCR amplicon is generated (ABI Guide, 2004), commonly presented as a percentage value. If a particular PCR amplicon doubles in quantity during the geometric phase of its PCR amplification then the PCR assay has 100% efficiency. The slope of a standard curve is commonly used to estimate the PCR amplification efficiency of a real-time PCR reaction. A real-time PCR standard curve is graphically represented as a semilog regression line plot of  $C_T$  value vs. log of input nucleic acid. A standard curve slope of -3.32 indicates a PCR reaction with 100% efficiency (ABI Guide, 2004). A calculation for estimating the efficiency (E) of a real-time PCR assay according to ABI Guide is:

E= (10<sup>-1/slope</sup>) X 100

# **3.7.** Long-term assay for *E. amylovora* survival in AB medium supplemented with copper sulfate and expression of *rpoS* gene under these conditions

Containers with 250mL of AB medium were supplemented or not with copper sulfate at 0.005mM and then inoculated with a suspension of CFBP 1430 *E. amylovora* strain at a final concentration of 10<sup>7</sup>CFU/mL. This copper concentration was selected when the results of section 3.3. were obtained. Containers were incubated for 50 days at 26°C without shaking. Culturability of *E. amylovora* strain CFBP 1430 cells after copper exposure was measured by plating serial ten dilutions on KB medium at different time points. Plates were incubated at 26°C for 72h. To determine if nonculturable cells were dead or still viable and have entered in the VBNC state, aliquots from containers were stained with the bacterial viability kit LIVE/DEAD (BacLight, Life Technologies) according to manufacturer instructions, and then observed with a Nikon ECLIPSE E800 epifluorescence microscope.

At each time point, an aliquot from containers was saved with glycerol at -20°C. When appropriate, RNA was extracted from those samples with RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen), cleaned with TURBO DNA-free kit (Life Technologies) and analyzed by real-time RT-PCR with primers for *rpoS* gene. Primers, probes and protocol to run these RT-PCRs were described before in section 3.5. This experiment was repeated twice.

Data were stadistically analyzed using general linear model technique. It is possible to perform this using regression analysis by dichotomizing all factors. This technique is similar to regression models but the idea behind it is a generalization of analysis of variance models. P-value used for rejecting the null hypothesis was 0.05 as is usual in other studies of the discipline.

### 3.8. Microarray experiment

As a more powerful approach to the objective of studying the gene expression under copper stress conditions, we selected a transcriptomic tool that could provide a general view of the genes affected when *E. amylovora* faces a copper shock.

### 3.8.1. Erwinia amylovora microarray

The microarray used was previously designed at the James Hutton Institute (JHI; formely Scottish Crop Research Institute (SCRI)) and synthesized by Agilent Technologies, Inc. (Palo Alto, CA, USA), it contains 3,483 target sequences (annotated genes and pseudogenes) of the main *E. amylovora* ATCC 49946 genome (accession NC\_013971; Sebaihia *et al.*, 2010), in a design on a single 8 x 15k format slide (McNally *et al.*, 2012).

Each slide contained eight arrays and each array had nearly 12,000 spots, containing the probes in triplicate. Besides the 3,483 target sequences of the *E. amylovora* ATCC 49946 genome, a further 483 target genes or simple gene predictions from five sequenced

plasmids were present: plasmids 1 and 2 for the same strain (accessions NC\_013972, NC\_013973; McGhee and Jones 2000; Sebaihia *et al.*, 2010), pEL60 and pEU30 (accessions NC\_005246, NC\_005247; Foster *et al.*, 2004) and pEI70 (accession NC\_018999, from the Spanish strain *E. amylovora* IVIA-1614-2a; Llop *et al.*, 2011).

Up to five sense orientation candidate probes per target were designed with the Agilent eArray webtool, using temperature matching methodology, a preferred probe melting temperature of 80°C, no 3' bias and a target length of 60bp. Any short probes were later extended to 60bp using the Agilent linker. BLASTN (Altschul *et al.*, 1997) and Biopython (Cock *et al.*, 2009) were used to identify potential cross-hybridization in order to rank the candidate probes. The selection of one probe per genome target, and up to five probes per plasmid target, allowed all of the probes to be present in triplicate.

We performed experiments using two microarray slides to evaluate the transcriptomic response of *E. amylovora* strain *Ea*1189 after a copper shock. Each slide contained the RNA extraction of the strain after being treated or not with 0.5mM copper sulfate. Moreover, RNA came from two different bacteria cultures (biological replicates) submitted to the same experimental conditions. Therefore, three independent experiments were carried out with a total of six replicates (two replicates from slide 1 and four replicates from slide 2). The experimental array design of each single 8 x 15k format slide is detailed in Table 5.

Table 5. Experimental design of the array to evaluate *E. amylovora* gene expression after copper shock. NT means non-treated, T means treated, BR means biological replicate. Time of copper exposure, 0 or 5min.

### SLIDE 1

BR 1		BR 1	
NT0		NT5	
то		T5	

SLIDE 2

**BR 2** 

**BR 2** 

NTO	NTO	NT5	NT5	
то	то	T5	Т5	

## **3.8.2.** Experimental set-up for preparation of samples for microarray hybridization

As described, all these molecular assays were run with strain *Ea*1189 of *E. amylovora*. A suspension from five colonies coming from a plate of LB medium growed 72h was obtained. Then, a flask with 100mL of LB broth was inoculated with 100µL of the suspension and incubated 16h at 28°C and 200rpm to a density of approximately  $10^9$ CFU/mL. After that period of time, the culture was splited in two fractions of 50mL. One fraction was copper-shock challenged to 0.5mM CuSO<sub>4</sub> for 5 min, whereas the other one was used as control (not copper 115

challenged). This copper concentration was selected according to Yamamoto and Ishihama (2005). Cells were then treated with RNAprotect (Qiagen). Total RNA from 1mL culture was extracted by using SV Total RNA Isolation System (Promega) (Fig. 13). It was quantified with NanoDrop 2000 UV-Vis spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific) and quality checked using an RNA 6000 Nano Kit on a 2100 Bionalyzer (Agilent Technologies). Fluorescent labelling of total RNA was performed as described by Venkatesh et al. (2006) (Fig. 13). The design incorporated a dye-swap and balanced labelling of all samples. Levels and efficiencies of labelling were estimated using the NanoDrop spectrophotometer mentioned before. Microarray hybridization, washing and scanning were performed at the JHI Sequencing and Microarray Facility of Michigan State University (USA) as described previously (Stushnoff et al., 2010) (Fig. 13). They were conducted according to the manufacturer's protocols (Agilent Two-Color Microarray-Based Gene Expression Analysis, version 5.5). Briefly, 20µl labeled samples were added to 5µl 10X blocking agent (Agilent 5188-5242), heat denatured at 98°C for 3 min, and then cooled to room temperature. GE hybridization buffer HI-RPM 2X (25µl) was added and mixed prior to hybridization at 65°C for 17h at 10 rpm. Array slides were dismantled in Wash 1 buffer (Agilent, 5188-5327) and washed in Wash 1 buffer for 1 min, then in Wash 2 buffer (Agilent, 5188-5327) for 1 min, and centrifuged dry. Hybridized slides were scanned using an Agilent G2505B scanner at resolution of 5µm at 532nm (Cy3) and 633nm (Cy5, for two-channel analysis) wavelengths with extended dynamic range (laser settings at 100% 116

and 10%) (Fig. 13). Microarray images were imported into Agilent Feature Extraction (FE) (v.9.5.3) software and aligned with the appropriate array grid template file (021826\_D\_F\_20081029). Intensity data and quality control (QC) metrics were extracted using the recommended FE protocol (GE2-v5\_95\_Feb07). Entire FE datasets for each array were loaded into GeneSpring (v.7.3) software for further analysis.

Figure 13. General view of an oligonucleotide microarray performance. http://www.u.arizona.edu/~gwatts/azcc/help\_old.html RNA is extracted from control and test cells. Then, the isolated RNA is labeled differently and hybridized with a microarray. The detection of the different fluorescence from different hybridized probes is detected by a scan.



#### 3.8.3. Microarray data analysis

Data were normalized using default settings: for two-channel arrays, data were transformed to account for dye-swaps and data from each array were normalized, according to McNally et al. (2012), using the Lowess algorithm to minimize differences in dye incorporation efficiency. Unreliable data flagged as absent in all replicate samples by the FE software were discarded. Significantly changing gene lists were generated from combined replicate datasets for each time point using volcano plot filtering (fold-change ratio >1.5x; Student's ttest with *P* value <0.05). In each independent experiment, technical replicates were analyzed individually. A gene was classified as differentially expressed when it was present at least in one biological replicate of both independent experiments and not in opposite expression in any other replicates. Since fold-change ratios were lower than 1.5, we selected genes with values ≥1 for up-regulated genes and values ≤1 for down-regulated genes, in a way similar to the study of Mitchell et al. (2010). Those genes differentially expressed in both independent experiments were selected for further analysis. Functional classification of the differentially expressed genes was based on the gene ontology using the GPRO v1.1.0 software.

## 3.9. Validation of differentially expressed genes by quantitative real-time PCR

Twenty-five out of fourty-four genes differentially expressed after copper shock were selected for quantitative real-time PCR validation.

These validation assays were performed in collaboration with Estación Experimental del Zaidín (EEZ), Granada, Spain. Those genes were selected attending to its up-regulation and/or product description obtained from data bases, regarding their possible relation with the response of *E. amylovora* to copper stress. The selected genes are described in Table 6.

Table 6. Selection of *E. amylovora* genes differentially expressed after microarray analysis for validation through quantitative real-time PCR.

Gene	Product description according to NCBI
сорА	Copper exporting ATPase
ygcF	Conserved hypothetical protein
yhhQ	Hypothetical protein
tatC	Twin-arginine protein translocation system
soxS	Transcriptional regulator
galF	UTP-glucose-1-phosphate uridylyltransferase
apt	Adenine phosphoribosyltransferase
ydhC	Inner membrane transport
cheA	Chemotaxis protein
nodT	RND efflux system, multidrug resistance protein CusA-like
EAM_1634	Hypothetical protein
gltI	Glutamate and aspartate ABC transporter
riml	Ribosomal-protein-alanine acetyltransferase
arcB	Aerobic respiration sensor-response protein
tag3	3-methyl-adenine DNA glycosylase I
EAM_2853	Putative helicase/relaxase
ујсЕ	$Na^{+}/H^{+}$ exchanger
uspA	Universal stress protein
smdB	Multidrug efflux pump ABC transporter
yfcA	Inner membrane protein
EAM_3469	Phage holin
tufA	Protein chain elongation factor ET-Tu
dfoA	Desferrioxamine siderophore biosynthesis
оррА	Oligopeptide ABC
ррс	Phosphoenolpyruvate carboxylase

Gene expression was measured by guantitative real-time PCR performed in triplicate using new RNA samples taken from cultures of strain *Ea*1189 treated with copper as described above for the microarray hybridization assays. RNA was extracted as described in section 3.8.2. and after its quality was confirmed, it was transcribed to cDNA using SuperScript II Reverse transcriptase (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer's protocol. The optimization of quantitative real-time PCR was set up first using the 16S gene as an internal control at the melting temperature of each primer, using the iQSybrGreen Supermix (BioRad) (Pffafl, 2001). Primers were designed using Primer Express<sup>®</sup> Software v.3.0.1. (Applied Biosystems) based on the genome sequence of the North American strain isolated from apple, Ea273 (ATCC 49946) (Sebaihia et al., 2010), they were used at 20µM and the sequences are listed in Table 7. All reactions were conducted with a real-time PCR System iQ5 (Bio-Rad) and amplification was carried out with one cycle at 95°C for 5 min, followed by 40 cycles of denaturation at 95°C for 30s and annealing at 63°C for 30s. Nonspecific primer activity was monitored using a dissociation curve and the resulting threshold cycles ( $C_{T}$ ) were determined using Bio-Rad iQ5 Software 2.1 (Bio-Rad). The 16S rDNA gene was used as an endogenous control in quantitative real-time PCR to calculate the relative expression. Data of  $C_{\tau}$  were analyzed quantitatively via the comparative cycle threshold  $(\Delta\Delta C_{T})$  method (Pffafl, 2001) to generate relative fold-change values comparing WT and mutant strains. Each quantitative real-time PCR analysis was performed in triplicate.

Table 7. Primers used for validation of microarray expressed genes by quantitative real-time PCR.

Gene	Sequence (5'-3')				
	Forward	Reverse			
сорА	CCG GCG CAA CAG AGT GA	CAC ATT GTG CAA CGC ATT CC			
ygcF	CAT TGC ACG GCG GAA AC	CGC ACT AAC GCC TGG TTG A			
yhhQ	AGC GGC ATG GTG GAT AGC	AAC GGA TCG CTG CTT TGA T			
tatC	CAC CCC GAT CAA GCT AAC CA	GCG CTC GTG GCG ATA GAG			
soxS	CGA GCA AAA CGA TTA TGG CTA TC	GAA CAC CCG CTG GAA ACA CT			
galF	GCT GCA TGA TGA AGC GTT TG	TCG CCG CCA GGT TGT AAC			
apt	AAC CGG CGG CAC CAT T	TCG CCA CTG AGG TCA AAC AA			
ydhC	GGG TCT TTC ACC TGC CGA TA	AGC GCA CGA CAG CTA AAG C			
cheA	GAC GTT GCG CGT CCT GAT A	CAG CAC GCT AAA CCC AAA GG			
nodT	CGA GCG GGC AAA GAT CAC	CAG ATG CGA TTC GGC AGT AA			
EAM_1634	CAG AAA CGC CAA CAC AGG AA	GCC GTC ATG CGT TTG GTT			
gltl	CGT CCG TCC CCT TCT CCT A	CCT GGA GAT CGG GTT TGT TC			
riml	GCG GTA GAT CCG GCA TTT C	CCT CCA GCC ACA GGG TCA T			
arcB	AGA TGT CGC GGC GTG AA	TCA AGA AAA GAG CGC AGA AAT G			
tag3	CGG CTC CAC CAC CTG TTA CT	TGT CGG GAT GGC GAA AAC			
EAM_2853	TTG CAG GAG GCA CGG TTA C	GCG GAG GAA TAT GGC CTG TT			
ујсЕ	GCG GAA CAT ATT GGC GTT TC	CGG AGC ATG GCG AAT AAT G			
uspA	CGG TGA ATC GCC TGC TAA AA	AAT GCG CAC ATC GAC CAG TA			
smdB	TGG CAG GTG AGC GAA TTT TC	TCA GGT CGC GAA TGT CGA T			
yfcA	TGC TGT TTC TCG TGG CGT TA	CAG GGC GGG AAC CGT TA			
EAM_3469	TGG CGT TCT GGC TCA ATG T	CCC CGA GAT TTG CCA GAG TA			
tufA	CCA CGC CGA CTA TGT GAA AA	GGC ATT GGG CCG TCA GT			
dfoA	AAC GGC GTT TTT CTC GAT CA	GGC GCT TTC CAG CAT CAT			
оррА	GAA GGC GTA ACG GAA TCG AA	GCC GCC CTC TTT GCT TTC			
ррс	GGG CCC CGC TGT ATA CCT	GCA CGC CAA AGC ACT TCA C			
16S	TCC CTA GCT GGT CTG AGA GGA T	GCT GCC TCC CGT AGG AGT CT			

### 3.10. Mutant construction and complementation

Standard recombinant DNA techniques were used as described by Sambrook et al. (1989). To make specific mutants of E. amylovora Ea1189 strain, non-polar chromosomal mutations were generated using the phage  $\lambda$  red recombinase system (pKD46) previously described (Datsenko and Wanner 2000; Zhao et al., 2009; McNally et al., 2012). We decided to make mutants in copA, soxS, arcB, vicE, vacF, vhhQ, galF and EAM 3469 genes, because their higher expression level as measured by guantitative real-time PCR and/or their interest as potentially related to the response of *E. amylovora* facing copper stress. Single-gene recombinatorial deletions were confirmed by PCR using the primers described in Table 8, based on the genome sequence of the North American strain, Ea273 (ATCC49946) (Sebaihia et al., 2010). Afterwards, we selected copA, soxS, arcB, yjcE, ygcF and yhhQ mutants to confirm that their phenotypes were due to the absence of the target gene. Thus, fragments containning copA, soxS, arcB, yicE, yqcF or yhhQ genes were obtained by PCR amplification of genomic DNA from strain Eal189 using a specifically designed primer set (see Table 8), and cloned between the Xbal and Sacl sites of the broad-host-range vector pBBR1-MCS-2 (Kovach et al., 1995). These fragments contained the ORF and the entire promoter region of each gene (see corresponding plasmids in Table 4). These recombinant plasmids were introduced into the pertinent *Ea*1189 mutant by bi-parental cross-streak mating using E.coli S17-1 harboring the plasmid of

interest as the conjugative donor (Simon *et al.*, 1983; Abarca-Grau *et al.*, 2012). The phenotype of these complemented mutants was checked as described in section 3.11.

Table 8. Primers used for mutant construction and complementation	of
genes of <i>E. amylovora</i> strain <i>Ea</i> 1189.	

Name	Sequence (5'-3')	
Mutant con	struction	
arcB_F	AAATATTCGCTCCGATGCAC	
arcB_R	GCAGGCGAATGATTCAAAAT	
copA_F	TAAAAGCGGATTGCTTTGCT	
copA_R	CACCTCTTGCGCTTATTTCC	
soxS_F	GCC TTTTATCGCGGAGTAGA	
soxS_R	TGAAGAGTGCTGTTGCAAGG	
ygcF_F	TATCCGTGCAATCTGCCATA	
ygcF_R	AACCCGTTCAGCCCTTTTAT	
yhhQ_F	TGAAGGACAGGACTGGGTTC	
yhhQ_R	ATC GGT TTT GAT GCT TCA GG	
yjcE_F	CGCTTAACGCACTTCCTGTT	
yjcE_R	GGGATGGGAGTAGCCATTCT	
EAM_3469_F	TGTTCCGTGGAAAGGTAAGG	
EAM_3469_R	TTGCTGCCTGTAGTGCAATC	
galF_F	CGAAGCCCGCTGTATTTTT	
galF_R	CACGGCTGTGCTCAGATTT	
Gene clonir	σ	Product
Gene cioni	'5	size (pb)
copA(XbaI)_F	CCA <u>TCTAGA</u> ATGTTCATGGTTTCCCCTTG	2 703
copA(SacI)_R	CCA <u>GAGCTC</u> AATAACCGCAAAGCCATCTG	2,703
soxS(XbaI)_F	CCA <u>TCTAGA</u> CTAAGGCGTAGCTGGCAGAG	697
soxS(SacI)_R	CCT <u>GAGCTC</u> GCTGTTGCAAGGAGTGTCAA	087
arcB(Xbal)_F	CCA <u>TCTAGA</u> AAAAAGGCGACAAGCCATTA	2 020
arcB(SacI)_R	CCT <u>GAGCTC</u> GGTTACCAATTTCCCTGGTG	2,029
yjcE(XbaI)_F	CCA <u>TCTAGA</u> CCAGTTTGGGGCCGTAATTT	2 271
yjcE(SacI)_R	CCT <u>TCTAGA</u> CTGTTTTCCATGCCAAGCCT	2,271
ygcF(XbaI)_F	CCA <u>TCTAGAA</u> CATCCTGTATGGGGAGACG	010
ygcF(SacI)_R	CCT <u>GAGCTC</u> ATGAGTGCGGACTTGACTTG	619
yhhQ(XbaI)_F	CCA <u>TCTAGA</u> CGGTAATATGCCGCAAAAGT	1 000
yhhQ(SacI) R	CCT <u>GAGCTC</u> CTGAAGCCATCGGTTTTGAT	1,009

**3.11.** Experiments with mutants of *E. amylovora* to evaluate the role of different genes

3.11.1. The posible role of *rpoS* gene in the survival of strain CFBP 1430

*E. amylovora* CFBP 1430 $\Delta$ *rpoS* mutant was kindly provided by R.D. Santander (Santander *et al.*, 2014). Containers with 4mL of AB medium were supplemented or not with copper sulfate at 0.005, 0.01 and 0.5mM trying to simulate the conditions of section 3.3. and then inoculated with a suspension of mutant strain at a final concentration of 10<sup>8</sup>CFU/mL. Containers were incubated for 64h at 26<sup>o</sup>C without shaking. Culturability of wild type and mutant strains throughout copper exposure was monitored by plating serial ten dilutions from the containers on KB medium at different time points. Plates were incubated at 26<sup>o</sup>C for 72h.

3.11.2. Assays to evaluate the posible role of *copA*, *soxS*, *arcB*, *yjcE*, *ygcF*, *yhhQ*, *galF* and EAM\_3469 genes of strain *Ea*1189 after copper shock

**3.11.2.1.** Copper tolerance in vitro. Wild type *E. amylovora* strain *Ea*1189 was grown 16h in LB broth at 28°C in a shaking incubator to a density of approximately  $10^9$ CFU/mL and the culture was then splited in two fractions as indicated in section 3.8.2. One fraction was copper-shock challenged for 5 minutes to different copper sulfate concentrations ranged from 0.5 to 35mM, to determine the maximal copper concentration that had no impact on its culturability, whereas

the other fraction was used as control (not copper challenged). Then, an aliquot from each fraction was washed once with PBS and the culturability of wild type was measured by plating serial ten dilutions on LB medium. Plates were incubated at 26°C for 72h.

Then, the concentration of copper sulfate which did not affect the growth of *E. amylovora Ea*1189 (10mM) was selected to test the culturability of selected mutants. Each mutant from a 72h culture was grown 16h in LB broth at 28°C in a shaking incubator to a density of approximately  $10^9$ CFU/mL and the culture was then splited in two fractions, as described before for wild type strain. One fraction was challenged to 10mM copper sulfate for 5 minutes and the other one used as control. An aliquot from each fraction was washed once with PBS and the culturability was measured by plating serial ten dilutions on LB medium supplemented with  $100\mu$ g/mL ampicillin and  $20\mu$ g/mL chloramphenicol. Plates were incubated at 26°C for 72h.

3.11.2.2. Effect of introduction of target genes in the mutants. Six out of the eight genes selected to make mutants in *E. amylovora*, were chosen to be introduced in the mutant strains (complemented mutants). We selected those genes because of their product description from data bases and their possible relation with the response of E. amylovora to copper stress. After recombinant plasmids containing the ORF and the entire promoter region of each target gene, copA, soxS, arcB, vicE, vacF and vhhQ were introduced into each corresponding Ε. amylovora *Ea*1189 mutant (*Ea*1189 $\Delta$ *geneX*), the phenotypes were tested challenging each 126

complemented mutant (*Ea*1189 $\Delta$ *geneX*(pGenX)) to 10mM copper sulfate. Thus, *E. amylovora Ea*1189 wild type, the six mutants in the target genes, and the new six complemented mutants were grown in separate flasks with LB broth for 16h at 28°C in a shaking incubator. Then, the culture of each strain was splited in two fractions. One fraction was copper-shock challenged to 10mM copper sulfate for 5 min, whereas the other one was used as control. An aliquot from each fraction was then washed once with PBS and the culturability was measured by plating serial ten dilutions on LB medium supplemented or not with 100µg/mL ampicillin and 20µg/mL chloramphenicol. Plates were incubated at 26°C for 72h.

### 3.12. The copA case.

As in the quantitative real-time PCR validation assays, *copA* gene exhibited the highest expression of all genes tested, and it is reported that it codes for a copper exporting ATPase, we selected it for further studies.

**3.12.1. Expression curve of** *copA* in *E. amylovora* after copper-shock induction. Wild type strain of *E. amylovora Ea*1189 was grown 16h in LB broth at 28°C with shaking to a density of approximately 10<sup>9</sup>CFU/mL. Then, aliquots of 10 mL were subjected to copper shock with different copper sulfate concentrations ranging from 0.005 to 0.5mM for 5 minutes in a rocking shaker, with one fraction used as untreated control (not copper-challenged). A volume of 0.5 mL of each culture was treated with 1 mL of RNAprotect (Qiagen) and total

RNA was extracted using the miRNeasy Mini kit (Qiagen) with an oncolum RNAse-free DNAse treatment (Qiagen). cDNA was synthesized from 1µg of purified RNA using TaqMan reverse transcription (RT) reagents (Applied Biosystems), according to the manufacturer's protocol. Quantitative real-time PCR was used to determine the relative expression levels of copA after induction with the different treatments; 16S rDNA gene was used as an endogenous control. gPCR was performed on a CFX96 Real-Time PCR Detection System (BioRad Laboratories) with the SYBR<sup>®</sup> Green PCR Master Mix (Applied Biosystems). Efficiencies for each primer set were obtained from a standard curve using ten-fold dilution series up 10<sup>6</sup> of one cDNA sample of the untreated control at the melting temperature of the copA primer. Primers of copA and 16S rDNA genes were the same used for validation expression after microarray assay (section 3.9) and the sequences are listed in Table 7. Optimization of primer concentration was carried out by gPCR using combinations of different primer concentrations (50, 300 and 900 nM) and the undiluted cDNA sample of the untreated WT strain. Optimal concentration was selected as the combination with the lowest Ct value. The thermal cycling conditions were one cycle at 95°C for 5 min, followed by 40 cycles of denaturation at 95°C for 30s and annealing at 63°C for 30s. Each biological replicate was run in triplicate. Melting curves were generated to evaluate primer specificity and rule out primer dimer formation.  $C_T$  values were analyzed quantitatively via the comparative cycle threshold  $(\Delta\Delta C_{T})$ method (Pffafl, 2001) to generate relative fold-change values 128

comparing WT and copper-shock induced WT strains. Each quantitative real-time PCR analysis was performed in triplicate.

**3.12.2.** Copper tolerance in planta. Wild type *Ea*1189, mutant *Ea*1189 $\Delta$ copA and complemented mutant *Ea*1189 $\Delta$ copA(pCopA) were assayed at the same time in this experiment.

Fresh in planta pear shoots taken from 8 years old pear trees cv. 'Conference' growing inside a mesh were inoculated in a P2 security lab by immersion in a suspension of aprox.  $10^9$  CFU/mL of each E. amylovora strain, potted in closed flask of approx. 13cm diameter and with 1% agar. These flasks were incubated at 25°C in a climate chamber at 8h light-16h darkness for 24h. Then, after 24h inoculation, 4mL of a solution of copper sulfate at 10mM was sprayed on leaves, and the first sampling was made (time 0). Pots were again incubated in the climate chamber and periodically sampled at different time points throughout 29 hours. The procedure of sample processing at each time point was as follows: one leaf was pull out and two square pieces of approximatelly  $1 \text{ cm}^2$  were cut with a sterile scalpel. Leaf pieces were crushed in 1mL PBS 0.5X. Culturable cell counts were then determined by plating ten serial dilutions on LB medium and incubating the plates at 26°C. CFU counts were performed after 72h.

Data were statistically analyzed using a generalized mixed model technique (McCulloch and Searle, 2001), where sprout nested in

experiment was a random effect while the rest of factors were considered fixed. P-value was 0.05.

# RESULTS

### 4.1. Minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC) for copper

To evaluate which medium was the most recommendable to perform the MIC assays, we tested three media, CYE, LB and KB for copper bounding ability. Out of the three tested growth media, LB and KB bounded each of them 60% of the copper added, whereas CYE medium did not exhibit ability to bound it, as measured by Copper test Microquant. CYE medium was then selected to assay the MIC for copper sulfate compared to KB medium.

A suspension of each strain of *E. amylovora* (CFBP 1430, *Ea*1189 and IVIA-1892) was prepared and plotted on CYE and KB media supplemented or not with copper sulfate. All the strains grew at copper sulfate concentrations below 1mM on KB and CYE media, but only the strains plotted on KB medium grew at this concentration (Fig. 14). Therefore, the concentration of 1mM was established as the MIC for copper sulfate in *E. amylovora* under assayed conditions in CYE medium.

Figure 14. MIC for copper in two media. Left side, CYE medium with no growth at 1mM copper sulfate of the strains assayed. Right side, KB medium with growth of all strains at 1mM copper sulfate.



## 4.2. Short-term assay for *E. amylovora* survival in AB medium supplemented with different copper concentrations

Once determined the MIC for copper sulfate on solid medium, culturability of *E. amylovora* strain CFBP 1430 in liquid AB medium at concentrations lower than 1mM was assayed. As shown in Fig. 15, culturable cells decreased in approximately one and a half logarithmic order through the seven days of the experiment, from  $10^7$  CFU/mL to 4.5 x  $10^5$  CFU/mL, in absence of copper. Treatment with 0.005mM copper sulfate resulted in a loss of culturability of almost three orders of magnitude in the same time period, dropping to 2 x  $10^4$  CFU/mL at day seven (Fig. 15). At the other copper sulfate concentrations of 0.01, 0.05 and 0.5mM, culturability of *E. amylovora* CFBP 1430 was under the detection limit just after 24 hours of exposure (Fig. 15).

### 4.3. Efficiency of RNA extraction

In all the experiments, performed with strain CFBP 1430, no differences either in quantity or in quality were found between the five commercial kits used for RNA extraction after repeated trials with each of them and under the same conditions. Then, considering its simplicity and easy to use, we selected RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen) for all the RNA extractions, followed by a treatment with TURBO DNA-free kit (Life Technologies) to remove DNA from RNA.



Figure 15. Short-term assay of the *E. amylovora* survival in AB medium supplemented with copper.

### 4.4. Sensitivity of rpoS, katG and dsbC primers

The sensitivity of the protocol for detection of strain CFBP 1430 by the primers from *rpoS* and *katG* genes by real-time PCR was  $10^{3}$ CFU/mL, as observed in amplification curves of the different cell concentrations (Fig. 16 A and B). The amplification with *katG* primers, however, showed not good reproducibility.

Figure 16. Primers sensitivity of stress-related genes in *E. amylovora* CFBP 1430 strain. A) *rpoS* and B) *katG* by real-time PCR. Fluorescence means wave length emited by TaqMan probe at each decimal dilution. C) Sensitivity of *dsbC* primers by conventional PCR. In A, B and C, decimal numbers indicate CFU/mL.



10<sup>1</sup> 10<sup>2</sup> 10<sup>3</sup> 10<sup>4</sup> 10<sup>5</sup> 10<sup>6</sup> 10<sup>7</sup> 10<sup>8</sup> - - 100pb

C)

For primers of *dsbC* gene, no amplification by real-time PCR was obtained because some problems intrinsic to probe design, but their sensitivity were 10<sup>4</sup>CFU/mL in conventional PCR (Fig. 16 C).

However, due to the problems associated to *katG* and *dsbC* amplification protocols we did not continue to work with them and we focused on the *rpoS* gene, which amplification protocol was running well in sensitivity and reproducibility and besides it is a master regulator of the general stress response.

### 4.5. Real-time PCR standard curve for rpoS gene

The two strategies assayed to generate RNA standards for *rpoS* gene didn't turn out equally efficient. The first strategy, based on cloning *rpoS* PCR product into pGEM-T easy vector system, introducing it into *E. coli*, and then transcribing multiple copies of the target gene, was finally discarded because some problems at the final step of the DNA to RNA transcription that were difficult to solve. Thus, although many

modifications were introduced in the protocol, an insufficient amount of digested plasmid was achieved.

Therefore, we finally chose the second strategy, based on amplification of *rpoS* gene using the external forward primer containing the promoter of T7 RNApolymerase, which avoids an excessively long clonation process since the amplification product of the gene is directly transcribed to RNA. This strategy worked very well and it did not present any handicap.

The quantity of RNA was comparatively measured with NanoDrop 2000 UV-Vis spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific), Quant-iT RiboGreen RNA assay kit (Life Technologies) and Qubit<sup>®</sup> Fluorometric Quantitation (Life Technologies) device. Finally, the last one demonstrated to have the most reliable measure because of its accuracy based on the detection of RNA-specific fluorescence, and it was used to quantifying RNA in the standard curve for *rpoS* gene.

The semi-log regression line of  $C_T$  values versus log of input nucleic acid is represented in Fig. 17. According to this standard curve, the efficiency of PCR amplification for *rpoS* gene was around 82%, since a 100% efficient reaction will yield a 10-fold increase in PCR amplicon every 3.32 cycles during the exponential phase of amplification (log<sub>2</sub>10 = 3.3219), and the slope of the standard curve for *rpoS* gene in our assay was -2.71, more positive than -3.32 characteristic of 100% efficiency.





## 4.6. Long-term assay for *E. amylovora* survival in AB medium supplemented with copper sulfate and expression of *rpoS* gene through time

The lowest copper concentration assayed in short-term survival assays (0.005mM) which allowed the maintining of culturability in the short-term (Fig. 15), was selected for long-term assays. At long term, culturability of *E. amylovora* CFBP 1430 strain decreased in more than three logarithmic orders after 50 days in AB medium with no copper, whereas viability only went down one log order in the same time period (Fig. 18 A).

Figure 18. *E. amylovora* strain CFBP 1430 survival in AB medium supplemented with 0.005mM copper sulfate and expression of the *rpoS* gene through time.  $C_T$  means cycle threshold.



B)

### 0.005mM copper sulfate



However, in the presence of copper the reduction in population numbers was more drastic than in absence of copper, both in culturability and viability, since culturable cells decreased in five log orders and viable cells in approximately two from the time 0 (Fig. 18 B). The trend of the respective curves for both culturable (p=0.0472) and viable (p=0.0099) cells was, in fact, significantly different between copper and no copper treatments.

Throughout this long-term period, expression of *rpoS* gene was evaluated by real-time PCR at each time point. The number of  $C_T$  in AB medium with no copper increased the first 15 days from 22.9 to 29.8, and then remained approximately constant until day 50 (Fig. 18 A). In contrast, in the presence of copper the value of  $C_T$ , after a slight initial increase, remained approximately constant around 25 (Fig. 18 B). This increase in  $C_T$ , regardless the presence of copper, indicated a reduction in *rpoS* expression throughout the time. However, the fact that the value of  $C_T$  was lower in presence than in absence of copper all the time assayed (*p*<0.0001) suggests a higher level of expression of the gene under copper stress.

## 4.7. General characteristics of the *E. amylovora Ea*1189 strain transcriptome in response to a copper shock

After the study of the role of *rpoS* gene in copper survival of *E. amylovora* strain CFBP 1430 and in order to have a more complete picture of the expressed genes by copper stress, a two-color whole genome microarray approach was used to identify differentially regulated genes under exposure to copper but in strain *Ea*1189. After microarray hybridization, we decided to analyze data corresponding only to chromosomic genes due to difficulties in finding match sequences for plasmids in databases. The analysis of the results of the first slide revealed 79 induced genes and 46 repressed genes and in the second slide, 85 genes were induced and 120 repressed. The combined analysis of the two experiments as a group (six replicates) identified 44 genes, out of 3,500 chromosomal genes included in the oligonucleotide microarray, that were differentially expressed after a copper shock.

Those 44 differentially expressed genes were classified in six categories according to several features. For this purpose, genes were submitted to databases and analyzed according to their gene ontology with GPRO software. Then, they were classified in transport, stress, metabolism, movement, programmed cell death and catch-all group named as others, as shown in Fig. 19 and Table 9.

Figure 19. Functional categories obtained by *gene ontology* of the 44 differentially expressed genes after a copper shock in *E. amylovora Ea*1189 strain.



Functional	Gene ID <sup>♭</sup>	Gene	Droduct description	Fold
category <sup>a</sup>		name	Product description	change <sup>d</sup>
Transport	EAM_0320	ујсЕ	Na <sup>+</sup> /H <sup>+</sup> exchanger	1.2
	EAM_1003	smdB	Multidrug efflux pump ABC transporter	1.5
	EAM_1136	gltl	Glutamate and aspartate ABC transporter	1.3
	EAM_1661	ydhC	Inner membrane transport protein	1.6
	EAM_1897	оррА	Oligopeptide ABC transporter	1.3
	EAM_2340	yfcA	Inner membrane protein	1.7
	EAM_2748	nodT	RND efflux system, multidrug resistance protein (partial) detox protein CusA- like	1.3
	EAM_0222	secE	Preprotein translocase subunit	-1.3
	EAM_0954	proY	Proline-specific permease	-1.2
	EAM_1044	сорА	Copper exporting ATPase	-1.1
	EAM_1612	spy	Periplasmic protein spheroplast protein Y	-1.2
	EAM_1884	ompW	Outer membrane protein	-1.2
	EAM_3433	tag3	3-methyl-adenine DNA glycosylase I	-1.3
Stress	EAM_0207	tatC	Twin-arginine protein translocation system	1.2
	EAM_0221	tufA	Protein chain elongation factor EF-Tu	1.6
	EAM_0360	dfoA	Desferrioxamine siderophore biosynthesis	1.1
	EAM_0568	riml	Ribosomal-protein-alanine acetyltransferase	1.2
	EAM_1023	apt	Adenine phosphoribosyltransferase	1.2
	EAM_2126	galF	UTP-glucose-1-phosphate uridylyltransferase	1.2
	EAM_3348	soxS	Transcriptional regulator	1.2
	EAM_0073	rpmB	50S ribosomal protein L28	-1.4
	EAM_0127	rpmE	50S ribosomal protein L28	-1.4
	EAM_1116	cspE	Cold shock-like protein	-1.5
	EAM_2370	uspA	Universal stress protein	-1.2

Table 9. Genes differentially expressed in *E. amylovora Ea*1189 in response to a copper shock. Bold words indicate up-regulated genes.

	-		SERP1273	
	EAM_2853		Putative helicase/relaxase	-1.5
Metabolism	EAM_0136	ррс	Phosphoenolpyruvate carboxylase	1.2
	EAM_0704	lpxC	UDP-3-O-acyl N- acetylglucosamine deacetylase	-1.4
	EAM_0861		Hypothetical polysaccharide deacetylase	-1.1
	EAM_2964		1-acyl-sn-glycerol-3- phosphate acyltransferase	-1.1
	EAM_3110	arcB	Aerobic respiration sensor- response protein	-1.5
Movement	EAM_2538	cheA	Chemotaxis protein	1.9
cell death	EAM_3469		Phage holin	1.1
Others	EAM_2701	ygcF	Conserved hypothetical protein	1.3
	EAM_0537		Hypothetical protein	1.8
	EAM_2645		Hypothetical protein	1.2
	EAM_2818		Hypothetical protein	1.4
	EAM_3301	yhhQ	Hypothetical protein	1.2
	EAM_3421		Hypothetical protein	1.3
	EAM_0684		No significant similarities found	-1.7
	EAM_0927	psiF	Phosphate starvation- inducible protein	-1.3
	EAM_1312	ybjP	Putative lipoprotein	-1.2
	EAM_1634		Hypothetical protein	-1.1
	EAM_2851		Hypothetical protein	-1.1
	EAM_3016		Hypothetical protein	-1

a) Functional category obtained by gene ontology study using GPRO software v1.1.0.

b) Number of the gene according to *E. amylovora* ATCC 49946 sequencing genome.

c) Gene name according to highest similarity query with protein BLAST database. Genes in bold black are

those that were up-regulated.

d) Obtained with a *p*-value  $\leq$  0.05.

Transport category contained 13 genes whose functions were related with exchangers, ABC transporters, membrane proteins and efflux systems. We found several interesting genes as *copA* gene, coding for
RESULTS

a copper exporting ATPase; *yjcE* gene, coding for a Na<sup>+</sup>/H<sup>+</sup> exchanger; two inner membrane proteins YdhC and YfcA and one outer membrane protein OmpW; *smdB* gene, coding for a multidrug efflux pump ABC; *nodT* gene, coding for a RND efflux system; *oppA* gene, coding for a oligopeptide ABC transporter; *gltI* gene, coding for a glutamate and aspartate ABC transporter or *tag3* gene, coding for a 3-methyl-adenine DNA glycosylase I. Fold-changes expression of these genes ranged between -1.1 and 1.7 (Table 9).

Stress category contained 12 genes whose functions were related with translocation systems, elongation factors (EF-Tu), siderophore biosynthesis, transcriptional regulators or stress proteins. We considered the transcriptional regulator soxS the most relevant gene of this category. However, we also found other interesting genes such as **dfoA** gene involved in desferrioxamine biosynthesis; **cspE** gene, coding for a cold shock-like protein or uspA coding for a universal stress protein; *tatC* gene, coding for a twin-arginine protein translocation system; tufA gene, coding for a protein chain elongation factor; *riml* gene, coding for a ribosomal-protein-alanine acetyltransferase; coding for apt gene. а adenine phosphoribosyltransferase; galF gene, coding for a UTP-glucose-1phosphate uridylyltransferase and EAM\_2853 gene, coding for a putative helicase/relaxase. Fold-changes expression range was between -1.5 and 1.6 (Table 9).

Metabolism category was smaller than the previous ones because it only contained 5 genes whose functions were related with Krebs's

cycle and a respiration sensor-response protein. This last function, coded by *arcB* gene, was apparently the most important founded in this category because it is related to an aerobic-anaerobic switch that could be connected with an answer to redox events produced by copper. Most of the genes in this category were down-regulated with fold-changes ranging from -1.1 to -1.5. Only *ppc* gene, coding for a phosphoenolpyruvate carboxylase that catalizes the  $\beta$ -carboxilation of phosphoenolpyruvate (PEP), was 1.2fold-change up-regulated. This is a high energetic reaction that supplies dicarboxilic acids to the tricarbolixic acid's cycle (Krebs's cycle) (Table 9).

Only one gene, *cheA*, coding for a chemotaxis protein, was allocated to movement category. And only other gene, **EAM\_3469**, was classified in the group of programmed cell death since it codes for a phage holin. Both genes were up-regulated with fold-changes of 1.9 and 1.1, respectively (Table 9).

No function was found for twelve out of the 44 genes differentially expressed after copper shock. We classified them inside a category named "others". Only the sequences for *ygcF*, *yhhQ*, *psiF* and *ybjP* genes were annotated but others like the one for EAM\_1634 gene were not and were classified only as hypothetical proteins. We selected *ygcF* and *yhhQ* for further analysis because they were upregulated and, in the case of *ygcF*, also due to be conserved. We also selected EAM\_1634 gene because it was down-regulated (Table 9).

# 4.8. Evaluation of differentially expressed genes under copper conditions by quantitative real-time PCR

To validate gene expression patterns observed in our microarray analysis by an specific and independent technology, the expression of 25 genes, selected among those identified as differentially expressed, was examined by quantitative real-time PCR after optimizing RNAs according to 16S rRNA levels. According to the formula  $E=10^{-1/slope}$ . in an ideal situation, the quantity of PCR product should duplicates in each amplification cycle. As it is shown in Table 10, the optimization of each pair of primers for quantitative real-time PCR is around 2, what means they have 100% efficiency. Selection of genes was made according to their probable relation with the function resulted from the annotation with databases and GPRO software, and the putative function in the case of the hypothetical proteins. These genes were: yjcE, smdB, gltI, ydhC, oppA, yfcA, nodT, copA and tag3 from transport category; tatC, tufA, dfoA, rimI, apt, galF, soxS, uspA and EAM 2853 genes from stress; ppc and arcB genes from metabolism; cheA gene from movement; EAM 3469 gene from programmed cell death; and yqcF, yhhQ, and EAM 1634 genes from "others" category.

Expression of selected target genes by real-time PCR upon exposure to copper was not completely in accordance with the microarray results. The most remarkable divergence was the *copA* gene, which exhibited down-regulation in the microarray analysis but had the highest up-regulation when its expression was measured by quantitative real-time PCR, with a value of more than 19-fold increase (Fig. 20). Other genes that showed down-regulation in the microarray but were induced as monitored by PCR were **EAM\_1634**, *arcB*, *tag3*, **EAM\_2853** and *uspA*, with values of induction of 1.79-, 1.60-, 1.51-, 1.49- and 1.37-fold increase, respectively (Fig. 20).

The relative expression of the rest of the analyzed genes ranged from 0.96-fold induction for gene *dfoA* to 3.82-fold induction for gene *ygcF*, and all of them were confirmed as up-regulated (Fig. 20). Finally, only *oppA* and *ppc* genes, both up-regulated in the microarray analysis, were revealed as down-regulated by quantitative real-time PCR in response to copper.

Gene name	Target gene	16S gene
	efficiency	efficiency
сорА	1.984	2.029
ygcF	2.013	2.029
yhhQ	1.989	2.040
tatC	2.022	2.029
soxS	2.029	2.040
galF	1.989	2.040
apt	2.048	2.040
ydhC	2.038	2.029
cheA	1.975	2.040
nodT	2.015	2.030
EAM_1634	2.044	2.040
gltl	1.979	2.040
rimI	2.006	2.029
arcB	2.036	2.040
tag3	2.040	2.040
EAM_2853	2.035	2.040
ујсЕ	2.010	2.030
uspA	2.016	2.029
smdB	2.010	2.030
yfcA	2.011	2.029
EAM_3469	2.004	2.030
tufA	2.021	2.029
dfoA	2.037	2.040
оррА	2.032	2.029
ррс	2.010	2.030

Table 10. Efficiencies of the PCR optimization with the 16S gene as internal control at the melting temperature of each primer.

#### RESULTS



Figure 20. Relative expression of 25 differentially expressed genes in *E. amylovora Ea*1189 after a copper shock. Color indicates the functional category assigned before in section 4.7. For more info also see Fig. 19 and table 8.

The 16S rDNA gene was used as an endogenous control in quantitative real-time PCR to calculate the relative expression. Data of  $C_T$  were analyzed quantitatively via the comparative cycle threshold ( $\Delta\Delta C_T$ ) method (Pffafl, 2001) to generate relative fold-change values comparing WT and mutant strains. \* Inactivated genes for further studies.

#### 4.9. Mutants of selected genes of E. amylovora

#### 4.9.1. Assays to evaluate the posible role of *rpoS* gene

A mutant of CFBP 1430 strain in *rpoS* gene (Santander *et al.*, 2014), was used to evaluate its role in culturability in the presence of copper. As shown in Fig. 21, mutant culturability was lower than that of wild type, even in AB medium with no copper (Fig. 21). Whereas the wild type strain remained near 10<sup>8</sup> CFU/mL for more than 60 days (Fig. 21 A), the mutant dropped two logarithmic orders in the same period (Fig. 21 B).

The difference between the wild type and the mutant was more pronounced in the presence of copper. At the lowest copper concentration (0.005mM) culturability of the mutant was reduced in more than six log orders, and at 0.01mM it already was under the detection limit at 24h (Fig. 21 B). This was contrasting to the culturability of the wild type, which was decreasing gradually through time (Fig. 21 A). At the highest copper concentration (0.5mM) the culturability of both the wild type and the mutant was under the detection limit already at 24h (Fig. 21).

Figure 21. (A) Survival of the *E. amylovora* CFBP 1430 wild type incubated in AB medium supplemented with copper sulfate along 64 hours. (B) Survival of the *E. amylovora* CFBP 1430 *rpoS*<sup>-</sup> mutant incubated in AB medium supplemented with copper sulfate along 64 hours.



B)





4.9.2. Assays to evaluate the posible role of *copA*, *soxS*, *arcB*, *yjcE*, *ygcF*, *yhAQ*, *galF* and EAM\_3469 genes

**4.9.2.1. Copper tolerance** *in vitro*. To investigate which genetic systems can operate in *E. amylovora* after a copper shock, *Ea*1189 mutants in eight selected up-regulated genes were evaluated for copper tolerance. Selected genes were: *copA*, *soxS*, *arcB*, *yjcE*, *ygcF*, *yhhQ*, *galF* and EAM\_3469. Culturability was not affected in the wild type strain after treatment with copper sulfate below 20mM, but at 20mM and higher concentrations it was severely reduced (Fig. 22 A). Then, mutants were challenged with the maximal copper concentration with no effect on the wild type strain, that was, 10mM. As shown in Fig. 22 B, the culturability of all the mutants decreased drastically between 4 and 7 orders of magnitude, depending on the inactivated gene, respect to untreated controls.

Figure 22. (A) Culturability of *E. amylovora* strain *Ea*1189 growing in liquid media after treatment with copper at different concentrations. Arrow indicates the maximal Cu<sup>++</sup> concentration with no effect in culturability of the *Ea*1189 wild type. (B) Copper sensitivity of selected *E. amylovora* strain *Ea*1189 mutants treated with 10mM copper sulfate. Down, the name of the inactivated genes.







Figure 23. Genes confirmed to have a role in the defense of *E. amylovora* against a copper shock. A) *copA* gene B) *arcB* gene, C) *soxS* gene, D) *ygcF* gene, E) *yhhQ* and F) *yjcE* gene. Down, WT means wild type, *Ea*1189 $\Delta$ geneX means mutant strain and *Ea*1189 $\Delta$ geneX(pGeneX) means complemented mutant.









The genes EAM\_3469 and galF were not complemented due to lack of time in the period of this thesis but we will keep them in mind for future research.

#### 4.10. The copA case

#### 4.10.1. Induced expression of copA gene

In order to assess the differential expression of *copA* gene in *E. amylovora* strain *Ea*1189, a relative quantification by real-time PCR was performed after submitting the strain to a copper-shock induction. As shown in figure 24, *copA* gene was induced in the presence of copper. A very low copper concentration of 0.005mM increased the expression of *copA* 1.5-fold compared with the expression at no copper exposure (1-fold). Interestingly, the relative expression of *copA* gene was observed to raise as soon as the copper concentration was increased, reaching 16.8-fold expression when the strain was challenged with copper sulfate 0.5mM (Fig. 24).





#### 4.10.2. Involvement of the copA gene in copper tolerance in planta

Due to its high expression level in the presence of copper (Fig. 20), coupled with its demonstrated role in copper tolerance in vitro (Fig. 23 A), copA gene was selected to further investigate whether it also plays a role in copper tolerance in vivo in E. amylovora Ea1189. The culturability of copA mutant in planta was firstly assayed without copper treatment, and it was slightly lower than that of wild type (Fig. 25, blue and pink dashed lines), but the trend of both curves, those of wild type and mutant, through time was not significantly different (p=0.12). Interestingly, when the cloned *copA* gene was inserted in the mutant, the numbers of culturable cells recovered those of wild type (Fig. 25, green dashed line). Then, the effect of copper was comparatively evaluated in the three bacterial populations: the wild type, the copA mutant and the mutant complemented with copA gene. As seen in Fig. 25, copper affected to both mutant (blue continuous line) and wild type (pink continuous line) strains, but this effect was more drastic for the mutant. Thus, culturability of wild type was decreased *in planta* after two hours of copper exposure (Fig. 25, pink continuous versus pink dashed lines). reducing its population almost two orders of magnitude and mantaining at this level throughout the experiment. As for the mutant strain, significant differences in culturability were observed respect to wild type strain at 29h after the copper exposure (p=0.009), with a loss of about four orders of magnitude from the inoculation time (Fig. 25, blue continuous line). However at this time, the culturability of the mutant was restored when the cloned *copA* gene had been introduced (Fig. 25, green continuous line). Although this restoration was only partial, as occurred in *in vitro* assays, the survival of wild type and the complemented mutant was not significantly different (p=0.25) through time, confirming the effect of copper in *copA* mutant.

Figure 25. Role of *copA* gene in *E. amylovora* strain *Ea*1189 to 10mM copper sulfate *in planta*. Dashed lines means untreated and continuous lines means after being treated with 10mM of copper sulfate. Wild type strain is represented by a pink circle, mutant *Ea*1189 $\Delta$ copA is represented by a blue rhombus and complemented mutant *Ea*1189 $\Delta$ copA(pCopA) is represented by a green triangle.



# GENERAL DISCUSSION

Copper-based compounds are among the antibacterial agents most widely used in agriculture, and they have been extensively employed for decades to deal with the devastating plant disease fire blight (van der Zwet *et al.*, 2012). But it has also been described that they are not completely efficient to control the disease because, when plants are treated with copper, *E. amylovora* can develop a survival strategy that allows it to persist in time: the viable but nonculturable (VBNC) state (Ordax *et al.*, 2006). In fact, the role of copper as a stress factor for *E. amylovora* was described by the research group in which the present thesis was performed (Ordax, 2008), so the goals of this work were planned as a continuation of the previous findings. Thus, once determined that copper triggers a survival response in *E. amylovora*, we were interested in unraveling the molecular mechanisms involved in this interaction.

First, we addressed this issue by selecting some genes, such as the Sigma factor *rpoS*, that were previously described as important in the bacterial response to several stresses (Battesti *et al.*, 2011; Landini *et al.*, 2014), although not specifically reported in relation to copper. Secondly, we decided to go forward with a transcriptomic study to evaluate the global response of *E. amylovora* to copper, taking advantage of the customized microarray for *E. amylovora* by courtesy of Ian Toth (The James Hutton Institute (JHI), formely Scottish Crop Research Institute (SCRI)). The experimental design, based on the work of Yamamoto and Ishihama (2005) for another enterobacterium, *E. coli*, consisted on challenging *E. amylovora* to a copper sulfate shock and to assess the genes induced under this stressful condition.

All the data obtained from the microarray allowed designing experiments to evaluate the role of some selected genes in vitro and lately in vivo. We made a selection of genes differentially expressed by copper to validate their expression using quantitative real-time PCR. Then, we constructed E. amylovora mutants in those genes exhibiting a higher expression level as measured by quantitative realtime PCR and/or their interest as potentially related to the response of *E. amylovora* facing copper stress. Then, we tested the phenotype of these mutants after challenging them to copper and we complemented the mutants with the introduction of the corresponding gene and verified that the phenotype of the wild type strain was restored. Finally, we selected one of the genes to test its role also in vivo. In fact, the validation in planta of the role of copA gene in the survival of *E. amylovora* to copper exposure is one of the most relevant results of this work. Nevertheless, other remarkable result is the general overview of the genes involved in the survival of *E. amylovora* to copper stress. Up to date, the global transcriptional response of *E. amylovora* against copper had not been elucidated yet. The type of copper stress selected in this study was a rapid shock of 5 min, not only reproducing the conditions of a previous work with E. coli (Yamamoto and Ishihama, 2005) but also to avoid the influence of long-term exhaustion of nutrients, the production of toxic metabolites coming from the active metabolism or other factors that could interact with the direct copper effect. 164

The information provided by this work constitutes a first step in the way of a future research about possible targets for more efficient chemical treatments for fire blight disease. According to the data obtained, it is possible that a treatment against fire blight based on a combination of copper sulfate and an inhibitor of CopA protein would be more effective than copper alone. It is an issue for future research.

After summarizing the global sense and the main achievements of this thesis, the different tasks addressed in the whole work are discussed in detail. As a previous step in the study of the molecular response of *E. amylovora* to copper, the minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC) of this metal was firstly determined to decide the range of copper concentrations to be assayed. On one side, since King's B (KB) and Luria Bertani (LB) media bounded copper at the same extent, we selected KB for comparative purposes because it was used in previous MIC studies with E. amylovora (Ordax, 2008). On the other side, we used CYE medium (Zevenhuizen et al., 1979) and our results confirmed those reporting its usefulness for MIC determination since it does not bind any copper (Sholberg et al., 2001; Al-Daoude et al., 2009). In fact, the MIC obtained in CYE, 1mM, was lower than in KB because KB has a greater ability to bind copper, then reducing the amount of free copper that can affect bacterial cells. Ordax (2008) also obtained a MIC higher than 1mM in KB (2.5mM), even in liquid cultures, where all components, including copper, are more accessible to cells, what usually leads to a decrease 165

of the MIC, but not in KB due to its copper complexing ability. Therefore, the MIC for the three studied strains was established in CYE at 1mM, pretty similar to that obtained in other studies performed using *E. amylovora* isolates from other geographical origins, such as Syria (Al-Daoude *et al.*, 2009) and British Columbia (Sholberg *et al.*, 2001), which were considered as copper tolerant strains (growth at 1.2mM copper sulfate).

# 5.1. Survival of *E. amylovora* under several copper conditions and the role of a general stress regulator

The survival of *E. amylovora* in the presence of two copper concentrations below the established MIC (0.005 and 0.5mM) was studied. The lowest copper concentration assayed (0.005mM) was found to cause a significant decrease of two logarithmic orders in CFBP1430 strain population, while the shock with higher copper concentrations (up to 0.5mM) caused a more severe decrease. In long-term survival assays in distilled water without addition of copper, numbers of culturable cells were reduced in approximately three logarithmic orders after 50 days due to the effect of nutrient starvation (Biosca et al., 2006; Ordax et al., 2006). When adding copper (0.005mM), the culturability dropped five log orders in the same time period. In both cases (in absence or presence of copper) a percentage of the population adopted the VBNC state, as previously reported (Biosca et al., 2006; Ordax et al., 2006). This was indicated by the fact that the number of viable cells, according to a cultureindependent method (Live & Dead), was not reduced at the same extent as the number of culturable ones. Nevertheless, the fraction of bacterial population in VBNC state was higher in presence of copper due to the joined effect of two stress factors, copper and starvation. The induction of this state by copper has not only been demonstrated in E. amylovora (Ordax et al., 2006) but also in other phytopathogenic bacteria (Alexander et al., 1999; Ghezzi and Steck, 1999; del Campo et al., 2009; Um et al., 2013). The present results are, therefore, consistent with those previously obtained by Ordax et al. (2006). Interestingly, copper at all the assayed concentrations did neither cause cellular lysis nor kill E. amylovora cells in other way (Ordax et al., 2006). Bacteria are able to face up to stress through adaptation responses, and many of these responses involve specific pathways that include a given regulator and a set of regulated genes (the regulon) which helps the cell to survive to the stress (Battesti et al., 2011). In consequence, it is very interesting to evaluate the role of these genes to elucidate molecular mechanisms underlying the response of *E. amylovora* to copper stress. As a first approach, we analyzed the expression of the general stress response regulator gene, rpoS, because RpoS regulon has been reported as playing a critical role in E. coli survival against acid (Small et al., 1994), heat (Hengge-Aronis et al., 1991), oxidative stress (Sammartano et al., 1986), starvation (Lange and Hengge-Aronis, 1991), and near-UV exposure (Sammartano et al., 1986). In E. amylovora, an altered expression of this gene under starvation stress has been recently described (Santander et al., 2014), but nothing is known about its relation with copper stress. In other *Enterobacteriaceae* as *E. coli*, the RpoS regulon comprises 10% of its genes (Lacour and Landini, 2004; Patten et al., 2004; Weber et al., 2005; Dong et al., 2008; Dong and Schellhorn, 2009), what gives an idea of its relevance.

We observed that when *E. amylovora* was exposed to copper, the level of *rpoS* expression was significantly higher than in absence of copper. In both cases, with and without copper, there was a

progressively reduction of *rpoS* expression through time, probably because cells needed to save energy since they were maintained in a non chemostat container with mineral medium for a very long period (50 days). However, the reduction in the rpoS expression was significantly less pronounced in the presence of copper, indicating that this gene was more active than in absence of the metal. The link between *rpoS* and copper is probably related to oxidative stress provoked by copper excess. In E. coli, it has been described that copper overload induces the expression of RpoS, OxyR and SoxRS regulons (Macomber et al., 2007), and in P. aeruginosa that there is an increase of a periplasmic protein as a reaction to copper imbalance, leading to oxidative stress (Vijgenboom et al., 1997; Raimunda et al., 2013). Moreover, our results are in accordance with previous works on the role of the RpoS in the defense response of E. amylovora (Santander et al., 2014) and also in other phytopathogenic bacteria such as Xanthomonas campestris and Pseudomonas fluorescens (Rao and Sureshkumar, 2000; Stockwell et al., 2009) in defense against reactive oxygen species (ROS).

## 5.2. Global response against a copper shock

With the aim to dig into the entire system of *E. amylovora* genome-wide response to external copper, we carried out a search for copper-responsive genes by a transcriptomic approach. The experimental design was based on a study performed with copper and the model bacterium *E. coli* (Yamamoto and Ishihama, 2005), another member of *Enterobacteriaceae*, because no previous work with *E. amylovora* on this issue has been reported.

We first carried out a systematic search for copperresponsive genes by using DNA microarray technique. A copper shock markedly activated 23 genes of the pathogen, being ca. 50% of those induced genes classified into the categories of transport (*yjcE*, *smdB*, *gltI*, *ydhC*, *oppA*, *ycfA* and *EAM\_2748*) and stress (*tatC*, *tufA*, *dfoA*, *rimL*, *apt*, *galF* and *soxS*), and some also into metabolism (*ppc*), movement (*cheA*), and programmed cell death (*EAM\_3469*), remaining 6 as unclassified genes (*EAM\_0537*, *EAM\_2645*, *ygcF*, *EAM\_2818*, *yhhQ* and *EAM\_3421*).

In the transcriptional assay previously conducted with *E. coli* (Yamamoto and Ishihama, 2005), 30 copper-shock activated genes were identified and classified into their putative regulons: 5 genes were classified into the CusR regulon, 6 into the CueR regulon, 11 into the CpxR regulon and another 8 genes remained unclassified. That previous study concluded that the copper stimulon is formed by the activated genes under the control of at least four regulons: CueR,

CusR, CpxR and YedW, in a hierarchical regulation network to maintain copper homeostasis. Most of the genes identified in our study differ from those identified in the E. coli copper shock microarray study (Yamamoto and Ishihama, 2005). If the conditions of each study are compared, although we isolated mRNA 5 min after the copper shock, as in the E. coli study, the growth phase of the challenged cells was different. Transcriptome of E. coli was obtained in exponential growth while that of *E. amylovora* was obtained in late exponential growth or beginning of the stationary phase. This difference may have contributed to observed differences in gene expression. However, both our current study with E. amylovora and the previous study with E. coli included the hitherto identified copA gene encoding a Cu<sup>+</sup>-translocating P-type ATPase pump, which exports copper from the cytoplasm to the periplasm and is directly involved in maintaining copper homeostasis in E. coli (Rensing and Grass, 2003; Yamamoto and Ishihama, 2005). Besides copA. the transcription factor for superoxide response regulon SoxS is copperactivated both in E. coli (Yamamoto and Ishihama, 2005; Pletzer et al., 2014) and in *E. amylovora*, as showed in the present work.

In plant pathogenic bacteria, there are no previous studies evaluating transcriptional gene expression after copper stress. The present study raises for the first time the association between copper stress and its effects in the plant pathogenic bacterium *E. amylovora*, copper homeostasis and production of oxidative radicals.

## 5.2.1. Copper transport genes (copper homeostasis)

As it was described before, copper ion can be a toxic element if it is present over a certain threshold, so metal homeostasis has an essential role in bacterial survival. As a consequence of an aerobic metabolism, copper catalyzes Fenton-like and Haber-Weiss reactions that result in the production of hydroxyl radicals ( $Cu^+ + H_2O_2 \rightarrow HO^+ +$  $HO^{-} + Cu^{++}; O_{2}^{--} + Cu^{++} \rightarrow Cu^{+} + O_{2})$  (Halliwell and Gutteridge, 1990, 1984; Rensing and Franke-McDevitt, 2013). The main pool of intracellular copper ions, as reported in E. coli, is located in the periplasm (Outten et al., 2001), and the reactive oxygen species (ROS) produced by copper do not reach the cytosolic targets (Macomber et al., 2007; Lemire et al., 2013). However. if the accumulation of ROS in the periplasm is over a certain threshold, a leakage of these toxic species could happen into the cytosol, where they trigger the activation of the ROS defense systems. To avoid these events in cascade, metal efflux and uptake systems are transport mechanisms displayed by bacterial cells to achieve copper homeostasis.

The copper homeostasis protein repertoire described in *E. coli* comprises two systems: one CueR-regulated, which plays a key role in aerobic copper tolerance (Grass and Rensing, 2001; Outten *et al.*, 2001), and other regulated by *cusSR* for anaerobic conditions (Outen *et al.*, 2001). According to Hernández-Montes *et al.* (2012), this dual copper homeostasis protein repertoire is only present in 3% of all organisms tested (among others 268 gamma proteobacteria,

two strains of *E. amylovora* (TAX552 and ATCC 49946), one strain of *E. pyrifoliae* and one strain of *E. tasmaniensis*).

A recent phylogenomic study revealed that the genomes of two E. amylovora strains (ATCC 49946 and TAX552) encode at least three periplasmic proteins involved in copper homeostasis: CopA, CueO and an orphan CusC (Hernandez-Montes et al., 2012). While CopA pumps out excess copper from the cytoplasm to the periplasm, CueO oxidizes  $Cu^+$  to  $Cu^{++}$  in the periplasm thereby reducing the concentration of the more toxic Cu<sup>+</sup> ion (Grass and Rensisng, 2001). In *E. coli*, under anaerobic conditions the two-component regulatory system CusSR activates the transcription of the cusCBAF operon that encodes for a complex that pumps  $Cu^{+}$  from the cytoplasm directly to the extracellular space (Grass and Rensisng, 2001). In most bacteria, this complex consists of the inner membrane pump CusA, the periplasmic protein CusB and the outer membrane protein CusC, forming a channel through the periplasm (Hernandez-Montes et al., 2012). In the genome of *E. amylovora*, only an orphan *cusC* gene is present but, surprisingly, it is located in an operon together with two other putative multidrug efflux transporter genes, emrB and emrA. Thus, it is possible that they may form another complex pump also dedicated to extrude  $Cu^{\dagger}$  directly from the cytoplasm to the external space, as the cusCBAF system does. However, since we did not observe an upregulation of either *emrB* or *emrA*, these multidrug efflux transporter genes may not respond to copper in aerobic metabolism in E. amylovora, confirming that described for E. coli (Yamamoto and Ishihama, 2005). It is important to note here that, in contrast to other enterobacteria, *E. amylovora* is not very efficient in anaerobic metabolism (Sebaihia *et al.*, 2010).

In this study, seven genes classified into transport category were over-expressed in the presence of copper. Expression analyses clearly show that *copA* gene is that most remarkably induced by copper. This gene codes for a Cu<sup>+</sup>-translocating P-type ATPase pump that exports copper from the cytoplasm to the periplasm. Although Cu<sup>++</sup> was added to the medium, it is reasonable to assume that it was reduced to Cu<sup>+</sup> intracellularly, and that Cu<sup>+</sup> was the actual inducer of a response (Rensing et al., 2000). The relevance of copA among the mechanisms developed by E. amylovora against copper agrees with results observed in previous studies with different gamma proteobacteria: Hernández-Montes et al. (2012) found that CopA is the most abundant protein for copper homeostasis in bacteria, with an important physiological role as an internal membrane ATPase. It was identified in the chromosomes of 70 bacterial genera, also the genus Erwinia and it was found in all organisms cited before (among others 268 gamma proteobacteria, two strains of E. amylovora (TAX552 and ATCC 49946), one strain of *E. pyrifoliae* and one strain of E. tasmaniensis) as the core protein for copper homeostasis. It is interesting to note that CopA protein was also found in other genera belonging to Enterobacteriaceae family as Dickeya, Pantoea, Pectobacterium or Pseudomonas, which contain plant pathogenic bacteria; on the contrary, it is not present in the genome of other phytopathogenic bacteria, such as four strains of Xylella fastidiosa and seven out of nine strains of *Xanthomonas* spp. The remarkable 174

induction of *copA* gene by copper is in agreement with other gene expression studies after copper exposure in non phytopathogenic bacteria, such as *Acidithiobacillus ferrooxidans*, *Streptococcus pneumoniae* or *Listeria monocytogenes* (Salazar *et al.*, 2013; Shafeeq *et al.*, 2011; Corbett *et al.*, 2011). Moreover, recently, Zhao *et al.* (2014) have shown that copper homeostasis also plays an important role in oxidative resistance of *Deinococcus radiodurans* and that this role is played, among others, by *copA*.

We did observe some differences in gene expression between the microarray results and qPCR for a few of the genes examined, most notably *copA*. These differences could be due to the limitations of the microarray technique. The analysis of the global expression of one genome at a specific point in time is the result of all genes from the genetic pool in that particular time. Then, the results of a massive transcriptomic analysis technique should not be taken to do an analysis of the differential expression of each individual gene of the genetic pool, since some discrepancies can rise, as has been described in other bacterial models (Thieme *et al.*, 2008; Karunakaran *et al.*, 2009).

Expression analysis clearly showed that the *copA* gene in *E. amylvora* is not only remarkably induced by copper but also finetuned regulated. Three lines of evidence suggest that the expression of *copA* is regulated by copper through the transcriptional regulator CueR. First, sequence analysis outward from *copA* gene revealed that in *E. amylovora* strain *Ea*1189 both genes are contiguous in the same

locus, and they are transcribed in opposite directions (Figure S1). Second, CueR activates transcription of *copA* in the presence of copper ion in *E. coli* (Outten *et al.*, 2000). And third, the CueR binding site, including the CueR-box sequences, where the Cu<sup>+</sup>-CueR transcriptional factor binds to the *copA* promoter, is known in *E. coli* (Yamamoto and Ishihama, 2005). Sequence analysis revealed that the *copA* promoter of *E. amylovora* strain *Ea*1189 contains the CueR box consensus sequence, including the inverted repeat with just one mismatch (Figure S2).

Our gene-disruption studies confirmed for the first time a biological role of copA gene in E. amylovora survival after copper shock because it was partially complemented in vitro and in planta by addition of the copA gene with its native promoter in trans. In the E. amylovora chromosome, copA is located adjacent to cueR, the main gene involved in *copA* regulation, and it its possible that the genetic context of copA may be important in this case. The 10-100% reduction in survival of the wild type *Ea*1189 in planta upon treatment with copper is a typical result observed following treatment of a coper-sensitive bacterial pathogen on plant surfaces with a copper bactericide (ex. Garrett and Schwartz 1998; Quesada et al., 2010). Further reductions in survival of the  $\Delta copA$  mutant could be a reflection of increasing availability of copper on the plant surfaces over time. These results suggest that the *copA* gene plays an important role for survival of *E. amylovora* against copper both in vitro and in planta.

The present study also showed that other differentially expressed genes classified into the transport family may direct or indirectly be also involved in maintaining copper homeostasis in E. amylovora. Concretely, the ydhC and smdB genes, belonging to drug resistance efflux transporter family, and the transmembrane protein YfcA might act reducing toxicity in the cytoplasm due to ion copper effect. Further gene disruption studies will be required to demonstrate their current role in copper tolerance in *E. amylovora*. This is also the case of *yicE* gene, coding for a  $Na^+/H^+$  exchanger (NHE) with similarity to NHEs in eukaryotes (Verkhovskaya et al., 2001) and having a potential role in eliminating excess acid from cells. Expression analysis and gene disruption studies with *vicE* show that it should play a role for copper tolerance in *E. amylovora*; this could be accomplished through maintaining pH homeostasis in cells which could reduce the cycling of Cu<sup>+</sup> and Cu<sup>++</sup> ions. It has also been demonstrated in human cells (Akram et al., 2006) that an increase in intracellular superoxide anion induces synthesis of an NHE that strongly correlates with the resistance of cells to death.

## 5.2.2. Oxidative stress (copper toxicity)

The strong relationship between the presence of a stress factor and the production of superoxide radicals has been reported by microarray studies in other bacterial models as Pyrococcus furiosus, Nitrosomonas europaea, Herminiimonas arsenicoxydans or Bacillus cereus (Williams et al., 2007: Park and Elv. 2008: Cleiss-Arnold et al., 2010; Mols et al., 2010). In the case of E. amylovora, the effect of copper in previous studies on culturability led to conclude that this metal acts as a stress factor also for this pathogen (Ordax et al., 2006). Besides maintaining copper homeostasis, bacteria also have to overcome its toxic effect. As commented before, the main toxicity of copper could derive from the production of reactive oxygen species (ROS), as previously described by Halliwell and Gutteridge (1984) in E. coli (see section 1.3.1. of the Introduction), since copper dissociates the oxygen peroxide produced by an aerobic metabolism into hydroxyl radicals. This is genetically supported by the fact that, in the present study, seven differentially-expressed genes were stress-related and they may direct or indirectly be involved in overcoming the toxic effect of this metal in *E. amylovora*.

We identified three ROS related genes that were differentially expressed after a copper shock. A gene-disruption study was performed for the AraC family DNA-binding transcriptional regulator, SoxS, and the  $\Delta soxS$  mutant was highly affected in copper tolerance, losing more than six orders of magnitude in population numbers. It is well known that copper sulphate is a powerful inducer

of the *soxRS* regulon of *E. coli* through oxidative stress (Greenberg *et al.*, 1990; Kimura and Nishioka, 1997). An intracellular redox signal activates SoxR, a superoxide response sensor protein encoded by *soxR*. It binds to DNA at a specific site activating the expression of *soxS* gene, and SoxS protein is a direct inducer of target genes of bacterial response to oxidative stress (Lushchak, 2011). The *soxS* gene has been already characterized in *E. amylovora* (Pletzer et al., 2014) and its regulon was identified in *E. coli* (Martin and Rosner, 2002). This study shows that copper is one of the signals for activation of *soxRS* system in *E. amylovora* and that some SoxRS-regulated genes of its regulon should play and important role in its copper tolerance.

Another ROS-related gene found in this work was *arcB*. Expression analysis and gene disruption studies with *arcB* show that the two-component regulatory system ArcAB is activated by copper and it is required for copper tolerance in *E. amylovora*. ArcB activates its cognate transcriptional regulator ArcA to repress genes contributing to aerobic metabolism and activates genes necessary for anaerobic metabolism (Loui *et al.*, 2009). Thus, in an anaerobic habitat, bacteria avoid more ROS production and, consequently, oxidative damage. This study shows that copper is one of the signals for activation of ArcAB system and that some ArcAB-regulated genes of its regulon should play an important role in copper tolerance in *E. amylovora*. It seems that, for *E. amylovora*, this strategy to avoid ROS production is not preferred because anaerobic metabolism is not the optimal one for an efficient growth in *E. amylovora*. The fact that this

gene appears in previous studies related to ROS in the bacterial model *E. coli* (Luchi and Weiner, 1996; Loui *et al.*, 2009) may support the hypothesis that ArcAB system is a metabolic strategy against copper toxicity in *E. amylovora* not described before.

The third ROS-related gene has been described above, *yjcE*. It is also connected with copper homeostasis. Our observation that *E. amylovora*  $\Delta yjcE$  mutant was also strongly affected after copper shock supports the hypothesis that pH homeostasis can affect copper sensitivity.

Another interesting gene classified into the stress category is *galF*. The enzyme GalF is involved in the formation of UDP-glucose from  $\alpha$ -D-glucose-1P, which is essential for polysaccharide production. Up to date, no direct relation between this gene and copper or others stress factors had been described. However, we observed an increase of almost 3-fold expression in this gene and the reduced culturability of the  $\Delta galF$  mutant strain for more than six orders of magnitude after copper exposure, suggesting that this gene is in fact involved, at least indirectly through protection by exopolysaccharide production, in the response of *E. amylovora* against copper stress.
## 5.3. Response model to copper in E. amylovora

The data obtained show that *E. amylovora* responds to copper shock by over-expressing several genes related to two genetic systems that represent two complementary strategies: (i) the control of copper homeostasis through the expression of, at least, the *copA* gene, and (ii) the overcoming of copper toxicity due to oxidative stress through the expression of some ROS-related genes.

All results together allowed us elaborating a putative model of the different genetic mechanisms that probably are involved in the interaction between E. amylovora and copper in the assayed conditions (Figure 26). Copper probably crosses the external membrane and enters periplasm via porins, as in *E. coli* (Teitzel et al., 2006) and many others Gram-negative bacteria (de Brujin, 2016), and then reaches the cytoplasm crossing the inner membrane via certain transport proteins. Once in the cytoplasm, copper generates ROS through Fenton-like reactions. In order to prevent the oxidative damage, E. amylovora displays several mechanisms. The most important one seems to be a compendium of actions aimed to maintain copper homeostasis and to face up ROS by the activation of soxS (Fig. 26A) and yicE (Fig. 26B) gene expression. The first one activates the expression of other genes related with the elimination of ROS, and the second one contributes to neutralize the acid pH generated by ROS inside the cytoplasm. The activity of these genes is supported by the action of CopA protein (Fig. 26C), which exports copper from inside the cell to the periplasm space. Besides, the

181

activation of *arcB* gene (Fig. 26D) allows changing from aerobic to anaerobic metabolism, which would drastically reduce the production of ROS. Finally, the role of the two hypothetical proteins YgcF and YhhQ (Fig. 26E) remains to be elucidated.

The results of this thesis have constituted an approach to the genetic substrate of *E. amylovora* response against copper stress, and they are a starting point to make progress in the knowledge of the complex molecular mechanisms involved in the copper response of the fire blight pathogen.



Figure 26. Putative model of the effect of copper stress in Erwinia amylovora

183

## CONCLUSIONS

6

This work was designed to improve the knowledge of the molecular mechanisms involved in the response of *E. amylovora* to copper stress, a topic not previously afforded in plant pathogenic bacteria. The new results obtained are summarized in the following conclusions:

1. Copper, even at very low concentrations such as 0.005mM, constitutes a stressful factor for *E. amylovora* and triggers a response, observed at least as a reduced culturability, with a genetic substrate constituted by the coordinated action of several genes belonging to different functional categories.

2. The general stress response regulator gene *rpoS* contributes to the survival of *E. amylovora* under copper exposure, since its expression was consistently higher in presence than in absence of copper when *E. amylovora* was challenged to copper and starvation stressful conditions.

3. A set of at least fourty four genes exhibit a differential expression after copper shock. Twenty-five of them belong to functional categories of transport and stress. Genes related to metabolism (five), movement (one) and programmed cell death (one) also show an altered expression. The validation of the differential expression of twenty-five selected genes showed that twenty-three of them increased its expression after copper shock.

4. The genes arcB, copA, EAM\_3469, galF, soxS, ygcF, yhhQ and yjcE are involved in the survival of *E. amylovora* when it is exposed to

copper sulfate, from concentrations as low as 10mM. The role of six of these genes, *arcB*, *copA*, *soxS*, *ygcF*, *yhhQ* and *yjcE*, was confirmed under *in vitro* conditions, since the complementation of the mutants in those genes restored the wild type phenotype.

5. The differential expression under copper shock of seven genes related to transport suggests its direct or indirect involvement in maintaining copper homeostasis in *E. amylovora*. Genes *ydhC* and *smdB*, that have concern in drug efflux, and YfcA, that is a transmembrane protein, probably act reducing the toxicity of copper present in cytoplasm, whereas *yjcE* gene coding for a  $Na^+/H^+$  exchanger probably acts neutralizing the acid pH generated by reactive oxygen species provoked by copper.

6. From the seven transport genes differentially expressed under copper exposure, the *copA* gene, which codes for a Cu<sup>+</sup>-translocating P-type ATPase pump that exports copper from the cytoplasm to the periplasm, is the most remarkably induced by copper and even fine-tune regulated, playing an important role as defense mechanism of *E. amylovora* against copper stress both *in vitro* and *in planta*. The expression of *copA* gene seems to be transcriptionally regulated by copper through the regulator CueR but not through CusSR.

7. The increased expression of *soxS* gene, involved in superoxide resistance, under copper shock confirms its connection with oxidative stress and it also shows, for the first time, that copper can be one of the signals for activation of SoxRS system.

188

8. The induction of *arcB* gene after activation of ArcAB system triggered by copper suggests another strategy, complementary to the role of *soxS*, to stop reactive oxygen species production through an aerobic/anaerobic switch, since ArcB activates ArcA to repress genes contributing to aerobic metabolism and activates those necessary for anaerobic one.

9. The gene *galF* is also involved in the response of *E. amylovora* against copper probably through an increased production of exopolysaccharide that confers protection to the bacterial cell against copper and other kinds of stress.

10. A putative model of response of *E. amylovora* against copper stress has been elaborated following the experimental evidences from this work. It involves at least two complementary strategies: one aimed to control copper homeostasis, and the other to reduce copper toxicity by counteracting the production of ROS.

## REFERENCES

Abarca-Grau, A.M., Burbank, L.P., de Paz, H.D., Crespo-Rivas, J.C., Marco-Noales, E., López, M.M., Vinardell, J.M., Von Bodman, S.B., Penyalver, R. 2012. Role for *Agrobacterium rhizogenes* K84 cell envelope polysaccharides in surface interactions. Appl. Environ. Microb. 78:1644-1651.

**ABI Guide, 2004.** ABI Guide to performing relative quantitation of gene expression using real-time quantitative PCR. Applied Biosystems Part number 4371095 Rev A.

https://www.thermofisher.com/es/es/home/brands/applied-biosystems.html

**Afunian, M.R. and Rahimian, H.** 1996. Investigation on the characteristics of Iranian isolates of *Erwinia amylovora*. Acta Hort. 411:187.

**Agrios, G.N.** 1968. Cause, development and control of fire blight. Mass. Fruit Grow. Assoc. Annu. Rep. 74:30-35.

**Akram, S., Teong, H.F.C., Fliegel, L., Pervaiz, S., Clément, M.V.** 2006. Reactive oxygen species-mediated regulation of the Na<sup>+</sup>-H<sup>+</sup> exchanger 1 gene expression connects intracellular redox status with cells' sensitivity to death triggers. Cell Death Differ. 13:628-641.

**Al-Daoude, A., Arabi, M.I.E., Ammouneh, H.** 2009. Studying *Erwinia amylovora* isolates from Syria for copper resistante and streptomycin sensitivity. J. Plant Pathol. 91:203-205.

Aldwinckle, H.S. and Beer, S.V. 1979. Fire blight and its control. Hortic. Rev. 1:423-474.

**Alexander, E., Pham, D., Steck, TR.** 1999. The viable-butnonculturable condition is induced by copper in *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* and *Rhizobium leguminosarum*. Appl. Environ. Microb. 65:3754-3756. Altschul, S.F., Madden, T.L., Schaffer, A.A., Zhang, J., Zhand, Z., Miller, W., Lipman, D.J. 1997. Gapped BLAST and PSI-BLAST: a new generation of protein database search programs. Nucleic Acids Res. 25:3389-3402.

**Ark, P.A.** 1958. Control of fireblight of pear with Agrimycin formulations. Plant Dis. Rep. 42:1397-1398.

**Bagai, I., Rensing, C., Blackburn, N.J., McEvoy, M.M.** 2008. Direct metal transfer between periplasmic proteins identifies a bacterial copper chaperone. Biochemistry 47:11408-11414.

Baicu, T., Severin, V., Braniste, N., Rachiteanu, A., Amzat, V., van der Zwet, T. 1994. First occurrence of fire blight in Romania. EPPO Bulletin 24:129-134.

**Baker, K.F.** 1971. Fire blight of pome fruits: the genesis of the concept that bacteria can be pathogenic to plants. Hilgardia 40:603-633.

**Bastas, K.K., Maden, S., Katircioglu, Y.Z., Boyraz, N.** 2010. Determination of application time for chemical control of fire blight disease in pear varieties. J. Agr. Sci. 16:150-161.

**Bastas, K.K. and Sahin, F.** 2014. Screening of blackberrys and raspberrys cultivars for susceptibility to fire blight disease in Turkey. Hortscience 49:1492-1497.

**Battesti, A., Majdalani, N., Gottesman, S.** 2011. The RpoS-mediated general stress response in *Escherichia coli*. Annu. Rev. Microbiol. 65:189-213.

**Beech, I.B. and Sunner, J.** 2004. Biocorrosion: towards understanding interactions between biofilms and metals. Curr. Opin. Biotechnol. 15: 181-186.

**Belleman, P., Bereswill, S., Berger, S., Geider, K.** 1994. Visualization of capsule formation by *Erwinia amylovora* and assays to determine amylovoran synthesis. Int. J. Biol. Macromol. 16:290-296.

**Bereswill, S., Jock, S., Bellemann, P., Geider, K.** 1998. Identification of *Erwinia amylovora* by growth in the presence of copper sulfate and bycapsule staining with lectin. Plant Dis. 82:158-164.

Bernhard, F., Schullerus, D., Belleman, P., Geider, K., Nimtz, M., Majerczak, D.R., Coplin, D.L. 1996. Genetics and complementation of DNA regions involved in amylovoran synthesis of *Erwinia amylovora* and stewartan synthesis of *Erwinia stewartii*. Acta Hort. 411:269-274.

**Bertani, G.** 1951. Studies on lysogenesis. I. The mode of phage liberation by lysogenic *Escherichia coli*. J. Bacteriol. 62:293-300.

**Billing, E., Baker, L.A.E., Crosse, J.E., Garret, C.M.E.** 1961. Characteristics of English isolates of *Erwinia amylovora* (Burrill) Winslow *et al.* J. Appl. Bacteriol. 24:195-211.

**Billing, E. and Berrie, A.M.** 2002. A re-examination of fire blight epidemiology in England. Acta Hort. 590:61-67.

**Biosca, E.G., Marco-Noales, E., Ordax, M., López, M.M.** 2006. Longterm starvation-survival of *Erwinia amylovora* in sterile irrigation water. Acta Hort. 704:107-112.

**Blom, J., Albaum, S.P., Doppmeier, D., Pühler, A., Vorhölter, F.J. Zakrzewski, M., Goesmann, A.** 2009. EDGAR: a software framework for the comparative analysis of prokaryotic genomes. BMC Bioinformatics 10:154.

**Bloomfield, S.F., Stewart, G.S.A.B., Dodd, C.E.R., Booth, I.R., Power, E.G.M.** 1998. The viable but non-culturable phenomenon explained? Microbiology 144:1-3.

**Bobev, S.** 1990. Fire blight of tree fruits in Bulgaria –a characterization of its pathogen. Higher Institute of Agricultural and Scientific Works 35:227-231.

**Bocsanczy, A.M., Nissinen, R.M., Oh, C.S., Beer, S.V.** 2008. HrpN of *Erwinia amylovora* functions in the translocation of DspA/E into plant cells. Mol. Plant Pathol. 9:425-434.

**Bogdanove, A.J., Bauer, D.W., Beer, S.V.** 1998a. *Erwinia amylovora* secretes DspE, a pathogenicity factor and functional AvrE homolog, through the Hrp (type III secretion) pathway. J. Bacteriol. 180:2244-2247.

**Bogdanove, A.J., Kim, J.F., Wei, Z., Kolchinsky, P., Charkowski, A.O., Conlin, A.K., Collmer, A., Beer, S.V.** 1998b. Homology and functional similarity of an *hrp*-linked pathogenicity locus, *dspEF*, of *Erwinia amylovora* and the avirulence locus *avrE* of *Pseudomonas syringae* pathovar *tomato*. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 95:1325-1330.

**Bogs, J. and Geider, K.** 2000. Molecular analysis of sucrose metabolism of *Erwinia amylovora* and influence on bacterial virulence. J. Bacteriol. 182:5351-5358.

**Boletin Oficial del Estado (BOE).** 1999. Real Decreto 1201/1999, de 9 de Julio, por el que se establece el programa nacional de erradicación y control del fuego bacteriano de las rosáceas. BOE, 184 1999:28784-28788

**Boletin Oficial del Estado (BOE).** 2005. Real Decreto 58/2005, de 21 de enero, por el que se adoptan medidas de protección contra la introducción y difusión en el territorio nacional y de la Comunidad Europea de organismos nocivos para los vegetales o productos vegetales, así como para la exportación y tránsito hacia países terceros. BOE 19, 2005:2583-2665.

**Boletin Oficial del Estado (BOE).** 2012. Real Decreto 1311/2012, de 14 de septiembre, por el que se establece el marco de actuación para conseguir un uso sostenible de los productos fitosanitarios. BOE 223, 2012:65127-65171.

Borecki, Z., Basak, W., Zawadzka, B., Millikan, D. 1967. Fire blight in continental Europe. Plant Dis. Rep. 51:3.

**Borkow, G. and Gabbay, J.** 2009. Copper, an ancient remedy returning to fight microbial, fungal and viral infections. Curr. Chem. Biol. 3:272-278.

Boureau, T., el Maarouf-Bouteau, H., Garnier, A., Brisset, M.N., Perino, C., Pucheu, I., Barny, M.A. 2006. DspA/E, a type III effector essential for *Erwinia amylovora* pathogenicity and growth *in planta*, induces cell death in host apple and nonhost tobacco plants. Mol. Plant-Microbe Interact. 19:16-24.

Boureau, T., Siamer, S., Perino, C., Gaubert, S., Patrit, O., Degrave, A., Fagard, M., Chevreau, E., Barny, M.A. 2011. The HrpN effector of *Erwinia amylovora*, which is involved in type III translocation, contributes directly or indirectly to callose elicitation on apple leaves. Mol. Plant-Microbe Interact. 24:577-584.

**Burrill, T.J.** 1883. New species of Micrococcus. Am. Naturalist. 17:319.

**Burse, A. Weingart, H., Ullrich, M.S.** 2004. NorM, an *Erwinia amylovora* multidrug efflux pump involved in *in vitro* competition with other epiphytic bacteria. Appl. Environ. Microb. 70:693-703.

**Briffaerts, K., Daemen, E., Deckers, T.** 1996. Biologische bestrijding van bacterievuur in de fruitteelt. Parasitica 52:163-175.

**Brisou, J.F.** 1995. Biofilms: methods for enzymatic release of microorganism. CRC press.

**Brisset, M.N. and Paulin, J.P.** 1991. Relationships between electrolyte leakage from Pyrus communis and virulence of *Erwinia amylovora*. Physiol. Mol. Plant Pathol. 39:443-453.

**Cabrefiga, J. and Montesinos, E.** 2005. Analysis of aggressiveness of *Erwinia amylovora* using disease-dose and time relationships. Phytopathology 95:1430-1437.

**Cabrefiga, J., Bonaterra, A., Montesinos, E.** 2007. Mechanisms of antagonism of *Pseudomonas fluorescens* EPS62e against *Erwinia amylovora*, the causal agent of fire blight. Int. Microbiol. 10:123-132.

**Cabrefiga, J., Francés, J., Montesinos, E., Bonaterra, A.** 2011. Improvement of fitness and efficacy of a fire blight biocontrol agent via nutritional enhancement combined with osmoadaptation. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 77:3174-3181. **Cabrefiga, J., Frances, J., Montesinos, E., Bonaterra, A.** 2014. Improvement of a dry formulation of *Pseudomonas fluorescens* EPS62e for fire blight disease biocontrol by combination of culture osmoadaptation with a freeze-drying lyoprotectant. J. Appl. Microbiol. 117:1122-1131.

**Cabrefiga, J. and Montesinos, E.** 2017. Lysozyme enhances the bactericidal effect of BP100 peptide against *Erwinia amylovora*, the causal agent of fire blight of rosaceous plants. BMC Microbiol. 17:39.

**Cariddi, C. and Piglionica, V.** 1992. First record of fire blight in Italy. Phytoparasitica 19:265-266.

**Cesbron, S., Paulin, J.P., Tharaud, M., Barny, M.A., Brisset, M.N.** 2006. The alternative sigma factor HrpL negatively modulates the flagellar system in the phytopathogenic bacterium *Erwinia amylovora* under hrp-inducing conditions. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. 257:221-227.

**Chiou, C. and Jones, A.L.** 1991. The analysis of plasmid-mediated resistance in *Erwinia amylovora*. Phytopathology 81:710-714.

**Chiou, C.S. and Jones, A.L.** 1993. Nucleotide sequence analysis of a transposon (Tn*5393*) carrying streptomycin resistance genes in *Erwinia amylovora* and other gram-negative bacteria. J. Bacteriol. 175:732-740.

**Civerolo, E. L.** 1982. Disease management by cultural practices and environmental control. In: Phytopathogenic prokaryotes. New York Academic.

Cleiss-Arnold, J., Koechler, S., Proux, C., Fardeau, M.L., Dillies, M.A., Coppee, J.Y., Arsène-Ploetze, F., Bertin, P.N. 2010. Temporal transcriptomic response during arsenic stress in *Herminiimonas arsenicoxydans*. BMC Genomics 11:709.

**Cock, P.J.A., Antao, T., chang, J.T., Chapman, B.A., Cox, C.J., Dalke, A., Friedberg, I., Hamelryck, T., Kauff, F., Wilczynski, B., Hoon, M.J.** 2009. Biopython: freely available Python tools for computational molecular biology and bioinformatics. Bioinformatics 25:1422-1423. **Corbett, D., Schuler, S., Glenn, S., Andrew, P.W., Cavet, J.S., Roberts, I.S.** 2011. The combined actions of the copper-responsive repressor CsoR and copper-metallochaperone CopZ modulate CopA-mediated copper efflux in the intracellular pathogen *Listeria monocytogenes*. Mol. Microbiol. 81:457-472.

**Crosse, J.E., Bennett, M., Garrett, C.M.E.** 1958. Fire blight of pear in England. Ann. Appl. Biol. 48:541-558.

**Datsenko, K.A. and Wanner, B.L.** 2000. One-step inactivation of chromosomal genes in *Escherichia coli* K-12 using PCR products. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 97:6640-6645.

**DebRoy, S., Thilmony, R., Kwack, Y.B., Nomura, K., He, S.Y.** 2004. A family of conserved bacterial effectors inhibits salicylic acid-mediated basal immunity and promotes disease necrosis in plants. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 101:9927-9932.

**Decho, A.W.** 1994. Exopolymers in microbial mats: assessing their adaptative roles. Microbial Mats. 35:215-219.

**Deckers, T. and Porreye, W.** 1987. Faites saillants observés en 1985, 1986, et 1987 sur le feu bactérien et leur implication sur la lutte chimique. Fruit Belge 55:300-306.

**Deckers, T., Geenen, J., P., Porreye, W.** 1987. Strategy for fire blight control (*Erwinia amylovora* (Burr.). Winslow *et al.* under natural infection conditions. Acta Hortic. 217:203-210.

**de Bernonville, T.D., Marolleau, B., Staub, J., Gaucher, M., Brisset, M.N.** 2014. Using molecular tools to decipher the complex world of plant resistance inducers: an apple case study. J. Agr. Food Chem. 62:11403-11411.

**de Brujin, F.J.** 2016. Stress and environmental regulation of gene expression and adaptation in bacteria. Wiley-Blackwell.

del Campo, R., Russi, P., Mara, P., Mara, H., Peyrou, M., de León, I. P. and Gaggero, C. 2009. *Xanthomonas axonopodis* pv. *citri* enters the VBNC state after copper treatment and retains its virulence. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. 298:143-148.

**de la Cruz Blanco, J.** 1996. Lucidencias climáticas y fitosanitarias en los cultivos españoles durante 1995. Phytoma-España 78:22-27.

**Delmar, J.A., Su, C.C., Yu, E.W.** 2013. Structural mechanisms of heavy-metal extrusion by the Cus efflux system. Biometals 26:593-607.

**Dellagi, A., Brisset, M.N., Paulin, J.P., Expert, D.** 1998. Dual role of desferrioxamine in *Erwinia amylovora* pathogenicity. Mol. Plant-Microbe Interact. 11:734-742.

**Diario Official de las Comunidades Europeas (DOCE).** 2000. Directiva 2000/29/CE del Consejo de 8 de mayo de 2000 relativa a las medidas de protección contra la introducción en la Comunidad de organismos nocivos para los vegetales o productos vegetales y contra su propagación en el interior de la Comunidad. DOCE 169:1-112.

**Djoko, K.Y., Xiao, Z., Huffman, D.L., Wedd, A.G.** 2007. Conserved mechanism of copper binding and transfer. A comparision of the copper-resistance proteins PcoC from *Escherichia coli* and CopC from *Pseudomonas syringae*. Inorg. Chem. 46:4560-4568.

**Dollwet, H.H.A. and Sorenson, J.R.J.** 1985. Historic uses of copper compounds in medicine. Trace Elem. Med. 2:80-87.

**Donat, V., Biosca, E.G., Peñalver, J., López, M.M.** 2007. Exploring diversity among Spanish strains of *Erwinia amylovora* and possible infection sources. J. Appl. Microbiol. 103:1639-1649.

**Dong, T., Kirchhof, M.G., Schellhorn, H.E.** 2008. RpoS regulation of gene expression during exponential growth of *Escherichia coli* K12. Mol. Genet. Genomics 279:267-277.

**Dong, T. and Schellhorn, H.E.** 2009. Control of RpoS in global gene expression of *Escherichia coli* in minimal media. Mol. Genet. Genomics 281:19-33.

**Dunegan, J.C. and Doolittle, S.P.** 1953. How fungicides have been developed. Year book Agr.

**Dunegan, J.C., Kienholtz, J.R., Wilson, R.A., Morris, W.T.** 1954. Control of pear blight by a streptomycin-Terramycin mixture. Plant Dis. Rep. 38:666-669.

**Dupont, C.L., Grass, G., Rensing, C.** 2011. Copper toxicity and the origin of bacterial resistance: new insights and applications. Metallomics 11:1109-1118.

**Eastgate, J.A.** 2000. *Erwinia amylovora*: The molecular basis of fireblight disease. Mol. Plant Pathol. 1:325-329.

**Edmunds, A.C., Castiblanco, L.F., Sundin, G.W., Waters, C.M.** 2013. Cyclic di-GMP modulates the disease progression of *Erwinia amylovora*. J. Bacteriol. 195:2155-2165.

**Elguindi, J., Hao, X., Lin, Y., Alwathnani, H.A., Wei, G., Rensing, C.** 2011. Advantages and challenges of increased antimicrobial copper use and copper mining. Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 91:237-249.

**El-Helaly, A.F., Abo-El-Dahab, M.K., El-Goorani, M.A.** 1964. The occurrence of the fire blight disease of pear in Egypt. Phytopathol. Mediterr. 3:156-163.

**English, A.R. and van Halsema, G.** 1954. A note on the delay in the emergence of resistant *Xanthomonas* and *Erwinia* strains by the use of streptomycin plus Terramycin combinations. Plant Dis. Rep. 38:429-431.

**European and Mediterranean Plant Protection Organization (EPPO) Bulletin.** 2013. Diagnostic. PM 7/20 (2)\* *Erwinia amylovora* 43:21-45. https://www.eppo.int/DATABASES/pqr/pqr.htm **European and Mediterranean Plant Protection Organization (EPPO).** 2017. EPPO Plant Quarantine Retrieval System. Online distribution and distribution map of *Erwinia amylovora*. https://www.eppo.int/DATABASES/pgr/pgr.htm

**Espirito Santo, C., Lam, E.W., Elowsky, C.G., Quaranta, D., Domaille, D.W., Chang, C.J., Grass, G.** 2011. Bacterial killing by dry metallic copper surfaces. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 77:794-802.

**Farkas, A., Mihalik, E., Dorgai, L., Buban, T.** 2012. Floral traits affecting fire blight infection and management. Trees-Struct. Funct. 26:47-66.

**Fatmi, M., Bougsiba, M., Saoud, H.** 2008. First report of fire blight caused by *Erwinia amylovora* on pear, apple and quince in Morocco. Plant Dis. 92:314.

**Feistner, G.J., Stahl, D.C., Gabrik, A.H.** 1993. Proferrioxamine siderophores of *Erwinia amylovora*: A capillary liquid chromatographic/electrospray tandem mass spectrometry study. Org. Mass Spectrom. 28:163-175.

**Feistner, G.J.** 1995. Proferrioxamine synthesis in *Erwinia amylovora* in response to precursor of hydroxylysine feeding: Metabolic profiling with liquid chromatography-electrospray mass spectrometry. Biometals 8:318-327.

**Festa, R.A. and Thiele, D.J.** 2012. Copper at the front line of the Host-Pathogen battle. PLoS pathogens:e1002887.

Filipe, C.D.M., Grady, C.P.L., Daigger, G.T., Lim, H.C. 1999. Biological wastewater treatment. Inc. New York.

**Fischer, M.** 2012. Fire blight: a whip for fruit production? Erwerbs-Obstbau 54:63-67. **Floyd, R.M.** 1991. Bordeaux mixture and similar copper fungicides. Department of Agriculture – Western Australia. Farmnote 78. http://agric.firstsoftwaresolutions.com/resultbyField.jsp?term=Floyd %2C+R.+M.+(Western+Australian+Dept.+of+Agriculture%2C+Perth.+ Plant+Pathology+Branch)&field=author&displayFormat=BIBLIOGRAP HY

**Fones, H. and Preston, G.M.** 2012. The impact of transition metals on bacterial plant disease. FEMS Microbiol. Rev. 37:495-519.

**Foster, G.C., McGhee, G.C., Jones, A.L., Sundin, G.W.** 2004. Nucleotide sequences, genetic organization, and distribution of pEU30 and pEL60 from *Erwinia amylovora*. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 70:7539-7544.

**Franke, S., Grass, G., Nies, D.H.** 2001. The product of the *ybdE* gene of the *Escherichia coli* chromosome is involved in detoxification of silver ions. Microbiology 147:965-972.

**Franke, S., Grass, G., Rensing, C., Nies, D. H.** 2003. Molecular analysis of the copper-transporting efflux system CusCFBA of *Escherichia coli*. J. Bacteriol. 185:3804-3812.

**Garrett, K.A. and Schwartz, H.F.** 1998. Epiphytic *Pseudomonas syringae* on dry beans treated with copper-based bactericides. Plant Dis. 82:30-35.

**Gardner, M.W. and Ark, P.A.** 1924. Early references to pear blight in California. Plant Dis. Rep. 48:871-872.

**Gardiner, J.C.** 1951-1957. Control of fire blight on apple and pear. Can. Dep. Agric. Annu. Rep. (1951-2952): 31; (1953-1954): 34; (1954-1955):32-33; (1955-1956):36; (1956-1957):40-41.

**Geddie, J.L. and Sutherland, I. W.** 1993. Uptake of metals by bacterial polysaccharides. J. Appl. Bacteriol. 74:467-472.

**Geider, K., Zhang, Y., Ullrich, H., Langlotz, C.** 1999. Expression of virulence factors secreted by *Erwinia amylovora*. Acta Hortic. 489:347-351.

**Ghezzi, J.I. and Steck, T.R.** 1999. Induction of the viable but nonculturable condition in *Xanthomonas campestris* pv. *campestris* in liquid microcosms and sterile soil. FEMS Microbiol. Ecol. 30:203-208.

**Giayetto, A. and Rossini, M.** 2011. Prediction of symptoms occurrence or fire blight (*Erwinia amylovora*) by the MARYBLYT<sup>™</sup> program in Rio Negro, Argentina. Acta Hort. 909:517-520.

**Golmohammadi, M., Cubero, J., López, M.M., LLop, P.** 2013. The viable but non-culturable state in *Xanthomonas citri* subsp. *citri* is a reversible state induced by low nutrient availability and copper stress conditions. J. Life Sci. 7:1019-1029.

**Goodman, R.N. and Novacky, A.J.** 1994. The hypersensitive reaction in plants to pathogens. APS.

**Grass, G. and Rensing, C.** 2001. CueO is a multi-copper oxidase that confers copper tolerance in *Escherichia coli*. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 286:902-908.

**Greenberg, J.T., Monach, P., Chou, J.H., Josephy, P.D., Demple, B.** 1990. Positive control of a global antioxidant defense regulon activated by superoxide-generating agents in *Escherichia coli*. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 87:6181-6185.

**Grey, B.E. and Steck, T.R.** 2001. The viable but nonculturable state of *Ralstonia solanacearum* may be involved in long-term survival and plant infection. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 67:3866-3872.

**Gudipaty, S.A., Larsen, A.S., Rensing, C., McEvoy, M.M.** 2012. Regulation of  $Cu^+/Ag^+$  efflux genes in *Escherichia coli* by the sensor kinase CusS. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. 330:30-37.

Halliwell, B. and Gutteridge, J.M. 1990. Role of free radicals and catalytic metal ions human disease: an overview. Methods. Enzymol. 186:1-85.

204

Halliwell, B. and Gutteridge, J.M. 1984. Oxygen toxicity, oxygen radicals, transition metals and disease. Biochem. J. 219:1-14.

Hannou, L., Llop, P., Faure, D., Lopez, M.M., Moumni, M. 2013. Characterization of *Erwinia amylovora* strains from Middle Atlas Mountains in Morocco by PCR based on tandem repeat sequences. Eur. J. Plant Pathol. 136:665-674.

Hattingh, M.J., Beer, S.V., Lawson, E.W. 1986. Scanning electron microscopy of apple blossoms colonized by *Erwinia amylovora* and *E. herbicola*. Phytopathology 76:900-904.

**He, S.Y., Bauer, D.W., Collmer, A., Beer, S.V.** 1994. Hypersensitive response elicited by *Erwinia amylovora* harpin requires active plant metabolism. Mol. Plant-Microbe Interact. 7:289-292.

**Helbig, K., Bleuel, C., Krauss, G.J., Nies, D. H.** 2008. Glutathione and transition-metal homeostasis in *Escherichia coli*. J. Bacteriol. 190:5431-5438.

**Hengge-Aronis, R., Klein, W., Lange, R., Rimmele, M., Boos, W.** 1991. Trehalose synthesis genes are controlled by the putative sigma factor encoded by *rpoS* and are involved in stationary-phase thermotolerance in *Escherichia coli*. J. Bacteriol. 173:7918-7924.

**Hernández-Montes, G., Argüello, J.M., Valderrama, B.** 2012. Evolution and diversity of periplasmic proteins involved in copper homeostasis in gamma proteobacteria. BMC Microbiol. 12:249-262.

**Hevesi, M.** 1996. Az *Erwinia amylovora* (Burrill) Winslow *et al.* hazai meg jelenese alman. Novehyvedelem 32:225-228.

**Hickey, K.D., May, J., McGlaughlin, E.** 1998. Fire blight incidence and phytotoxicity to leaves and fruit on "Rome Beauty" trees sprayed with antibiotics and copper compounds in 1997. Fungic. Nematicide Tests 53:17.

**Higdon, R.J.** 1954. Antibiotic control of fire blight. Oreg. State Hortic. Soc. Proc. 69:47-48.

**Hildebrand, M., Aldridge, P., Geider, K.** 2006. Characterization of *hns* genes from *Erwinia amylovora*. Mol. Gen. Genomics 275:310-319.

Hiniker, A., Collet, J.F., Bardwell, J.C.A. 2005. Copper stress causes an *in vivo* requirement for the *Escherichia coli* disulfide isomerase DsbC. J. Biol. Chem. 280:33785-33791.

Hogg, J.S., Hu, F.Z., Janto, B., Boissy, R. Hayes, J., Keefe, R., Post, J.C., Ehrlich, G.D. 2007. Characterization and modeling of the *Haemophilus influenza*e core and supragenomes based on the complete genomic sequences of Rd and 12 clinical nontypeable strains. Genome Biol. 8:103.

Holt, J.G., Krieg, N.R., Sneath, P.H.A., Staley, J.T., Williams, S.T. 1994. Bergey's manual of determinative bacteriology. Williams and Wilkins.

Imlay, J.A. and Linn, S. 1988. DNA damage and oxygen radical toxicity. Science 240:1302-1309.

Ismail, E., Blom, J., Bultreys, A., Ivanovic, M., Obradovic, A., van Doorn, J., Bergsma-Vlami, M., Maes, M., Willems, A., Duffy, B., Stockwell, V.O., Smits, T.H.M., Pulawska, J. 2014. A novel plasmid pEA68 of *Erwinia amylovora* and the description of a new family of plasmids. Arch. Microbiol. 196:891-899.

**Ivanovic, M., Obradovic, A., Gasic, K., Minsavage, G.V., Dickstein, E.R., Jones, J.B.** 2012. Exploring diversity of *Erwinia amylovora* population in Serbia by conventional and automated techniques and detection of new PFGE patterns. Eur. J. Plant Pathol. 133:545-557.

**Janse, JD.** 2004. Management of bacterial diseases of plants: regulatory aspects. In: Encyclopedia of plant and crop science. Inc. New York.

Janse, JD. 2005. Phytobacteriology: principles and practice. CABI Publishing.

Jiang, B.L., Liu, J., Chen, L.F., Ge, Y.Y., Hang, X.H., He, Y.Q., Tang, D.J., Lu, G.T., Tang, J.L. 2008. DsbB is required for the pathogenesis process of *Xanthomonas campestris* pv. *campestris*. Mol. Plant-Microbe Interact. 21:1036-1045.

Jock, S., Rodoni, B., Gillings, M., Kim, W.S., Copes, C., Merriman, P., Geider, K. 2000. Screening of ornamental plants from the Botanic Gardens of Melbourne and Adelaide for the occurrence of *Erwinia amylovora*. Australas. Plant Path. 29:120-128.

Johnson, D.B., Stockwell, V.O., McLaughlin, M.J., Sugar, D., Loper, J.E., Roberts, R.G. 1993. Effect of bacterial antagonists on establishment of honey bee-dispersed *Erwinia amylovora* in pear blossoms and on fire blight control. Phytopathology 83:995-1002.

**Johnson, D.B. and Stockwell, V.O.** 1998. Management of fire blight: a case study in microbial ecology. Ann. Rev. Phytopathol. 36:227-248.

**Johnson, D.B. and Temple, T.N.** 2013. Evaluation of strategies for fire blight control in organic pome fruit without antibiotics. Plant Dis. 97:402-409.

Kachadourian, R., Dellagi, A., Laurent, J., Bricard, L., Kunesch, G., Expert, D. 1996. Desferrioxamine-dependent iron transport in *Erwinia amylovora* CFBP 1430: Cloning of the gene encoding the ferrioxamine receptor FoxR. Biometals 9:143-150.

Karunakaran, R., Ramachandran, V.K., Seaman, J.C., East, A.K., Mouhsine, B., Mauchline, T.H., Prell, J., Skeffington, A., Poole, P.S. 2009. Transcriptomic analysis of *Rhizobium leguminosarum* biovar *viciae* in symbiosis with host plants *Pisum sativum* and *Vicia cracca*. J. Bacteriol. 191:4002-4014.

**Keil, H.L.** 1963. Fire blight of apple and its control. Mountaineer Grow 34:17-23.

Kell, B.D., Kaprelyants, A.S., Weichart, D.H., Harwood, C.R., Barer, M.R. 1998. Viability and activity in readily culturable bacteria: a review and discussion of the practical issues. Antonie Leeuwenhoek 73:169-187.

**Keyer, K. and Imlay, J. A.** 1996. Superoxide accelerates DNA damage by elevating free-iron levels. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 93:13635-13640.

**Kim, W.S. and Geider, K.** 1999. Analysis of variable short sequence DNA repeats on the 29kb plasmid of *Erwinia amylovora* strains. Eur. J. Plant Pathol. 105:703-713.

**Kim, J.F., Bogdanove, A.J., Bauer, D.W., Wei, Z.M., Beer, S.V.** 1997a. Hrp-secreted proteins and avirulence proteins homologs of *Erwinia amylovora*. Phytopathology 87:52.

**Kim, J.F., Wei, Z.M., Beer, S.V.** 1997b. The *hrpA* and *hrpC* operons of *Erwinia amylovora* encode components of a type III pathway that secretes harpin. J. Bacteriol. 179:1690-1697.

**Kim, J.F., Zumoff, C.H., Beer, S.V.** 1997c. HrpW, a new harpin of *Erwinia amylovora* is a member of a family of pectate lyases. Phytopathology 87:52.

**Kim, J.F. and Beer, S.V.** 2000. Hrp genes and harpins of *Erwinia amylovora*: A decade of discovery. In: Fire Blight: The disease and its causative agent, *Erwinia amylovora*. CABI Publishing

**Kimura, T. and Nishioka, H.** 1997. Intracellular generation of superoxide by copper sulphate in *Escherichia coli*. Mutat. Res. 389:237-242.

**King, E.O., Ward, M.K., Raney, D.E.** 1954. Two simple media for demonstration of pyocyanin and fluorescin. J. Lab. Clin. Med. 44:301-307.

Klement, Z. 1982. Hypersensitivity. In: Phytopathogenic. Inc. New York.

**Koczan, J.M., McGrath, M.J., Zhao, Y., Sundin, G.W.** 2009. Contribution of *Erwinia amylovora* exopolysaccharides amylovoran and levan to biofilm formation: implications in pathogenicity. Phytopathology 99:1237-1244. Kovach, M.E., Elzer, P.H., Hill, D.S., Robertson, G.T. Farris, M.A., Roop, R.M., Peterson, K.M. 1995. Four new derivates of the broadhost-range cloning vector pBBR1MCS, carrying different antibioticresistance cassettes. Gene 166:175-176.

Laala, S., Manceau, C., Valentini, E., Kerkoud, M., Kheddam, M. 2012. Fire blight survey and first characterization of *Erwinia amylovora* isolates from Algeria. J. Plant Pathol. 94:693-696.

**Lacour, S. and Landini, P.** 2004. SigmaS-dependent gene expression at the onset of stationary phase in *Escherichia coli*: function of sigmaS-dependent genes and identification of their promoter sequences. J. Bacteriol. 186:7186-7195.

**Landini, P., Egli, T., Wolf, J., Lacour, S.** 2014. sigma S, a major player in the response to environmental stresses in *Escherichia coli*: role, regulation and mechanisms of promoter recognition. Env. Microbiol. Rep. 6:1-13.

Lange, R. and Hengge-Aronis, R. 1991. Identification of a central regulator of stationary-phase gene expression in *Escherichia coli*. Mol. Microbiol. 5:49-59.

**Lanthier, M.** 2011. Summer outbreaks of fire blight in tree nurseries in South Alberta, Canada. Acta Hort. 896:293-300.

**Lee, J.H., Sundin, G.W., Zhao, Y.** 2016. Identification of the HrpS binding site in the *hrpL* promoter and effect of the RpoN binding site of HrpS on the regulation of the type III secretion system in *Erwinia amylovora*. Mol. Plant Pathol. 17:691-702.

**Lelliott, R.A.** 1959. Fire blight of pears in England. Agriculture 65:564-568.

**Lemire, J.A., Harrison, J.J., Turner, R.J.** 2013. Antimicrobial activity of metals: mechanisms, molecular targets and applications. Nat. Rev. Microbiol. 11:371-384.

**Li, W., Anocona, V., Zhao, Y.F.** 2014. Co-regulation of polysaccharide production, motility, and expression of type III secretion genes by EnvZ/OmpR and GrrS/GrrA systems in *Erwinia amylovora*. Mol. Gen. Genomics 289:63-75.

**Lindow, S.E., McGourty, G., Elkins, R.** 1996. Interactions of antibiotics with *Pseudomonas fluorescens* strain A506 in the control of fire blight and frost injury to pear. Phytopathology 86:841-848.

**Litz, R.E., Padilla, G.** 2012. Genetic transformation of fruit trees. In: Genomics of tree crops. Springer Science and Bussiness Media.

**Loewen, P.C., Triggs, B.L., George, C.S., Hrabarchuk, B.E.** 1985. Genetic mapping of *katG*, a locus that affects synthesis of the bifunctional catalase-peroxidase hydroperoxidase I in *Escherichia coli*. J. Bacteriol. 162:661-667.

López, M.M., Gorris, M.T., Llop, P., Cambra, M., Rosello, M., Berra, D., Borruel, M., Plaza, B., Garcia, P., Palomo, J.L. 1999. Fire blight in Spain: Situation and monitoring. Acta Hortic. 489:187-191.

López, M.M., Llop, P., Rico, A., Ortiz, A., Murillo, J., Donat, V., Peñalver, J., Llorente, I., Badosa, E., Montesinos, E. 2002. Chronicle of a disease foretold (that advances slowly): The 2001 Spanish situation. Acta Hortic. 590:35-38.

López, M.M., Bertolini, E., Olmos, A., Caruso, P., Gorris, M.T., Llop, P., Penyalver, R., Cambra, M. 2003. Innovative tools for detection of plant pathogenic viruses and bacteria. Int. Microbiol. 6:233-243.

**Llop, P., Barbé, S., López, M.M.** 2012. Functions and origin of plasmids in *Erwinia* species that are pathogenic to or epiphytically associated with pome fruit trees. Trees Struct. Funct. 26:31-46.

Llop, P., Cabrefiga, J., Smits, T.H.M., Dreo, T., Barbé, S., Pulawska, J., Bultreys, A., Blom, J., Duffy, B., Montesinos, E., López, M.M. 2011. Complete sequence, biogeography, and role in aggressiveness of a novel *Erwinia amylovora* plasmid pEI70. PLoS ONE 6:e28651. Llop, P., Donat, V., Rodríguez, M., Cabrefiga, J., Ruz, L., Palomo, J.L., Montesinos, E., López, M.M. 2006. An indigenous virulent strain of *Erwinia amylovora* lacking the ubiquitous plasmid pEA29. Phytopathology 96:900-907.

Loui, C., Alexander, C.C., Sangwei, L. 2009. Role of the ArcAB twocomponent system in the resistance of *Escherichia coli* to reactive oxygen stress. BMC Microbiol. 9:183.

**Luchi, S., and Weiner, L.** 1996. Cellular and molecular physiology of *Escherichia coli* in the adaptation to aerobic environments. J. Biochem. 6:1055-1063.

**Lushchak, V.I.** 2011. Adaptative response to oxidative stress: Bacteria, fungi, plants and animals. Comp. Biochem. Physiol. 153:175-190.

**Macomber, L., Rensing, C., Imlay, J.A.** 2007. Intracellular copper does not catalyze the formation of oxidative DNA damage in *Escherichia coli*. J. Bacteriol. 189:1616-1626.

**Macomber, L. and Imlay, J.A.** 2009. The iron-sulfur clusters of dehydratases are primary intracellular targets of copper toxicity. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 106:8344-8349.

Madar, D., Dekel, E., Bren, A., Zimmer, A., Porat, Z., Alon, U. 2013. Promoter activity dynamics in the lag phase of *Escherichia coli*. BMC Syst. Biol. 7:136.

Malnoy, M., Martens, S., Norelli, J.L., Barny, M.A., Sundin, G.W., Smits, T.H.M., Duffy, B. 2012. Fire blight: Applied genomic insights of the pathogen and host. Annu. Rev. Phytopathol. 50:475-494.

Mann, R.A., Smits, T.H.M., Bühlmann, A., Blom, J., Goesmann, A., Frey, J.E., Plummer, K.M., Beer, S.V., Luck, J., Duffy, B., Rodoni, B. 2013. Comparative genomics of 12 strains of *Erwinia amylovora* identifies a Pan-Genome with a large conserved core. PLoS ONE 8:e55644. **Martin, R.G., Rosner, J.L.** 2002. Genomics of the marA/SoxS/rob regulon of *Escherichia coli*: identification of directly activated promoters by application of molecular genetics and informatics to microarray data. Mol. Microbiol. 44:1611-1624.

Maxson-Stein, K., McGhee, G.C., Smith, J.J., Jones, A.L., Sundin, G.W. 2003. Genetic analysis of a pathogenic *Erwinia* sp. isolated from pear in Japan. Phytopathology 93:1393-1399.

**McCulloch, C.E. and Searle, S.R.** 2001. Generalized, linear and mixed models. Wiley.

**McGhee, G.C. and Jones, A.L.** 2000. Complete nucleotide sequence of ubiquitous plasmid pEA29 from *Erwinia amylovora* strain Ea88: gene organization and intraspecies variation. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 66:4897-4907.

**McGhee, G.C. and Sundin, G.W.** 2008. Thiamin biosynthesis and its influence on exopolysaccharide production: a new component of virulence identified on *Erwinia amylovora* plasmid pEA29. Acta Hort. 793:271-277.

**McManus, P.S. and Jones, A.L.** 1995. Genetic fingerprinting of *Erwinia amylovora* strains isolated from tree-fruit crops and *Rubus* spp. Phytopathology 85:1547-1553.

McNally, R.R., Toth, I.K., Cock, P.J.A., Pritchard, L., Hedley, P.E., Morris, J.A., Zhao, Y., Sundin, G.W. 2012. Genetic characterization of the HrpL regulon of the fire blight pathogen *Erwinia amylovora* reveals novel virulence factors. Mol. Plant Pathol. 13:160-173.

**Meijneke, C.A.R.** 1972. Perevuur en zijn verspreiding. Gewasbescherming 3:128-136.

**Merckaert, C., Thiry, M.E., Thiry, G.J., Ledous, L.** 1982. Characterization of the plasmids present in *Erwinia amylovora*. Arch. Int. Physiol. Biochem. 90:54-55. **Michels, H.T., Wilks, S.A., Noyce, J.O., Keevil, C.W.** 2005. Copper alloys for human infectious disease control. Materials and Technology Conference, September 25-28, Pittsburch, PA Copper for the 21<sup>st</sup> century symposium.

**Millardet, A.** 1885. The discovery of Bordeaux mixture. Translated from French by Schneiderhan FJ. APS.

http://www.apsnet.org/apsstore/shopapspress/Pages/40047.aspx

Mitchell, H.L., Dashper, S.G., Catmull, D.V., Paolini, R.A., Cleal, S.M., Slakeski, N., Tan, K.H., Reynolds, E.C. 2010. *Treponema denticola* biofilm-induced expression of a bacteriophage, toxin-antitoxin systems and transposases. Microbiology 156:774-788.

**Mitrev, S.** 1996. Fire blight of pomaceous fruit trees in Macedoniacharacteristics of the pathogen *Erwinia amylovora*. Acta Hort. 411:189.

Mols, M., van Kranenburg, R., Tempelaars, M.H., van Schaik, W., Moezelaar, R., Abee, T. 2010. Comparative analysis of transcriptional and physiological responses of *Bacillus cereus* to organic and inorganic acid shocks. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 137:13-21.

**Montesinos, E., Lopez, M.M., Murillo, J.** 1999. Importancia y situación actual del fuego bacteriano (*Erwinia amylovora*) en España: Epidemiología, daños y prevención. Phytoma 114:128-136.

Montesinos, E., Llorente, I., Badosa, E., Cabrefiga, J., Bonaterra, A., Ruiz, L., Moragrega, C., Frances, J. 2009. Sistemas de predicción y métodos de control del fuego bacteriano. In: El fuego bacteriano de las rosáceas (*Erwinia amylovora*). Ministerio de Medio Ambiente y Medio Rural y Marino.

**Munson, G.P., Lam, D.L., Outten, F.W., O'Halloran, T.V.** 2000. Identification of a copper-responsive two-component system on the chromosome of *Escherichia coli* K-12. J. Bacteriol. 182:5864-5871.

Myung, I.S., Lee, J. Y., Yun, M.J., Lee, Y.H., Lee, Y.K., Park, D.H., Oh, C.S. 2016. Fire blight of apple, caused by *Erwinia amylovora*, a new disease in Korea. Plant Dis. 100:1774.

**Netherlands Plant Protection Service.** 1966. A case of fire blight in The Netherlands. EMPPO.

**Nies, D.H. and Herzberg, M.** 2013. A fresh view of the cell biology of copper in Enterobacteria. Mol. Microbiol. 87:447-454.

Nimtz, M.L., Mort, A., Domke, T., Wray, V., Zhang, Y., Qiu, F., Coplin, D., Geider, K. 1996. Structure of amylovoran, the capsular exopolysaccharide from the fire blight pathogen *Erwinia amylovora*. Carbohydr. Res. 287:59-76.

**Nissinen, R.M., Ytterberg, A.J., Bogdanove, A.J., van Wijk, K.J. Beer, S.V.** 2007. Analyses of the secretomes of *Erwinia amylovora* and selected *hrp* mutants reveal novel type III secreted proteins and an effect of HrpJ on extracellular harpin levels. Mol. Plant Pathol. 8:55-67.

**Norelli, J.L., Aldwinckle, H.S., Beer, S.V.** 1984. Differential host x pathogen interactions among cultivars of apple and strains of *Erwinia amylovora*. Phytopathology 74:136-139.

**Oh, C.S., Kim, J.F., Beer, S.V.** 2005. The Hrp pathogenicity island of *Erwinia amylovora* and identification of three novel genes required for systemic infection. Mol. Plant Pathol. 6:125-138.

**Oh, C.S. and Beer, S.V.** 2005. Molecular genetics of *Erwinia amylovora* involved in the development of fire blight. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. 253:185-192.

**Ordax, M., Marco-Noales, E., López, M.M., Biosca, E.G.** 2006. Survival strategy of *Erwinia amylovora* against copper: induction of the viable-but-nonculturable state. App. Environ. Microbiol. 72:3482-3488.

**Ordax, M.** 2008. Supervivencia de *Erwinia amylovora* en condiciones de estrés: influencia de la presencia de cobre y la limitación de nutrientes. Universidad Politécnica de Valencia. Doctoral Thesis.

**Ordax, M., Marco-Noales, E., López, M.M., Biosca, E.G.** 2010. Exopolysaccharides favor the survival of *Erwinia amylovora* under copper stress through different strategies. Res. Microbiol. 161:549-555.

Ordax, M., Piquer-Salcedo, J.E., Santander, R.D., Sabater-Muñoz, B., Biosca, E.G., López, M.M., Marco-Noales, E. 2015. Medfly *Ceratitis capitata* as potential vector for fire blight pathogen *Erwinia amylovora*: survival and transmission. PLoS ONE 10:e0127560

**Outten, F.W., Outten, C.E., Hale, J. And O'Halloran, T.V.** 2000. Transcriptional activation of an *Escherichia coli* copper efflux regulon by the chromosomal MerR homolog, CueR. J. Biol. Chem. 275:31024-31029.

**Outten, F.W., Huffman, D.L., Hale, J.A., O'Halloran, T.V.** 2001. The independent cue and cus systems confer copper tolerance during aerobic and anaerobic growth in *Escherichia coli*. J. Biol. Chem. 276:30670-30677.

**Ozaktah, H. and Bora, T.** 2004. Biological control of fire blight in pear orchards with a formulation of *Pantoea agglomerans* strain Eh24. Braz. J. Microbiol. 35:224-229.

**Palacio-Bielsa, A. and Cambra, M.A.** 2009. El fuego bacteriano: la enfermedad. In: El fuego bacteriano de las rosáceas (*Erwinia amylovora*). Ministerio de Medio Ambiente y Medio Rural y Marino.

Palacio-Bielsa, A., Lopez-Quilez, A., Llorente, I., Ruz, L., Lopez, M.M., Cambra, M.A. 2012. Criteria for efficient prevention of dissemination and successful eradication of *Erwinia amylovora* (the cause of fire blight) in Aragon, Spain. Phytopathol. Mediterr. 51:505-518.

**Palmer, E.L., Teviotdale, B.L., Jones, A.L.** 1997. A relative of the broad-host-range plasmid RSF1010 detected in *Erwinia amylovora*. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 63:4604-4607.

**Pallás, V., Escobar, C., Rodríguez-Palenzuela, P., Marcos, J.F.** 2008. Herramientas biotecnológicas en fitopatología. Mundi-Prensa. **Park, S. and Ely, R.L.** 2008. Genome-wide transcriptional responses of *Nitrosomonas europaea* to zinc. Arch. Microbiol. 189:541-548.

**Parker, K.G., Fisher, E.G., Mills, W.D.** 1956. Fire blight on pome fruits and its control. Coll. Agric. Ext. Bull. 966.

Patten, C.L., Kirchhof, M.G., Schertzberg, M.R., Morton, R.A., Schellhorn, H.E. 2004. Microarray analysis of RpoS-mediated gene expression in *Escherichia coli* K-12. Mol. Genet. Genomics 272:580-591.

**Paulin, J.P.** 2000. *Erwinia amylovora*: general characteristics, biochemistry and serology. In: Fire blight. The disease and its causative agent, *Erwinia amylovora*. CABI Publishing.

**Pester, D., Milcevicova, R., Schaffer, J., Wilhelm, E., Blümel, S.** 2012. *Erwinia amylovora* expresses fast and simultaneously *hrp/dsp* virulence genes during flower infection on apple trees. PLoS ONE 7:e32583.

**Pffafl, M.W.** 2001. A new mathematical model for relative quantification in real-time RT-PCR. Nucleic Acids Res. 29:2002-2007.

**Pierstorff, A.L.** 1931. Studies on the fire-blight organism, *Bacillus amylovorus*. Agric. Exp. Stn. Mem. 136.

**Pinckard, J.A., Keitt, G.W., Riker, A.J.** 1936. Progress of spraying experiments for control of apple fire blight. Phytopathology 26:104.

**Pletzer, D., Schweizer, G., Weingart, H.** 2014. AraC/XylS family stress response regulators Rob, SoxS, PliA, and OpiA in the fire blight pathogen *Erwinia amylovora*. J. Bacteriol. 196:3098-3110.

Powney, R., Smits, T.H.M., Sawbridge, T., Frey, B., Blom, J., Frey, J.E., Plummer, K.M., Beer, S.V., Luck, J., Duffy, B., Rodoni, B. 2011. Genome sequence of an *Erwinia amylovora* strain with restricted pathogenicity to *Rubus* plants. J. Bacteriol. 193:785-786.
**Prevost, B.** 1807. Memoire sur la cause immediate de la carie ou charbon des bles, et de plusieurs autres maladies des plantes, et sur les preservatifs de la carie. Paris. English translation by G W Keitt as: Memoir on the immediate cause of bunt or smut of wheat, and of several other diseases of plants, and on preventives of bunt. APS.

**Psallidas, P.G. and Dimova, M.** 1986. Occurrence of the disease fire blight of pomaceous trees in Cyprus-characteristics of the pathogen *Erwinia amylovora*. Ann. Inst. Phytopathol. 15:61-70.

**Pusey, P.L.** 2002. Biological control agents for fire blight of apple compared under conditions limiting natural dispersal. Plant Dis. 86:639-644.

Quesada, J.M., Penyalver, R., Pérez-Penadés, J., Salcedo, C.I., Carbonell, E.A., Lopez, M.M. 2010. Comparison of chemical treatments for reducing epiphytic *Pseudomonas savastanoi* pv. *savastanoi* populations and for improving subsequent control of olive know disease. Crop Protect. 29:1413-1420.

**Rademacher, C. and Masepohl, B.** 2012. Copper-responsive gene regulation in bacteria. Microbiology 158:2451-2464.

**Rae, T.D., Schmidt, P.J., Pufahl, R.A., Culotta, V.C., O'Halloran, T.V.** 1999. Undetectable intracellular free copper: the requirement of a copper chaperone for superoxide dismutase. Science 284:805-808.

**Raimunda D., Padilla-Benavides T., Vogt, S., Boutigny, S., Tomkinson K.N., Finney, L.A., Argüello, J.M.** 2013. Periplasmic response upon disruption of transmembrane Cu<sup>+</sup> transport in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Metallomics 5:144-151.

**Rao, Y.M. and Sureshkumar, G.K.** 2000. Oxidative-stress-induced production of pyocyanin by *Xanthomonas campestris* and its effect on the indicator target organism, *Escherichia coli*. J. Ind. Microbiol. Biot. 25:266-272.

**Reiss, R., Ihssen, J., Richter, M., Eichhorn, E., Schilling, B., Thöny-Meyer, L.** 2013. Laccase versus laccase-like multi-copper oxidase: a comparative study of similar enzymes with diverse substrate spectra. PLoS ONE 8:e65633.

**Rensing, C., Fan, B., Sharma, R., Mitra, B., Rosen, B.** 2000. CopA: An *Escherichia coli* Cu(I)-translocating P-type ATPase. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 97:652-656.

**Rensing, C. and Grass, G.** 2003. *Escherichia coli* mechanisms of copper homeostasis in changing environment. FEMS Microbiol. Rev. 27:197-213.

**Rensing, C. and Franke-McDevitt, S.** 2013. The copper metallome in prokaryotic cells. In: Metal ions in life sciences. Springer Verlag.

**Rhouma, A., Helali, F., Chettaoui, M., Hajjouji, M., Hajlaoui, M.R.** 2014. First report of fire blight caused by *Erwinia amylovora* on pear in Tunisia. Plant Dis. 98:158.

**Ridge, P.G., Zhang, Y., Gadyshev, V.N.** 2008. Metallomics and the cell. PLoS ONE 3:e1378.

**Ros-Barceló, A., Gómez-Ros, L.V., Ferrer, M.A., Hernández, J.A.** 2006. The apoplastic antioxidant enzymatic system in the woodforming tissues of trees. Trees 20:145-156.

**Rosen, H. R.** 1929. The life history of the fire blight pathogen, *Bacillus amylovorus*, as related to the means of overwintering and dissemination. Ark. Agric. Exp. Stn. Bull. 244.

**Rosen, H.R.** 1932. Control of the blossom blight stage of fire blight. Science 76:447-448.

Rosen, H.R. 1934. Control of fire blight. Phytopathology 24:842.

**Rosen, H.R.** 1938. Life span and morphology of fire blight bacteria as influenced by relative humidity, temperature, and nutrition. J. Agr. Res. 56:239-258.

Rosen, H.R. and Groves, A.B. 1928. Studies on fire blight: Host range. J. Agric. Res. 37:493-505.

**Russell, P. E.** 2005. A century of fungicide evolution. J. Agr. Sci. 143:11-25.

Sakurai, T. and Kataoka, K. 2007. Basic and applied features of multicopper oxidases, CueO, bilirubin oxidase, and laccase. Chem. Rec. 7:220-229.

Salazar, C., Acosta, M., Galleguillos, P., Shmaryahu, A., Quatrini, R., Holmes, D.S., Demergasso, C. 2013. Analysis of gene expression in response to copper stress in *Acidithiobacillus ferrooxidans* strain D2, isolated from a copper bioleaching operation. Int. Sci. Ind. Knowledge Biohydromet. 825:157-161.

Sambrook, J., McCallum, P., Russell, D. 2000. Molecular cloning: A laboratory manual. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press.

**Sammartano, L.J., Tuveson, R.W., Davenport, R.** 1986. Control of sensitivity to inactivation by  $H_2O_2$  and broad-spectrum near-UV radiation by the *Escherichia coli katF* (*rpoS*) locus. J. Bacteriol. 168:13-21.

Sampayo, M. and Palazón, I. 1984. Prevention against fireblight in an uncontaminated country, Spain. Acta Hortic. 151:335-338.

Santander, R.D., Monte-Serrano, M., Rodríguez-Herva, J.J., López-Solanilla, E., Rodríguez-Palenzuela, P., Biosca, E.G. 2014. Exploring new roles for the *rpoS* gene in the survival and virulence of the fire blight pathogen *Erwinia amylovora*. FEMS Microbiol. Ecol. 90:895-907.

**Schnabel, E.L. and Jones, A.L.** 1998. Instability of a pEA29 marker in *Erwinia amylovora* previously used for strain classification. Plant Dis. 82:1334-1336.

Schroth, M.N., Thomson, S.V., Hildebrand, D.C., Moller, W.J. 1974. Epidemiology and control of fire blight. Annu. Rev. Phytopathol. 12:389-412.

**Schulthess, H.** 1761. Vorschlag einiger durch die Erfahrung bewa<sup>¬</sup> hrter Hilfsmittel gegen den Brand im Korn. Abhandlung Zurich Naturfor Schenden Gesellschaft 1:498-506.

Sebaihia, M., Bocsanczy, A.M., Biehl, B.S., Quail, M.A., Perna, N.T., Glasner, J.D., DeClerck, G.A., Cartinhour, S., Schneider, D.J., Bentley, S.D., Parkhill, J., Beer, S.V. 2010. Complete genome sequence of the plant pathogen *Erwinia amylovora* strain ATCC 49946. J. Bacteriol. 192:2020-2021.

Shafeeq, S., Yesilkaya, H., Kloosterman, T.G., Narayanan, G., Wandel, M., Andrew, P.W., Kuipers, O.P., Morrissey, J.A. 2011. The cop operon is required for copper homeostasis and contributes to virulence in *Streptococcus pneumoniae*. Mol. Microbiol. 81:1255-1270.

**Shaw, L.** 1934. Studies on resistance of apple and other rosaceous plants to fire blight. J. Agric. Res. 49:283-313.

**Sherbakoff, C.D. and Andes, J.O.** 1939. Apple and pear fire blight. Ten. Agric. Exp. Stn. Circ. 64.

**Sholberg, P.L., Bedford, K.E., Haag, P., Randall, P.** 2001. Survey of *Erwinia amylovora* isolates from British Columbia for resistance to bactericides and virulence on apple. Can. J. Plant Pathol. 23:60-67.

**Simon, R., Priefer, U., Pühler, A.** 1983. Vector plasmid for *in vivo* and *in vitro* manipulations of gram-negative bacteria. In: Molecular genetics of the Bacteria-Plant interaction. Springer-Verlag.

**Sjulin, T.M. and Beer, S.V.** 1978. Mechanism of wilt induction by amylovorin in cotoneaster shoots and its relation to wilting of shoots infected by *Erwinia amylovora*. Phytopathology 68:89-94.

Small, P., Blankenhorn, D., Welty, D., Zinser, E., Slonczewski, J.L. 1994. Acid and base resistance in *Escherichia coli* and *Shigella flexneri*: role of *rpoS* and growth pH. J. Bacteriol. 176:1729-1737.

**Smith, K.M.** 1920. Investigation of the nature and cause of the damage to plant tissue resulting from the feeding of Capsid bugs. Ann. Appl. Biol. 7:40-55.

**Smith, T.J.** 2014. Fire blight: barriers to control in the past and present/future control strategies. Acta Hort. 1056:29-38.

**Smits, T.H.M., Rezzonico, F., Duffy, B.** 2011. Evolutionary insights from *Erwinia amylovora* genomics. J. Biotechnol. 155:34-39.

Smits, T.H.M., Rezzonico, F., Kamber, T., Blom, J., Goesmann, A., Frey, J.E., Duffy, B. 2010. Complete genome sequence of the fire blight pathogen *Erwinia amylovora* CFBP 1430 and comparison to other *Erwinia* spp. Mol. Plant-Microbe Interact. 23:384-393.

**Soukainen, M., Santala, J., Tegel, J.** 2015. First report of *Erwinia amylovora*, the causal agent of fire blight, on pear in Finland. Plant Dis. 99:1033.

**Stadtman, E.R.** 1992. Protein oxidation and aging. Science 257:1220-1224.

**Steinberger, E.M., Cheng, G.Y., Beer, S.V.** 1990. Characterization of a 56kb plasmid of *Erwinia amylovora Ea*322: its non involvement in pathogenicity. Plasmid 24:12-24.

**Stockwell, V.O., Johnson, K.B., Sugar, D., Loper, J.E.** 2002. Antibiosis contributes to biological control of fire blight by *Pantoea agglomerans* strain Eh252 in orchards. Phytopathology 92:1202-1209.

**Stockwell, V.O., Hockett, K., Loper, J.E.** 2009. Role of RpoS in stress tolerance and environmental fitness of the phyllosphere bacterium *Pseudomonas fluorescens* strain 122. Phytopathology 99:689-695.

**Stockwell, V.O. and Duffy, B.** 2013. Use of antibiotics in plant agriculture. Revue Scientifique Technique-Office International Epizooties 31:199-210.

**Stohs, S.J. and Bagchi, D.** 1995. Oxidative mechanisms in the toxicity of metal ions. Free Radical Biol. Med. 18:321-336.

Stushnoff, C., Ducreux, L.J., Hancock, R.D., Hedley, P.E., Holm, D.G., McDougall, G.J., McNicol, J.W., Morris, J., Morris, W.L., Sunqurtas, J.A., Verrall, S.R., Zuber, T., Taylor, M.A. 2010. Flavonoid profiling and transcriptome analysis reveals new gene-metabolite correlations in tubers of *Solanum tuberosum* L. J. Exp. Bot. 4:1225-1238.

**Tehabsim, A., Masannat, K., Janse, J.D.** 1992. Fire blight (*Erwinia amylovora*) on pome fruits in Jordan. Phytopathol. Mediterr. 31:117-118.

**Teitzel, G.M. and Parsek, M.R.** 2003. Heavy metal resistance of biofilm and planktonic *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 69:2313-2320.

**Tettelin, H., Riley, D., Cattuto, C., Medini, D.** 2008. Comparative genomics: the bacterial pan-genome. Curr. Opin. Microbiol. 12:472-477.

**Thieme, D., Neubauer, P., Nies, D.H., Grass, G.** 2008. Sandwich hybridization assay for sensitive detection of dynamic changes in mRNA transcript levels in crude *Escherichia coli* cell extracts in response to copper ions. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 74:7463-7470.

**Thomas, H.E. and Ark, P.** 1934. Fire blight of pears and related plants. Calif. Agric. Exp. Stn. Bull. 586.

**Thomas, H.E. and Parker, K.G.** 1933. Fire blight of pear and apple. Agric. Exp. Stn. Bull. 557.

**Thomas, H.E. and Thomas, H.E.** 1931. Plants affected by fire blight. Phytopathology 21:425-435.

**Thomson, S.V.** 1986. The role of the stigma in fire blight infections. Phytopathology 76:476-482.

**Triplett, L.R., Melotto, M., Sundin, G.W.** 2009. Functional analysis of the N terminus of the *Erwinia amylovora* secreted effector DspA/E reveals features required for secretion, translocation, and binding to the chaperone DspB/F. Mol. Plant-Microbe Interact. 22:1282-1292.

Um, H.Y., Kong, H.G., Lee, H.J., Choi, H.K., Park, E.J., Kim, S.T., Murugiyan, S., Chung, E., Kang, K.Y., Lee, S.W. 2013. Altered gene expression and intracellular changes of the viable but nonculturable state in *Ralstonia solanacearum* by copper treatment. Plant. Pathol. J. 29:374-385.

**van Zweiten, M., Stovold, G.L., Van Zweiten, L.** 2007. Alternatives to copper for disease control in the Australian organic industry. Australia Government Rural Industries and Development Corporation.

van der Zwet, T., Orolaza-Halbrendt, N., Zeller, W. 2012. Fire Blight. History, biology and management. APS.

**van der Zwet, T. and Keil, H.L.** 1972. Importance of pear tissue injury to infection by *Erwinia amylovora* and control with streptomycin. Can. J. Microbiol. 18:893-900.

van der Zwet, T., Oitto, W.A., Westwood, M.N. 1974. Variability in degree of fire blight resistance within and between Pyrus species, interspecific hybrids, and seedling progenies. Euphytica 23:295-304.

van der Zwet T. and Keil H.L. 1979. Fireblight: a bacterial disease of Rosaceous plants. USDA Agriculture Handbook 510.

van der Zwet, T. 1994. The various means of dissemination of the fire blight bacterium *E. amylovora*. EPPO Bulletin 24:209-214.

van der Zwet, T. and Beer, S.V. 1995. Fire blight-Its nature, prevention and control. United States Department of Agriculture Bulletin 631.

**Vanneste, J.L.** 1995. *Erwinia amylovora*. In: Pathogenesis and Host Specificity in Plant diseases: Histopathological, Biochemical, Genetic, and Molecular Bases. Prokaryotes.

**Vanneste, J.L.** 2000. Fire blight. The disease and its causative agent. CABI Publishing.

**Veerkamp, L.W.** 1945. Bordeaux sprays for blight control. Oreg. State Hortic. Soc. Anu. Rep. 37:25-29.

**Verkhovskaya, M.L., Barquera, B., Wikstrom, W.** 2001. Deletion on one of two *Escherichia coli* genes encoding putative Na<sup>+</sup>/H<sup>+</sup> exchangers (ycgO) perturbs cytoplasmic alkali cation balance at low osmorality. Microbiology 147:3005-3013.

Venkatesh, B., Babujee, L., Liu, H., Hedley, P., Fujikawa, T., Birch, P., Toth, I., Tsuyumu, S. 2006. The *Erwinia chrysanthemi* 3937 PhoQ sensor kinase regulates several virulence determinants. J. Bacteriol. 188:3088-3098.

**Vidaver, A.K.** 2002. Uses of antimicrobials in plant agriculture. Clin. Infect. Dis. 34:107-110.

**Vijgenboom, E., Busch, J.E., Canters, G.W.** 1997. *In vivo* studies disprove an obligatory role of azurin in denitrification in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and show that azu expression is under control of RpoS and ANR. Microbiology 143:2853-2863.

Vogelsanger, J., Schoch, B., Vignutelli, A., Barofflo, C., Bunter, M., Holliger, E., Duffy, B. 2006. Monitoring fire blight on wild hosts and ornamentals. Acta Hort. 704:197-201.

**Waldron, K.J. and Robinson, N.J.** 2009. How do bacterial cells ensure that metalloproteins get the correct metal. Nat. Rev. Microbiol. 7:25-35.

Walsh, F., Pedullat, C., Duffy, B., Smith, D.P., Owens, S.M., Frey, J.E., Holliger, E. 2014. Impact of streptomycin applications on antibiotic resistance in apple orchards. Agrarforschung Schweiz 5:300-305. Wang, D. and Zhao, Y. 2009. Molecular signature of *Erwinia amylovora* virulence. APS 99:137.

Wang, D., Qi, M., Calla, B., Korban, S.S., Clough, S.J., Cock, P.J., Sundin, G.W., Toth, I., Zhao, Y. 2012. Genome-wide identification of genes regulated by the Rcs phosphorelay system in *Erwinia amylovora*. Mol. Plant-Microbe Interact. 25:6-17.

Weber, H., Polen, T., Heuveling, J., Wendisch, V.F., Hengge, R. 2005. Genome-wide analysis of the general stress response network in *Escherichia coli*: sigmaS-dependent genes, promoters, and sigma factor selectivity. J. Bacteriol. 187:1591-1603.

Williams, E., Lowe, T.M., Savas, J., DiRuggiero, J. 2007. Microarray analysis of the hyperthermophilic archaeon *Pyrococcus furiosus* exposed to gamma irradiation. Extremophiles 11:19-29.

Wilson, M., Sigee, D.C., Epton, H.A.S. 1989. *Erwinia amylovora* infection of hawthorn blossoms: II. The stigma. J. Phytopathol. 127:15-28.

**Wilson, J., Epton, H.A.S., Sigee, D.C.** 1992. Interactions between *Erwinia herbicola* and *E. amylovora* on the stigma of hawthorn blossoms. Phytopathology 82:914-918.

**Wilson, M. and Lindow, S.E.** 1993. Interactions between the biological control agent *Pseudomonas fluorescens* strain A506 and *Erwinia amylovora* in pear blossoms. Phytopathology 83:117-123.

**Woods, A.F.** 1909. The wastes of the farm: pome fruits. In: United States Department of Agriculture Yearbook (1908). Government printing office.

Yadav, A.K., Srivastava, A.K., Yandigeri, M.S., Kashyap, S.K., Modi, D.R., Arora, D.K. 2010. Characterization of indigenous copperresistant Streptomycetes from chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) fields. Ann. Microbiol. 60:605-614. Yamamoto, K. and Ishihama, A. 2005. Transcriptional response of *Escherichia coli* to external copper. Mol. Microbiol. 56:215-227.

Yu, W., Foster, H.D., Zhang, T. 1995. Discovering Chinese mineral drugs. J. Orthomol. Med. 10:31-58.

**Zeller, W. and Laux, P.** 2001. Neueste Ergebnisse zur Feuerbrandbekaempfung mit alternative biologischen Verfahren. Gesunde Pflanz. 53:158-162.

**Zeller, W. and Laux, P.** 2002a. Zum Stand der biologische Bekempfung des Feuerbrandes and Kernobst. Mitt. Biol. Bundesanst. Land-Forstwirtsch. 389:61-66.

**Zeller, W. and Laux, P.** 2002b. Perspektiven zur Bekaempfung des Feuerbrandes mit biologischen und anderen alternative Praeparaten. Gesunde Pflanz. 54:86-91.

**Zeller, W. and Laux, P.** 2006. Biocontrol of fire blight with the bacterial antagonist *Rhanella aquatilis* Ra39 in combination with aromatics compounds. Acta Hortic. 704:341-344.

**Zeng, Q. and Sundin, G.W.** 2014. Identification and characterization of Hfq and Hfq-regulated small RNAs in *Erwinia amylovora*. Acta Hort. 1056:143-148.

**Zevenhuizen, L.P.T.M., Dolfing, J., Eshuis, E.J., Scholten-Koerselman, I.J.** 1979. Inhibitore effects of copper on bacteria related to the free ion concentration. Microb. Ecol. 5:139-146.

**Zhao, L., Wei, Z.M., Beer, S.V.** 1996. The *hrp* gene cluster of *Erwinia amylovora* causes electrolyte leakage and necrosis in apple leaves and facilitates bacterial multiplication. Acta Hortic. 411:219-222.

**Zhao, Y.F., Blumer, S.E., Sundin, G.W.** 2005. Identification of *Erwinia amylovora* genes induced during infection of immature pear tissue. J. Bacteriol. 187:8088-8103.

**Zhao, Y.F., He, S.Y., Sundin, G.W.** 2006. The *Erwinia amylovora*  $avrRpt2_{EA}$  gene contributes to virulence on pear and AvrRpt2EA is recognized by *Arabidopsis* RPS2 when expressed in *Pseudomonas syringae*. Mol. Plant-Microbe Interact. 19:644-654.

**Zhao, Y.F. and Sundin, G.W.** 2017. Exploring linear and cyclic (di)nucleotides as messengers for regulation of T3SS and biofilm formation in *Erwinia amylovora*. J. Plant Pathol. In press.

**Zhao, Y., Wang, D., Nakka, S., Sundin, G.W., Korban, S.S.** 2009. Systems level analysis of two-component signal transduction systems in *Erwinia amylovora*: Role in virulence, regulation of amylovoran biosynthesis and swarming motility. BMC Genomics 10:245.

**Zhao, Z.C., Zhou, Z.F., Li, L., Xian, X.Y., Ke, X.B., Chen, M., Zhang, Y.X.** 2014. A copper-responsive gene cluster is required for copper homeostasis and contributes to oxidative resistance in *Deinococcus radiodurans* R1. Mol. Biosyst. 10:2607-2616.

**Zutra, D. and Shabi, E.** 1985. First evidence of fire blight in Israel. Phytoparasitica 13:151.

