Electrochemical treatment of a graphitic forging lubricant effluent: The effect of chloride concentration and current density

Maria Beatriz Borsa\textsuperscript{a,b}, Ricardo Jungblut\textsuperscript{a}, Valentín Pérez-Herranz\textsuperscript{c}, Iduvirges Lourdes Müller\textsuperscript{a}, Andrea Moura Bernardes\textsuperscript{a}, and Carlos Pérez Bergmann\textsuperscript{a}

\textsuperscript{a}PPGE3M – Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul, Porto Alegre, RS, Brazil; 
\textsuperscript{b}GKN Driveline Brazil, Porto Alegre, RS, Brazil; 
\textsuperscript{c}Departamento de Ingeniería Química y Nuclear – Universitat Politècnica de València, València, Spain

\textbf{ABSTRACT}

The graphite removal and the chemical oxygen demand (COD) reduction by the electrochemical treatment of an effluent containing a lubricant (oil in water emulsion with graphite) was investigated. The electrochemical cell used a pair of aluminum plates. Since the effluent conductivity was very low, NaCl was used as supporting electrolyte and different current densities as well as different distance between the electrodes were applied. In lower current densities, higher chloride concentrations implied in smaller COD values. The same behavior was observed when electrode distance was decreased. All the tested conditions presented significant graphite removal and COD reductions larger than 94%.

\textbf{KEYWORDS}

Electrocoagulation; aluminum; COD; graphite; graphitic forging lubricant effluent
Introduction

Electrochemical techniques are used as an alternative to conventional wastewater treatments. In these treatments, metal plates are used as electrodes in order to provide the solution with metallic ions that will act as coagulants like the inorganic salts in traditional physical-chemical treatments. When aluminum is used as a sacrificial anode, the polynuclear formation of hydroxylaluminum particles is a stepwise process, involving a deprotonation-dehydratation mechanism. The hydrogen evolution in the cathode, besides raising the solution pH, which leads to hydrolysis and condensation of \( Al(H2O)_6^{3-} \) (1), will also help in the flotation of the pollutants present in the effluent. The anodic and cathodic reactions involved in this mechanism are the following:

\[
\text{Anodic: } Al(s) \rightarrow Al^{3+}_{(aq)} + 3e^- \quad (1)
\]
\[
\text{Cathodic: } 2H_2O(l) + 2e^- \rightarrow H_2 + 2OH^{-}_{(aq)} \text{ (in neutral or alkaline medium)} \quad (2)
\]
\[
2H^+ + 2e^- \rightarrow H_2 \text{ (in acidic medium)} \quad (3)
\]

According to several authors (2-9), current density is a key parameter in an electrochemical assisted process and affects not only the system’s response, but also the dominant mode of separation. Another significant parameter is the effluent conductivity, since it determines cell resistance and may affect process efficiency. Hence, it is common to add a supporting electrolyte to decrease energy consumption. One of the most common salts used is NaCl because of its versatility (9, 21). They can also promote pitting corrosion in the electrodes, which can lead to an increase in current efficiency.

Electrocoagulation has been studied for the treatment of different effluents as the ones from paper-mill processes (8), the ones containing Cr\(^{6+} \) (10, 11, 18, 19, 22), dairy waste-waters (13), textile effluents (15), wastewaters containing dyes (17, 23, 24), and others (16, 20, 25, 26). Oil-in-water emulsions were also treated by electrocoagulation/electro-flotation processes by different authors (4, 6, 12, 14, 27-30). Nevertheless, the treatment of an effluent from forging operations to simultaneously break the oil in water emulsion and remove the graphite from the wastewater through electrocoagulation is still a matter of research.

The objective of the present study is to evaluate the influence of chloride concentration and current density on the graphite separation and on the reduction of COD in the effluent from a mechanical process lubricant. A patent was requested for the application of this process in Brazil under the registration number BR 10 2013 028236-7 on November 1, 2013.
Experimental

The effluent used in this study came from a warm forging operation at GKN Driveline Brazil, which uses a graphitic lubricant (an oil-in-water emulsion with graphite) with high stability to sedimentation. The effluent also includes water, iron scales, and mineral oil. The effluent characteristics are shown in Table 1.

Table 1. Effluent characteristics.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Result</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD)</td>
<td>79.337 g.L⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD)</td>
<td>238 g.L⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mineral Oil and Greases</td>
<td>287 g.L⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suspended Solids</td>
<td>35.4 g.L⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>8.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1 presents the flowchart of experimental steps carried out at this work.

![Flowchart of the experiments.](image)

The particle size of the graphitic lubricant effluent was analyzed by laser diffraction, on a CILAS Particle Size Analyzer model 1180.

The electrochemical experiments (electrocoagulation/electroflotation) were carried out using a 2L Beaker cup as the reactor, an Icel PS-5000 as power source for continuous current supply and a magnetic stirrer to maintain stirring at 150 rpm. Each experiment used 1 liter of effluent, avoiding the leaking of the resultant floated material. All parameters in the effluent were evaluated prior the treatment. After the electrochemical treatment and gravity filtration, the
obtained filtrate was also chemically analyzed. The filtration was carried out using an 8 µm paper filter. Figure 2 shows a schematic representation of the reactor’s assembly.

![Schematic design of the experiment.](image)

**Figure 2.** Schematic design of the experiment.

Pairs of 40mm x 80mm commercial aluminum electrodes were used to run the tests. The chemical composition of the electrodes is given on Table 2.

**Table 2.** Aluminum chemical composition.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Al</th>
<th>Mg</th>
<th>Fe</th>
<th>Cu</th>
<th>Mg</th>
<th>Si</th>
<th>Ti</th>
<th>Zn</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>99.14</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The applied current densities were 0.047 A cm⁻², 0.094 A cm⁻², and 0.188 A cm⁻² according to the experiments from Arslan-Alaton et al. (23). The distances between electrodes were 10 mm, 20 mm, and 40 mm, aligned with Sanchez-Calvo et al. (27). The supporting electrolyte used was NaCl and the following concentrations were used: 1 g L⁻¹, 2 g L⁻¹, 4 g L⁻¹, 8 g L⁻¹, and 10 g L⁻¹.

To evaluate the chemical attack of aluminum by chloride, aluminum plates were weighed and dipped on different NaCl solutions for 24 hours. After this dipping procedure, the plate’s weight was measured again to determine weight loss.
The chemical oxygen demand (COD) of the filtrate obtained after the treatment was analyzed through the colorimetric method using a PG Instruments T80+ UV/VIS Spectrometer.

In order to better understand the electrochemical behavior of the system, potentiodynamic curves were accomplished with solutions with different chloride concentrations. The tests were carried out using an Autolab PGSTAT 302 potentiostat with the aid of the software GPES and a scan rate of 0.02 V/s. In potentiodynamic tests, the reference electrode was saturated calomel, the counter electrode was platinum, and the electrolyte was the effluent.
Results and discussion

The effluent is mainly a very stable emulsion with a fine particle size, which can be seen in Table 3. This fine particle size helps in the emulsion stability.

Table 3. Particle size distribution.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diameter at 10%</th>
<th>2.65 μm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Diameter at 50%</td>
<td>12.50 μm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diameter at 90%</td>
<td>27.91 μm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean Diameter</td>
<td>14.17 μm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 3 shows the physical aspect of the effluent (a) before the electrochemical treatment, (b) after the electrochemical treatment, and (c) after the filtration. It is possible to observe that the graphite was separated from the emulsion.

Although pH is normally a very important parameter in electrocoagulation/electroflotation, it was decided not to vary the initial pH, once the object of the study was a real industrial effluent. The final pH value (after the treatment was finished and the effluent filtrated) was reduced from 8.0 to values between 5.0 and 6.0 in all tests that were carried out, independently of the applied parameters (chloride concentration, distance between electrodes and current density) as it can
be seen in Figures (4a-4c).

**Figure 4.** pH values as a function of chloride concentration after the treatment with different electrodes distances and current densities (a) $J = 0.188$ mA cm$^{-2}$ (b) $J = 0.094$ mA cm$^{-2}$ (c) $J = 0.047$ mA cm$^{-2}$.

**Effect of current density**

According to Fig. 5, for a chloride concentration of 4 g L$^{-1}$ and $d = 10$ mm the COD reduction was very high and practically constant in the three current densities tested ($J = 0.047; 0.094$ and $0.188$ A cm$^{-2}$), obtaining reductions of 94.08%, 94.09%, and 94.31%, respectively. When the chloride concentration was 8 g L$^{-1}$, a similar behavior was observed as the current density increased in the system.
According to Faraday’s Law, the higher the current density, the greater the aluminum dissolution, which is confirmed by the data presented in Table 4.

**Table 4.** Theoretical and measured aluminum quantities for different current densities and NaCl concentrations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>J ($A/cm^2$)</th>
<th>Theoretical dissolved Al (g)</th>
<th>$^a$NaCl = 1g.L$^{-1}$</th>
<th>$^b$NaCl = 4g.L$^{-1}$</th>
<th>$^c$NaCl = 8g.L$^{-1}$</th>
<th>$^d$NaCl = 10g.L$^{-1}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.047</td>
<td>0.25166</td>
<td>0.2617</td>
<td>0.2645</td>
<td>0.2740</td>
<td>0.3009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.094</td>
<td>0.50333</td>
<td>0.5022</td>
<td>0.4904</td>
<td>0.4858</td>
<td>0.5029</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.188</td>
<td>1.00667</td>
<td>0.8873</td>
<td>0.9053</td>
<td>0.9188</td>
<td>0.8993</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The data in Table 4 also shows that in the lowest current density, more aluminum is dissolved than would be expected by Faraday’s Law. These super-Faradaic efficiencies are reported in the literature and they may be explained in terms of chemical dissolution of the anode (4), chemical attack of both anode and cathode, which can occur due to acidity and alkalinity produced in the vicinity of the electrodes (31) and pitting corrosion of the anode, caused by chloride ions (32).

**Figure 6** shows the amount of dissolved aluminum when an aluminum plate was dipped into a NaCl solution for 24 h. One can observe that as the chloride concentration increases, the amount of dissolved aluminum is higher, which is consistent to the literature data that says that the higher the chloride concentration, the higher is the corrosion promoted by Cl- ions.
over aluminum surface (33-39). It is also possible to notice that the amount of chemically dissolved aluminum is small. From these data, it is possible to notice that the chemical attack has no significant influence over the overall electrodes dissolution.

![Figure 6](image)

**Figure 6.** Aluminum weight loss in different chloride concentrations.

**Figure 7** shows the potentiodynamic curves obtained to the effluent with no chloride additions and for the one with chloride concentration of 1 and 10 g L\(^{-1}\). When chloride is added to the effluent, it is possible to observe that pitting is the corrosion mechanism (40, 41), but the pitting corrosion is different in the two conditions. At a chloride concentration of 1 g L\(^{-1}\) the pitting potential is around -0.6 V and at 10 g L\(^{-1}\) pitting corrosion appears at the open circuit potential, around -0.8 V, which is consistent to literature data (31, 42) that says that as chloride concentration increases, pitting potential decreases. In the absence of chloride ions, one can see that the aluminum oxide layer present on the metal surface does not present pitting corrosion regardless of the applied potential, which is in accordance with the results presented by Johnson et al. (43). By analyzing these data, one can observe that pitting corrosion occurs when chloride is present in the solution and can have significant contribution to the quantity of dissolved aluminum.

**Table 4** also shows that the aluminum dissolution higher than expected was observed only with the smallest applied current density. When current density is increased, the influence of pitting corrosion should decrease because the electrochemically dissolved aluminum quantity is preponderant.

The comparison of current density effects for very different sodium chloride concentrations (1 and 10 g L\(^{-1}\)) can be seen in **Fig. 8(a)**. For lower current densities \((J = 0.047 \text{ A cm}^{-2})\), the influence of chloride ions seems to be very significant, since in the presence of 10 times
more Cl- ions, the COD value was practically reduced by half. Table 4 shows that the amount of dissolved aluminum at 10 g L\(^{-1}\) (0.3009 g L\(^{-1}\)) is higher than at 1 g L\(^{-1}\) (0.2617 g L\(^{-1}\)). This dissolved aluminum will be available to form precipitates that will act as coagulants and will end up reducing COD in the effluent. When current density is increased, the chloride concentration effect seems to disappear because more aluminum ions are available by electrochemical disso-lution in the anode and because such side effects as pitting corrosion may not play such an important role in the availability of aluminum ions to form precipitates.

![Figure 7. Potentiodynamic curves for the effluent without and with different chloride concentrations (1 and 10 g L\(^{-1}\)).](image)

The standard deviation between the obtained COD values for a given Cl-concentration is minimal, as can be seen in Table 5.

Table 5. Standard deviation for COD values at d = 20 mm.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>COD Value (g.L(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Chloride Concentration (g.L(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Standard Deviation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>23.329</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.309</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.977</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.609</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.756</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.036</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.916</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.015</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 8(b) shows that the distance between the electrodes also plays an important role. When the electrodes are farther away from each other, current density effects in reducing COD are more visible. One can see that at d = 10 mm COD reduction was practically constant in the three applied current den-sities and at d = 20 mm the observed reduction was more than 50% higher when COD values obtained for the lower current density
–0.047 A cm$^{-2}$ are compared with the two larger ones – 0.094 and 0.188 A cm$^{-2}$. The standard deviation for these COD values is also very low and can be seen in Table 6. Modirshahla et al. (16) and Sanchéz-Calvo et al. (27) have also observed that when the distance between the electrodes was increased, the COD reduction rate was reduced.

![Graph](image)

**Figure 8.** (a) Effect of current density over COD removal at d = 20mm. (b) Effect of current density over COD removal using (NaCl) = 1 g L$^{-1}$ for different distances between electrodes (d = 10 and 20 mm).

**Effect of the supporting electrolyte**

It is possible to observe in Fig. 9(a) that, for the three different electrode distances (10, 20, and 40 mm), the increase of NaCl concentration decreased the observed COD values until a minimum, after which point it starts to increase again. These lower COD removal rates as Cl$^{-}$ concentration increases can indicate negative effects of their excessive presence as supporting
Figure 9(b) shows the amount of dissolved aluminum at the anode and the results are in accordance with the literature, since higher quantities of dissolved aluminum resulted in higher COD removal rates.

**Figure 9.** (a) The effect of the supporting electrolyte on COD removal at different electrode distances and J = 0.188 A cm⁻². (b) Dissolved aluminum at J = 0.188 A cm⁻² different chloride concentrations and electrode distances.

**Figure 9(b)** also shows that this highest quantity of dissolved aluminum is different for each electrode distance and it is shifted to a higher chloride concentration, as the distance is shorter. In fact, at d = 40 mm the highest quantity of dissolved aluminum is obtained at 2 g L⁻¹ NaCl, at d = 20 mm the highest value is at 4 g L⁻¹ and at d = 10 mm this condition is achieved at 8 g L⁻¹.

In fact, when the distance increases, a lower efficiency of the removal parameters can be
observed (Fig. 9b). The ohmic drop effects will be more significant, which means that there will be fewer interactions between the species. Besides, to maintain the same current density, the voltage of the system must be higher (24, 26, 27). This is confirmed by the results in Fig. 10, where it is shown that when the electrode distance is larger, cell voltage is higher.

**Figure 10.** Variation of cell voltage with distance between the electrodes. $J = 0.047 \, \text{A cm}^{-2}$, $(\text{NaCl}) = 2 \, \text{g L}^{-1}$ and 2 electrodes.

**Figure 11(a)** shows the differences in cell voltage with different chloride concentration. One can observe that as the chloride concentration is increased the initial voltage is lower; hence, the energy consumption of the process will also be lower. This is in accordance with the improvement in conductivity as chloride concentration is increased, shown in Fig. 11(b). It is also possible to see in all concentrations that cell voltage is getting lower as the reaction time goes by and reaches a pseudo-stationary state. The voltage decreased three times as the chloride concentration was increased from $1 \, \text{g L}^{-1}$ to $10 \, \text{g L}^{-1}$ and the curve shape is similar for all chloride concentrations. In all tested chloride concentrations, the cell potential was significantly reduced during electrocoagulation/flotation, because of the dissolution of the sacrificial anode as aluminum hydroxide, which then prevents the formation of a passivation film.

Additionally, when the chloride content was increased, the initial cell potential reduced significantly, which can lead to a reduction in power consumption.

According to Fig. 12, all tested conditions removal rates were higher than 94%.
Figure 11. (a) Variation of cell voltage with time of electrocoagulation/electroflotation. $J = 0.047\ A\ cm^{-2}$, $d = 10\ mm$ and 2 electrodes. (b) Changes in conductivity with the increase of chloride concentration.

Figure 12. COD removal rates for $J = 0.188\ A\ cm^{-2}$, different NaCl concentrations and electrode distances.
Conclusions

It was possible to use a technique, which is commonly used in aqueous effluents that have medium to high conductivity, on an effluent with low conductivity in order to break the emulsion, separate the graphite and significantly reduce COD. The chosen technique also uses fewer chemicals than the traditional physical-chemical treatments.

The results obtained in this work show that for all the tested conditions COD removal rates were higher than 94%.

Operational parameters such as distance between electrodes, current density, and supporting electrolyte play important roles in the anodic dissolution of aluminum. Due to this the parameters that would give the best results are $d = 10$ mm, $J = 0.188 \text{ A cm}^{-2}$, and NaCl concentration of $8 \text{ g L}^{-1}$.
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