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1. Introduction

In research works where fuzzy sets are involved, in particular, in the theory of fuzzy topological spaces, fuzzy algebra, fuzzy measure theory, etc., mostly certain usual functions are taken as morphisms: they can be certain mappings between the corresponding sets, or between the fuzzy powersets of these sets, etc. On the other hand, there are only few papers where attempts to fuzzify the concept of a function itself are undertaken (see e.g. [11, 12], etc). The aim of our work is also to present a possible approach to this problem. Namely, a certain class of $L$-relations (i.e. mappings $f : X \times Y \to L$) is distinguished which seem reasonable to be viewed as $(L)$fuzzy functions from a set $X$ to a set $Y$. We define composition of fuzzy functions; study images and preimages of $L$-sets under fuzzy functions; introduce properties of injectivity and surjectivity for them; describe products and coproducts in the corresponding category, etc. In the last part of the paper we define some categories related to topology and algebra where fuzzy functions play the role of morphisms.
In conclusion, we would like to mention the following two peculiarities of our approach.

First, the appropriate context for our work is formed not by usual sets, or by their 
\( L \)-subsets (i.e. mappings \( f : X \to L \)), but rather by \( L \)-valued sets (i.e. 
sets, endowed with an \( L \)-valued equality \( E : X \times X \to L \), see e.g. [6, 7]) and 
their \( L \)-subsets. And second, in the result we obtain not a usual category, but 
the so called a fuzzy category - a concept introduced and studied in [14, 15].

2. Prerequisites

Let \( L = (L, \leq, \wedge, V, *) \) be an infinitely distributive \( GL \)-monoid (cf. e.g. [6], 
[7]), i.e. a commutative integral divisible \( cl \)-monoid (cf. [1]). It is well known 
that every \( GL \)-monoid is residuated, i.e. there exists a further binary operation 
- implication "\( \leftarrow \rightarrow \)" such that
\[
\alpha \ast \beta \leq \gamma \iff \alpha \leq \beta \iff \gamma \quad \forall \alpha, \beta, \gamma \in L.
\]
We set \( \alpha^2 = \alpha \ast \alpha \) and further by induction: \( \alpha^n = \alpha^{n-1} \ast \alpha \). Let \( \top \) and \( \perp \) 
denote respectively the top and the bottom elements of \( L \).

Following U.Höhle (cf e.g. [7]) by an \( L \)-valued set we call a pair \((X,E)\) where 
\( X \) is a set and \( E \) is an \( L \)-valued equality, i.e. a mapping \( E : X \times X \to L \) such that
\[
\begin{align*}
(1\text{e}) & \ E(x,y) \leq E(x,x) \wedge E(y,y) \quad \forall x, y \in X; \\
(2\text{e}) & \ E(x,y) = E(y,x) \quad \forall x, y \in X; \\
(3\text{e}) & \ E(x,y) \ast (E(y,y) \rightarrow E(y,z)) \leq E(x,z) \quad \forall x, y, z \in X.
\end{align*}
\]

An \( L \)-valued set \((X,E)\) is called separated if
\[
(4\text{e}) \ E(x,x) \vee E(y,y) \leq E(x,y) \iff x = y \quad \forall x, y \in X.
\]

An \( L \)-valued equality \( E \) is called global if
\[
(5\text{e}) \ E(x,x) = \top \quad \forall x \in X.
\]

Further, recall that an \( L \)-set, or more precisely, an \( L \)-subset of a set \( X \) 
is just a mapping \( A : X \to L \). In case \((X,E)\) is an \( L \)-valued set, its \( L \)-
subset \( A \) is called strict if \( A(x) \leq E_X(x,x) \forall x \in X \); \( A \) is called 
extensional if \( \sup_x A(x) \ast (E(x,x) \rightarrow E(x,x')) \leq A(x') \forall x' \in X \).

By \( L \setminus SET(L) \) we denote the category whose objects are triples \((X,E,A)\) 
where \((X,E)\) is an \( L \)-valued set and \( A \) is its strict extensional \( L \)-subset, and 
morphisms from \((X,E_X,A)\) to \((Y,E_Y,B)\) are mappings \( f : X \to Y \) which 
preserve equalities (i.e. \( E_X(x_1,x_2) \leq E_Y(fx_1,fx_2) \)) and "respect \( L \)-subsets", 
i.e. \( A \leq B \circ f \). Let \( L \setminus SET'(L) \) stand for the full subcategory of the category 
\( L \setminus SET(L) \) determined by global separated \( L \)-valued sets.

To recall the concept of an \( L \)-fuzzy category [14, 15], consider an ordinary 
(classical) category \( \mathcal{C} \) and let \( \omega : \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C}) \to L \) and \( \mu : \text{Mor}(\mathcal{C}) \to L \) be \( L \)-fuzzy 
subclasses of its objects and morphisms respectively. Now, an \( L \)-fuzzy category 
can be defined as a triple \((\mathcal{C}, \omega, \mu)\) satisfying the following axioms ([15], cf. 
also [14] in case \( \ast = \wedge \)):
\[
\begin{align*}
1^0 \ & \ \mu(f) \leq \omega(X) \wedge \omega(Y) \quad \forall X, Y \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C}) \text{ and } \forall f \in \text{Mor}(X,Y); \\
2^0 \ & \ \mu(g \circ f) \geq \mu(f) \ast \mu(g) \quad \text{whenever the composition } g \circ f \text{ is defined};
\end{align*}
\]
Remark 3/1.\)\) \(\mu(e_X) = \omega(X)\) \(\) where \(e_X : X \to X\) is the identity morphism.

Our aim is, starting from the category \(L - \text{SET}(L)\), to define a fuzzy category \(L - \mathcal{F}\text{SET}(L)\) having the same class of objects as \(L - \text{SET}(L)\) but an essentially wider class of "potential" morphisms.

3. Fuzzy category \(L - \mathcal{F}\text{SET}(L)\).

3.1. Category \(L - \mathcal{F}\text{SET}(L)\). We start with defining a usual (i.e. crisp) category \(L - \mathcal{F}\text{SET}(L)\). Namely, let \(L - \mathcal{F}\text{SET}(L)\) denote the category having the same objects as \(L - \text{SET}(L)\) and whose morphisms, called \((\text{potential})\) fuzzy functions, from \((X, E_X, A)\) to \((Y, E_Y, B)\) are \(L\)-mappings \(F : X \times Y \to L\) such that

\[
\begin{align*}
(0ff) & \quad F(x, y) \leq E_X(x, x) \wedge E_Y(y, y) \quad \forall y \in Y, \forall x \in X; \\
(1ff) & \quad \sup_x \sup_y A(x) \cdot (E_X(x, x) \to F(x, y)) \leq B(y) \quad \forall y \in Y; \\
(2ff) & \quad F(x, y) \otimes (E_Y(y, y) \to E_Y(y, y')) \leq F(x, y') \quad \forall x \in X, \forall y, y' \in Y; \\
(3ff) & \quad E_X(x, x') \cdot (E_X(x, x) \to F(x, y)) \leq F(x', y) \quad \forall x, x' \in X, y \in Y; \\
(4ff) & \quad E_X(x, x') \cdot (E_X(x, x) \to F(x, y)) \leq E_Y(y, y') \quad \forall x \in X, \forall y, y' \in Y;
\end{align*}
\]

In particular, when \(A = \top_X\) and \(B = \top_Y\) we write \(F : (X, E_X) \to (Y, E_Y)\) instead of \(F : (X, E_X, A) \to (Y, E_Y, B)\).

Notice that conditions (0ff) - (3ff) say that \(F\) is a certain \(L\)-relation, while axiom (4ff) specifies that the \(L\)-relation \(F\) is a function.

Remark 3.1. Since \(F(x, y) \leq E_X(x, x)\), and \(a \leq b \implies a = b \cdot (b \to a)\) (by divisibility of \(L\)), we have

\[
\begin{align*}
F(x, y) \cdot (E_X(x, x) \to E_X(x, x')) &= E_X(x, x) \cdot (E_X(x, x) \to F(x, y)) \cdot (E_X(x, x) \to E_X(x, x')) \\
&= E_X(x, x') \cdot (E_X(x, x) \to F(x, y)).
\end{align*}
\]

Therefore axiom (3ff) can be given in the following equivalent form:

\[
(3'ff) \quad F(x, y) \cdot (E_X(x, x) \to E_X(x, x')) \leq F(x', y).
\]

Remark 3.2. Applying (4ff) it is easy to establish that

\[
\begin{align*}
F(x, y_1) \cdot F(x, y_2) &\leq F(x, y_1) \cdot (E_X(x, x) \to F(x, y_2)) \\
&\leq E_Y(y_1, y_2) \\
&\leq E_Y(y_1, y_1) \to E_Y(y_1, y_2).
\end{align*}
\]

Remark 3.3. Let \(F : (X, E_X) \to (Y, E_Y)\) be a fuzzy function, \(X' \subseteq X\) \(Y' \subseteq Y\), and let the \(L\)-equalities \(E_{X'}\) and \(E_{Y'}\) on \(X'\) and \(Y'\) be defined as the restrictions of the equalities \(E_X\) and \(E_Y\) respectively. Then defining a mapping \(F' : X' \times Y' \to L\) by the equality \(F'(x, y) = F(x, y)\) \(\forall x \in X', \forall y \in Y'\) a fuzzy function \(F' : (X', E_{X'}) \to (Y', E_{Y'})\) is obtained. We refer to it as the restriction of \(F\) to the subspaces \((X', E_{X'})\) and \((Y', E_{Y'})\).

Given two fuzzy functions \(F : (X, E_X, A) \to (Y, E_Y, B)\) and \(G : (Y, E_Y, B) \to (Z, E_Z, C)\) we define their composition \(G \circ F : (X, E_X, A) \to (Z, E_Z, C)\) by the
To establish this inequality we have to show that for any $y, y' \in Y$ it holds:

$$[F(x, y) * (E_Y(y, y) \rightarrow G(y, z))] * [E_X(x, x) \rightarrow (F(x, y') * (E_Y(y', y') \rightarrow G(y', z'))]] \leq E_Z(z, z').$$
By divisibility of \( L \), axiom (4ff) for \( F \) and \( G \) and axiom (3ff) for \( G \), we have:

\[
\begin{align*}
[F(x, y) \ast (E_Y(y, y) \rightarrow G(y, z))]^* & \\
[E_X(x, x) \rightarrow \left( F(x, y') \ast (E_Y(y', y') \rightarrow G(y', z')) \right)]^* = F(x, y) \ast (E(y, y) \rightarrow G(y, z))^*
\end{align*}
\]

By a direct verification it is easy to show that the operation of composition is associative: given fuzzy functions \( F : (X, E_X, A) \rightarrow (Y, E_Y, B) \), \( G : (Y, E_Y, B) \rightarrow (Z, E_Z, C) \), and \( H : (Z, E_Z, C) \rightarrow (T, E_T, D) \) it holds \((H \circ G) \circ F = H \circ (G \circ F) : (X, E_X, A) \rightarrow (T, E_T, D) \). Further, the identity morphism is defined by the corresponding \( L \)-valued equality: \( E_X : (X, E_X, A) \rightarrow (X, E_X, A) \). It is easy to verify that it satisfies the conditions (0ff) - (4ff) above and that \( F \circ E_X = E_X \) and \( E_Y \circ F = E_Y \) for each fuzzy function \( F : (X, E_X, A) \rightarrow (Y, E_Y, B) \). Thus \( L - FSET(L) \) is indeed a category.

**Remark 3.5.** In case when the equalities \( E_X \) and \( E_Y \) on \( X \) and \( Y \) respectively, are global, the condition (0ff) becomes redundant and the conditions (1ff) - (4ff) can be reformulated in the following simpler way:

\[
\begin{align*}
(1ff) & \quad \sup_x A(x) \ast F(x, y) \leq B(y) \quad \forall y \in Y; \\
(2ff) & \quad F(x, y) \ast E_Y(y, y') \leq F(x, y') \quad \forall x \in X, \forall y, y' \in Y; \\
(3ff) & \quad E_X(x, x') \ast F(x, y) \leq F(x', y) \quad \forall x, x' \in X, \forall y \in Y; \\
(4ff) & \quad F(x, y) \ast F(x, y') \leq E_Y(y, y') \quad \forall x \in X, \forall y, y' \in Y.
\end{align*}
\]

### 3.2. **Fuzzy category** \( L - FSET(L) \).

Given a fuzzy function \( F : (X, E_X, A) \rightarrow (Y, E_Y, B) \) let

\[
\mu(F) = \inf_{x} \sup_{y} F(x, y).
\]

Thus we define an \( L \)-subclass \( \mu \) of the class of all morphisms of \( L - FSET(L) \).

In case \( \mu(F) \geq \alpha \) we refer to \( F \) as a fuzzy \( \alpha \)-function. If \( F : (X, E_X, A) \rightarrow (Y, E_Y, B) \) and \( G : (Y, E_Y, B) \rightarrow (Z, E_Z, C) \) are fuzzy functions, then \( \mu(G \circ F) \geq \mu(G) \ast \mu(F) \). Indeed, let \( x \in X \) and \( y \in Y \) be fixed. Then

\[
\sup_{z} F(x, y) \ast (E_Y(y, y) \rightarrow G(y, z)) \geq F(x, y) \ast \sup_{z} G(y, z) \geq F(x, y) \ast \mu(G),
\]

and therefore for a fixed \( x \in X \)

\[
\sup_{y} \sup_{z} F(x, y) \ast (E_Y(y, y) \rightarrow G(y, z)) \geq \sup_{y} F(x, y) \ast \mu(G) \geq \mu(F) \ast \mu(G).
\]

Since \( x \in X \) is arbitrary, we get \( \mu(G \circ F) \geq \mu(G) \ast \mu(F) \).
Further, given an $L$-valued set $(X, E)$ let
\[
\omega(X, E) := \mu(E) = \inf_x E(x, x).
\]
Thus a fuzzy category $L - \mathcal{FSET}(L) = (L - \mathcal{FSET}(L), \omega, \mu)$ is obtained.

**Remark 3.6.** If $F' : (X', E'_X) \to (Y, E_Y)$ is the restriction of $F : (X, E_X) \to (Y, E_Y)$ (see Remark 3.3 above) and $\mu(F) \geq \alpha$, then $\mu(F') \geq \alpha$. However, generally the restriction $F' : (X', E'_X) \to (Y', E'_Y)$ of $F : (X, E_X) \to (Y, E_Y)$ may fail to satisfy the condition $\mu(F') \geq \alpha$.

### 3.3. Some (fuzzy) subcategories of the fuzzy category $L - \mathcal{FSET}(L)$

For a fixed $\alpha$ let $L - \mathcal{F}_\alpha \mathcal{SET}(L)$ consist of all objects of $L - \mathcal{FSET}(L)$ and its fuzzy $\alpha$-morphisms. In case $\alpha$ is idempotent, $L - \mathcal{F}_\alpha \mathcal{SET}(L)$ is a usual (crisp) category. In particular, it is a crisp category for $\alpha = \top$.

If $L_1, L_2, L_3 \subset L$, then by $L_1 - \mathcal{FSET}(L_2, L_3)$ we denote the (fuzzy) subcategory of $L - \mathcal{FSET}(L)$, whose objects $(X, E, A)$ satisfy the conditions $A(X) \subset L_1$ and $E(X \times X) \subset L_2$, and whose morphisms satisfy the condition $F(X \times Y) \subset L_3$. By specifying the sets $L_1$, $L_2$ and $L_3$ some known and new (fuzzy) categories related to $L$-sets can be characterized as (fuzzy) subcategories of $L_1 - \mathcal{FSET}(L_2, L_3)$-type or of $L_1 - \mathcal{FSET}'(L_2, L_3)$-type.

### 4. Elementary properties of fuzzy functions. Special types of fuzzy functions.

#### 4.1. Images and preimages of $L$-sets under fuzzy functions.

Assume that the $GL$-monoid $(L, \wedge, \lor, *)$ is equipped with an additional operation $\odot$ which is distributive over arbitrary joins and meets and is dominated by $\ast$, i.e. $(\alpha_1 \odot \beta_1) \ast (\alpha_2 \odot \beta_2) \leq (\alpha_1 \ast \beta_1) \odot (\alpha_2 \ast \beta_2)$. In particular, $\land$ can be taken as $\odot$. Another option: in case when $(L, \wedge, \lor, *)$ is an $MV$-algebra, the original conjunction $\ast$ can be taken as $\odot$. Given a fuzzy function $F : (X, E_X) \to (Y, E_Y)$ and $L$-subsets $A : X \to L$ and $B : Y \to L$ of $X$ and $Y$ respectively, we define the fuzzy set $F^{-}(A) : Y \to L$ (the image of $A$ under $F$) by the equality $F^{-}(A)(y) = \bigvee_x F(x, y) \circ A(x)$ and the fuzzy set $F^{-}(B) : X \to L$ (the preimage of $B$ under $F$) by the equality $F^{-}(B)(x) = \bigvee_y F(x, y) \circ B(y)$.

Note that if $A \in L^X$ is extensional, then $F^{-}(A) \in L^Y$ is extensional (by (2ff)) and if $B \in L^Y$ is extensional, then $F^{-}(B) \in L^X$ is extensional (by (3ff)).

**Proposition 4.1.** (Basic properties of images and preimages of $L$-sets under fuzzy functions).

1. $F^{-}(\bigvee_{i \in I} (A_i)) = \bigvee_{i \in I} F^{-}(A_i)$ \space \forall (A_i : i \in I) \subset L^X$;
2. $F^{-}(A_1 \bigwedge A_2) \leq F^{-}(A_1) \bigwedge F^{-}(A_2)$ \space \forall (A_1, A_2) \subset L^X$;
3. $F^{-}(\bigwedge_{i \in I} B_i) \leq \bigwedge_{i \in I} F^{-}(B_i)$ \space \forall (B_i : i \in I) \subset L^Y$;
4. In case $L$ is completely distributive

\[
(\bigwedge_{i \in I} F^{-}(B_i))^5 \leq F^{-}(\bigwedge_{i \in I} (B_i)) \leq \bigwedge_{i \in I} F^{-}(B_i) \forall (B_i : i \in I) \subset L^Y;
\]

in particular,
(3\textsuperscript{0}) (\bigwedge_{i \in I} F^\leftarrow(B_i))^3 \leq F^\leftarrow(\bigwedge_{i \in I} F^\leftarrow(B_i)) \leq \bigwedge_{i \in I} F^\leftarrow(B_i) \ orall \{B_i : i \in I\} \subset L^X, \text{ in case } \odot = \land \text{ and } \\
(3\textsuperscript{1}) \bigwedge_{i \in I} F^\leftarrow(B_i) = F^\leftarrow(\bigwedge_{i \in I} F^\leftarrow(B_i)) \ orall \{B_i : i \in I\} \subset L^Y, \text{ in case } \odot = * = \bigvee; \\
(4) F^\leftarrow(\bigvee_{i \in I} F^\leftarrow(B_i)) = \bigvee_{i \in I} F^\leftarrow(B_i) \ orall \{B_i : i \in I\} \subset L^Y; \\
(5) \text{ In case } L \text{ is completely distributive and } \odot = *, F^\leftarrow(F^\leftarrow(B)) \leq B.

Proof. (1):
\[
(\bigvee_i F^\rightarrow(A_i))(y) = \bigvee_i \bigvee_x (F(x, y) \odot A_i(x)) \\
\geq \bigvee_x \bigvee_i (F(x, y) \odot A_i(x)) \\
= \bigvee_x (F(x, y) \odot (\bigvee_i A_i(x))) \\
= F^\rightarrow(\bigvee_i A_i)(y).
\]
The validity of (2) follows from the monotonicity of \(F\).

To prove (3) notice that
\[
(\bigland_i F^\leftarrow(B_i))(x) = \bigland_i (\bigvee_y F(x, y) \odot B_i(y)) \\
\geq \bigvee_y (\bigland_i F(x, y) \odot B_i(y)) \\
= \bigvee_y (F(x, y) \odot (\bigland_i B_i(y))) \\
= F^\leftarrow(\bigland_i B_i(x)).
\]
Assume now that \(L\) is completely distributive. Recall that complete distributivity of a lattice \(L\) means that the way-below relation \(\ll\) in \(L\) is approximative (i.e. \(\alpha = \bigvee \{\beta \in L : \beta \ll \alpha\} \text{ for every } \alpha \in L\) and every element \(\alpha\) is a supremum of coprimes way-below \(\alpha\) (see e.g. [3]).

Let
\[
(\bigland_i F^\leftarrow(B_i))(x) = \bigland_i \bigvee_y F(x, y) \odot B_i(y) := \alpha.
\]
Then
\[
\forall \beta \ll \alpha, \forall i \in I, \exists y_i \in Y \text{ such that } F(x, y_i) \odot B_i(y_i) \geq \beta.
\]
In particular, this means that \(F(x, y_i) \geq \beta\) for every \(i \in I\). We fix some \(i_0 \in I\) and let \(y_{i_0} := y_0\). Further, notice that by Remark 3.2

\[
\beta^2 \leq F(x, y_i) \ast F(x, y_0) \leq E(y_i, y_i) \hookrightarrow E(y_i, y_0),
\]
and hence for every \(i \in I\)
\[
[F(x, y_i) \odot B_i(y_i)] \ast \beta^1 \leq (F(x, y_i) \ast (E_Y(y_i, y_i) \hookrightarrow E_Y(y_i, y_0))) \odot (B_i(y_i) \ast (E_Y(y_i, y_i) \hookrightarrow E_Y(y_i, y_0)))) \\
\leq F(x, y_0) \odot \bigland_i B_i(x).
\]
Therefore
\[
\beta^5 \leq \bigland_i [F(x, y_i) \odot B_i(y_i)] \ast \beta^4 \\
\leq \bigland_i (F(x, y_i) \odot B_i(y_0)) \\
= F(x, y_0) \odot \bigland_i B_i(x) \\
\leq F^\leftarrow(\bigland_i B_i(x)).
\]
and, since this holds for any \(\beta \ll \alpha\), by complete distributivity we obtain
\[
F^\leftarrow(\bigland_i B_i(x)) \geq \alpha^5 \text{ and hence }
\]
\[
(\bigland_{i \in I} F^\leftarrow(B_i))^5 \leq F^\leftarrow(\bigland_{i \in I} (B_i)).
\]
In case $\odot = \land$ in the above proof it is sufficient to multiply by $\beta^2$ instead of $\beta^4$, and therefore the resulting inequality is
\[
\left( \bigwedge_{i \in \mathcal{I}} F^\leftarrow(B_i) \right)^3 \leq F^\leftarrow \left( \bigwedge_{i \in \mathcal{I}} (B_i) \right).
\]

Finally, in case $\odot = \ast = \land$ by idempotency we get the equality
\[
\bigwedge_{i \in \mathcal{I}} F^\leftarrow(B_i) = F^\leftarrow \left( \bigwedge_{i \in \mathcal{I}} (B_i) \right).
\]

The proof of (4) is similar to the proof of (1) and is therefore omitted.

To prove (5) assume that $F^\leftarrow(F^\leftarrow(B))(y_0) \geq \alpha$, for some $y_0 \in Y, \alpha \in L$, then for each $\beta \ll \alpha$ there exist $x_0, y_1 \in Y$ such that $F(x_0, y_0) * F(x_0, y_1) * B(y_1) \geq \beta$. Therefore, by extensionality of $B$:
\[
B(y_0) \geq (E(y_1, y_1) \rightarrow E(y_1, y_0)) \cdot B(y_1) \\
\geq F(x_0, y_0) * F(x_0, y_1) * B(y_1) \\
\geq \beta,
\]
and hence, since $L$ is completely distributive, it follows that
\[
B(y_0) \geq F^\leftarrow(F^\leftarrow(B))(y_0)
\]
and thus $B \geq F^\leftarrow(F^\leftarrow(B))$. \hfill $\Box$

### 4.2. Injectivity, surjectivity and bijectivity of fuzzy functions.

A fuzzy function $F : (X, E_X, A) \rightarrow (Y, E_Y, B)$ is called **injective**, if
\[(\text{inj}) \quad F(x, y) * (E_Y(y, y) \rightarrow F(x', y)) \leq E_X(x, x') \quad \forall x, x' \in X, \forall y \in Y.
\]

Notice that injective fuzzy functions satisfy the following condition
\[(\text{inj}) \quad F(x, y) * F(x', y) \leq (E_X(x, x) \lor E_X(x', x')) \rightarrow E_X(x, x') \quad \forall x, x' \in X, \forall y \in Y.
\]

Indeed,
\[
F(x, y) * F(x', y) \leq F(x, y) * (E(y, y) \rightarrow F(x', y)) \\
\leq E(x, x') \\
\leq (E(x, x) \rightarrow E(x, x')).
\]

Notice, that in case when $E_Y$ is global, then (inj) just means that $F(x, y) * F(x', y) \leq E_X(x, x')$.

A fuzzy function $F : (X, E_X, A) \rightarrow (Y, E_Y, B)$ is called **$\alpha$-surjective** if it satisfies the following two conditions:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{(sur1)} & \quad \inf_y \sup_x F(x, y) \geq \alpha \\
\text{(sur2)} & \quad F^{-\leftarrow}(A) \geq B \odot \alpha.
\end{align*}
\]

In case $F$ is injective and $\alpha$-surjective, it is called **$\alpha$-bijective**.

**Remark 4.2.** Notice that in case $A = \top_X$ the second condition in the definition of $\alpha$-surjectivity (for any $B \in L^\gamma$, in particular, for $B = \top_Y$) follows from the first one. Moreover, in case $A = \top_X, B = \top_Y$ and if $\top$ acts as a unit with respect to $\odot$, the both conditions become equivalent.
Remark 4.3. Let \((X, E_X), (Y, E_Y)\) be \(L\)-valued sets and \((X', E_{X'})\), \((Y', E_{Y'})\) be their subspaces. Obviously, the restriction \(F^\eta : (X', E_{X'}) \to (Y', E_{Y'})\) of an injection \(F : (X, E_X) \to (Y, E_Y)\) is an injection. The restriction \(F^\eta : (X, E_X) \to (Y', E_{Y'})\) of an \(\alpha\)-surjection \(F : (X, E_X) \to (Y, E_Y)\) is an \(\alpha\)-surjection. On the other hand, generally the restriction \(F^\eta : (X, E_X) \to (Y, E_Y)\) of an \(\alpha\)-surjection \(F : (X, E_X) \to (Y, E_Y)\) may fail to be an \(\alpha\)-surjection.

A fuzzy function \(F : (X, E_X, A) \to (Y, E_Y, B)\) defines a fuzzy relation \(F^{-1} : (Y, E_Y, B) \to (X, E_X, A)\) by setting \(F^{-1}(y, x) = F(x, y) \quad \forall x \in X, \forall y \in Y\).

Proposition 4.4 (Basic properties of injections, \(\alpha\)-surjections and \(\alpha\)-bijections).

1. \(F^{-1}\) is a fuzzy function iff \(F\) is injective (actually \(F^{-1}\) satisfies (4ff) iff \(F\) satisfies (inj))

2. \(F\) is \(\alpha\)-bijections iff \(F^{-1}\) is \(\alpha\)-bijections.

3. If \(L\) is completely distributive and \(F\) satisfies (inj\#), then

\[
\bigwedge_i F^\to(A_i)^\alpha \leq F^\to\left(\bigwedge_i A_i\right) \leq F^\to(A_i) \quad \forall \{A_i : i \in I\} \subseteq L^X.
\]

In particular,

\(3_a\) \(\bigwedge_i F^\to(A_i)^\beta \leq F^\to\left(\bigwedge_i A_i\right) \leq \bigwedge_i F^\to(A_i)\) if \(\odot = \wedge\) and;

\(3_b\) \(F^\to(\bigwedge_i A_i) = \bigwedge_i F^\to(A_i)\) in case \(\odot = \ast\);

4. If \(F\) is \(\top\)-surjection, then \(F^\to(F^\to(B)) \geq B \quad \forall B \in L^Y\); and hence, in particular, \(F^\to(F^\to(B)) = B\) in case \(\odot = \ast\) and \(L\) is completely distributive.

Proof. The validity of (1) and (2) is obvious.

To show (3) fix \(y \in Y\) and let \((\bigwedge_i F^\to(A_i))(y) \geq \alpha\). Then for each coprime \(\beta \ll \alpha\) and each \(i \in I\) one can find \(x_i \in X\) such that \(F(x_i, y) \odot A_i(x_i) \geq \beta\) and hence, in particular, \(F(x_i, y) \geq \beta\). We fix some \(i_0\) and denote \(x_{i_0} := x_0, A_{i_0} := A_0\). By (inj\#) it is easy to conclude that \(E_X(x_i, x_i) \hookrightarrow E_X(x_0, x_i) \geq \beta^2\). Now, by extensionality of all \(A_i\) we get

\[
\beta^2 \leq \bigwedge_i \left[\left(F(x_i, y) \odot A_i(x_i)\right) * \beta^2\right] \\
\leq \left(F(x_0, y) \odot A_0(x_0)\right) \wedge \left[\bigwedge_{i \neq i_0} \left((F(x_i, y) \ast (E(x_i, x_i) \hookrightarrow E(x_i, x_0))) \odot \left(A_i(x_i) \ast (E(x_i, x_i) \hookrightarrow E(x_i, x_0))\right)\right)\right] \\
\leq \left(F(x_0, y) \odot A_0(x_0)\right) \wedge \left[\bigwedge_{i \neq i_0} \left((F(x_0, y)) \odot A_i(x_0)\right)\right] \\
= \left(F(x_0, y) \odot A_i(x_0)\right) \\
= \left((F(x_0, y)) \odot A_i(x_0)\right) \\
\leq F(A_i)(y).
\]

Since this holds for any \(\beta \ll \alpha\) and \(L\) is completely distributive we get

\[
\left(\bigwedge_i F^\to(A_i)\right)^\beta \leq F^\to(\bigwedge_i A_i) \leq F^\to(A_i).
\]
To show (4) let \( B(y_0) \geq \alpha \). Then
\[
F^+(F^+(B))(y_0) = \bigvee_x (F^+(B))(x) = (F^+(B))(y) = \bigvee_x (F(x, y_0)) \geq (F(x, y_0))_{y}(B(y_0)).
\]

Now, by \( \top \)-surjectivity of \( F \) we complete the proof noticing that
\[
F^+(F^+(B))(y_0) \geq \top \implies B(y_0) \geq B(y_0).
\]

\( \square \)

**Proposition 4.5.** Let \( F : X \times Y \to L \) be a fuzzy function and \( \mu(F) \geq \alpha \).
Then for each coprime \( \beta \leq \alpha \) there exists \( Z \subset Y \) such that the restriction \( G := F |_{X \times Z} : X \times Z \to L \) is a \( \beta \)-surjection and \( \mu(G) \geq \beta \).

**Proof.** Given coprime \( \beta \leq \alpha \), let \( Z := \{ y \mid \exists x \in X \text{ such that } (x, y) \geq \beta \} \), and let \( G := F : X \times Z \to L \) be the restriction of \( F \) to \( X \times Z \).

To show that \( \mu(G) \geq \beta \) assume that, contrary, \( \inf_x \sup_y F(x, y) = \mu(G) \not\geq \beta \). Then there would exist \( x_0 \in X \) such that \( F(x_0, y) \not\geq \beta \) for each \( y \in Z \).
On the other hand, from \( \mu(F) \geq \alpha \geq \beta \), it follows that for each \( x \in X \), in particular, for \( x_0 \) there exists \( y_0 \in Y \) such that \( F(x_0, y_0) \geq \beta \). Besides, by definition of \( Z \) it is clear that \( y_0 \in Z \). The obtained contradiction implies that \( \mu(G) \geq \beta \).

To show that \( G \) is \( \beta \)-surjective, assume that \( \inf_y \sup_x G(x, y) \not\geq \beta \).
It follows from here that there exists \( y_0 \in Z \) such that \( \sup_x G(x, y_0) = \sup_x F(x, y_0) \not\geq \beta \). However, this contradicts the definition of \( Z \). Thus the first condition of the definition of \( \beta \)-surjectivity holds. To conclude the proof it is sufficient to apply Remark 4.2.

\( \square \)

**Problem 4.6.** Is it true (at least in the case of a completely distributive lattice \( L \)), that given a fuzzy function \( F : X \times Y \to L \) where \( \mu(F) \geq \alpha \) there exists \( Z \subset Y \) such that the restriction \( G := F |_{X \times Z} : X \times Z \to L \) is an \( \alpha \)-surjection and \( \mu(G) \geq \alpha \)?

5. CONSTRUCTIONS IN THE FUZZY CATEGORY \( L - \mathcal{F}SET(L) \)

5.1. **Products.** Let \( L - \mathcal{F}SET^\top(L) \) be the subcategory of \( L - \mathcal{F}SET(L) \) having the same potential objects as \( L - \mathcal{F}SET(L) \) and only such potential morphisms \( F : X \times Y \to L \) from \( L - \mathcal{F}SET(L) \) which satisfy the following additional condition (a certain counterpart of the axiom of strictness and the weaken form of the axiom of preservation of equalities; see e.g. [6]):

\[
(\diamond) \quad F(x, y) \neq 0 \implies E(x, x) = E(y, y).
\]

Let \( \mathcal{Y} = \{ (Y_i, E_i, B_i) : i \in I \} \) be a family of \( L \)-valued sets, \( Y_0 = \{ (y_i)_i \in \prod_i Y_i \mid E_i(y_i, y_j) = E_j(y_j, y_i) \forall i, j \in I \} \), let \( B_0 \) be the restriction of \( B = \prod_i B_i \) to \( Y_0 \), and let \( E(y, y') = \bigwedge_i E_i(y_i, y'_i) \forall y = (y_i), y' = (y'_i) \in \mathcal{Y} \). Further, let \( \pi_i : Y_0 \to Y_i \) be the restriction of the projection \( p_i : \prod_i Y_i \to Y_i \) to \( Y_0 \).
The pair \( (Y, E) \) thus defined is the product of the family \( \mathcal{Y} \) in the category
L → \mathcal{F}SET^\Diamond(L). Indeed let F_i : (X, E_X, A) → (Y_i, E_{Y_i}, B_i), i ∈ I, be a family of fuzzy functions in L → \mathcal{F}SET^\Diamond(L) and let F := \Delta_i F_i : (X, E_X, A) → (Y_0, E_Y, B), be defined by F(x, y) = \bigwedge_i F_i(x, y_i). Then F is a fuzzy function. Indeed, the validity of (0ff), (1ff), (3ff) and (4ff) is easy to verify directly applying the corresponding axiom for all F_i, while the validity of (2ff) is guaranteed by the condition (\diamond) for all F_i, i ∈ I. Besides, it is clear that F_i = \pi_i \circ F and that μ(F) = \bigwedge_i μ(F_i). Thus, (Y_0, E_Y, B) is indeed the product of the family (Y_i, E_{Y_i}, B_i) in L → \mathcal{F}SET^\Diamond(L). Notice, that the condition \diamond obviously holds for the subcategory L → \mathcal{F}SET(L) of L → \mathcal{F}SET(L). Moreover, if all (Y_i, E_i) are taken from L → \mathcal{F}SET(L), then Y_0 = \prod_i Y_i.

5.2. Coproducts. Let \mathcal{X} = \{(X_i, A_i, E_i) : i ∈ I\} be a family of L-valued sets, let X_0 = \bigcup X_i be the disjoint sum of sets X_i and let A_0 ∈ L^X be defined by A_0(x) = A_i(x) whenever x ∈ X_i. Further, let q_i : X_i → X_0 be the inclusion map. We introduce the L-equality on X_0 by setting E(x, x') = E_i(x, x') if (x, x') ∈ X_i × X_i for some i ∈ I and E(x, x') = 0 otherwise (cf [6]). Then (X_0, A_0, E) is the coproduct of \mathcal{X} in L → \mathcal{F}SET(L) (and hence also in L → \mathcal{F}SET^\Diamond(L)).

Indeed, let F_i : (X_i, A_i, E_i) → (Y, B, E_Y), i ∈ I, be a family of fuzzy functions in L → \mathcal{F}SET(L) and let F := \oplus_i F_i : \oplus(X_i, A_i, E_i) → Y, B, E_Y) be defined by F(x, i) = F_i(x_i, y) whenever x = x_i ∈ X_i. Then the direct verification shows that F is a fuzzy function, F_i = F \circ q_i and μ(F) = \bigvee_i μ(F_i).

Theorem 5.1 (Factorization of a family of α-morphisms). Let

F_i : (X, E, A) → (Y_i, E_i, B_i)

be a family of fuzzy α-functions in L → \mathcal{F}SET^\Diamond(L). Then for every β ≪ α there exists a fuzzy β-surjective function \text{G} : (X, E, A) → (Z, E_Z, C) and a family of usual functions π_i : (Z, C, E_Z) → (Y_i, B_i, E_i) separating points such that F_i = G \circ π_i for every i ∈ I.

Proof. Indeed, let (Y, E_Y) = \prod_{i ∈ I} (Y_i, E_i) be the product in L → \mathcal{F}SET^\Diamond(L) and let F = \Delta_{i ∈ I} F_i : X × \prod_{i ∈ I} Y_i → Y. Further, given β ≪ α, let Z ⊂ Y and \text{G} : X × Z → Y have the same meaning as in Proposition 4.1 and let C := G(A). Thus, by Proposition 4.1 we conclude that \text{G} : (X, A, E_X) → (Z, C, E_Z) is a β-

surjective fuzzy function and μ(\text{G}) ≥ β. To complete the proof it is sufficient to notice that the mappings π_i : Z → Y_i defined as the restrictions of projections \text{p}_X : Y → Y_i separate points of Z and that F_i = π_i \circ G.

6. Fuzzy categories related to algebra and topology with fuzzy functions as morphisms.

On the basis of L → \mathcal{F}SET(L) some fuzzy categories related to topology and algebra can be naturally defined. Here are three examples:

Definition 6.1 (Fuzzy category \mathcal{F}TOP(L)). Let (X, E_X) be an L-valued set and let τ_X ⊂ L^X be the (Chang-Goguen) L-topology on X, [2], [4], [5]; see also [9]. A fuzzy function F : (X, E_X, τ_X) → (Y, E_Y, τ_Y) is called continuous if
$F(V) \in \tau_X$ for all $V \in \tau_Y$. L-topological spaces and continuous fuzzy mappings between them form the fuzzy category $\mathcal{F}\text{TOP}(L)$.

**Definition 6.2** (Fuzzy category $\mathcal{F}\text{TOP}(L)$). Let $(X, E_X)$ be an $L$-valued set and let $\mathcal{T}_X : L^X \to L$ be the L-fuzzy topology on $X$, [16], [9]. A fuzzy function $F : (X, E_X, \mathcal{T}_X) \to (Y, E_Y, \mathcal{T}_Y)$ is called continuous if $\mathcal{T}_X(F(V)) \geq \mathcal{T}_Y(V)$ for all $V \in L^X$. L-fuzzy topological spaces and continuous fuzzy mappings between them form the fuzzy category $\mathcal{F}\text{TOP}(L)$.

**Definition 6.3** (A fuzzy category $L - \mathcal{F}Gr(L)$). Let $X$ be a group and $E_X$ be an $L$-valued equality on $X$ such that $E_X(x \cdot y, x' \cdot y') \geq E_X(x, x') * E_X(y, y')$ for all $x, x', y, y' \in X$. Further, let $G_X : X \to L$ be an (extensional) $L$-subgroup of $X$ (see e.g. [10], [13]). A fuzzy function $F : (X, E_X, G_X) \to (Y, E_Y, G_Y)$ is called a fuzzy homomorphism if $F(x \cdot x', y \cdot y') \geq F(x, y) * F(x', y')$ for all $x, x' \in X, y, y' \in Y$. L-subgroups of groups endowed with $L$-valued equalities, and fuzzy homomorphisms between them form a fuzzy category $L - \mathcal{F}Gr(L)$.

These and some other fuzzy categories with fuzzy functions in the role of fuzzy morphisms will be studied elsewhere.
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