Design of F-band Transition from Microstrip to Ridge Gap Waveguide including Monte Carlo Assembly Tolerance Analysis
Astrid Algaba Brazález, Jonas Flygare, Jian Yang, Senior Member, IEEE, Vessen Vassilev, Mariano Baquero-Escudero, Member, IEEE and Per-Simon Kildal, Fellow, IEEE

Abstract—This paper describes the design and realization of a transition from a microstrip line to a ridge gap waveguide operating between 95 and 115 GHz. The study includes simulations, measurements, and a Monte Carlo analysis of the assembly tolerances. The purpose of this tolerance study is to identify the most critical misalignments that affect the circuit performance, and to provide guidelines about the assembly tolerance requirements for the proposed transition design.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The fast development that wireless communication is currently experiencing and the need to fulfill higher capacity demands have motivated the urge to investigate new low loss technologies that can replace conventional microstrips, coplanar waveguides (CPW), striplines and standard waveguides at high frequencies. High functionality, performance and integration of active and passive components in minimal volumes set a big challenge for emerging millimeter-wave (mm-wave) technologies. There exists a need of three-dimensional vertical integrated circuits to constitute low volume RF modules [1], but traditional technologies such as microstrip and CPW experience a clear degradation in performance as the frequency increases due to presence of surface waves, radiation problems and other parasitic coupling issues. Standard waveguides do not constitute a cost-effective and easy-to-integrate approach for large corporate feed networks of antenna arrays at mm-waves because it is very difficult to have a good mental contact between the upper and lower blocks, where the E-plane split-block waveguide technology [2] cannot be used.

The recently introduced gap waveguide in [3] and [4] constitutes a new approach for mm-wave frequency ranges since it is able to overcome those critical issues of traditional technologies. Gap waveguides are based on the field cut-off established by two parallel Perfect Electric Conductor (PEC) and Perfect Magnetic Conductor (PMC) layers which are separated by an air-gap smaller than quarter wavelength. Any electromagnetic wave is forbidden to propagate between these two layers, with the exception of local waves that can follow strips, ridges or grooves embedded within the parallel PEC-PMC. The PMC condition can be obtained by an Artificial Magnetic Conductor (AMC) in the form of a periodic surface, and together with a PEC layer ensures the removal of any surface waves and parallel-plate modes. The frequency band in which this cutoff is effective is called stopband and has been thoroughly investigated in [5] by applying different types of AMC. The cutoff principle ensures a greater application potential of the gap waveguide than standard waveguides and microstrip lines at mm-waves. First, there is no requirement for good mechanical contact between the metal blocks composing the gap waveguide circuits. Second, this technology has lower loss than microstrip lines since no dielectric material is needed [6]. Third, the surface waves that microstrip lines usually suffer from are efficiently suppressed by the gap waveguide. Moreover, gap waveguide has been also applied as a suitable technique for suppressing radiation from microstrip passive components and increase the isolation between microwave modules [7]–[9], i.e., as a packaging structure.

A simple transition from ridge gap waveguide to coaxial connector was developed and presented in [10] in order to allow measurements of a Ku-band ridge gap waveguide demonstrator described in [11]. This type of transition has been employed in most of our ridge and groove gap waveguide prototypes operating at microwave frequencies. However, new versions of gap waveguide transitions are required as the frequency increases. Several suitable transitions working at 60 GHz have been already studied and experimentally validated [12], [13]. In addition, some investigations on transitions between a ridge gap waveguide and standard rectangular waveguides at 0.3 THz have been also initiated. Difficulties to perfectly align the ridge gap circuit and the waveguide flange via an inline transition designed by means of steps, which significantly degraded the measurement results, motivated the need of identifying other transition alternatives. Thereby, we decided to investigate transitions from planar structures (coplanar waveguide [14] and microstrip [15]) to a ridge gap waveguide operating in F-band (90-140 GHz).
reason to choose this frequency band is that we have available “on wafer” probe stations operating up to 125 GHz, which allows us to test those transition designs.

As mentioned, any misalignment between the blocks composing a mm-wave circuit can cause a serious perturbation on its performance. Due to the small wavelengths at frequencies above 100 GHz, the tolerance requirements on manufacturing and assembly are stricter than at lower frequencies. Therefore, it is important to know the effect of tolerances on the performance of mm-wave devices in order to impose a proper tolerance requirement. The assembly of a gap waveguide circuit involves an unavoidable human factor that makes this process extremely critical in terms of tolerances. This fact motivates the tolerance study presented in this paper, which is based on a Monte Carlo analysis applied on the designed transition. The cumulative distribution function (CDF) of a random combination of different assembly misalignments for the reflection coefficient of the transition has been extracted. This provides a good guideline for imposing the assembly requirement.

Sections II and III of this paper deal with the design of an F-band transition between microstrip and ridge gap waveguide. This design is a continuation of a preliminary one introduced in [15], which has been suitably improved now. Sections IV and V focus on the Monte Carlo assembly tolerance analysis to identify the most critical assembly misalignments.

II. GEOMETRY AND DESIGN OF F-BAND MICROSTRIP TO RIDGE GAP WAVEGUIDE TRANSITION

A transition design between microstrip and ridge gap waveguide based on mechanical pressure contact was reported in [16], with measured return loss of 14 dB over 55% relative bandwidth at Ka-band. The pressure contact approach becomes quite complex to be realized at frequencies above 100 GHz because the height of the air gap in the gap waveguide must be kept equal to the thickness of the substrate. It is also difficult to control the pressure contact area due to the small dimensions of our components at mm-wave frequencies. This motivated the investigation of a new transition approach.

The first step in the design of gap waveguide components is to determine the dimensions of the pin surface to ensure the stopband that covers the operating frequency band. The dimensions of our gap waveguide geometry as well as its dispersion diagram are shown in Fig. 1(a) and Fig. 1(b), respectively. The dispersion diagram was simulated by using CST Eigenmode solver and considering periodic boundary conditions in both X and Z directions. The obtained stopband covers the range of frequencies between 70 and 135.8 GHz where only a quasi-TEM mode is allowed to propagate along the path settled by the ridge.

In our transition design, the transformation of the quasi-TEM mode of a microstrip line into the quasi-TEM mode of the ridge gap waveguide is achieved via electromagnetic field coupling. This interconnection approach was introduced in [17] and [18], for the design of mm-wave transitions between microstrip lines and coplanar waveguides and proposed as an alternative to bond-wires when interconnecting Millimeter-wave Monolithic Integrated Circuits (MMICs) to a carrier substrate. This coupling principle consists of overlapping quarter-wavelength transmission line segments, where matching elements can be also included to improve the transition performance. Another successful employment of this interconnection method is presented in [19], where a vertical CPW-to-microstrip transition operating in W-band shows wideband and low loss performance.

Our F-band transition composed of two layers is illustrated in Fig. 2. The first layer is a metal plate that contains the ridge gap waveguide interface. The second layer is a Printed Circuit Board (PCB) that includes a microstrip circuit, and the ground plane of the PCB is soldered into the bottom metal plate. The employed substrate is alumina with thickness \( h = 0.127 \text{ mm} \), dielectric constant \( \varepsilon_r = 9.8 \) and loss tangent \( \tan \delta = 0.0002 \) (evaluated at 10 GHz according to the material supplier). As shown in Fig. 2, the ridge gap waveguide is placed upside down in the upper metal plate, whilst the PCB positioned in the bottom metal layer is facing upwards towards the ridge. All metallic parts are gold plated.

The transition from ridge gap waveguide to microstrip is achieved via the electromagnetic coupling established when overlapping a ridge section with a microstrip line segment. These transmission line segments are not in physical contact, i.e., constituting a contactless transition. Therefore, the coupling is achieved through the existent air gap between the
ridge and the microstrip line. Since the gap between the bottom side of the pin surface and the lower metal plate is 0.2 mm (as represented in Fig. 1(a)), considering the thickness of the substrate and the thickness of the metal strips in the PCB (10 μm), the separation between the ridge and the microstrip segment is then \( g_2 = 63 \mu m \) as illustrated in Fig. 3. The distance between the top side of the substrate and the bottom face of the pin is \( g_1 = 73 \mu m \).

There are two circuits placed at both sides of the PCB (see Fig. 4) in order to analyze the transitions in back-to-back configuration. Each of these circuits is composed of two sections. First, a transition between CPW and microstrip is needed since the measurements will be performed by using Ground-Signal-Ground (GSG) wafer probes. The dimensions of the coplanar waveguide have been chosen in such a way that an input impedance of 50 Ω is ensured as well as the pitch in the CPW circuit is kept below 100 μm. The transition from CPW to microstrip is designed by means of an intermediate tapered section whose width is gradually increased to match the width of a 50 Ω microstrip line allowing a smooth field transformation. We have included via holes that connect the ground pads of the CPW to the ground plane in order to suppress potential parallel plate modes. The second part of the transition is a 50 Ω microstrip line terminated by a rectangular patch. This patch constitutes a matching section that overlaps a certain ridge segment, which is located in the upper layer. Therefore, the complete transition geometry includes a CPW-to-microstrip interface and a microstrip-to-ridge gap waveguide transition. Fig. 4 shows that a certain central area of the PCB has been cut out, allowing the electromagnetic fields to propagate along and between the ridge gap waveguide and the lower metal plate through air media. At the same time, we keep both circuits that constitute the back-to-back transitions in the same PCB instead of having two separate PCBs. This ensures that we get a better mechanical alignment between the two circuits, since different boards would need to be soldered separately and that involves a higher risk to get poor alignment. The dimensions of the CPW-microstrip circuits are summarized in Table I.

The ridge is composed of three sections: a central ridge with length equal to 11.6 mm (approximately 4λ at 100 GHz), and two additional side ridge sections that overlap the microstrip patches of the PCB layer. One side ridge section receives the signal coupled from the microstrip patch, the main ridge guides the signal to the output, and then the second side ridge section couples the signal to the second microstrip patch. The length of the microstrip patch and the side ridge section have been initially set as \( \lambda_g/4 \) and \( \lambda/4 \) respectively (where \( \lambda_g = 1.12 \) mm and \( \lambda = 3 \) mm for 100 GHz). Afterwards, a parametric sweep of that length, the width of the microstrip segments and the width of the side ridges is performed in order to improve the matching. We have observed that we get best transition performance when the width of the side ridges follows the shape of the microstrip patch. Fig. 5 illustrates the top view of the complete geometry, as well as the overlapped area between the microstrip section and the ridge gap waveguide. We have also placed two extra pins before and after the ridge with the aim to maintain the microstrip line properly packaged avoiding possible radiation.

### III. Simulation and Measurement Results

The proposed transition geometry has been numerically analyzed in terms of S-parameters by using CST Microwave Studio. The simulation result of this structure is presented in Fig. 6. The simulation shows that return loss is larger than 15 dB in about 23.6% relative bandwidth for the gap waveguide prototype. The resulting insertion loss is lower than 1.3 dB over this bandwidth, which implies 0.65 dB for a single transition. Note that the contribution from the transition from CPW to microstrip is included but the actual objective is to design a transition from microstrip to ridge gap waveguide. Therefore, the insertion loss of our transition is lower if we exclude the loss of the CPW-microstrip section, which is possible by applying a Thru-Reflect-Line (TRL) de-embedding method.
The gap waveguide layers have been manufactured by using a Computer Numerical Control (CNC) milling machine. Milling is a very precise manufacturing technique and the tolerance in the pin/ridge size, height and period has been found to be in the order of $\pm 1 \mu$m. The PCBs have been fabricated by standard photolithography process. According to the specifications from the PCB manufacturer (CoorsTek), the dimensions of the etched strips as well as the existent gaps between the ground pads and signal pad that constitute the CPW, show a tolerance of $\pm 2.54 \mu$m (verified by using a microscope). The via holes of the CPW ground pads have been realized by laser drilling and are gold plated. The central area of the PCB has been cut-out by laser as well. The most critical tolerances regarding the PCB manufacturing have been found to be the corresponding ones to the via hole drilling (hole diameter and hole location have a tolerance of $\pm 51 \mu$m), and the overall substrate dimensions ($\pm 25.4 \mu$m). The substrate is actually 18 $\mu$m thicker than the selected standard one, the metal strip is 6 $\mu$m thinner and the ground plane is 5 $\mu$m thicker. These tolerance values are quite significant compared to the overall circuit dimensions and the wavelength value at the frequency of operation. Therefore, tolerances will certainly have an impact on the circuit performance.

A picture of the manufactured prototype including soldered PCB to the metal plate is shown in Fig. 7. The assembly of the metal blocks is done by using four screws. The PCB needs to be suitably attached to a metal plate as illustrated in Fig. 8. The PCB is placed between two side steps of thickness equal to 0.5 mm that, together with the side steps of the upper metal plate (of thickness equal to 0.47 mm), contribute to keep the required gap constant. During this assembly process, it is found difficult to keep the air-gap distance constant because the soldering liquid might add up to several tens of micrometers of thickness below the PCB, thus lifting the board in an uneven way which results in a smaller air-gap at some points of the prototype. A decrease in the air-gap constitutes a change in the transition performance since the coupling between the microstrip patch and the ridge section becomes different. One solution to counteract this effect is to increase the depth of the groove of the bottom metal plate that exists between the side steps (see Fig. 8) where the PCB is soldered, and estimate in advance how much thickness the soldering liquid might add. However, there is an unavoidable human factor on the soldering process and it is difficult to achieve exactly 73 $\mu$m of constant gap. After soldering, the straight ridge gap waveguide prototype shows a gap of 50 $\mu$m at both sides.

The fabricated F-band prototypes have been measured by employing wafer-probe stations equipped with coplanar launchers and a Vector Network Analyzer (VNA) together with two frequency extension modules as shown in Fig. 9. A comparison between simulated and experimental transmission properties is plotted in Fig. 10. In order to achieve a fairer comparison, we have introduced the known data regarding tolerances (via hole diameter, substrate and metal strips characteristics, ground plane thickness, and obtained air-gaps after soldering) in our CST model. The first observation on the comparison between simulations and measurements is a degradation on the prototype performance with respect to the simulation results presented in Fig. 6, clearly caused by the
PCB manufacturing and assembly tolerances.

In the obtained experimental results shown in Fig. 10(a) we can identify a resonance peak at 93 GHz that has been observed in all measurements. One reason that could explain the origin of the resonance is that the ground pads of the CPW behave as two patch antennas with a coplanar feed along one side of each patch. This resonance phenomena was studied in [20] and [21] where an expression to obtain the resonance frequencies is given. The resonance frequency depends on the width and length of the CPW ground pad. Using that expression and our corresponding pad dimensions we obtain the first resonance at 91.8 GHz, which is very close to the measured resonance.

Fig. 6. Simulated S-parameters of transition geometry with straight ridge gap waveguide.

Fig. 7. Straight ridge gap waveguide and corresponding PCB soldered into metal layer.

Fig. 8. Description of assembly of mechanical parts for the straight ridge gap waveguide prototype.

Fig. 9. Measurement setup for F-band prototype on wafer-probe station. Full setup view is shown to the left and the probes are shown to the right.

Fig. 10. (a) Simulated and measured S-parameters of back-to-back transition between microstrip and straight ridge gap waveguide. (b) Zoomed S-parameters to visualize insertion loss.
Thereby, it could be possible that the ground CPW pads radiate energy causing the resonance. In spite of this resonance peak and a small frequency shift of about 3 GHz, simulated and measured return loss are about same level between 98 GHz and 119 GHz. Fig. 10(b) represents a zoomed view of the simulated and measured $|S_{21}|$ parameter. By extracting the mismatch factor to the $|S_{21}|$ values we obtain the dissipative contribution of the loss, which is smaller than 1.9 dB for both simulations and measurements in the frequency interval 98 - 119 GHz.

IV. MONTE CARLO TOLERANCE ANALYSIS

Measurement results show a degradation of the transition performance most likely due to PCB manufacturing and prototype assembly tolerances. For mechanical design purpose and manufacturing specifications, it is exemplified in related areas [22] – [24], that Monte Carlo based tolerance analysis is a useful approach in multi-parameter analysis [25]. Our measurement results indicate that misalignments in the air gap between the ridge and the PCB during the assembly of the prototype is of distinct importance. Furthermore, the horizontal displacement of the PCB with respect to the ridge gap waveguide caused during the soldering process could also be a critical factor that affects the transition performance. Our aim is to identify the most critical parameters in order to give suitable guidelines on designing the mechanical parts of gap waveguides at mm-wave frequencies. A MATLAB/CST code is created to emulate these misalignment factors on our transition design over a large set of samples. The MATLAB script modifies the CST model tolerance parameters for each sample and logs the output results for statistical analysis. We implement the Monte Carlo technique to draw random values from specified distributions for all displacement parameters under test independently of each other. Uniform distribution is considered to efficiently evaluate a worst case scenario and identify the most critical tolerance parameter. CST Microwave Studio is a Finite Difference Time Domain (FDTD) electromagnetic solver, and therefore, the mesh resolution is important to consider in order to have a valid tolerance analysis. The random misalignment change must be larger than the smallest mesh step in the model. This will be detailed in section IV-B.

A. Tolerance parameters under test

Three types of assembly misalignments are included in this analysis: a) the gap misalignment between the top PCB surface and the bottom of the pins at each port with respect to the nominal air gap of 73 μm (Fig. 11(a)); b) PCB horizontal translational misalignment (Fig. 11(b)); c) PCB rotational misalignment around the center point of the horizontal face (Fig. 11(c)). We describe the gap waveguide performance as a function $W$ of these five tolerance parameters:

$$|S_{xx}| = W(\Delta g_1, \Delta g_2, \Delta t_x, \Delta t_z, \Delta r)$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where $\Delta g_1$ and $\Delta g_2$ are random gap misalignments at ports 1 and 2 respectively, $\Delta t_x$ and $\Delta t_z$ are the PCB translational misalignments along X and Z directions, respectively, and $\Delta r$ the angle of the PCB rotational misalignment. $|S_{xx}|$ represents the reflection and transmission coefficient output.

B. Mesh and tolerance resolution

To be confident that the misalignment under test is meshed properly, the smallest tolerance step (smallest misalignment) in the simulation, $\Delta_{sts}$, must be larger than the smallest mesh step, $\Delta_{sm}$, in the mesh grid. The importance of this is illustrated in Fig. 12. To ensure that simulated tolerances in this study were valid, a large set of pre-samples were created. From these we evaluated that $\Delta_{sts} = 2.3 \mu m$ or smaller for all the samples. This means that with $\Delta_{sts} = 3 \mu m$ that was used, we are confident that the simulations are valid.

C. Tolerance intervals under test

The tolerance intervals under test have been extracted from our own observations when assembling the manufactured prototypes. The most extreme gap misalignment ($\Delta g_1$, $\Delta g_2$) was measured to be in the interval $[-23, 47] \mu m$ from nominal
gap distance of 73 µm. The PCB is soldered within a groove that is ±20 µm wider than the PCB width, therefore PCB translational misalignment (Δtx, Δtz) in the interval [−20, 20] µm and rotational (Δr) within [−0.5°, 0.5°] from the nominal design were considered for investigation. The smallest tolerance step was, as mentioned previously, set to Δsts = 3 µm for the gap and translational PCB tolerance parameters. The step of the rotational misalignment is set as 0.01° by considering the misaligned size at the ridge ends due to the linear scaling along the length of the waveguide.

V. TOLERANCE ANALYSIS RESULTS

The S-parameters obtained from 719 samples, which constitutes the complete simulation set, is represented with upper and lower (nominal) limit in Fig. 13. The corresponding tolerance parameter distributions in histogram form are shown in Fig. 14. Table II represents a summary of the tolerance intervals for each parameter under study and the mean, Standard Deviation (STD) and Root Mean Square (RMS) of the parameter distributions extracted from the obtained sample data shown in Fig. 13.

| Table II. Distribution statistics of the five tolerance parameters for the 719 simulation samples of Fig. 13. Parameters are displayed as misalignment from nominal value. |
|---------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Δs1 (µm)                       | Min    | Max    | Mean   | STD    | RMS    |
| Δs2 (µm)                       | -23.00 | 46.00  | 9.63   | 20.51  | 22.64  |
| Δr2 (µm)                       | -23.00 | 46.00  | 11.33  | 19.02  | 22.13  |
| Δr1 (µm)                       | -20.00 | 19.00  | -2.00  | 11.52  | 11.78  |
| Δr2 (µm)                       | -20.00 | 19.00  | -1.56  | 11.37  | 11.47  |
| Δr1 (°)                        | -0.50  | 0.49   | 0.01   | 0.29   | 0.29   |

If we set the requirement for |S11| < −15 dB over 95–115 GHz, 242 samples out of the total 719 fulfill it. Fig. 15 and 16 show the reflection and transmission coefficients represented by upper and lower (nominal) limit and the tolerance parameter distribution of the 242 cases that fulfilled the previous condition, respectively. We can observe in Fig. 16 that Δtx, Δtz and Δr are approximately uniform distributed over the
Fig. 15. 242 simulation samples out of 719 (≈ 33%) show $|S_{11}| < -15$ dB from 95 to 115 GHz, here represented with upper and lower (nominal) limit of S-Parameters. Black dotted line represents the nominal performance.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\Delta_{g1}$ ($\mu$m)</th>
<th>Max</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>STD</th>
<th>RMS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-23.00</td>
<td>19.00</td>
<td>-4.59</td>
<td>10.66</td>
<td>11.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta_{g2}$ ($\mu$m)</td>
<td>-23.00</td>
<td>28.00</td>
<td>1.61</td>
<td>11.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta_{r1}$ ($\mu$m)</td>
<td>-20.00</td>
<td>19.00</td>
<td>-1.29</td>
<td>11.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta_{r2}$ ($\mu$m)</td>
<td>-20.00</td>
<td>19.00</td>
<td>-0.81</td>
<td>11.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta_{r}$ (°)</td>
<td>-0.50</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table III. Distribution statistics of the five tolerance parameter histograms for the 242 simulation samples of Fig. 15. Parameters are displayed as misalignment from nominal value.

tolerance interval under test (section IV-C), whilst $\Delta_{g1}$ and $\Delta_{g2}$ show a Gaussian distribution over $[-23, 28]$ $\mu$m, with a STD of 11 $\mu$m approximately (see Table III). This means that $\Delta_{g1}$ and $\Delta_{g2}$ are the two most critical tolerance parameters for the assembly tolerance. We have also identified that the tolerance interval $[-23, 28]$ $\mu$m for $\Delta_{g1}$ and $\Delta_{g2}$ does not guarantee a reflection coefficient below $-15$ dB; there are 445 samples with $\Delta_{g1}$ and $\Delta_{g2}$ within $[-23, 28]$ $\mu$m. This means that approximately 54% of the samples with $\Delta_{g1}$, $\Delta_{g2}$ within $[-23, 28]$ $\mu$m (together with some random combination of the other three misalignments $\Delta_{tx1}$, $\Delta_{tx2}$ and $\Delta_{r}$) achieve the requirement of $|S_{11}| < -15$ dB. Therefore, we have extracted several cumulative distribution function (CDF) curves for different $\Delta_{g1}$ and $\Delta_{g2}$ tolerance intervals, as shown in Fig. 17. If we set the tolerance interval of $\Delta_{g1}$, $\Delta_{g2}$ to $[-10, 10]$ $\mu$m together with the other misalignment tolerances (as defined in section IV-C), the possibility to fulfill the requirement of $|S_{11}| < -15$ dB is 98%, close to 100%.

Fig. 16. Histogram distribution of the five tolerance parameters, for the 242 simulation samples of Fig. 15. Parameters are displayed as misalignment from nominal value.

Fig. 17. Each CDF curve represents obtained samples when both gaps misalignment have values within the interval specified in the legend. The curves show the percentage of these samples (within each interval) where $|S_{11}|$ performance is better than a certain dB level (x-axis) for the frequency band of 95 – 115 GHz. The red dash-dotted curve represents all the samples and hence the largest misalignment interval.

VI. CONCLUSION

We have proposed an F-band transition from standard microstrip to ridge gap waveguide via electromagnetic coupling. The transition has been numerically analyzed in back-to-back structure, showing return loss larger than 15 dB over more than 23% relative bandwidth, and insertion loss lower than
1.3 dB. Assembly and PCB manufacturing tolerances degrade the transition performance as seen in the presented comparison between simulations and measurements. Still, we could achieve a reasonably good agreement between simulated/measured return loss and dissipation factor in about 15% relative bandwidth.

The presented Monte Carlo tolerance analysis establishes guidelines and requirements for the assembly process. It is clear from this study that the misalignment in the gap distance is the most important tolerance factor that affects the S-parameter performance. We can conclude that a tolerance interval for gap misalignment within $[-10, 10] \mu m$ (which implies $[-\lambda/300, \lambda/300]$ in terms of wavelength), PCB translational misalignment in both horizontal directions within $[-20, 20] \mu m \ ([-\lambda/150, \lambda/150])$ and PCB rotational misalignment within $[-0.5^\circ, 0.5^\circ]$ during assembly gives a possibility close to 100% to fulfill the performance requirement $|S_{11}| < -15$ dB over the frequency band of 95 – 115 GHz. Another relevant conclusion from this work is that this transition prototype is assembled in such a way that gap misalignments are very probable to happen. Therefore, the assembly process should be considered in advance when designing high frequency gap waveguide prototypes in order to mitigate the misalignments as much as possible by paying special attention to how to stabilize the air-gap.

In spite of the observed issues related to the assembly tolerances, this proposed transition becomes an interesting solution for integrating MMICs with ridge gap waveguide circuits. The gap waveguide interface keeps the active components suitably packaged and provides electrical isolation among adjacent RF chips avoiding any possible interference or coupling. The next step of this work will constitute the investigation of the employment of the presented transition to interconnect an amplifier to a ridge gap waveguide.
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