

Contents

1	Introduction	1
1.1	Irony and Sarcasm	1
1.2	Irony and Sarcasm in Social Media	3
1.3	Computational Linguistic Approaches	6
1.4	Affective Information in Irony	9
1.5	Research Questions and Objectives	11
1.6	Contributions	12
1.7	Structure of the Thesis	13
2	Irony, Sarcasm, and Sentiment Analysis	17
2.1	Introduction	18
2.2	Irony and Sarcasm Detection	20
2.2.1	Irony Detection	21
2.2.2	Sarcasm Detection	23
2.3	Figurative Language and Sentiment Analysis	26
2.3.1	Sentiment Polarity Classification at Evalita 2014	26
2.3.2	Sentiment Analysis in Twitter at SemEval 2014 and 2015	28
2.3.3	Sentiment Analysis of Figurative Language in Twitter at SemEval 2015	29
2.4	Future Trends and Directions	31
2.5	Conclusions	31
3	Applying Basic Features from Sentiment Analysis for Automatic Irony Detection	33
3.1	Introduction	34
3.2	Related Work	35
3.3	Proposed Features	35
3.4	Experiments and Results	37
3.5	Conclusions	41
4	Irony Detection in Twitter: The Role of Affective Content	43
4.1	Introduction	44
4.2	Related Work	46
4.3	Evaluation Datasets	48
4.4	Our Approach: The emotIDM model	51

4.4.1	Irony Detection Model (IDM)	51
4.4.2	emotIDM: Irony Detection Model + Emotional Information	53
4.5	Experiments	60
4.5.1	Discussion	62
4.5.2	Feature Analysis: Information Gain	64
4.6	Conclusion and future work	66
5	Figurative Messages and Affect in Twitter: Differences between #irony, #sarcasm and #not	69
5.1	Introduction	70
5.2	Irony, Sarcasm <i>et Similia</i>	72
5.3	Dataset and Lexical Resources	74
5.4	Features: A quantitative Analysis	77
5.4.1	Structural and Tweet Features	78
5.4.2	Affective Features	79
5.5	Classification Experiments	86
5.5.1	Analysis of Features	87
5.5.2	Information Gain	90
5.6	Conclusions	92
6	Sentiment Polarity Classification of Figurative Language: Exploring the Role of Irony-Aware and Multifaceted Affect Fea- tures	95
6.1	Introduction	96
6.2	Sentiment Analysis and Figurative Language	98
6.3	Our Proposal	98
6.3.1	Irony Detection Model	99
6.3.2	Sentiment Analysis Model	99
6.4	Evaluation	100
6.4.1	Results	101
6.5	Conclusions	103
7	Discussion of the results	105
7.1	Introduction	106
7.2	emotIDM: A model for Detecting Irony and Sarcasm in Twitter	107
7.3	emotIDM: An Ablation Test on Affect-related Features	110
7.4	emotIDM: Evaluating Imbalanced Scenarios in Twitter	121
7.5	A Qualitative Analysis of Affective Resources	126
7.5.1	Sentiment Resources	126
7.5.2	Emotion Categories Resources	127
7.6	Conclusions	129
8	Conclusions and Future Work	131
8.1	Conclusions	131
8.2	Research Contributions	133
8.3	Future Work	136

A Ablation Test in TwMohammad2015 and TwRiloff2013	139
B Ablation Test by Group of Affective Features	141
C An Emotional-graph Representation of Sarcastic Tweets	149
C.1 Sentiment Words Distribution	149
C.2 Emotional Words Distribution	150
C.3 Discovering Communities of Words in Sarcastic Tweets	152
D A Qualitative Analysis of Affective Resources	155