

Content Index

Figure index	14
Table index	16
Chapter 1. General introduction	19
1.1. Oomycetes and the genus <i>Phytophthora</i>	21
1.1.1. Water sources as hotspot for <i>Phytophthora</i>	24
1.2. Species identification via DNA analysis: from DNA-barcoding to metabarcoding	25
1.3. Molecular methods for <i>Phytophthora</i> detection in environmental samples	29
1.4. From Sanger to Next Generation Sequencing technologies	32
Chapter 2. Objectives	47
Chapter 3. The use of genus-specific amplicon pyrosequencing to assess <i>Phytophthora</i> species diversity using eDNA from soil and water in northern Spain	51
Chapter 4. Metabarcoding and development of new real-time specific assays reveal <i>Phytophthora</i> species diversity in holm oak forests in eastern Spain	83
Chapter 5. Environmental DNA sampling methods in aquatic environments suitable for <i>Phytophthora</i> metabarcoding studies	111
Chapter 6. General discussion	141
Chapter 7. Conclusions	149
<i>Index</i>	

Figure Index

- Figure 1.1.** Phylogenetic tree showing the relations between oomycetes, fungi and other kingdoms. Extracted from Rossman & Palm (2006) 22
- Figure 1.2.** Sequence distribution of the most common DNA regions used for *Phytophthora* identification. Search was performed against NCBI Database, accessed on 25th January 2017 27
- Figure 1.3.** Representation of ribosomal DNA (rDNA) polycistron from eukaryotic organisms, including the ITS1 and ITS2 regions, separated by the 5.8S gene and flanked by the 18S (small subunit, SSU) and 28S (large subunit, LSU) genes. Extracted and modified from Begerow et al. (2010) 27
- Figure 1.4.** Sequence distribution of the DNA regions used for *Phytophthora* identification. Search was performed against *Phytophthora*-Database (Park et al., 2008), accessed on 26th January 2017 28
- Figure 1.5.** 5' Nuclease Assay. During the annealing step, the primers and probe hybridize to the complementary DNA strand in a sequence-dependent manner. Because the probe is intact, the fluorescent reporter (D) and quencher (Q) are in close proximity and the quencher absorbs fluorescence emitted. In the extension step, the polymerase begins DNA synthesis, extending from the 3' ends of the primers. When the polymerase reaches the probe, the exonuclease activity of the polymerase cleaves the hybridized probe. As a result of cleavage, the fluorescent dye is separated from the quencher and the quencher no longer absorbs the fluorescence emitted by the dye. This fluorescence is detected by the real-time PCR instrument. Meanwhile, the polymerase continues extension of the primers to finish synthesis of the DNA strand. Reproduced from PrimeTime®, Integrated DNA Technologies, 2016 31
- Figure 1.6.** Historical development of next-generation sequencing technologies. The diameter of each bubble represents the sequencing read length of the platform [in base pairs (bp)]. Colors correspond to individual platforms. Extracted from Shokralla et al. (2012) 34 *Index*

Figure 3.1. Number of MOTUs generated using different score coverage threshold values based on ITS1 using a reference database of <i>Phytophthora</i> species	63
Figure 3.2. Number of <i>Phytophthora</i> species detected and singletons produced per score coverage threshold in the control reaction	64
Figure 3.3. Unrooted phylogram based on nuclear ITS1 rDNA sequence analysis constructed with maximum likelihood approach	66
Figure 4.1. Detection limits of real-time PCR assay for <i>Phytophthora quercina</i> . Sensitivity was determined by testing a 10-fold dilution series of <i>P. quercina</i> DNA (isolate PS-982) ranging from 2 ng μL^{-1} to 0.2 fg μL^{-1} (a). Correlation coefficient (r_2) is 0.998 (b)	98
Figure 4.2. Detection limits of real-time PCR assay for <i>Phytophthora taxon ballota</i> . Serial dilutions of an environmental positive sample for <i>P. taxon ballota</i> (sample V1 confirmed by pyrosequencing) was used to determine assay sensitivity (a). Correlation coefficient (r_2) is 0.983 (b)	98
Figure 5.1. Proportion of <i>Phytophthora</i> species detected using isolation methods.....	123
Figure 5.2. Proportion of <i>Phytophthora</i> species detected by amplicon pyrosequencing. Dominant clade 6 species are represented with blue colors	125
Figure 5.3. Multidimensional scaling analysis (MDS) showing similarity in community composition based on species relative abundance (Bray–Curtis distance). Three clusters were identified: group 1 (up left side) included all the samples from agricultural areas and most of the samples from forestry areas obtained from carnation baits; group 2 (down left side) included most of the samples from forestry areas obtained from <i>Rhododendron</i> bait and filters; and group 3 (up right side) included all bait and filter samples from two forest locations (PV1 and PV25) (Table 5.1)	128
	<i>Index</i>

Table Index

Table 1.1. Sequence distribution of different taxonomic groups. Search was performed against NCBI Database, accessed on 25 th January 2017	26
Table 3.1. BLAST results of the clustered sequences from the control reaction applying a barcoding threshold value of 99%	65
Table 3.2. Read distribution of species other from <i>Phytophthora</i> based on the ITS1 after BLASTn of the consensus sequences performed against GenBank. Reads from MOTUs with more than 99% similarity are in bold	67
Table 4.1. Sequences of real-time PCR primers and double-quenched probes targeting the ITS1 rDNA region	91
Table 4.2. <i>Phytophthora</i> isolates obtained from culture collection and environmental samples used as positive and negative amplification controls in the real-time PCR assays. a Both <i>P. quercina</i> and <i>P. taxon ballota</i> were detected via pyrosequencing. b Only <i>P. taxon ballota</i> was detected via pyrosequencing. c Species other than <i>P. quercina</i> and <i>P. taxon ballota</i> were detected by pyrosequencing	92
Table 4.3. Read distribution per <i>Phytophthora</i> species in the 25 samples sequenced. BAL, <i>P. taxon ballota</i> ; CAC, <i>P. cactorum</i> ; GON, <i>P. gonapodyides</i> ; NIC, <i>P. nicotianae</i> ; PLU, <i>P. plurivora</i> ; PSY, <i>P. psychrophila</i> ; QUE, <i>P. quercina</i> ; TEN, <i>P. tentaculata</i> ; sp1–sp5, new phylotypes found. a FR, soil samples from Carrascar de la Font Roja; VS, soil samples from Vallivana; VSR, root samples from Vallivana. b Species detection using real-time specific PCR. <u>+</u> , positive sample; <u>-</u> , negative sample	94
Table 4.4. Frequency (%) of <i>Phytophthora</i> spp. detected and diversity and richness indices for the sampling areas studied. Least significant difference (LSD) test: means followed by the same letter do not differ significantly ($P < 0.05$). Lower case letters are for comparison of means in the same row, upper case letters are for comparison of means in the same column	100
Table 5.1. 454 reads generated in each of the sample included in the study, coordinates and main vegetation present in each of the river or stream	118