

ÍNDICE GENERAL

	Pág.
AGRADECIMIENTOS	iii
ÍNDICE GENERAL	vi
ÍNDICE DE TABLAS	ix
ÍNDICE DE FIGURAS	x
RESUMEN	xii
ABSTRACT	xiv
RESUM	xvi
CAPÍTULO 1	1
1 INTRODUCCIÓN	2
1.1 Antecedentes.....	2
1.2 Contexto de la investigación.....	4
1.2.1 Contexto general.....	4
1.2.2 Contexto específico.....	8
1.2.3 Objetivos de la investigación.....	16
1.3 Métodos de investigación.....	16
1.4 Estructura general de la investigación.....	19
1.5 Referencias.....	21
CAPÍTULO 2	25
2 TRENDS IN THE MULTI-CRITERIA ASSESSMENT OF THE SOCIAL SUSTAINABILITY OF INFRASTRUCTURES	26
2.1 Social sustainability in infrastructures.....	27
2.2 Multi-criteria assessment methods.....	30
2.3 Point of departure.....	32
2.4 Research method.....	32
2.5 Results.....	33
2.5.1 Relevant social aspects in the infrastructure assessment.....	34
2.5.2 Participants in the process of social assessment of infrastructures.....	37
2.5.3 Multi-criteria methods for social assessment of infrastructure.....	38
2.5.4 Equity, learning and context in the social assessment of infrastructures.....	41
2.6 Discussion.....	44
2.7 Conclusions.....	46
2.8 References.....	47
CAPÍTULO 3	54
3 SOCIAL SUSTAINABILITY IN THE LIFE CYCLE OF CHILEAN PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE	55
3.1 Introduction.....	56
3.2 Social sustainability factors and criteria.....	58
3.3 Research method.....	60
3.3.1 The Delphi method.....	61

3.3.2	Selection of the expert panel	62
3.3.3	Questionnaire and measurement instrument.....	64
3.3.4	Survey process.....	65
3.4	Results	65
3.5	Discussion	67
3.6	Conclusions.....	69
3.7	References.....	70
CAPÍTULO 4.....		75
4	APPRAISAL OF INFRASTRUCTURE SUSTAINABILITY BY GRADUATE STUDENTS USING AN ACTIVE-LEARNING METHOD	76
4.1	Introduction.....	77
4.2	Methods	79
4.3	Selection of criteria for sustainability	81
4.4	Practical implementation	81
4.5	Assessment of the process.....	91
4.6	Discussion	93
4.7	Conclusions.....	96
4.8	References.....	97
CAPÍTULO 5.....		102
5	METHOD FOR ESTIMATING THE SOCIAL SUSTAINABILITY OF INFRASTRUCTURE PROJECTS.....	103
5.1	Introduction.....	104
5.2	Point of departure	105
5.3	Objectives of the research	106
5.4	Proposed method.....	107
5.4.1	Selection and weighting of social criteria and goals (A0, A1, B0, B1).....	108
5.4.2	Scoring of social improvement criteria and goals (A.2, B.2)	110
5.4.3	Assessment of the short-term social criteria (A.3)	114
5.4.4	Short and long-term social improvement indices (A.5, B.4)	114
5.4.5	Socially sustainable solutions (C.1, C.2).....	115
5.5	Case study.....	116
5.5.1	Social improvement criteria and goals	116
5.5.2	Description of the alternatives of infrastructure projects.....	118
5.5.3	Contribution to short-term social improvement	121
5.5.4	Contribution to long-term social improvement	124
5.5.5	Outcomes from the method	125
5.6	Discussion	126
5.7	Conclusions.....	127
5.8	References.....	128
CAPÍTULO 6.....		132
6	ASSESSING THE SOCIAL SUSTAINABILITY CONTRIBUTION OF AN INFRASTRUCTURE PROJECT UNDER CONDITIONS OF UNCERTAINTY	133
6.1	Introduction.....	134
6.2	Dealing with uncertainty	136
6.3	Estimation method of the social sustainability of infrastructures	137
6.4	Treatment of uncertainty proposed for the assessment of social sustainability of infrastructures	139
6.4.1	Distribution of the weights of the method (A.1, A.2.2, B.1, B.2.2).....	140
6.4.2	Preparation of the uncertain variables (ri) and indicators (vk) (A.2.1 – B.2.1)	140

6.4.3	Assessment scenarios of the short-term social criteria (A.3).....	141
6.4.4	Propagation of uncertainty in the method to obtain the social improvement indices (A.4, B.4) 141	
6.4.5	Determination of probability of each prioritized alternative (C.1).....	142
6.5	Case study.....	142
6.5.1	Structure for decision-making	142
6.5.2	Description of the alternatives of infrastructure projects.....	144
6.5.3	Treatment of uncertainty in the case study	147
6.6	Conclusions.....	152
6.7	References.....	153
CAPÍTULO 7		156
7	BAYESIAN NETWORK METHOD FOR MAKING DECISIONS ABOUT SOCIAL SUSTAINABILITY OF INFRASTRUCTURE PROJECTS.....	157
7.1	Introduction.....	158
7.2	Bayesian network applied to decisión-making.....	160
7.3	Proposed method.....	161
7.3.1	Preparation of the decision-making model (Stage 1).....	163
7.3.2	Formulation of the decision-making model (Stage 2)	165
7.3.3	Optimization of infrastructure projects (Stage 3)	167
7.4	Case study.....	168
7.4.1	Preparation of the decisión-making model for the case study	169
7.4.2	Formulation of the decision-making model for the case study.....	174
7.4.3	Optimization of road projects	175
7.5	Discussion	177
7.6	Conclusions.....	178
7.7	References.....	179
CAPÍTULO 8		183
8	DISCUSION GENERAL DE LOS RESULTADOS.....	184
8.1	Estructura general de los resultados de investigación	184
8.2	Discusión general de los resultados de investigación.	186
8.3	Referencias	192
CAPÍTULO 9		196
9	CONCLUSIONES.....	197
9.1	Contribución de la investigación.....	197
9.2	Recomendaciones	199
9.3	Limitaciones	201
9.4	Futuras líneas de investigación	204
9	CONCLUSIONS	207
9.1	Research contributions.....	207
9.2	Recommendations	209
9.3	Limitations	211
9.4	Future lines of research.....	214
REFERENCIAS.....		217
ANEXOS.....		234
Anexo A: PORTADA DE ARTÍCULOS PÚBLICADOS.....		235
Anexo B: MATERIAL SUPLEMENTARIO DEL CAPITULO 2		239

ÍNDICE DE TABLAS

Table 2.1: Summary of the main multi-criteria assessment methods.....	31
Table 2.2: Social criteria.....	34
Table 2.3: Evolution of social criteria by year	35
Table 3.1: Evolutionary sample of studies that integrate at least 40% coverage of social impacts...	59
Table 3.2: Formulation of coefficients for panel self-evaluation	63
Table 3.3: Characterization of the expert panel	63
Table 3.4: Competence coefficients of the expert panel	64
Table 3.5: Agreement and importance of the social sustainability criteria at the lifecycle stages	66
Table 4.1: Reference criteria of sustainability used in implementing the method (Adapted from Labuschagne et al. 2005)	81
Table 4.2: The participatory process layout	83
Table 4.3: Background of the process participants.....	83
Table 4.4: Extract of comparative appraisal survey (base don Saaty (1987)	85
Table 4.5: Background of case studies 1 and 2.....	88
Table 4.6: Prioritized projects for the student teams.....	89
Table 4.7: The key considerations that students took into account	90
Table 4.8: Sensitivity analysis per profile at the stage of operation of the infrastructure for both case studies	91
Table 4.9: Learning outcomes through the application of the assessment rubric	92
Table 4.10: Results of the learning process through the applications of a survey	93
Table 5.1: AHP questionnaire sample applied to the experts	110
Table 5.2: Characteristics of the expert panel of the case study “El Salvador”	117
Table 5.3: Synthesis of the background of the alternatives for short-term evaluation	120
Table 5.4: Synthesis of the zone’s current situation for long-term evaluation	121
Table 5.5: Transfer functions for "employment" and "safe environment" social criteria	122
Table 5.6: Aspects that determine the social contribution of the variable “r”	123
Table 5.7: Results of social improvement from short-term infrastructure alternatives.....	124
Table 5.8: Results of long-term social improvement.....	124
Table 5.9: Stability of variables “Weight-Wi Wk” for the solution set	126
Table 6.1: Short-term decision-making structure of the case of study	143
Table 6.2: Location background of assessment contexts	145
Table 6.3: Synthesis of the background of the alternatives for short-term evaluation with uncertain criteria	145
Table 6.4: Correlation of short-term social criterion weights.....	148
Table 6.5: Order of priority of infrastructure alternatives.....	151
Table 7.1: Characteristics of selected experts	169
Table 7.2: Decision variables of the road project and context that contribute to social sustainability	172
Table 7.3: Conditional probability of “Accessibility to schools” and “Improvement in education” with respect to “School attendance”	173
Table 7.4: Sample of non-inferior alternatives with higher social contribution mean	177

ÍNDICE DE FIGURAS

Figura 1.1: Localización de infraestructuras con registro de conflictos sociales en 1er y 2do nivel de importancia	5
Figura 1.2: Formas de movilización de los grupos afectados.....	6
Figura 1.3: Impactos sociales de los proyectos de infraestructuras.....	6
Figura 1.4: Relación de los capítulos constituyentes de la tesis	10
Figura 1.5: Estructura general de la investigación	20
Figure 2.1: Relationship of the elements considered in the social sustainability assessment process for this study.....	30
Figure 2.2: Initial search strategy	33
Figure 2.3: Methodological cycle of the review	33
Figure 2.4: Social criteria impacted by each stage of the life cycle (upper) and infrastructure type (lower).....	36
Figure 2.5: Participation in the multi-criteria social assessment of infrastructures	37
Figure 2.6: Methods for determining the weights of social criteria.....	39
Figure 2.7: Methods for the social assessment of infrastructure alternatives	40
Figure 2.8: Distribution of the treatment of equity in multi-criteria social assessment methods.....	42
Figure 2.9: Distribution of the studies that deal with social learning	43
Figure 2.10: Distribution of the treatments for consideration of the context	44
Figure 3.1: Research process.....	61
Figure 3.2: Steps in the Delphi method	62
Figure 4.1: Development outline of the method	80
Figure 4.2: Identification of the trend on sustainability through the Ward dendrogram for the 2014 class (left) and the 2015 class (right)	86
Figure 4.3: (a) Alternative 0 (no-project) for case study 1; (b) Alternative 1 for case study 1; (c) Alternative 2 for case study 1	87
Figure 5.1 : Proposed method	108
Figure 5.2: Intervening elements for scoring a social criterion in the short term	111
Figure 5.3: Determination of variables, weights and transfer functions	112
Figure 5.4: Intervening elements in the score contributing to a long-term social goal.....	113
Figure 5.5: Sequence of activities to identify the eligible projects according to their contribution to social sustainability.....	115
Figure 5.6: Decision-making structure of case study	118
Figure 5.7: Geographical location of assessment contexts	119
Figure 5.8: Socially sustainable solutions.....	126
Figure 6.1: Method for estimating the social contribution considering the uncertainty	137
Figure 6.2: Curve of V_i value for high, moderate and low social requirement	141
Figure 6.3: Variation of weights obtained in consultation with decision-makers.....	148
Figure 6.4: Accumulated uniform distribution of employment ($i=1$) and economy ($k=1$)	149
Figure 6.5: Contribution to the social improvement of the infrastructure alternatives in three social requirement scenarios	150
Figure 6.6: Improvement of long-term social goals (left) and short-term criteria (right) by infrastructure	151
Figure 7.1: Stages of the proposed method	162
Figure 7.2: Process for the identification of criteria, decision variables and their causal connection	164
Figure 7.3: Selection of the level of impact of a child node with respect to the state of a parent node	165

Figure 7.4: Multiple criteria decision-making model of “n” decision variables, “k” criteria and two joint probability variables ST (short-term) and LT (long-term).....	166
Figure 7.5: Background of context of the case study	168
Figure 7.6: Steps for the preparation of decision-making model.....	171
Figure 7.7: Decision-making model for the case study	174
Figure 7.8: Analysis of eligible alternatives: (a) social contribution limit, (b) ranking of non-inferior alternatives, (c) behavior of the social contribution mean.....	176
Figura 8.1: Estructura generales de resultados de investigación.....	185