

Structures and Architecture | Volume 2

Structures and Architecture

A Viable Urban Perspective?



CRC Press
Taylor & Francis Group

Editors: Marie F. Hvejsel & Paulo J. S. Cruz

STRUCTURES AND ARCHITECTURE – A VIABLE URBAN PERSPECTIVE?

Structures and Architecture. A Viable Urban Perspective? contains extended abstracts of the research papers and prototype submissions presented at the Fifth International Conference on Structures and Architecture (ICSA2022, Aalborg, Denmark, 6–8 July 2022). The book also includes a USB with the full texts of the papers. The contributions on creative and scientific aspects in the conception and construction of structures as architecture, and on the role of advanced digital-, industrial- and craft -based technologies in this matter represent a critical blend of scientific, technical, and practical novelties in both fields. Hence, as part of the proceedings series Structures and Architecture, the volume adds to a continuous exploration and development of the synergetic potentials of the fields of Structures and Architecture. With each volume further challenging the conditions, problems, and potentials related to the art, practice, and theory of teaching, researching, designing, and building structures as vehicles towards a viable architecture of the urban environment.

The volumes of the series appear once every three years, in tandem with the conferences organized by the International Association of Structures and Architecture and are intended for a global readership of researchers, practitioners, and students, including architects, structural and construction engineers, builders and building consultants, constructors, material suppliers, planners, urban designers, anthropologists, economists, sociologists, artists, product manufacturers, and other professionals involved in the design and realization of architectural, structural, and infrastructural projects.

Structures and Architecture

Series editor: Paulo J.S. Cruz

*Lab2PT – School of Architecture, University of Minho,
Guimarães, Portugal*

Volume 2

ISSN: 2643-6698
eISSN: 2643-6701



PROCEEDINGS OF THE FIFTH INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON STRUCTURES
AND ARCHITECTURE (ICSA 2022), AALBORG, DENMARK, 6–8 JULY 2022

Structures and Architecture A Viable Urban Perspective?

Editors

Marie Frier Hvejsel

*CREATE – Department of Architecture, Design, and Media Technology,
Aalborg University, Aalborg, Denmark*

Paulo J. S. Cruz

*Lab2PT – School of Architecture, Art and Design, University of Minho,
Guimarães, Portugal*



CRC Press

Taylor & Francis Group

Boca Raton London New York Leiden

CRC Press is an imprint of the
Taylor & Francis Group, an **informa** business

A BALKEMA BOOK

Cover photo

Utzon Center – view to the courtyard

Jørn Utzon is famous for the Sydney Opera House. He grew up in Aalborg. The Utzon Center was his final building, which he completed shortly before his death in 2008.

Today, Utzon Center is an international experience centre where you can experience and understand the world of architecture, a place where both children and adults get the chance to feel, sense and touch architecture.

© Utzon Center, Photography by Rasmus Hjortshøj

Reproduced with permission

Utzon Center collaborates nationally and internationally in order to provide a fascinating programme of temporary exhibitions, which always feature some of the best architecture in the world, herein also workshops, talks, guided tours and yet other emerging activities and formats aimed at expanding and communicating knowledge about the potentials of architecture. The Utzon Center is located on Aalborg's waterfront – the very place where Jørn Utzon would go for walks as a child.

CRC Press/Balkema is an imprint of the Taylor & Francis Group, an informa business

© 2022 selection and editorial matter, Hvejsel & Cruz; individual chapters, the contributors

Typeset in Times New Roman by MPS Limited, Chennai, India

The right of Hvejsel & Cruz to be identified as the authors of the editorial material, and of the authors for their individual chapters, has been asserted in accordance with sections 77 and 78 of the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988.

All rights reserved. No part of this book may be reprinted or reproduced or utilised in any form or by any electronic, mechanical, or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including photocopying and recording, or in any information storage or retrieval system, without permission in writing from the publishers.

Although all care is taken to ensure integrity and the quality of this publication and the information herein, no responsibility is assumed by the publishers nor the author for any damage to the property or persons as a result of operation or use of this publication and/ or the information contained herein.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

A catalog record has been requested for this book

Published by: CRC Press/Balkema

Schipholweg 107C, 2316 XC Leiden, The Netherlands

e-mail: enquiries@taylorandfrancis.com

www.routledge.com – www.taylorandfrancis.com

ISBN: 978-0-367-90281-0 (Hbk)

ISBN: 978-1-003-02355-5 (eBook)

DOI: 10.1201/9781003023555

Table of contents

<i>Preface</i>	xvii
<i>Conference Organization</i>	xxi

TECHNICAL CONTRIBUTIONS

Mini-Symposium

Bio Design: New material practices for a sustainable building culture

Organizers: M.R. Thomsen, P. Nicholas, C. Collet & N. Diniz

Knitted cultivation: Textiling a multi-kingdom bio architecture <i>J. Scott, R. Kaiser, D. Ozkan, A. Hoenerloh, A. Agraviador, E. Elsacker & B. Bridgens</i>	3
Experimentation of natural materials: The use of chitin in additive manufacturing <i>T. Campos, P.J.S. Cruz & B. Figueiredo</i>	11
Fungal bioremediation of plastic waste into building materials <i>E. Elsacker, L. van Rompaey, E. Peeters & L. de Laet</i>	19
Remediating architecture: A bio-hybrid approach employing fungal mycelium <i>C. Colmo & P. Ayres</i>	27
Prototaxites stellaviatori: A fungal growth simulation model for Mycelium-Based Composites education in applied arts <i>A. Rigobello, N. Gaudillière-Jami & P. Ayres</i>	35
Craft and structural innovation of mycelium structures in architectural education <i>J. Dessi-Olive</i>	43
Material probes into paper waste as a bacterially-induced and 3D printed foam: Combining biodesign and circular principles <i>A.F. Mosse & J.-F. Bassereau</i>	51
Living manufacture: Principles for a microbial 3D printer <i>T.H. Arnardottir, M. Dade-Robertson, M. Zhang & J. Loh</i>	59
Between breakfast and bed: Towards fluid modes of designing and cohabiting with living organisms <i>S. Keune, A. Mody & M. Westerlaken</i>	67
A study model for reconstructing urban ecological niches <i>A. Ilgün, R. Mills, F. Mondada & T. Schmickl</i>	75
PolyBrick 2.0: Design and fabrication of load responsive structural lattices for clay additive manufacturing <i>E.B. Birol, C.J. Hernandez & J.E. Sabin</i>	83
Materiality in Architecture and Building Design	
Organizers: O.P. Larsen & M.M. Hudert	
Curious constructions: Around materials, labor, and the environment <i>D. Costanza</i>	93

Exploration of various textures in ferro-cement panels <i>R. Schwaen</i>	101
Material practices: Exploring materiality and process <i>R. Pedreschi</i>	109
Towards customized textile profile preforms made from flax fibers and biobased resin for the design of biocomposite structures <i>P. Baszyński & H. Dahy</i>	117
A robotically extruded sugar waste composite for a circular biomateriality in architecture <i>J. Meng, D. Alnaeb, A.K. Salmane, P. Devadass, M. Cruz & B. Parker</i>	125
Fiber reinforced timber: Designing structural beams for sustainable buildings with enhanced load-bearing capacity <i>M. Budig & D.S. Mlote</i>	133
Composite adaptation: A holistic structural and architectural approach to small-scale building adaptation in cities <i>M.D. Anderson</i>	141
The structural geometry of a beam element from 4 torqued strips <i>G.H. Filz, S. Elmas & A.A. Markou</i>	150
1:1, Tools and Programs	
Organizers: M. Rinke & M. Vrontissi	
Material based design: A teaching methodology for an introductory making course in architecture education <i>A.O. Tellini & M. Rinke</i>	161
Methods of collaboration in full scale projects <i>C. Rist-Stadelmann & U. Meister</i>	170
Constructing a 1:1 curriculum on the Arabian Peninsula <i>M. Hughes</i>	178
The construction studio: An integrated pedagogical approach to architecture education <i>I. Vrouwe & J. Kristek</i>	186
Project-based and experiment-based learning of structural behaviour and integrated design skills for architecture students <i>Ö. Acican & L. Luyten</i>	194
Structural models in architectural education: Experimental explorations between the physical and the digital realms <i>J.J. Castellón González</i>	202
From conceptual art to structural design, teaching structures for architects <i>E. Brito & R.F. Póvoas</i>	210
<u>Special Sessions</u>	
BE-AM on Tour	
Organizers: U. Knaack & O. Tessmann	
Function integration and reinforcement of free-form thin sheet metal using Wire Arc Additive Manufacturing (WAAM) <i>C.B. Costanzi & U. Knaack</i>	221

Design of optimized 3D-printed steel nodes <i>M. Erven & J. Lange</i>	229
How to dress a column: An architect's method for designing with 3D printed clay <i>S. Pain, E.P. Choo, N. Bartov & J. Young</i>	237
Concrete AM: An insight into the control of main parameters <i>J. Ribeiro, B. Figueiredo, P.J.S. Cruz & A. Camões</i>	245
The business case for 3D printing in the built environment <i>P.L. Rosendahl & A. Wolf</i>	254
AM of discrete ceramics: Post tensioned gantry structures <i>J. Carvalho, P.J.S. Cruz & B. Figueiredo</i>	260
Reinforcement Lattices for 3DCP: A fabrication method based on ruled surfaces <i>A. Anton, L. Reiter, E. Skevaki & B. Dillenburger</i>	268
Advances in Wood Construction: Technology and Architecture	
Organizers: N.M. Larsen, P. Tidwell & M. Kiel	
Forest-Building: Exploring carbon sequestration in wood buildings and forests with system dynamics modelling <i>P. Osborne</i>	279
Towards a mass timber agenda: The local and regional viability of mass timber in Miami-Dade County <i>C. Meyer & S. Meyer</i>	287
Designing the thermal properties of bio-composites for thermal mass and dynamic insulation <i>R. Fortin, A. Halepaska & S. Craig</i>	295
The path to future wood: Component based structural assembly systems <i>A. Meyboom & D. Correa</i>	303
Natural form and industrial building: Two case studies <i>P. Tidwell, P. Heikkinen & J. Torvinen</i>	311
Integrative strategies across the digital timber value chain <i>T. Svilans, M. Tamke & M.R. Thomsen</i>	319
The Architecture and Structure of Human Wellbeing	
Organizers: J. Holst & T.D.O. Tvedebrink	
An eco poetic architect: The resonate presencing of material properties and environmental conditions in the case of Jørn Utzon <i>N.B. Andersen</i>	329
Evidence-based design as a process for designing a health supportive environment at care facilities for the elderly with dementia <i>V.L. Lygum, N. Mathiasen, S. Grangaard, L. Sigbrand & A.K. Frandsen</i>	337
Wellbeing at the office: An anthropological approach to POE <i>M.K. Rasmussen, J.M. Entwistle, M. Stender & S. Petersen</i>	345
Tying architecture and homeostasis using affordances: An approach to well-being in the built environment <i>Z. Djebbara, A. Jelic & L.B. Fich</i>	353

Radical Tectonics: Aiming for absolute sustainability in structures and architecture in a time of climate crisis

Organizers: A. Beim, U. Meister & F. Trubiano

An Urban Sink: Case study of an (im)material metabolism of buildings 363
K. Usto, M.F. Hvejsel & C. Brunsgaard

The construction material pyramid: 'Upfront impacts' as a methodical change in architectural design 371
P. Munch-Petersen & A. Beim

The radical (re)construction of memory in the American South 379
D.S. Willkens & V.A.A. Noel

Radical tactics for mycelium structures 387
J. Dessi-Olive, R. Buntrock & O. Oliyan

Adaptive Building Envelopes

Organizers: I.W. Foged & M.K. Kragh

Adaptive hybrid structure for photovoltaic shading modules integration 397
C. Palochi, M. Matheou & M.C. Phocas

Geometric variety of scissor linkages according to loop geometry: A case study of a canopy design 404
B. Atlamaz, Y. Akgün, F. Maden, C. Kavuncuoğlu & Ö. Kilit

Optimisation of daylighting conditions through adaptive kinetic envelopes 413
M.Y. Jeong, M. Matheou & L. Blandini

Development and testing of real size smart material sun-shading: R&D ADAPTEX 422
P.-R. Denz, N. Suwannapruk, P. Vongsingha, E.F. Waldhör, M. Schneider & C. Sauer

Occupant-oriented adaptive building envelopes: A hybrid design framework for human, material, environment synergies 430
V. Fragkia & I.W. Foged

Resilient Built Environment and Communities, Pre- and Post Disaster

Organizers: O. Iuorio & A. Melis

Semi-temporary post-earthquake settlements in Italian historical centers: Performance-based guidelines and BIM simulations 441
S.R. Ermolli & G. Galluccio

A min-max protocol for adaptive refugee housing 449
E. Mark, N. Cheng, D. Golczewski, I. Chen & M. Zad

Architecture for coral restoration: Using clay-based digital fabrication to overcome bottlenecks to coral larval propagation 458
A. Crawford, A. Humanes, G. Caldwell, J. Guest & E. van der Steeg

Lessons from Skopje 1963–2020: How to build back better? 467
T. Mihajlovska & M. Petrova

Adaptive aluminum tensegrity structure as a bike parking canopy 476
A.C. Sychterz

Structures and Architecture of Refurbishment: Strategies and Techniques for the 21st Century

Organizers: J. Antuña, E.F. Forner & D. Theodossopoulos

A study of relevant features for over-elevation as a strategy for urban renewal 487
M.P. Blasco & I.C.I. Fausto

Design for Disassembly: Comparative life cycle assessment of two wood-frame structural systems 495
S. Silverstein

HBIM: To know to model the complexity. Cases history on Italian cultural heritage 501
A. Armanasco, V. Caspani, D. Foppoli & M. Bellanova

Restoration of existing RC frame buildings with CLT panels: Experimental and numerical study on innovative connection system 509
F. Boggian, A. Aloisio, M. Pellicciari, S. Sirotti & R. Tomasi

Infrastructure Design and Socio-Ecological Agency: The (side)effects of structures, systems and spaces

Organizers: G. de Block & D.B. Lanng

The social agency of infrastructure design 519
D.B. Lanng & G. de Block

Towards ethical mobility behavior: The socio-ecological potentiality of *ambiance*-based mobility infrastructure design 527
C. Pelgrims

The promise within the mess of temporary uses Inquiring into the parallelism of value and conflict in a transformation process in Aalborg, Denmark 533
T. Vestermann

Kulkransporet as transformed infrastructure 541
R.C. Bach & L.M.B. Jespersen

Low-carbon Low-waste Structures

Organizers: D. Parigi & C. Fivet

Multidimensional indicators for recyclability assessment of structural materials 551
M. Mayer

Why current carbon metrics for buildings fail to show the benefits of timber reuse 559
D. Parigi, K. Kanafani & F.N. Rasmussen

Reducing the environmental impact of footbridges through smart under-deck cable-stayed systems 567
M.d.M. Corral & L. Todisco

Towards a Robotic Structuralism

Organizers: M.K. Kragh & R. Naboni

What a carpenter can learn from ‘Thingiverse’; how robots are already changing the craft of carpentry 577
W. Schwarzmann

Enabling circularity in building construction: Experiments with robotically assembled interlocking structures 585
L. Mangliár & M. Hudert

Computational design and robotic fabrication of a self-supporting acoustic shell <i>P. Loh, G. Mirra, D. Leggett & A. Pugnale</i>	593
Reimagining Bureaucracy: Challenging the structure and architecture of legal frameworks	
Organizers: H. Ejstrup & P. Munch-Petersen	
Certifying architecture <i>M.B. Jørgensen</i>	603
The bumpy road to a sustainable building culture: An initial overview of the challenges in getting from innovative idea to positive impact in construction <i>M.A. Thomassen & P. Munch-Petersen</i>	611
Competitional narratives and architectural outcomes <i>H. Ejstrup & J.S. Nielsen</i>	619
The Future of Bridges: A roadmap towards circular and inclusive bridge design	
Organizers: J. Smits & M. Knight	
Nature inclusive bridge design <i>J.E.P. Smits</i>	629
Varvsbron: Innovation in bridge design and advanced surface modelling <i>T. Gapinski & S. James</i>	635
Re:Crete – reuse of concrete elements in new structures: A footbridge prototype <i>J. Brütting, J. Devènes, C. Küpfer, M. Bastien-Masse & C. Fivet</i>	643
Bridge design: An integrated approach in complex contexts <i>L. Ney</i>	651
Cellulose-Based Materials in Structures and Architecture	
Organizers: J. Latka & R. Bach	
Exploration of natural materials in additive manufacturing in architecture: Use of cellulose-based pulps <i>T. Campos, P.J.S. Cruz & B. Figueiredo</i>	663
Preserving environmental properties in paper-based architecture <i>A. Jasiolek</i>	671
Temporality in eternity: Paper partitioning in an open building <i>J. Łątka</i>	679
Additively manufactured paper products from cellulose-pulped fibers and its quality according to rheology and 3D printing performance <i>D.A. Agha & U. Knaack</i>	687
Integrated Informed Design Processes: Joining urban design, architecture, and engineering for a sustainable tomorrow	
Organizers: A. Kamari, S. Pelsmakers & C. Bañón	
Towards an open model for integrated planning of the built environment: Research agenda <i>J. Ruge, J.R. Noennig, A. Bögle, J. Schiewe & M. Ballestrem</i>	697
Integrated informed design processes: A mapping of building performance criteria in the Danish conventional design practice <i>M. Christiansen & A. Kamari</i>	705

A blended approach to concept transfer: Building platform-based Design for Manufacture and Assembly (DfMA) <i>D.W. Maxwell & I. Kuzmanovska</i>	713
--	-----

General Sessions

Building Envelopes/Facades

Valuation of modernist envelope as criteria for architectural intervention aiming energy efficiency <i>J.M. Sánchez & C. Amorim</i>	723
Façade Tiles in Hong Kong: From protective envelope on everyday buildings to the tileworks of the cultural centre and M+ Museum <i>R.S. Diederling, N. Tian & J.X. Zhu</i>	731
Climate-adaptive façades: An integral approach for urban rainwater and temperature management <i>C. Eisenbarth, W. Haase, L. Blandini & W. Sobek</i>	739
Revisiting the analogy of the building envelope and the skin: A biomimetic perspective <i>Z. Öztoprak</i>	747
Maintenance, repair and refurbishment of masonry façades to avoid severe seismic damages <i>M. Roik & S. Hine</i>	755
Managing complexity: Design development of an innovative membrane based double-skin envelope <i>K. Ku & M. Cimillo</i>	763
Environmental and multi-objective optimization of adaptive complex façades <i>D.A. Chi & E. González</i>	771

Computer and experimental methods

Digital prototyping as a tool for early design evaluation <i>D.I. Dimitrov</i>	781
Viability study of an integrated optimized tool for form-found timber structures <i>A. Zamora, J.M. Cabrero & M.M. Hudert</i>	789
A digital process for reconstructing wind turbine blade geometry from point cloud data <i>C.S. Kiernicki, S.D. Kakkad, M.S. Bermek & T.R. Gentry</i>	797
Artificial intelligence and machine learning for low carbon architecture. Prototype carbon estimation tool development case study <i>M. Płoszaj-Mazurek</i>	805

Comprehension of complex, conceptual and futuristic structures

Complexity, simplified: The impact on design with structural steel systems <i>T.M. Boake</i>	815
The planning and construction of a double curved building in cross laminated timber (CLT) panels <i>L.D. Houck & S. Melville</i>	823

Karbandi and crossed-arch dome, from a possible common ground to constructive and geometric differentiation <i>C.P. do Vale</i>	831
Steel “pyramids”. Lafuente’s architectural experimentations in the 1970s <i>M. Abita, A. Tosone, D. Di Donato & R. Morganti</i>	839
Multi-criteria analysis of buildings transformation potential in planning and design <i>R. Andersen & L.B. Jensen</i>	847
The role of structural system and building materials of World Expo pavilions on providing sustainable solutions for post-expo use <i>I.M. Makinaci & A. Berk</i>	855
<i>Concrete and masonry structures</i>	
A slim concrete skeleton within thick block masonry; multi-story building construction <i>N. Leimand</i>	865
Geometrical analysis of masonry structures for computational methods of design and form finding. The case study: Iranian Yazdi-Bandi <i>A.T. Samipour & J.A. Diaz</i>	873
Dynamic response of masonry structure with the application of horizontal seismic band: Shake table tests <i>S. Yadav, Y. Sieffert, F. Vieux-Champagne, P. Garnier, M. Hajmirbaba, L. Arléo & E. Crété</i>	881
Exploration in how to streamline, lighten, reduce costs, and ease the environmental footprint of reinforced concrete slabs <i>A. Perez-Garcia & I. Lauwers</i>	889
1970: Accidents that changed the course of the Brazilian heavy construction industry <i>S.N. Alves & J.M.M. Sánchez</i>	897
<i>Ecology of structures and architecture</i>	
Review of the behaviour of concrete reinforced with natural vegetal fibres <i>A. Martin-Sendra, J. Vercher, C. Lerma, A. Mas, J.G. Borràs & A. Almerich-Chulia</i>	907
Local tectonics: Danish architectural construction in historical environmental perspective <i>M. Lauring</i>	915
Architecture as empathic data structure between adjustable hyperintelligence and reasonable indestructibility <i>N.-C. Fritsche</i>	923
Circularity of place? An investigation of spatial gestures as circular principles <i>R.N. Andersen & M.F. Hvejsel</i>	931
The principles of combining facade loadbearing properties with the effects of sustainable passive shading <i>M. Blenkuš, A. Avguštin, F. Peharda & U. Žvan</i>	939
Why urban mining is inevitable: Analyzing the resource consumption of urban structures <i>S. Weidner, R. Bechmann & W. Sobek</i>	947

Educating architects and structural engineers

Team totemics: Consequences of and exquisite corpse <i>P. Wong, D. Thaddeus & F. Hsu</i>	957
José Zanine Caldas and the structural learning from physical models <i>A.B.P. Carvalho, C. Bartholomeu & M.C.L. dos Santos</i>	965
Students' perceptions on teaching-learning structures using BIM in Brazil <i>L. Mattana, J.C. Souza, M.P. Porto, M.C. Villela & P.B. Flores</i>	973
Space, stability & strength: An integrated pedagogy for urban tectonics and landscapes <i>M.M. Laboy</i>	981
The case of an adaptable rationalization and structural dual generative and parametric analysis that may synchronously control the deformation of the Sydney Opera House system <i>A. Sophocleous-Lemonari, P. Fereos, M. Georgiou & A. Balabanides</i>	989
Musical structures as structural pedagogy <i>D.J. Oakley</i>	997
Can education of structural engineers be improved by including architecture in the curriculum? <i>S.D. Taraldsen, B. Izumi, B.N. Sandaker, M. Luczkowski, B. Manum & A. Rønquist</i>	1005
Flipped classroom for teaching structures in architecture courses <i>E.N.P. Costa & J.M.M. Sánchez</i>	1011
Drawing the organicity chart: Structure analysis exercises for better design skills <i>Th. Vilquin</i>	1018
A consultancy design studio model for advanced structural integration <i>T. Fowler IV & S. Rihal</i>	1026
The long road to education for upcoming data-driven practices in architecture: Gaps, difficulties and silos <i>A. de Boissieu & R. Deutsch</i>	1034
Consolidating pedagogies in between architecture and engineering <i>I. Serefoglu, R. Pedreschi & M.P. Maldonado</i>	1042
An investigation in flipping the structural classroom in an architecture program <i>S. Shadravan</i>	1050

Emerging technologies

Automated robotics agents for assembly-aware design of shells <i>S. Wilcock, J.H. Boyle, M.R. Dogar & O. Iuorio</i>	1061
Life-cycle assessment of Water-filled Glass (WFG) <i>G. Cavana, M. Gutai & A.G. Kheybari</i>	1069
Embedding ornament: Custom nozzle design in 3-D clay printing <i>Y.M. Wu, A. Kenny, I. Kim & D. Correa</i>	1077

Glass Structures

Looking at the foundations of structural glass with a digital microscope <i>F.A. Veer</i>	1089
--	------

Test facilities and concept for the evaluation of optical anisotropy effects in tempered glass	1096
<i>S. Dix, K. Thiele, L. Efferz, C. Schuler, J. Schneider & S. Kolling</i>	
<i>Innovative architectural and structural design</i>	
Influence of flexibility of connections on load distribution of TCC-road bridges	1107
<i>P. Dreifke, T. Göckel, A. Laubach & J. Schaffitzel</i>	
Design, fabrication and erection of a kinetic Glasshouse	1113
<i>C.H. Bitlloch, S. Urbano, N. Guitart, J. Torres & L. Estupiñá</i>	
Shape optimization of a concrete floor system for sustainability, acoustical, and thermal objectives	1121
<i>J.M Broyles, N.C. Brown, A.J. Hartwell, E.G. Alvarez, M.A. Ismail, L.K. Norford & C.T. Mueller</i>	
Design process: Goián-Cerveira footbridge over the Miño river, Spain-Portugal	1129
<i>A.B. Larena, J.G. Mateo, M.S. de Oliveira & J.B. Larena</i>	
Exploration of static equilibrium representations; policies and genetic algorithms	1137
<i>I. Mirtsopoulos & C. Fivet</i>	
Skins: Cultural approaches to experimental facades designed by architect Byoung Cho	1145
<i>L.H. Hansen</i>	
The Anthony Timberlands Center for Design and Materials Innovation	1153
<i>P. MacKeith & J. Boelkins</i>	
Experiencing structure: Structural design as the making of a spatial artistic expression	1161
<i>R. Zhang & S. Wang</i>	
Generative design of mega-structures: A structural grammar approach	1169
<i>V. Tomei, D. Faiella, F. Cascone & E. Mele</i>	
<i>Special Structures</i>	
Biomechanics-driven kinematics of reconfigurable linkage structures	1179
<i>N. Georgiou & M.C. Phocas</i>	
Life cycle inventory analysis for resource-efficient structural steel nodes: Metal 3D printing or traditional manufacturing?	1187
<i>M. Chierici, F. Berto, A. Kanyilmaz & C.A. Castiglioni</i>	
BladeBridge: Design and construction of a pedestrian bridge using decommissioned wind turbine blades	1195
<i>Z. Zhang, A. McDonald, A. Alshannaq, T.R. Gentry, L.C. Bank, P. Leahy, A. Nagle, K. Ruane & A. Huynh</i>	
Mitigation of seismic risk on high-rise buildings using rocking cores	1203
<i>F. Mollaioli, G. Angelucci & M. De Angelis</i>	
Towards artificial ossification for bone-inspired technical structures	1211
<i>R. Starke, I. Vukorep, K. Frommelt, A. Melcher & T. Hinze</i>	
<i>Tall Buildings</i>	
I LOVE TO GET HIGH: A critique of observation space design	1221
<i>T.M. Boake</i>	

Living among the clouds: From tower to texture <i>M. Petrova & T. Mihajlovska</i>	1229
<i>The borderline between architecture and structural engineering</i>	
Evaluating profession-based vocabulary in teams of architecture and engineering designers <i>S. Bunt & N.C. Brown</i>	1239
Design and construction of a ribbed concrete slab based on isostatic lines <i>P. Vejrum & M.S. Jensen</i>	1247
Conceptual design of structures as a meeting point between architects and engineers. An original example from Switzerland <i>S. Miccoli & R. Guidotti</i>	1255
Framing a computational tool for early conceptual structural design <i>J. Corneliusen, S. Deleuran, P.H. Kirkegaard & V. Beatini</i>	1263
How can we design gridshells with customised and unconventional connectors? <i>M. Luczkowski, S.M. Haakonsen, S.H. Dyvik & J.H. Mork</i>	1271
<i>The history of the relationship between architects and structural engineers</i>	
Expressing structural forces: Carlo Scarpa and his collaboration with the engineer Carlo Maschietto <i>A.-C. Schultz</i>	1281
Searching for Shankland: The career of Edward Clapp Shankland <i>M.S. Uihlein</i>	1289
Central Institute of Sciences building: The complexity of the synthesis <i>E.G. Da Silva, J.M.M. Sánchez & J.C. De Vasconcellos</i>	1297
<i>The tectonic of architectural solutions</i>	
Távora's house in Ofir: Sustainability and vernacular knowledge <i>E. Fernandes</i>	1307
Poetics of construction, material imagination, and place identity: Assessing the built work of RCR Architects in the UAE <i>I.P. Curiel & S. Strum</i>	1315
The tectonic of the single column roof structure <i>L. Slivnik</i>	1323
The forgotten columns at the Sydney Opera House <i>P. Tombesi, P. Stracchi & L. Cardellicchio</i>	1333
The constructive dimension. The influence of structural language in <i>making</i> architecture <i>P. Trovalusci & R. Panei</i>	1341
Classification of connections and joints in buildings for sustainability (CCJB-S): A provisional scheme <i>C. Escaleira, P.J.S. Cruz & R. Amoêda</i>	1349
Sydney Opera House: The strength of an idea <i>J. Rey-Rey</i>	1357

Conveying tradition through tectonics: The case of Kagawa Prefectural office building and Kiyomizu-dera temple <i>N.S. Yordanova</i>	1366
<i>Timber structures</i>	
Investigations on shear-connections of timber-granite-composite structures <i>F. Walgenbach-Albat, T. Göckel, A. Laubach, G. Kusser, F. Miebach & J. Schaffitzel</i>	1377
Evolving timber school building design in Norway <i>E. Ratsou-Stæhr, L.D. Houck & T.K. Thiis</i>	1386
Mass timber buildings: The good, the bad and the ugly <i>A. Solarte & R. Couper</i>	1394
The design, development and construction of a digitally fabricated reciprocal timber structure <i>A. Dieste, R. Pedreschi & C. Nan</i>	1402
Introduction to the multilevel building system Integrated Timber Central Core (ITC)-tests of the core corner joints <i>Q. Wang, J. Chapman & F. Oswalds</i>	1410
Author index	1417

Preface

The launch of the first edition of ICSA, The International Conference on Structures and Architecture in 2010 was driven by the ambition to cultivate a serious, strong, and open community for nurturing the synergetic potentials of architecture and structural engineering towards a betterment of the built environment.

With the first three editions of ICSA held in the formative historical setting of Guimarães in 2010, 2013, and 2016, this community has grown a solid foundation thanks to a continuously expanding core group of highly committed colleagues with a background in architecture and/or structural engineering. The cohesiveness of this group, and its dynamic ability to attract new talents in a constant search for new grounds and directions intersecting structures and architecture, has progressively made ICSA become the world's leading event bridging the gap between structures and architecture.

With the establishment of IASA, the International Association of Structures and Architecture in 2016, the ICSA community has acquired a permanent plural and transparent platform to further cultivate the international exchange of knowledge and foster cooperation across structures and architecture. Today, the association counts more than 230 members across all continents. The full potential of this platform has yet to be explored and developed as a highly effective organization making a noteworthy contribution to the fields of structures and architecture through principles of integrity, credibility, plurality and diversity, mutual respect, transparency and accountability, enhanced cooperation, and free development of ideas. The launch of the new Springer journal *Architecture, Structures and Construction* in 2021 marks a first step in this direction, by providing an interdisciplinary international publication outlet to maintain and expand our exchange of knowledge also in between ICSA conferences. You are all invited to join this exploration by taking on an active role in the association.

Based on the trajectory of ICSA and IASA, and the roots in Portugal, 2022 marked the beginning of an international journey towards further development of the community of ICSA and its contributions to a betterment of the built environment. As announced at the closing ceremony of the fourth edition of ICSA held in Lisbon in 2019, this journey has started in Aalborg, Denmark, the location of ICSA2022.

A viable urban perspective?

The breadth of the United Nation's 17 Sustainable Development Goals visualizes how solutions to the intertwined social, economic, and environmental challenges facing us relies on an increased understanding of our individual responsibilities and actions towards a betterment for the collective. Within the fields of structures and architecture, this entails questioning the way we work as well as the quality and value of the works we do in the intersection of the social, economic, and environmental dimensions of architecture and structure. As stated by Japanese architect Fumihiko Maki at the first edition of ICSA in 2010 with reference to his concept of 'collective form'; *'the question is not about sustainability of each individual building, but also how we can create a more sustainable community and environment at the urban scale. I think, even in modest scale, the collective form can have an important place as an object where planners, architects, engineers, and others might be able to work together in a more creative way addressing this challenge. It is less a question of independent effort, somehow the situation suggests something collaborative that we still*

have not explored fully' (Maki 2010, 00:16:00. Record of panel discussion on 'Mega Structures' at the First International Conference on Structures & Architecture, *Guimarães*, Portugal).

Inspired in Maki's call, ICSA2022 was dedicated to stressing and investigating the commitment and responsibility of structures and architecture as drivers of a viable urban development.

Collective potentials

Since the first ICSA conference in 2010 it has been a central ambition of the conference to join research, education, and cooperation into the cross-field of structures and architecture. Following this ambition, the planning of ICSA2022 aimed to maintain, develop, and further expand ICSA's highly qualified existing scientific program based on traditional Research Papers, while simultaneously introducing a novel practice-oriented research format entitled Critical Practices. Critical Practices is a hybrid format employing the submission, exhibition, and presentation of physical prototypes as research output in combination with shorter reflection papers in an attempt at bridging research across academia and practice. At ICSA2022 this orientation towards practice was likewise evident in an effort to open the conference doors for practitioners and students in order to increase the range and impact of the research and development presented at the conference as sparks for new collaborations across academia and practice.

In the 3 years-planning process leading up to ICSA2022, the cohesiveness of the ICSA community proved its strength and collective potential in this matter. As results hereof, over 40 key researchers within the fields have joined across institutions in the formulation of a series of groundbreaking research themes for 3 mini-symposia and 14 special sessions hereby attracting a large group of new authors to the community, 6 internationally acknowledged keynote lecturers generously accepted to spend time to take part in the discussions with participants at the conference, the body of the International Scientific Committee was expanded to over 100 committed colleagues, over 400 abstracts were initially submitted of which 220 contributions were finally accepted for publication and presentation at the conference, a panel of acknowledged practitioners generously contributed to the development of the new Critical Practices format and joined the Critical Practices Panel Discussion. Finally, yet other capacities within the community joined to facilitate a series of workshops associated with ICSA, herein a highly ambitious design and build workshop entitled *Ephemeral Permanence* exploring the circular potentials of structures and architecture made from reclaimed components in a viable urban perspective on site in Aalborg. All despite COVID-19 keeping us at a distance!

This volume, **Structures and Architecture – A viable urban perspective?** contains the Research Papers and the contributions to the new Critical Practice format presented at the Fifth International Conference on Structures and Architecture that was held in Aalborg, Denmark 6-8 July 2022. The volume also contains a USB flash card with the full texts of the lectures presented at the conference. Of the 220 accepted peer reviewed contributions, 168 are regular Research Papers presented with an Extended Abstract of two-pages in print and the Full Paper of eight-pages on the USB flash card. An additional 17 contributions, each marked with * in the list of contents are Critical Practices presented with a Reflection Paper of three pages in print.

Finally, 35 contributions are Extended Abstracts corresponding to papers published in the special issue 'Structures and Architecture – Joining Forces' of the new Springer journal *Architecture, Structures and Construction*. Based upon the review by ICSA2022 International Scientific Committee of the submitted abstracts, approximately 50 authors were invited to submit the corresponding full papers to the journal, strictly following the journal's peer-review process. The 35 Extended Abstracts, each marked with ** in the list of contents, represent the papers that were finally accepted for publication in the journal after having successfully completed this process. Hence, the Special Journal Issue serves the dual purpose of cultivating the community of the new journal *Architecture, Structures and Construction* as an international and interdisciplinary platform while simultaneously raising the level of publications associated with ICSA conferences to a new level adding

to the strong foundation of the conference proceedings **Structures and Architecture – A viable urban perspective?** contained within this book.

Summarizing, this set of book and USB flash card is intended for a global readership of researchers, practitioners, and students, including architects, structural and construction engineers, builders and building consultants, constructors, material suppliers, planners, urban designers, anthropologists, economists, sociologists, artists, and product manufacturers, and other professionals involved in the design and realization of architectural, structural, and infrastructural projects. We hope that it will be applicable in growing the ICSA community aiding new interdisciplinary collaborations towards increasingly collective structural and architectural forms.

We would like to take this opportunity to express our sincere gratitude to all authors, organizers of mini-symposia and special sessions, keynote lecturers, panel members and participants for their valuable contributions to the Conference. Our special thanks go to the members of the Local Organising Committee and the International Scientific Committee for their committed work and for the time and effort they have devoted to making ICSA2022 a successful event. A special gratitude also to Research Assistant Rasmus Nyborg Andersen, for his tireless help with the final preparation of the manuscripts for this publication, and to all colleagues and students at the Department of Architecture, Design and Media Technology at Aalborg University for your engagement in the realization of ICSA2022. Last, but not least, we would like to express our sincere gratitude to all the sponsors of ICSA2022 for their support and trust.

Aalborg & Guimarães, April 2022
Marie Frier Hvejsel & Paulo J.S. Cruz (Conference Chairs)



Taylor & Francis

Taylor & Francis Group

<http://taylorandfrancis.com>

Conference Organization



STRUCTURES & ARCHITECTURE
INTERNATIONAL ASSOCIATION EDUCATION • RESEARCH • COOPERATION

CONFERENCE CHAIRS

Marie Frier Hvejsel, Aalborg University, Aalborg, Denmark
Paulo J.S. Cruz, University of Minho, Guimarães, Portugal

LOCAL ORGANISING COMMITTEE

Aliakbar Kamari	<i>Aarhus University, Denmark</i>
Anne Beim	<i>Royal Danish Academy, Denmark</i>
Claus Bech-Danielsen	<i>Aalborg University, Denmark</i>
Dario Parigi	<i>Aalborg University, Denmark</i>
Ditte Bendix Lanng	<i>Aalborg University, Denmark</i>
Ellen Kathrine Hansen	<i>Aalborg University, Copenhagen, Denmark</i>
Lotte Bjerregaard Jensen	<i>Technical University of Denmark, Denmark</i>
Marie Frier Hvejsel	<i>Aalborg University, Denmark</i>
Mikkel K. Kragh	<i>Aarhus University, Denmark</i>
Niels Martin Larsen	<i>Aarhus School of Architecture, Denmark</i>
Isak Worre Foged	<i>Aalborg University, Denmark</i>
Olga Popovic Larsen	<i>Royal Danish Academy, Denmark</i>
Runa T. Hellweg	<i>Aalborg University, Denmark</i>
Tenna Doktor Olsen Tvedebrink	<i>Aalborg University, Denmark</i>

INTERNATIONAL SCIENTIFIC COMMITTEE

Alberto Pugnale	<i>The University of Melbourne, Australia</i>
Alessandro Melis	<i>New York Institute of Technology, United States</i>
Aliakbar Kamari	<i>Aarhus University, Denmark</i>
Anders Kruse Aagaard	<i>Aarhus School of Architecture, Denmark</i>
Anders Rønnquist	<i>Norwegian University of Science and Technology, Norway</i>
Andrea Jelic	<i>Aalborg University, Denmark</i>
Anke Pasold	<i>Copenhagen School of Design and Technology, Denmark</i>
Annalisa Meyboom	<i>University of British Columbia, Canada</i>
Anne Beim	<i>Royal Danish Academy, Denmark</i>
Airong Chen	<i>Tongji University, China</i>
Bjørn Normann Sandaker	<i>The Oslo School of Architecture & Design, Norway</i>
Bruno Figueiredo	<i>University of Minho, Portugal</i>
Carlos Banon	<i>Singapore University of Technology and Design, Singapore</i>
Carole Collet	<i>Central Saint Martins, United Kingdom</i>
Christian Louter	<i>TU Dresden, Germany</i>
Christiane Berger	<i>Aalborg University, Denmark</i>
Claus Bech-Danielsen	<i>Aalborg University, Denmark</i>

Claus Peder Pedersen	<i>Aarhus School of Architecture, Denmark</i>
Climent Molins	<i>Technical Univeriy of Catalonia, Spain</i>
Corentin Fivet	<i>EPFL Lausanne, Switzerland</i>
Dario Parigi	<i>Aalborg University, Denmark</i>
David Correa	<i>University of Waterloo, Canada</i>
David Costanza	<i>University of North Carolina Charlotte, United States</i>
Deborah Oakley	<i>University of Nevada, United States of America</i>
Dilan Ozkan	<i>Newcastle University, United Kingdom</i>
Dimitris Theodossopoulos	<i>University of Edinburgh, Scotland</i>
Ditte Bendix Lanng	<i>Aalborg University, Denmark</i>
Eduardo Fernandes	<i>University of Minho, Portugal</i>
Elcio Gomes da Silva	<i>Chamber of Deputies, Brazil</i>
Elizabeth Donovan	<i>Aarhus School of Architecture, Denmark</i>
Ellen Kathrine Hansen	<i>Aalborg University, Denmark</i>
Ernesto Fenollosa Forner	<i>Universitat Politècnica de València, Spanien</i>
Faidra Oikonomopoulou	<i>TU Delft, The Netherlands</i>
Félix L. Suárez Riestra	<i>Escuela Técnica Superior de Arquitectura, Spain</i>
Fernando Madrazo-Aguirre	<i>COWI/Imperial College London, United Kingdom</i>
Franca Trubiano	<i>University of Pennsylvania, United States</i>
Francisco J. Estévez Cimadevila	<i>Universidade da Coruña, Spain</i>
Frans Drewniak	<i>Royal Danish Academy, Denmark</i>
Fred Veer	<i>TU Delft, Netherlands</i>
Greet de Block	<i>University of Antwerp, Belgium</i>
Günther Filz	<i>Aalto University, Finland</i>
Hanaa Dahy	<i>University of Stuttgart, Germany</i>
Henriette Ejstrup	<i>Royal Danish Academy, Denmark</i>
Hugo Mulder	<i>University of Southern Denmark, Denmark</i>
Isak Worre Foged	<i>Royal Danish Academy, Denmark</i>
Ivo Oliveira	<i>Universidade do Minho, Portugal</i>
Jan Belis	<i>Ghent University, Belgium</i>
Jan Brütting	<i>EPFL Lausanne, Switzerland</i>
Jerzy Latka	<i>Wroclaw University of Science and Technology, Poland</i>
Joanna Jablonska	<i>Wroclaw University of Science and Technology, Poland</i>
Joaquín Antuña Bernardo	<i>Universidad Politécnica de Madrid, Spain</i>
Jonas Holst	<i>San Jorge University, Spain</i>
Jonathan Foote	<i>Aarhus School of Architecture, Denmark</i>
Jorge Alberto Galindo Diaz	<i>Universidad Nacional de Colombia, Colombia</i>
Joris Smits	<i>TU Delft, the Netherlands</i>
José Manuel Cabrero	<i>University of Navarra, Spain</i>
José Sánchez	<i>University of Brasilia, Brazil</i>
Juan María Songel	<i>Universitat Politècnica de València, Spain</i>
Juan Rey Rey	<i>Universidad Politécnica de Madrid, Spain</i>
Kevin Dong	<i>Cal Poly – San Luis Obispo, United States of America</i>
Kiel Moe	<i>Harvard University Graduate School of Design, United States</i>
Lars Damkilde	<i>Aalborg University, Denmark</i>
Laurens Luyten	<i>KU Leuven, Belgium</i>
Lee Franck	<i>Independent Consultant, Luxembourg</i>
Line Kjær Frederiksen	<i>The Royal Danish Academy, Denmark</i>
Lotte Bjerregaard Jensen	<i>Technical University of Denmark, Denmark</i>
Luis Filipe dos Santos	<i>Aalborg University, Denmark</i>
Maciej Piekarski Rzeszow	<i>University of Techmology, Poland</i>
Mads Brath Jensen	<i>Aalborg University, Denmark</i>

María Dolores Otero Chans	<i>Universidade da Coruña, Spain</i>
Maria Vrontissi	<i>University of Thessaly, Greece</i>
Marie Frier Hvejsel	<i>Aalborg University, Denmark</i>
Mario Rinke	<i>University of Antwerp, Belgium</i>
Marios C. Phocas	<i>University of Cyprus, Cyprus</i>
Markus Matthias Hudert	<i>Aarhus University, Denmark</i>
Marta Molina	<i>University of Seville, Spain</i>
Martin Knight	<i>Knight Architects, United Kingdom</i>
Martina Eliasova	<i>Czech Technical University, Czech Republic</i>
Martyn Dade-Robertson	<i>Newcastle University, United Kingdom</i>
Marwa Dabaieh	<i>Malmö University, Sweden</i>
Matej Blenkuš	<i>University of Ljubljana, Slovenia</i>
Maziar Asefi	<i>Ryerson University, Canada</i>
Mette Ramsgaard Thomsen	<i>Royal Danish Academy, Denmark</i>
Michelle Laboy	<i>Northeastern University, United States</i>
Mikkel K. Kragh	<i>Aarhus University, Denmark</i>
Milinda Pathiraja	<i>University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka</i>
Mohammad Arif Kamal	<i>Aligarh Muslim University, India</i>
Nancy Diniz	<i>Central Saint Martins, United Kingdom</i>
Niels Martin Larsen	<i>Aarhus School of Architecture, Denmark</i>
Nils Erik Anders Rønnquist	<i>Norwegian University of Science and Technology, Norway</i>
Ognen Marina	<i>University Ss. Cyril and Methodius, Macedonia</i>
Olga Popovic Larsen	<i>Royal Danish Academy, Denmark</i>
Oliver Tessmann	<i>Technical University Darmstadt, Germany</i>
Ornella Luorio	<i>University of Leeds, United Kingdom</i>
Patrizia Trovalusci	<i>Sapienza University of Rome, Italy</i>
Paul Nicholas	<i>Royal Danish Academy, Denmark</i>
Paulo Cruz	<i>University of Minho, Portugal</i>
Pelle Munch-Petersen	<i>Royal Danish Academy, Denmark</i>
Peter Bertram	<i>Royal Danish Academy, Denmark</i>
Phil Ayres	<i>Royal Danish Academy, Denmark</i>
Philip Beesley	<i>University of Waterloo, Canada</i>
Philip Tidwell	<i>McGill University, Canada</i>
Rebecca Bach	<i>RWTH Aachen University, Germany</i>
Regin Schwaen	<i>North Dakota University, United States of America</i>
Roberto Naboni	<i>University of Southern Denmark, Denmark</i>
Romuald Tarczewski	<i>Wroclaw University of Technology, Poland</i>
Rossella Corrao	<i>University of Palermo, Italy</i>
Rui Fernandes Póvoas	<i>Universidade do Porto, Portugal</i>
Runa T. Hellweg	<i>Aalborg University, Denmark</i>
Sareh Saeidi	<i>Oslo School of Architecture and Design, Norway</i>
Sigrid Adriaenssens	<i>Princeton University, United States</i>
Sofie Pelsmakers	<i>Tampere University, Finland</i>
Stefano Gabriele	<i>Roma Tre University, Italy</i>
Svenja Keune	<i>Royal Danish Academy, Denmark</i>
Tenna Doktor Olsen Tvedebrink	<i>Aalborg University, Denmark</i>
Terri Meyer Boake	<i>University of Waterloo, Canada</i>
Thomas K. Thiis	<i>Norwegian University of Life Science, Norway</i>
Thomas Vilquin	<i>Université Libre de Bruxelles, Belgium</i>
Tina Vejrum	<i>COWI/LABSE, Denmark</i>
Tina Vestermann Olsen	<i>Aalborg University, Denmark</i>

Toni Kotnik	<i>Aalto University, Finland</i>
Troels Dam Madsen	<i>Henning Larsen Architects, Denmark</i>
Ulrich Knaack	<i>TU Delft, Netherlands</i>
Urs Meister	<i>University of Liechtenstein, Liechtenstein</i>
Ute Groba	<i>Oslo School of Architecture and Design, Norway</i>
Vitor Murtinho	<i>University of Coimbra, Portugal</i>
Yenal Akgün	<i>Yaşar University, Turkey</i>

FINANCIAL SPONSORS

Grants

- **Realdania** is supporting the exhibition of the peer-reviewed prototypes accepted for the new Critical Practices format launched at ICSA2022 and the design and build workshop *Ephemeral Permanence*.
- **Dreyers Foundation** is supporting the exhibition of the peer-reviewed prototypes accepted for the new Critical Practices format launched at ICSA2022.
- **The Carlsberg Foundation's** Conference Program is supporting the participation of leading international researchers at ICSA2022.



DREYERSFOND

CARLSBERG FOUNDATION

Silver Sponsors

- The Danish Society of Engineers, IDA



Byg

Bronze Sponsors


- The Danish Association of Architects, Northern Jutland



**Arkitektforeningen
Nordjylland**

Supporting Journals

- Springer *Architecture, Structures and Construction*
- Springer *Glass Structures & Engineering*



- Best Paper Award.
- Best Paper Award for each Mini-Symposium.
- Young Researcher Award.
- Best Critical Practice Award.

NON-FINANCIAL SPONSORS

Main Institutional Sponsors

- The International Federation for Structural Concrete
- IABSE – International Association for Bridge and Structural Engineering



International Institutions

- aci – American Concrete Institute
- bibm – Federation for the European Precast Concrete Industry
- Commonwealth Association of Architects
- EUCET – European Civil Engineering Education and Training
- LSAA – Lightweight Structures Association Australasia
- School of Architecture, Art and Design, University of Minho



National Institutions

- Aalborg University, Denmark
- Aalborg City, The City Architect's Office
- Utzon Center
- NP, The Danish Association of Planners, Northern Jutland
- FAOD, The Union of Architects and Designers
- Træ.dk, Danish Wood Portal
- DBF, Danish Concrete Society
- Danish Technological Institute, We Build Denmark, Network for Wood Construction
- Danish Technological Institute, Build in Wood



Utzon Center





Taylor & Francis

Taylor & Francis Group

<http://taylorandfrancis.com>

A study of relevant features for over-elevation as a strategy for urban renewal

M.P. Blasco & I.C.I. Fausto

Universitat Politècnica de València, Spain

ABSTRACT: Urban contexts nowadays are frequently facing two important difficulties among many others. On the one hand, aging buildings are in a growing need of intervention. On the other hand, the continuous migratory tendencies towards cities often require new housing. The option of expanding the city vertically known as over-elevation is becoming a popular option to confront both issues. Far from a general increase of the number of storeys for any single building, this practice is much more surgical. It consists of the construction of light housing modules on the rooftop of existing buildings, but just when land regulations and constructive possibilities make it possible. In order to make this rather new methodology more attractive to all stakeholders, a better knowledge of this solution is a must. This research will analyse a set of relevant variables to be considered, such as architectural typology, materials, geometry or its constructive and structural typology. In this way, the objective will be to know the building and the relevant features to consider for over-elevation as a possible strategy for urban renewal.

1 INTRODUCTION

Cities are in constant evolution due to continuous economic, social and environmental changes. Nowadays, all of them have created an urban context defined mainly by two peculiarities. On the one hand, the evident lack of maintenance and updating of common spaces in many buildings located in historic city centers. On the other hand, the demographic dynamics towards cities generate the need for new housing in specific areas (Piqueras 2021).

Against this background, an over-elevation methodology is proposed as a possible response (Figure 1). This practice consists of the construction of lightweight housing modules on the roofs of existing historic buildings when the regulations and construction possibilities make it possible. In exchange, the developer renovates, upgrades and updates the building to be elevated (Millán 2018).



Figure 1. Previous and final front elevation of a street hosting an over-elevation.

This practice offers multiple advantages, such as the optimization of the city's resources, as it does not require the extension of supply and transport networks or the construction of new facilities. In addition, it favors proper urban maintenance, and an update of current comfort standards in many buildings that probably do not meet these requirements. Similarly, over-elevation favors urban regeneration in central areas of cities, as these are potentially the most suitable buildings. This is mainly due to the existence of buildability, and the lack of rehabilitation in many of these properties (Piqueras & Fenollosa 2020).

While there are many benefits to this new strategy, there might be also disadvantages. If we refer to social terms, we find that the sudden introduction of new neighbors alien to the previous daily life of the building can sometimes be complex. Regarding building techniques, the technology employed is neither easy nor habitual, and therefore not always feasible (Piqueras & Fenollosa 2020).

This opportunity to build new dwellings on the basis of buildability is an appropriate phenomenon that might be encouraged. To make this practice an attractive solution for all stakeholders, a better understanding of this solution is necessary to enable standardization and normalization of the technology. For this reason, the main objective of this research is to establish a list of critical issues on existing buildings to develop the phenomenon of over-elevation.

Throughout this research, we start with the delimitation of the study area in the case of the city of Valencia. This research utilizes Colon and Russafa, a couple of popular neighborhoods of Valencia, Spain, as case studies and exportable examples. The variables of a set of selected buildings are analyzed, developing and understanding each of the parts that compose the existing building. On the one hand, the architectural analysis, and on the other hand, the constructive-structural analysis. These results are then questioned in order to elaborate a critical analysis of the important points to be considered in over-elevation.

Therefore, the set of research tools used will start with the distinction of the buildings proposed for the elevation, and then the data collection of the aspects to be analyzed. Bibliography on the typology of the selected buildings and their characteristics will also be used. Finally, specific bibliography on the phenomenon of over-elevation will play a relevant role.

2 STATE OF THE ART FOR THE CASE STUDY SELECTED

Colon and Russafa are popular neighborhoods of the city of Valencia, Spain, developed during the 19th and 20th century when the medieval walls of the historical center were demolished. The particularities of the buildings of this expansion area have been previously studied by other authors and institutions thoroughly, constituting an adequate case study. Consequently, the area of the study is the south east expansion area of the city of Valencia, which is defined by a specific urban context.

As in other similar neighborhoods across the world, over the years, laws have been promoting an increase in heights, so that some buildings have not been able to exhaust all of their buildability (Piqueras 2021). Thus, occasionally there is a discontinuity of heights between adjacent buildings, which is the opposite idea to the projected planning for the urban expansion which ambioned a uniform urban landscape. Likewise, most of the buildings are in a poor state of conservation and maintenance for the 19th century urban expansion, in addition to not having elevators, either for economic reasons or simply because there was no need for them at the time. If to all this, we add that these buildings have an architectural value as urban heritage of the city, we find a clearly defined context: protected residential buildings, with vacant volumetry, and lack of maintenance and updating. Therefore, this window of opportunity opens up. It should be taken into account that the buildings in the expansion area have very similar characteristics compared to other areas in Valencia. This results in a standardization of their particularities, and therefore makes the study feasible for the whole area described.

Figure 2 shows the buildings that allow an over-elevation by the regulations, and that are also catalogued with some degree of protection in the study area. Therefore, a total of 66 samples will be evaluated. These are located in the widening of the city of Valencia, catalogued in the “Plan Especial de Protección del Ensanche de Valencia” (Special Plan for the Protection of the expansion area of Valencia) in the aforementioned neighborhoods of Colon and Russafa.

3 IDENTIFICATION OF BUILT ASPECTS

For the elaboration of this research, an identification of the different building aspects that influence the behavior of the structure is carried out. For this purpose, it is necessary to distinguish between



Figure 2. Buildings with a protection degree with the possibility of volumetric expansion according to special land regulations for the neighborhoods of Colon and Russafa in Valencia, Spain.

two parts: the existing building and the extension. The existing building acts as a starting point, since it marks the circumstances to which the new construction will have to conform. On the other hand, the extension houses the new dwellings, which must be adapted to the conditions set by the base building, in addition to complying with the requirements and standards in force. This study focuses on the base building, which will set the typological and constructive-structural conditions for the development of the future technology.

3.1 Architectural typology

Local ordinances assign a predominant residential use to this expansion area. For this reason, the constructions that can be seen are very similar: blocks of apartments between party walls with courtyards that, according to the regulations, should reach 25% of the block. In addition, the plot had to have a minimum length of 8 m of facade and a minimum surface of 100 m². The maximum height of the buildings depended on the importance of the street, going from 12 m in secondary streets to 20 m in streets of the first order in the first plans. All these regulations were drafted in the different expansion plans that were approved over the years, the first of which was approved in 1844 (Taberner 1987).

Once the zone of action has been established, different parameters are identified. First, a distinction is made between 4 categories corresponding to the general layout of the buildings (Figure 3). These categories take into account the layout of stairways, courtyards and dwellings. It is curious to verify that in all categories, two dwellings per floor separated by stairways (S) and occasionally courtyards can be found. As points in common we find that in types 1, 3 and 4, the stairway is located in the 2nd bay, while in type 2 it is in the 1st bay. However, the most disparate element between them are the potential courtyards, which are determined by the dimensions of each of the plots.




Figure 3. Classification of the general layouts of different types of residential buildings in the neighborhoods of Colon and Russafa in Valencia, Spain.

Once this first classification has been established, a summary table is provided with the data collected from the 66 samples mentioned above. The study area has very similar architectural characteristics. First of all, a distinction is made between 2 main groups according to the structural system, either by a system of load-bearing walls and brick masonry columns, or by a concrete grid

system. Then, within each main group, a further subdivision is made. Seven subgroups are distinguished in the load-bearing wall system and just one subgroup in the concrete system. This second classification (Table 1), unlike the previous one (Figure 3), catalogues the buildings depending on the set of all the parameters studied. In contrast, in Figure 3, a distinction is made by taking into account only their general distribution. Therefore, the table shows a series of parameters such as: the number of buildings, the average age of construction, the current heights, the possible heights of elevation allowed by the regulations, the architectural style, and the classification according to the schemes previously described.

Table 1. Classification of the data collected for the 66 samples.

System of load-bearing walls and brick masonry columns						
Id	no. buildings	Average age	Storeys	no. floors over-elevation	Layout	Predominant style
A	1	1910	3	1	Type 1	Academic eclecticism
B	33	1913	4	1	Type 1	Academic eclecticism
C	2	1917	4	1	Type 2	Academic eclecticism
D	8	1919	4	1	Type 3	Academic eclecticism
E	1	1910	4	2	Type 3	Academic eclecticism
F	9	1929	5	1	Type 1	Academic eclecticism
G	8	1928	5	1	Type 3	Academic eclecticism
Concrete grid system						
Id	no. buildings	Average age	Storeys	no. floors over-elevation	Layout	Predominant style
H	4	1918	4	1	Type 4	Academic eclecticism

Once these tables are considered, it can be deduced that the predominant option in the area of the study zone is the system of load-bearing walls and brick masonry columns. In addition, typology B stands out notably with a total of 33 buildings, which represents 50% of the total number of buildings. Analyzing the overall table, we find very similar values. It should be noted that most of the over elevations correspond to the extension of one floor, except for one building where the regulations allow us to build two floors. Thus, blocks from five to six storeys are obtained.

Another point to take into account is that the average age of a building is 100 years. It is worth mentioning that according to the current Spanish Building Technology Code the useful life of a residential building is 50 years. However, we frequently find out that most of the buildings which we are inhabiting in the historical districts of western cities have more than met his requirement (Piqueras & Fenollosa 2020). Therefore, we find that buildings still have the durability characteristics to increase this useful life. In fact, buildings can still be in operation even if they are over 50 or 100 years old. It is also worth mentioning that the energy, material and environmental costs of demolishing a building and erecting a new one are very high, and therefore the rehabilitation of existing buildings is a good sustainable, economic and architectural practice (Druot et al. 2007).

If we refer to the architectural style, we must highlight the movement par excellence in Spain between 1910 and 1930: academic eclecticism. Although this feature will not affect the structural characteristics, it should be taken into account in the design of the project, so that the existing facades can coexist with the new ones. Finally, it should be noted that the predominant distribution scheme is type 1, followed by type 3. Both have the stairway located in the 2nd bay, but they are differentiated by the inclusion of courtyards in type 3. This is due to the increase in surface area, both in building depth and in facade, since in order to incorporate light and ventilation to the different rooms it was necessary to introduce openings with an essentially vertical component throughout the building.

3.2 Construction-structural typology

The expansion zone was determined by the Industrial Revolution, which transformed the social and economic models in the society of the time. This also had consequences on the model of the city, and it was throughout the nineteenth and early twentieth centuries where the most important physical urban transformations took place in the city of Valencia. All this change is reflected in the buildings, which were directly affected by the materials and construction techniques used. The different parts that make up the buildings are shown below. In this way, we will be able to know and identify the most critical points and those to which more attention should be paid for the development of technology.

3.2.1 Foundations

The foundation has been the construction system that has varied the least during the 19th and early 20th centuries. For this reason, the foundation is made of strip footings under the load-bearing walls of the building. On some occasions, when cast iron columns are employed or under the masonry columns of inner frames, simple spread footings can also be found. Materially, they are executed with dry masonry, or with some natural cement. Their width is usually about 150 cm and usually responds to twice or three times the thickness of the load-bearing wall to be supported. Their depth usually reaches one or two meters (Bretones 1990). Occasionally, and when the firm ground is not at the upper levels, the walls themselves are built up with masonry or large stones (Soriano 2013).

3.2.2 Vertical structure

The vertical structural system of the buildings in the study area is based on the arrangement of parallel load-bearing walls, which act as front and rear facades. In addition, in the interior there are frame displayed parallelly to the facades (Figure 4). Dimensionally, the load-bearing walls are made of solid brick and range from one and a half to two feet thick, although this depends on the height of the building. It is very common to find thicker walls on the first floor, depending on the height of the building (Bretones 1990).

As for the interior frames, they are halfway between a portico and a load-bearing wall, since they are frames built from solid brick columns with greater longitudinal dimension. Thus, if these are isolated, these are large columns in the direction of the portico. On the other hand, the extreme columns are buttresses connected to the party walls to give more lateral stability. On this occasion, it is worth mentioning the introduction in some cases of cast iron columns from 1920 onwards. It can be found as a replacement for the columns on the first floor of commercial premises, when the economic difference was really profitable compared to the increase in surface area (Bretones 1990).




Figure 4. Diagram of type B building showing the structural system using load-bearing walls parallel to the façade.

Another element that contributes to the stability of the structure is the partitioning with bracing purposes. These are also made of solid brick and a thickness of half foot. These partitioning walls

are displayed perpendicularly to the façade and other load-bearing walls and frames in order to connect them and to bind with other party walls and stairwells (Soriano 2013).

Although in the past party walls were shared between neighboring buildings, this concept changed much before the typologies which we are considering were built. Thus, each of these buildings has its own party walls made of half-foot-thick solid brick without an air chamber.

Finally, it must be mentioned that the stairwell is a rigid element bracing the whole structures as well, being made with masonry of solid brick with a thickness of one foot.

3.2.3 Horizontal structure

If we mentioned earlier that the foundation was the point that had varied the least in terms of construction techniques and materiality, the same cannot be said of the floor slabs. The evolution of materials is evident in the design of horizontal structural elements.

Thus, in the Colon and Russafa buildings it can be observed that most of the floor slabs are unidirectional, composed of a framework of timber and joists (Figure 5). The joists are supported on the beams, and at the same time, the beams are supported on the interior frames. The beam filling is made with one or two brick threads. In addition, brick rubble and mortar were used as filler to facilitate the leveling and subsequent laying of the ceramic pavement (Bretones 1990).

As we mentioned before, the horizontal structure was one of the elements that had undergone the most changes over the centuries. Thus, from 1910 onwards, we began to see some elements made employing metal profiles (Bretones 1990).




Figure 5. Cross-section of a typical slab with beam and joist framing.

3.2.4 Roof

Together with the floor slab, the roof has been another of the elements that has evolved the most. Thus, there are two main types of roofs. Initially, pitched roofs were the most common ones, but they gradually gave way to ventilated flat roofs.

In the case of the first one, it is a pitched gable roof leading rain water to the front and back façades. Constructively, it is composed of wooden elements, as well as the slab, arranged at an incline. In the case of Valencia, a special system is employed, consisting of joists spanning from the front and back bearing walls to interior timber beams acting as ridgecaps. Smaller rafters were placed on the joists in order to receive the ceramic pieces which composed the roof (Bretones 1990). Therefore, we find that the pitched roof will be one of the difficulties to be solved for the over-elevation.

If we refer to the flat roof, it should be noted that the type of solution of the time was the so called flat Catalan roof. It is composed by two differentiated layers defining and intermediate ventilated air chamber with a height ranging from 40 to 60 cm in order to improve the thermal comfort and to avoid condensation (Piqueras & Fenollosa 2020). Materially, the layer is composed of several layers of solid and very thin bricks placed on small, perforated and also thin brickworks built on the compression layer of the last slab that forms the roof.

This new solution began to be imposed from the second decade of the twentieth century. That is why, in most of our cases, the type of roof we find is the ventilated flat roof, so called Catalan roof. That fact makes it difficult to place elements that have to transmit loads to the structure on top of it. In addition, the definition of flat roof does not imply a perfect horizontality, since, in the same way, slopes are perceived to allow the evacuation of water.

By means of the Spanish code “Acciones en la edificación” (“Building loads”), external forces to be borne by the structure are determined in an approximate manner. Thus, the self-weight of the constructive elements of the Catalan roof is $2,5 \text{ kN/m}^2$, and the variable load according to the overload of use is 1 kN/m^2 . These values will have to be taken into account when manufacturing the over-elevation whose final result should not differ meaningfully in order to avoid the need of structural reinforcement.

4 DISCUSSION

New homes obtained by means of over elevation must comply with a list of standards and technical issues, not only derived from the regulations, but also from the location where it is going to be placed. Hence, it is important to understand the existing building, since it marks some critical points that we must address both typologically and constructive-structural level.

If we refer to the typological requirements, we find those that respond to more general and formal criteria. According to the regulations, most of the extensions can only add one floor, a point that will be discussed later in the structural section. Likewise, only one or two dwellings may be built per floor due to the stair core, which is so predominant at the distributive level. In addition, the location of the courtyards must also be respected, as well as the conditioning systems that may be located on the roof.

As for the constructive-structural requirements, those that meet much more delicate criteria are detailed. One of the clearest requirements that will condition the new dwellings is the metric one. The structure of the building has a clear geometry generated by the load-bearing walls, which will mark the rhythm of the support points of the extension to avoid punctual loads that produce bending moments possibly endangering the good performance of the existing secondary system composed by joists. this point will also condition the interior distribution.

Another noteworthy aspect is the lightness. It should be taken into account that, although the existing structure is in good condition, the building has not been designed to support one or two additional floors as heavy as the existing ones. However, the structure might have some remaining resistant capacity. For this reason, the new structure will have to meet lightness criteria so as not to affect the stability of the building. New loads to be considered in any over-elevation include the new housing module structure self-weight, and other dead loads such as partitioning (about 1 kN/m), enclosure (1 kN/m), and roof (1 kN/m^2). They also encompass live loads such as use (2 kN/m^2 for dwellings), and wind depending on its location.

We mentioned earlier that, according to the regulations, the construction of a single-storey building is mostly allowed instead of a two-storey building. This will also benefit the lightness of the extension. It is common for the vertical structure to be more oversized than the horizontal structure. Therefore, the new loads can be assumed, but without excessively penalizing the horizontal structure. This can be achieved by means of a good arrangement of the new elements, avoiding the loading of secondary elements. In this way, it is advisable to apply uniformly distributed loads on the primary elements or, even better, directly applied on the vertical elements such as load-bearing walls or buttresses.

Constructively, the roof will be another very significant issue, since it is the element that will be in contact with the extension. Therefore, it will mark the interaction between both. In the case of a flat roof, the upper layer would be eliminated, leaving the lower layer as the base slab of the dwellings, which means eliminating the waterproofing layer. On the other hand, if there is a gable roof, it would have to be completely removed and, therefore, this last floor would be affected, rendering the top floor unusable. In this case, it is necessary to reach an agreement with the owner. In the case of gable roofs, the last floor is not usually occupied by dwellings, but by storage rooms, so vacating them would not be a problem. The only thing that should be taken into account is to temporarily waterproof the floor so that, in case of rain, water does not seep into the dwellings below.

5 CONCLUSION

Many historic neighborhoods in multiple cities around the world have three common characteristics: protected residential buildings, legal possibility of hosting more floors and frequent lack of maintenance and updating. Therefore, there are different responses to this situation, and over-elevation is one of them when these three circumstances are combined with a strong demand for housing. This strategy provides a controlled and sustainable growth, based on the construction of housing on the roofs of buildings that have not yet exhausted their legal possibilities.

The construction of new housing starts from circumstances that condition its materialization and design, determined by the existing building and by the different current regulations. The following are the points to be considered for the development of an over-elevation.

- Lightweight is one of the main requirements for the design of the extension. Although the existing building structure can support more load, it cannot be increased indefinitely. Therefore, the new structure must meet minimum weight properties. This forces us to build the extension from lightweight structures.
- The buildings in specific study areas might present a frequent, clear and defined geometry that will condition the position of the new dwellings. Therefore, new structures will have to be guided by the parallel rhythm of the load-bearing walls, which at the same time will influence the interior distribution of the dwellings. Thus, this metric will be determined not only by the geometry of the structure, but also by the minimum requirements of the different living space regulations.
- The reception of the new dwellings is done through the roof. This means that the interaction of both structures is highly conditioned by the type of roof. In the case study chosen, most of them are flat, therefore, once the top layer has been removed, the correct support points must be found.
- The design of the extension should take into account the general image of the surroundings, so that it fits in with the architectural heritage of the city. The rhythm and geometry of the openings, the cornices, even the exterior materiality, must be in harmony with the rest of the façade.

The construction of the new houses presents specific particularities, motivated by the typological, structural and constructive context. The multiple conditioning factors, the meticulousness of the intervention, together with energy efficient and sustainable values, will be guaranteed by means of an industrialized and light construction methodology.

REFERENCES

- Bretones, J.M. 1990. *Técnicas de rehabilitación. Soluciones específicas a las lesiones existentes en los inmuebles del ensanche de Valencia de 1887*. Universitat Politècnica de València.
- Documento Básico SE-AE Acciones en la edificación*, 2009.
- Druot, F., Lacaton, A., & Vassal, J.P. 2007. *Plus. La vivienda Colectiva. Territorio de excepción*. Barcelona: Gustavo Gili.
- Millán Delgado, N. 2018. *La edificabilidad vacante como estrategia de negocio actual en el sector inmobiliario, análisis y viabilidad de un modelo implantado en el Eixample de Barcelona*. Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya.
- Piqueras, M. & Fenollosa, E. 2020. Over-elevation as a measure of urban renewal. In *EAAE-ARCC International Conference & 2nd VIBArch: The architect and the city*. Editorial Universitat Politècnica de València: 1320–1328.
- Piqueras, M. 2021. Vertical Growing as an Opportunity for Reactivating Historical Quarters. *Archi-DOCT* 16: 33–46.
- Plan Especial de Protección del Ensanche de Valencia*, 2005. Exmo. Ayuntamiento de Valencia
- Soriano, A. 2013. *Estudio patológico del ensanche II de Valencia: construcción y tipologías*. Universitat Politècnica de València
- Taberner Pastor, F. 1987. *Valencia entre el ensanche y la reforma interior*. Valencia: Edicions Alfons el Magnànim, Colegio Oficial de Arquitectos de la Comunidad Valenciana.