

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	
ABSTRACT	
RESUMEN	
RESUM	
CONTENTS	
LIST OF FIGURES	
LIST OF TABLES	
1. CHAPTER 1. INTRODUCTION.....	
1.1. General Background	
1.1.1. Importance of Nanosilica in Modern Applications.....	
1.1.2. Challenges in Waste Management and Resource Utilization	
1.1.3. Valorization of Waste Materials for Nanosilica Production	
1.1.4. Effects of Nanosilica on Mortar and Concrete	
1.1.5. Commonly Used Nanoparticles in Construction Applications	
1.2. Problem Statement.....	
1.2.1. Existing Gaps in Nanosilica Research.....	
1.2.2. Need for Green Waste Valorization	
1.2.3. Relevance to Construction and Material Science	
1.3. Research Motivation	
1.3.1. Contribution to Renewable Development Goals.....	
1.4. Research Objectives.....	
1.4.1. General Objective	
1.4.2. Specific Objectives	
1.5. Structure of the Document	
2. CHAPTER 2. STATE OF THE ART	
2.1. Purpose and Scope of the Chapter	
2.1.1. Purpose of the Literature Review.....	
2.1.2. Scope of the Review.....	
2.2. Fundamentals of Nanosilica and Its Market Presence	
2.2.1. Introduction to Nanosilica	
Bio-Derived Routes.....	

Comparison of Methods.....	
2.2.2. Industrial Processes for Nanosilica Production	
2.2.2.1. Large-Scale Production Techniques	
2.2.2.2. Overview of Commercial Products: AEROSIL® 200	
2.2.2.3. Market Presence and Industrial Applications	
2.3. Organic Residues Suitable for Nanosilica Synthesis	
2.3.1. Selection and Justification of Organic Waste Materials.....	
2.3.1.1. Identification of Viable Organic Waste Materials	
2.3.1.2. Justification Based on Silica Content and Processing Feasibility.....	
2.3.1.3. Environmental Challenges of Waste Disposal	
2.3.2. Nanosilica's Role in Construction Materials.....	
2.3.2.1. Strength and Durability Enhancements.....	
2.3.2.2. Comparative Analysis of Studies on Nanosilica Performance.....	
2.3.2.3. Metrics for Evaluating Performance in Construction	
2.3.3. Sustainability and Green Construction Perspectives.....	
2.3.3.1. Alignment with Green Construction Trends	
2.3.3.2. Comparative Sustainability of Different Production Methods.....	
2.3.4. Advances in Nanosilica Processing and Characterization	
2.3.4.1. Evolution of Processing Techniques	
2.3.4.2. Analytical Techniques for Nanosilica Characterization.....	
2.3.4.3. Comparative Studies on Nanosilica Characterization Results	
2.3.5. Theoretical and Research Perspectives	
2.3.5.1. Key Concepts and Definitions	
2.3.5.2. Framework for Waste-Based Nanosilica Production	
2.3.5.3. Key Insights from the Literature Review	
3. CHAPTER 3. ASSESSMENT AND CHARACTERIZATION OF SILICA IN ORGANIC WASTE	
3.1. Clear Definition of Analytical Methods for Silica Determination.....	
3.1.1. Recommended Analytical Standards for Silica Content Determination	
3.1.2. Comparison of Gravimetric, Colorimetric, and XRF Methods for Silica Quantification	
3.1.3. Justification for Methodological Modifications.....	
3.1.4. Selection of European (EN) or American (ASTM) Standards for Silica Determination	
3.1.5. Best Practices for Documenting and Presenting Analytical Methods	
3.2. Detailed Description of Materials and Pre-Treatment Methods	
3.2.1. Identification and Characterization of Raw Materials	

3.2.2.	<i>Importance of Pre-Treatment for Silica Extraction</i>
3.2.3.	<i>Justification for Pre-Treatment Methods.....</i>
3.3.	<i>Chemical Composition and Structural Characteristics of Raw Materials.....</i>
3.3.1.	<i>Chemical Composition.....</i>
3.3.2.	<i>Structural Characteristics.....</i>
3.4.	<i>Impact of Pre-Treatment on Silica Purity and Recovery</i>
3.4.1.	<i>Drying</i>
3.4.2.	<i>Grinding.....</i>
3.4.3.	<i>Chemical Washing</i>
3.5.	<i>Justification for Using Pre-Burned Ashes in Nanosilica Production</i>
3.5.1.	<i>Facilitating Silica Extraction.....</i>
3.5.2.	<i>Reducing Contamination Risks</i>
3.5.3.	<i>Enhancing Process Efficiency.....</i>
3.6.	<i>Negative Effects of Using Raw Biomass Instead of Ashes for Silica Extraction ...</i>
3.6.1.	<i>Higher Impurity Levels</i>
3.6.2.	<i>Lower Silica Recovery Efficiency.....</i>
3.6.3.	<i>Increased Energy and Reagent Consumption</i>
3.7.	<i>Best Practices for Demonstrating the Optimization of Silica Extraction Through Pre-Treatment</i>
3.7.1.	<i>Comparative Experimental Analysis.....</i>
3.7.2.	<i>Process Parameter Optimization</i>
3.7.3.	<i>Process Efficiency Metrics.....</i>
3.8.	<i>Comparative Analysis of Silica Content and Factors Influencing Purity</i>
3.8.1.	<i>Structuring a Comparative Table for Silica Content Before and After Combustion</i>
3.8.2.	<i>Factors Influencing Variability in Silica Concentration in Ashes from Different Residues</i>
3.8.3.	<i>Scientific Justification for Silica Loss During Combustion</i>
3.8.4.	<i>Key Factors Influencing the Final Purity of Extracted Silica.....</i>
3.9.	<i>Reliable Data Collection on Global Production of Silica-Rich Organic Waste.....</i>
3.10.	<i>Estimating the Potentially Recoverable Silica from Organic Waste.....</i>
3.11.	<i>Key Criteria for Evaluating the Industrial Viability of a Waste Material for Nanosilica Production.....</i>
3.11.1.	<i>Silica Yield per Gram of Dry Biomass.....</i>
3.11.2.	<i>Processing Efficiency and Chemical Requirements.....</i>
3.11.3.	<i>Economic and Environmental Sustainability.....</i>
3.11.4.	<i>Availability and Supply Chain Stability</i>
3.12.	<i>Management of Secondary Waste Generated After Silica Extraction</i>

3.12.1.	<i>Neutralization of Acidic and Alkaline Residues.....</i>
3.12.2.	<i>Potential for By-Product Utilization.....</i>
3.13.	Environmental Impact of Incineration and Silica Processing
3.13.1.	<i>Airborne Pollutants and Gas Emissions</i>
3.13.2.	<i>Particulate Matter and Fine Dust Generation.....</i>
3.13.3.	<i>Water and Soil Contamination Risks</i>
3.14.	Ensuring Reproducibility and Control in the Pyrolysis and Combustion Process
3.14.1.	<i>Temperature Stability.....</i>
3.14.2.	<i>Homogeneous Heating.....</i>
3.14.3.	<i>Controlled Combustion Duration</i>
3.14.4.	<i>Use of Programmable Furnaces</i>
3.15.	Optimal Incineration Conditions for Maximizing Silica Purity.....
3.16.	Determining Industrial-Grade Silica Purity and Suitability.....
3.16.1.	<i>Chemical Composition Analysis</i>
3.16.2.	<i>Phase and Structural Characterization</i>
3.16.3.	<i>Thermal and Morphological Analysis.....</i>
3.17.	Comparative Evaluation of Incineration and Calcination Techniques for Silica Production
3.17.1.	<i>Silica Yield and Recovery Efficiency.....</i>
3.17.2.	<i>Phase and Structural Stability</i>
3.17.3.	<i>Surface and Particle Distribution.....</i>
3.17.4.	<i>Energy and Environmental Impact</i>
3.18.	Effect of Combustion Temperature on the Formation of Amorphous vs. Crystalline Silica
3.18.1.	<i>Below 800°C</i>
3.18.2.	<i>900–1100°C</i>
3.18.3.	<i>Above 1200°C</i>
3.19.	Optimization of the Incineration Process for High-Purity Silica Extraction.....
3.20.	Justification of the Selected Process and Potential Industrial Applications of Nanosilica
3.21.	Scientific Criteria for Selecting an Optimal Nanosilica Extraction Method.....
3.21.1.	<i>Maximization of Silica Yield</i>
3.21.2.	<i>Chemical and Energy Efficiency.....</i>
3.21.3.	<i>Consistency and Reproducibility.....</i>
3.21.4.	<i>Environmental Sustainability.....</i>
3.22.	Key Variables for Comparing Process Efficiency With Existing Research
3.23.	Challenges in Scaling Nanosilica Production to an Industrial Level
3.23.1.	<i>Chemical Consumption.....</i>

3.23.2.	<i>Waste Management and Disposal</i>	
3.23.3.	<i>Process Yield Efficiency</i>	
3.23.4.	<i>Equipment and Operational Costs</i>	
3.23.5.	<i>Supply Chain Considerations</i>	
3.23.6.	<i>Process Optimization for Commercial Viability</i>	
3.24.	High-Impact Industrial Applications of Nanosilica Extracted From Organic Waste	
3.24.1.	<i>Construction Industry</i>	
3.24.2.	<i>Polymer and Coatings Industry</i>	
3.24.3.	<i>Environmental Applications</i>	
3.25.	Economic Feasibility of the Nanosilica Extraction Process Compared to Commercial Methods Like Aerosil 200	
3.25.1.	<i>Production Costs</i>	
3.25.2.	<i>Processing Time and Yield</i>	
3.25.3.	<i>Environmental and Waste Management Costs</i>	
3.25.4.	<i>Market Competitiveness and Industrial Adoption</i>	
3.26.	Importance of Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) and Environmental Impact Analysis in Nanosilica Production.....	
3.26.1.	<i>Key Reasons for Conducting an LCA in Nanosilica Extraction</i>	
3.27.	Assessment of Silica Content in Organic Waste.....	
3.28.	Results and Discussion.....	
3.28.1.	<i>Silica Content Analysis</i>	
3.28.2.	<i>Graphical Insights</i>	
3.29.	Sustainability Implications.....	
3.30.	Comprehensive Summary: Valorization of Biogenic Waste for Multi-Nanomaterial Applications	

4. CHAPTER 4. PROCESSING METHODS AND OPTIMIZATION STRATEGIES FOR NANOSILICA EXTRACTION FROM HYBRID BIOWASTE

Error! Bookmark not defined.

4.1.	Structure and Organization of Processing Methods.....	
4.1.1.	<i>Justification for Selecting Different Processing Methods</i>	
4.1.2.	<i>Strategies for Integrating Results into a Comparative Analysis</i>	
4.2.	Comprehensive Justification of Processing Methods	
4.2.1.	<i>Criteria for Selecting Between Pyrolysis, Acid Digestion, and Other Extraction Methods</i>	
4.2.2.	<i>Chemical and Thermodynamic Rationale for Process Variables</i>	
4.3.	Scientific Justification for Process Modifications and Optimization Strategies	
4.3.1.	<i>Justification for Process Modifications</i>	

4.3.2.	<i>Risks Associated with Process Variability</i>
4.3.3.	<i>Strategies for Process Optimization</i>
4.4.	Conclusion: Toward an Optimized and Scalable Nanosilica Extraction Process ...
4.5.	Optimization Strategies for Environmental Sustainability
4.5.1.	<i>Use of Environmentally Friendly Oxidizing Agents and Efficient Combustion Systems</i>
4.6.	Environmental and Energy Analysis: Methodology, Optimization, and Sustainability
4.6.1.	<i>Methodology for Calculating CO₂ Emissions and Energy Consumption</i>
4.6.2.	<i>Energy Consumption Analysis and Process Comparison (Pyrolysis vs. Calcination)</i>
4.6.3.	<i>Reporting Energy Consumption and Machine Efficiency</i>
4.6.4.	<i>Evaluating the Environmental Impact of By-Products</i>
4.6.5.	<i>Determining Reusability vs. Waste Classification for By-Products</i>
4.7.	Sustainable and Energy-Efficient Nanosilica Production
4.8.	Towards a More Sustainable and Cost-Effective Nanosilica Production Process ..
4.9.	Cost Analysis and Feasibility Assessment for Nanosilica Production
4.9.1.	<i>Methodology for Estimating Production Costs per Kilogram of Nanosilica</i> ..
4.9.2.	<i>Key Factors Influencing Final Production Costs</i>
4.9.3.	<i>Comparison with Commercial: Justification and Limitations</i>
4.9.4.	<i>Optimizing Cost-Benefit Analysis for Industrial Application</i>
4.9.5.	<i>Standard Methodologies for Evaluating Economic Feasibility and Commercialization</i>
4.10.	Towards a Cost-Effective and Scalable Nanosilica Production Process
4.11.	Final Discussion and Synthesis: Key Insights, Comparisons, and Future Directions
4.11.1.	<i>Reevaluating the Potential of Plant Waste for Nanosilica Production</i>
4.11.2.	<i>Comparing Silica Yield, Environmental Impact, and Economic Feasibility</i> ..
4.11.3.	<i>Identifying Materials That Are Not Suitable for Nanosilica Production</i>
4.11.4.	<i>Advancing Sustainable Nanosilica Production</i>
4.12.	Raw Material: Crab Shell Powder
4.13.	Production of Crab-Derived Nanosilica
4.14.	Environmental Assessment of Nanosilica Synthesized from Crab Shell Waste.
4.15.	Synthesis and Characterization of Nanosilica and Nanochitin from Shrimp Shell Waste
4.16.	Synthesis and Characterization of Nanosilica from Bamboo Leaf Ash
4.17.	Production of Bamboo Leaf Nanosilica
4.18.	Environmental Evaluation of Bamboo Leaf-Derived Nanosilica
4.19.	Synthesis and Characterization of Nanosilica from Oat Husk
4.20.	Environmental Evaluation of Oat Husk-Derived Nanosilica
4.21.	Synthesis and Characterization of Nanosilica from Sugarcane Bagasse

4.22.	Environmental Evaluation of Sugarcane Bagasse-Derived Nanosilica
4.23.	Synthesis and Characterization of Nanosilica from Corn Leaf Ash
4.24.	Production of Corn Nanosilica.....
4.25.	Environmental Evaluation of Corn Leaf-Derived Nanosilica.....

5. CHAPTER 5. CHARACTERIZATION TECHNIQUES FOR NANOSILICA DERIVED FROM HYBRID BIOWASTE: ADVANTAGES, LIMITATIONS, AND IMPLICATIONS FOR CEMENTITIOUS APPLICATIONS.....

5.1.	Analytical Techniques for Nanosilica Characterization: Advantages and Limitations
5.1.1.	<i>Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM)</i>
5.1.2.	<i>Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM)</i>
5.1.3.	<i>X-Ray Diffraction (XRD)</i>
5.1.4.	<i>Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) Surface Area Analysis</i>
5.1.5.	<i>Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy (FTIR)</i>
5.1.6.	<i>The Need for a Multimodal Approach in Nanosilica Characterization</i>
5.2.	Microstructural Evolution, Chemical Composition, and Crystallinity of Nanosilica: Implications for Cementitious Applications
5.2.1.	<i>Influence of Synthesis Parameters on Microstructure</i>
5.2.2.	<i>Chemical Composition and Functional Groups</i>
5.3.	Comparative Analysis of Nanosilica Samples: Evaluating Key Structural and Performance Parameters.....
5.3.1.	<i>Essential Properties for Evaluating Nanosilica Quality</i>
5.3.2.	<i>Structuring a Comparative Table for Microstructural Analysis</i>
5.3.3.	<i>Objective Comparison of Silica Purity Across Samples</i>
5.3.4.	<i>Impact of Impurities on Nanosilica Performance</i>
5.3.5.	<i>Correlating Particle Size and Surface Area with Industrial Applications</i>
5.4.	Objective Comparison of Silica Purity Across Samples.....
5.4.1.	<i>Techniques for Quantifying Silica Purity</i>
5.4.2.	<i>Impact of Impurities on Nanosilica Performance</i>
5.4.3.	<i>Interpretation of Particle Size and Surface Area Variations for Industrial Applications</i>
5.5.	Critical Evaluation of Microstructural Results
5.5.1.	<i>Identifying the Cause of Microstructural Issues: Raw Material vs. Synthesis Process</i>
5.5.2.	<i>Influence of Temperature on Microstructure</i>
5.5.3.	<i>Influence of Acid Concentration on Structural Properties</i>
5.5.4.	<i>Statistical Validation of Microstructural Differences</i>
5.6.	Strategies for Identifying and Resolving Unexpected Microstructural Variations

5.6.1.	<i>Systematic Data Collection and Cross-Analysis.....</i>
5.6.2.	<i>Step-by-Step Process Review</i>
5.6.3.	<i>Controlled Repetition of the Synthesis Process</i>
5.7.	<i>Distinguishing Between Material Composition and Processing Issues in Performance Evaluation</i>
5.7.1.	<i>Reproducibility Testing: Verifying Material Consistency.....</i>
5.7.2.	<i>Refining Synthesis Methods to Reduce Variability</i>
5.8.	<i>Reevaluation of Processing Parameters for Optimized Nanosilica Synthesis</i>
5.8.1.	<i>Key Processing Factors Influencing Nanosilica Properties</i>
5.9.	<i>Criteria for Discarding or Improving Nanosilica for Industrial Applications.....</i>
5.9.1.	<i>Purity Levels and Acceptability for Applications.....</i>
5.9.2.	<i>Deviation from Expected Physicochemical Properties.....</i>
5.9.3.	<i>Stability and Industrial Processing Compatibility.....</i>
5.10.	<i>Impact of Microstructure on the Performance of Nanosilica in Cementitious Applications</i>
5.10.1.	<i>Influence of Morphology and Crystallinity on Cement Strength Enhancement</i>
5.10.2.	<i>Correlation Between Microstructural Properties and Mechanical Performance</i>
5.11.	<i>Optimization of Nanosilica for Cementitious Applications.....</i>
5.11.1.	<i>Key Microstructural Factors Determining Cementitious Suitability.....</i>
5.11.2.	<i>Correlation Between Surface Area, Particle Size, and Pozzolanic Activity ...</i>
5.12.	<i>Standardized Criteria for Evaluating Nanosilica’s Suitability in the Cement Industry</i>
5.13.	<i>Engineering Nanosilica for Industrial Cementitious Applications</i>
5.13.1.	<i>Key Factors for Industrial Implementation.....</i>
5.14.	<i>Future Perspectives: Advancing Nanosilica for Sustainable and High-Performance Cementitious Materials</i>
5.14.1.	<i>Research and Development Strategies.....</i>
5.14.2.	<i>Contribution to Green Construction and Nanotechnology-Enhanced Cement</i>
5.15.	<i>Microstructure of Crab-Derived Nanosilica.....</i>
5.16.	<i>Microstructure of Mixtures with Crab Shell Nanosilica</i>
5.16.1.	<i>Analysis of EDS Tests on Master Cement Mixture + 0.25% Nanosilica</i>
5.16.2.	<i>Analysis of SEM Tests on Master Cement Mixture + 0.25% Nanosilica.....</i>
5.16.3.	<i>Analysis of TEM Tests on Master Cement Mixture + 0.25% Nanosilica</i>
5.16.4.	<i>Analysis of XRD Tests on Master Cement Mixture + 0.25% Nanosilica.....</i>
5.16.5.	<i>Analysis of EDS Tests on Campeón Cement Mixture + 0.25% Nanosilica</i>
5.16.6.	<i>Analysis of SEM Tests on Campeón Cement Mixture + 0.25% Nanosilica....</i>
5.16.7.	<i>Analysis of TEM Tests on Campeón Cement Mixture + 0.25% Nanosilica ...</i>

5.16.8.	<i>Analysis of XRD and Microstructural Tests on Campeón Cement + 0.25% Crab Shell-Derived Nanosilica</i>
5.17.	Microstructure of Shrimp Shell Nanochitin
5.18.	Microstructure of Mixtures with Shrimp Shell Nanochitin
5.18.1.	<i>EDS Analysis of Holcim Type GU Cement Mixture + 0.25% Nanochitin</i>
5.18.2.	<i>SEM Analysis of Holcim Type GU Cement Mixture + 0.25% Nanochitin</i>
5.18.3.	<i>TEM Analysis of Holcim Type GU Cement Mixture + 0.25% Nanochitin</i>
5.18.4.	<i>5.2.4. XRD Analysis of Holcim Type GU Cement Mixture + 0.25% Nanochitin</i>
5.19.	Microstructure of Bamboo Leaf Nanosilica
5.20.	Microstructure of Bamboo Leaf Nanosilica Mixtures
5.21.	Microstructure of Oat Husk Nanosilica
5.22.	Microstructure of Sugarcane Bagasse Nanosilica
5.23.	Microstructure of Corn Nanosilica
5.24.	Microstructure of Mixtures with Corn Leaf Nanosilica
5.24.1.	<i>Analysis of EDS tests of Holcim Cement Mixture Type GU + Nanosilica 0.25%</i>
5.24.2.	<i>Analysis of SEM tests of Holcim Cement Mixture Type GU + Nanosilica 0.25%</i>
5.24.3.	<i>Analysis of TEM tests of Holcim Cement Mixture Type GU + Nanosilica 0.25%</i>
5.24.4.	<i>Analysis of XRD tests of Holcim Cement Mixture Type GU + Nanosilica 0.25%</i>

6. Chapter 6. PERFORMANCE EVALUATION OF MECHANICAL PROPERTIES IN CEMENTITIOUS MORTAR MIXTURES.....

6.1.	Introduction.....
6.2.	Results and Analysis of Characterization Tests
6.3.	Fresh-State Properties of Mortar
6.3.1.	<i>Control Mortar.....</i>
6.3.2.	<i>Mortar with Nanoparticles.....</i>
6.4.	Justification for Cement Selection
6.5.	Influence of Nanosilica on Mortar Rheology and Workability.....
6.6.	Mechanical and Durability Assessment of Nanosilica-Modified Mortars.....
6.7.	Standards for Mortar
6.8.	Mortar
6.9.	Types of Mortars.....
6.10.	Mortar Classification and Types
6.10.1.	<i>Type M Mortar</i>
6.10.2.	<i>Type S Mortar</i>
6.10.3.	<i>Type N Mortar.....</i>

6.10.4.	<i>Type O Mortar</i>	
6.10.5.	<i>Type K Mortar</i>	
6.11.	Mortar Classification.....	
6.11.1.	<i>Lime Mortars</i>	
6.11.2.	<i>Lime and Portland Cement Mortars</i>	
6.11.3.	<i>Portland Cement Mortar</i>	
6.12.	Mortar Components	
6.13.	Aggregates	
6.14.	Physical and Mechanical Requirements for Aggregates.....	
6.15.	Water in Mortar Mixes.....	
6.16.	Characteristic Tests for Aggregates	
6.16.1.	<i>Organic Impurities in Aggregates</i>	
6.16.2.	<i>Aggregate Gradation (Particle Size Distribution)</i>	
6.17.	Specific Gravity and Absorption Capacity	
6.18.	Moisture Content in Aggregates	
6.19.	Bulk Density (Loose and Compacted Unit Weight)	
6.20.	Colorimetry Test	
6.21.	Grain Size Distribution of Fine Aggregate	
6.22.	Specific Gravity and Water Absorption Capacity of Fine Aggregate.....	
6.23.	Loose and Compacted Bulk Density.....	
6.24.	Clay Lumps and Friable Particles	
6.25.	Material Finer than the 75 μm (No. 200) Sieve	
6.26.	Lightweight Particles in Aggregates	
6.27.	Absolute Density of Cement	
6.28.	Standard Consistency of Cement	
6.29.	Setting Times of Cement.....	
6.30.	Mortar Flow Test	
6.31.	Holcim Maestro Type N Cement	
6.32.	Cemento Campeón Type HS.....	
6.33.	Mortar Design	
6.34.	Design Methodology.....	
6.35.	Ultrasound for Nanoparticle Dispersion	
6.36.	Permeability (Drop Method).....	
6.37.	Capillary Absorption Method	
6.38.	Performance Evaluation of Mortars with Crab-Derived Nanoadditives Using Type N Cement	

6.39.	Performance Evaluation of Mortars with Crab-Derived Nanoadditives Using Type HS Cement
6.40.	Performance Evaluation of Mortars with Shrimp-Derived Nanoadditives Using Type GU Cement
6.41.	Performance Evaluation of Mortars with Shrimp-Derived Nanoadditives Using Type N Cement
6.42.	Performance Evaluation of Mortars with Bamboo-Derived Nanoadditives (Nanosilica) Using Type GU Cement
6.43.	Performance Evaluation of Mortars with Bamboo-Derived (Cristobalite) Using Type HS Cement
6.44.	Performance Evaluation of Mortars with Corn-Derived Using Type GU Cement
6.45.	Performance Evaluation of Mortars with Oat-Derived Using Type N Cement..
6.46.	Mix Design Parameters and Workability
6.46.1.	<i>Performance Evaluation</i>
6.47.	Performance Evaluation of Mortars with Sugarcane-Derived Using Type N Cement
6.47.1.	<i>Performance Evaluation</i>
6.48.	Performance Evaluation of Mortars with Sugarcane-Derived Using Type HS Cement
6.48.1.	<i>Mix Design Parameters and Workability</i>
6.48.2.	<i>Performance Evaluation</i>

7. Chapter 7. DISCUSSION, CONCLUSIONS, AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Error! Bookmark not defined.

7.1.	Integrated Conclusion: Valorization Pathways for Marine and Agricultural Waste Through Nanomaterial Synthesis
7.1.1.	<i>Marine Waste as a Platform for Multi-Nanomaterial Recovery</i>
7.1.2.	<i>Agricultural Waste: A Consistent Pathway for Nanosilica Synthesis</i>
7.1.3.	<i>Comparative Insight and Sustainability Impact</i>
7.1.4.	<i>Correlation Between Nanostructure and Performance Enhancement</i>
7.1.5.	<i>Effect of Marine Waste Nanoadditives on Mortar Performance</i>
7.1.6.	<i>Effect of Agricultural Waste-Derived Nanoadditives on Mortar Performance</i>
7.1.7.	<i>Influence of Cement Type on Additive Performance</i>
7.1.8.	<i>Justification of Experimental Variations</i>
7.1.9.	<i>Importance of Flowability as a Standardization Parameter</i>
7.1.10.	<i>Aggregate-to-Cement Ratio Compliance</i>
7.1.11.	<i>Application-Oriented Norm Selection</i>
7.1.12.	<i>Strategic Use of Cement Types</i>
7.1.13.	<i>Limitations and Future Recommendations</i>
7.1.14.	<i>Microstructural Preservation for Post-Failure Analysis</i>

7.1.15.	<i>Advanced Surface Analysis Techniques</i>
7.1.16.	<i>Expansion of Nanoparticle Sources</i>
7.1.17.	<i>Durability Studies Under Aggressive Environments</i>
7.1.18.	<i>Optimization of Mixed Nanoparticle Formulations</i>
7.1.19.	<i>Industrial Scalability and Economic Evaluation</i>
7.1.20.	<i>Optimization of the Sol-Gel Process</i>
7.1.21.	<i>Improved Dispersion and Anti-Agglomeration Strategies</i>
7.1.22.	<i>Broader Cement Compatibility and Field Conditions</i>
7.1.23.	<i>Strategic Material Alternatives</i>
7.1.24.	<i>Lower Dosage Evaluation</i>
7.1.25.	<i>Additive Compatibility for Fresh-State Behavior</i>
7.1.26.	<i>Hydrophobicity and Long-Term Waterproofing</i>
7.1.27.	<i>Alternate Microstructure Evaluation Methods</i>
8.	REFERENCES