

On n -ellipse contractive mappings over some extended metric spaces

KUSHAL ROY ^a , ZORAN D. MITROVIĆ ^b  AND VAHID PARVANEH ^c 

^a Department of Mathematics, Dr. B. C. Roy Engineering College, Jemua Road, Fuljhore, Durgapur-713206, West Bengal, India (kushal.roy93@gmail.com)

^b University of Banja Luka, Faculty of Electrical Engineering, patre 5, 78000 Banja Luka, Bosnia and Herzegovina (zoran.mitrovic@etf.unibl.org)

^c Department of Mathematics, Gilan-E-Gharb Branch, Islamic Azad University, Gilan-E-Gharb, Iran (zam.dalahoo@gmail.com)

Communicated by R. Pant

ABSTRACT

In this paper, the concept of a mapping contracting axes of an n -ellipse is introduced and its fixed point set has been determined. Investigation of fixed point of mappings over different metric-type spaces is always an interesting study in fixed point theory. We consider a mapping with special characteristic, which is contracting axes of an n -ellipse over two different metric-type structures, Δ -metric space and $\mathcal{F}$ -metric space. An enriched version of a mapping contracting axes of an n -ellipse is also introduced, and this class of mappings includes several non-expansive mappings. Moreover, the paper is furnished by some suitable examples that support our results and show that our mapping is distinct from the usual contractive type mappings.

2020 MSC: 47H10; 54H25.

KEYWORDS: fixed point; axes contractive mapping of n -ellipse; Δ -metric space; $\mathcal{F}$ -metric space; Banach space.

1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

It is well known that an element ξ is called a fixed point of a self mapping $\mathcal{T}$ defined on a non-empty set $\mathcal{X}$ if $\mathcal{T}\xi = \xi$. The set $Fix(\mathcal{T})$ denotes the set of all fixed points of the mapping $\mathcal{T}$. If a mapping $\mathcal{T}$ has more than one fixed point, that is, $Fix(\mathcal{T})$ is not a singleton then it can contain some geometrical shapes like a circle, disc or different types of conics. A geometrical pattern $\mathcal{G}$ is called a fixed figure of a mapping $\mathcal{T}$ if $\mathcal{T}\xi = \xi$ for all $\xi \in \mathcal{G}$.

Özgür and Taş [10, 11] first introduced the concept of fixed circle theory in the year 2017. They showed that under some relevant conditions, a mapping can fix a circle on arbitrary metric spaces. On such a topic, several researchers have contributed nowadays (see [18, 19]). Next in the year 2021, Joshi et al. [8] introduced the notion of a fixed ellipse in metric spaces.

The famous Banach contraction principle [2] can reduce the radius of a circle with center as the unique fixed point of a contraction mapping, that is, the perimeter and the area of the circle also. E. Petrov has introduced a mapping contracting perimeters of triangles [15] in the year 2023. From the title of the mapping, it is clearly understood that this mapping can shrink the lengths of sides of a given triangle. Recently, the concept of a mapping that can decrease the lengths of axes of an ellipse has been given by K. Roy (see [17]).

Definition 1.1 (Mapping contracting perimeters of triangles, [15]). A self mapping $\mathcal{T}$ defined on a metric space $(\mathcal{X}, D)$ with $|\mathcal{X}| \geq 3$ is called a mapping contracting perimeters of triangles if there exists $k_1 \in [0, 1)$ such that the inequality

$$D(\mathcal{T}\xi, \mathcal{T}\eta) + D(\mathcal{T}\eta, \mathcal{T}\sigma) + D(\mathcal{T}\sigma, \mathcal{T}\xi) \leq k_1[D(\xi, \eta) + D(\eta, \sigma) + D(\sigma, \xi)] \quad (1.1)$$

holds for all three pairwise distinct points $\xi, \eta, \sigma \in \mathcal{X}$.

Definition 1.2 ([17]). Let $(\mathcal{X}, D)$ be a metric space and $\theta, \vartheta \in \mathcal{X}$ be two distinct elements. A mapping $\mathcal{T} : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is said to be a mapping contracting axes of ellipse if for all $\xi \in \mathcal{X} \setminus \{\theta, \vartheta\}$ with $\xi \neq \mathcal{T}\xi$,

$$D(\mathcal{T}\xi, \theta) + D(\mathcal{T}\xi, \vartheta) \leq k_2(D(\xi, \theta) + D(\xi, \vartheta)), \quad (1.2)$$

for some $k_2 \in [0, 1)$.

In the year 2021, N. Taş et al. [21] introduced new fixed-figure results using the notion of k -ellipse on a metric space. Succeeding one is the definition of k -ellipse.

Definition 1.3 ([21]). Let $(\mathcal{X}, D)$ be a metric space. A k -ellipse is defined by

$$E[\xi_1, \dots, \xi_k; r] = \{\xi \in \mathcal{X} : D(\xi, \xi_1) + \dots + D(\xi, \xi_k) = r\} \quad (1.3)$$

Clearly, for $k = 2$ we get an ellipse and if $k = 1$ then we get a circle in metric space $(\mathcal{X}, D)$.

In this manuscript, we introduce a mapping $\mathcal{T}$ which can contract the axes of n -ellipse, a generalized version of ellipse.

2. MAPPING CONTRACTING AXES OF AN n -ELLIPSE IN A Δ -METRIC SPACE

First, we recall the definition and related properties of a Δ -metric space.

Let the set S_Δ be defined by

$$S_\Delta = \{\Delta : X \times X \rightarrow [0, +\infty) : \Delta(\xi, \xi) = 0 \text{ for all } \xi \in X\},$$

where X is a nonempty set.

Definition 2.1 ([20]). Let X be a nonempty set and $\rho_\Delta : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a mapping. Then ρ_Δ is said to be a Δ -metric if there exists some $\Delta \in S_\Delta$ such that for all $\xi, \eta, \zeta \in X$ it satisfies the following conditions:

$$(\rho_\Delta 1) \quad 0 \leq \Delta(\xi, \eta) \leq \rho_\Delta(\xi, \eta);$$

$$(\rho_\Delta 2) \quad \rho_\Delta(\xi, \eta) = \Delta(\xi, \eta) \text{ if and only if } \xi = \eta;$$

$$(\rho_\Delta 3) \quad \rho_\Delta(\xi, \eta) = \rho_\Delta(\eta, \xi);$$

$$(\rho_\Delta 4) \quad \rho_\Delta(\xi, \zeta) \leq \rho_\Delta(\xi, \eta) + \rho_\Delta(\eta, \zeta) + \Delta(\xi, \zeta).$$

The pair (X, ρ_Δ) is called a Δ -metric space.

Remark 2.2 ([20]). (i) If in Definition 2.1. we put

$$\Delta(\xi, \eta) = 0, \text{ for all } \xi, \eta \in X,$$

then ρ_Δ will give an usual metric.

(ii) If in Definition 2.1. we put

$$\Delta(\xi, \eta) = \frac{k-1}{k} \rho_\Delta(\xi, \eta), \text{ for all } \xi, \eta \in X,$$

and for some $k > 1$ then ρ_Δ will give a b -metric (see [1, 6]) with coefficient $k > 1$.

(iii) If we take

$$\Delta(\xi, \eta) = \frac{\theta(\xi, \eta) - 1}{\theta(\xi, \eta)} \rho_\Delta(\xi, \eta), \text{ for all } \xi, \eta \in X,$$

and for some function $\theta : X^2 \rightarrow [1, +\infty)$ in Definition 2.1 then ρ_Δ will give an extended b -metric [9].

(iv) Let $\Omega : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ be a strictly increasing continuous function with

$$\Omega^{-1}(t) \leq t \leq \Omega(t) \text{ and } \Omega^{-1}(0) = 0 = \Omega(0).$$

Then if we consider

$$\Delta(\xi, \eta) = \rho_\Delta(\xi, \eta) - \Omega^{-1}(\rho_\Delta(\xi, \eta)), \text{ for all } \xi, \eta \in X,$$

then ρ_Δ will give a p -metric, see [14].

Definition 2.3 ([20]). Let (X, ρ_Δ) be a Δ -metric space and $\{\xi_n\}$ be a sequence in X . Then:

- (i) the sequence $\{\xi_n\}$ is ρ_Δ -convergent and converges to some $\xi \in X$, if $\rho_\Delta(\xi_n, \xi) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$,
- (ii) the sequence $\{\xi_n\}$ is ρ_Δ -Cauchy if $\rho_\Delta(\xi_n, \xi_m) \rightarrow 0$ as $n, m \rightarrow +\infty$,
- (iii) (X, ρ_Δ) is complete if every ρ_Δ -Cauchy sequence in X is ρ_Δ -convergent.

We give an example of complete Δ -metric space.

Example 2.4 ([20]). Let $X = \mathbb{N}$ and $\rho_\Delta : X \times X \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ satisfies $\rho_\Delta(\xi, \eta) = \rho_\Delta(\eta, \xi)$ for all $\xi, \eta \in X$ and be given by

$$\rho_\Delta(\xi, \eta) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } \xi = \eta; \\ \frac{1}{\eta}, & \text{if } \xi = 1 \text{ and } \eta > 1; \\ 2, & \text{if } \xi, \eta \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\} \text{ and } \xi \neq \eta. \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

Then ρ_Δ is a Δ -metric space, which is complete, and $\Delta \in \mathcal{S}_\Delta$ given by

$$\Delta(\xi, \eta) = \begin{cases} 2 - \frac{\xi+\eta}{\xi\eta}, & \text{if } \xi, \eta \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\} \text{ and } \xi \neq \eta; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (2.2)$$

Definition 2.5 ([20]). Let (X, ρ_Δ) be a Δ -metric space and $\{\xi_n\}$ be a sequence in X . Then

- (i) the sequence $\{\xi_n\}$ is said to be Δ -convergent to an element $\xi \in X$ if $\Delta(\xi_n, \xi) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$.
- (ii) the sequence $\{\xi_n\}$ is called Δ -Cauchy if $\Delta(\xi_n, \xi_m) \rightarrow 0$ as $n, m \rightarrow +\infty$.

Remark 2.6 ([20]). (i) The concepts of ρ_Δ -convergence and Δ -convergence is same in the case of b -metric spaces and p -metric spaces. A ρ_Δ -Cauchy sequence is also Δ -Cauchy in b -metric spaces and p -metric spaces, and vice versa;

(ii) A Δ -metric is not always continuous;

(iii) In a Δ -metric space, any ρ_Δ -convergent sequence is also Δ -convergent, but the converse is not true in general;

(iv) In a Δ -metric space, a ρ_Δ -convergent sequence may not be always a ρ_Δ -Cauchy sequence;

(v) A Δ -metric space is always Hausdorff, that is, if in a Δ -metric space X a sequence $\{\xi_n\}$ is ρ_Δ -convergent to two elements ξ and η , then $\xi = \eta$;

(vi) If in a Δ -metric space X a sequence $\{\xi_n\}$ is ρ_Δ -convergent to some $\xi \in X$ then $\lim_{n, m \rightarrow +\infty} [\rho_\Delta(\xi_n, \xi_m) - \Delta(\xi_n, \xi_m)] = 0$. Moreover if $\{\xi_n\}$ is a Δ -Cauchy sequence then $\{\xi_n\}$ will be a ρ_Δ -Cauchy sequence in X ;

(vii) If in a Δ -metric space X two sequences $\{\xi_n\}$ and $\{\eta_n\}$ both are ρ_Δ -convergent to an element $\xi \in X$ then $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} [\rho_\Delta(\xi_n, \eta_n) - \Delta(\xi_n, \eta_n)] = 0$. Moreover if $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \Delta(\xi_n, \eta_n) = 0$ then $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \rho_\Delta(\xi_n, \eta_n) = 0$.

Before going to our main theorem, we define orbital continuity and p -continuity, where $p \geq 1$.

Definition 2.7 (Orbitally continuous mapping). For a self mapping $\mathcal{T}$ over a Δ -metric space (X, ρ_Δ) the set

$$O(\xi, \mathcal{T}) := \{\mathcal{T}^n \xi : n = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}, \xi \in X,$$

is called an orbit of the mapping $\mathcal{T}$ and $\mathcal{T}$ is said to be orbitally continuous at a point $u \in X$ if for any sequence $\{\xi_n\} \subset O(\xi, \mathcal{T})$ (for some $\xi \in X$) $\xi_n \rightarrow u$ (ρ_Δ -convergence) implies $\mathcal{T}\xi_n \rightarrow \mathcal{T}u$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$. Moreover, if $\mathcal{T}$ is orbitally continuous at each point of X then $\mathcal{T}$ is said to be orbitally continuous on X .

Definition 2.8 (p -continuous mapping). A mapping $\mathcal{T} : (X, \rho_\Delta) \rightarrow (X, \rho_\Delta)$ in a Δ -metric space is called p -continuous for some $p \in \mathbb{N}$ if for all sequence $\{\chi_n\} \in X$, $\mathcal{T}^{p-1}(\chi_n) \rightarrow l$ (ρ_Δ -convergence) as $n \rightarrow +\infty$ implies $\mathcal{T}^p(\chi_n) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}(l)$.

Now we are in a position to prove some fixed point theorems for mappings contracting axes of an n -ellipse in a Δ -metric space.

Definition 2.9. Let the mapping $\mathcal{T}$ on a Δ -metric space (X, ρ_Δ) be such that

$$\rho_\Delta(\mathcal{T}\xi, \lambda_1) + \dots + \rho_\Delta(\mathcal{T}\xi, \lambda_n) \leq k[\rho_\Delta(\xi, \lambda_1) + \dots + \rho_\Delta(\xi, \lambda_n)] \quad (2.3)$$

for all $\xi \in X \setminus \{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n\}$, $\xi \neq \mathcal{T}\xi$, where $k \in (0, 1)$ and $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ are all pairwise distinct elements. Then the mapping $\mathcal{T}$ is a mapping contracting axes of an n -ellipse with Lipschitz constant $k \in (0, 1)$.

Theorem 2.10. Let (X, ρ_Δ) be a complete Δ -metric space and $\mathcal{T}$ be a mapping contracting axes of n -ellipse with Lipschitz constant $k \in (0, 1)$. Also, let $\mathcal{T}$ satisfies the following three conditions:

- (a) For some $\xi_0 \in X$, the Picard iterating sequence $\{\xi_m\}$, $\xi_m = \mathcal{T}^m \xi_0$ we have that $\xi_m \in X \setminus \{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n\}$ for every $m = 1, 2, \dots$;
- (b) The Picard iterating sequence $\{\xi_m\}_{m \geq 1}$ is Δ -Cauchy;
- (c) $\mathcal{T}$ is orbitally continuous or, p -continuous for some $p \geq 1$ in X .

Then $\mathcal{T}$ has at least one fixed point in X .

Proof. If $\xi_m = \xi_{m+1}$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, then we are done. Without loss of generality, therefore we assume that $\xi_m \neq \xi_{m+1}$ for $m = 0, 1, \dots$. Then for any $m \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \rho_\Delta(\xi_m, \lambda_1) + \rho_\Delta(\xi_m, \lambda_2) + \dots + \rho_\Delta(\xi_m, \lambda_n) \\ & \leq k[\rho_\Delta(\xi_{m-1}, \lambda_1) + \rho_\Delta(\xi_{m-1}, \lambda_2) + \dots + \rho_\Delta(\xi_{m-1}, \lambda_n)] \\ & \leq k^2[\rho_\Delta(\xi_{m-2}, \lambda_1) + \rho_\Delta(\xi_{m-2}, \lambda_2) + \dots + \rho_\Delta(\xi_{m-2}, \lambda_n)] \\ & \vdots \\ & \leq k^m[\rho_\Delta(\xi_0, \lambda_1) + \rho_\Delta(\xi_0, \lambda_2) + \dots + \rho_\Delta(\xi_0, \lambda_n)]. \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

Now, for every $m \geq 1$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \rho_{\Delta}(\xi_m, \xi_{m+q}) &\leq \rho_{\Delta}(\xi_m, \lambda_1) + \rho_{\Delta}(\xi_{m+q}, \lambda_1) + \Delta(\xi_m, \xi_{m+q}) \\
 &\leq (k^m + k^{m+q})[\rho_{\Delta}(\xi_0, \lambda_1) + \cdots + \rho_{\Delta}(\xi_0, \lambda_n)] + \Delta(\xi_m, \xi_{m+q}) \\
 &= k^m(1 + k^q)[\rho_{\Delta}(\xi_0, \lambda_1) + \cdots + \rho_{\Delta}(\xi_0, \lambda_n)] + \Delta(\xi_m, \xi_{m+q}) \\
 &\text{for } q = 1, 2, \dots
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

Applying condition (b) and since $0 < k < 1$, we have $\{\xi_m\}$ is a ρ_{Δ} -Cauchy sequence. Due to the completeness of $\mathcal{X}$ we get $\zeta \in \mathcal{X}$ such that $\rho_{\Delta}(\xi_m, \zeta) \rightarrow 0$ as $m \rightarrow +\infty$. Using condition (c) from the Definition 2.7. and Definition 2.8. we obtain that $\rho_{\Delta}(\xi_{m+1}, \mathcal{T}\zeta) \rightarrow 0$ as $m \rightarrow +\infty$. Triangle inequality of Δ -metric space implies that

$$\rho_{\Delta}(\mathcal{T}\zeta, \zeta) \leq \rho_{\Delta}(\xi_m, \mathcal{T}\zeta) + \rho_{\Delta}(\xi_m, \zeta) + \Delta(\mathcal{T}\zeta, \zeta) \text{ for all } m \geq 1. \tag{2.6}$$

Thus

$$\Delta(\mathcal{T}\zeta, \zeta) \leq \rho_{\Delta}(\mathcal{T}\zeta, \zeta) \leq \Delta(\mathcal{T}\zeta, \zeta),$$

so, $\rho_{\Delta}(\mathcal{T}\zeta, \zeta) = \Delta(\mathcal{T}\zeta, \zeta)$. Now, we conclude that $\mathcal{T}\zeta = \zeta$, that is $\mathcal{T}$ has a fixed point in $\mathcal{X}$. $\square$

Example 2.11. Let us consider the complete Δ -metric space defined in Example 2.4. Define $\mathcal{T} : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ by

$$\mathcal{T}(\xi) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \xi \in 2\mathbb{N}; \\ \xi, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{2.7}$$

Then for all $\xi \in 2\mathbb{N} \setminus \{2\}$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\rho_{\Delta}(\mathcal{T}\xi, 2) + \rho_{\Delta}(\mathcal{T}\xi, 3) + \rho_{\Delta}(\mathcal{T}\xi, 5) + \rho_{\Delta}(\mathcal{T}\xi, 7) + \rho_{\Delta}(\mathcal{T}\xi, 11) \\
 &= \rho_{\Delta}(1, 2) + \rho_{\Delta}(1, 3) + \rho_{\Delta}(1, 5) + \rho_{\Delta}(1, 7) + \rho_{\Delta}(1, 11) \\
 &\approx 1.267
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\rho_{\Delta}(\xi, 2) + \rho_{\Delta}(\xi, 3) + \rho_{\Delta}(\xi, 5) + \rho_{\Delta}(\xi, 7) + \rho_{\Delta}(\xi, 11) = 10.$$

Therefore $\mathcal{T}$ is a mapping contracting axes of 5-ellipse for the Lipschitz constant $k = \frac{3}{20}$, with the node points (foci) 2, 3, 5, 7 and 11. Moreover, $\mathcal{T}$ satisfies all the conditions of Theorem 2.10 and we see that $\mathcal{T}$ has infinitely many fixed points in $\mathcal{X}$.

Corollary 2.12. Let X be a complete metric space (or, a b -metric space or, a p -metric space) and $\mathcal{T}$ be a mapping contracting axes of n -ellipse for some Lipschitz constant $k \in (0, 1)$. Also let $\mathcal{T}$ satisfies the following two conditions:

- (a) For some $\xi_0 \in X$ the Picard iterating sequence $\{\xi_m\}$ so that $\xi_m = \mathcal{T}^m \xi_0$, is such that $\xi_m \in X \setminus \{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n\}$ for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$;
- (b) $\mathcal{T}$ is orbitally continuous or, p -continuous for some $p \geq 1$ in X .

Then $\mathcal{T}$ has at least one fixed point in X .

Let us take the collection Φ of all functions

$$F : \underbrace{[0, +\infty) \times \dots \times [0, +\infty)}_{n \text{ times}} \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$$

satisfying the following two conditions:

- (i) F is continuous;
- (ii) $\max\{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n\} \leq F(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n)$ for all $t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n \in [0, +\infty)$.

Example 2.13. There are several examples of above defined mappings. For all $(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n) \in \underbrace{[0, +\infty) \times \dots \times [0, +\infty)}_{n \text{ times}}$ one may consider the following mappings:

- (i) $F_1(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n) = t_1 + t_2 + \dots + t_n$;
- (ii) $F_2(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n) = \sqrt[r]{t_1^r + t_2^r + \dots + t_n^r}$ for any positive integer r ;
- (iii) $F(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n) = e^{t_1} + e^{t_2} + \dots + e^{t_n}$.

Definition 2.14. A mapping $\mathcal{T}$ on a Δ -metric space (X, ρ_Δ) is said to be a generalized mapping contracting axes of n -ellipse ($n \geq 2$) if it satisfies

$$F(\rho_\Delta(\mathcal{T}\xi, \lambda_1), \dots, \rho_\Delta(\mathcal{T}\xi, \lambda_n)) \leq kF(\rho_\Delta(\xi, \lambda_1), \dots, \rho_\Delta(\xi, \lambda_n)) \quad (2.8)$$

for all $\xi \notin \text{Fix}(\mathcal{T}) \cup \{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n\}$; for some $k \in (0, 1)$, where $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n$ are all pairwise distinct elements.

Theorem 2.15. Let (X, ρ_Δ) be a complete Δ -metric space and $\mathcal{T}$ be a generalized mapping contracting axes of n -ellipse for some Lipschitz constant $k \in (0, 1)$. Also let $\mathcal{T}$ satisfies the following conditions:

- (a) For some $\xi_0 \in X$ the Picard iterating sequence $\{\xi_m\}$ so that $\xi_m = \mathcal{T}^m \xi_0$, is such that $\xi_m \in X \setminus \{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n\}$ for every $m = 1, 2, \dots$;
- (b) The Picard iterating sequence $\{\xi_m\}_{m \geq 1}$ is Δ -Cauchy;
- (c) $\mathcal{T}$ is orbitally continuous or, p -continuous for some $p \geq 1$ in X .

Then $\mathcal{T}$ has at least one fixed point in X .

Proof. Let us assume that the sequence $\{\xi_m\}$ does not contain any fixed point of $\mathcal{T}$. Then we see that

$$\begin{aligned} & F(\rho_\Delta(\xi_m, \lambda_1), \rho_\Delta(\xi_m, \lambda_2), \dots, \rho_\Delta(\xi_m, \lambda_n)) \\ & \leq kF(\rho_\Delta(\xi_{m-1}, \lambda_1), \rho_\Delta(\xi_{m-1}, \lambda_2), \dots, \rho_\Delta(\xi_{m-1}, \lambda_n)) \\ & \leq k^2F(\rho_\Delta(\xi_{m-2}, \lambda_1), \rho_\Delta(\xi_{m-2}, \lambda_2), \dots, \rho_\Delta(\xi_{m-2}, \lambda_n)) \\ & \vdots \\ & \leq k^m F(\rho_\Delta(\xi_0, \lambda_1), \rho_\Delta(\xi_0, \lambda_2), \dots, \rho_\Delta(\xi_0, \lambda_n)). \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

The remaining proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 2.10. $\square$

Corollary 2.16. *Let (X, ρ_Δ) be a complete Δ -metric space and $\mathcal{T}$ satisfies*

$$\max\{\rho_\Delta(\mathcal{T}\xi, \lambda_1), \dots, \rho_\Delta(\mathcal{T}\xi, \lambda_n)\} \leq k \max\{\rho_\Delta(\xi, \lambda_1), \dots, \rho_\Delta(\xi, \lambda_n)\} \quad (2.10)$$

for all $\xi \notin \text{Fix}(\mathcal{T}) \cup \{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n\}$, for some $k \in (0, 1)$, where $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ are all pairwise distinct elements. Also let $\mathcal{T}$ fulfils the following two conditions:

(a) *For some $\xi_0 \in X$ for Picard sequence $\xi_m = \mathcal{T}^m \xi_0$ holds*

$$\xi_m \in X \setminus \{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n\},$$

for every $m = 1, 2, \dots$;

(b) *$\mathcal{T}$ is orbitally continuous or, p -continuous for some $p \geq 1$ in X .*

Then $\mathcal{T}$ has at least one fixed point in X .

3. MAPPING CONTRACTING AXES OF AN n -ELLIPSE ON $\mathcal{F}$ -METRIC SPACE

In this section we prove some fixed point theorems for mappings contracting axes of n -ellipse in an $\mathcal{F}$ -metric space, which was introduced by Jleli and Samet [7] in the year 2018.

Definition 3.1 ($\mathcal{F}$ -metric space, [7]). Let X be a non-empty set. A mapping $\sigma_F : X \times X \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ is said to be an $\mathcal{F}$ -metric on X if for all $\xi, \eta \in X$, σ_F satisfies:

1. $\sigma_F(\xi, \eta) = 0$ if and only if $\xi = \eta$;
2. $\sigma_F(\xi, \eta) = \sigma_F(\eta, \xi)$;
3. For every $m \in \mathbb{N}$ with $m \geq 2$, and for every $u_1, \dots, u_m \in X$ with $(u_1, u_m) = (\xi, \eta)$, we have

$$\Omega(\sigma_F(\xi, \eta)) \leq \Omega\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \sigma_F(u_i, u_{i+1})\right) + c, \quad (3.1)$$

for $\xi \neq \eta$, where $\Omega : (0, +\infty) \rightarrow (-\infty, +\infty)$ is an increasing function, $\Omega(t_n) \rightarrow -\infty$ for all sequence $\{t_n\}$ with $t_n \rightarrow 0$ and $c \in [0, +\infty)$.

The pair (X, σ_F) is called an $\mathcal{F}$ -metric space.

Definition 3.2 ([7]). Let (X, σ_F) be an $\mathcal{F}$ -metric space. Also, let $\{\xi_n\}$ be a sequence in X and $\zeta \in X$.

- (i) The sequence $\{\xi_n\}$ is $\mathcal{F}$ -convergent and converges to ζ if $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \sigma_F(\xi_n, \zeta) = 0$.
- (ii) The sequence $\{\xi_n\}$ is $\mathcal{F}$ -Cauchy if $\lim_{n, m \rightarrow +\infty} \sigma_F(\xi_n, \xi_m) = 0$.
- (iii) The $\mathcal{F}$ -metric space (X, σ_F) is $\mathcal{F}$ -complete if any $\mathcal{F}$ -Cauchy sequence in X is $\mathcal{F}$ -convergent.

Example 3.3 ([7]). Let $X = \mathbb{N}$ and $\sigma_F : X^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+ \cup \{0\}$ be defined by

$$\sigma_F(\xi, \eta) = \begin{cases} e^{|\xi - \eta|}, & \text{if } \xi \neq \eta; \\ 0, & \text{if } \xi = \eta. \end{cases} \quad (3.2)$$

Then (X, σ_F) is an $\mathcal{F}$ -complete $\mathcal{F}$ -metric space.

Remark 3.4 ([7]). (i) Though any metric space is an $\mathcal{F}$ -metric space but there are several examples of b -metric which are not $\mathcal{F}$ -metric. The concepts of b -metrics and $\mathcal{F}$ -metric are independent of each others.

- (ii) An $\mathcal{F}$ -metric space is always Hausdorff, that is, if in a $\mathcal{F}$ -metric space X a sequence $\{\xi_n\}$ satisfies $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \sigma_F(\xi_n, \xi) = 0$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \sigma_F(\xi_n, \eta) = 0$, then $\xi = \eta$;
- (iii) In an $\mathcal{F}$ -metric space an $\mathcal{F}$ -convergent sequence is also $\mathcal{F}$ -Cauchy.

Remark 3.5. The definition of orbital continuity and p -continuity, $p \in \mathbb{N}$, for a mapping $\mathcal{T}$ in an $\mathcal{F}$ -metric space is the same as for a Δ -metric space, (see Definition 2.8 and Definition 2.9).

Definition 3.6. A mapping $\mathcal{T}$ on an $\mathcal{F}$ -metric space (X, σ_F) which satisfies

$$\sigma_F(\mathcal{T}\xi, \lambda_1) + \cdots + \sigma_F(\mathcal{T}\xi, \lambda_n) \leq k[\sigma_F(\xi, \lambda_1) + \cdots + \sigma_F(\xi, \lambda_n)] \quad (3.3)$$

for all $\xi \notin \text{Fix}(\mathcal{T}) \cup X \setminus \{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n\}$, for some $k \in (0, 1)$, where $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n$ are all pairwise distinct elements, is called a mapping contracting axes of n -ellipse ($n \geq 2$).

Theorem 3.7. Let X be an $\mathcal{F}$ -complete $\mathcal{F}$ -metric space and $\mathcal{T}$ be a mapping contracting axes of n -ellipse for some Lipschitz constant $k \in (0, 1)$. Also, let $\mathcal{T}$ satisfies the following two conditions:

- (a) For some $\xi_0 \in X$ the Picard iterating sequence $\{\xi_m\}$, $\xi_m = \mathcal{T}^m \xi_0$ is such that $\xi_m \notin \{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n\}$ for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$;
- (b) $\mathcal{T}$ is orbitally continuous or, p -continuous for some $p \geq 1$ in X .

Then $\mathcal{T}$ has at least one fixed point in X .

Proof. Without loss of generality, we assume that $\{\xi_m\}$ does not contain any fixed point of $\mathcal{T}$. Then for any $m \geq 1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sigma_F(\xi_m, \lambda_1) + \sigma_F(\xi_m, \lambda_2) + \cdots + \sigma_F(\xi_m, \lambda_n) \\ & \leq k[\sigma_F(\xi_{m-1}, \lambda_1) + \sigma_F(\xi_{m-1}, \lambda_2) + \cdots + \sigma_F(\xi_{m-1}, \lambda_n)] \\ & \leq k^2[\sigma_F(\xi_{m-2}, \lambda_1) + \sigma_F(\xi_{m-2}, \lambda_2) + \cdots + \sigma_F(\xi_{m-2}, \lambda_n)] \\ & \vdots \\ & \leq k^m[\sigma_F(\xi_0, \lambda_1) + \sigma_F(\xi_0, \lambda_2) + \cdots + \sigma_F(\xi_0, \lambda_n)]. \end{aligned}$$

For all $m, t \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega(\sigma_F(\xi_m, x_{m+t})) & \leq \Omega(\sigma_F(\xi_m, \lambda_n) + \sigma_F(\xi_{m+t}, \lambda_n)) + c \\ & \leq \Omega(\{\sigma_F(\xi_m, \lambda_1) + \sigma_F(\xi_m, \lambda_2) + \cdots + \sigma_F(\xi_m, \lambda_n)\} + \\ & \quad \{\sigma_F(\xi_{m+t}, \lambda_1) + \sigma_F(\xi_{m+t}, \lambda_2) + \cdots + \sigma_F(\xi_{m+t}, \lambda_n)\}) + c \\ & \leq \Omega((k^m + k^{m+t})\{\sigma_F(\xi_0, \lambda_1) + \sigma_F(\xi_0, \lambda_2) + \cdots + \\ & \quad \sigma_F(\xi_0, \lambda_n)\}) + c \\ & \leq \Omega(k^m(1 + k^t)\{\sigma_F(\xi_0, \lambda_1) + \sigma_F(\xi_0, \lambda_2) + \cdots + \\ & \quad \sigma_F(\xi_0, \lambda_n)\}) + c. \end{aligned}$$

Since $0 < k < 1$, for any $t = 1, 2, \dots$,

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow +\infty} \Omega(\sigma_F(\xi_m, x_{m+t})) = -\infty \Rightarrow \lim_{m \rightarrow +\infty} \sigma_F(\xi_m, x_{m+t}) = 0.$$

Which shows that $\{\xi_m\}$ is an $\mathcal{F}$ -Cauchy sequence. Here $\mathcal{X}$ is $\mathcal{F}$ -complete and thus we get some $\eta \in \mathcal{X}$ such that $\sigma_F(\xi_m, \eta) \rightarrow 0$ as $m \rightarrow +\infty$. Now, using condition (b) it is clear from the Definition 2.8. and Definition 2.9. that $\sigma_F(\xi_{m+1}, \mathcal{T}\eta) \rightarrow 0$ as $m \rightarrow +\infty$. As $(\mathcal{X}, \sigma_F)$ is Hausdorff, it implies that $\mathcal{T}\eta = \eta$ and η is a fixed point of $\mathcal{T}$. $\square$

Example 3.8. Consider the $\mathcal{F}$ -complete $\mathcal{F}$ -metric space given in Example 3.3. Let $\mathcal{P}$ be the set of all primes and $\mathcal{T} : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ be defined by

$$\mathcal{T}(\xi) = \begin{cases} 9, & \text{if } \xi \in \mathcal{P}; \\ \xi, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then for any $\xi \in \mathcal{P}$,

$$\sigma_F(\mathcal{T}\xi, 8) + \sigma_F(\mathcal{T}\xi, 10) \leq \frac{2}{e^2 + 1}(\sigma_F(\xi, 8) + \sigma_F(\xi, 10)).$$

Therefore $\mathcal{T}$ is a mapping contracting axes of 2-ellipse, with the node points (foci) 8, 10. Moreover $\mathcal{T}$ satisfies all the conditions of Theorem 3.7 and we see that $\mathcal{T}$ has infinitely many fixed points in $\mathcal{X}$.

Corollary 3.9. *Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a complete metric space and $\mathcal{T}$ be a mapping contracting axes of n -ellipse for some Lipschitz constant $k \in (0, 1)$. Also, let $\mathcal{T}$ satisfies the following two conditions:*

(a) *For some $\xi_0 \in \mathcal{X}$ the Picard iterating sequence $\{\xi_m\}$, $\xi_m = \mathcal{T}^m \xi_0$ is such that $\xi_m \in \mathcal{X} \setminus \{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n\}$ for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$;*

(b) *$\mathcal{T}$ is orbitally continuous or p -continuous for some $p \geq 1$ in $\mathcal{X}$.*

Then $\mathcal{T}$ has at least one fixed point in $\mathcal{X}$.

Definition 3.10. A mapping $\mathcal{T}$ on an $\mathcal{F}$ -metric space $(\mathcal{X}, \sigma_F)$ is said to be a generalized mapping contracting axes of n -ellipse ($n \geq 2$) if it satisfies

$$F(\sigma_F(\mathcal{T}\xi, \lambda_1), \dots, \sigma_F(\mathcal{T}\xi, \lambda_n)) \leq kF(\sigma_F(\xi, \lambda_1), \dots, \sigma_F(\xi, \lambda_n)) \quad (3.4)$$

for all $\xi \notin \text{Fix}(\mathcal{T}) \cup \mathcal{X} \setminus \{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n\}$, for some $k \in (0, 1)$, where $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n$ are all pairwise distinct elements and $F \in \Phi$.

Theorem 3.11. *Let $(\mathcal{X}, \sigma_F)$ be an $\mathcal{F}$ -complete $\mathcal{F}$ -metric space and $\mathcal{T}$ be a generalized mapping contracting axes of n -ellipse for some Lipschitz constant $k \in (0, 1)$. Also let $\mathcal{T}$ satisfies the following two conditions:*

(a) *For some $\xi_0 \in \mathcal{X}$ the Picard iterating sequence $\{\xi_m\}$, $\xi_m = \mathcal{T}^m \xi_0$ is such that $\xi_m \notin \{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n\}$ for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$;*

(b) *$\mathcal{T}$ is orbitally continuous or p -continuous for some $p \geq 1$ in $\mathcal{X}$.*

Then $\mathcal{T}$ has at least one fixed point in $\mathcal{X}$.

Proof. Assume that $\xi_m \neq \xi_{m+1}$ for any $m \geq 1$. Then it is seen that

$$\begin{aligned} & F(\sigma_F(\xi_m, \lambda_1), \sigma_F(\xi_m, \lambda_2), \dots, \sigma_F(\xi_m, \lambda_n)) \\ & \leq kF(\sigma_F(\xi_{m-1}, \lambda_1), \sigma_F(\xi_{m-1}, \lambda_2), \dots, \sigma_F(\xi_{m-1}, \lambda_n)) \\ & \leq k^2 F(\sigma_F(\xi_{m-2}, \lambda_1), \sigma_F(\xi_{m-2}, \lambda_2), \dots, \sigma_F(\xi_{m-2}, \lambda_n)) \\ & \vdots \\ & \leq k^m F(\sigma_F(\xi_0, \lambda_1), \sigma_F(\xi_0, \lambda_2), \dots, \sigma_F(\xi_0, \lambda_n)). \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

The remaining part of the proof is same as Theorem 3.7. □

4. ENRICHED MAPPING CONTRACTING AXES OF n -ELLIPSE

Recently, in 2020, V. Berinde and M. Păcurar [3] introduced the notion of enriched contraction mappings over a normed linear space, which is a larger class than the several known contraction and contractive-type mappings; and using the Krasnoselskij iterative algorithm, it has been shown that enriched contraction mapping possesses a unique fixed point in a Banach space.

Enriched contraction is essentially defined as follows:

Definition 4.1 ([3]). In a normed linear space $\mathcal{X}$, a self mapping $\mathcal{T}$ is said to be an enriched contraction if there exist $b \geq 0$ and $\alpha \in [0, b + 1)$ such that for all $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{X}$,

$$\|b(\xi - \eta) + \mathcal{T}\xi - \mathcal{T}\eta\| \leq \alpha\|\xi - \eta\|. \quad (4.1)$$

After that, several researchers have been generalized and extended various well known fixed-point results by considering enriched contraction mapping; for this we may refer [4, 5, 12].

Motivating from the above discussed literatures, and the way of research, in this article we introduce enriched mapping contracting axes of n -ellipse.

Definition 4.2. For n pairwise distinct points $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n$, a self mapping $\mathcal{T}$ in a normed linear space $\mathcal{X}$ is said to be an enriched mapping contracting axes of n -ellipse ($n \geq 2$) if there exist $b \geq 0$ and $\alpha \in [0, b + 1)$ such that for all $\xi \in \mathcal{X} \setminus (\text{Fix}(\mathcal{T}) \cup \{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n\})$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \|b(\xi - \lambda_1) + (\mathcal{T}\xi - \lambda_1)\| + \dots + \|b(\xi - \lambda_n) + (\mathcal{T}\xi - \lambda_n)\| \\ & \leq \alpha(\|\xi - \lambda_1\| + \dots + \|\xi - \lambda_n\|). \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

Theorem 4.3. Let $(\mathcal{X}, \|\cdot\|)$ be a Banach space and $\mathcal{T}$ be an enriched mapping contracting axes of n -ellipse. Also let $\mathcal{T}$ satisfies the following two conditions:

- (a) For some $\xi_0 \in \mathcal{X}$ the iterating sequence $\{\xi_m\}$, $\xi_m = \frac{b\xi_{m-1} + \mathcal{T}\xi_{m-1}}{b+1}$ is such that $\xi_m \in \mathcal{X} \setminus \{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n\}$ for every $m = 1, 2, \dots$;
- (b) The mapping $\frac{bI + \mathcal{T}}{b+1}(\xi)$, $\xi \in \mathcal{X}$, where I is the identity mapping on $\mathcal{X}$, is orbitally continuous or p -continuous for some $p \geq 1$ in $\mathcal{X}$.

Then $\mathcal{T}$ has at least one fixed point in $\mathcal{X}$.

Proof. Consider the averaged mapping

$$\mathcal{T}^*\xi = (1 - \mu)\xi + \mu\mathcal{T}\xi,$$

for all $\xi \in \mathcal{X}$, where $\mu = \frac{1}{b+1}$. Then it is clear that $\text{Fix}(\mathcal{T}) = \text{Fix}(\mathcal{T}^*)$. Now $\mathcal{T}$ satisfies the contractive condition (4.2), therefore for all

$$\xi \in \mathcal{X} \setminus (\text{Fix}(\mathcal{T}^*) \cup \{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n\}),$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \|b(\xi - \lambda_1) + (\mathcal{T}\xi - \lambda_1)\| + \cdots + \|b(\xi - \lambda_n) + (\mathcal{T}\xi - \lambda_n)\| \\ & \leq \alpha(\|\xi - \lambda_1\| + \cdots + \|\xi - \lambda_n\|), \end{aligned}$$

this implies

$$\begin{aligned} & \|(b\xi + \mathcal{T}\xi) - (b+1)\lambda_1\| + \cdots + \|(b\xi + \mathcal{T}\xi) - (b+1)\lambda_n\| \\ & \leq \alpha(\|\xi - \lambda_1\| + \cdots + \|\xi - \lambda_n\|), \end{aligned}$$

so,

$$\left\| \frac{b\xi + \mathcal{T}\xi}{b+1} - \lambda_1 \right\| + \cdots + \left\| \frac{b\xi + \mathcal{T}\xi}{b+1} - \lambda_n \right\| \leq \alpha(\|\xi - \lambda_1\| + \cdots + \|\xi - \lambda_n\|),$$

now, we have

$$\|\mathcal{T}^*\xi - \lambda_1\| + \cdots + \|\mathcal{T}^*\xi - \lambda_n\| \leq \alpha(\|\xi - \lambda_1\| + \cdots + \|\xi - \lambda_n\|) \quad (4.3)$$

Thus $\mathcal{T}^*$ is a mapping contracting axes of n -ellipse on the Banach space $(X, |||)$ and the Krasnoselskij iterating sequence $\{\xi_m\}$, defined by

$$\xi_m = (1 - \mu)\xi_{m-1} + \mu\mathcal{T}\xi_{m-1}, m \in \mathbb{N},$$

turns out to be the Picard iterating sequence of $\mathcal{T}^*$. Moreover, conditions (a) and (b) imply

(a)' For some $\xi_0 \in X$ the iterative sequence $\{\xi_m\}$, $\xi_m = \mathcal{T}^*\xi_{m-1}$ is such that $\xi_m \in X \setminus \{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n\}$ for every $m = 1, 2, \dots$;

(b)' The mapping $\mathcal{T}^*$ is orbitally continuous or, p -continuous for some $p \geq 1$ in X .

Just by approaching in the same way as that in Theorem 2.10 and Theorem 3.7 (see Corollary 2.12 and Corollary 3.9) we can establish that $Fix(\mathcal{T}^*) \neq \emptyset$. Hence it can be concluded that $Fix(\mathcal{T}) \neq \emptyset$. $\square$

Example 4.4. Let us consider the Banach space $X = \mathbb{R}$ with the usual norm. Choose the mapping $\mathcal{T} : X \rightarrow X$ which is defined by

$$\mathcal{T}(\xi) = \begin{cases} 1 - \xi, & \text{if } \xi \in [\frac{17}{18}, 1); \\ \xi, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (4.4)$$

Then $\mathcal{T}$ satisfies the contractive condition (4.2) for the node points (foci) $\lambda_1 = 0$, $\lambda_2 = \frac{1}{2}$ and $\lambda_3 = 1$, for $\alpha = \frac{3}{2}$ and $b = 1$, that is, $\mathcal{T}$ is an enriched mapping contracting axes of 3-ellipse and also all the conditions of Theorem 4.3 are satisfied. We see that $\mathcal{T}$ has infinitely many fixed points in X .

The continuity of contractive definitions at fixed points in metric spaces was investigated by Rhoades [16] first, who showed that the usual contractive type mappings are generally continuous at their fixed points. Rhoades [16] also posed an open question regarding the existence of a contractive condition that permits discontinuity of a mapping at its fixed point. Pant [13] was the first researcher to provide an affirmative solution to this interesting problem within the framework of metric spaces in the year 1999.

Remark 4.5. Our proposed mapping gives a new solution to Rhoades open problem. The mapping $\mathcal{T}$ in Example 4.4 is discontinuous at $\xi = 1$, which is a fixed point of $\mathcal{T}$.

5. CONCLUSION AND MOTIVATION FOR FUTURE WORK

This paper deals with a new contractive type mapping known as mapping contracting axes of n -ellipse. This mapping is totally different from usual contractive mappings. This particular mapping may possess more than one fixed point, it may also be discontinuous at its fixed points. An n -ellipse is an extended version of usual ellipse, whose number of foci is n . A recent work of K. Roy [17] motivates us to introduce this mapping, which generalizes mapping contracting axes of ellipse. Though the area or perimeter of an n -ellipse in two dimensional Euclidean space is not known clearly except for $n = 2$, it is our believe that this particular mapping definitely can shrink the perimeter or area of an n -ellipse. So many generalizations have been surely possible for this mapping and also this type of mappings can be applied to different areas of science and engineering. Since for $n = 2$, a mapping contracting axes of n -ellipse is actually a mapping contracting axes of ellipse and over $\mathbb{R}^2$ it can shrink area of a given ellipse and over $\mathbb{R}^3$ it can reduce volume of a given ellipsoid, therefore it is our believe that these type of mappings can certainly be used in image processing. This was our actual motivation.

Acknowledgements: The authors are thankful to the eminent reviewers for their important suggestions for the improvement of this paper.

Funding: This research has not received external funding.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, methodology and software K.R., Z.D.M. and V.P.; investigation K.R., Z.D.M. and V.P.; writing—original draft preparation K.R., Z.D.M. and V.P.; writing—review and editing K.R., Z.D.M. and V.P.; supervision K.R. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

REFERENCES

- [1] I. A. Bakhtin, Contracting mapping principle in an almost metric space, (Russian), *Funkts. Anal.* 30 (1989), 26–37.
- [2] S. Banach, Sur les operations dans les ensembles abstraits et leur application aux equations untegrales, *Fund. Math.* 3 (1922), 133–181.
- [3] V. Berinde and M. Păcurar, Approximating fixed points of enriched contractions in Banach spaces, *J. Fixed Point Theory Appl.* 22 (2020), 38.
- [4] V. Berinde and M. Păcurar, Kannan’s fixed point approximation for solving split feasibility and variational inequality problems, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* 386 (2021), 113217.
- [5] V. Berinde and M. Păcurar, Approximating fixed points of enriched Chatterjea contractions by Krasnoselskij iterative algorithm in Banach spaces, *J. Fixed Point Theory Appl.* 23 (2021), 66.
- [6] S. Czerwik, Contraction mappings in b -metric spaces, *Acta Math. Inform. Univ. Ostrav.* 1 (1993), 5–11.
- [7] M. Jleli and B. Samet, On a new generalization of metric spaces, *J. Fixed Point Theory Appl.* 20, no. 3 (2018), 128.
- [8] M. Joshi, A. Tomar, and S. K. Padaliya, Fixed point to fixed ellipse in metric spaces and discontinuous activation function, *Appl. Math. E-Notes* 21 (2021), 225–237.
- [9] T. Kamran, M. Samreen, and Q. U. Ain, A generalization of b -metric space and some fixed point theorems, *Mathematics* 5 (2017), 19.
- [10] N. Y. Özgür and N. Taş, Some fixed-circle theorems on metric spaces, *Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc.* 42 (2019), 1433–1449.
- [11] N. Y. Özgür and N. Taş, Some fixed-circle theorems and discontinuity at fixed circle, *AIP Conf. Proc.* 1926 (2018), 020048.
- [12] S. Panja, K. Roy, and M. Saha, Wardowski type enriched contractive mappings with their fixed points, *J. Anal.* 32 (2024), 169–281.
- [13] R. P. Pant, Discontinuity and fixed points, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 240 (1999), 284–289.
- [14] V. Parvaneh and S. J. H. Ghoncheh, Fixed points of $(\psi, \phi)_\alpha$ -contractive mappings in ordered p -metric spaces, *Glob. Anal. Discret. Math.* 4, no. 1 (2020), 15–29.
- [15] E. Petrov, Fixed point theorem for mappings contracting perimeters of triangles, *arXiv:2308.01003v1*.
- [16] B. E. Rhoades, Contractive definitions and continuity, *Contemp. Math.* 72 (1988), 233–245.
- [17] K. Roy, Mappings contracting axes of ellipse, *J. Anal.* 32 (2024), 3557–3563.
- [18] K. Roy, D. Dey, and M. Saha, Certain fixed point results on $\mathcal{U}$ -metric space using Banach orbital contraction and asymptotic regularity, *Math. Slovaca* 73, no. 2 (2023), 485–500.
- [19] K. Roy and M. Saha, Fixed point theorems for a class of extended JS -contraction mappings over a generalized metric space with an application to fixed circle problem, *Proyecciones* 41, no. 6 (2022), 1551–1572.
- [20] K. Roy, M. Saha, V. Parvaneh, and M. Khorshidi, A new variant of symmetric distance spaces and an extension of the Banach fixed point theorem, *J. Math.* 2022 (2022), 282762.
- [21] N. Taş, H. Aytımur, and Ş. Güvenç, New fixed figure results with the notion of k -ellipse, *arXiv:2112.10204v1*.