

Table of Content

Abstract.....	I
Resumen.....	III
Resum.....	V
List of Figures.....	X
List of Tables	XII
Chapter 1 Background	1
Chapter 2 Introduction.....	2
2.1 Overview of the Thesis Structure	3
Chapter 3 Literature Review	5
3.1 Current State of Knowledge of a Safe Bike Lane.....	5
3.1.1 Micromobility Characterization	5
3.2 Literature Review Studies on Micromobility	13
3.2.1 A systematic literature review on safe infrastructure for Micromobility.....	15
3.3 Horizontal Curve Safety on Bike Lanes	21
3.3.1 Design Parameters and Guidelines.....	22
3.3.2 Optimization of Curve Geometry.....	22
3.3.3 Human Factors and Perception	23
3.4 Safety Assessment Methods	23
3.4.1 Interaction-based approach	24
3.4.2 Movement-based approach	24
3.4.3 Safety Assessments through Simulations.....	25
3.4.4 Safety Assessments with Instrumented Devices	25
3.5 Trajectory Analysis Techniques	26
3.5.1 Trajectory Clustering and Classification.....	26
3.5.2 Trajectory Pattern Mining	27
3.6 Conclusions about Current Knowledge	28
Chapter 4 Objectives and Hypotheses	31
Chapter 5 Methodology	33
5.1 Geometric Recreation and Selection of Horizontal Curves.....	33

5.2 Video Data Collection.....	34
5.3 Segmentizing and Regionalizing the Horizontal Curve.....	35
5.4 Track Typology.....	37
5.5 Data Extraction	37
5.5.1 Effective Fitted Radius (EFR).....	38
5.5.2 Speed	38
5.5.3 Track clustering and prediction.....	39
5.6 Data Analysis Techniques.....	40
Chapter 6 Development.....	41
6.1 Selection and Classification of Study Area Curves	41
6.2 Recreation of Selected Curve Geometry in Civil 3D.....	43
6.3 Camera Placement, Recording, and Undistortion.....	44
6.4 Data Collection	46
6.5 Computation of Effective Fitted Radius	48
6.6 Data Analysis.....	50
Chapter 7 Results.....	52
7.1 Comparison of Effective Fitted Radius and Actual Radius	52
7.2 Displacement Analysis.....	55
7.3 Speed Effect.....	57
7.4 Track Analysis	61
7.4.1 Track Type Classification	61
7.4.2 Track Clustering.....	65
7.4.3 Track Type Prediction.....	65
7.5 Conclusion	70
Chapter 8 Discussion	72
8.1 The challenge of motion data extraction.....	72
8.2 Effective Fitted Radius as Surrogate Measure of Safety	72
8.3 Track classification, clustering and prediction	74
8.4 Comparison with Previous Studies	74
8.5 Limitations of the Study	75
Chapter 9 Conclusions.....	77
Chapter 10 Future Research Direction.....	80
10.1 Incorporation of crash data	80
10.2 Automation of data filtering: image processing with AI	80

10.3 Track type prediction	80
10.4 Using Extreme Value Theory to Model Extreme Conflict Events	81
Acknowledgments	82
References.....	83
Appendix A.....	96
A.1 Publications in Indexed Journals.....	96
A.2 Participations in International Congresses.....	96
A.3 Participations in National Congresses.....	96
Appendix B	97
Section 6.3	97
Section 6.4	98
Section 7.2	114
Section 7.4	118

List of Figures

Figure 1 Classification for MDs, Reprinted with permission from Ref. (Santacreu et al., 2020).....	7
Figure 2 Types of bike lanes: (a) bicycle lane, (b) protected bike lane, (c) sidepath on median,	8
Figure 3 Classification of criteria affecting safe infrastructure for Micromobility.....	13
Figure 4 Categorization of adapted criteria.	16
Figure 5 Criteria clusters for selected studies.....	17
Figure 6 The clusters of the four main pillars.	17
Figure 7 Mode clusters.	18
Figure 8 Geographical clusters.	18
Figure 9 Pie chart of the criteria distribution.....	19
Figure 10 Distribution of modes studies for each area of research.	20
Figure 11 Cartogram of the geographical distribution of literature studies.	21
Figure 12 Overview of the methodology.....	33
Figure 13 Tripod placed at proper distance (a) tripod with camera (b) side view.	35
Figure 14 Bird-eye view settings (a) tripod with camera at zero degree (b) Bird-eye view.	35
Figure 15 Regions of interest for identifying user's position on the curve with users making a right-turn; background image source (NYC DOT Web page, 2024).	36
Figure 16 Six track types for motor vehicle maneuvers on horizontal curves (Spacek, 2005).....	37
Figure 17 Data extraction: (a) curve site camera view and (b) aerial view with the control points.	38
Figure 18 Initial map of identified curve sites in busiest bike lanes in the city of Valencia.	41
Figure 19 Screenshots of point maps and alignment creation tool in the Civil 3D.....	43
Figure 20 Camera views of curve site under study: (a) SW1 (b) NE3 and (c) SE3.....	45
Figure 21 Python code programmed for automation of EFR computation.	49
Figure 22 Python code programmed for automation of displacement heatmap generation.	50
Figure 23 Progress Flowchart.....	52
Figure 24 Raincloud plots of Effective Fitted Radius in four curve sites: NE3 (b) SW2 (c) SE4 (d) SE3.....	54
Figure 25 Heatmaps illustrating the percentage of user presence at each spatioregions and sections of curve. Example series for two curve sites of NE3 (R=6m) and SE4 (R=22m) divided by user type and movement direction.	56

Figure 26 Box whisker plots of speed data for different radii for curve R1-R4: (a) PC, (b) MP, and (c) PT.....	58
Figure 27 Box whisker plots of speed data for each user type and direction for curve R1-R4: (a) PC, (b) MP, and (c) PT.....	58
Figure 28 Box whisker plots of speed data for different radii for curve R5-R9: (a) PC, (b) MP, and (c) PT.....	58
Figure 29 Box whisker plots of speed data for each user type and direction for curve R5-R9: (a) PC, (b) MP, and (c) PT.....	59
Figure 30 Speed difference bar charts between two segments of curve per user type per turn direction.	60
Figure 31 Track-segment occurrence on curve sites R1 and R2 by user type and direction.	63
Figure 32 Track-segment occurrence on all nine-curve sites (R1-R9) by user type and direction.	64
Figure 33 Decision Tree Regression model visualization showing feature splits for predicting variables d-pc, d-mp, and d-pt.....	66
Figure 34 Improved Decision Tree Regression model visualization showing feature splits for predicting variables d-pc, d-mp, and d-pt (see Figure 35 for readability).....	67
Figure 35 Magnified leaves of the improved Decision Tree Regression model in Figure 33.	70

List of Tables

Table 1 Articles that focus on the use criteria affecting safety on MM infrastructure.....	9
Table 2 List of identified curve sites in busiest bike lanes in the city of Valencia.	42
Table 3 Isolated curve sites geometric parameters.....	44
Table 4 Lateral Offset Distances from Center Line for Site NE3 (R = 6m) by User Type and Direction.	46
Table 5 Operating Speed Data per Section for Site NE3 (R = 6m) by User Type and Direction.	47
Table 6 Computed EFRs for NE3 (R = 6m) curve site per user and turn direction.	51
Table 7 ANOVA with post hoc tests for Effective Fitted Radius per user type per movement direction.	53
Table 8 Non-parametric Kruskal-Wallis test results for five curve sites with dispersed Effective Radius.	54
Table 9 ANOVA with dunn post hoc tests for Effective Fitted Radius per user type per movement direction.	55
Table 10 Descriptive analysis of users speed for each four curve site at three sections (R1-R4).....	57
Table 11 Descriptive analysis of users speed for each four curve site at three sections (R5-R9).....	57
Table 12 Descriptive analysis of users speed for each user type and direction at three sections (R1-R4).....	57
Table 13 Descriptive analysis of users speed for each user type and direction at three sections (R5-R9).....	58
Table 14 Acronyms for classifying maneuvers by track type and lateral segment.	62
Table 15 Summery of the clustering result.....	65
Table 16 Lateral Offset Distances from Center Line for Site R2-R9 by User Type and Direction.	98
Table 17 Operating Speed Data per Section for Site R2-R9 by User Type and Direction.	103
Table 18 Operating Speed Data per Section for Site R1-R9 by User Type and Direction.	108
