| Abstract | i |
|---|----------------|
| Resumen | iii |
| Resum | v |
| Chapter 1. Introduction | 1 |
| 1.1. Mealybugs | 1 |
| 1.1.1 General characteristics | 1 |
| 1.1.2 Host plants | 4 |
| 1.1.3 Damages and economic importance | 6 |
| 1.1.4 Population dynamics and distribution on t | the plant7 |
| 1.1.5 Mealybugs as invasive pests | 9 |
| 1.1.6 Sampling and monitoring | 11 |
| 1.1.7 Management | 14 |
| 1.2. Mealybugs in citrus and their management | 19 |
| 1.2.1 Citrus mealybugs | 19 |
| 1.2.2 Management of citrus mealybugs | 21 |
| 1.3. Delottococcus aberiae (De Lotto) | 23 |
| 1.3.1. Genus Delottococcus | 23 |
| 1.3.2 The mealybug <i>D. aberiae</i> | 24 |
| Chapter 2. Justification and objectives | 27 |
| Chapter 3. Density and phenology of the inv | asive mealybug |
| Delottococcus aberiae on citrus: implications for | |
| management | 29 |
| 3.1. Introduction | 30 |

| 3.2. Ma | terial and methods | 33 |
|---|---|--|
| 3.2.1 | Survey sites | 33 |
| 3.2.2 | Absolute sampling protocol. Plant material | 34 |
| 3.2.3 | Relative sampling methods. Traps | 35 |
| 3.2.4 | Data analysis | 37 |
| 3.3. Res | sults | 38 |
| 3.3.1 | Seasonal trend by absolute sampling methods | 38 |
| 3.3.2 | Seasonal trend by relative sampling methods | 41 |
| 3.4. Dis | cussion | 46 |
| 3.5. Ac | knowledgements | 51 |
| Chapter 4 | 1. Seasonal movement and distribution of the | <u>invasive</u> |
| pest Delot | tococcus aberiae (Hemiptera: Pseudococcidae) in | <u>citrus:</u> |
| | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | |
| <u>implicatio</u> | ns for its integrated management | |
| | | 52 |
| 4.1. Int | ns for its integrated management | 52 |
| 4.1. Int | ns for its integrated managementroduction | 52 53 |
| 4.1. Int 4.2. Ma 4.2.1 | roductionaterial and Methods | 52 53 56 |
| 4.1. Int 4.2. Ma 4.2.1 | roduction | 52 53 56 56 |
| 4.1. Int 4.2. Ma 4.2.1 4.2.2 | roduction | 52 53 56 56 56 |
| 4.1. Int 4.2. Ma 4.2.1 4.2.2 4.2.3 4.2.4 | roduction | 52 53 56 56 56 57 |
| 4.1. Int 4.2. Ma 4.2.1 4.2.2 4.2.3 4.2.4 4.2.5 | roduction | 52 53 56 56 57 57 |
| 4.1. Int 4.2. Ma 4.2.1 4.2.2 4.2.3 4.2.4 4.2.5 | roduction | 52 53 56 56 56 57 57 58 |
| 4.1. Int 4.2. Ma 4.2.1 4.2.2 4.2.3 4.2.4 4.2.5 4.3. Re 4.3.1 | roduction | 52 53 56 56 57 57 58 60 |
| 4.1. Int 4.2. Ma 4.2.1 4.2.2 4.2.3 4.2.4 4.2.5 4.3. Re 4.3.1 4.3.2 | roduction | 52 53 56 56 57 57 58 60 60 |

| 4.4. Di | scussion | 67 |
|------------------|--|----------|
| 4.4.1 | Strata distribution | 67 |
| 4.4.2 | Distribution on tree canopy | 68 |
| 4.4.3 | Migration to the trunk and soil | 70 |
| 4.4.4 | General conclusion | 71 |
| 4.5. Akr | nowledgements | 72 |
| | 5. Characterization and damage period to fruits | |
| | vasive pest Delottococcus aberiae De Lotto (Hen | |
| Pseudocoo | <u>ccidae)</u> | 73 |
| 5.1. Int | troduction | 74 |
| 5.2. Ma | aterial and methods | 75 |
| 5.2.1 | Experiment sites and mealybug rearing | 75 |
| 5.2.2 | Experiment design and sampling protocol | 76 |
| 5.2.3 | Data analysis | 77 |
| 5.3. Re | esults and discussion | 78 |
| 5.3.1 | Damage period and characterization of damages | 78 |
| 5.3.2 | Relation between damage period and D. aberiae po | pulation |
| densit | y | 82 |
| 5.4. Ac | knowledgements | 83 |
| Chapter (| 6. Application of classical biological control to | manage |
| the new | invasive citrus pest <i>Delottococcus aberiae</i> (Hen | niptera: |
| Pseudocoo | <u>ccidae)</u> | 84 |
| 6.1. Int | troduction | 85 |
| 6.2. Ma | aterials and Methods | 88 |
| 6.2.1 | Survey sites and sampling protocol | 88 |

| Chapter 9 | . References | 115 |
|-----------|---|-----|
| Chapter 8 | 8. Conclusions | 112 |
| Chapter 7 | . General discussion | 105 |
| 6.5. Al | knowledgements | 104 |
| 6.4.2 | Complex of natural enemies | 100 |
| 6.4.1 | D. aberiae seasonal phenology | 98 |
| 6.4. Di | scussion | 98 |
| 6.3.2 | Complex of natural enemies and seasonal trend | 93 |
| 6.3.1 | D. aberiae seasonal phenology | 93 |
| 6.3. Re | esults | 93 |
| 6.2.4 | Statistical analysis | 92 |
| 6.2.3 | Complex of natural enemies | 90 |
| 6.2.2 | Mealybug seasonal phenology | 90 |

Figure index

| Chapter 1. Introduction |
|---|
| Fig.1.1. Life cycle of a mealybug, adapted from Beltrà and Soto (2012). |
| Fig. 1.2. General appearance, under microscope, of the body surface of an adult female mealybug |
| Fig. 1.3. Main host plant families of mealybugs. Made with information contained in García-Morales <i>et al.</i> (2016a) |
| Fig. 1.4. Damage caused by mealybug's honeydew secretion in citrus fruits. |
| Fig. 1.5. Aggregated distribution of mealybugs in citrus |
| Fig. 1.6. Number of alien and native scale species in Europe. Adapted from Pellizzari and Germain (2010) |
| Fig. 1.7. Corrugated cardboard band traps for monitoring mealybugs in citrus. |
| Fig. 1.8. Adult female of <i>Anagyrus</i> sp. parasitizing a mealybug 16 |
| Fig. 1.9. <i>C. montrouzieri</i> adult (left) and larvae (right) feeding on <i>P. citri.</i> |
| Fig. 1.10. Adult female of <i>P. citri</i> |
| Fig. 1.11. D. aberiae adult female (left) and D. aberiae adult male (right) |
| Fig. 1.12. Colonies of <i>D. aberiae</i> in citrus orchards of eastern Spain. |
| Fig. 1.13. Distortions in fruit shape and size originated by <i>D. aberiae</i> in different orange and mandarine cultivars |

| Chapter 3. Density and phenology of the invasive mealybug |
|--|
| Delottococcus aberiae on citrus: implications for integrated pest |
| <u>management</u> 29 |
| Fig. 3.1. Seasonal relative abundance of <i>D. aberiae</i> developmental stages in ten citrus orchards in eastern Spain. Percentage of each developmental stage per sample unit and date is represented for the years 2014, 2015 and 2016 (N1 = first nymphal instars, N2 = second nymphal instars, N3 = third nymphal instars, H1 = young females, H2 = gravid females). |
| Fig. 3.2. Seasonal trend of D . aberiae populations in ten citrus orchards in eastern Spain. Mean number of mealybugs \pm SE collected per sample unit (total number and first instars). Above each graph the length of the flowering period (F), petal fall period (PF) and fruit developing period (FD) is presented. 40 |
| Fig. 3.3. Seasonal trend of <i>D. aberiae</i> , captured with two types of traps, during the years 2014, 2015 and 2016 in five citrus orchards in eastern Spain. Presented as mean number of mealybugs \pm SE captured in corrugated cardboard band traps (gravid females and immature male instars) and in sticky sex pheromone traps (adult males). Note that y-axis scales are different for 2014 and 2015-2016 |
| Fig. 3.4. Relationship between the mean number of <i>D. aberiae</i> per plant sample unit and the mean number of individuals collected in different traps at the first population peak. a) Average number of <i>D. aberiae</i> per plant sample unit correlated with average number of gravid females ($y = 0.18x - 2.49$; df = 1,9; F = 273.72; P < 0.001; $r^2 = 0.97$) and immature males ($y = 0.25x - 1.45$; F = 62.69; df = 1,9; P < 0.001; $r^2 = 0.89$) per corrugated cardboard band trap. b) Average number of <i>D. aberiae</i> per plant sample unit correlated with the average number of adult males per sticky sex pheromone trap and year (2014: $y = 0.12x + 1.99$; F = 43.53; df = 1,4; P = 0.01; $r^2 = 0.94$ / 2015: $y = 0.02x + 0.63$; F = 56.88; df = 1,4; P = 0.01; $r^2 = 0.95$) 45 |

| Chapter 4. Seasonal movement and distribution of the invasive |
|--|
| pest Delottococcus aberiae (Hemiptera: Pseudococcidae) in citrus: |
| <u>implications for its integrated management</u> |
| Fig. 4.1. Strata distribution of <i>D. aberiae</i> in ten citrus orchards in eastern Spain. Percentage of mealybugs per strata (canopy, trunk and soil) (primary Y axis) compared to the number of mealybugs per unit area (cm ²) (secondary Y axis) is represented per month for the years 2014, 2015 and 2016. Different letters, on the left of soil percentages, indicate that those proportions differed significantly between them (ANOVA 2014: $F = 7.05$, $df = 4$, 15, $P = 0.002$; ANOVA 2015: $F = 2.08$, $df = 4$, 15, $P = 0.01$), means compared by Tukey tests (P<0.05). |
| Fig. 4.2. Distribution of <i>D. aberiae</i> within the tree canopy in ten citrus orchards in eastern Spain. Percentage of mealybugs per organ (flower, fruit, leaf or twig) (primary Y axis) compared to the number of mealybugs per unit area (cm ²) in the canopy (secondary Y axis) is represented per month for the years 2014, 2015 and 2016 |
| Fig. 4.3. Seasonal trend of mobile (nymphs and adult females) and immobile instars (immature males and ovipositing females) of <i>D. aberiae</i> in trunk in ten citrus orchards in eastern Spain in 2014 and 2015. Mean number of mealybugs counted visually in the orchards, during 2 minutes, is represented. Vertical bars represent the positive standard error (+SE). Note that y-axis scales are different for each year. 65 |
| Fig. 4.4. Directionality of the mobile instars in the trunks during the 2-minutes visual samplings. The monthly mean number of mealybugs (± SE), ascending or descending, is represented for the year 2015, separating between (a) adult females and (b) nymphs. Within each month, different letters, on the left of each bar, indicate that the mean number of mealybugs ascending or descending differed significantly between them (t-tests). |

| Fig. 4.5. Seasonal trend of D. aberiae in soil in ten citrus orchards in |
|--|
| eastern Spain in 2014 and 2015. Mean number of mobile instars |
| (nymphs, adult females and adult males) captured by Berlese funnels |
| is represented. Vertical bars represent the positive standard error |
| (+SE). Note that y-axis scales are different for 2014 and 2015 67 |
| Chapter 5. Characterization and damage period to fruits caused |
| by the invasive pest <i>Delottococcus aberiae</i> De Lotto (Hemiptera: |
| <u>Pseudococcidae</u>) |
| Figure 5.1. Final percentage of distorted mature fruits, by <i>D. aberiae</i> , |
| obtained for each of the organ categories and varieties tested. Each |
| percentage is divided in the established categories of fruit damage: 1 = |
| one slight protuberance around fruit calyx and normal size, $2 =$ several |
| protuberances around fruit calyx or fruit completely distorted with |
| normal size, 3 = dwarf fruit (25 mm or less of diameter with any kind |
| of distortion). Different letters above the columns denote statistically |
| significant differences between the total percentage of distorted fruits |
| for each of the organ categories initially infested at P<0.05 (χ 2 test) |
| and separately for each one of the two varieties tested |
| Figure 5.2. Relation between citrus phenology of the two studied |
| varieties ('Clemenules' and 'Ortanique') and D. aberiae density |
| between March and September [own data adapted from the |
| publication of Martínez-Blay et al. (2017)]. Primary Y-axis shows the |
| percentage of flowers (± standard error, SE) per tree during the |
| flowering period. Secondary Y-axis represents two parameters: the |
| evolution of citrus fruit diameter ± SE (in cm) for each variety and the |
| mean number of mealybugs (± SE) in citrus orchards of eastern Spain. |
| |
| Chapter 6. Application of classical biological control to manage |
| the new invasive citrus pest <i>Delottococcus aberiae</i> (Hemiptera: |
| <u>Pseudococcidae</u>) |

| Fig. 6.1. Seasonal phenology of <i>D. aberiae</i> (N1 = first nymphal instar, N2 = second nymphal instars, N3 = third nymphal instars, H1 = young females, H2 = gravid females) and total monthly percentage of parasitism (all susceptible instars considered). Results are based on samples taken in citrus orchards in northern South Africa throughout 2017. Vertical bars represent the standard error (SE)94 |
|---|
| Fig. 6.2. Seasonal trend and parasitism rates for each one of the <i>D. aberiae</i> instars susceptible to parasitism (N2 = second nymphal instars, N3 = third nymphal instars, H1 = young females, H2 = gravid females). Results are based on samples taken in citrus orchards in northern South Africa throughout 2017. Vertical bars represent the standard error (SE). |
| Fig. 6.3. <i>D. aberiae</i> parasitoid complex composition and number of parasitoids recovered. Results are based on samples taken from citrus orchards in northern South Africa throughout 2017. Value above each bar represents the total number of mealybugs parasitized (recovered parasitoids + not recovered parasitoids) |
| Table index <u>Chapter 6. Application of classical biological control to manage</u> the new invasive citrus pest <i>Delottococcus aberiae</i> (Hemiptera: |
| Pseudococcidae) |
| Table 6.1. Surveyed sites: location, citrus varieties and sampling date. 89 |
| Table 6.2. Abundance of <i>D. aberiae</i> parasitoids recovered from samples taken from citrus orchards in northern Limpopo throughout |