Document downloaded from:

http://hdl.handle.net/10251/109422

This paper must be cited as:

Alegre Gil, MC.; Romaguera Bonilla, S. (2017). A note on phi-contractions in probabilistic and fuzzy metric spaces. Fuzzy Sets and Systems. 313:119-121. doi:10.1016/j.fss.2016.06.014



The final publication is available at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fss.2016.06.014

Copyright Elsevier

Additional Information

## A note on $\varphi$ -contractions in probabilistic and fuzzy metric spaces

Carmen Alegre and Salvador Romaguera Instituto Universitario de Matemática Pura y Aplicada, Universitat Politècnica de València, 46022 Valencia, Spain E-mail: calegre@mat.upv.es, sromague@mat.upv.es

## Abstract

In a recent paper [Fuzzy Sets and Systems 267 (2015) 86-99], J.X. Fang generalized a crucial fixed point theorem for probabilistic  $\varphi$ -contractions on complete Menger spaces due to J. Jachymski [Nonlinear Analysis 73 (2010) 2199-2203]. In this note we show that actually Fang's theorem is an easy consequence of Jachymski's theorem. We also observe that the proof of a fixed point theorem for complete metric spaces deduced by Fang from his main result is not correct and present a new proof of it.

Key words: Complete Menger space; Probabilistic  $\varphi$ -contraction; Fixed point

Throughout this note we shall use the terminology of [1]. The letters  $\mathbb{R}^+$ ,  $\mathbb{R}_0^+$  and  $\mathbb{N}$  will denote the sets of all non-negative real numbers, the set of all positive real numbers and the set of all positive integer numbers, respectively.

If  $(X, F, \Delta)$  is a Menger space and  $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$ , we say that a mapping  $T : X \to X$  is a probabilistic  $\varphi$ -contraction if  $F_{Tx,Ty}(\varphi(t)) \ge F_{x,y}(t)$ , for all  $x, y \in X$  and t > 0.

In [3] Jachymski proved the following nice and elegant fixed point theorem for probabilistic  $\varphi$ -contractions.

**Theorem A** ([3, Theorem 1]). Let  $(X, F, \Delta)$  be a complete Menger space with  $\Delta$  a triangular norm of H-type, and let  $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$  be a function such that

 $\varphi(t) < t$  and  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \varphi^n(t) = 0$  for all t > 0.

If  $T: X \to X$  is a probabilistic  $\varphi$ -contraction, then T has a unique fixed point  $x_*$  and for any  $x_0 \in X$ ,  $\lim_{n\to\infty} T^n x_0 = x_*$ .

**Remark**. Actually, Jachymski established Theorem A by assuming that the triangular norm  $\Delta$  is continuous and that  $\varphi(t) > 0$  for all t > 0. However, his proof only uses continuity of  $\Delta$  at (1,1) which is satisfied for every triangular norm of H-type, and, on the other hand, condition  $\varphi(t) > 0$  for all t > 0 is automatically satisfied for any probabilistic  $\varphi$ -contraction, as Jachymski's observed in the first lines of Section 2 of [3].

In a recent paper [1], Fang generalized Jachymski's theorem with the help of a certain class of functions from  $\mathbb{R}^+$  into iself. To this end, he denoted by  $\Phi$  the class of all functions

 $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$  such that  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \varphi^n(t) = 0$  for all t > 0; and by  $\Phi_w$  the class of all functions  $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$  such that for each t > 0 there exists an  $r_t \ge t$  satisfying  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \varphi^n(r_t) = 0$ .

Obviously  $\Phi \subseteq \Phi_w$ . In fact,  $\Phi$  is a proper subclass of  $\Phi_w$  as it was proved in [1, Example 3.1].

Then, Fang proved the main result of his paper, which is the following generalization of Jachymski's theorem (Theorem A above).

**Theorem B** ([1, Theorem 3.1]). Let  $(X, F, \Delta)$  be a complete Menger space with  $\Delta$  a triangular norm of H-type, and let  $\varphi \in \Phi_w$ . If  $T: X \to X$  is a probabilistic  $\varphi$ -contraction, then T has a unique fixed point  $x_*$  and for any  $x_0 \in X$ ,  $\lim_{n\to\infty} T^n x_0 = x_*$ .

The original proof of Theorem B is constructive and quite long. We are going to show that Theorem B can be obtained as an easy consequence of Theorem A.

Indeed, let  $(X, F, \Delta)$  be a complete Menger space with  $\Delta$  a triangular norm of H-type, let  $\varphi \in \Phi_w$  and let  $T: X \to X$  be a probabilistic  $\varphi$ -contraction.

Put  $A = \{t > 0 : \lim_{n \to \infty t} \varphi^n(t) = 0\}.$ 

If  $t \in A$ , we denote by  $k_t$  the first positive integer number such that  $\varphi^{k_t-1}(t) \ge t > \varphi^{k_t}(t)$ (recall that  $\varphi^0(t) = t$ )).

If  $t \in \mathbb{R}_0^+ \setminus A$ , take an  $r_t > t$  such that  $r_t \in A$ , and, again, denote by  $k_t$  the first positive integer number such that  $\varphi^{k_t-1}(r_t) > t > \varphi^{k_t}(r_t)$ . (Note that in this case the existence of  $k_t$  is also guaranteed because  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \varphi^n(r_t) = 0$  and  $\varphi^0(r_t) = r_t > t$ ).

Now define a function  $\phi : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$  as follows:

$$\phi(0) = 0, \quad \phi(t) = \varphi^{k_t}(t) \text{ if } t \in A, \text{ and } \phi(t) = \varphi^{k_t}(r_t) \text{ if } t \in \mathbb{R}_0^+ \setminus A.$$

We first note that, obviously,  $\phi(t) < t$  for all t > 0. Now we show that  $\phi \in \Phi$ .

Let  $t \in A$ . Then  $\varphi^k(t) \in A$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , so by the definition of  $\phi$  we deduce that  $\{\phi^n(t)\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  is a subsequence of  $\{\varphi^n(t)\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  and hence  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \phi^n(t) = 0$ .

Similarly, if  $t \in \mathbb{R}^+_0 \setminus A$ , we deduce that  $\{\phi^n(t)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a subsequence of  $\{\varphi^n(r_t)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  and hence  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \phi^n(t) = 0$ .

Finally, we show that T is a probabilistic  $\phi$ -contraction on  $(X, F, \Delta)$ .

Let  $x, y \in X$  and t > 0. If  $t \in A$  we obtain

 $M(Tx, Ty, \phi(t)) = M(Tx, Ty, \varphi^{k_t}(t)) \ge M(x, y, \varphi^{k_t-1}(t) \ge M(x, y, t).$ 

If  $t \in \mathbb{R}^+_0 \setminus A$  we similarly obtain

 $M(Tx, Ty, \phi(t)) = M(Tx, Ty, \varphi^{k_t}(r_t)) \ge M(x, y, \varphi^{k_t - 1}(r_t) \ge M(x, y, t).$ 

Hence, we can apply Theorem A and thus T has a fixed point  $x_*$  and for any  $x_0 \in X$ ,  $\lim_{n\to\infty} T^n x_0 = x_*$ .

In [1], Fang deduced from Theorem B the following fixed point result for complete metric spaces which provides an apparent generalization of the celebrated Matkowski's fixed point theorem [4, Theorem 1.2]

**Corollary C** ([1, Corollary 3.3]). Let (X,d) be a complete metric space, and let  $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$ be a non-decreasing function such that  $\varphi \in \Phi_w$  and  $\varphi(t) > 0$  for all t > 0. If  $T : X \to X$ satisfies that  $d(Tx, Ty) \leq \varphi(d(x, y))$  for all  $x, y \in X$ , then T has a unique fixed point  $x_*$ and for any  $x_0 \in X$ ,  $\lim_{n\to\infty} T^n x_0 = x_*$ .

The proof of Corollary C strongly depends on the following lemma.

**Lemma D** ([1, Lemma 3.5]). Let (X, d) be a metric space. Define a mapping  $F : X \times X \to \mathcal{D}^+$  by

 $F(x,y)(t) = F_{x,y}(t) = 0 \quad if \ t \le 0 \ or \ d(x,y) > t > 0, \quad and$  $F(x,y)(t) = F_{x,y}(t) = 1 \quad if \ d(x,y) \le t, \quad (t > 0).$ 

Then  $(X, F, \Delta_M)$  is a Menger space, and it is complete if and only if (X, d) is complete.

Unfortunately, Lemma D is not true because if (X, d) is a metric space with  $x, y \in X$ such that  $x \neq y$ , we have d(x, y) > 0, and thus  $F_{x,y}(d(x, y)) = 1$ , but for each t < d(x, y)we have  $F_{x,y}(t) = 0$ , so that  $F(x, y) \notin \mathcal{D}^+$ .

We conclude this note by showing that, nevertheless, Corollary C is true. To this end we shall apply the following well-known result due to Jachymski.

**Theorem E** ([2, Corollary of Theorem 2]). Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and let  $T: X \to X$  be such that d(Tx, Ty) < d(x, y) for  $x \neq y$ , and  $dTx, Ty) \leq \varphi(d(x, y))$  for any  $x, y \in X$ , where  $\varphi: \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$  satisfies the condition

(Ja) for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a  $\delta > 0$  such that, for each t > 0,

 $\varepsilon < t < \varepsilon + \delta$  implies  $\varphi(t) \leq \varepsilon$ .

Then T has a unique fixed point  $x_*$  and for any  $x_0 \in X$ ,  $\lim_{n\to\infty} T^n x_0 = x_*$ .

Proof of Corollary C. Suppose that  $\varphi$  does not satisfies condition (Ja) above. Exactly as in Remark 1 of [2], there exist  $\varepsilon > 0$  and a sequence  $\{t_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  such that  $\lim_{n \to \infty} t_n = \varepsilon$ ,  $t_n > \varepsilon$ , and  $\varphi(t_n) > \varepsilon$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $\varphi$  is non-decreasing we deduce that  $\varphi(t) > \varepsilon$  for all  $t > \varepsilon$ . Hence  $\varphi^n(t) > \varepsilon$  for all  $t > \varepsilon$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , which contradicts the assumption that  $\varphi \in \Phi_w$ . So, by Theorem E, T has a unique fixed point  $x_*$  and for any  $x_0 \in X$ ,  $\lim_{n \to \infty} T^n x_0 = x_*$ .

## References

- [1] J.X. Fang, On  $\varphi$ -contractions in probabilistic and fuzzy metric spaces, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 267 (2015) 86-99.
- [2] J. Jachymski, Equivalent conditions and the Meir-Keeler type theorems, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications 194 (1995) 293-303.
- [3] J. Jachymski, On probabilistic  $\varphi$ -contractions on Menger spaces, Nonlinear Analysis 73 (2010) 2199-2203.
- [4] J. Matkowski, Integrable solutions of functional equations, Dissertationes Mathematicae 127, Warszawa 1975.