

Completely simple endomorphism rings of modules

VICTOR BOVDI^a, MOHAMED SALIM^a AND MIHAIL URSUL^b

^a Department of Mathematical Sciences, UAE University, United Arab Emirates (vbovdi@gmail.com, msalim@uaeu.ac.ae)

^b Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, University of Technology, Lae, Papua New Guinea (mihail.ursul@gmail.com)

Communicated by F. Lin

ABSTRACT

It is proved that if A_p is a countable elementary abelian p -group, then: (i) The ring $\text{End}(A_p)$ does not admit a nondiscrete locally compact ring topology. (ii) Under (CH) the simple ring $\text{End}(A_p)/I$, where I is the ideal of $\text{End}(A_p)$ consisting of all endomorphisms with finite images, does not admit a nondiscrete locally compact ring topology. (iii) The finite topology on $\text{End}(A_p)$ is the only second metrizable ring topology on it. Moreover, a characterization of completely simple endomorphism rings of modules over commutative rings is obtained.

2010 MSC: 16W80; 16N20; 16S50; 16N40.

KEYWORDS: topological ring; endomorphism ring; Bohr topology; finite topology; locally compact ring.

1. INTRODUCTION

The notion of associative simple ring can be extended for associative topological rings in several ways:

- (i) simple abstract ring endowed with a nondiscrete ring topology (for instance, the classification of nondiscrete locally compact division rings, see [25, Chapter IV] and [4, 15, 16]; we refer to some historical notes about locally compact division rings to [29]);

- (ii) topological ring without nontrivial closed ideals (see [22, 31]).
- (iii) topological ring R with the property that if $f : R \rightarrow S$ is a continuous homomorphism in a topological ring S , then either $f = 0$ or f is a topological embedding of R into S (see [24]).

In all cases it is assumed that multiplication is not trivial.

I. Kaplansky has mentioned (see [20], p. 56) that the classification of locally compact simple rings in positive characteristic p is difficult. He proved that every simple nondiscrete locally compact simple torsion-free ring is a matrix ring over a locally compact division ring. However in [26] (see also [30]) has been constructed a nondiscrete locally compact simple ring of positive characteristic which is not a matrix ring over a division ring. Thereby the program of classification of nondiscrete locally compact simple rings was finished. Nevertheless it is interesting to look for new examples of locally compact simple rings.

If A_p is a countable elementary abelian p -group and I is the ideal of the ring $\text{End}(A_p)$ consisting of endomorphisms with finite images, then the factor ring $\text{End}(A_p)/I$ is a simple von Neumann regular ring. We prove that under (CH) this ring does not admit a nondiscrete locally compact ring topology.

S. Ulam (see [23, Problem 96, p. 181]) posed the following problem: "Can the group S_∞ of all permutations of integers so metrized that the group operation (composition of permutations) is a continuous function and the set S_∞ becomes, under this metric, a compact space? (locally compact?)". E.D. Gaughan (see [10]) has solved this problem in the negative.

We study in §3 an analogous problem for the endomorphism ring of a countable elementary abelian p -group, namely: "Does the endomorphism ring $\text{End}(A_p)$ of a countable elementary p -group A_p admit a nondiscrete locally compact ring topology?". Similarly to the Ulam's problem we obtain a negative answer to this question. Moreover, we prove that \mathcal{T}_{fin} is the only ring topology \mathcal{T} on $\text{End}(A_p)$ such that $(\text{End}(A_p), \mathcal{T})$ is complete and second metrizable.

We classify in §4 the completely simple rings $(\text{End}(M), \mathcal{T}_{fin})$ of vector spaces M over division rings. Corollary 4.4 gives a characterization of semisimple left linearly compact minimal rings. It should be mentioned that Corollary 4.4 is related to a result from [3] stating that any semisimple ring admits at most one linearly compact topology.

Furthermore, we obtain in §5 a description of completely simple rings of the form $(\text{End}(M_R), \mathcal{T}_{fin})$ of modules M over a commutative ring R . We extend the result of [28] to topological rings $(\text{End}(M_R), \mathcal{T}_{fin})$.

2. NOTATION, CONVENTIONS AND PRELIMINARY RESULTS

Rings are assumed to be associative, not necessarily with identity. Topological spaces are assumed to be completely regular. The *weight* (see [8], p.12) of the space X is denoted by $w(X)$. The *pseudocharacter* of a point $x \in X$ (see [8], p.135) is the smallest cardinal of the form $|\mathcal{U}|$, where \mathcal{U} is a family

of open subsets of X such that $\cap \mathcal{U} = \{x\}$. The closure of a subset A of the topological space X is denoted by \overline{A} and the interior by $\text{Int}(A)$ (see [8], p.14). A topological space X is called a *Baire space* (see [8], p.198) if for each sequence $\{X_1, X_2, \dots\}$ of open dense subsets of X the intersection $\cap_{i=1}^{\infty} G_i$ is a dense set.

An abelian group A is called *elementary abelian p -group* (p prime) if $pa = 0$ for all $a \in A$. Such group is a direct sum of copies of the cyclic group $Z(p)$. The subring of a ring R generated by a subset S , is denoted by $\langle S \rangle$. A ring R is called *locally finite* if every its finite subset is contained in a finite subring. A topological ring (R, \mathcal{T}) is called *metrizable* if its underlying additive group satisfies the first axiom of countability. A ring R with 1 is called *Dedekind-finite* if each equality $xy = 1$ implies $yx = 1$. It is well-known that every finite ring with identity is Dedekind-finite. Since every compact ring with identity is a subdirect product of finite rings, it follows that every compact ring with identity is Dedekind-finite. If $A \subseteq R$, then $\text{Ann}_l(A) := \{x \in R \mid xA = 0\}$. If X, Y are the subsets of R , then $X \cdot Y := \{xy \mid x \in X, y \in Y\}$. A topological ring R is called *compactly generated* (see [27, Chapter II]) if there exists a compact subset K such that $R = \langle K \rangle$. If (R, \mathcal{T}) is a topological ring and I is an ideal of R , then the quotient topology of the factor ring R/I is denoted by \mathcal{T}/I . If K is a subset of an abelian group A , then set

$$T(K) = \{\alpha \in \text{End}(A) \mid \alpha(K) = 0\}.$$

When K runs over all finite subsets of A , the family $\{T(K)\}$ defines a ring topology \mathcal{T}_{fin} on $\text{End}(A)$. This topology is called the *finite topology*.

Lemma 2.1. *For any abelian group A the ring $(\text{End}(A), \mathcal{T}_{fin})$ is complete.*

Proof. See [27, Theorem 19.2]. □

Lemma 2.2 (Cauchy's criterion). *In a Hausdorff complete commutative group G , in order that a family $(x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Omega}$ should be summable it is necessary and sufficient that, for each neighborhood V of zero in G , there is a finite subset Ω_0 of Ω such that $\sum_{\alpha \in K} x_\alpha \in V$ for all finite subsets K of Ω which do not meet Ω_0 .*

Proof. See [5], p.263. □

Lemma 2.3. *If $(x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Omega}$ is a summable subset in $(\text{End}(A), \mathcal{T}_{fin})$ then every subset Δ of Ω the family $(x_\beta)_{\beta \in \Delta}$ is summable.*

Proof. Let V be a neighborhood of zero of $(\text{End}(A), \mathcal{T}_{fin})$. We can consider without loss of generality that V is a left ideal of $\text{End}(A)$. There exists a finite subset Ω_0 of Ω such that $\sum_{\alpha \in K} x_\alpha \in V$ for every finite subset K of Ω for which $K \cap \Omega_0 = \emptyset$. Let F be a finite subset of Δ such that $F \cap (\Omega_0 \cap \Delta) = \emptyset$. If $\alpha \in F$, then $\alpha \notin \Omega_0$, hence $\sum_{\alpha \in F} x_\alpha \in V$. By Cauchy's criterion the family $(x_\beta)_{\beta \in \Delta}$ is summable. □

A topological ring (R, \mathcal{T}) is called *minimal* (see, for instance, [7]) if there is no ring topology \mathcal{U} such that $\mathcal{U} \leq \mathcal{T}$ and $\mathcal{U} \neq \mathcal{T}$. A topological ring (R, \mathcal{T}) is called *simple* if R is simple as a ring without topology. A topological ring (R, \mathcal{T}) is called *weakly simple* if $R^2 \neq 0$ and every its closed ideal is either 0

or R . A topological ring (R, \mathcal{T}) is called *completely simple* (see [24]) if $R^2 \neq 0$ and for every continuous homomorphism $f : (R, \mathcal{T}) \rightarrow (S, \mathcal{U})$ in a topological ring (S, \mathcal{U}) either $\ker(f) = R$ or f is a homeomorphism of (R, \mathcal{T}) on $\text{Im}(f)$. Equivalently, $R^2 \neq 0$ and (R, \mathcal{T}) is weakly simple and minimal. Let M be a unitary right R -module over a commutative ring R with 1. The module M is called *divisible* if $Mr = M$ for every $0 \neq r \in R$. A right R -module M is called *faithful* if $Mr = 0$ implies $r = 0$ ($r \in R$). A right R -module M is called *torsion-free* if $mr = 0$ implies that either $m = 0$ or $r = 0$, where $m \in M$ and $r \in R$. Recall that a submodule N of an R -module M is called *fully invariant* $\alpha(N) \subseteq N$ for every endomorphism α of M_R . We use in the sequel the notion and results from the books [8, 27].

Remark 2.4. If R is a von Neumann regular ring, then $R^2 = R$.

Lemma 2.5. *An ideal I of a von Neumann regular ring is von Neumann regular.*

Proof. Let $i \in I$. Thus there exists $x \in R$ such that $ixi = i$. It follows that $ixixi = i$ and $xix \in I$. □

Corollary 2.6. *If I an ideal of a von Neumann regular ring R , then any ideal H of I is an ideal of R , too.*

Proof. $RH = RH^2 \subseteq IH \subseteq H$. Similarly, $HR \subseteq H$. □

If A_p is a p -elementary countable group, then

$$I = \{\alpha \in \text{End}(A_p) \mid |\text{Im}(\alpha)| < \aleph_0\}.$$

Fix a linear basis $\{v_i \mid i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ of A_p over the field \mathbb{F}_p . Using this fixed basis, we define the map $e_i : A \rightarrow A$ such that

$$e_i(v_j) = \delta_{ij}v_j, \quad (i, j \in \mathbb{N})$$

where δ_{ij} is the Kronecker delta.

Lemma 2.7. *We have for $\text{End}(A_p)$:*

- (i) *I is a von Neumann regular ring.*
- (ii) *I is a simple ring.*
- (iii) *The factor ring $\text{End}(A_p)/I$ is simple von Neumann regular.*
- (iv) *I is a locally finite ring.*

Proof. (i): The ring $\text{End}(A_p)$ is regular (see [21, Theorem 4.27, p. 63]), so I is von Neumann regular by Lemma 2.5.

(ii), (iii): The ideal I is the only nontrivial ideal of the ring $\text{End}(A_p)$ (see [17, §17, Theorem 1, p. 93]). This means that $\text{End}(A)/I$ is simple. It is regular by the part (i).

(iv) Since I is simple (see [17, §12, Proposition 1]), it suffices to show that I contains a nonzero locally finite right ideal.

Let us show that the left ideal Ie_1 of I is locally finite as a ring (equivalently, as a \mathbb{F}_p -algebra). We have $0 \neq e_1 \in Ie_1$. If H is the left annihilator of Ie_1 , then,

obviously, H is a locally finite ring, hence it is locally finite as a \mathbb{F}_p -algebra. We claim that Ie_1/H is finite. Define $\beta_n \in H$ ($n \geq 2$) in the following way

$$\beta_n(v_i) = \begin{cases} v_n, & \text{for } i = 1; \\ 0, & \text{for } i \neq 1. \end{cases}$$

Let us prove that $Ie_1 = \mathbb{F}_p e_1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \mathbb{F}_p \beta_n$.

If $\alpha \in I$, then $\alpha(v_1) = r_1 v_1 + \dots + r_n v_n$, where $r_i \in \mathbb{F}_p$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, so

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha e_1(v_1) &= r_1 e_1(v_1) + r_2 \beta_2(v_1) + \dots + r_n \beta_n(v_1) \\ &= (r_1 e_1 + r_2 \beta_2 + \dots + r_n \beta_n)(v_1); \\ \alpha e_1(v_j) &= (r_1 e_1 + r_2 \beta_2 + \dots + r_n \beta_n)(v_j) \quad (j \neq 1). \end{aligned}$$

This yields

$$\alpha e_1 = r_1 e_1 + r_2 \beta_2 + \dots + r_n \beta_n$$

and so $Ie_1 = \mathbb{F}_p e_1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \mathbb{F}_p \beta_n$.

In particular, $Ie_1 = \mathbb{F}_p e_1 + H$, and so H has a finite index in Ie_1 . Clearly, Ie_1 is a locally finite \mathbb{F}_p -algebra (see [17, Proposition 1, p. 241]) and I is a locally finite \mathbb{F}_p -algebra (see [17, Proposition 2, p. 242]). \square

The next result can be deduced from [27, Lemma 36.11].

Lemma 2.8. *Let A be a locally compact, compactly generated, and totally disconnected ring. If A contains a dense locally finite subring B , then A is compact.*

Proof. Let $A = \langle V \rangle$, where V is a compact symmetric neighborhood of zero. Since V is compact, the subset $V + V + V \cdot V$ also is compact. Since B is dense, $A = B + V$. By compactness of $V + V + V \cdot V$ there exists a finite subset $H \subseteq B$ such that $V + V + V \cdot V \subseteq H + V$. Since B is a locally finite ring, we can assume without loss of generality that H is a subring. Let $H \setminus \{0\} = \{h_1, \dots, h_k\}$. The subset

$$H + h_1 V + \dots + h_k V + V$$

is an open subgroup of $R(+)$. Indeed, this subset is symmetric and

$$\begin{aligned} &(H + h_1 V + \dots + h_k V + V) + (H + h_1 V + \dots + h_k V + V) \\ &\subseteq H + h_1(V + V) + \dots + h_k(V + V) + V + V \\ &\subseteq H + h_1 V + \dots + h_k V + V. \end{aligned}$$

We prove by induction on m that

$$V^{[m]} \subseteq H + h_1 V + \dots + h_k V + V, \quad (m \in \mathbb{N})$$

where $V^{[1]} = V$ and $V^{[m]} = V^{[m-1]} \cdot V$ for all m .

The inclusion is obvious for $m = 1$.

Assume that the assertion has been proved for $m \geq 1$. Clearly,

$$\begin{aligned} V^{[m+1]} &= V^{[m]} \cdot V \subseteq H \cdot V + h_1(V \cdot V) + \dots + h_k(V \cdot V) + V \cdot V \subseteq \\ &h_1 V + \dots + h_k V + h_1(H + V) + \dots + h_k(H + V) + H + V \subseteq \\ &H + h_1 V + \dots + h_k V + V. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, $A = H + h_1V + \dots + h_kV + V$, therefore A is compact. \square

An element x of a topological ring is called *discrete* if there exists a neighborhood V of zero such that $xV = 0$ (i.e., the right annihilator of x is open).

Lemma 2.9. *The set of all discrete elements of a topological ring is an ideal. A simple ring with identity does not contain nonzero discrete elements.*

3. LOCALLY COMPACT RING TOPOLOGIES ON $\text{End}(A)$ OF A COUNTABLE ELEMENTARY ABELIAN p -GROUP A

Theorem 3.1. *Let R be a simple, nondiscrete and locally compact ring of $\text{char}(R) = p > 0$ and $1 \in R$. If V is a compact open subring of R and $\{e_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Omega\}$ is a set of orthogonal idempotents in R , then*

$$|\Omega| \leq w(V).$$

Proof. The ring R does not contain nonzero discrete elements by Lemma 2.9. Since R is locally compact and $\text{char}(R) = p$, it is totally disconnected. Additionally, R has a fundamental system of neighborhoods of zero consisting of compact open subrings by [19, Lemma 9].

If V is a compact open subring of R , then by continuity of the ring operations for each $\alpha \in \Omega$ there exists an open ideal V_α of V such that $e_\alpha V_\alpha \subseteq V$. Clearly, there exists $y_\alpha \in V_\alpha$ for which $e_\alpha y_\alpha \neq 0$ since R has no nonzero discrete elements.

We claim that hold the following two properties:

- (i) $e_\alpha y_\alpha \notin \overline{\{e_\beta y_\beta \mid \beta \neq \alpha\}}$ for each $\alpha \in \Omega$;
- (ii) the set $X = \{e_\alpha y_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Omega\}$ is a discrete subspace of V .

Indeed, if were $e_\alpha y_\alpha \in \overline{\{e_\beta y_\beta \mid \beta \neq \alpha\}}$ for some $\alpha \in \Omega$, then

$$\begin{aligned} e_\alpha y_\alpha &= e_\alpha e_\alpha y_\alpha \in e_\alpha \overline{\{e_\beta y_\beta \mid \beta \neq \alpha\}} \\ &\subseteq \overline{\{e_\alpha e_\beta y_\beta \mid \beta \neq \alpha\}} \\ &= \{0\}, \end{aligned}$$

so $e_\alpha y_\alpha = 0$, a contradiction. The part (i) is proved.

(ii) Now, for each $\alpha \in \Omega$ we have $V \setminus \overline{\{e_\beta y_\beta \mid \beta \neq \alpha\}}$ is open and, consequently,

$$(V \setminus \overline{\{e_\beta y_\beta \mid \beta \neq \alpha\}}) \cap X = \{e_\alpha y_\alpha\},$$

by (i). Therefore the point $e_\alpha y_\alpha (\alpha \in \Omega)$ of X is isolated. In other words, the subspace X of V is discrete.

Since X is discrete, $|\Omega| = |X| = w(X) \leq w(V)$ (see [1, Exercises 98-99, p. 72]). \square

Theorem 3.2. *Let A_p be a countable elementary abelian p -group. Then the ring*

$$I = \{\alpha \in \text{End}(A_p) \mid |\text{Im}(\alpha)| < \aleph_0\}$$

does not admit a nondiscrete ring topology \mathcal{U} such that (I, \mathcal{U}) is a Baire space.

Proof. Put $S_n = \{\alpha \in I \mid \alpha(A) \subseteq \mathbb{F}_p v_1 + \cdots + \mathbb{F}_p v_n\}$, where $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Clearly, $I = \cup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} S_n$ and

$$S_n = \{\alpha \in I \mid e_i \alpha = 0 \text{ for } i > n\} = \text{Ann}_r(\{e_k \mid k > n\}).$$

This yields that the subset S_n is closed due the continuity of the ring operations.

Since I is a Baire space, there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\text{Int}(S_n) \neq \emptyset$, hence S_n is an open subgroup.

Set $\beta \in I$ such that

$$\beta(v_i) = \begin{cases} v_{n+i}, & \text{for } i = 1, \dots, n; \\ 0, & \text{for } i > n. \end{cases}$$

Let $W \subseteq S_n$ be a neighborhood of zero of (I, \mathcal{U}) such that $\beta W \subseteq S_n$. If $w \in W \setminus \{0\}$, then there exist $a \in A$ and $r_1, \dots, r_n \in \mathbb{F}_p$ such that

$$0 \neq w(a) = \sum_{i=1}^n r_i v_i \quad \text{and} \quad \beta(w(a)) = \sum_{i=1}^n r_i v_{n+i}.$$

There exists $j \in 1, \dots, n$ such that $r_j \neq 0$. Then

$$e_{n+j} \beta w(a) = r_j v_{n+j} \neq 0,$$

hence $e_{n+j} \beta w \neq 0$ and so $\beta w \notin S_n$, a contradiction. \square

Corollary 3.3. *Under the notation of Theorem 3.2 the ring I does not admit a nondiscrete locally compact ring topology.*

Proof. This follows from the fact that each locally compact space is a Baire space (see [6, Theorem 1, p. 117]). \square

Our main result is the following.

Theorem 3.4. *The endomorphism ring $\text{End}(A_p)$ of a countable elementary abelian p -group A_p does not admit a nondiscrete locally compact ring topology.*

Proof. We use the notation and results from section 2. Denote $R = \text{End}(A_p)$. Assume on the contrary that there exists on R a nondiscrete locally compact ring topology \mathcal{T} .

Fact 1. The ring (R, \mathcal{T}) has a fundamental system of neighborhoods of zero consisting of compact open subrings.

Since the additive group of the ring R has exponent p , it is totally disconnected (this follows from [12, Theorem 9.14, p. 95]). By I. Kaplansky's result (see [19, Lemma 9]), the ring (R, \mathcal{T}) has a fundamental system of neighborhoods of zero consisting of compact open subrings.

Fact 2. The group Re_i is countable for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$.

We claim that Re_i is infinite. Indeed, for each $j \in \mathbb{N}$ put $\beta_j \in R$ such that

$$\beta_j(v_k) = \begin{cases} v_j, & \text{for } k = i; \\ 0, & \text{for } k \neq i. \end{cases}$$

If $j \neq s$, then $\beta_j e_i(v_i) = \beta_j(v_i) = v_j$ and $\beta_s e_i(v_i) = \beta_s(v_i) = v_s$, hence $\beta_j e_i \neq \beta_s e_i$, so Re_i is infinite.

The ring Re_i is countable. Indeed, consider the mapping $\psi : Re_i \rightarrow A_p^{\mathbb{F}_p v_i}$, where

$$\psi(\alpha e_i)(rv_i) = \alpha(rv_i) \quad \text{for all } r \in \mathbb{F}_p.$$

If $\alpha e_i \neq \beta e_i$ ($\alpha, \beta \in R$), then there exists an element $x = \sum_j r_j v_j \in A_p$ such that $\alpha e_i(x) \neq \beta e_i(x)$, hence, $\alpha(rv_i) \neq \beta(rv_i)$. Thus

$$\psi(\alpha e_i)(rv_i) = \alpha(rv_i) \neq \beta(rv_i) = \psi(\beta e_i)(rv_i).$$

The latter means that ψ is an injective mapping of Re_i into $A_p^{\mathbb{F}_p v_i}$. Since $A_p^{\mathbb{F}_p v_i}$ is countable, Re_i is countable, too.

Fact 3. I is a closed ideal of R . We claim that I is not dense in the topological ring (R, \mathcal{T}) . Assume the contrary. Since I is locally finite and is a maximal ideal, (R, \mathcal{T}) is topologically locally finite by Lemma 2.8. The ring \overline{R} contains two elements x, y such that $xy = 1$ and $yx \neq 1$. The subring $\langle x, y \rangle$ is compact, hence Dedekind-finite, a contradiction. We obtained that $(R/I, \mathcal{T}/I)$ is a nondiscrete metrizable locally compact ring.

Fact 4. I is a discrete ideal of R .

This follows from Theorem 3.2.

Fact 5. Re_i is a discrete left ideal of R for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$.

Indeed, $Re_i \subseteq I$ and I is discrete by Fact 4 for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$.

Fact 6. $\text{Ann}_l(e_i)$ is open in R for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$.

Indeed, the group homomorphism $q : R \rightarrow Re_i, r \mapsto re_i$, is continuous. Since Re_i is discrete $q^{-1}(0) = \text{Ann}_l(e_i)$ is open.

Fact 7. $\cap_i \text{Ann}_l(e_i) = 0$.

Obvious.

Fact 8. $\mathcal{T} \geq \mathcal{T}_{fin}$.

We notice that $\text{Ann}_l(e_i) = T(\{v_i\})$ for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$. For, if $\alpha e_i = 0$, then $\alpha(v_i) = \alpha e_i(v_i) = 0$, i.e., $\alpha \in T(\{v_i\})$. Conversely, if $\alpha \in T(\{v_i\})$, then $\alpha e_i(v_i) = \alpha(v_i) = 0$. If $j \neq i$ then $\alpha e_i(v_j) = 0$. Therefore $\alpha e_i = 0$. Moreover

$$T(\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}) = \cap_{i=1}^n T(\{v_i\}) = \cap_{i=1}^n \text{Ann}_l(e_i) \in \mathcal{T} \quad (\forall n \in \mathbb{N}).$$

Since the family $\{T(\{v_1, \dots, v_n\})\}$ forms a fundamental system of neighborhoods of zero of (R, \mathcal{T}_{fin}) , we get that $\mathcal{T}_{fin} \leq \mathcal{T}$.

Fact 9. The ring (R, \mathcal{T}) is metrizable.

Since $\cap_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \text{Ann}_l(e_i) = 0$, the pseudocharacter of (R, \mathcal{T}) is \aleph_0 . If V is a compact open subring of (R, \mathcal{T}) (see Fact 1), then the pseudocharacter of V also is \aleph_0 . However in every compact space the pseudocharacter of a point coincides with its character. Therefore (R, \mathcal{T}) is metrizable.

Fact 10. $(R/I, \mathcal{T}/I)$ has an open compact subring.

Indeed, it is well-known (see [19]) that every totally disconnected ring has a fundamental system of neighborhood of zero consisting of compact open subrings. Henceforth V is a fixed open compact subring of $(R/I, \mathcal{T}/I)$.

Fact 11. R/I contains a family of orthogonal idempotents of cardinality 2^{\aleph_0} .

Indeed, the family $\{e_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ of idempotents of the ring (R, \mathcal{T}_{fin}) is summable and $1_A = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} e_n$, where 1_A is the identity of R .

The first ordinal number of cardinality \mathfrak{c} of continuum is denoted by $\omega(\mathfrak{c})$. Let $\{\mathbb{N}(\alpha) \mid \alpha < \omega(\mathfrak{c})\}$ be a family of infinite almost disjoint subsets of \mathbb{N} (see [8, Example 3.6.18, p. 175–176]). Put $f_{\mathbb{N}(\alpha)} = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}(\alpha)} e_i$ for each $\alpha < \omega(\mathfrak{c})$. The element $f_{\mathbb{N}(\alpha)}$ exists by Lemma 2.3. Then:

- (i) $f_{\mathbb{N}(\alpha)} \notin I$ for every $\alpha < \omega(\mathfrak{c})$;
- (ii) $f_{\mathbb{N}(\alpha)} f_{\mathbb{N}(\beta)} \in I$ for each $\alpha, \beta < \omega(\mathfrak{c})$ and $\alpha \neq \beta$.

If $g_\alpha = f_{\mathbb{N}(\alpha)} + I$ for each $\alpha < \omega(\mathfrak{c})$, then $\{g_\alpha \mid \alpha < \omega(\mathfrak{c})\}$ is the required system of orthogonal idempotents.

The subring V is metrizable (by Fact 9). Since V is compact and R/I is a simple von Neumann regular ring by Lemma 2.7 and $w(V) \leq \aleph_0$, we obtain a contradiction to Theorem 3.1. \square

Theorem 3.5. (CH) *Under the notation of Theorem 3.4, the ring R/I does not admit a nondiscrete locally compact ring topology.*

Proof. Assume on the contrary that the factor ring R/I admits a nondiscrete locally compact ring topology \mathcal{T} , so $(R/I, \mathcal{T})$ contains an open compact subring V . Since the cardinality of R/I is continuum and V is infinite, the power of V is continuum. Since we have assumed (CH), the subring V is metrizable, hence second metrizable (see [14, 18]). However we have proved in Theorem 3.4 that the ring R/I contains a family of orthogonal idempotents of cardinality \mathfrak{c} , a contradiction with Theorem 3.1. \square

Theorem 3.6. *The finite topology \mathcal{T}_{fin} is the only second metrizable ring topology \mathcal{T} on R for which (R, \mathcal{T}_{fin}) is complete.*

Proof. Let $K = \langle F \rangle$, where F is a finite subset of A . Clearly, there exists a subgroup A' of A such that $A = K \oplus A'$. Choose $e_F \in R$ such that $e_F \upharpoonright_K = \text{id}_K$ and $e_F(A') = 0$. Clearly,

$$T(K) = R(1 - e_F)$$

and $\alpha K = 0$ if and only if $\alpha \in R(1 - e_F)$, so the family $\{R(1 - e_F)\}$, where F runs over all finite subset of A , forms a fundamental system of neighborhoods of zero for (R, \mathcal{T}_{fin}) .

There exists an injective map of Re_F to $\text{Hom}(K, A)$, so the left ideal Re_F is countable, due to countability $\text{Hom}(K, A)$. Since $e_F^2 = e_F$, the Peirce decomposition

$$R = Re_F \oplus R(1 - e_F)$$

of R with respect to the idempotent e_F is a decomposition of the topological group $(R, +, \mathcal{T})$. It follows that Re_F is discrete, hence $R(1 - e_F)$ is open (in the topology \mathcal{T}). Hence $\mathcal{T} \geq \mathcal{T}_{fin}$, so $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}_{fin}$ (see [9, Theorem 30] or [11]). \square

4. COMPLETELY SIMPLE TOPOLOGICAL ENDOMORPHISM RINGS OF VECTOR SPACES

Theorem 4.1. *Let A_F be a right vector space over a division ring F and $S = \text{End}(A_F)$. The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) (S, \mathcal{T}_{fin}) is a completely simple topological ring.

(ii) $\dim(A_F) = \infty$ or $\dim(A_F) < \infty$ and F does not admit a nondiscrete ring topology.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii): If A_F is finite-dimensional, then S is discrete and isomorphic to the matrix ring $M(n, F)$, where n is the dimension of A_F . Then, obviously, F does not admit a nondiscrete ring topology.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i): If $\dim(A_F) = n < \infty$, then $S \cong M(n, F)$. Since F does not admit nondiscrete ring topologies, the same holds for $M(n, F)$.

Let A_F be infinite dimensional. Fix a basis $\{x_\alpha\}_{\alpha < \tau}$ over F , where τ is an infinite ordinal number. It is well-known that the topological ring (S, \mathcal{T}_{fin}) is weakly simple (see [22, Satz 12, p. 258]) and the family $\{T(x_\alpha)\}_{\alpha < \tau}$ is a prebase at zero for the finite topology \mathcal{T}_{fin} of S .

Assume on the contrary that there exists a Hausdorff ring topology \mathcal{T} , coarser than \mathcal{T}_{fin} and different from it. Let $e_\alpha \in S$ such that $e_\alpha^2 = e_\alpha$ and $e_\alpha(x_\beta) = \delta_{\alpha\beta}x_\alpha$ for each $\alpha < \tau$, where $\delta_{\alpha\beta}$ is the Kronecker delta.

Fact 1. $T(x_\alpha) = \text{Ann}_l(e_\alpha)$ for each $\alpha < \tau$.

Indeed, if $p \in T(x_\alpha)$, then $pe_\alpha(x_\alpha) = p(x_\alpha) = 0$. If $\beta \neq \alpha$, then $e_\alpha(x_\beta) = 0$, hence $pe_\alpha = 0$, i.e. $p \in \text{Ann}_l(e_\alpha)$. Conversely, if $pe_\alpha = 0$, then we have $p(x_\alpha) = pe_\alpha(x_\alpha) = 0$, i.e. $p \in T(x_\alpha)$.

Fact 2. There exists $\alpha_0 < \tau$ for which Se_{α_0} is nondiscrete in (S, \mathcal{T}) .

Assume on the contrary that for every $\alpha < \tau$ there exists a neighborhood V_α of zero of (S, \mathcal{T}) such that $Se_\alpha \cap V_\alpha = 0$. If U_α is a neighborhood of zero of (S, \mathcal{T}) such that $U_\alpha e_\alpha \subseteq V_\alpha$, then $U_\alpha e_\alpha = 0$, hence $\text{Ann}_l(e_\alpha) = T(x_\alpha)$ is open in (S, \mathcal{T}) . Hence $\mathcal{T}_{fin} \leq \mathcal{T}$ and $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}_{fin}$, a contradiction.

Fact 3. $(Se_{\alpha_0} \cap V)x_{\alpha_0} \not\subseteq \bigoplus_{\beta \in K} x_\beta F$ for any neighborhood V of zero of (S, \mathcal{T}) and any finite subset K of the set $[0, \tau)$ of all ordinal numbers less than τ .

Assume on the contrary that there exists a finite subset K of $[0, \tau)$ and a neighborhood V of zero of (S, \mathcal{T}) such that

$$(4.1) \quad (Se_{\alpha_0} \cap V)x_{\alpha_0} \subseteq \bigoplus_{\beta \in K} x_\beta F.$$

Fix $\gamma \in [0, \tau) \setminus K$. For each $\beta \in K$ define $q_\beta \in S$ such that $q_\beta(x_\beta) = x_\gamma$ and $q(x_\delta) = 0$ for $\delta \neq \beta$.

Let V_0 be a neighborhood of zero of (S, \mathcal{T}) such that $V_0 \subseteq V$ and $q_\beta V_0 \subseteq V$ for all $\beta \in K$. There exists $0 \neq h \in Se_{\alpha_0} \cap V_0$ by Fact 2 and $hx_{\alpha_0} \neq 0$ by Fact 1. Since $Se_{\alpha_0} \cap V_0 \subseteq Se_{\alpha_0} \cap V$, we obtain that $hx_{\alpha_0} = \sum_{\beta \in K} x_\beta f_\beta$, ($f_\beta \in F$) by (4.1). There exists $\beta_0 \in K$ such that $f_{\beta_0} \neq 0$ (because $hx_{\alpha_0} \neq 0$), so

$$q_{\beta_0} h = q_{\beta_0}(\sum_{\beta \in K} x_\beta f_\beta) = r_{\beta_0} x_\gamma \notin \bigoplus_{\beta \in K} x_\beta F,$$

a contradiction. Therefore Fact 3 is proved.

Now let V be a neighborhood of zero of (S, \mathcal{T}) . Pick up a neighborhood V_0 of zero of (S, \mathcal{T}) such that $V_0 \cdot V_0 \subseteq V$. Since $\mathcal{T} \leq \mathcal{T}_{fin}$, there exists a finite subset K of $[0, \tau)$ such that

$$T(\{x_\beta \mid \beta \in K\}) \subseteq V_0.$$

We have $(Se_{\alpha_0} \cap V_0)x_{\alpha_0} \notin \oplus_{\beta \in K} x_{\beta}F$ by Fact 3. It follows that there exists $q \in Se_{\alpha_0} \cap V_0$ such that

$$q(x_{\alpha_0}) \notin \oplus_{\beta \in K} x_{\beta}F.$$

Clearly, $q(x_{\alpha_0}) \in A_F$, so it can be written as $q(x_{\alpha_0}) = \sum_{\alpha < \tau} x_{\alpha}f_{\alpha}$, where $f_{\alpha} \in F$ and there exists $\beta_0 \notin K$ such that $f_{\beta_0} \neq 0$.

Consider the element $s \in S$ such that $s(x_{\beta_0}) = x_{\alpha_0}f_{\beta_0}^{-1}$ and $s(x_{\lambda}) = 0$ for $\lambda \neq \beta_0$. Evidently, $s \in T(K)$, hence

$$sq \in T(K) \cdot V_0 \subseteq V_0 \cdot V_0 \subseteq V.$$

Moreover, $sq(x_{\alpha_0}) = s(x_{\beta_0}f_{\beta_0} + \dots) = x_{\alpha_0}$. Since $q \in Se_{\alpha_0}$, we obtain that $sq(x_{\beta}) = 0$ for $\beta \neq \alpha_0$. Consequently, $e_{\alpha_0} = sq \in V$ for every neighborhood V of zero of (S, \mathcal{T}) , a contradiction. \square

Remark 4.2. The question of existence of an uncountable division ring which does not admit a nondiscrete Hausdorff ring topology is open. Several results on this topic can be found in Chapter 5 of [2].

Theorem 4.3. *Let $\prod_{\alpha \in \Omega} R_{\alpha}$ be a family of compact rings with identity. Then the product $(\prod_{\alpha \in \Omega} R_{\alpha}, \prod_{\alpha \in \Omega} \mathcal{T}_{\alpha})$ is a minimal ring if and only if every $(R_{\alpha}, \mathcal{T}_{\alpha})$ is a minimal topological ring. (Here $\prod_{\alpha \in \Omega} \mathcal{T}_{\alpha}$ is the product topology on the ring $\prod_{\alpha \in \Omega} R_{\alpha}$.)*

Proof. \Rightarrow : Assume on the contrary that there exists $\beta \in \Omega$ and a ring topology \mathcal{T}' on R_{β} such that $\mathcal{T}' \leq \mathcal{T}_{\beta}$ and $\mathcal{T}' \neq \mathcal{T}_{\beta}$. Consider the product topology \mathcal{U} on $\prod_{\alpha \in \Omega} R_{\alpha}$, where R_{α} is endowed with \mathcal{T}_{α} when $\alpha \neq \beta$ and R_{β} is endowed with \mathcal{T}' . Obviously, $\mathcal{U} \leq \prod_{\alpha \in \Omega} \mathcal{T}_{\alpha}$ and $\mathcal{U} \neq \prod_{\alpha \in \Omega} \mathcal{T}_{\alpha}$, a contradiction.

\Leftarrow : Denote by $\pi_{\alpha} (\alpha \in \Omega)$ the projection of $\prod_{\alpha \in \Omega} R_{\alpha}$ on R_{α} . By definition of the product topology, $\prod_{\alpha \in \Omega} \mathcal{T}_{\alpha}$ is the coarsest topology on $\prod_{\alpha \in \Omega} R_{\alpha}$ for which the projections $\pi_{\alpha} (\alpha \in \Omega)$ are continuous.

Let \mathcal{U} be a ring topology on $\prod_{\alpha \in \Omega} R_{\alpha}$, $\mathcal{U} \leq \prod_{\alpha \in \Omega} \mathcal{T}_{\alpha}$ and $\beta \in \Omega$. Since

$$\mathcal{U} \upharpoonright_{R_{\beta} \times \prod_{\gamma \neq \beta} \{0_{\gamma}\}} \leq \left(\prod_{\alpha \in \Omega} \mathcal{T}_{\alpha} \right) \upharpoonright_{R_{\beta} \times \prod_{\gamma \neq \beta} \{0_{\gamma}\}},$$

it follows that $\mathcal{U} \upharpoonright_{R_{\beta} \times \prod_{\gamma \neq \beta} \{0_{\gamma}\}} = \left(\prod_{\alpha \in \Omega} \mathcal{T}_{\alpha} \right) \upharpoonright_{R_{\beta} \times \prod_{\gamma \neq \beta} \{0_{\gamma}\}}$ by minimality of $(R_{\beta}, \mathcal{T}_{\beta})$.

Then the family $\{V \times \prod_{\gamma \neq \beta} \{0_{\gamma}\}\}$ when V runs all neighborhoods of zero of $(R_{\beta}, \mathcal{T}_{\beta})$ is a fundamental system of neighborhoods of zero of

$$\left(R_{\beta} \times \prod_{\gamma \neq \beta} \{0_{\gamma}\}, \mathcal{U} \upharpoonright_{R_{\beta} \times \prod_{\gamma \neq \beta} \{0_{\gamma}\}} \right).$$

Since $R_{\beta} \times \prod_{\gamma \neq \beta} \{0_{\gamma}\}$ is an ideal with identity of $\prod_{\alpha \in \Omega} R_{\alpha}$, the topological ring $(\prod_{\alpha \in \Omega} R_{\alpha}, \mathcal{U})$ is a direct sum of ideals $R_{\beta} \times \prod_{\gamma \neq \beta} \{0_{\gamma}\}$ and $\{0_{\beta}\} \times \prod_{\gamma \neq \beta} R_{\gamma}$. Let V be a neighborhood of zero of $(R_{\beta}, \mathcal{T}_{\beta})$. Then $V \times \prod_{\gamma \neq \beta} R_{\gamma}$ be a neighborhood of zero of $(\prod_{\alpha \in \Omega} R_{\alpha}, \mathcal{U})$ and $\pi_{\beta}(V \times \prod_{\gamma \neq \beta} R_{\gamma}) = V$.

We have proved that π_{β} is a continuous function from $(\prod_{\alpha \in \Omega} R_{\alpha}, \mathcal{U})$ to $(R_{\beta}, \mathcal{T}_{\beta})$. It follows that $\prod_{\alpha \in \Omega} \mathcal{T}_{\alpha} \leq \mathcal{U}$ and so $\mathcal{U} = \prod_{\alpha \in \Omega} \mathcal{T}_{\alpha}$. \square

Corollary 4.4. *A left linearly compact semisimple ring is minimal if and only if has no direct summands of the form $M(n, \Delta)$, where Δ is a division ring which does not admit a nondiscrete Hausdorff ring topology.*

Proof. This follows from Theorems 4.1, 4.3 and the Theorem of Leptin (see [22, Theorem 13, p. 258]) about the structure of left linearly compact semisimple rings. \square

Corollary 4.5. *A semisimple linearly compact ring (R, \mathcal{T}) having no ideals isomorphic to matrix rings over infinite division rings is minimal.*

5. COMPLETELY SIMPLE ENDOMORPHISM RINGS OF MODULES

The endomorphism ring of a right R -module M is denoted by $\text{End}(M_R)$.

Lemma 5.1. *Let M be a divisible, torsion-free module over a commutative domain R and K the field of fractions of R . The additive group of M has a structure of a vector K -space such that R -endomorphisms of M are exactly the K -linear transformations.*

Proof. We define a structure of a right vector K -space as follows: if $\frac{a}{b} \in K$ and $m \in M$, then there exists a unique $x \in M$ such that $ma = xb$; set $m \circ \frac{a}{b} = x$. Moreover, if $\frac{a}{b} = \frac{c}{d}$ and $0 \neq m \in M$, then $m \circ \frac{a}{b} = m \circ \frac{c}{d}$. Indeed, if $m \circ \frac{a}{b} = x$ and $m \circ \frac{c}{d} = y$, then $mad = xbd$ and $mbc = ybd$ which means that $xbd = ybd$, hence $x = y$.

Let $\alpha \in \text{End}(M_R)$, $\frac{a}{b} \in K$, $m \in M$. By definition, $am = b(\frac{a}{b} \circ m)$, hence, $a\alpha(m) = b\alpha(\frac{a}{b} \circ m)$, which means that $\alpha(\frac{a}{b} \circ m) = \frac{a}{b} \circ \alpha(m)$, so α is a K -linear transformation. Note that, if $a \in R$ and $m \in M$, then $m \circ \frac{a}{1} = ma$.

Conversely, if α is a K -linear transformation, $a \in R$, $m \in M$, then

$$\alpha(\frac{a}{1} \circ m) = \frac{a}{1} \circ \alpha m,$$

i.e. $\alpha(am) = a\alpha(m)$. We have proved that every K -linear transformation is an right R -module homomorphism. \square

Remark 5.2. The center $Z(R)$ of a weakly simple ring R is a domain.

Remark 5.3. For every right R -module M the underlying group $M(+)$ is a discrete left topological $(\text{End}(M_R), \mathcal{T}_{fin})$ -module.

Indeed, $T(m)(m) = 0$ for every $m \in M$. Moreover, $\text{End}(M_R)\{0\} = \{0\}$, so M is a discrete left topological $(\text{End}(M_R), \mathcal{T}_{fin})$ -module.

Theorem 5.4. *Let M_R be a module over a commutative ring R .*

If the topological ring $(\text{End}(M_R), \mathcal{T}_{fin})$ is weakly simple, then:

- (i) $P = \{r \in R \mid Mr = 0\}$ is a prime ideal of R .
- (ii) M is a vector space over the field K of fractions of R/P and the R -endomorphisms of M are exactly the K -linear transformations.

Conversely, if M_R is an R -module and are satisfied (i) and (ii), then the ring $(\text{End}(M_R), \mathcal{T}_{fin})$ is a weakly simple topological ring.

Proof. \Rightarrow : If $(\text{End}(M_R), \mathcal{T}_{fin})$ is weakly simple, then the mapping:

$$(5.1) \quad \alpha_r : M \rightarrow M, \quad m \mapsto mr \quad (r \in R)$$

is an R -module homomorphism and $\alpha_r \in Z (= \text{the center of } \text{End}(M_R))$.

First we show that the part (i) holds. Indeed, if $a, b \in R$ and $ab = 0$, then $\alpha_a \alpha_b = 0$ (see (5.1)). Thus $(\text{End}(M_R)\alpha_a) \cdot (\text{End}(M_R)\alpha_b) = 0$, so

$$\overline{(\text{End}(M_R)\alpha_a)} \cdot \overline{(\text{End}(M_R)\alpha_b)} = 0.$$

Since $\text{End}(M_R)$ is weakly simple, one of them, say $\overline{\text{End}(M_R)\alpha_a}$, is zero. This implies that $\alpha_a = 0$, hence $a \in P$.

(ii) The structure of R/P -module on M is defined as follows: if $r \in R$ and $m \in M$, then put $M(r + P) = mr$.

Note that M is a torsion-free right R/P -module. Assume that $m(r + P) = 0$, where $0 \neq r + P \in R/P$ and $0 \neq m \in M$. Then $mr = 0 = \alpha_r(m)$ (see (5.1)). Thus $\text{End}(M_R)\alpha_r(m) = 0$. It follows that $\overline{(\text{End}(M_R)\alpha_r)}(m) = 0$ by Remark 5.3. Since $\text{End}(M_R)$ is weakly simple

$$\overline{\text{End}(M_R)\alpha_r} = \text{End}(M_R).$$

We obtained that $\text{End}(M_R)(m) = 0$, so $m = 0$, a contradiction.

Under this convention R -submodules are exactly R/P -submodules and R -endomorphisms are exactly R/P -endomorphisms.

The module M is a divisible R/P -module. Indeed, if $0 \neq r + P \in R/P$, then $0 \neq M(r + P) = Mr$. Suppose that $Mr \neq M$. Consider

$$I = \{\alpha \in \text{End}(M_R) \mid \alpha(M) \subseteq Mr\}.$$

Since Mr is a fully invariant submodule, I is a two-sided ideal of the ring $(\text{End}(M_R), \mathcal{T}_{fin})$.

The ideal I is closed. Indeed, let $\alpha \in \bar{I}$. If $m \in M$, then there exists $\beta \in I$ such that $\alpha - \beta \in T(m)$. Clearly, $\alpha(m) = \beta(m) \in Mr$ and so $\alpha \in I$. We have proved that I is closed.

Since $1_M \notin I$, $I = 0$. It follows that $\alpha_r = 0$ (see (5.1)), a contradiction.

The module M has a structure of a right K -vector space and $\text{End}(M_R)$ is exactly the ring of endomorphisms of M by Lemma 5.1.

The converse follows from Theorem 4.1. □

A characterization of completely simple topological ring $\text{End}(M_R)$ is given by the following.

Theorem 5.5. *Let M_R be a module over a commutative ring R . The topological ring $(\text{End}(M_R), \mathcal{T}_{fin})$ is completely simple if and only are satisfied the conditions (i) and (ii) of Theorem 5.4 and either*

- (i) M is finite or
- (ii) M is infinite and the dimension of M over the field K is infinite.

Proof. \Rightarrow : According to Theorem 5.4, the ideal P is prime and the topology of $\text{End}(M_R)$ coincide with the finite topology of $\text{End}(M_K)$, where K is the field of fractions of R/P . If M is finite, we have the part (i). Assume that

M is infinite. If R/P is finite, then the dimension of M over K is infinite. Suppose that R/P is infinite and $\dim_K(M) = n < \aleph_0$. Then M is isomorphic to $M(n, K)$. Since K is an infinite field, it admits a nondiscrete ring topology (see [13]) and we obtain a contradiction because $\text{End}(M_R)$ is a discrete ring. Consequently $\dim_K(M)$ is infinite.

\Leftarrow This follows from Theorems 4.1 and 5.4. □

Corollary 5.6. *The topological ring $(\text{End}(A), \mathcal{T}_{fin})$ of an abelian group A is completely simple if and only one of the following conditions holds:*

- (i) A is a elementary abelian p -group.
- (ii) A is a divisible torsion-free group of infinite rank.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS. Supported by UAEU UPAR (9) 2017 Grant G00002599.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. V. Arkhangel'skii and V. I. Ponomarev, *Osnovy obshchei topologii v zadachakh i uprazhneniyakh*, Izdat. Nauka, Moscow, 1974.
- [2] V. I. Arnautov, S. T. Glavatsky and A. V. Mikhalev, *Introduction to the theory of topological rings and modules*, vol. 197 of Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics. Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 1996.
- [3] V. I. Arnautov and M. I. Ursul, Uniqueness of a linearly compact topology in rings, *Mat. Issled.* 53 (1979), 6–14, 221.
- [4] N. Bourbaki, *Kommutativnaya algebra*, Izdat. Mir, Moscow, 1971. *Èlementy Matematiki*, Vyp. XXVII, XXVIII, XXX, XXXI. [Foundations of Mathematics, No. XXVII, XXVIII, XXX, XXXI], Translated from the French by A. A. Belskii, Edited by E. S. Golod.
- [5] N. Bourbaki, *General topology. Chapters 1–4. Elements of Mathematics (Berlin)*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998.
- [6] N. Bourbaki, *Obshchaya topologiya*. Izdat. Nauka, Moscow, 1975. *Ispol'zovanie veshchestvennykh chisel v obshchei topologii. Funktsional'nye prostranstva. Svodka rezul'tatov. Slovar'.* [Application of real numbers in general topology. Functional spaces. Resumé of results. Vocabulary], Translated from the third French edition by S. N. Kračkovskii, Edited by D. A. Raikov.
- [7] D. Dikranjan, Minimal topological rings, *Serdica* 8, no. 2 (1982), 149–165.
- [8] R. Engelking, *General topology*, vol. 6 of Sigma Series in Pure Mathematics. Heldermann Verlag, Berlin, ii ed., 1989.
- [9] H. Freudenthal, Einige sätze über topologische gruppen, *Ann. of Math. (2)* 37, no. 1 (1936), 46–56. 1936.
- [10] E. D. Gaughan, Topological group structures of infinite symmetric groups, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 58 (1967), 907–910.
- [11] M. I. Graev, Theory of topological groups. I. Norms and metrics on groups. Complete groups. Free topological groups, *Uspehi Matem. Nauk (N.S.)* 5, no. 2 (36) (1950), 3–56.
- [12] E. Hewitt and K. A. Ross, *Abstract harmonic analysis*. Vol. I, vol. 115 of Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, second ed., 1979.

- [13] M. Hochster and J. O. Kiltinen, Commutative rings with identity have ring topologies, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* 76 (1970), 419–420.
- [14] A. Hulanicki, On locally compact topological groups of power of continuum, *Fund. Math.* 44 (1957), 156–158.
- [15] N. Jacobson, Totally disconnected locally compact rings, *Amer. J. Math.* 58, no. 2 (1936), 433–449.
- [16] N. Jacobson, A note on topological fields, *Amer. J. Math.* 59, no. 4 (1937), 889–894.
- [17] N. Jacobson, Structure of rings, American Mathematical Society, Colloquium Publications, vol. 37, American Mathematical Society, 190 Hope Street, Prov., R. I., 1956.
- [18] F. B. Jones, On the first countability axiom for locally compact Hausdorff spaces, *Colloq. Math.* 7 (1959), 33–34.
- [19] I. Kaplansky, Topological rings, *Amer. J. Math.* 69 (1947), 153–183.
- [20] I. Kaplansky, Selected papers and other writings, Springer Collected Works in Mathematics, Springer, New York, 2013.
- [21] T. Y. Lam, A first course in noncommutative rings, vol. 131 of Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991.
- [22] H. Leptin, Linear kompakte moduln und ringe, *Math. Z.* 62 (1955), 241–267.
- [23] R. D. Mauldin, ed., The Scottish Book, Birkhäuser/Springer, Cham, second ed., 2015.
- [24] A. F. Mutylin, Completely simple commutative topological rings, *Mat. Zametki* 5 (1969), 161–171.
- [25] L. S. Pontryagin, Continuous groups, Nauka, Moscow, fourth ed., 1984.
- [26] L. Skornjakov, Einfache lokal bikompakte ringe, *Math. Z.* 87 (1965), 241–251.
- [27] M. Ursul, Topological rings satisfying compactness conditions, vol. 549 of Mathematics and its Applications. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 2002.
- [28] R. Ware and J. Zelmanowitz, Simple endomorphism rings, *Amer. Math. Monthly* 77 (1970), 987–989.
- [29] S. Warner, Topological fields, vol. 157 of North-Holland Mathematics Studies. North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1989. *Notas de Matemática [Mathematical Notes]*, 126.
- [30] S. Warner, Topological rings, vol. 178 of North-Holland Mathematics Studies. North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1993.
- [31] D. Zelinsky, Linearly compact modules and rings, *Amer. J. Math.* 75 (1953), 79–90.