Table of Contents

Acknowledgement I

Resumen Español III

Nederlandse samenvatting V

Resum en Valencià VII

English summary IX

1. Introduction 1
1.1. Problem Statement . . . 1
1.2. Motivation and Objectives . . . 3
1.3. Structure of the Thesis . . . 4

2. Background 7
2.1. Vehicular Networks & ITS . . . 7
2.1.1. Communication in Vehicular Networks . . . 8
2.1.1.1. DSRC/WAVE . . . 8
2.1.1.2. Cellular Networks . . . 9
2.1.1.3. WLAN . . . 10
2.1.1.4. WiMAX/802.16e . . . 11
2.1.2. Routing in Vehicular Ad-Hoc Networks . . . 11
2.1.2.1. Source-Routing-Based Protocols . . . 12
2.1.2.2. Geographic-Routing-Based Protocols . . . 14
2.1.2.3. Trajectory-Based Protocols . . . 15
2.2. Vehicular Network Applications . . . 16
2.2.1. Safety-Related Applications . . . 17
2.2.1.1. Vehicle diagnostics and maintenance . . . 17
2.2.1.2. Intersection collision avoidance . . . 17
2.2.1.3. Public safety . . . 18
2.2.1.4. Sign extension . . . 19
2.2.1.5. Vehicle cooperation . . . 20
2.2.2. Emerging Vehicular Applications . . . 22
2.2.2.1. Data Source . . . 23
2.2.2.2. Data Consumer . . . 24

2.2.2.3. Data Producer/Consumer 24
2.2.3. Smartphone-based Applications 27

3. Preliminary Contributions **29**
3.1. V2X Communication in Europe 29
3.1.1. Contribution I: GRCBox 31
3.1.1.1. Architecture 32
3.1.1.2. Implementation 35
3.1.1.3. Use case and Performance Analysis 38
3.1.1.4. Conclusion: GRCBox 42
3.2. Android Applications 43
3.2.1. Contribution II: Messiah 45
3.2.1.1. Features of the Messiah Application 45
3.2.1.2. Implementation Details of Messiah 50
3.2.1.3. Prototype Deployment and Evaluation 54
3.2.1.4. Conclusion: Messiah 56
3.2.2. Contribution III: Forward Collision Warning 56
3.2.2.1. Application Features 57
3.2.2.2. Functional Details of the Application 59
3.2.2.3. Results 63
3.2.2.4. Conclusion: Forward Collision Warning 72

4. EYES: The Video Overtaking Aid **73**
4.1. Application Overview 75
4.2. Implementation Details 77
4.2.1. The Video Server and Client 77
4.2.2. Validity Check 81
4.2.2.1. Same Direction Test 81
4.2.2.2. Ahead Test 82
4.2.2.3. Same Lane Test 82
4.2.3. Video Transmission 85
4.2.4. Creating the vehicular network 87
4.3. Application Validation 89
4.3.1. Laboratory Evaluation 89
4.3.1.1. Video Quality Experiments 90
4.3.2. Application Delay Experiments 92
4.3.2.1. Chosen Video Settings 96
4.3.3. Outdoor tests 96
4.3.3.1. Same Direction Test 96
4.3.3.2. Ahead Test 99
4.3.3.3. Same Lane Test 101
4.4. Conclusions 105

5. Overall Conclusion **107**
5.1. Summary of contributions 107
5.2. Future work 109
5.3. Publications 111
5.3.1. Journals 111
5.3.2. Conferences 111
5.3.3. Others: Demo 112

List of Figures

1.1. Top ten causes of death among people aged 15–29 years, 2012 [1]. 2
1.2. Number of road traffic deaths per year, worldwide [1]. 2

2.1. Communication among vehicles [2]. 8
2.2. Layered architecture of the WAVE standard [3]. 9
2.3. Scenario where EEBL might come in handy [4]. 21
2.4. Working of the V3 architecture [5]. 25

3.1. The idea behind the GRCBox. 32
3.2. The GRCBox. 33
3.3. How an application connects making use of the GRCBox. 39
3.4. Throughput offered by the GRCBox. 42
3.5. Structure of the Android OS [6]. 43
3.6. The Device working in “Civil Mode”. 47
3.7. The Device working in “Administrative Mode”. 49
3.8. Architecture of the Messiah Application. 51
3.9. Contents of messages used by the Messiah Application. 52
3.10. Message generation and sending in Messiah. 53
3.11. Receiving and forwarding of messages. 55
3.12. Packet loss with distance using the Messiah application. 56
3.13. Experimental setup when testing our application. 58
3.14. Structure of the packets used in our FCW application. 59
3.15. Same Direction Test. 61
3.16. Refraction by convex lens when the object is beyond $2f$. 62
3.17. Time taken to process images of different resolutions by different devices. 65
3.18. Plate processing time with Moto-G3 for different Joint Photographic Experts Group (JPEG) qualities. 66
3.19. Accuracy of plate recognition for different JPEG qualities. 67
3.20. Accuracy of plate recognition under different lighting conditions. . 67
3.21. Route-I without many curves. 69
3.22. Route-II with some curves and turns. 70
3.23. Results of the *Same Direction Test*. 71
3.24. Accuracy of the recognised plates for varying distances during daytime, in scenarios involving motion. 71

4.1. Functional overview of the application - Step one. 76
4.2. Functional overview of the application - Step two. 76
4.3. Functional overview of the application - Step three. 77
4.4. State diagram of the Server and Client. 78
4.5. Same Direction Test. 82
4.6. Ahead Test. 82
4.7. Importance of the Same Lane Test. 83
4.8. Same Lane Test. 83
4.9. Limits of the *Same Lane Test*. 84
4.10. Theoretical analysis of the *Same Lane Test*. 84
4.11. The video transmission process: client-server message exchanges. 86
4.12. Our application working together with GRCBox. 87
4.13. The experiments with EYES in real scenario. 88
4.14. Variation of Peak Signal-to-Noise Ratio (PSNR) with Packet loss for H.264 and Motion JPEG (MJPEG). 90
4.15. Variation of Structural SIMilarity (SSIM) with Packet loss for H.264 and MJPEG. 91
4.16. Error due to delay. 92
4.17. Variation of throughput with JPEG quality for a 10 Frames Per Second (FPS) MJPEG video. 93
4.18. The delay comparisons for 320x240 MJPEG and H.264 video streams. 94
4.19. The delay comparisons for 640x480 MJPEG and H.264 video streams. 95
4.20. The delay comparisons for 1280x720 MJPEG and H.264 video streams. 95
4.21. Results of the Same Direction Test when both the vehicles were moving on the same lane and direction. 97
4.22. Angles measured by the Same Direction Test when both the vehicles were moving on the same lane and direction. 98
4.23. Definition of d_t and d_c. 98
4.24. Results of the Same Direction Test grouped according to the ratio of d_t/d_c when the vehicles are travelling in the same direction. . . 99
4.25. Angles measured by the Same Direction Test grouped according to the ratio of d_t/d_c when the vehicles are travelling in the same direction. 100
4.26. Result from experiments with the Ahead Test when one vehicle is ahead of the other. 100
4.27. Result from experiments with the Ahead Test grouped according to the ratio of d_t/d_c when one vehicle is ahead of the other. 101
4.28. Result from experiments with the Same Lane Test when both vehicles were on the same lane. 102
4.29. Result from experiments with the Same Lane Test grouped according to the ratio of d_t/d_c when both vehicles were on the same lane. 103
4.30. Result from experiments with the Same Lane Test when vehicles were travelling on different lanes. 104

4.31. Result from experiments with the Same Lane Test grouped according to the ratio of d_t/d_c when vehicles were on separate lanes. . . 104

List of Tables

3.1. GRCBox Representational State Transfer (REST) Application Programming Interface (API). 37
3.2. GRCBox Client Library. 38
3.3. GRCBox control delay. 41
3.4. GRCBox hop delay. 41
3.5. Comparison of actual distance with calculations using equation (3.5). 64

4.1. Messages exchanged between the Server and Client. 80