

Protocol to manage heritage- building interventions using Heritage Building Information Modelling (HBIM)

Student:

Isabel Jordán Palomar

Supervisors:

Prof. Jorge Luis García Valdecabres

Prof. Eugenio Pellicer Armiñana

Prof. Patricia Tzortzopoulos

Doctoral program in Building Engineering

Universitat Politècnica de València

University of Huddersfield



INDEX

ABSTRACT	1
RESUMEN	2
RESUM	3
1 INTRODUCTION	5
1.1 Research context	5
1.1.1 Research topic	5
1.1.2 Heritage projects to enhance the economy	5
1.1.3 Heritage ORGANIZATIONS demand more efficient management systems	6
1.2 Justification of the research	7
1.3 The research problem	8
1.4 Aim and objectives	8
1.5 Contribution to the body of knowledge	9
1.6 Brief description of the methodological approach	10
1.7 Structure of the thesis	11
2 LITERATURE SYNTHESIS	12
2.1 Existing buildings and heritage buildings	12
2.2 Heritage preservation	13
2.3 Building information modeling (BIM)	14
2.4 Existing Building information modeling (EBIM)	16
2.5 Heritage Building information modeling (HBIM)	17
2.5.1 HBIM benefits	22
2.5.2 HBIM issues	23
2.6 Existing HBIM protocols, methods, and guides	24
2.6.1 Discussion of the existing protocols	29
2.6.1.1 Benefits	29
2.6.1.2 Drawbacks	29
2.7 HBIM platforms	30
2.7.1 Discussion of the existing platforms	33

2.7.1.1	Benefits	33
2.7.1.2	Drawbacks	33
3	RESEARCH METHOD	34
3.1	Categories of Sciences	34
3.2	Design science research	34
3.2.1	Design Science Research philosophical approach	34
3.2.2	Design Science Research methodological approach	36
3.2.3	Reasons why Design Science Research was chosen as research method	39
3.3	Research Design	39
3.3.1	Phase 1	42
3.3.2	Phase 2	46
3.3.3	Phase 3	51
3.4	Journey of ethical compliance	51
4	FINDINGS AND ANALYSIS	52
4.1	PHASE 1	52
4.1.1	Analysis of two preliminary case studies	53
4.1.1.1	Sagrada Familia Temple of Barcelona	53
4.1.1.2	Cathedral of Santa María of Vitoria-Gasteiz	55
4.1.2	List of heritage stakeholders	58
4.1.3	List of heritage buildings management needs	61
4.1.4	List of requirements to implement HBIM	63
4.1.4.1	List of requirements to implement HBIM from the literature review	63
4.1.4.2	List of requirements to implement HBIM from the HBIM professional experience	66
4.1.5	Process of design BIMlegacy protocol-1	68
4.1.6	Case study 1: Fixby hall	77
4.1.6.1	Case study description and objectives	77
4.1.6.2	Research process of Fixby Hall case study	78
4.1.6.3	Fixby Hall findings	79
4.1.6.4	Conclusions and recommendations	87
4.1.7	Workshop to evaluate BIMlegacy protocol-1	88
4.2	PHASE 2	92
4.2.1	Changes performed between BIMlegacy protocol version 1 and 2	92
4.2.2	BIMlegacy protocol-2: design process	93
4.2.3	BIMlegacy platform	100
4.2.3.1	Platform architecture	101
4.2.3.2	BIMlegacy interface	102
4.2.3.3	BIMlegacy workflow	103
4.2.3.4	Database fields of the platform	104
4.2.3.5	BIMlegacy user tests	107
4.2.3.6	Modelling files of BIMlegacy	107
4.2.4	Case study 2: San Juan project	107

4.2.4.1	Case study description	108
4.2.4.2	Case study justification	110
4.2.4.3	Case study objectives	110
4.2.4.4	Research process of San Juan case study	111
4.2.4.5	San Juan findings	111
4.2.4.6	Interviews to San Juan stakeholders	129
4.2.4.7	Conclusions and recommendations	131
4.2.5	Focus group to evaluate BIMlegacy protocol and platform-2	133
4.3	PHASE 3	136
4.3.1	Changes performed between BIMlegacy protocol 2 and 3	136
4.3.2	BIMlegacy protocol-3	140
4.3.2.1	General description	140
4.3.2.2	Description of the steps of BIMlegacy protocol	143
4.3.2.3	BIMlegacy layer C	153
4.3.3	Changes performed in BIMlegacy platform	172
4.4	Discussion	175
4.4.1	BIMlegacy protocol benefits	175
4.4.1.1	Non-technical stakeholders in BIMlegacy protocol	175
4.4.1.2	Unification of information	175
4.4.1.3	Documentation in BIMlegacy protocol	176
4.4.1.4	CDE in BIMlegacy protocol	176
4.4.1.5	Preservative maintenance in BIMlegacy protocol	176
4.4.1.6	Modelling guidance	176
4.4.1.7	Historical phases in BIMlegacy protocol	177
4.4.1.8	4D and 5D within BIMlegacy protocol	177
4.4.2	BIMlegacy protocol issues	177
4.4.2.1	Model property and maintenance	177
4.4.2.2	Governments slow HBIM adoption	177
4.4.3	BIMlegacy platform benefits	178
4.4.3.1	Divergent stakeholders working together	178
4.4.3.2	Heritage documentation improved	179
4.4.3.3	Modelling issues improved	179
4.4.3.4	Construction budget	179
4.4.4	BIMlegacy platform issues	179
4.4.4.1	Missing heritage stakeholders	180
4.4.4.2	BIMlegacy documentation	180
4.4.4.3	BIMlegacy interoperability	180
4.4.4.4	LOD in BIMlegacy	180
4.4.4.5	Poor BIM training	180
4.4.4.6	Point cloud technology	180
5	CONCLUSIONS	181
5.1	Summary	181
5.2	Achievement of goals	182
5.3	Contributions	184

5.3.1	Contributions to knowledge	184
5.3.2	Contributions to practise/ Technical and managerial implications or recommendations	186
5.4	Applicability of BIMlegacy protocol and platform in practice	187
5.5	Limitations	188
5.6	Future research	189
6	ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	191
7	REFERENCES	192
8	ANNEX 1: RESEARCH LEARNING	204
8.1	Research philoshopy	204
8.1.1	Ontology	205
8.1.2	Epistemology	206
8.2	Research strategies/approach	206
8.2.1	Quantitative research	206
8.2.2	Qualitative research	206
8.2.3	Mixed methods/triangulation research	207
9	ANNEX 2. GRAPHIC ANNEX OF SAN JUAN CASE STUDY	208
10	ANNEX 3. QUALITATIVE DATA ANALYSIS USING NVIVO	209