

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1	Motivation . . . . .	2
1.2	Objectives . . . . .	8
1.3	Organization of this thesis . . . . .	10
<b>2</b>	<b>State of the Art</b>	<b>11</b>
2.1	Introduction . . . . .	12
2.1.1	Real-time systems . . . . .	12
2.2	Scheduling policies in real-time systems . . . . .	14
2.2.1	Static schedulers . . . . .	16
2.2.2	Dynamic schedulers . . . . .	17
2.2.2.1	Fixed priority based schedulers . . . . .	17
2.2.2.2	Dynamic priority based schedulers . . . . .	19
2.2.3	Multiprocessor scheduling . . . . .	22
2.3	Partitioned systems . . . . .	27
2.4	Hierarchical scheduling . . . . .	30
2.5	Mixed criticality systems . . . . .	32
2.6	Energy savings policies . . . . .	35
2.7	Virtualization . . . . .	42
2.8	Certification aspects in critical real-time systems . . . . .	45
2.9	Conclusions . . . . .	49

## CONTENTS

---

<b>3</b>	<b>Generation of offline plans in real-time partitioned systems.</b>	<b>51</b>
3.1	Introduction and objectives . . . . .	53
3.2	Model and notation . . . . .	55
3.2.1	Supply bound function . . . . .	56
3.3	Schedulable CPU supply functions . . . . .	59
3.3.1	Schedulable $\text{sbf}_\tau(t)$ based on $G(t)$ . . . . .	59
3.3.1.1	Example of $\text{gsbf}_\tau(t)$ use . . . . .	65
3.3.2	Schedulable $\text{sbf}_\tau(t)$ based on $\text{dbf}_\tau(t)$ . . . . .	66
3.3.2.1	Example of $\text{msbf}_\tau(t)$ use . . . . .	74
3.4	Schedulable areas . . . . .	77
3.4.1	ZONE 1: CPU supply $R$ greater than $\text{gsbf}_\tau(t)$ and, consequently, $\text{msbf}_\tau(t)$ . . . . .	78
3.4.2	ZONE 2: CPU supply $R$ between $\text{msbf}_\tau(t)$ and $\text{gsbf}_\tau(t)$ . . . . .	79
3.4.3	ZONE 3: CPU supply $R$ less than $\text{msbf}_\tau(t)$ . . . . .	81
3.5	Schedulability analysis . . . . .	84
3.6	Experimental results . . . . .	90
3.6.1	Utilities of $\text{msbf}_\tau(t)$ applied to partitioned systems . . . . .	93
3.6.2	Comparison of the minimum CPU supply function with other similar methods . . . . .	95
3.7	Conclusions . . . . .	98
<b>4</b>	<b>Energy saving techniques in partitioned systems</b>	<b>99</b>
4.1	Introduction and objectives . . . . .	101
4.2	Assumptions and model . . . . .	102
4.2.1	Vestal model and MCS state of the art . . . . .	102
4.2.2	Task model proposed . . . . .	105
4.2.3	Power model . . . . .	108
4.3	Energy Efficient Partition Allocator . . . . .	109
4.3.1	Energy efficient partition allocation in non MCS . . . . .	109
4.3.1.1	Energy efficient partition allocation algorithm . . . . .	109
4.3.1.2	Example . . . . .	113
4.3.2	Energy efficient partition allocation in MCS . . . . .	114
4.3.2.1	Example . . . . .	116

## CONTENTS

---

4.4	Simulation process . . . . .	119
4.4.1	Comparison of allocation methods in non MCS . . . . .	120
4.4.2	Energy saving and performance loss in MCS . . . . .	125
4.5	Practical application: Xoncrete . . . . .	129
4.5.1	Introduction . . . . .	129
4.5.2	Description of the previous state . . . . .	129
4.5.3	Modifications in the tool . . . . .	131
4.5.4	Use case . . . . .	132
4.6	Theoretical energy characterization . . . . .	144
4.6.1	Relation between time and frequency . . . . .	146
4.6.1.1	Linear approximation . . . . .	147
4.6.1.2	Non-linear approximation . . . . .	147
4.6.2	Energy-Time-Frequency relation . . . . .	148
4.6.3	Optimal energy consumption through mathematical analysis	150
4.6.3.1	Linear relation. . . . .	150
4.6.3.2	Non-linear relation. . . . .	154
4.6.3.3	Comparison between linear and non-linear ap- proximation. . . . .	156
4.7	Conclusions . . . . .	157
<b>5</b>	<b>Conclusions</b>	<b>159</b>
5.1	Developments and Achievements . . . . .	161
5.2	Future Work . . . . .	164
5.3	Publications and Projects . . . . .	166
5.3.1	Journals . . . . .	166
5.3.2	International Conferences . . . . .	167
5.3.3	National Conferences . . . . .	168
5.3.4	Projects, Scholarships and Research Stay . . . . .	169
	<b>Appendices</b>	<b>171</b>
A	Supplementary calculation . . . . .	173
	<b>Glossary</b>	<b>177</b>

## **CONTENTS**

---

<b>List of Figures</b>	<b>181</b>
<b>List of Tables</b>	<b>185</b>
<b>Bibliography</b>	<b>187</b>