

Document downloaded from:

<http://hdl.handle.net/10251/156503>

This paper must be cited as:

Berbegal, C.; Polo, L.; García Esparza, MJ.; Lizama Abad, V.; Ferrer, S.; Pardo, I. (2019). Immobilisation of yeasts on oak chips or cellulose powder for use in bottle-fermented sparkling wine. *Food Microbiology*. 78:25-37. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fm.2018.09.016>



The final publication is available at

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fm.2018.09.016>

Copyright Elsevier

Additional Information

1 **Immobilisation of yeasts on oak chips or cellulose powder for**
2 **use in bottle-fermented sparkling wine**

3

4 Carmen Berbegal¹, Lucía Polo¹, M^a José García-Esparza², Victoria Lizama², Sergi Ferrer¹
5 and Isabel Pardo^{1*}.

6

7 ¹ENOLAB, Estructura de Recerca Interdisciplinar (ERI) BioTecMed and Departament de
8 Microbiologia i Ecologia. Universitat de València. c/ Dr. Moliner 50. 46100-Burjassot.
9 València. Spain.

10 ²Departamento de Tecnología de los Alimentos. Universidad Politécnica de Valencia.
11 Camino de Vera s.n., 46022-Valencia, Spain.

12

13 *Corresponding author

14 Email: Isabel.Pardo@uv.es

15

16 Other authors' Emails:

17 Carmen Berbegal: Carmen.Berbegal@uv.es

18 Lucía Polo: Lucia.Polo@uv.es

19 M^a José García Esparza: mesparza@tal.upv.es

20 M^a Victoria Lizama: vlizama@tal.upv.es

21 Sergi Ferrer: Sergi.Ferrer@uv.es

22

23

24 **Abstract**

25 Sparkling wine production comprises two successive fermentations performed
26 by *Sacharomyces cerevisiae* strains. This research aimed to: develop yeast
27 immobilisation processes on two wine-compatible supports; study the effects of yeast
28 type (IOC 18-2007 and 55A) and the immobilisation support type (oak chips and
29 cellulose powder) on the fermentation kinetics, the deposition rate of lees and the
30 volatile composition of the finished sparkling wine; compare the fermentation
31 parameters of the wines inoculated with immobilised or non-immobilised cells. Proper
32 immobilisation of yeast on oak chips and cellulose powder was demonstrated by
33 electron microscopy. Total sugar consumption occurred in under 60 days in all bottles,
34 regardless of the strain used and the way they were inoculated in wine. Deposition of
35 lees was 3-fold faster in the bottles containing immobilised cells than in those with free
36 cells; no addition of adjuvants was necessary. The analysis of the volatile compounds of
37 the finished sparkling wines showed significant differences in the formation of esters,
38 acids, alcohols, aldehydes and lactones according to the yeast and the immobilisation
39 support used. Oak chips were the more appropriate support for yeast immobilisation. No
40 significant differences in the sensorial analysis of the sparkling wines produced by the
41 different strategies were found.

42

43

44 **Keywords:** *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, sparkling wine, immobilisation, oak chips,
45 cellulose.

46 **1. Introduction**

47 Sparkling wine production requires two successive alcoholic fermentations (AF). Firstof
48 all, fermentation is regular white winemaking that results in a dried wine to be bottled.
49 A mixture of sugars and yeasts (*tirage liqueur*) and riddling agents is added to each
50 bottle to perform a second fermentation inside capped bottles. Given sugar fermentation
51 by yeast, the resulting wines are higher in ethanol and contain dissolved CO₂. The
52 second fermentation is followed by an ageing period in which wine comes into contact
53 with dead yeast cells (Kemp et al., 2015). High quality sparkling wines, such as
54 Champagne (France), Cava (Spain) or Talento (Italy), are fermented in closed bottles
55 following the traditional or “champenoise” method, and remain in contact with yeast
56 lees in a bottle for several months and even for years (Buxaderas and López-Tamames,
57 2012). Ageing is followed by the riddling process to move proteins and yeast sediments
58 to the neck of the bottle (Torresi et al., 2011). The gradual and controlled turning of
59 slanted and inverted bottles brings yeasts and adjuvants together towards the neck of the
60 bottle (Jeandet et al., 2000). In recent years, the riddling time has been cut to 2-4 days
61 with automated riddling machines called gyropalettes that hold 504 bottles per cage
62 (Jeandet et al., 2000). Disgorging is the process followed to remove the yeast sediment
63 and adjuvants from bottles (Kemp et al., 2015). It is currently performed by inserting
64 the neck of the bottle into a glycol or calcium chloride solution, which freezes (-25°C)
65 the yeast sediment. Bottles are then picked up and quickly placed neck up; the crown
66 cap is removed, and pressure ejects the iced sediment (Garofalo et al., 2016; Torresi et
67 al., 2011).

68 The second fermentation and ageing lees completely change the organoleptic
69 properties of the base wine, and confer the sparkling wine its characteristic aroma,
70 flavour, foamability and roundness. During the ageing process, dead yeasts undergo

71 autolysis and release their cell components to wine, with mannoproteins and proteins
72 among them (Kemp et al., 2015; Martínez-Lapuente et al., 2015a; Pozo-Bayón et al.,
73 2010; Velázquez et al., 2016). Winemakers generally use active commercial dried yeast
74 to perform the second fermentation. Sometimes the yeast used for this secondary
75 fermentation is the same as that employed for the first fermentation but, invariably, this
76 yeast must be chosen for its ability to ferment high-acidity and low-pH wines, and it
77 must be ethanol-tolerant (Garofalo et al., 2016; Pozo-Bayón et al., 2009). Since yeasts
78 must be removed once the second fermentation has been completed, the use of
79 flocculation yeasts can improve their sedimentation in bottles. Apart from this
80 technological advantage, the ability to floccule confers yeast greater resistance to the
81 stressful conditions inside bottles (Nedović et al., 2015). Yeast cells capable of
82 flocculating appear more resistant to ethanol, peroxide, high temperature or antibiotic
83 exposure (Smukalla et al., 2008; Zhao et al., 2012). Flocculation apparently represents a
84 community behaviour in which aggregated cells are physically protected from stress by
85 an outer layer of sacrificial cells (Nedović et al., 2015). Some companies have
86 developed “agglomerated yeast” which, apart from being more resistant to the second
87 fermentation conditions, avoids using riddling agents (Pozo-Bayón et al., 2003).
88 Bentonite is the most widespread riddling agent. This clay efficiently removes proteins
89 from wine thanks to its negatively charged surface that attracts and binds the positively
90 charged proteins of the grape must (Lambri et al., 2012; Vanrell et al., 2007). Some
91 other riddling agents can contain potassium alginate. This polysaccharide forms an
92 anionic gel under acidic conditions that becomes a very stable gel in the presence of
93 calcium cation, which enables the rapid formation of film type sediments that allow
94 quicker riddling (Kemp et al., 2015). An alternative is to use riddling agents, and some
95 authors have developed techniques to encapsulate or immobilise yeasts. The first

96 applications of immobilised yeasts were performed in κ -carrageenan by Wada et al.
97 (1979), and in sodium alginate by Veliky and Williams (1981). Over the years,
98 immobilised yeasts have been frequently studied in sparkling wine production, and the
99 first commercial application was reported by Fumi et al. (1987). Despite many
100 immobilisation supports having been suggested for winemaking applications, the
101 industrial use of this technology remains uncertain (Kourkoutas et al., 2004). Other
102 supports used to immobilise yeasts employed for wine, beer or bioethanol production
103 are alginate beads, DEAE-cellulose, delignified-cellulose, wood, sawdust, gluten
104 pellets, apple pieces, grape skins, polygorskite, montmorillonite, hydromica, porous
105 porcelain, porous glass, lightly cross-linked poly-acrylic acid, and even the hyphae of
106 the fungus *Penicillium chrysogenum* (Kourkoutas et al., 2004; Peinado et al., 2006;
107 Sroka et al., 2017). According to Mantaluta et al. (2011), the immobilisation of yeasts
108 by including gellan gum beads results in the production of transparent wines and allows
109 riddling stages to be done away with. In addition, immobilisation techniques provide
110 protection to yeast, and in a similar way to flocculation (Kemp et al., 2015). As regards
111 the effect of immobilisation on the final characteristics of beverages, Puig-Pujol et al.
112 (2013) have revealed that no relevant oenological and sensorial differences exist among
113 the sparkling wines produced by *S. cerevisiae* yeast immobilised on biocapsules or
114 calcium alginate beads, and those fermented by free cells.

115 Our research objectives were to initially develop yeast immobilisation processes on two
116 wine-compatible supports: oak chips and cellulose powder; secondly, to study the
117 effects of type of yeast and type of immobilisation support on the fermentation kinetics,
118 the deposition rate of lees and the volatile composition of finished sparkling wine;
119 finally, to compare the fermentation parameters of the wines inoculated with
120 immobilised or non-immobilised cells.

121

122 **2. Material and Methods**

123 2.1 Microorganisms and immobilisation supports

124 Two different *S. cerevisiae* yeast strains were used to inoculate base wines: the *S.*
125 *cerevisiae* strain Enolab55A (55A) isolated from an organic Spanish wine from Utiel-
126 Requena D.O.P. in Spain; the commercial yeast *prise de mousse* IOC18-2007 (Institute
127 OEnologique de Champagne). The used immobilisation supports were oak chips Spirit
128 NATURE, (Agrovin S.A.) and cellulose powder Radicel 200 (Agrovin S.A).

129 2.2 Yeast immobilisation

130 Yeast strains 55A and IOC 18-2007 were cultured in liquid GPY culture (4%
131 glucose [Panreac, Madrid], 0.5% peptone [Oxoid, Valladolid], and 0.5% yeast extract
132 [Pronadisa, Madrid]) to reach 2×10^8 colony-forming units (CFU)/mL. The culture was
133 centrifuged at $6,842 \times g$ for 15 min (Heraeus Multifuge 1 S-R) and the supernatant was
134 removed. A mixture composed of 7% (w/w) cell biomass, 15% (w/w) oak chips or
135 cellulose powder and 78% (w/w) cryoprotectant (15% w/v glucose, Panreac) was
136 incubated with stirring (70 rpm) for 30 min to encourage yeasts to adhere to the support.
137 Wheat starch (Fluka, Germany), 8% in water, was prepared and heated to 90°C to
138 obtain gel consistency, cooled to 45°C and was added to the cells and oak
139 chips/cellulose powder mixture. Then preparations were frozen at -20°C for 6 h and
140 lyophilised for 27 h under vacuum conditions (15.9 millitorrs) in a Virtis Sentry equipe.
141 The lyophilised preparations were stored at 4°C in the dark and were sheltered from air.
142 The efficacy of the yeast immobilisation on supports after lyophilisation was checked
143 under an electron microscopy. The chips with starch-coated immobilised yeast were

144 gold-covered for 2 min by a Balzers SCD 004 sputter coater and were then examined
145 under a JSM-6300 scanning electron microscope.

146 2.3 Estimating the immobilised viable cell concentration

147 *S. cerevisiae* viable cell counts per gram of the concentrate obtained after
148 centrifugation (CFU/g) were obtained by serial dilutions on GPYA (Belloch et al.,
149 1998). The number of yeast cells per gram of immobilised preparation was calculated
150 by taking into account the total wet weight of the set (yeast, oak chips/cellulose powder
151 and starch gel). After lyophilisation, the percentage of viable and dead cells was
152 calculated by the LIVE/DEAD BacLight Bacterial Viability Kit (Invitrogen). To
153 calculate these percentages, 0.1 g of immobilised yeasts was resuspended in 100 µL of
154 distilled water and 0.3 µL of the mix (1v solution A: 1v solution B of the LIVE/DEAD
155 BacLight Bacterial Viability Kit) was added to the preparation. The mixture was
156 incubated for 20 min in the dark and was then observed at 1000X with immersion oil
157 under a fluorescence microscope (Leica). Viable cells emitted green fluorescence,
158 whereas dead cells emitted red fluorescence. By considering the percentages of the
159 viable/death cells of the analysed suspension, the number of viable/death cells per gram
160 of immobilised yeasts was calculated.

161 2.4 Sparkling wine production and sampling times

162 All the vinification trials were run in a base wine that consisted in a coupage of
163 80% Macabeo and 20% Chardonnay wines at the Bodega Dominio de la Vega winery
164 S.L. (D.O.P. Utiel-Requena, Spain). The second fermentation was performed by the
165 traditional *Champenoise* method (inside capped bottles), according to EU and Spanish
166 government specifications (BOE-189278, 1991; EEC-358/79, 1979). Base wine (1 g/L
167 reducing sugars; 11% ethanol v/v; pH: 3.15, total acidity 8.50 g/L; expressed as tartaric

168 acid; volatile acidity 0.16 g/L, expressed as acetic acid) was distributed (135 bottles) in
169 transparent glass bottles (45 bottles/experiment). The *tirage* liqueur (12 g/L glucose and
170 12 g/L of fructose) and the immobilised oak chips/cellulose powder yeasts (1 g/L), or a
171 free cell yeast culture (2×10^6 CFU/mL) grown in GPY (Belloch et al., 1998), were
172 added. Besides, 1 g/L of the oak chips/cellulose powder without yeast cells was added
173 to some bottles that were taken as the non-inoculated controls. No riddling agents were
174 added. Bottles were kept at 11-13°C and at a relative humidity of 75-85% for 9 months.
175 Each week during the first month and at the end of the second month of ageing, three
176 bottles per experiment were opened to determine the residual sugars and ethanol
177 concentrations in order to know the evolution of the second alcoholic fermentation.
178 After 9 months, yeast sediment deposition efficiency was measured by considering the
179 total time that the gyropalette required to make wines transparent. The volatile
180 composition of the resulting sparkling wines was determined at the end of the ageing
181 period (9 months). Before the analysis, bottles were riddled and disgorged. Brut nature
182 sparkling wines were obtained and no expedition liqueur was added. The experiments
183 were performed in triplicate.

184 2.5 Analytical methods

185 Glucose, fructose and ethanol contents were quantified by High Pressure Liquid
186 Chromatography (HPLC) (Agilent series 1200), equipped with an isocratic pump
187 (Agilent G1310A), following the procedure described by Frayne (1986) with minor
188 modifications. The mobile phase consisted of a solution of 0.75 mL of 85% H_3PO_4 per
189 litre of deionised water at a flow rate of 0.7 mL/min. An Agilent G1322A degasser was
190 employed. Samples (5 μ L) were automatically injected (Agilent G1367B). Components
191 were separated in an Aminex HPX-87H precolumn (Bio-Rad) coupled with two ion
192 exclusion columns of 300 mm by 7.8 mm, Aminex HPX-87H (Bio-Rad), which were

193 thermostatically controlled at 65°C (Agilent G1316A). Compounds were detected by a
194 G1314B variable-wavelength detector (Agilent) set at 210 nm and a refractive index
195 detector (Agilent G1362A) set in series. The elution time was 45 min. External
196 calibration was performed with reference standards of glucose, fructose and ethanol. All
197 the samples were centrifuged at 6,000 g for 10 min. Then the supernatant was filtered
198 through a membrane filter with a mean pore size of 0.22 µm before injection.
199 Quantification was performed by measuring the peak height compared to those of the
200 external standards.

201 The analytical methods recommended by the OIV were used to determine
202 titratable acidity and volatile acidity (OIV, 2009a). pH was determined by a HANNA
203 Instruments HI 8424 pH meter. Foaming, proteins and polysaccharides measurements
204 were taken as Esteruelas et al. (2014) described.

205 2.5 Volatile aroma compound analysis

206 Volatile compounds were analysed by the procedure proposed by Ortega, Lopez,
207 Cacho and Ferreira (2001) with slight modifications. A volume of 2.7 mL of the
208 samples was transferred to a 10-mL screw-capped centrifuge tube that contained 4.05 g
209 of ammonium sulphate (Panreac, Barcelona) to which the following compounds were
210 added: 6.3 mL of milliQ water (Panreac), 20 µL of a standard internal solution (2-
211 butanol, 4-methyl-2-pentanol and 2-octanol from Aldrich, at 140 µg/mL each, in
212 absolute ethanol from LiChrosolv-Merck), and 0.25 mL of dichloromethane
213 (LiChrosolv-Merck) The tube was shaken mechanically for 120 min and was later
214 centrifuged at 2,900 g for 15 min. The dichloromethane phase was recovered with a 0.5-
215 mL syringe, transferred to the autosampler phial and analysed. The chromatographic
216 analysis was carried out in a HP-6890, equipped with a ZB-Wax plus column (60 m x

217 0.25 mm x 0.25 μ m) from Phenomenex. The column temperature, initially set at 40°C
218 and maintained at this temperature for 5 min, was then raised to 102°C at a rate of
219 4°C/min to 112°C at a rate of 2°C/min, to 125°C at a rate of 3°C/min and this
220 temperature was maintained for 5 min and then raised to 160°C at a rate of 3°C/min; to
221 200°C at a rate of 6°C/min and was then kept at this temperature for 30 min. The carrier
222 gas was helium, which was fluxed at rate of 3 mL/min. The injection was done in the
223 split mode 1:20 (injection volume 2 μ L) with a flame-ionisation-detector (FID detector).

224 In addition, Kovats retention indices (KI) were calculated for the GC peaks
225 corresponding to identify substance by the interpolation of the retention time of normal
226 alkane (C8 –C20) by Fluka Buchs, Schwiez, Switzerland), analysed under the same
227 chromatographic condition. The calculated KI were compared with those reported in the
228 literature for the same stationary phase .

229 2.6 Sensory analysis

230 A sensory analysis of the resulting sparkling wines was done by a panel of 16
231 experts. The visual, aroma, and flavour characteristics were analyzed according to the
232 score sheet for sparkling and pearl wines, as published by the OIV (2009b). The
233 intensity of each attribute was rated on a scale from 0 to 10 with indented anchor points
234 of ‘low’ and ‘high’, respectively.

235 2.7 Statistical analysis

236 Final residual sugar, ethanol, total and volatile acidities, pH, volatile aroma, the total
237 polysaccharide and protein contents and foam properties of cava wines were statistically
238 analysed with the Statgraphic Plus 5.1. software. ANOVA and discriminant analyses
239 were employed. The statistical significance of each considered factor was calculated at
240 $\alpha = 0.05$ by the Student’s *t*-test.

241 3. Results and Discussion

242 3.1 Cell immobilisation and cell viability

243 Adherence of both 55A and IOC 18-2007 cells to oak chips and cellulose
244 powder after lyophilisation was confirmed by electron microscopy. As shown in Figure
245 1, *S. cerevisiae* cells adhered to the surfaces of oak chips/cellulose powder and appeared
246 to be coated with the polysaccharide layer of starch. The cells immobilised on the
247 supports retained their shape, and did not shrink after the process. The number of viable
248 yeasts per gram of immobilised culture was $1.80 \times 10^9 \pm 1.01 \times 10^9$ CFU/mL and
249 $1.96 \times 10^9 \pm 1.13 \times 10^9$ CFU/mL, respectively, for strains IOC 18-2007 and 55A on oak
250 chips, and $1.60 \times 10^9 \pm 6.85 \times 10^8$ CFU/mL and $2.10 \times 10^9 \pm 1.30 \times 10^9$ CFU/mL, respectively,
251 for strains IOC 18-2007 and 55A on cellulose powder. In all cases, cells retained a
252 viability over 86% after the lyophilisation process. No significant differences ($p=0.988$)
253 in viability after lyophilisation between strains or immobilisation supports were found.
254 Lyophilisation was used here for a twofold purpose: to promote cell adhesion to the
255 solid support and to preserve yeast over time. Lyophilisation is a method to preserve
256 food, and mainly microorganisms (Berny and Hennebert, 1991; Gehrke et al., 1992). In
257 accordance with Bekatorou et al. (2001) and with Kandylis et al. (2010) the results
258 showed that the lyophilisation technique was suitable for cell immobilisation and
259 preservation. The supports provided a dry mass by protecting living cells biochemically
260 against damage during freeze-drying (Kourkoutas et al., 2004). In addition to the
261 protective effect, lyophilisation protects cell preparation from contamination or
262 infestation during storage, ensures long viability and makes biocatalyst distribution easy
263 (Kandylis et al., 2010).

264 The advantage of using starch-coated oak chips/cellulose powder is that these
265 materials are allowed in winemaking, unlike other used previously materials (alginate,
266 silica, polyacrylamide, apple, etc.). (Callone et al., 2008; Fumi et al., 1987; Kourkoutas
267 et al., 2006; Rossi and Clementi, 1984). The unique flavour profile of fermented wines
268 can be attributed to the biochemical activities that take place in the yeast cell during
269 fermentation (Lodolo et al., 2008). High volumetric productivities of aroma and other
270 metabolites with high volumetric cell densities can be achieved by packing cells into a
271 small defined volume by either entrapment within a carrier matrix or adsorption onto
272 the surface of a porous material. This approach is known as immobilised cell
273 technology and has been widely investigated since halfway through the 20th century and
274 designed for different stages in the fermentations of alcoholic beverages (Nedović et al.,
275 2015). A main criterion for the successful application of cell immobilisation for
276 bioflavour production is to choose a suitable carrier material since a number of factors
277 should be taken into account, namely safety, legality, stability, product quality and
278 operating costs (Nedović et al., 2015).

279 3.2 Kinetics of sugar consumption and ethanol production in capped bottles

280 The kinetics of glucose and fructose consumption and the kinetics of ethanol
281 production during the second fermentation were recorded to know if differences
282 between immobilised/free state of the cells, between both yeasts and between types of
283 supports, existed. The final sugar and ethanol concentrations were similar in the
284 sparkling wines fermented with the free cells or those immobilised on oak
285 chips/cellulose powder cells, regardless of the yeast strain used; glucose and fructose
286 were consumed in under 60 days and ethanol increased by 1.5% (Figure 2). However,
287 sugar fermentation began 1 week later in the wines inoculated with immobilised cells,
288 regardless of the strain used. The differences in the fermentation kinetics exhibited by

289 the immobilised and free cells were bigger for strain IOC 18-2007, which exhibited a
290 higher sugar consumption and ethanol production rates in the free form, although the
291 maximum ethanol produced was similar (Figures 2A, 2C and 2E). Strain 55A in the
292 immobilised form started glucose consumption 1 week later than the free one (Figure
293 2B). Fructose consumption also showed a delay, but only when fermentation was
294 performed with the yeast immobilised on cellulose powder (Figure 2D). Nevertheless,
295 the final residual sugars (Fig. 2A, 2B, 2C and 2D) and ethanol contents (Fig. 2E and 2F)
296 showed no significant differences between the fermentations performed with
297 immobilised and free cells ($p= 0.8847$).

298 The second fermentation occurs under very particular conditions for yeasts; the
299 base wine presents high alcohol content, and not all strains can grow and ferment under
300 these conditions (Torresi et al., 2011). Low fermentation temperatures (12-18°C),
301 typical in sparkling wine making, slow down fermentation activity, but this is useful for
302 sparkling wines' quality improvement. Yeasts must tolerate more than 4-atm pressure,
303 low pH and high alcohol content conditions (Ribéreau-Gayon et al., 2003).

304 3.3 Efficiency of yeast sediment (lees) removal

305 In traditional sparkling wine production, lees removal is a very labour-intensive
306 and time-consuming process, and using immobilised yeasts could reduce and simplify
307 the riddling and disgorging procedures. During the riddling performed automatically
308 (for 48 h) with a gyropalette, the immobilised yeasts on oak chips/cellulose powder
309 settled in the neck of the bottles 3-fold faster than in the bottles that contained free cells.
310 No appreciable differences were found between the time needed for yeasts to settle,
311 regardless of whether they were immobilised on oak chips or cellulose powder.
312 Completely transparent wines were obtained without having to resort to riddling agents,

313 such as bentonite, which results in less manipulated and more natural products. These
314 results are in accordance with those reported by Mantaluta et al. (2011), although those
315 authors used another immobilisation support.

316 3.4 Chemical characteristics of sparkling wines

317 The total and volatile acidities and the pH values of sparkling wines 9 months after the
318 second fermentation began can be seen in Table 1. The less affected parameter was
319 wine pH, which was very similar in all wines, no matter what the condition used to
320 perform the second fermentation. However, a significant difference in the total acidity
321 of free cells-inoculated wines was found, and it was related to the type of yeast (Table
322 S1). The yeast strain is a key element that affects the quality of the product, which also
323 applies to sparkling wines, as already reported by some authors (Martí-Raga et al.,
324 2016; Martínez-Rodríguez et al., 2002). In our case, the IOC18-2007 strain provided
325 higher total acidity than 55A when inoculated in the free form. The IOC18-2007 strain
326 provided the highest total acidity when inoculated as free cells, and the lowest was
327 obtained when immobilised on oak chips. In contrast, the 55A strain provided the
328 highest total acidity when immobilised on cellulose powder, and the lowest when
329 inoculated as free cells (Table 1). The volatile acidity values (Table 1) of the different
330 sparkling wines were not significantly different, and neither the yeast nor the
331 inoculation strategies seemed to influence this parameter (Tables 1 and S1). These
332 results agree with those obtained by Silva et al. (2002), who observed that the
333 immobilisation of *S. cerevisiae* in Ca-alginate did not increase wine volatile acidity
334 compared to that of the free cells-inoculated wines.

335 3.5 Foaming properties and parameters related to yeast autolysis

336 It can be deduced from Figure 3 that no significant differences existed between
337 sparkling wine foamability (HM) and foam persistence (HS), and between their protein
338 concentrations, nor for *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* IOC18-2007 or *Saccharomyces*
339 *cerevisiae* 55A, regardless of the inoculation strategy used. Although no significant
340 differences were found in the foam parameters between the free yeast- and the
341 immobilised yeast-inoculated sparkling wines, those wines produced by oak chips-
342 immobilised IOC-2007 cells had a slightly higher HM and HS, and total protein values
343 (Figure 3). Strains IOC18-2007 and 55A gave sparkling wines whose HM, HS values
344 and protein content did not significantly differ (Table S2). Many papers have
345 corroborated the existence of a relationship between the concentration of proteins and
346 the quality of sparkling wines (Alexandre and Guilloux-Benatier, 2006; Brissonnet and
347 Maujean, 1993; Esteruelas et al., 2015; Pueyo et al., 1995). Most studies indicate a
348 positive correlation between protein concentration and maximum height or foamability
349 (HM) and foam stability (HS) (Malvy et al., 1994). Esteruelas et al. (2015) found that
350 intermediate and a low-molecular-weight protein fraction correlates positively with HM.

351 Polysaccharides come from the glucanes and mannoproteins present in the yeast cell
352 wall and are released from it during yeast autolysis. Polysaccharides contribute to the
353 mouth-feel properties of wine by providing ‘mellowness’ and body sensations, but can
354 also influence sparkling wine foam characteristics (Culbert et al., 2017; Gawel et al.,
355 2016; Vidal et al., 2004).

356 The total polysaccharides content of the sparkling wines fermented with strain 55A was
357 similar no matter what the inoculation strategy (Figure 3). In contrast, the sparkling
358 wines fermented with the oak chips-immobilised IOC18-2007 cells showed the lowest
359 total polysaccharides content. In this case, significant differences of this parameter were
360 found among the wines fermented by the different strategies. Genisheva et al. (2013)

361 found that *O. oeni* cells were more protected when immobilised on corncobs, grape
362 skins and grape stems than when free. Perhaps IOC18-2007 cells are more protected in
363 an oak chips support and result in lower autolysis and less polysaccharides release.
364 When comparing the influence of yeast type on the polysaccharides concentration of
365 sparkling wines, larger amounts were found in the wines fermented with strain 55A
366 regardless of the inoculation strategy used (Figure 3). Differences in autolysis abilities
367 have been one of the criteria used to select an appropriate second fermentation yeast
368 (Martínez-Rodríguez et al., 2001). Taking into account the higher polysaccharide
369 releasing by strain 55A and the fact that it exhibited similar fermentation dynamics to
370 commercial strain IOC18-2007, it would seem that yeast 55A is the best choice to
371 perform the second fermentation in such wines.

372 Although differences in polysaccharides content existed among wines, the influence of
373 these compounds on foam parameters HM and HS was null (Figure 3). Contradictory
374 results about the relationships between polysaccharides and foam have been published;
375 Moreno-Arribas et al. (2000) found that they correlated positively, whereas (Martínez-
376 Lapuente et al., 2015b) did not find any correlation. The higher polysaccharide content
377 of some wines does not result in differences in sensory characteristics, as we
378 corroborate later.

379 3.6 Volatile aroma analysis

380 Thirty-two volatile compounds were identified in sparkling wine. The concentrations of
381 these compounds differed in the wines fermented with immobilised or free cells, with
382 cells immobilised on oak chips or on cellulose powder, and with different yeast strains
383 (Table 2 and 3).

384 From the detected volatile compounds, only those with compound
385 concentrations/odour threshold value ratios (AOVs) above 1 contributed to the
386 sparkling wine aroma. Eight compounds (the free cells-fermented wines) and seven (in
387 both the oak chips- and cellulose powder-fermented wines) had AOVs above 1 with
388 strain IOC 18-2007, whereas the AOVs of nine (the free cells- and oak chips-fermented
389 wines) and eight compounds (the cellulose powder-fermented wines) went above 1 with
390 strain 55A.

391 Good volumetric productivities of aroma and other metabolites can be achieved with
392 high volumetric cell densities by packing cells into a small defined volume, either by
393 entrapment inside a carrier matrix or adsorption onto the surface of a porous material.
394 This approach is known as immobilised cell technology, has been widely investigated
395 since halfway through the past century and has been designed for different stages in the
396 fermentations of alcoholic beverages (Nedović et al., 2015)..

397 Alterations to cell growth, physiology and metabolic activity may be induced by cell
398 immobilisation, which influences flavour formation during fermentation processes.
399 Many studies have discussed these issues (Kregiel et al., 2013; Melzoch et al., 1994;
400 Norton and D'Amore, 1994; Walsh and Malone, 1995; Willaert and Nedovic, 2006).

401 The results in the present work agree with the above research works, and show that the
402 aromatic composition of the final wine depends on both the *S. cerevisiae* strain and the
403 inoculation strategy followed (in the free cells form, immobilised on oak chips or on
404 cellulose powder). With both immobilisation supports, the immobilisation with oak
405 chips was the best option as they generated more esters with a value of OAV > 1 with
406 both commercial strain IOC 18-2007 and *S. cerevisiae* 55A.

407

408 3.6.1 Influence of the way in which cells were added to base wines: free or immobilised

409 As deduced from Table 2, the way in which cells performed the second fermentation

410 (free or immobilised) affected the aromatic profile of sparkling wines. Thus

411 immobilisation, regardless of the substrate used, significantly affected the concentration

412 of 20 (in the wines fermented with IOC 18-2007) and 27 (in the wines fermented with

413 55A) of the 32 volatile compounds. No common pattern was deduced from the two

414 yeasts, and only diacetyl and 2-ethyl hexanoic acid were significantly higher in the

415 wines fermented with free cells than in those fermented with immobilised cells,

416 irrespectively of the strain used. The concentration of isopentanoic acid was higher in

417 the wines fermented with the IOC 18-2007 free cells than in those fermented with

418 immobilised ones, whereas the opposite occurred with yeast 55A. Benzyl alcohol and

419 cis-3-hexenol were found at lower concentrations in the wines fermented with the IOC

420 18-2007 free cells than in those fermented with immobilised ones, whereas the opposite

421 was observed with yeast 55A. When the total concentrations of the families of volatile

422 compounds were taken into account, more esters, fatty acids and alcohols were found in

423 the wines fermented with immobilised yeasts than in those fermented with free cells,

424 regardless of the strain used. Unlike we the authors believing that each volatile

425 compound having OIV>1 contributed to aroma, Cacho (2006) stated that the aromatic

426 profile of a wine is caused by families of odorants, and not by individual compounds,

427 because the effect of each component of an aromatic family can be additive or synergic.

428 As a general rule, a large amount of ester confers wine a fruitier aroma, whereas high

429 concentrations of fatty acids and higher alcohols (or fusel alcohols) contribute

430 negatively to aroma (Mingorance-Cazorla et al., 2003; Ubeda Iranzo et al., 2000). In

431 spite of the different concentrations of volatile compounds found in the different wines,

432 only those with OAVs above 1 contribute to wine aroma (Ferreira et al., 2002; Moyano

433 et al., 2002). By taking this into account, we detected that the compounds which
434 contributed to aroma were diacetyl, ethyl butyrate, ethyl hexanoate, ethyl octanoate,
435 ethyl decanoate, ethyl isovalerate, hexanoic, octanoic and decanoic acids, isoamyl
436 alcohol and 1-propanol. Diacetyl confers wines a buttery smell, which is more
437 noticeable in free cells fermented wines, ethyl esters of hexanoic, octanoic, decanoic
438 and isovaleric acids provide fruity and floral aromas, and some such as ethyl octanoate
439 (pineapple, pear, floral smell) contribute greatly to wine aroma as it scores the highest
440 OAVs (from 167 to 316). However, precursor acids hexanoic, octanoic and decanoic
441 confer fatty and rough notes to wines, but only the first two have a sensorial impact on
442 wines (with OAVs ranging between 4 and 9). Isoamyl alcohol confers a cheese aroma,
443 whereas 1-propanol provides fresh or alcoholic notes. The way in which the yeast was
444 added to the base wine (free or immobilised) affected the aroma of sparkling wines
445 because it influenced the concentrations of the above-described compound, although the
446 nature of the differences was strain-dependent. The sparkling wines fermented with the
447 free cells of yeast IOC 18-2007 contained diacetyl and ethyl hexanoate, which were not
448 detected in the wines fermented with immobilised cells. These compounds confer
449 butter, fruity and anise aromas. On the contrary, the ethyl octanoate, ethyl decanoate,
450 hexanoic acid, decanoic acid and isoamyl alcohol OAVs were higher in the immobilised
451 cells- than in the free-cells fermented wines. For yeast 55A, diacetyl, ethyl octanoate,
452 ethyl decanoate contributed more to aroma in the free cells-fermented wines than in the
453 immobilised cells-fermented ones. The presence of diacetyl in sparkling wine provides
454 butter aromas (Nielsen and Richelieu, 1999), as already said, but could be detrimental
455 if in excess (Clarke and Bakker, 2004). Conversely, ethyl butyrate, hexanoic and
456 octanoic acids, isoamyl alcohol and 1-propanol were more noticeable in the wines
457 fermented with immobilised cells. The presence of 1-propanol in moderate amounts can

458 confer pleasant aromas by contributing to the complexity of wine aroma (Ribéreau-
459 Gayon et al., 2003).

460 3.6.2 Influence of the substrate on which cells were immobilised

461 The type of substrate used to immobilise yeast cells affected the volatile
462 compounds. Thus diethyl succinate, diethyl glutarate, 2-phenethyl acetate, hexanoic,
463 octanoic isobutyric acid, and decanoic acids 2,3-butanediol, benzyl alcohol, and γ -
464 butyrolactone concentrations differed significantly between the wines fermented with
465 oak chips and cellulose powder-immobilised cells, regardless of the yeast strain used.
466 The concentrations of diacetyl, ethyl isovalerate, ethyl acetate, γ -butyrolactone and total
467 esters were higher in the wines fermented with oak chips-immobilised IOC 18-2007
468 yeast than in those fermented with cellulose powder-immobilised IOC 18-2007 cells,
469 whereas 2-phenylethanol, cis-3-hexenol, total aldehydes, total acids, and total alcohols
470 were lower in the former than in the latter. When considering that esters and lactones
471 are desired compounds in wine (Ferreira et al., 2004; Jarauta, 2004), yeast IOC108-
472 2007 immobilisation on oak chips provided better results than when immobilised on
473 cellulose powder. With yeast 55A, acetaldehyde, 5-methylfurfural, ethyl hexanoate,
474 pentanoic acid, γ -butyrolactone, total aldehydes and the total fatty acids concentrations
475 were higher in the oak chips-immobilised cells than in the cellulose powder-
476 immobilised cells, whereas benzaldehyde, ethyl butyrate, ethyl octanoate, isobutyl
477 acetate, hexyl acetate, ethyl lactate, 1-propanol, total esters, total alcohols were lower in
478 the former than in the latter. The formation of fatty acids by themselves is undesirable
479 (Ferreira et al., 2004; Jarauta, 2004), but they can be esterified with different alcohols to
480 form esters that contribute positively to the final aromatic profile. Alcohol production is
481 also essential, especially 2-phenylethanol formation. This is the only compound from

482 the alcohol group that contributes a pleasant aroma to wines (Hua and Xu, 2011).
483 Although no significant differences in esters content were found between the wines
484 fermented with cellulose powder or oak chips immobilised cells, the formation of
485 alcohols and acids was lower when strain 55A was used on oak chips. Moreover, the
486 lactone content was higher with oak chips than with cellulose powder, and provided
487 desirable toasted and caramel aromas (Robinson, 2011).

488 The volatile compounds with different concentrations in the wines fermented with oak
489 chips-immobilised or cellulose powder-immobilised cells and with AOVs higher than 1
490 were ethyl butyrate, ethyl hexanoate, ethyl decanoate, ethyl isovalerate, 2-phenyl
491 acetate, hexanoic and octanoic acids, isoamyl alcohol and 1-propanol. The variations in
492 these compounds were strain-dependent. Ethyl isovalerate had a very strong sensory
493 impact, but only when strain IOC 18-2007 was immobilised on oak chips, and not when
494 it was on cellulose powder. This compound provided a fruitier character to the wine
495 fermented with oak chips. In contrast, this compound did not contribute to aroma in any
496 of the wines fermented with strain 55A. Overall, the wines fermented with IOC 18-2007
497 immobilised on oak chips showed more esters with OAV > 1 than those produced with
498 cell immobilised on cellulose powder, which provided a fruitier aroma to sparkling
499 wines. Furthermore, acids (hexanoic and octanoic acids) and alcohols (isoamyl
500 alcohol) had an OAV > 1. These compounds are responsible for unpleasant odours;
501 therefore oak chips would be a better choice as the immobilisation support. With yeast
502 55A, the number of compounds with OAV > 1 was 9 in the wines fermented with oak
503 chips-immobilised cells and 7 in those fermented with cellulose powder-immobilised
504 cells. The contribution of esters (ethyl hexanoate, ethyl decanoate, 2-phenyl acetate) to
505 aroma was greater in the wines fermented with oak chips-immobilised cells, so using
506 this immobilisation system of obtain a better aroma is recommended, as in the case of

507 yeast IOC-2007. A discriminant analysis was done only with the volatile compounds
508 that significantly differentiated wines: cis-3-hexenol, isobutyric acid, benzaldehyde, γ -
509 butyrolactone, 2-ethylhexanoic acid, isopentanoic acid, 2,3-butanediol, 2-phenylethanol,
510 diethyl glutarate. The first discriminant function was fundamentally linked to
511 compounds isobutyric acid, γ -butyrolactone and diethyl glutarate. The second
512 discriminant function was related to 2-ethylhexanoic and isopentanoic acids. Wines
513 were grouped into three separate clusters according to the way in which they were
514 inoculated: with free cells, and with oak chips-immobilised and cellulose powder-
515 immobilised cells (Figure 4). López de Lerma et al. (2018) found that the sparkling
516 wines fermented with two different yeast strains that were inoculated as free cells,
517 alginate bed-immobilised cells and biocapsules-immobilised cells, were also grouped by
518 a PCA analysis into three clusters according to the inoculation strategy. They suggested
519 that, although some volatile compounds rely more on the yeast strain than on the
520 inoculation format, some specific aroma compounds were associated with the
521 immobilisation format.

522 Although controls were carried out to see the influence of immobilisation substrates on
523 the aroma of sparkling wines, this influence could not be deduced because those wines
524 fermented spontaneously due to the growth of some unknown yeast from the wine base.

525 3.6.3 Influence of yeast strain

526 Given the interaction detected between the way in which yeasts were added to the base
527 wine and the yeast strains, a comparison of volatile compound concentrations and a
528 statistical analysis to know the influence of the yeast strain were performed separately
529 for the free form, the oak chips immobilised form and for the cellulose powder
530 immobilised form. Regardless of the way in which cells were inoculated, the

531 concentrations of 9 of 32 compounds significantly differed between strains, whereas 6
532 others did not (methyl acetate, ethyl acetate, ethyl decanoate, and hexanoic, octanoic
533 and decanoic acids). When comparing the columns entitled “Free cells” in Table 2 it
534 appears that yeast IOC 18-2007 produced higher concentrations of methylfurfural, ethyl
535 esters (except for ethyl octanoate and ethyl decanoate), and diethyl succinate than 55A
536 (Table 2). This yeast also produced higher concentrations of fatty acids (except for
537 isobutyric hexanoic, octanoic and decanoic acids). A high concentration of medium-
538 chain fatty acids conferred rough notes to wines. Conversely, IOC 18-2007 synthesised
539 fewer alcohols (excepting 2-phenylethanol) and less γ -butyrolactone. Strain 55A
540 produced more diacetyl (buttery aroma), benzaldehyde, fewer esters, (except for diethyl
541 glutarate, ethyl octanoate, ethyl decanoate and esters hexyl, methyl and isobutyl of
542 acetate), more alcohols (save 2-phenylethanol), and more γ -butyrolactone than IOC 18-
543 2007. The yeast strain factor significantly affected the concentration of 17 of the 32
544 volatile compounds (Table 3). The majority of the significant differences between
545 yeasts are in the group of alcohols. In fact, total alcohol concentrations were
546 significantly different between the two yeasts (Table 3). Taking into account that the
547 compounds which OAVs higher than 1, different contributions to aroma were found for
548 diacetyl, ethyl butyrate, ethyl hexanoate, ethyl octanoate, ethyl decanoate, ethyl
549 isovalerate, 2-phenylethyl acetate, hexanoic and octanoic acids, and 1-propanol
550 and isoamyl alcohols when comparing both yeast strains. Thus strain 55A provided more
551 diacetyl, ethyl octanoate and 2-phenethyl acetate than IOC 18-2007, which confer butter,
552 pineapple, pear, fruity, fresh and floral notes to wines, whereas IOC 18-2007 provided
553 more ethyl butyrate and ethyl hexanoate and conferred apple and anise notes. Yeast 55A
554 provided less cheese, fatty and sour notes than IOC-18-2017.

555 When comparing the columns “Oak chips cells” in Table 2, the wines fermented with
556 IOC 18-2007 showed lower aldehydes concentrations (excluding 5-methylfurfural),
557 smaller amounts of esters (except for diethyl succinate, ethyl isovalerate, ethyl lactate
558 and isobutyl acetate), fewer fatty acids (save 2-ethyl hexanoic, isobutyric and
559 isopentanoic acids), and fewer alcohols and less γ -butyrolactone than 55A. Only the
560 total concentrations of the alcohol group were significantly different between the two
561 yeasts (Table 3). Significant differences in the concentrations of 14 of the 32 volatile
562 compounds were found between the yeast strains (Table 3), but only seven of the 32
563 compounds contributed differently to wine aroma: ethyl hexanoate, ethyl octanoate,
564 ethyl isovalerate, 2-phenethyl acetate, hexanoic and octanoic acids and 1-propanol. All
565 of them, except for ethyl isovalerate, presented lower AOVs in the wines fermented
566 with IOC 18-2007 than in those fermented with 55A. Thus strain 55A provided
567 sparkling wines more anise, pineapple, pear, fruity, floral and fresh notes than IOC 18-
568 2007, whereas IOC 18-2007 conferred wine a more intense fruity character due to the
569 higher sensorial impact of ethyl isovalerate. Yeast 55A conferred a cheesier rough
570 aroma as a result of its higher octanoic acid production and a fresher/alcoholic note due
571 higher 1-propanol production.

572 Finally, the comparison of the data in the columns entitled “Cellulose powder cells” in
573 Table 2 shows that yeast IOC 18-2007 produced a significantly larger quantity of
574 aldehydes (except for benzaldehyde and diacetyl) significantly lower esters (save
575 diethyl succinate ethyl isovalerate, ethyl lactate and isobutyl acetate), lower fatty acids
576 (except 2-ethyl hexanoic, isobutyric, isopentanoic acids), lower alcohols (mainly 1-
577 propanol, 1-butanol and isoamyl alcohol), and lower γ -butyrolactone than 55A (Tables 2
578 and 3). Significant differences in the concentrations of 14 of the 32 compound
579 concentrations were found between yeast strains (Table 3), but only nine conferred

580 distinct sensorial impacts: ethyl butyrate, ethyl octanoate, ethyl decanoate, 2-phenyl
581 acetate, hexanoic, octanoic and decanoic acids, n-propanol and isoamyl alcohol.
582 Taking into account only those compounds with OAVs above 1, yeast 55A conferred
583 wine more pineapple, pear, floral and fresh notes and less detrimental notes due to lower
584 fatty acid production than IOC 18-2007. This latter yeast provided a slightly more apple
585 and fruity aromas to sparkling wine.

586 Differences in the volatile aroma profiles found in wines between those fermented with
587 two different *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* strains has been previously recorded by
588 Regodón Mateos et al. (2006), Zuzuarregui et al. (2006) and Berthels et al. (2008).
589 Torrens et al. (2008) have demonstrated that chemical and volatile compositions, as
590 well as the sensorial profile of sparkling wines, depend on the yeast strain used for
591 fermentation. From our results, more significant differences in individual compounds
592 were recorded between strains when in the free form than in the immobilised form, but
593 when groups of compounds were considered, only total alcohols and lactones allowed
594 us to significantly discriminate both yeasts. Only the alcohol group significantly
595 differentiated both yeasts when they were immobilised on oak chips cells, whereas both
596 aldehyde and ester groups did so when cells were immobilised on cellulose powder.

597 During the sparkling wine-making process, the second fermentation occurs under very
598 particular conditions for yeasts; the base wine presents a high alcohol content, and not
599 all strains can grow and ferment under these conditions (Garofalo et al., 2016; Torresi et
600 al., 2011). Low fermentation temperatures (12-18°C), typical in sparkling wine making,
601 slow down fermentation activity, but this is useful for sparkling wines' quality
602 improvement. Yeasts must tolerate more than 4-atm pressure, low pH and high alcohol
603 content conditions (Ribéreau-Gayon et al., 2003). Therefore, careful yeast strain
604 selection and temperature control of the second fermentation are sufficient requirements

605 to guarantee a proper fermentation process inside bottles. In this case, strain 55A
606 endured the second fermentation in-bottle conditions in both the immobilised and free
607 cells forms by fermenting sugars and the same ethanol content arising as with the
608 commercial strain.

609 3.7 Sensorial analysis results

610 Despite the differences observed in the profile of volatile wines, at the sensory level no
611 significant differences appeared between the fermented wines with both yeast types and
612 those with the free or immobilised yeast with the different immobilisation substrates
613 (Table S3). These results suggest that the new technology can be used with yeast 55A
614 as an alternative to commercial starter IOC18-2007, and that the use of immobilisation
615 supports reports the advantages of rapid yeast elimination and not adding bentonite, and
616 does not have a negative impact on the wine sensory profile.

617 4. Conclusions

618 A new procedure to immobilise *S. cerevisiae* strains on natural supports (oak
619 chips and cellulose powder, both accepted for use in oenology) has been developed and
620 the usefulness of the new products has been demonstrated at the industrial level. Sugar
621 consumption with immobilised cells was delayed 1 week compared to that done with
622 free cell fermentations, but the riddling process was 3-fold quicker. In addition, it was
623 not necessary to add riddling agents. Significant differences were obtained in the
624 formation of esters, acids, alcohols, aldehydes and lactones depending on the yeast used
625 and the immobilisation support. Oak chips were the more appropriate support for yeast
626 immobilisation. The yeast released higher polysaccharide quantities, which produced a
627 larger number of esters when immobilised on oak chips was 55A. No significant

628 differences in wine sensorial characteristics were found no matter what way the second
629 fermentation occurred.

630 **Acknowledgements**

631 To the “Dominio de la Vega” winery (San Antonio de Requena, Spain) for providing
632 the materials and workpower to produce the "cava" sparkling wines, to Fernando
633 Zamora and collaborators (Universitat Rovira I Virgili, Tarragona, Spain) for helping to
634 determine the foaming properties, and the protein and polysaccharide contents of the
635 cava wines, and, finally, to Helen Warburton for the English language revision.

636

637 **Funding**

638 This work was supported by the “Programa Valoritxa i Transfereix” 2012 of the
639 Universitat de València. This institution has not intervened in the collection, analysis
640 and interpretation of the data, nor in the report writing or the decision to publish the
641 manuscript.

642

643 **Appendix A: Supplementary data**

644

- 646 Alexandre, H., Guilloux-Benatier, M., 2006. Yeast autolysis in sparkling wine – a
647 review. *Australian Journal of Grape and Wine Research* 12, 119-127.
- 648 Bekatorou, A., Koutinas, A.A., Kaliafas, A., Kanellaki, M., 2001. Freeze-dried
649 *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* cells immobilized on gluten pellets for glucose fermentation.
650 *Process Biochemistry* 36, 549-557.
- 651 Belloch, C., López, M.D., Esteve, B., Martínez, P.V., García-López, M.D., Uruburu, F.,
652 1998. Catálogo de cepas. Colección Española de Cultivos Tipo, 4ª ed. Universitat de
653 València, Valencia.
- 654 Berny, J.F., Hennebert, G.L., 1991. Viability and stability of yeast cells and filamentous
655 fungus spores during freeze-drying: Effects of protectants and cooling rates. *Mycologia*
656 83, 805-815.
- 657 Berthels, N.J., Cordero Otero, R.R., Bauer, F.F., Pretorius, I.S., Thevelein, J.M., 2008.
658 Correlation between glucose/fructose discrepancy and hexokinase kinetic properties in
659 different *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* wine yeast strains. *Applied Microbiology and*
660 *Biotechnology* 77, 1083-1091.
- 661 BOE-189278, 1991. Reglamentación de la Denominación Cava y de su Consejo
662 Regulador. Orden del 14 de Noviembre de 1991. Boletín Oficial del Estado 20 de
663 Noviembre de 1991, 37587-37593.
- 664 Brissonnet, F., Maujean, A., 1993. Characterization of foaming proteins in a
665 Champagne base wine. *American Journal of Enology and Viticulture* 44, 297-301.
- 666 Cacho, J., 2006. La percepción de notas aromáticas del vino y el efecto de ciertas
667 moléculas volátiles. *ACE Revista de Enología* 66.
- 668 Callone, E., Campostrini, R., Carturan, G., Cavazza, A., Guzzon, R., 2008.
669 Immobilization of yeast and bacteria cells in alginate microbeads coated with silica
670 membranes: procedures, physico-chemical features and bioactivity. *Journal of Materials*
671 *Chemistry* 18, 4839-4848.
- 672 Clarke, R.J., Bakker, J., 2004. *Wine: Flavour Chemistry*. Blackwell Publishing, Oxford.
673 UK.
- 674 Culbert, J.A., McRae, J.M., Condé, B.C., Schmidtke, L.M., Nicholson, E.L., Smith,
675 P.A., Howell, K.S., Boss, P.K., Wilkinson, K.L., 2017. Influence of production method
676 on the chemical composition, foaming properties, and quality of Australian carbonated
677 and sparkling white wines. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry* 65, 1378-1386.
- 678 EEC-358/79, 1979. Council Regulation (EEC) on sparkling wines produced in the
679 Community of 5 February 1979. Official Journal of the European Communities OJ L 54
680 130–135
- 681 Esteruelas, M., González-Royo, E., Kontoudakis, N., Orte, A., Cantos, A., Canals, J.M.,
682 Zamora, F., 2015. Influence of grape maturity on the foaming properties of base wines
683 and sparkling wines (Cava). *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture* 95, 2071-
684 2080.
- 685 Ferreira, V., Culleré, L., López, R., Cacho, J., 2004. Determination of important odor-
686 active aldehydes of wine through gas chromatography–mass spectrometry of their O-
687 (2,3,4,5,6-pentafluorobenzyl)oximes formed directly in the solid phase extraction
688 cartridge used for selective isolation. *Journal of Chromatography A* 1028, 339-345.
- 689 Ferreira, V., Ortín, N., Escudero, A., López, R., Cacho, J., 2002. Chemical
690 characterization of the aroma of Grenache rosé wines: Aroma extract dilution analysis,
691 quantitative determination, and sensory reconstitution studies. *Journal of Agricultural*
692 *and Food Chemistry* 50, 4048-4054.

693 Fumi, M.D., Trioli, G., Colagrande, O., 1987. Preliminary assessment on the use of
694 immobilized yeast cells in sodium alginate for sparkling wine processes. *Biotechnology*
695 *Letters* 9, 339-342.

696 Garofalo, C., Arena, M., Laddomada, B., Cappello, M., Bleve, G., Grieco, F.,
697 Beneduce, L., Berbegal, C., Spano, G., Capozzi, V., 2016. Starter cultures for sparkling
698 wine. *Fermentation* 2, 21.

699 Gawel, R., Smith, P.A., Waters, E.J., 2016. Influence of polysaccharides on the taste
700 and mouthfeel of white wine. *Australian Journal of Grape and Wine Research* 22, 350-
701 357.

702 Gehrke, H.H., Pralle, K., Deckwer, W.D., 1992. Freeze drying of microorganisms -
703 influence of cooling rate on survival. *Food Biotechnology* 6, 35-49.

704 Genisheva, Z., Mussatto, S.I., Oliveira, J.M., Teixeira, J.A., 2013. Malolactic
705 fermentation of wines with immobilised lactic acid bacteria - Influence of concentration,
706 type of support material and storage conditions. *Food Chemistry* 138, 1510-1514.

707 Hua, D., Xu, P., 2011. Recent advances in biotechnological production of 2-
708 phenylethanol. *Biotechnology Advances* 29, 654-660.

709 Jarauta, I., 2004. Estudio analítico de fenómenos concurrentes en la generación del
710 aroma durante la crianza del vino en barricas de roble con diferentes grados de uso.
711 Nuevos métodos de análisis de importantes aromas y caracterización de su papel
712 sensorial, Departamento de Química Analítica Zaragoza.

713 Jeandet, P., Lenfant, J.-C., Caillet, M., Liger-Belair, G., Vasserot, Y., Marchal, R.,
714 2000. Contribution to the study of the *remuage* procedure in Champagne wine
715 production: Identification of *voltigeurs* (particles in suspension in the wine). *American*
716 *Journal of Enology and Viticulture* 51, 418-419.

717 Kandyli, P., Manousi, M.E., Bekatorou, A., Koutinas, A.A., 2010. Freeze-dried wheat
718 supported biocatalyst for low temperature wine making. *LWT - Food Science and*
719 *Technology* 43, 1485-1493.

720 Kemp, B., Alexandre, H., Robillard, B., Marchal, R., 2015. Effect of production phases
721 on bottle fermented sparkling wine quality. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*
722 63 19–38.

723 Kourkoutas, Y., Bekatorou, A., Banat, I.M., Marchant, R., Koutinas, A.A., 2004.
724 Immobilization technologies and support materials suitable in alcohol beverages
725 production: a review. *Food Microbiology* 21, 377-397.

726 Kourkoutas, Y., Kanellaki, M., Koutinas, A.A., 2006. Apple pieces as immobilization
727 support of various microorganisms. *LWT - Food Science and Technology* 39, 980-986.

728 Kregiel, D., Berlowska, J., Ambroziak, W., 2013. Growth and metabolic activity of
729 conventional and non-conventional yeasts immobilized in foamed alginate. *Enzyme and*
730 *Microbial Technology* 53, 229-234.

731 Lambri, M., Dordoni, R., Giribaldi, M., Violetta, M.R., Giuffrida, M.G., 2012. Heat-
732 unstable protein removal by different bentonite labels in white wines. *LWT - Food*
733 *Science and Technology* 46, 460-467.

734 Lodolo, E.J., Kock, J.L.F., Axcell, B.C., Brooks, M., 2008. The yeast *Saccharomyces*
735 *cerevisiae*- the main character in beer brewing. *FEMS Yeast Research* 9999.

736 López de Lerma, N., Peinado, R.A., Puig-Pujol, A., Mauricio, J.C., Moreno, J., García-
737 Martínez, T., 2018. Influence of two yeast strains in free, bioimmobilized or
738 immobilized with alginate forms on the aromatic profile of long aged sparkling wines.
739 *Food Chemistry* 250, 22-29.

740 Malvy, J., Robillard, B., Duteurtre, B., 1994. Influence des protéines sur le
741 comportement de la mousse des vins de Champagne. *Science des Aliments* 14, 87-98.

742 Mantaluta, A., Cojocar, D., Savin, C., Pasa, R., 2011. The testing of some organic
743 supports for yeasts immobilization technology used in sparkling wine production.
744 Analele Stiintifice ale Universitatii 'Alexandru Ioan Cuza' din Iasi Sec. II a. Genet Biol
745 Mol 12, 81–85.

746 Martí-Raga, M., Marullo, P., Beltran, G., Mas, A., 2016. Nitrogen modulation of yeast
747 fitness and viability during sparkling wine production. Food Microbiology 54, 106-114.

748 Martínez-Lapuente, L., Guadalupe, Z., Ayestarán, B., Pérez-Magariño, S., 2015a. Role
749 of major wine constituents in the foam properties of white and rosé sparkling wines.
750 Food Chemistry 174, 330-338.

751 Martínez-Lapuente, L., Guadalupe, Z., Ayestarán, B., Pérez-Magariño, S., 2015b. Role
752 of major wine constituents in the foam properties of white and rosé sparkling wines.
753 Food Chemistry 174, 330-338.

754 Martínez-Rodríguez, A., Carrascosa, A.V., Barcenilla, J.M., Angeles Pozo-Bayón, M.,
755 Carmen Polo, M., 2001. Autolytic capacity and foam analysis as additional criteria for
756 the selection of yeast strains for sparkling wine production. Food Microbiology 18, 183-
757 191.

758 Martínez-Rodríguez, A.J., Carrascosa, A.V., Martín-Álvarez, P.J., Moreno-Arribas, V.,
759 Polo, M.C., 2002. Influence of the yeast strain on the changes of the amino acids,
760 peptides and proteins during sparkling wine production by the traditional method.
761 Journal of Industrial Microbiology and Biotechnology 29, 314-322.

762 Melzoch, K., Rychtera, M., Hábová, V., 1994. Effect of immobilization upon the
763 properties and behaviour of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* cells. Journal of Biotechnology
764 32, 59-65.

765 Mingorance-Cazorla, L., Clemente-Jiménez, J.M., Martínez-Rodríguez, S., Las Heras-
766 Vázquez, F.J., Rodríguez-Vico, F., 2003. Contribution of different natural yeasts to the
767 aroma of two alcoholic beverages. World Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology
768 19, 297-304.

769 Moreno-Arribas, V., Pueyo, E., Nieto, F.J., Martín-Álvarez, P.J., Polo, M.C., 2000.
770 Influence of the polysaccharides and the nitrogen compounds on foaming properties of
771 sparkling wines. Food Chemistry 70, 309-317.

772 Moyano, L., Zea, L., Moreno, J., Medina, M., 2002. Analytical study of aromatic series
773 in Sherry wines subjected to biological aging. Journal of Agricultural and Food
774 Chemistry 50, 7356-7361.

775 Nedović, V., Gibson, B., Mantzouridou, T.F., Bugarski, B., Djordjević, V., Kalušević,
776 A., Paraskevopoulou, A., Sandell, M., Šmogrovičová, D., Yilmaztekin, M., 2015.
777 Aroma formation by immobilized yeast cells in fermentation processes. Yeast 32, 173-
778 216.

779 Nielsen, J.C., Richelieu, M., 1999. Control of flavor development in wine during and
780 after malolactic fermentation by *Oenococcus oeni*. Applied and Environmental
781 Microbiology 65, 740-745.

782 Norton, S., D'Amore, T., 1994. Physiological effects of yeast cell immobilization:
783 Applications for brewing. Enzyme and Microbial Technology 16, 365-375.

784 OIV, 2009a. Compendium of international methods of wine and must analysis. OIV-
785 MA-AS312-01B. OIV Paris (France).

786 OIV, 2009b. OIV standard for international wine and spirituous beverages of
787 vitivincultural origin competitions. OIV Resolution /Concours 332A/2009.

788 Peinado, R.A., Moreno, J.J., Villalba, J.M., González-Reyes, J.A., Ortega, J.M.,
789 Mauricio, J.C., 2006. Yeast biocapsules: A new immobilization method and their
790 applications. Enzyme and Microbial Technology 40, 79-84.

791 Pozo-Bayón, M.A., Martín-Álvarez, P.J., Moreno-Arribas, M.V., Andujar-Ortiz, I.,
792 Pueyo, E., 2010. Impact of using Trepát and Monastrell red grape varieties on the
793 volatile and nitrogen composition during the manufacture of rosé Cava sparkling wines.
794 LWT - Food Science and Technology 43, 1526-1532.

795 Pozo-Bayón, M.Á., Martínez-Rodríguez, A., Pueyo, E., Moreno-Arribas, M.V., 2009.
796 Chemical and biochemical features involved in sparkling wine production: from a
797 traditional to an improved winemaking technology. Trends in Food Science &
798 Technology 20, 289-299.

799 Pozo-Bayón, M.A., Pueyo, E., Martín-Álvarez, P.J., Martínez-Rodríguez, A.J., Polo,
800 M.C., 2003. Influence of yeast strain, bentonite addition, and aging time on volatile
801 compounds of sparkling wines. American Journal of Enology and Viticulture 54, 273-
802 278.

803 Pueyo, E., Martín-Alvarez, P.J., Polo, M.C., 1995. Relationship between foam
804 characteristics and chemical composition in wines and cavas (sparkling wines).
805 American Journal of Enology and Viticulture 46, 518-524.

806 Puig-Pujol, A., Bertran, E., García-Martínez, T., Capdevila, F., Mínguez, S., Mauricio,
807 J.C., 2013. Application of a new organic yeast immobilization method for sparkling
808 wine production. American Journal of Enology and Viticulture 64, 386-394.

809 Regodón Mateos, J.A., Pérez-Nevado, F., Ramírez Fernández, M., 2006. Influence of
810 *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* yeast strain on the major volatile compounds of wine.
811 Enzyme and Microbial Technology 40, 151-157.

812 Ribéreau-Gayon, P., Dubourdieu, D., Donéche, B., Lonvaud, A., 2003. Microbiologia
813 del vino. Vinificaciones, Tratado de Enología I. Edición Hemisferio Sur, Paris, pp. 161-
814 155.

815 Robinson, A., 2011. Environmental influences on grape aroma potential. PhD Thesis.
816 Murdoch University.

817 Rossi, J., Clementi, F., 1984. L-malic acid catabolism by polyacrylamide gel entrapped
818 *Leuconostoc oenos*. American Journal of Enology and Viticulture 35, 100-102.

819 Silva, S., Ramon Portugal, F., Silva, P., Texeira, M.d.F., Strehaiano, P., 2002.
820 Utilisation de levures incluse pour le traitement des arrêts de fermentations. Journal
821 International des Sciences de la Vigne et du Vin 36, 161-168.

822 Smukalla, S., Caldara, M., Pochet, N., Beauvais, A., Guadagnini, S., Yan, C., Vinces,
823 M.D., Jansen, A., Prevost, M.C., Latgé, J.-P., Fink, G.R., Foster, K.R., Verstrepen, K.J.,
824 2008. FLO1 is a variable green beard gene that drives biofilm-like cooperation in
825 budding yeast. Cell 135, 726-737.

826 Sroka, P., Satora, P., Tarko, T., Duda-Chodak, A., 2017. The influence of yeast
827 immobilization on selected parameters of young meads. Journal of the Institute of
828 Brewing 123, 289-295.

829 Torrens, J., Urpí, P., Riu-Aumatell, M., Vichi, S., López-Tamames, E., Buxaderas, S.,
830 2008. Different commercial yeast strains affecting the volatile and sensory profile of
831 cava base wine. International Journal of Food Microbiology 124, 48-57.

832 Torresi, S., Frangipane, M.T., Anelli, G., 2011. Biotechnologies in sparkling wine
833 production. Interesting approaches for quality improvement: A review. Food Chemistry
834 129, 1232-1241.

835 Ubeda Iranzo, J.F., González Magaña, F., González Viñas, M.A., 2000. Evaluation of
836 the formation of volatiles and sensory characteristics in the industrial production of
837 white wines using different commercial strains of the genus *Saccharomyces*. Food
838 Control 11, 143-147.

839 Vanrell, G., Canals, R., Esteruelas, M., Fort, F., Canals, J.M., Zamora, F., 2007.
840 Influence of the use of bentonite as a riddling agent on foam quality and protein fraction
841 of sparkling wines (Cava). *Food Chemistry* 104, 148-155.
842 Velázquez, R., Zamora, E., Álvarez, M., Álvarez, M.L., Ramírez, M., 2016. Using
843 mixed inocula of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* killer strains to improve the quality of
844 traditional sparkling-wine. *Food Microbiology* 59, 150-160.
845 Vidal, S., Francis, L., Williams, P., Kwiatkowski, M., Gawel, R., Cheynier, V., Waters,
846 E., 2004. The mouth-feel properties of polysaccharides and anthocyanins in a wine like
847 medium. *Food Chemistry* 85, 519-525.
848 Walsh, P.K., Malone, D.M., 1995. Cell growth patterns in immobilization matrices.
849 *Biotechnology Advances* 13, 13-43.
850 Willaert, R., Nedovic, V.A., 2006. Primary beer fermentation by immobilised yeast—a
851 review on flavour formation and control strategies. *Journal of Chemical Technology*
852 *and Biotechnology* 81, 1353-1367.
853 Zhao, X.-Q., Li, Q., He, L.-Y., Li, F., Que, W.-W., Bai, F.-W., 2012. Exploration of a
854 natural reservoir of flocculating genes from various *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* strains
855 and improved ethanol fermentation using stable genetically engineered flocculating
856 yeast strains. *Process Biochemistry* 47, 1612-1619.
857 Zuzuarregui, A., Monteoliva, L., Gil, C., del Olmo, M.I., 2006. Transcriptomic and
858 proteomic approach for understanding the molecular basis of adaptation of
859 *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* to wine fermentation. *Applied and Environmental*
860 *Microbiology* 72, 836-847.

861

862

863 **Figure 1.** Electron microscopy photograph showing *S. cerevisiae* cells immobilised on
864 oak chips (A) and cellulose powder (B) by lyophilisation.

865

866

867 **Figure 2.** Fermentation kinetics during the base wine second fermentation by *S.*
868 *cerevisiae* immobilised cells and free cells; A and B glucose consumption (g/L), C and
869 D fructose consumption (g/L), E and F ethanol production (% v/v). Symbols: IOC 18-
870 2007 free cells (▲), IOC 18-2007 immobilised cells on oak chips (●), IOC 18-2007
871 immobilised cells on cellulose powder (■), 55A free cells (Δ), 55A immobilised cells on
872 oak chips (○), 55A immobilised cells on cellulose powder (□).

873

874

875 **Figure 3:** Effect of the different ways in which yeasts were added to the base wine on
876 foaming properties: foamability (HM) and foam persistence (HS) (expressed in mm),
877 and on total polysaccharides and proteins (expressed in mg/L). A: *Saccharomyces*
878 *cerevisiae* IOC18-2007; B: *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* 55A. Equal letters indicate the
879 absence of statistically significant differences ($P > 0.05$).

880

881

882 **Figure 4:** A discriminant analysis performed on the volatile compounds that
883 significantly discriminated wines. The first discriminant function was linked to
884 compounds isobutyric acid, γ -butyrolactone and diethyl glutarate. The second
885 discriminant function was related to 2-ethylhexanoic and isopentanoic acids

886

Table 1. Chemical parameters of the resulting sparkling wines fermented with *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* strains IOC 18-2007 and 55A inoculated in the free form, immobilised on oak chips and immobilised on cellulose powder.

	IOC18-2007			55 A		
	Free cells	Oak chips cells	Cellulose powder cells	Free cells	Oak chips cells	Cellulose powder cells
Total acidity¹	7,65 ± 0,21a	6,55 ± 0,49a	7,10 ± 0,07a	6,00 ± 0,21a	6,98 ± 0,11a	7,35 ± 1,06a
pH	3,23 ± 0,49a	3,20 ± 0,02a	3,24 ± 0,06a	3,17 ± 0,02a	3,18 ± 0,01a	3,256 ± 0,22a
Volatile acidity²	0,25 ± 0,09a	0,19 ± 0,01a	0,23 ± 0,04a	0,35 ± 0,09a	0,21 ± 0,07a	0,28 ± 0,14a

The ANOVA analysis have been performed for each yeast separately. Significance levels between different inoculation strategies are shown as letters on the data: different letters on the same line indicate significant differences of 95%.

Table 1. Odour descriptor, odour threshold value, concentration for each component of the aromas found in the sparkling wines fermented with *S. cerevisiae* IOC 18-2007 and 55A (free cells, and immobilised on oak chips or cellulose powder).

Group	Aromatic compound	Odour descriptor	Odour threshold values (µg/L)	Compound concentration ± SD (µg/L)					
				IOC 18-2007			55A		
				Free cells	Oak chips cells	Cellulose powder cells	Free cells	Oak chips cells	Cellulose powder cells
Aldehydes	Acetaldehyde	Apple ⁴	500 ⁵	184.7±78a	189.4±84a	235.7±92a	299.6±44c	207.4±51b	133.5±61a
	Benzaldehyde	Almonds ¹	350 ⁸	35.7±5.6b	nd	nd	4.1±0.2a	4.0±0.2a	14.8±0.2b
	Diacetyl	Butter ³	100 ²	97.8±58b	13.0±2a	68.5±90ab	151.4±46b	58.0±28a	70.5±40a
	5-Methylfurfural	Spicy ³	20000 ⁶	184.8±87a	210.6±44a	225.0±36a	149.5±6b	161.8±21b	94.3±19a
Total aldehydes				503.0a	413.0±b	529.2c	604.6a	431.2b	313.3c
Esters	Diethyl glutarate	Fruity ¹	-	30.7±17a	28.4±4a	58.9±25b	58.8±5b	30.8±7a	96.3±29c
	Diethyl succinate	Fruity ¹	1200000 ⁷	953.5±181a	1238.0±173a	2203.0±1124b	556.8±99a	551.0±45a	834.9±244b
	Ethyl acetate	Fruity, sweet ¹	12300 ³	134.8±45b	100.6±15ab	83.8±32a	109.0±33a	95.3±12a	112.5±55a
	Ethyl butyrate	Apple ²	20 ⁵	73.6±16a	66.3±22a	82.0±18a	49.1±6a	66.4±19ab	78.7±21b
	Ethyl hexanoate	Fruity, anise ¹	14 ³	100.2±6.3b	nd	nd	nd	309.2±21b	nd
	Ethyl octanoate	Pineapple, pear, floral ¹	2 ⁵	335.7±14a	436.5±24.2a	422.0±45a	632.4±36c	473.5±61a	546.8±33b
	Ethyl decanoate	Fruity ¹	200 ³	496.5±63a	547.8±22a	612.8±225a	569.9±100a	540.5±111a	526.2±67a
	Ethyl isovalerate	Fruity ²	3 ²	56.6±0.3a	58.6±91a	nd	nd	nd	nd
	Ethyl lactate	Sour ¹	155000 ³	34224.2±6.7a	38079.7±2661.1a	35233.6±5766a	29587.5±6435a	37641.2±9706ab	42942.4±1737 ^b
	Hexyl acetate	Fruity, pear ¹	670 ³	258.8±65.3a	266.5±27.6a	224.7±30a	301.2±38b	262.2±38a	298.6±10ab
Isobutyl acetate	Solvent ¹	1600 ⁶	28.7±7a	32.2±9a	22.6±15a	34.4±5b	nd	17.7±27ab	

Total esters	Methyl acetate	Fruity ²	470000 ⁷	51.0±12a	42.1±7a	61.5±28a	98.9±92a	47.6±13a	143.9±250a
	2- Phenethyl acetate	Pleasant, floral ¹	250 ³	208.9±89b	106.1±23a	155.3±51ab	1091.0±228b	1133.5±158b	477.0±55a
				36953.2a	41002.8b	39160.2a	33089.0a	41151.2ab	46075.0b
Acids	Butyric acid	Stale, cheese ²	10000 ⁵	155.4±27a	168.7±52a	155.1±26a	149.7±15a	177.4±16b	177.2±17b
	2-Ethylhexanoic acid	Herbaceous ¹	-	97.2±10c	76.8±4b	7.3±1a	40.0±14b	12.9±2a	9±1a
	Hexanoic acid	Cheese, fatty, stale ¹	420 ³	2179.4±493a	2195.3±169a	3060.2±1030b	1801.6±132a	2384.9±571b	2240.9±438ab
	Octanoic acid	Cheese, rough, sour ¹	500 ³	3940.8±944a	3905.7±316a	6534.8±3444b	3196.4±373a	4662.8±1106b	4155.3±835ab
	Decanoic acid	Fatty ¹	1000 ³	613.1±130a	603.7±61a	1095.3±603b	537.1±62a	618.7±33ab	714.7±187b
	Isobutyric Acid	Fatty ¹	200000 ⁵	nd	121.9±9c	14.4±1b	15.6±3b	44.2±19c	nd
Total acids	Isopentanoic acid	Stale ¹	-	184.7±33b	156.5±11a	137.2±15a	122.8±11a	141.7±15b	134.9±12ab
				7170.6a	7228.6a	11004.3b	5863.2a	8042.6b	7432.0c
Alcohols	Benzyl alcohol	Citric, fruity ¹	200000 ⁶	18.0±10a	34.8±16ab	62.8±39b	46.1±10b	23.0±4a	90.2±8c
	2,3-Butanediol	Butter ¹	150 000 ⁴	44.2±14a	33.5±9a	69.0±8b	347.1±105b	nd	50.2±24ab
	1-Propanol	Fresh, alcohol ¹	830 ⁴	nd	nd	nd	8739.1±526a	11390.9±2550b	22336.4±2070c
	1-Butanol	Medicine, alcohol ¹	150000 ³	47.5±4.3a	206.7±243a	49.0±3a	593.0±125b	549.0±67b	59.1±4a
	Isoamyl alcohol	Cheese ¹	30000 ²	40208.9±6545a	42022.9±3255ab	52223.1±14061b	40343.0±2195a	42275.7±2818a	42777.4±2644a
	Cis-3-hexenol	herbaceous ¹	400 ⁵	nd	4.5±0.4b	84.6±4c	5.8±3b	nd	nd
	2-Phenylethanol	Floral, pollen ¹	14000 ³	7508.1±1625a	7521.7±552a	11005.9±4289b	5796.5±533a	6879.8±352b	7111.7±1263b
Total alcohols				4782.6a	49824.1a	63494.4±b	55870.6a	64118.4ab	7242.5b
Lactones	γ- Butyrolactone	Sweet, toasted, caramel ⁴	50000 ⁶	814.2±78b	940.5±92c	699.2±91a	1462.1±199b	1236.2±349b	421.4±328a

The ANOVA analysis have been performed for each yeast separately. Significance levels between different inoculation strategies are shown as letters on the data that correspond to the concentration of aromatic compounds: different letters on the same line indicate significant differences of 95%. nd: not detected. Odour descriptor references: ¹Jiang and Zhang (2010) ²Francis (2013); ³Gambetta et al. (2014); ⁴Sánchez-Palomo et al. (2012). Odour threshold value references: ⁵Guth (1997). ⁶Aznar et al. (2003). ⁷Zea et al. (2001). ⁸Belitz et al. (2009).

Table 3. ANOVA analysis results from the volatile compounds found in the sparkling wines fermented with *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* strains IOC 18-2007 and 55A inoculated in the free form, immobilised on oak chips and immobilised on cellulose powder.

Group	Aromatic compound	Free cells		Oak chips cells		Cellulose powder cells	
		F-ratio	P	F-ratio	P	F-ratio	P
Aldehydes	Acetaldehyde	9.90	0.0104*	0.20	0.6623	5.11	0.0474*
	Benzaldehyde	190.61	0.0000*	1567.20	0.0000*	1876.49	0.0000*
	Diacetyl	1.37	0.2682	15.47	0.0028*	0.00	0.9580
	5-Methylfurfural	0.98	0.3450	0.68	0.0333*	62.2	0.0000*
Total aldehydes		2.00	0.1872	0.36	0.5599	10.37	0,0092*
Esters	Diethyl glutarate	14.78	0.0032*	0.56	0.4698	5.64	0.0390*
	Diethyl succinate	10.65	0.0085*	88.97	0.0000*	8.50	0.0154*
	Ethyl acetate	1.29	0.2820	2.49	0.1457	1.21	0.2970
	Ethyl butyrate	12.21	0.0058*	0.00	0.9891	0.09	0.7594
	Ethyl hexanoate	1498.76	0.0000*	1275.11	0.0000*	nd	nd
	Ethyl octanoate	25.46	0.0005*	1.92	0.6100	29.82	0.0030*
	Ethyl decanoate	2.28	0.1617	0.03	0.8774	0.82	0.3867
	Ethyl isovalerate	2.50	0.1449	2.50	0.1451	nd	nd
	Ethyl lactate	1.22	0.2951	0.01	0.9171	9.83	0.0106*
	Hexyl acetate	1.90	0.1986	0.05	0.8263	33.84	0.0002*
	Isobutyl acetate	2.84	0.1226	72.05	0.0000*	0.13	0.7218
	Methyl acetate	1.61	0.2332	0.77	0.4004	0.64	0.4424

	2- Phenethyl acetate	24.48	0.0006*	247.06	0.0000*	110.12	0.0000*
Total esters		0.86	0.3764	0.00	0.9667	8.1	0.0173*
Acids	Butyric acid	0.21	0.6538	0.15	0.7038	2.90	0.1192
	2-Ethylhexanoic acid	66.57	0.0000*	1011.65	0.0000*	5.43	0.0420*
	Hexanoic acid	3.29	0.0998	0.61	0.4528	3.21	0.1033
	Octanoic acid	3.23	0.1025	2.60	0.1380	2.71	0.1310
	Decanoic acid	1.67	0.2254	0.28	0.6097	2.18	0.1708
	Isobutyric acid	173.67	0.0000*	78.90	0.0000*	1849.00	0.0000*
	Isopentanoic acid	18.77	0.0015*	3.95	0.0751	0.09	0.7746
Total acids		3.91	0.0762	1.41	0.2628	2.78	0.1265
Alcohols	Benzyl alcohol	25.57	0.0005*	3.09	0.1090	2.87	0.1209
	2,3-Butanediol	2.77	0.1269	81.42	0.0000*	3.20	0.1041
	1-Propanol	1657.45	0.0000*	119.70	0.0000*	698.44	0.0000*
	1-Butanol	114.4	0.0000*	11.06	0.0077*	21.41	0.0009*
	Isoamyl alcohol	0.00	0.9630	0.02	0.8885	2.61	0.1369
	Cis-3-hexenol	22.77	0.0008*	490.00	0.0000*	3173.44	0.0000*
	2-Phenylethanol	6.01	0.0342*	1011.65	0.0000*	4.55	0.0587
Total alcohols		5.48	0.0413*	20.06	0.0012*	1.35	0.2723
Lactones	γ - Butyrolactone	55.01	0.0000*	4.02	0.0728	4.00	0.0735

*: significant differences between yeasts.

Table S1. ANOVA analysis results from comparing the chemical characteristics found in the sparkling wines fermented with *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* strains IOC 18-2007 and 55A inoculated in the free form, immobilised on oak chips and immobilised on cellulose powder.

	Free cells		Oak chips cells		Cellulose powder cells	
	F-ratio	P	F-ratio	P	F-ratio	P
Total acidity	60.50	0.0161*	1.41	0.357	0.11	0.7711
pH	0.41	0.5862	1.60	0.3333	0.01	0.9339
Volatile acidity	1.23	0.3836	0.05	0.842	0.27	0.6576

*: significant difference at 95% probability

Table S2. ANOVA analysis results from the foam characteristics and the protein and polysaccharides concentrations found in the sparkling wines fermented with *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* strains IOC 18-2007 and 55A inoculated in the free form, immobilised on oak chips and immobilised on cellulose powder.

	Free cells		Oak chips cells		Cellulose powder cells	
	F-ratio	P	F-ratio	P	F-ratio	P
HM¹	1.25	0.3804	0.49	0.5582	0	1.0000
HS²	1.69	0.3233	6.08	0.1325	0.01	0.9235
Total polysaccharides³	1.44	0.3529	79.57	0.0123*	6.45	0.1263
Total proteins³	0.20	0.7003	3.28	0.212	1.19	0.3890

. ¹: foamability (HM) that is expressed in mm ²: foam stability (HS) expressed in mm; ³: expressed in mg/L. *: significant difference at 95% probability

Table S3. Sensorial analysis results.

	IOC18-2007			55 A		
	Free cells	Oak chips cells	Cellulose powder cells	Free cells	Oak chips cells	Cellulose powder cells
Visual	20 ± 0,0a	19,3 ± 1,5a	19,6 ± 0,7a	19,3 ± 1,5 a	19,6 ± 0,7a	20 ± 0,0a
Nose	22,8 ± 4,1a	21,5 ± 3,7a	19,8 ± 4,7a	19,6 ± 3,1 a	19,8 ± 4,7a	22 ± 3,8a
Taste	28,2 ± 1,7a	26,8 ± 4,4a	27,5 ± 6,1a	25,5 ± 3,8 a	26,8 ± 6,4a	27,2 ± 3,6a

The ANOVA analysis have been performed for each yeast separately. Significance levels between different inoculation strategies are shown as letters on the data: different letters on the same line indicate significant differences of 95%.