

Document downloaded from:

<http://hdl.handle.net/10251/176384>

This paper must be cited as:

López Alfonso, S. (2021). Vitali-Hahn-Saks property in coverings of sets algebras. *Revista de la Real Academia de Ciencias Exactas Físicas y Naturales Serie A Matemáticas*. 115(1):1-15. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13398-020-00952-x>



The final publication is available at

<https://doi.org/10.1007/s13398-020-00952-x>

Copyright Springer-Verlag

Additional Information



# Vitali–Hahn–Saks property in coverings of sets algebras

S. López-Alfonso<sup>1</sup>

Received: 25 September 2020 / Accepted: 14 October 2020  
© The Royal Academy of Sciences, Madrid 2020

## Abstract

A subset  $\mathcal{B}$  of an algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  of subsets of  $\Omega$  is a Nikodým set for  $ba(\mathcal{A})$  if each  $\mathcal{B}$ -pointwise bounded subset  $M$  of  $ba(\mathcal{A})$  is uniformly bounded on  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  is a strong Nikodým set for  $ba(\mathcal{A})$  if each increasing covering  $(\mathcal{B}_m)_{m=1}^\infty$  of  $\mathcal{B}$  contains a  $\mathcal{B}_n$  which is a Nikodým set for  $ba(\mathcal{A})$ , where  $ba(\mathcal{A})$  is the Banach space of the real (or complex) finitely additive measures of bounded variation defined on  $\mathcal{A}$ . The subset  $\mathcal{B}$  has  $(VHS)$  property if  $\mathcal{B}$  is a Nikodým set for  $ba(\mathcal{A})$  and for each sequence  $(\mu_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  and each  $\mu$ , both in  $ba(\mathcal{A})$  and such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_n(B) = \mu(B)$ , for each  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ , we have that the sequence  $(\mu_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  converges weakly to  $\mu$ . We prove that if  $(\mathcal{B}_m)_{m=1}^\infty$  is an increasing covering of an algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  that has  $(VHS)$  property and there exist a  $\mathcal{B}_n$  which is a Nikodým set for  $ba(\mathcal{A})$  then there exists  $\mathcal{B}_q$ , with  $q \geq p$ , such that  $\mathcal{B}_q$  has  $(VHS)$  property. In particular, if  $(\mathcal{B}_m)_{m=1}^\infty$  is an increasing covering of a  $\sigma$ -algebra there exists  $\mathcal{B}_q$  that has  $(VHS)$  property. Valdivia proved that every  $\sigma$ -algebra has strong Nikodým property and in 2013 asked if Nikodým property in an algebra implies strong Nikodým property. We present three open questions related with this aforementioned Valdivia question and a proof of his strong Nikodým Theorem for  $\sigma$ -algebras that it is independent of the Barrelled spaces theory and it is developed with basic results of Measure theory and Banach spaces.

**Keywords** Bounded set · Algebra and  $\sigma$ -algebra of subsets · Bounded finitely additive scalar measure · Nikodým and strong Nikodým property · Vitali–Hahn–Saks and strong Vitali–Hahn–Saks property

**Mathematics Subject Classification** 28A60 · 46G10

## 1 Introduction

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an algebra of subsets of a set  $\Omega$  and let  $L(\mathcal{A})$  be the normed real or complex space generated by the characteristics functions  $e(A)$  of the sets  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  and endowed with the supremum norm  $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ . Following [2, Theorem 1.13]) we identify its dual  $L(\mathcal{A})^*$  provided with the dual norm isometrically with the Banach space  $ba(\mathcal{A})$  of bounded finitely additive

✉ S. López-Alfonso  
salloal@csa.upv.es

<sup>1</sup> Departamento Construcciones Arquitectónicas, Universitat Politècnica de València, 46022 Valencia, Spain

measures on  $\mathcal{A}$  endowed with the variation norm, denoted by  $|\cdot|(\Omega)$ , or  $|\cdot|$  in brief. Then for each  $\mu \in \text{ba}(\mathcal{A})$  and  $C \in \mathcal{A}$  the value  $\mu(C)$  represents both the value of the measure  $\mu$  in  $C$  and the value  $\mu(e(C))$  of the linear form  $\mu$  in  $e(C)$ . For an element  $B$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  the variation of  $\mu$  on  $B$  for each  $\mu \in \text{ba}(\mathcal{A})$  is named  $|\mu|(B)$  and defines a seminorm in  $\text{ba}(\mathcal{A})$  such that for each finite partition  $\{B_i : B_i \in \mathcal{A}, 1 \leq i \leq n\}$  of  $B$  we have  $|\mu|(B) = \sum_i |\mu|(B_i)$ .

Polar sets, named absolute polar sets in [9, Chapter IV, Sect. 20, 8 Polarity], are considered in the dual pair  $\langle L(\mathcal{A}), \text{ba}(\mathcal{A}) \rangle$  and  $M^\circ$  means the polar of a set  $M$ . If  $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{A}$  the topology  $\tau_s(\mathcal{B})$  in  $\text{ba}(\mathcal{A})$  is the topology of pointwise convergence in  $\mathcal{B}$ . In particular,  $\tau_s(\mathcal{A})$  is the weak\* topology in  $\text{ba}(\mathcal{A})$  defined by the dual pair  $\langle L(\mathcal{A}), \text{ba}(\mathcal{A}) \rangle$ .

The convex (absolutely convex) hull of a subset  $M$  of a vector space is denoted by  $\text{co}(M)$  ( $\text{absco}(M)$ ). For a subset  $B$  of a vector space  $E$  the seminorm defined in  $\text{span } B$  by  $\inf\{|\lambda| : x \in \lambda(\text{absco } B)\}$ , for each  $x \in \text{span } B$ , is the gauge of  $\text{absco } B$ . The gauge of  $\text{absco}(\{\chi_C : C \in \mathcal{A}\})$  is a norm in  $L(\mathcal{A})$  with dual norm the  $\mathcal{A}$ -supremum norm, i.e.,  $\|\mu\| := \sup\{|\mu(C)| : C \in \mathcal{A}, \mu \in \text{ba}(\mathcal{A})\}$ . For  $0 < \alpha_1 \leq \alpha_2 \leq \dots \leq \alpha_m$  and for a pairwise disjoint subsets  $A_i \in \mathcal{A}, 1 \leq i \leq m$ , the equalities

$$\sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i e(A_i) = \frac{\alpha_1 e(\bigcup_{i=1}^m A_i) + (\alpha_2 - \alpha_1) e(\bigcup_{i=2}^m A_i) + \dots + (\alpha_m - \alpha_{m-1}) e(A_m)}{\alpha_m}$$

and

$$\frac{\alpha_1 + (\alpha_2 - \alpha_1) + \dots + (\alpha_m - \alpha_{m-1})}{\alpha_m} = 1$$

imply that the norms  $\|\cdot\|_\infty$  and the gauge of  $\text{absco}(\{\chi_C : C \in \mathcal{A}\})$  are equivalent, see [16, Propositions 1 and 2 for an inductive proof], hence its dual norms, variation in  $\Omega$  and  $\mathcal{A}$ -supremum, are also equivalent. In general, for each  $B \in \mathcal{A}$  the seminorms variation on  $B$  and supremum of modulus on  $\{C \in \mathcal{A} : C \subset B\}$  are equivalent seminorms in  $\text{ba}(\mathcal{A})$ .

If  $\Sigma$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra of subsets of a set  $\Omega$  and  $M$  is a  $\Sigma$ -pointwise bounded subset of  $\text{ba}(\Sigma)$  then  $M$  is a bounded subset of  $\text{ba}(\Sigma)$ . We will refer this result as Nikodým boundedness theorem (see [1, page 80, named as Nikodým–Grothendieck Boundedness Theorem]). It is said that a subset  $\mathcal{B}$  of an algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  of subsets of a set  $\Omega$  is a *Nikodým set* for  $\text{ba}(\mathcal{A})$ , or that  $\mathcal{B}$  has property (N) in brief, if each  $\mathcal{B}$ -pointwise bounded subset  $M$  of  $\text{ba}(\mathcal{A})$  is bounded in  $\text{ba}(\mathcal{A})$ , i.e., for a subset  $M$  of  $\text{ba}(\mathcal{A})$  the  $\mathcal{B}$ -pointwise boundedness is a deciding property for the uniform boundedness in the unit ball of  $L(\mathcal{A})$  (see [15, Definition 2.4] or [17, Definition 1]). In the frame of uniform bounded deciding properties several equivalent results relative to the existence of an infinite dimensional separable quotient in a Banach space are presented [12].

Notice that in the definition of Nikodým set for  $\text{ba}(\mathcal{A})$  it is enough to consider that the subset  $M$  is weak\* closed and absolutely convex or that that  $M$  is countable. Moreover, it is obvious that Nikodým boundedness theorem states that if  $\Sigma$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra then  $\Sigma$  is a *Nikodým set* for  $\text{ba}(\Sigma)$ .

A subset  $\mathcal{B}$  of an algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  of subsets of a set  $\Omega$  is a *strong Nikodým set* for  $\text{ba}(\mathcal{A})$  if for each increasing covering  $\{\mathcal{B}_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  of  $\mathcal{B}$  there exists  $p \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\mathcal{B}_p$  is a Nikodým set for  $\text{ba}(\mathcal{A})$ . The subset  $\mathcal{B}$  is a *web Nikodým set* for  $\text{ba}(\mathcal{A})$  if for each increasing web  $\{\mathcal{B}_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_m} : n_i \in \mathbb{N}, 1 \leq i \leq m, m \in \mathbb{N}\}$  of  $\mathcal{B}$  there is a sequence  $(p_m)_{m=1}^\infty$  such that  $\mathcal{B}_{p_1 p_2 \dots p_m}$  has (N)-property for every  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Remind that increasing web of  $\mathcal{B}$  means that  $\{\mathcal{B}_{n_1} : n_1 \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is an increasing covering of  $\mathcal{B}$  and that for each  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and for each  $(n_1 n_2 \dots n_m) \in \mathbb{N}^m$  we have that  $\{\mathcal{B}_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_m n_{m+1}} : n_{m+1} \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is an increasing covering of  $\mathcal{B}_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_m}$ . In this paper algebra ( $\sigma$ -algebra) is used for algebra ( $\sigma$ -algebra) of subsets of a set  $\Omega$ .

Valdivia obtained in [16, Theorem 2] that for a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\Sigma$  the set  $\Sigma$  is a *strong Nikodým set* for  $\text{ba}(\Sigma)$  and in [17, Problem 1] he raised whether if for an algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  it is true that the property  $\mathcal{A}$  is a Nikodým set for  $\text{ba}(\mathcal{A})$  implies that  $\mathcal{A}$  is a strong Nikodým set for  $\text{ba}(\mathcal{A})$ . This problem is still open and a partial solution has been obtained in [4, Theorem 3.3]. For a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\Sigma$  it was proved in [8, Theorem 2] and [11, Theorem 3] that  $\Sigma$  is a web Nikodým set for  $\text{ba}(\Sigma)$ . Previous related results can be found in [5, 14]. An example of an algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  such that  $\mathcal{A}$  is a web Nikodým set for  $\text{ba}(\mathcal{A})$  is given in [10].

The completion of  $L(\mathcal{A})$  endowed with the supremum norm  $\|\cdot\|_\infty$  is the space  $\widehat{L(\mathcal{A})}$  of bounded  $\mathcal{A}$ -measurable functions and an algebra of sets  $\mathcal{A}$  has property (G) if for each sequence  $(\mu_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  of  $\text{ba}(\mathcal{A})$  its weak\* convergence to  $\mu \in \text{ba}(\mathcal{A})$ , respect to the dual pair  $(\widehat{L(\mathcal{A})}, \text{ba}(\mathcal{A}))$ , implies its weak convergence to  $\mu$ , i.e.

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_n(f) = \mu(f), \forall f \in \widehat{L(\mathcal{A})} \Rightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_n(\varphi) = \mu(\varphi), \forall \varphi \in (\text{ba}(\mathcal{A}))^*,$$

or, in brief,  $\mathcal{A}$  has property (G) if the space  $\widehat{L(\mathcal{A})}$  is a Grothendieck space, see [15, Introduction] where it is stated that each  $\sigma$ -algebra has property (G).

From Banach–Steinhaus theorem it follows that the condition  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_n(f) = \mu(f)$ , for each  $f \in \widehat{L(\mathcal{A})}$ , implies that the sequence  $(\mu_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  is bounded in  $\text{ba}(\mathcal{A})$ . Therefore an algebra of sets  $\mathcal{A}$  has property (G) if, and only if, each bounded sequence  $(\mu_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  of  $\text{ba}(\mathcal{A})$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_n(A) = \mu(A)$ , for each  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  with  $\mu \in \text{ba}(\mathcal{A})$ , implies that the sequence  $(\mu_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  converges weakly to  $\mu$ . This equivalence follows from the next straightforward Claim 1.

**Claim 1** *Let  $F$  be a subset of a Banach space  $E$  and let  $(\mu_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  a bounded sequence in its dual  $E^*$ . If  $\mu \in E^*$  and  $(\mu_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  converges pointwise to  $\mu$  in the subset  $F$  then this sequence  $(\mu_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  converges pointwise to  $\mu$  in the closure  $\overline{F}$  of  $F$ .*

**Proof** In fact, let  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $v \in \overline{F}$ . By hypothesis there exists  $f \in F$  such that  $\|v - f\| < \epsilon(2(1 + |\mu| + \sup_n |\mu_n|))^{-1}$ , and for this  $f$  there exists  $n_\epsilon$  such that  $|(\mu_n - \mu)(f)| < 2^{-1}\epsilon$ , for every  $n > n_\epsilon$ . Hence for  $n > n_\epsilon$  we have that  $|(\mu_n - \mu)(v)|$  is less than or equal than

$$|(\mu_n - \mu)(v - f)| + |(\mu_n - \mu)(f)| < \frac{\epsilon(|\mu| + \sup_n |\mu_n|)}{2(1 + |\mu| + \sup_n |\mu_n|)} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} \leq \epsilon,$$

so  $(\mu_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  converges pointwise to  $\mu$  in  $\overline{F}$ . □

A  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\Sigma$  verifies the Vitali-Hahn-Saks theorem [15, Introduction]. This theorem states that every sequence  $(\mu_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  of  $\text{ba}(\Sigma)$  such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_n(B) = \mu(B), \text{ for every } B \in \Sigma,$$

is uniformly exhaustive, i.e., for each sequence  $(B_j)_{j=1}^\infty$  of pairwise disjoint subsets of  $\Sigma$  the  $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \mu_n(B_j)$  is 0, uniformly in  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . An algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  has (VHS) property if it verifies the thesis of Vitali–Hahn–Saks theorem and from [15, 2.5. Theorem], see also [7, Theorem 4.2], it follows that an algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  has (VHS) property if  $\mathcal{A}$  has properties (N) and (G). Therefore  $\mathcal{A}$  satisfies Vitali-Hahn-Saks (VHS) property if and only if  $\mathcal{A}$  is a Nikodým set for  $\text{ba}(\mathcal{A})$  and if for each sequence  $(\mu_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  of  $\text{ba}(\mathcal{A})$  and  $\mu \in \text{ba}(\mathcal{A})$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_n(A) = \mu(A)$ , for every  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ , we have that the sequence  $(\mu_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  converges weakly to  $\mu$ . This characterization suggest the following definition.

**Definition 1** Let  $\mathcal{B}$  a subset of an algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ . The subset  $\mathcal{B}$  has *(VHS)* property if  $\mathcal{B}$  is a Nikodým set for  $\text{ba}(A)$  and each sequence  $(\mu_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  in  $\text{ba}(\mathcal{A})$  and  $\mu \in \text{ba}(A)$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_n(B) = \mu(B)$ , for each  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ , verify that  $(\mu_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  converges weakly to  $\mu$ .

Vitali–Hahn–Saks theorem says that for a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\Sigma$  the set  $\Sigma$  has *(VHS)* property. In the next section we prove that for each increasing covering  $\{\mathcal{B}_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  of  $\Sigma$  there exists  $p \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\mathcal{B}_p$  has *(VHS)* property and that for each increasing web  $\{\mathcal{B}_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_m} : n_i \in \mathbb{N}, 1 \leq i \leq m, m \in \mathbb{N}\}$  of  $\Sigma$  there is a sequence  $(p_m)_{m=1}^\infty$  such that  $\mathcal{B}_{p_1 p_2 \dots p_m}$  has *(VHS)* property, for every  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . We show that a positive solution of the mentioned Valdivia open problem [17, Problem 1] imply a positive solution for the corresponding problem for the *(VHS)* property, i.e., that *(VHS)* property for an algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  implies strong *(VHS)* property in  $\mathcal{A}$ , i.e., each increasing covering  $\{\mathcal{A}_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  contains an  $\mathcal{A}_p$  with the *(VHS)* property.

In the last section we provide a proof of Valdivia theorem stating that for each  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\Sigma$  the set  $\Sigma$  has the strong Nikodým property. This proof is dedicated to M. Valdivia, follows Valdivia’s scheme in [16], it is made with basic elements of measure theory and a few elementary properties of Banach spaces. Therefore it is independent of the theory of barrelled spaces and it may help researchers interested in this subject and not familiar with barrelled spaces. Barrelled spaces are locally convex spaces that verify the Banach–Steinhaus theorem and its main properties may be found in [3,6,13], among others.

## 2 Sets with *(VHS)* property

Proposition 1 gives a characterization of Nikodým sets for  $\text{ba}(\mathcal{A})$ .

**Proposition 1** A subset  $\mathcal{B}$  of an algebra of sets  $\mathcal{A}$  is a Nikodým set for  $\text{ba}(\mathcal{A})$  if and only if for each increasing covering  $\{\mathcal{B}_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  of  $\mathcal{B}$  there exists  $p \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$\overline{\text{absco}\{e(A) : A \in \mathcal{B}_p\}}^{L(\mathcal{A})}$$

is a neighborhood of zero in  $L(\mathcal{A})$ .

Hence  $\overline{\text{span}\{e(A) : A \in \mathcal{B}_p\}}^{L(\mathcal{A})} = L(\mathcal{A})$  and  $\overline{\text{absco}\{e(A) : A \in \mathcal{B}_p\}}^{\widehat{L(\mathcal{A})}}$  is a neighborhood of zero in  $\widehat{L(\mathcal{A})}$ .

**Proof** If  $\mathcal{B}$  is a not a Nikodým set for  $\text{ba}(\mathcal{A})$  there exists an unbounded subset  $C$  in  $\text{ba}(\mathcal{A})$  which is pointwise bounded in  $\mathcal{B}$ . This implies that the family of sets  $\mathcal{B}_n = \{A \in \mathcal{B} : \sup_{\mu \in C} |\mu(A)| \leq n\}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , are an increasing covering of  $\mathcal{B}$  such that  $\{e(A) : A \in \mathcal{B}_n\} \subset nC^\circ$ , for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , hence

$$\overline{\text{absco}\{e(A) : A \in \mathcal{B}_n\}}^{L(\mathcal{A})} \subset nC^\circ.$$

As  $C$  is an unbounded subset of  $\text{ba}(\mathcal{A})$  we have that  $nC^\circ$  is not a neighborhood of zero in  $L(\mathcal{A})$ , so  $\overline{\text{absco}\{e(A) : A \in \mathcal{B}_m\}}^{L(\mathcal{A})}$  is not a neighborhood of zero in  $L(\mathcal{A})$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

If there exists an increasing covering  $\{\mathcal{B}_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  of  $\mathcal{B}$  such that

$$\overline{\text{absco}\{e(A) : A \in \mathcal{B}_n\}}^{L(\mathcal{A})}$$

is not a neighborhood of zero in  $L(\mathcal{A})$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then the polar sets  $\{e(A) : A \in \mathcal{B}_n\}^\circ$  are unbounded, so there exists  $\mu_n \in \{e(A) : A \in \mathcal{B}_n\}^\circ$  such that  $|\mu_n| \geq n$ , for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . If  $A \in \mathcal{B}$  there exists  $q_A \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $A \in \mathcal{B}_n$  for each  $n \geq q_A$ , hence  $|\mu_n(e(A))| \leq 1$

150 for  $n \geq q_A$ , and we get that  $\{|\mu_n(e(A))| : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is  $\tau_s(\mathcal{B})$ -bounded, hence  $\mathcal{B}$  is a not a  
 151 Nikodým set for  $\text{ba}(\mathcal{A})$ . □

152 In particular, if  $\mathcal{B}$  is a Nikodým set for  $\text{ba}(\mathcal{A})$  then  $\overline{\text{absco}\{e(A) : A \in \mathcal{B}\}^{L(\mathcal{A})}}$  is a neigh-  
 153 borhood of zero in  $L(\mathcal{A})$  and  $\overline{\text{span}\{e(A) : A \in \mathcal{B}\}^{L(\mathcal{A})}} = L(\mathcal{A})$ .

154 It is said that an increasing web  $\{\mathcal{C}_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_m} : n_i \in \mathbb{N}, 1 \leq i \leq m, m \in \mathbb{N}\}$  of  $\mathcal{B}$  is contained  
 155 in the increasing web  $\{\mathcal{B}_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_m} : n_i \in \mathbb{N}, 1 \leq i \leq m, m \in \mathbb{N}\}$  of  $\mathcal{B}$  if for each sequence  
 156  $(q_m)_{m=1}^\infty$  of natural numbers there exists a sequence  $(p_m)_{m=1}^\infty$  of natural numbers such that  
 157  $q_m \leq p_m$  and  $\mathcal{C}_{q_1 q_2 \dots q_m} = \mathcal{B}_{p_1 p_2 \dots p_m}$ , for each  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ .

158 **Corollary 1** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an algebra of sets with a subset  $\mathcal{B}$  that it is a web Nikodým set for*  
 159  *$\text{ba}(\mathcal{A})$ . Each increasing web  $\{\mathcal{B}_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_m} : n_i \in \mathbb{N}, 1 \leq i \leq m, m \in \mathbb{N}\}$  of  $\mathcal{B}$  contains and*  
 160 *increasing web  $\{\mathcal{C}_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_m} : n_i \in \mathbb{N}, 1 \leq i \leq m, m \in \mathbb{N}\}$  of  $\mathcal{B}$  such that each  $\mathcal{C}_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_m}$  is a*  
 161 *Nikodým set for  $\text{ba}(\mathcal{A})$  and  $\overline{\text{absco}\{e(A) : A \in \mathcal{C}_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_m}\}^{L(\mathcal{A})}}$  is a neighborhood of zero in*  
 162  *$L(\mathcal{A})$ .*

163 **Proof** By contradiction we get easily that if  $\mathcal{B}$  is a web Nikodým set for  $\text{ba}(\mathcal{A})$  then if for  
 164 each increasing covering  $\{\mathcal{B}_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  of  $\mathcal{B}$  there exists  $p_1 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for each  $n \geq p_1$   
 165 the set  $\mathcal{B}_n$  is also a web Nikodým set for  $\text{ba}(\mathcal{A})$ . Additionally, by Proposition 1 there exists  
 166  $p \in \mathbb{N}, p \geq p_1$ , such that  $\overline{\text{absco}\{e(A) : A \in \mathcal{B}_n\}^{L(\mathcal{A})}}$  is a neighborhood of zero in  $L(\mathcal{A})$ ,  
 167 for each  $n \geq p$ . The Corollary follows by a trivial induction. □

168 **Problem 1** *Let  $\{\mathcal{A}_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  be an increasing covering of an algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  with (VHS)*  
 169 *property. We do not know if there exists a natural number  $p$  such that  $\mathcal{A}_p$  has (VHS)*  
 170 *property.*

171 Proposition 2 shows that a total or partial positive solution of mentioned Valdivia open  
 172 Problem [17, Problem 1] implies a total or partial positive solution of Problem 1.

173 **Proposition 2** *Let  $\{\mathcal{A}_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  be an increasing covering of an algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  with (VHS)*  
 174 *property. If there exists  $p$  such that  $\mathcal{A}_p$  is a Nikodým set for  $\text{ba}(A)$  then there exists  $q \in \mathbb{N}$*   
 175 *such that  $\mathcal{A}_q$  has the (VHS) property.*

176 **Proof**  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{A}_n, n \geq p$ , are Nikodým sets for  $\text{ba}(A)$ , hence by Proposition 1 there exists  
 177  $q \geq p$  such that  $\mathcal{A}_q$  is a Nikodým set for  $\text{ba}(\Sigma)$  and  $\overline{\text{absco}\{e(A) : A \in \mathcal{A}_q\}^{L(\Sigma)}}$  is a neigh-  
 178 borhood of 0 in  $\widehat{L(\mathcal{A})}$ . Let  $(\mu_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  be a sequence in  $\text{ba}(\mathcal{A})$  and  $\mu \in \text{ba}(\mathcal{A})$  such that  
 179  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_n(B) = \mu(B)$ , for each  $B \in \mathcal{A}_q$ . It is obvious that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_n(f) = \mu(f)$ , for  
 180 each  $f \in \overline{\text{absco}\{e(A) : A \in \mathcal{A}_q\}}$ .

181 As  $\mathcal{A}_q$  is a Nikodým set for  $\text{ba}(\mathcal{A})$  then  $\{\mu_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is a bounded subset of  $\text{ba}(\mathcal{A})$ . Then  
 182 Claim 1 implies that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_n(f) = \mu(f)$ , for each  $f \in \overline{\text{absco}\{e(A) : A \in \mathcal{A}_q\}^{L(\Sigma)}}$ , so  
 183 also  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_n(f) = \mu(f)$ , for each  $f \in \widehat{L(\mathcal{A})}$ . From this property and the hypothesis that  
 184  $\mathcal{A}$  has property (G), it follows that  $(\mu_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  converges weakly to  $\mu$ , i.e.,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_n(f) =$   
 185  $\mu(f)$  for each  $f \in (\text{ba}(\mathcal{A}))^*$ , hence  $\mathcal{A}_q$  has (VHS) property. □

186 In particular, by [16, Theorem 2] and [15, Introduction] it follows that if  $(\mathcal{B}_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  is an  
 187 increasing covering of a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\Sigma$  there exists  $p \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\mathcal{B}_p$  has (VHS) property.  
 188 This result is a particular case of the following Theorem.

**Theorem 1** Let  $\{\mathcal{B}_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_m} : n_i \in \mathbb{N}, 1 \leq i \leq m, m \in \mathbb{N}\}$  be an increasing web of a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\Sigma$ . There exists an increasing web  $\{\mathcal{C}_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_m} : n_i \in \mathbb{N}, 1 \leq i \leq m, m \in \mathbb{N}\}$  contained in  $\{\mathcal{B}_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_m} : n_i \in \mathbb{N}, 1 \leq i \leq m, m \in \mathbb{N}\}$  such that each  $\mathcal{C}_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_m}$  has (VHS) property for every  $(n_1 n_2 \dots n_m) \in \mathbb{N}^m$  and  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Proof** By [8, Theorem 2] and [11, Theorem 3]  $\Sigma$  is a web Nikodým set for  $\text{ba}(\mathcal{A})$ . By Corollary 1 there exists an increasing web  $\{\mathcal{C}_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_m} : n_i \in \mathbb{N}, 1 \leq i \leq m, m \in \mathbb{N}\}$  contained in  $\{\mathcal{B}_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_m} : n_i \in \mathbb{N}, 1 \leq i \leq m, m \in \mathbb{N}\}$  such that each  $\mathcal{C}_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_m}$  is a Nikodým set for  $\text{ba}(\Sigma)$  and  $\text{absco}\{e(A) : A \in \mathcal{C}_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_m}\}^{L(\mathcal{A})}$  is a neighborhood of zero in  $L(\Sigma)$ . Let  $(\mu_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  be a sequence in  $\text{ba}(\Sigma)$  and  $\mu \in \text{ba}(\Sigma)$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_n(B) = \mu(B)$ , for each  $B \in \mathcal{C}_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_m}$ . It is obvious that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_n(f) = \mu(f)$ , for each  $f \in \text{absco}\{e(A) : A \in \mathcal{C}_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_m}\}$ .

As  $\mathcal{C}_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_m}$  is a Nikodým set for  $\text{ba}(\mathcal{A})$  we get that  $\{\mu_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is a bounded subset of  $\text{ba}(\mathcal{A})$ . Claim 1 imply  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_n(f) = \mu(f)$ , for each  $f \in \overline{\text{absco}\{e(A) : A \in \mathcal{C}_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_m}\}^{L(\Sigma)}}$ , so also  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_n(f) = \mu(f)$ , for each  $f \in \widehat{L(\Sigma)}$ . From this property and the fact that every  $\sigma$ -algebra has property (G), see [15, Introduction], it follows that  $(\mu_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  converges weakly to  $\mu$ , i.e.,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_n(f) = \mu(f)$  for each  $f \in (\text{ba}(\mathcal{A}))^*$ , hence  $\mathcal{C}_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_m}$  has (VHS) property.  $\square$

### 3 Revisiting Valdivia theorem on Nikodým sets

In this section we provide a proof of Valdivia theorem stating that for each  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\Sigma$  the set  $\Sigma$  is a strong Nikodým set for  $\text{ba}(\Sigma)$ , see Theorem 2. This proof only needs basic results of Measure theory and Banach spaces.

The main results of this section are Propositions 3 and 4. Both are preceded by several Claims and Lemmas to help its reading. An induction based in Proposition 4 gives Proposition 5 and a countable subset of the sets and measures obtained in Proposition 5 enables to prove Valdivia theorem on Nikodým sets in Theorem 2.

**Claim 2** Let  $B$  and  $C$  be two subsets of a vector space  $E$ . If  $C$  is finite there exists a subset  $D$  of  $C$  such that  $\text{span } B \cap \text{span } D = \{0\}$  and the gauges defined by  $\text{absco}(B \cup C)$  and  $\text{absco}(B \cup D)$  are equivalent.

**Proof** If  $\text{span } B \cap \text{span } C \neq \{0\}$  then there exists  $q_1 = \sum_{i=1}^p \beta_i b_i + \sum_{j=1}^q \gamma_j c_j \in C \setminus \{0\}$ , with each  $(b_i, c_j) \in B \times (C \setminus \{q_1\})$ . If  $x \in \text{absco}(B \cup C)$  then  $x = \sum_{i=1}^s \delta_i d_i + \epsilon q_1 + \sum_{j=1}^t \epsilon_j e_j$ , with  $\sum_{i=1}^s |\delta_i| + |\epsilon| + \sum_{j=1}^t |\epsilon_j| \leq 1$  and  $(d_i, e_j) \in B \times (C \setminus \{q_1\})$ , therefore

$$x = \sum_{i=1}^s \delta_i d_i + \sum_{i=1}^p \epsilon \beta_i b_i + \sum_{j=1}^q \epsilon \gamma_j c_j + \sum_{j=1}^t \epsilon_j e_j.$$

If  $h = \sum_{i=1}^p |\beta_i| + \sum_{j=1}^q |\gamma_j|$  then the inequality

$$\sum_{i=1}^s |\delta_i| + \sum_{i=1}^p |\epsilon \beta_i| + \sum_{j=1}^q |\epsilon \gamma_j| + \sum_{j=1}^t |\epsilon_j| \leq 1 + h,$$

223 provides the second inclusion in

$$224 \quad \text{absco}(B \cup (C \setminus \{q_2\})) \subset \text{absco}(B \cup C) \subset (1 + h) \text{absco}(B \cup (C \setminus \{q_1\})). \quad (1)$$

225 The first inclusion in (1) is obvious and (1) implies that the gauges defined by the sets  
 226  $\text{absco}(B \cup C)$  and  $\text{absco}(B \cup C \setminus \{q_1\})$  are equivalents. If  $\text{span } B \cap \text{span}(C \setminus \{q_1\}) \neq \{0\}$  then  
 227 with the previous construction we determine a vector  $q_2 \in C \setminus \{q_1\}$  such that the gauges defined  
 228 by  $\text{absco}(B \cup C \setminus \{q_1\})$  and by  $\text{absco}(B \cup C \setminus \{q_1, q_2\})$  are equivalents. After a finite number  $r$   
 229 of repetitions of this process we get a finite subset  $D = C \setminus \{q_1, q_2, \dots, q_r\}$  such that gauges  
 230 defined by  $\text{absco}(B \cup C)$  and by  $\text{absco}(B \cup D)$  are equivalents and  $\text{span } B \cap \text{span } D = \{0\}$ .  
 231 This proves the Claim. □

232 If  $F$  is a dense subspace of a normed space  $E$ ,  $x \in E$  and  $0 < \|x\| < r$  then there exists  
 233 a sequence  $(x_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  in  $F$  such that  $\|x_n\| < r$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$ . Therefore

$$234 \quad \overline{\{x \in F : \|x\| < r\}}^E = \{x \in E : \|x\| \leq r\}. \quad (2)$$

235 In particular, if  $B$  is a zero neighborhood in  $\text{span } B$  and  $\overline{\text{span } B}^E = E$  then  $\overline{B}^E$  is a neigh-  
 236 borhood of the null vector of  $E$ . This observation is used in the following claim.

237 **Claim 3** *Let  $B$  be a closed absolutely convex subset of the normed space  $E$  such that*  
 238  *$\overline{\text{span } B}^E = E$ . If  $B$  is not a zero neighborhood in  $E$  then for each finite subset  $C$  of  $E$*   
 239 *we have that*

$$240 \quad \text{absco}(B \cup C)$$

241 *is not a zero neighborhood in  $E$ .*

242 **Proof** By Claim 2 there exists a finite subset  $D$  in  $C$  such that the gauges of  $\text{absco}(B \cup C)$   
 243 and  $\text{absco}(B \cup D)$  are equivalent and the algebraic sum  $\text{span } B + \text{span } D$  is direct. Hence if  
 244  $\text{absco}(B \cup C)$  is a zero neighbourhood in  $E$  then, by equivalence,  $\text{absco}(B \cup D)$  is also a zero  
 245 neighborhood in  $E$  and then  $(\text{absco}(B \cup D) \cap (\text{span } B))$  would be a neighborhood of zero in  
 246  $\text{span } B$ . As the algebraic sum  $\text{span } B + \text{span } D$  is direct we have that

$$247 \quad (\text{absco}(B \cup D)) \cap (\text{span } B) = B,$$

248 and we get that  $B$  is zero neighborhood in  $\text{span } B$ . The condition  $\overline{\text{span } B}^E = E$  imply that  
 249 the closed set  $B = \overline{B}$  is a neighborhood of zero in  $E$ . From this contradiction follows the  
 250 proposition. □

251 **Lemma 1** *Let  $M$  be an unbounded, weak\*-closed and absolutely convex subset of  $\text{ba}(\mathcal{A})$  such*  
 252 *that  $\overline{\text{span } M^\circ}^{L(\mathcal{A})} = L(\mathcal{A})$ . For each finite subset  $Q$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  we have that  $M \cap \{e(A) : A \in Q\}^\circ$*   
 253 *is unbounded in  $\text{ba}(\mathcal{A})$ , i.e.,*

$$254 \quad \sup_{\mu \in M \cap \{e(A) : A \in Q\}^\circ} \{|\mu|(\Omega)\} = \infty. \quad (3)$$

255 **Proof** The set  $B = M^\circ$  verifies the conditions in Claim 3. So the set  $\text{absco}(B \cup \{e(A) : A \in$   
 256  $Q\})$  is not a zero neighborhood in  $L(\mathcal{A})$ . Hence its polar set

$$257 \quad \{\text{absco}(B \cup \{e(A) : A \in Q\})\}^\circ = M^{\circ\circ} \cap \{e(A) : A \in Q\}^\circ$$

258 is an unbounded subset of  $\text{ba}(\mathcal{A})$  and as  $M = M^{\circ\circ}$  we get (3). □



**Proposition 3** Let  $\{\mathcal{B}_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  be an increasing covering of a subset of  $\mathcal{B}$  of an algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ . If  $\mathcal{B}$  is a Nikodým set for  $\text{ba}(\mathcal{A})$  and for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  the set  $\mathcal{B}_n$  is not a Nikodým for  $\text{ba}(\mathcal{A})$ , then there exists  $p \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for each  $n \geq p$  there exists a subset  $M_n$  in  $\text{ba}(\mathcal{A})$  that is  $\mathcal{B}_n$ -pointwise bounded, absolutely convex, weak\*-closed and such that for each finite subset  $Q$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  the intersection  $M_n \cap \{e(A) : A \in Q\}^\circ$  is unbounded in  $\text{ba}(\mathcal{A})$ .

**Proof** By Proposition 1 there exists  $p \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for each  $n \geq p$

$$\overline{\text{span}\{e(A) : A \in \mathcal{B}_n\}}^{L(\mathcal{A})} = L(\mathcal{A}). \tag{4}$$

As  $\mathcal{B}_n$  is not a Nikodým for  $\text{ba}(\mathcal{A})$  there exists an unbounded, weak\*-closed and absolutely convex subset of  $M_n$  in  $\text{ba}(\mathcal{A})$  which is unbounded in  $\text{ba}(\mathcal{A})$  and  $M_n$  is pointwise bounded in  $\{e(A) : A \in \mathcal{B}_n\}$ . The pointwise boundedness imply that  $\{e(A) : A \in \mathcal{B}_n\} \subset \text{span } M_n^\circ$ , hence for each  $n \geq p$  we have by (4) that

$$L(\mathcal{A}) = \overline{\text{span}\{e(A) : A \in \mathcal{B}_n\}}^{L(\mathcal{A})} \subset \overline{\text{span } M_n^\circ}^{L(\mathcal{A})} \subset L(\mathcal{A}). \tag{5}$$

From (5) we deduce that  $\overline{\text{span } M_n^\circ}^{L(\mathcal{A})} = L(\mathcal{A})$ , for each  $n \geq p$ , and the Proposition follows from Lemma 1.  $\square$

**Claim 4** Let  $B$  be an element of an algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ , let  $M$  be a subset of  $\text{ba}(\mathcal{A})$  such that for each finite subset  $Q$  of  $\mathcal{A}$

$$\sup_{\mu \in M \cap \{e(A) : A \in Q\}^\circ} \{|\mu|(B)\} = \infty \tag{6}$$

if  $\{B_1, B_2, \dots, B_q\}$  is a finite partition of  $B$  by elements of  $\mathcal{A}$  there exist  $j, 1 \leq j \leq q$ , such that for each finite subset  $Q$  of  $\mathcal{A}$

$$\sup_{\mu \in M \cap \{e(A) : A \in Q\}^\circ} \{|\mu|(B_j)\} = \infty. \tag{7}$$

**Proof** The first member of (6) is equal to

$$\sum_{i=1}^q \sup_{\mu \in M \cap \{e(A) : A \in Q\}^\circ} \{|\mu|(B_i)\}$$

that with (6) implies (7).  $\square$

The next Claim 5 will be used in Lemma 2.

**Claim 5** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an algebra of subsets of a set  $\Omega$ ,  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $M$  a weak\*-closed and absolutely convex subset of  $\text{ba}(\mathcal{A})$  such that for each finite subset  $Q$  of  $\mathcal{A}$

$$\sup_{\mu \in M \cap \{e(D) : D \in Q\}^\circ} |\mu|(A) = \infty. \tag{8}$$

Then for each  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^+$  and each subset  $\{B_i : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  there exists  $(\mu_1, A_1) \in M \times \mathcal{A}$ ,  $A_1 \subset A$  such that

$$|\mu_1(e(A_1))| > \alpha, |\mu_1(e(A \setminus A_1))| > \alpha, \sum_{j=1}^n |\mu_1(e(B_j))| \leq 1$$

and for each finite subset  $Q$  of  $\mathcal{A}$

$$\sup_{\mu \in M \cap \{e(D) : D \in Q\}^\circ} |\mu|(A \setminus A_1) = \infty.$$

291 **Proof** By (8) with  $Q = \{A, B_1, \dots, B_n\}$  there exists  $(\nu_1, P_{11}) \in (M \cap \{e(D) : D \in Q\}^\circ) \times \mathcal{A}$ ,  
 292 with  $P_{11} \subset A$  such that

293 
$$|\nu_1(P_{11})| > n(\alpha + 1), |\nu_1(A)| \leq 1 \text{ and } |\nu_1(B_j)| \leq 1, \text{ for } 1 \leq j \leq n.$$

294 Let  $P_{12} := A \setminus P_{11}$  and  $\mu_1 = n^{-1}\nu_1$ . The measure  $\mu_1 \in M$  and verifies that

295 
$$|\mu_1(P_{11})| > \alpha + 1, |\mu_1(A)| \leq 1, \sum_{j=1}^n |\mu_1(e(B_j))| \leq 1,$$

296 hence

297 
$$|\mu_1(P_{12})| = |\mu_1(A) - \mu_1(P_{11})| \geq |\mu_1(P_{11})| - |\mu_1(A)| > \alpha.$$

298 By Claim 4 it is verified at least one of the inequalities

299 
$$\sup_{\mu \in M \cap \{e(D) : D \in Q\}^\circ} \{|\mu|(P_{11})\} = \infty, \text{ for each finite subset } Q \in \mathcal{A}$$

300 or

301 
$$\sup_{\mu \in M \cap \{e(D) : D \in Q\}^\circ} \{|\mu|(P_{12})\} = \infty, \text{ for each finite subset } Q \in \mathcal{A}$$

302 In the first we define  $A_1 := P_{12}$  and in the second we take  $A_1 := P_{11}$  to get this Claim.  $\square$

303 **Lemma 2** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an algebra of subsets of a set  $\Omega$ ,  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $M$  a weak\*-closed and  
 304 absolutely convex subset of  $\text{ba}(\mathcal{A})$  such that for each finite subset  $Q$  of  $\mathcal{A}$

305 
$$\sup_{\mu \in M \cap \{e(D) : D \in Q\}^\circ} |\mu|(A) = \infty.$$

306 For each  $(p, \alpha) \in (\mathbb{N} \setminus \{0, 1\}) \times \mathbb{R}^+$  and each finite subset  $\{B_i : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  there exists  
 307 a partition  $\{A_i : A_i \in \mathcal{A}, 1 \leq i \leq p\}$  of  $A$  and a subset  $\{\mu_i : 1 \leq i \leq p\}$  of  $M$  such that

308 
$$|\mu_i(e(A_i))| > \alpha \text{ and } \sum_{j=1}^n |\mu_i(e(B_j))| \leq 1, \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq p \tag{9}$$

309 **Proof** By Claim 5 there exists in  $A$  a partition  $\{A_1, A \setminus A_1\} \in \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A}$  and a measure  $\mu_1 \in M$   
 310 such that

311 
$$|\mu_1(e(A_1))| > \alpha, |\mu_1(e(A \setminus A_1))| > \alpha, \sum_{j=1}^n |\mu_1(e(B_j))| \leq 1$$

312 and for each finite subset  $Q$  of  $\mathcal{A}$

313 
$$\sup_{\mu \in M \cap \{e(D) : D \in Q\}^\circ} |\mu|(A \setminus A_1) = \infty.$$

314 If we apply to  $A \setminus A_1$  the Claim 5 we get in  $A \setminus A_1$  a partition  $\{A_2, A \setminus (A_1 \cup A_2)\} \in \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A}$   
 315 and a measure  $\mu_2 \in M$  such that

316 
$$|\mu_2(e(A_2))| > \alpha, |\mu_2(e(A \setminus (A_1 \cup A_2)))| > \alpha, \sum_{j=1}^n |\mu_2(e(B_j))| \leq 1$$

317 and for each finite subset  $Q$  of  $\mathcal{A}$

318 
$$\sup_{\mu \in M \cap \{e(D) : D \in Q\}^\circ} |\mu|(A \setminus (A_1 \cup A_2)) = \infty.$$

319 Following this method we get in  $A \setminus (A_1 \cup A_2 \cup \dots \cup A_{p-2})$  a partition  $\{A_{p-1}, A \setminus (A_1 \cup$   
 320  $A_2 \cup \dots \cup A_{p-2} \cup A_{p-1})\} \in \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A}$  and a measure  $\mu_{p-1} \in M$  such that

$$321 \quad |\mu_{p-1}(e(A_{p-1}))| > \alpha, \quad |\mu_{p-1}(e(A \setminus (A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_{p-1})))| > \alpha, \quad \sum_{j=1}^n |\mu_{p-1}(e(B_j))| \leq 1.$$

322 To finish the proof we define  $A_p := A \setminus (A_1 \cup A_2 \cup \dots \cup A_{p-2} \cup A_{p-1})$  and  $\mu_p := \mu_{p-1}$ .  $\square$

323 **Lemma 3** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an algebra of subsets of a set  $\Omega$ ,  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $M_n, n \in 1, 2, \dots$ , a  
 324 weak\*-closed and absolutely convex subset of  $\text{ba}(\mathcal{A})$  such that for each finite subset  $Q$  of  $\mathcal{A}$

$$325 \quad \sup_{\mu \in M_n \cap \{e(D): D \in Q\}^\circ} |\mu|(A) = \infty$$

326 for  $n = n_1, n_2, \dots, n_p$  and for an infinity of values of  $n$ . For each  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^+$  and each finite  
 327 subset  $\{B_i : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  there exists in  $A$  a partition  $\{A_1, A \setminus A_1\} \in \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A}$  and a  
 328 measure  $\mu_1 \in M_{n_1}$  such that

$$329 \quad |\mu_1(e(A_1))| > \alpha \text{ and } \sum_{j=1}^n |\mu_1(e(B_j))| \leq 1$$

330 and for each finite subset  $Q$  of  $\mathcal{A}$

$$331 \quad \sup_{\mu \in M_n \cap \{e(D): D \in Q\}^\circ} |\mu|(A \setminus A_1) = \infty$$

332 for  $n = n_1, n_2, \dots, n_p$  and for an infinity of values of  $n$ .

333 **Proof** By Lemma 2 for each  $(p + 2, \alpha) \in (\mathbb{N} \setminus \{0, 1\}) \times \mathbb{R}^+$  and for the subset  $\{B_i : 1 \leq$   
 334  $i \leq n\}$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  there exists a partition  $\{D_i : D_i \in \mathcal{A}, 1 \leq i \leq p + 2\}$  of  $A$  and a subset  
 335  $\{v_i : 1 \leq i \leq p + 2\}$  of  $M_{n_1}$  such that

$$336 \quad |v_i(e(D_i))| > \alpha \text{ and } \sum_{j=1}^n |v_i(e(B_j))| \leq 1, \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq p + 2.$$

337 From Claim 4 and for each  $1 \leq j \leq p$  there exists  $i_j \in \{1, 2, \dots, p + 2\}$  such that for each  
 338 finite subset  $Q$  of  $\mathcal{A}$

$$339 \quad \sup_{\mu \in M_{n_j} \cap \{e(D): D \in Q\}^\circ} |\mu|(D_{n_{i_j}}) = \infty$$

340 and also there exists  $i_0 \in \{1, 2, \dots, p + 2\}$  such that for each finite subset  $Q$  of  $\mathcal{A}$

$$341 \quad \sup_{\mu \in M_n \cap \{e(D): D \in Q\}^\circ} |\mu|(D_{n_{i_0}}) = \infty$$

342 for infinite values of  $n$ . Let us suppose that  $i^* \in \{1, 2, \dots, p + 2\} \setminus \{i_m : m = 0, 1, \dots, p\}$ .  
 343 To finish this proof let  $\mu_1 := v_{i^*}$  and  $A_1 := D_{i^*}$ . Then

$$344 \quad |\mu_1(e(A_1))| = |v_{i^*}(e(D_{i^*}))| > \alpha \text{ and } \sum_{j=1}^n |\mu_1(e(B_j))| = \sum_{j=1}^n |v_{i^*}(e(B_j))| \leq 1$$

345 and for each finite subset  $Q$  of  $\mathcal{A}$

$$346 \quad \sup_{\mu \in M_n \cap \{e(D): D \in Q\}^\circ} |\mu|(A \setminus A_1) = \infty$$

347 for  $n = n_1, n_2, \dots, n_p$  and for an infinity of values of  $n$ , because  $A \setminus A_1 = A \setminus D_{i^*}$  contains  
 348  $\cup\{D_{n_{i_j}} : 0 \leq j \leq p\}$ . □

349 This Lemma may be applied without the finite subset  $\{B_i : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$  of  $\mathcal{A}$ . Then we get  
 350 that  $|\mu_1(e(A_1))| > \alpha$  and that for each finite subset  $Q$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  the set  $M_n \cap \{e(D) : D \in Q\}^\circ$   
 351 is unbounded in  $\text{ba}(\mathcal{A})$  for  $n = n_1, n_2, \dots, n_p$  and for an infinity of values of  $n$ .

352 **Proposition 4** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an algebra of subsets of a set  $\Omega$ ,  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $M_n, n \in 1, 2, \dots$  a*  
 353 *weak\*-closed and absolutely convex subset of  $\text{ba}(\mathcal{A})$  such that for each finite subset  $Q$  of  $\mathcal{A}$*

354 
$$\sup_{\mu \in M_n \cap \{e(D) : D \in Q\}^\circ} |\mu|(A) = \infty$$

355 *for  $n = n_1, n_2, \dots, n_p$  and for an infinity of values of  $n$ . For each  $(p, \alpha) \in (\mathbb{N} \setminus \{0, 1\}) \times \mathbb{R}^+$*   
 356 *and each subset  $\{B_i : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  there exists a partition  $\{A_i : A_i \in \mathcal{A}, 1 \leq i \leq p + 1\}$*   
 357 *of  $A$  and  $\mu_i \in M_{n_i}, 1 \leq i \leq p$ , such that*

358 
$$|\mu_i(e(A_i))| > \alpha, \sum_{j=1}^n |\mu_i(e(B_j))| \leq 1, \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq p$$

359 *and for each finite subset  $Q$  of  $\mathcal{A}$*

360 
$$\sup_{\mu \in M_n \cap \{e(D) : D \in Q\}^\circ} |\mu|(A_{p+1}) = \infty$$

361 *for  $n = n_1, n_2, \dots, n_p$  and for an infinity of values of  $n$ .*

362 **Proof** The Lemma 3 provides in  $A$  a subset  $A_1 \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $\mu_1 \in M_{n_1}$  such that

363 
$$|\mu_1(e(A_1))| > \alpha \text{ and } \sum_{j=1}^n |\mu_1(e(B_j))| \leq 1$$

364 and for each finite subset  $Q$  of  $\mathcal{A}$

365 
$$\sup_{\mu \in M_n \cap \{e(D) : D \in Q\}^\circ} |\mu|(A \setminus A_1) = \infty$$

366 for  $n = n_1, n_2, \dots, n_p$  and for an infinity of values of  $n$ . If we apply again Lemma 3 to  $A \setminus A_1$   
 367 we get  $A_2 \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $A_2 \subset A \setminus A_1$ , and  $\mu_2 \in M_{n_2}$  such that

368 
$$|\mu_2(e(A_2))| > \alpha, \sum_{j=1}^n |\mu_2(e(B_j))| \leq 1$$

369 and for each finite subset  $Q$  of  $\mathcal{A}$

370 
$$\sup_{\mu \in M_n \cap \{e(D) : D \in Q\}^\circ} |\mu|(A \setminus (A_1 \cup A_2)) = \infty$$

371 for  $n = n_1, n_2, \dots, n_p$  and for an infinity of values of  $n$ .

372 Following this method, for each  $1 \leq i \leq p - 1$  we get in  $A$  the pairwise disjoint subsets  
 373  $A_i \in \mathcal{A}$  and in  $\text{ba}(\mathcal{A})$  the measures  $\mu_i \in M_{n_i}, 1 \leq i \leq p - 1$ , such that

374 
$$|\mu_i(e(A_i))| > \alpha, \sum_{j=1}^n |\mu_i(e(B_j))| \leq 1$$

and for each finite subset  $Q$  of  $\mathcal{A}$

$$\sup_{\mu \in M_n \cap \{e(D): D \in Q\}^\circ} |\mu| (A \setminus (A_1 \cup A_2 \cup \dots \cup A_{p-1})) = \infty$$

for  $n = n_1, n_2, \dots, n_p$  and for an infinity of values of  $n$ . The Claim 3 applied to  $A \setminus (A_1 \cup A_2 \cup \dots \cup A_{p-1})$  provides  $A_p \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $A_p \subset A \setminus (A_1 \cup A_2 \cup \dots \cup A_{p-1})$ , and  $\mu_p \in M_{n_p}$  such that

$$|\mu_i(e(A_p))| > \alpha, \sum_{j=1}^n |\mu_i(e(B_j))| \leq 1$$

and for each finite subset  $Q$  of  $\mathcal{A}$

$$\sup_{\mu \in M_n \cap \{e(D): D \in Q\}^\circ} |\mu| (A \setminus (A_1 \cup A_2 \cup \dots \cup A_{p-1} \cup A_p)) = \infty$$

for  $n = n_1, n_2, \dots, n_p$  and for an infinity of values of  $n$ . With  $A_{p+1} := A \setminus (A_1 \cup A_2 \cup \dots \cup A_{p-1} \cup A_p)$  the proof is done.  $\square$

**Proposition 5** Let  $\{\mathcal{B}_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  be an increasing covering of a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\Sigma$  of subsets of a set  $\Omega$ . If  $\mathcal{B}_n$  is not a Nikodým set for  $\text{ba}(\Sigma)$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  then for each  $(i, j) \in \mathbb{N}^2$ , such that  $1 \leq i \leq j$ , there exists  $A_{ij} \in \Sigma$  and  $\mu_{ij} \in \text{ba}(\Sigma)$  such that the sets  $A_{ij}$  are pairwise disjoint, for each natural number  $i$  the set of measures  $\{\mu_{ij} : j \in \mathbb{N}, j \geq i\}$  is pointwise bounded in  $\mathcal{B}_i$  and

$$|\mu_{ij}(e(A_{ij}))| > j, \sum_{1 \leq k \leq m < j} |\mu_{ij}(e(A_{km}))| \leq 1.$$

**Proof** By Nikodým boundedness theorem  $\Sigma$  is a Nikodým set for  $\text{ba}(\Sigma)$ , hence by Proposition 3 there exists  $p \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for each  $n \geq p$  there exists in  $\text{ba}(\mathcal{A})$  an absolutely convex and weak\*-closed subset  $M_n$  that it is pointwise bounded in  $\mathcal{B}_n$  and for each finite subset  $Q$  of  $\mathcal{A}$

$$\sup_{\mu \in M_n \cap \{e(D): D \in Q\}^\circ} |\mu| (A) = \infty.$$

Deleting the first  $p - 1$  sets  $\mathcal{B}_n$  and renumbering the subindex  $n$ , I mean changing  $n$  by  $n - p + 1$ , we may suppose that  $p = 1$ . The proof will be obtained by induction on  $j$ .

For  $j = 1$ , the Lemma 3 with  $\mathcal{A} = \Sigma$ ,  $n = n_1 = 1$  and  $\alpha = 1$  provides a measure  $\mu_{11} \in M_{n_1}$  and  $A_{11} \in \Sigma$  such that

$$|\mu_{11}(e(A_{11}))| > 1$$

and for each finite subset  $Q$  of  $\Sigma$  we have that

$$\sup_{\mu \in M_n \cap \{e(D): D \in Q\}^\circ} |\mu| (\Omega \setminus A_{11}) = \infty,$$

for  $n = n_1$  and for the elements  $n$  of an infinity subset  $N_1$  of  $\mathbb{N} \setminus \{n_1\}$ . Then let  $n_2 = \min\{n : n \in N_1\}$ .

By Proposition 4 with  $\mathcal{A} = \Sigma$ ,  $A = \Omega \setminus A_{11}$ ,  $n \in \{n_1, n_2\} \cup (N_1 \setminus \{n_2\})$ ,  $p = \alpha = 2$  and with  $\{B_i : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$  equal to  $\{A_{11}\}$  we obtain two measures  $\mu_{i2} \in M_{n_i}$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , and two disjoint elements of  $\Sigma$ ,  $A_{12}$  and  $A_{22}$ , contained in  $\Omega \setminus A_{11}$  such that

$$|\mu_{i2}(e(A_{i2}))| > 2, |\mu_{i2}(e(A_{11}))| \leq 1, \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq 2$$

and for each finite subset  $Q$  of  $\Sigma$  we have that

$$\sup_{\mu \in M_n \cap \{e(D) : D \in Q\}^\circ} |\mu|(\Omega \setminus (A_{11} \cup A_{12} \cup A_{22})) = \infty,$$

for  $n \in \{n_1, n_2\} \cup N_2$ , where  $N_2$  is an infinite subset of  $N_1 \setminus \{n_2\}$ . Then we define  $n_3 = \min\{n : n \in N_2\}$ .

Let's suppose that the step  $j$  produces the measures  $\mu_{ij} \in M_{n_i}$  and the pairwise disjoint elements  $A_{ij}$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq j$ , contained in  $\Omega \setminus (\cup\{A_{km} : 1 \leq k \leq m < j\})$  with  $A_{ij} \in \Sigma$  such that

$$|\mu_{ij}(e(A_{ij}))| > j, \quad \sum_{1 \leq k \leq m < j} |\mu_{ij}(e(A_{km}))| \leq 1, \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq j$$

and for each finite subset  $Q$  of  $\Sigma$  we have that

$$\sup_{\mu \in M_n \cap \{e(D) : D \in Q\}^\circ} |\mu|(\Omega \setminus (\cup\{A_{km} : 1 \leq k \leq m \leq j\})) = \infty$$

for  $n = \{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_j\} \cup N_j$ , with  $N_j$  an infinity subset of  $N_{j-1} \setminus \{n_j\}$ .

Then we define  $n_{j+1} = \min\{n : n \in N_j\}$  and from Proposition 4 with  $\mathcal{A} = \Sigma$ ,  $A = \Omega \setminus (\cup\{A_{km} : 1 \leq k \leq m \leq j\})$ ,  $n \in \{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_j, n_{j+1}\} \cup (N_j \setminus \{n_{j+1}\})$ ,  $p = \alpha = j + 1$  and with  $\{B_i : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$  equal to  $\{A_{km} : 1 \leq k \leq m \leq j\}$  we obtain the measures  $\mu_{i,j+1} \in M_{n_i}$  and the pairwise disjoint elements  $A_{i,j+1}$  of  $\Sigma$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq j + 1$ , such that each  $A_{i,j+1}$  is contained in  $\Omega \setminus (\cup\{A_{km} : 1 \leq k \leq m \leq j\})$ ,

$$|\mu_{i,j+1}(e(A_{i,j+1}))| > j + 1, \quad \sum_{1 \leq k \leq m < j+1} |\mu_{i,j+1}(e(A_{km}))| \leq 1, \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq j + 1$$

and for each finite subset  $Q$  of  $\Sigma$  we have that

$$\sup_{\mu \in M_n \cap \{e(D) : D \in Q\}^\circ} |\mu|(\Omega \setminus (\cup\{A_{km} : 1 \leq k \leq m \leq j + 1\})) = \infty,$$

for  $n = \{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_j, n_{j+1}\} \cup N_{j+1}$ , where  $N_{j+1}$  is an infinity subset  $N_j \setminus \{n_{j+1}\}$ . To finish the induction we define  $n_{j+2} = \min\{n : n \in N_{j+1}\}$ . □

**Theorem 2** Let  $\{\mathcal{B}_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  be an increasing covering of a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\Sigma$  of subsets of a set  $\Omega$ . There exists a  $q \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\mathcal{B}_n$  is a Nikodým set for  $\text{ba}(\Sigma)$  for each  $n \geq q$ . □

**Proof** Let's proceed by contradiction and suppose that every  $\mathcal{B}_n$  is not a Nikodým set for  $\text{ba}(\Sigma)$ . By Proposition 5 for each  $(i, j) \in \mathbb{N}^2$ , such that  $1 \leq i \leq j$ , there exists  $A_{ij} \in \Sigma$  and  $\mu_{ij} \in \text{ba}(\Sigma)$  such that

$$|\mu_{ij}(e(A_{ij}))| > j, \quad \sum_{1 \leq k \leq m < j} |\mu_{ij}(e(A_{km}))| \leq 1,$$

the sets  $A_{ij}$  are pairwise disjoint and the set of measures  $\{\mu_{ij} : j \in \mathbb{N}, j \geq i\}$  is pointwise bounded in  $\mathcal{B}_i$ , for each  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ .

We claim that there exists a sequence  $(i_n, j_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  such that  $(i_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is the sequence of the first components of the sequence obtained when the elements of  $\mathbb{N}^2$  are ordered by the diagonal order, i.e.,

$$(i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4, i_5, i_6, i_7, \dots) = (1, 1, 2, 1, 2, 3, 1, \dots),$$

and  $(j_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a strict increasing sequence such that for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$|\mu_{i_n, j_n}|(\cup\{A_{i_m, j_m} : m > n\}) \leq 1.$$

444 Let  $(i_1, j_1) := (1, 1)$ , suppose that  $|\mu_{i_1, j_1}| \leq k_1$  and split the set  $\{j \in \mathbb{N} : j > 1\}$  in  $k_1$   
 445 infinite subsets  $N_{11}, \dots, N_{1k_1}$ . At least one of this subsets, named  $N_1$ , verifies that

$$446 \quad |\mu_{i_1, j_1}| (\cup\{A_{i,j} : i \leq j, j \in N_1\}) \leq 1,$$

447 because

$$448 \quad k_1 \geq |\mu_{i_1, j_1}| = \sum_{1 \leq r \leq k_1} |\mu_{i_1, j_1}| (\cup\{A_{i,j} : i \leq j, j \in N_{1r}\}).$$

449 Then we define  $j_2 := \inf\{n : n \in N_1\}$ . Suppose that we have obtained the natural number  
 450  $j_n$  and the infinite subset  $N_n$  of  $\mathbb{N}$  such that

$$451 \quad |\mu_{i_n, j_n}| (\cup\{A_{i,j} : i \leq j, j \in N_n\}) \leq 1.$$

452 Then we define  $j_{n+1} = \inf\{n : n \in N_n\}$  and if  $|\mu_{i_{n+1}, j_{n+1}}| \leq k_{n+1}$  we split the set  $\{j \in$   
 453  $N_n : j > j_{n+1}\}$  in  $k_{n+1}$  infinite subsets  $N_{n+1,1}, \dots, N_{n+1, k_{n+1}}$ . At least one of this subsets,  
 454 named  $N_{n+1}$  verifies that

$$455 \quad |\mu_{i_{n+1}, j_{n+1}}| (\cup\{A_{i,j} : i \leq j, j \in N_{n+1}\}) \leq 1$$

456 because

$$457 \quad k_{n+1} \geq |\mu_{i_{n+1}, j_{n+1}}| = \sum_{1 \leq r \leq k_{n+1}} |\mu_{i_{n+1}, j_{n+1}}| (\cup\{A_{i,j} : i \leq j, j \in N_{n+1,r}\}).$$

458 As  $A = \cup\{A_{i_m, j_m} : m \in \mathbb{N}\} \in \Sigma$  there exists  $r \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $A \in \mathcal{B}_r$ . By construction,  
 459 there exists an increasing sequence  $(m_s : s \in \mathbb{N})$  such that each  $i_{m_s} = r, s \in \mathbb{N}$ . Therefore  
 460 the set of measures  $\{\mu_{i_{m_s}, j_{m_s}} : s \in \mathbb{N}\} = \{\mu_{r, j_{m_s}} : s \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is pointwise bounded in  $\mathcal{B}_r$  and,  
 461 in particular

$$462 \quad \sup\{|\mu_{i_{m_s}, j_{m_s}}(A)| : s \in \mathbb{N}\} = \sup\{|\mu_{r, j_{m_s}}(A)| : s \in \mathbb{N}\} < \infty. \tag{10}$$

463 But from

$$464 \quad \begin{aligned} |\mu_{i_{m_s}, j_{m_s}}(A)| &= \left| \mu_{i_{m_s}, j_{m_s}} \left( \bigcup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} A_{i_m, j_m} \right) \right| \\ &\geq |\mu_{i_{m_s}, j_{m_s}}(A_{i_{m_s}, j_{m_s}})| - \sum_{1 \leq k \leq m < j_{m_s}} |\mu_{i_{m_s}, j_{m_s}}(A_{km})| \\ &\quad - |\mu_{i_{m_s}, j_{m_s}}| \left( \bigcup_{m > j_{m_s}} A_{i_m, j_m} \right) > j_{m_s} - 2 \end{aligned}$$

467 we get that  $\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} |\mu_{i_{m_s}, j_{m_s}}(A)| = \infty$ , in contradiction with (10). □

468 A proof of the web Nikodým property of every  $\sigma$ -algebra is presented in [11, Theorem  
 469 1]. It depends of properties of some families of subsets of  $\cup\{\mathbb{N}^p : p \in \mathbb{N}\}$ , called *NV-trees*  
 470 in honor of Nikodým and Valdivia.

471 **Problem 2** *To get a proof of the property that every  $\sigma$ -algebra has web Nikodým property*  
 472 *with basic results of Measure theory and Banach spaces.*

473 **Problem 3** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an algebra of subsets of a set  $\Omega$  such that  $\mathcal{A}$  is a Nikodým set for*  
 474  *$\text{ba}(\mathcal{A})$ . Is it true that  $\mathcal{A}$  is a web Nikodým set for  $\text{ba}(\mathcal{A})$ .*

475 This Problem is the web Nikodým version of [17, Problem 1].

## 4 Conclusions

We have proved that if  $(\mathcal{B}_m)_{m=1}^\infty$  is an increasing covering of an algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  that has  $(VHS)$  property and there exist a  $\mathcal{B}_n$  which is a Nikodým set for  $ba(\mathcal{A})$  then there exists  $\mathcal{B}_q$ , with  $q \geq p$ , such that  $\mathcal{B}_q$  has  $(VHS)$  property, being this property defined in a natural way with the properties that define the  $(VHS)$  property in an algebra. An increasing web of a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\Sigma$  contains an increasing web formed by sets that have  $(VHS)$  property and, in particular, if  $(\mathcal{B}_m)_{m=1}^\infty$  is an increasing covering of a  $\sigma$ -algebra there exists  $\mathcal{B}_q$  that has  $(VHS)$  property. We do not know if this property holds for an algebra and we have proved that this problem is equivalent to the analogous Valdivia open problem for Nikodým property. Other two related open problems are proposed.

As a help to solve this aforementioned Valdivia problem we give a proof of Valdivia theorem stating that for each  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\Sigma$  the set  $\Sigma$  is a strong Nikodým set for  $ba(\Sigma)$ . This proof follows the scheme given by Valdivia in [16], but it is independent of the Barrelled spaces theory and it only needs basic results of Measure theory and Banach spaces.

**Acknowledgements** The author thanks both referees for valuable comments and suggestions.

## References

1. Diestel, J.: Sequences and Series in Banach Spaces. Number 92 in Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer, New York (1984)
2. Diestel, J., Uhl, J.J.: Vector Measures. Number 15 in Mathematical Surveys and Monographs. American Mathematical Society, Providence (1977)
3. Ferrando, J.C.: Strong barrelledness properties in certain  $l_0^\infty(\mathcal{A})$  spaces. J. Math. Anal. Appl. **190**, 194–202 (1995)
4. Ferrando, J.C., López-Alfonso, S., López-Pellicer, M.: On Nikodým and Rainwater sets for  $ba(R)$  and a problem of M. Valdivia. Filomat **33**(8), 2409–2416 (2019)
5. Ferrando, J.C., López-Pellicer, M.: Strong barrelledness properties in  $l_0^\infty(x, \mathcal{A})$  and bounded finite additive measures. J. Math. Anal. Appl. **287**, 727–736 (1990)
6. Ferrando, J.C., López-Pellicer, M., Sánchez Ruiz, L.M.: Metrizable Barrelled Spaces. Number 332 in Pitman Research Notes in Mathematics Series. Longman, Harlow (1995)
7. Ferrando, J.C., Sánchez Ruiz, L.M.: A survey on recent advances on the Nikodým boundedness theorem and spaces of simple functions. Rocky Mountain J. Math. **34**, 139–172 (2004)
8. Kakol, J., López-Pellicer, M.: On Valdivia strong version of Nikodým boundedness property. J. Math. Anal. Appl. **446**, 1–17 (2017)
9. Köthe, G.: Topological Vector Spaces I and II. Springer, Berlin (1979)
10. López-Alfonso, S.: On Schachermayer and Valdivia results in algebras of Jordan measurable sets. Rev. R. Acad. Cienc. Exactas Fís. Nat. Ser. A Mat. RACSAM **110**, 799–808 (2016)
11. López-Alfonso, S., Mas, J., Moll, S.: Nikodým boundedness property for webs in  $\sigma$ -algebras. Rev. R. Acad. Cienc. Exactas Fís. Nat. Ser. A Mat. RACSAM **110**, 711–722 (2016)
12. López-Alfonso, S., Moll, S.: The uniform bounded deciding property and the separable quotient problem. Rev. R. Acad. Cienc. Exactas Fís. Nat. Ser. A Mat. RACSAM **113**, 1223–1230 (2019)
13. Pérez Carreras, P., Bonet, J.: Barrelled Locally Convex Spaces. Number 131 in North-Holland Mathematics Studies. Notas de Matemática. North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam (1987)
14. López-Pellicer, M.: Webs and bounded finitely additive measures. J. Math. Anal. Appl. **210**, 257–267 (1997)
15. Schachermayer, W.: On some classical measure-theoretic theorems for non-sigma-complete Boolean algebras. Dissertationes Math. (Rozprawy Mat.) **214**, 33 (1982)
16. Valdivia, M.: On certain barrelled normed spaces. Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **29**, 39–56 (1979)
17. Valdivia, M.: On Nikodým boundedness property. Rev. R. Acad. Cienc. Exactas Fís. Nat. Ser. A Mat. RACSAM **107**, 355–372 (2013)

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.