

---


# Contents

---

<b>Contents</b>	<b>i</b>
<b>List of Figures</b>	<b>v</b>
<b>List of Tables</b>	<b>x</b>
<b>1 Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 General context . . . . .	1
1.2 Objectives and methodology . . . . .	4
1.3 Thesis outline . . . . .	7
References . . . . .	8
<b>2 Urea water injection process</b>	<b>13</b>
2.1 Introduction . . . . .	13
2.2 Emissions and pollutants from internal combustion engines . .	13
2.2.1 European regulations on automotive internal combustion engines pollutant emissions . . . . .	14
2.2.2 The homologation cycles . . . . .	15
2.2.3 NO <sub>x</sub> formation process in Internal Combustion Engines	19
2.2.4 NO <sub>x</sub> formation process in Compression Ignition Engines	22
2.2.5 NO <sub>x</sub> Reduction strategies for diesel engines . . . . .	24
2.3 Selective catalytic reduction systems . . . . .	28
2.3.1 SCR operating principle . . . . .	30
2.3.2 Components of a SCR system . . . . .	33
2.3.3 UWS dosing unit . . . . .	35
2.3.4 Hydraulic characterization of UWS injector . . . . .	38
2.3.5 Spray development characterization of UWS injectors .	39

2.4	Spray atomization process . . . . .	45
2.4.1	Secondary atomization . . . . .	48
2.5	Summary . . . . .	50
	References . . . . .	51
<b>3</b>	<b>Materials and Methods</b>	<b>57</b>
3.1	Introduction . . . . .	57
3.2	Injection system . . . . .	57
3.2.1	Dosing system in the laboratory . . . . .	58
3.3	Momentum flux . . . . .	63
3.3.1	Measurement setup . . . . .	66
3.3.2	Measurement procedure . . . . .	66
3.4	Injected mass . . . . .	67
3.4.1	Measurement setup . . . . .	67
3.4.2	Measurement procedure . . . . .	68
3.5	Rate of injection . . . . .	68
3.6	High flow and high temperature installation for UWS spray visualization . . . . .	71
3.6.1	Hot-air flow test rig . . . . .	72
3.6.2	Control elements and sensors . . . . .	73
3.7	Optical techniques . . . . .	79
3.7.1	Diffused back-illumination . . . . .	79
3.8	Image processing methods . . . . .	80
3.8.1	Spray penetration and spreading angle . . . . .	80
3.9	Summary . . . . .	86
	References . . . . .	87
<b>4</b>	<b>UWS Hydraulic performance</b>	<b>91</b>
4.1	Introduction . . . . .	91
4.2	Hydraulic characterization test plan . . . . .	91
4.3	Momentum flux results . . . . .	92
4.4	Injected mass results . . . . .	99
4.5	Rate of injection results . . . . .	100
4.6	Discharge Coefficient . . . . .	102
4.7	Summary and conclusions . . . . .	105
	References . . . . .	106
<b>5</b>	<b>Spray penetration and spreading angle of UWS injection systems</b>	<b>109</b>
5.1	Introduction . . . . .	109

5.2	Spray characterization test plan . . . . .	109
5.3	Spray penetration . . . . .	110
5.3.1	Spray Segmentation of burst and spray body . . . . .	111
5.3.2	Spray penetration behaviour at 90 degree position. . . . .	115
5.3.3	Spray penetration behaviour at 45 degree position. . . . .	118
5.4	Spray spreading angle . . . . .	121
5.5	Summary and conclusions . . . . .	124
	References . . . . .	126
<b>6</b>	<b>Droplet Characterization</b>	<b>129</b>
6.1	Introduction . . . . .	129
6.2	Test plan . . . . .	129
6.3	Droplet size distribution . . . . .	132
6.3.1	Droplet size determination . . . . .	132
6.3.2	Effect of injection pressure on the droplet size distribution	136
6.4	Droplet velocity distribution . . . . .	139
6.5	Velocity determination and validation of the measurement technique . . . . .	140
6.5.1	Effect of injection pressure on droplet velocity . . . . .	142
6.5.2	Effect of the flow temperature on droplet velocity . . . . .	145
6.5.3	Droplet diameter - velocity relationship . . . . .	146
6.5.4	Dimensionless numbers and atomization regimes . . . . .	147
6.6	Summary and conclusions . . . . .	152
	References . . . . .	153
<b>7</b>	<b>Conclusions and future works</b>	<b>157</b>
7.1	Summary . . . . .	157
7.2	Future Works . . . . .	162
	<b>Global Bibliography</b>	<b>165</b>



---

# List of Figures

---

2.1	EURO Standards maximum limits for PM and NO <sub>x</sub> in light duty diesel engines [2]. . . . .	16
2.2	New European Driving Cycle (NEDC) velocity profile over time (Adapted from [3]). . . . .	17
2.3	Worldwide harmonized Light duty vehicles Test Cycle (WLTC) velocity profile curve over time(Adapted from [3]). . . . .	18
2.4	Relative concentrations for the three different formation of nitrogen oxides (thermal, prompt, fuel), with the increase of flame temperature [6]. . . . .	20
2.5	Particulate matter vs NO <sub>x</sub> trade-off [9]. . . . .	24
2.6	Methods to reduce pollutant emissions for Diesel engines [8]. . . . .	25
2.7	Example of an SCR system. . . . .	28
2.8	Freezing point of the solution versus concentration of urea. [13] . . . . .	29
2.9	Bosch Denoxtronic 1.1 system components for heavy duty vehicles (Adapted from [16]). . . . .	33
2.10	Bosch Denoxtronic 5.0 system components (Adapted from [16]). . . . .	34
2.11	Exhaust line "Y" layout. . . . .	35
2.12	Air-cooled UWS injector. . . . .	36
2.13	Liquid-cooled UWS injector. . . . .	37
2.14	Example of deposit formation in a commercial nozzle. . . . .	38
2.15	Visualization test rig and optical setup used in [29]. . . . .	40
2.16	Scheme of the flow rig and visualization chamber used in [30]. . . . .	41
2.17	Diagram of the visualization system used in [32]. . . . .	42
2.18	Test rig used in [33]. Left side: Laser backlight imaging setup. Right side: PDA arrangement. . . . .	43
2.19	Laser backlight imaging setup used in [2]. . . . .	44
2.20	Experimental setup with shadowgraphy and microscope used in [36]. . . . .	44

2.21	Break-up regimes at the tested conditions of [36], based on [38, 39].	46
2.22	Diagram of the jet breakup regimes classification (extracted from [41]). . . . .	47
2.23	Breakup regimes for a low viscosity Newtonian droplet in a horizontal air stream . . . . .	49
3.1	Schematic diagram of the dosing system adapted to laboratory requirements. . . . .	58
3.2	Pressure unit schematic diagram. . . . .	59
3.3	Dosing unit. . . . .	60
3.4	Geometrical characterization of the hole outlet with the optical microscope. . . . .	61
3.5	Internal inspection of the nozzle using X-rays tomography. . . . .	62
3.6	Injector signal controller. a) Engine Control Unit from Bosch. b) Commercial injector driver. . . . .	63
3.7	Electrical signal definition. . . . .	63
3.8	Temperature controller. . . . .	64
3.9	Spray momentum flux measurement principle. . . . .	65
3.10	Diagram momentum flux test rig. . . . .	66
3.11	Injection Measurement setup schematic diagram. . . . .	68
3.12	(a) Representation of the real flow behaviour: area, density and velocity profile. (b) Representation of the effective area, density, and effective velocity in a nozzle. Adapted from [1]. . . . .	69
3.13	Hot-air flow test rig. . . . .	73
3.14	Configuration of the optically-accessible section. . . . .	74
3.15	Diagram of the visualization test rig setup. . . . .	74
3.16	Test bench used for the flow meter calibration. . . . .	75
3.17	Calibration curves of the mass flow meter. . . . .	76
3.18	Mass flow meter and thermocouples used in the high flow test rig. . . . .	76
3.19	Temperature control flange. . . . .	77
3.20	Pressure gauges employed in the installation. . . . .	78
3.21	Electrical board of the installation. . . . .	78
3.22	Diagram of the diffused back-illumination setup used for the visualization of the spray. . . . .	80
3.23	Classic contour detecting algorithm (red lines) and region of droplets that are not detected (blue lines). . . . .	81
3.24	Sketch of the UWS spray morphology and burst definition . . . . .	81
3.25	Binary image alongside the cumulative pixel count curve for four different time steps. . . . .	83
3.26	Measuring distances for the spray angle determination . . . . .	84

3.27	Schematic diagram of the diffused back-illumination setup used for the visualization of the droplets. . . . .	84
3.28	Steps for the detection of the droplets at each frame:(a) Image with subtracted background. (b) Binarized image. (c) Detection of the droplet. (d) Tagged droplets. . . . .	86
3.29	Trajectory of droplets at different frames: (a) 1780 $\mu$ s, (b) 1806 $\mu$ s, and (c) 1838 $\mu$ s After Start of Energizing. . . . .	87
4.1	Signal of Momentum flux at four distances between nozzle and sensor	93
4.2	Images of the spray at 4 mm from the hole exit. Time is measured After Start Of Energizing (ASOE). . . . .	94
4.3	Momentum flux signal. Injection pressure: 5 bar (absolute). Discharge pressure: 0.75 bar (absolute). Cooling temperature: 60 °C. (Time ASOE). . . . .	95
4.4	Momentum flux for water (left) and UWS (right). Influence of the injection pressure and discharge pressure. . . . .	96
4.5	Momentum flux for water (left) and UWS (right). Influence of the cooling temperature. . . . .	96
4.6	Comparison of the spray momentum flux for both fluids. . . . .	97
4.7	(left) Average momentum flux for all tested conditions. (right) Ratio of the average momentum flux between water and UWS. . .	98
4.8	Comparison of the injected mass. (Left) Water. (Right) UWS. . .	99
4.9	Rate of injection curves. Comparison of injection pressure effect at three cooling temperatures and 1 bar of discharge pressure. . .	101
4.10	Averaged rate of injection as a function of $\Delta P^{0.5}$ . (Left) Water. (Right) UWS. . . . .	102
4.11	Viscosity of the UWS and water at different temperatures. . . . .	103
4.12	Discharge coefficient vs Reynolds number for water (left side) and UWS (right side). . . . .	104
4.13	Section view of the internal geometry of the studied injector. . . .	105
5.1	Injector positioning inside of the visualization chamber at 45° (left) and 90° (right) inclination angles regarding the air mass flow. . . .	111
5.2	Spray burst and body evolution at 1.1, 1.6 and 2.2 ms measured from the start of energizing. The upper row represents the injection pressure of 4 bar and the lower 8 bar. . . . .	113
5.3	Spray burst and body comparison for all injection pressures and cooling temperature of 60°C, time after start of energizing. . . . .	114
5.4	Spray burst and body comparison for all injection pressures and cooling temperature of 90°C . . . . .	114

5.5	Spray burst and body comparison for all injection pressures and cooling temperature of 120°C . . . . .	115
5.6	Comparison of the spray Burst and Body for different air mass flows and injector cooling temperature of 60 °C . . . . .	116
5.7	Comparison of the spray Burst and Body for different air mass flows and injector cooling temperature of 90 °C . . . . .	117
5.8	Comparison of the spray Burst and Body for different air mass flows and injector cooling temperature of 120°C . . . . .	117
5.9	Spray burst and body comparison for all injection pressures and cooling temperature of 60°C . . . . .	118
5.10	Spray burst and body comparison for all injection pressures and cooling temperature of 90°C . . . . .	119
5.11	Effect of injection pressure at a UWS cooling temperature of 60°C	119
5.12	Effect of injection pressure at a UWS cooling temperature of 90°C	120
5.13	Effect of the injection pressure, air mass flow, and injector positioning on the spray spreading angle. . . . .	122
5.14	Average angles from the steady part of the spray at a cooling temperature of 60°C . . . . .	123
5.15	Average angles from the steady part of the spray at a cooling temperature of 90°C . . . . .	123
5.16	Average angles from the steady part of the spray at a cooling temperature of 120°C . . . . .	124
6.1	Location of the windows used for the near-field visualization (not to scale). P1: Position 1, P2: Position 2, P3: Position 3. . . . .	131
6.2	Images of the UWS droplets at two positions of the spray. Top row: Position P1. Bottom row: P2. For three injection pressures: 4 bar (A and D), 6 bar (B and E), and 8 bar (C and F ). Gas temperature: 180 °C. . . . .	133
6.3	Droplet diameter PDF for three different techniques at position P2. Injection pressure: 6 bar. . . . .	135
6.4	Distribution of the droplet diameter at position P1 (near the nozzle exit) for the three injection pressures. . . . .	137
6.5	Distribution of the droplet diameter at position P2, for the three injection pressures. . . . .	138
6.6	Distribution of the droplet diameter at position P3, for the three injection pressures. . . . .	139
6.7	Droplet morphology identification in position P2 ( Y = 30 mm) at different time steps. Injection pressure: 4, 6 and 8 bar. Technique: HSMI . . . . .	140



6.8	Sauter Mean Diameter (SMD) evolution over injection time. Injection pressure: 4, 6 and 8 bar. . . . .	141
6.9	Droplet velocity versus diameter comparison for both measuring techniques. . . . .	142
6.10	Droplet average velocity in Y direction measured by PDA and HSMV for three pressure levels. . . . .	143
6.11	Droplet velocity distribution in the Y axis at position P1, for the three injection pressures. . . . .	144
6.12	Droplet velocity distribution in the Y axis at position P2, for the three injection pressures. . . . .	145
6.13	Droplet velocity distribution in the Y axis at position P3, for the three injection pressures. . . . .	146
6.14	Distribution of the droplet velocity in the X axis at P1, for the three injection pressures. . . . .	147
6.15	Distribution of the droplet velocity in the X axis at P2, for the three injection pressures. . . . .	148
6.16	Distribution of the droplet velocity in the X axis at P3, for the three injection pressures. . . . .	149
6.17	Droplet velocity distribution in the Y axis. Position P1 (left), Position P2 (center), and Position P3 (right), Gas-flow temperatures: 180 and 350 °C. . . . .	149
6.18	Droplet velocity distribution in the X axis. Position P1 (left), Position P2 (center), and Position P3 (right), Gas-flow temperatures: 180 and 350 °C. . . . .	150
6.19	Normalized droplet and velocity relationship. . . . .	150
6.20	Primary breakup regime for the three pressure levels tested. . . . .	151
6.21	Second Atomization regime for the three pressure levels tested. . . . .	152
7.1	Urea deposits in the injector nozzle tip . . . . .	163

---

# List of Tables

---

2.1	Real Driving Emission test trip speed and distance characteristics.	19
3.1	Injector technical features. . . . .	60
3.2	Momentum Flux test rig properties. . . . .	67
4.1	Experimental conditions. . . . .	92
5.1	Test plan for the macroscopic spray visualization campaign. . . . .	110
6.1	Test plan for the droplet characterization. . . . .	130
6.2	Details of the optical setup for the DBI: Near field spray measurements. . . . .	132