

INDEX

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	ix
AGRADECIMIENTOS.....	xi
LIST OF FIGURES.....	xvii
LIST OF TABLES.....	xviii
ABSTRACT	1
RESUMEN	3
RESUM	5
CHAPTER 1: SCOPE OF THIS STUDY	7
SPECIFIC OBJECTIVES.....	7
CAPTHER 2: INTRODUCTION.....	9
1. ANIMAL RESILIENCE	9
2. ENVIRONMENTAL VARIANCE OF TRAITS	10
2.1. RABBITS FOR STUDYING THE V_E	12
3. OMICS STUDIES	13
4. GENOMIC STUDIES	14
4.1. GENOME-WIDE ASSOCIATION STUDIES.....	14
4.2. SIGNATURE OF SELECTION.....	18
4.3. WHOLE-GENOME SEQUENCING.....	21
4.4. PREVIOUS GENOMIC ANALYSIS IN V_E AND RESILIENCE	23
5. MICROBIOME STUDIES.....	24
5.1. IDENTIFYING THE MICROBIAL SPECIES DIVERSITY.....	27
5.2. GUT METABOLOME.....	33
5.3. MICROBIOME COMPOSITION FOR V_E AND RESILIENCE.	34
6. REFERENCES.....	36
CHAPTER 3: Identification of functional mutations associated with environmental variance of litter size in rabbits	55
1. ABSTRACT	56
1.1. BACKGROUND.....	56
1.2. RESULTS	56
1.3. CONCLUSIONS	56
2. BACKGROUND.....	57
3. METHODS	57
3.1. ANIMALS.....	57
3.2. PHENOTYPE	58
3.3. GENOTYPES	58
3.4. GWAS	59
3.5. WHOLE-GENOME SEQUENCING.....	60

3.6. IDENTIFICATION OF CANDIDATE GENES AND FUNCTIONAL MUTATIONS	61
4. RESULTS.....	61
4.1. GENOMIC REGIONS ASSOCIATED WITH V_E OF LS	61
4.2. CANDIDATE GENES FOR V_E OF LITTER SIZE.....	63
5. DISCUSSION	64
6. CONCLUSIONS	67
7. DECLARATIONS	67
8. REFERENCES.....	68
9. ADDITIONAL FILES.....	70
CHAPTER 4: Selection for environmental variance of litter size in rabbits involves genes in pathways controlling animal resilience.....	73
1. ABSTRACT	74
1.1. BACKGROUND.....	74
1.2. RESULTS.....	74
1.3. CONCLUSIONS	74
2. BACKGROUND.....	75
3. METHODS	76
3.1. ANIMALS AND GENOTYPING DATA	76
3.2. IDENTIFICATION OF SIGNATURES OF SELECTION.....	76
3.3. VALIDATION	79
3.5. IDENTIFICATION OF CANDIDATE GENES.....	79
4. RESULTS.....	80
4.1. SIGNATURES OF SELECTION FOR V_E OF LS	80
4.2. CANDIDATE GENES FOR V_E OF LS	81
5. DISCUSSION	83
6. CONCLUSIONS	86
7. DECLARATIONS	87
8. REFERENCES.....	88
9. ADDITIONAL FILES.....	90
CHAPTER 5: Selection for environmental variance shifted the gut microbiome composition driving animal resilience.....	93
1. ABSTRACT	94
1.1. BACKGROUND.....	94
1.2. RESULTS.....	94
1.4. CONCLUSIONS	94
2. BACKGROUND.....	95
3. METHODS	95
4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	99
5. CONCLUSIONS	104

6. DECLARATIONS	104
7. REFERENCES.....	105
8. ADDITIONAL FILES	108
CHAPTER 6: Dissimilarities in the gut metabolome of rabbits with genetic differences in their resilient potential.....	111
1. ABSTRACT	112
1.1. BACKGROUND.....	112
1.2. RESULTS.....	112
1.3. CONCLUSIONS	112
2. BACKGROUND.....	113
3. METHODS	114
4. RESULTS.....	117
5. DISCUSSION.....	119
6. CONCLUSIONS	122
7. DECLARATIONS	122
8. REFERENCE	123
9. ADDITIONAL FILES	126
CHAPTER 7: simuGMsel: A tool for simulating the genome and microbiome coevolution in animal breeding programs.....	127
1. ABSTRACT	128
1.1. BACKGROUND.....	128
1.2. RESULTS.....	128
1.3. CONCLUSION.....	128
2. BACKGROUND.....	129
3. METHODS	130
3.1. GENOME SIMULATION	130
3.2. MICROBIOME SIMULATION	130
3.3. PHENOTYPE SIMULATION.....	135
3.4. INHERITANCE	135
3.5. PARAMETER ESTIMATIONS	136
4. RESULTS.....	136
5. DISCUSSION.....	141
6. CONCLUSION	143
7. DECLARATIONS	143
8. REFERENCE	144
9. ADDITIONAL FILES	146
CHAPTER 8: GENERAL DISCUSSION	149
1. INTRODUCTION.....	149
2. IDENTIFICATION OF CANDIDATE GENES FOR THE V _E	149

3. DISSIMILARITIES IN THE MICROBIOME COMPOSITION	153
4. REFERENCES.....	157
CHAPTER 9: GENERAL CONCLUSIONS	163
CHAPTER 10: IMPLICATIONS	165
CHAPTER 11: REMARKABLE LIMITATIONS	167
CHAPTER 12: FURTHER PERSPECTIVES.....	169
CHAPTER 13: CONSIDERATIONS.....	171

LIST OF FIGURES

CHAPTER 2

Figure 1. Differences in the phenotype value due to environmental sensitivity.

CHAPTER 3

Figure 1. Manhattan plots for genome-wide association analyses for environmental variance of litter size.

CHAPTER 4

Figure 1. Methods of identifying patterns of signatures of selection.

Figure 2. Principal component analysis of the genotyped data.

CHAPTER 5

Figure 1. Gut microbiome composition dissimilarity.

Figure 3. Principal component analysis of gut microbiome composition

CHAPTER 6

Figure 4. Pathway and biological origin of the metabolites with a relevant difference in abundance between the rabbit populations.

Figure 5. Final PLS-DA model.

CHAPTER 7

Figure 6. Simulation scheme.

Figure 7. Hierarchical model for the calculation of the microbiome composition.

Figure 8. Microbial species effect on the phenotype (ω_k).

Figure 4. Microbial species effect on the phenotype (ω_k).

Figure 5. Boxplots of the phenotypic values on the last generation for all scenario simulated.

Figure 6. Boxplots of the genetic and microbiome value on the last generation for all scenarios simulated.

LIST OF TABLES

CHAPTER 2

Table 1. Heritability (h^2) and microbiability (m^2) in different traits for some livestock species.

CHAPTER 3

Table 1. Genomic regions associated with environmental variance of litter size in rabbits

Table 2. Classification and total number of variants (SNVs + INDELs) in each vQTL region.

CHAPTER 4

Table 1. Effects of the variants (SNVs and INDEL) identified in the genomic regions with true signatures of selection for V_E of LS.

Table 2. Functional mutations (INDEL or SNVs) fixed in one of the rabbit lines and absent in the other line.

CHAPTER 5

Table 1. PLS-DA model specifications using counts from genes and taxa of the resilient and non-resilient rabbit populations.

CHAPTER 6

Table 2. Metabolites from aromatic amino acids (AAAs) metabolism with relevant differences between the non-resilient and resilient populations.

CHPATER 7

Table 3. Types of symbiosis relationships among microbial species and their interaction effects when both species are in the individual.