

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1	Background . . . . .	1
1.2	Motivation . . . . .	5
1.3	Research Objectives and Scope . . . . .	8
1.4	Research Methodology . . . . .	11
1.5	Thesis Outline . . . . .	16
<b>2</b>	<b>Literature Review</b>	<b>19</b>
2.1	Theoretical Framework . . . . .	19
2.2	Background . . . . .	25
2.2.1	Automotive Acoustics . . . . .	25
2.2.2	After-treatment Systems . . . . .	28
2.3	Historical Evolution of Studies in Aftertreatment Systems	34
<b>3</b>	<b>Materials and Methods</b>	<b>39</b>
3.1	Studied Devices: Exhaust Systems . . . . .	39
3.1.1	Devices in detail . . . . .	40
3.1.2	Preparations required . . . . .	53
3.2	Experimental Test Facilities and their usage . . . . .	54
3.2.1	Pressure Drop Measurement: Cold Flow Test Bench	54
3.2.2	Acoustic Characterization: Impulse Test Rig . .	61
3.2.3	Analysis of reflective and dissipative effects . . .	71
3.2.4	Engine-like Condition Validation: Unsteady flow gas stand . . . . .	77
3.2.5	Soot loading of devices . . . . .	81
3.3	Modelling and Computational Tools and Approaches . .	82
3.3.1	Programs and tools used . . . . .	83

3.3.2	Previous Steps for Modelling . . . . .	86
3.3.3	CAD Handling . . . . .	104
3.3.4	3D Approach . . . . .	107
3.3.5	1D Approach . . . . .	114
3.3.6	1D-3D Coupled Simulation Approach . . . . .	118
<b>4</b>	<b>Significance of Cold Condition Measurements to actual in-engine conditions</b>	<b>121</b>
4.1	Introduction . . . . .	121
4.2	Background . . . . .	123
4.3	Assessment Procedure . . . . .	125
4.4	Results and Discussion . . . . .	134
<b>5</b>	<b>Case study on a complete SCR exhaust line with static swirl mixer</b>	<b>141</b>
5.1	Introduction & Background . . . . .	141
5.2	Assessment of individual devices containing monoliths .	144
5.3	The overlooked effect of auxiliary devices . . . . .	160
5.4	Assessment of combined devices . . . . .	183
5.5	Assessment of additivity or interactions . . . . .	198
<b>6</b>	<b>Assessment of Individual After-treatment Devices</b>	<b>211</b>
6.1	Introduction & background . . . . .	211
6.2	Devices with flow-through monoliths, bends and irregular geometries . . . . .	215
6.3	Devices with wall-flow monoliths . . . . .	232
6.4	Comparative analysis of results . . . . .	250
6.5	The very early life of GPF . . . . .	256
6.6	Validation of the developed 1D methodology on most complex devices . . . . .	268
<b>7</b>	<b>Conclusions and Future Work</b>	<b>291</b>
7.1	Conclusions . . . . .	291
7.2	Future Works . . . . .	311
	<b>Bibliography</b>	<b>312</b>