

Document downloaded from:

<http://hdl.handle.net/10251/195214>

This paper must be cited as:

Lucas-Borja, ME.; Zema, DA.; Fernández, C.; Soria, R.; Miralles, I.; Santana, VM.; Pérez-Romero, J.... (2022). Limited contribution of post-fire eco-engineering techniques to support post-fire plant diversity. *Science of The Total Environment*. 815:1-9.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.152894>



The final publication is available at

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.152894>

Copyright Elsevier

Additional Information

1 **SHORT COMMUNICATION**

2
3 **Influence of post-fire eco-engineering techniques on plant diversity under**
4 **Mediterranean climates**

5
6 Manuel Esteban Lucas-Borja^{1,*}, Demetrio Antonio Zema², Rocío Soria³, Isabel Miralles³,
7 Victor M. Santana^{4,5}, Javier Pérez-Romero⁶, Antonio D. del Campo⁶

8
9 ¹ Department of Agroforestry Technology, Science and Genetics, School of Advanced
10 Agricultural and Forestry Engineering, Campus Universitario s/n, Castilla La Mancha
11 University, E-02071 Albacete, Spain.

12 ² AGRARIA Department, Mediterranean University of Reggio Calabria, Località Feo di
13 Vito, I-89122 Reggio Calabria, Italy.

14 ³ Department of Agronomy & Center for Intensive Mediterranean Agrosystems and Agri-
15 food Biotechnology (CIAIMBITAL), University of Almeria, E-04120, Almería, Spain.

16 ⁴ Fundación Centro de Estudios Medioambientales del Mediterráneo (CEAM). Parque
17 Tecnológico. C/Charles R. Darwin, 14. 46980. Paterna (Valencia), Spain.

18 ⁵ Departamento de Ecología. Facultad de Ciencias V. Universidad de Alicante. 03080. San Vicente
19 del Raspeig (Alicante), Spain

20 ⁶ Research Group in Forest Science and Technology (Re-ForeST), Universitat Politècnica
21 de Valencia, Camino de Vera s/n, E-46022 Valencia (Spain)

22
23
24 * manuelesteban.lucas@uclm.es

25
26 **Abstract**

27
28 Eco-engineering techniques are generally effective at reducing soil erosion and restore
29 vegetal cover after wildfire. However, less evidence exists on the effects of the post-fire
30 eco-engineering techniques on plant diversity. To fill this gap of knowledge, a regional-
31 scale analysis of the influence of post-fire eco-engineering techniques (log erosion
32 barriers, contour felled log debris, mulching, chipping and felling, in some cases with
33 burning) on species richness and diversity is proposed, adopting the Iberian Peninsula as
34 case study. In general, no significant differences in species richness and diversity were

35 found between the forest treated with each post-fire eco-engineering technique, and the
36 burned and non-treated soils. These differences were noticeable and thus significant only
37 in some sites treated with log erosion barriers or mulching. The latter technique increased
38 species richness and diversity in some pine species and shrublands. Contour felled log
39 debris with burning slightly increased vegetation diversity, while log erosion barriers,
40 chipping and felling were not successful for this effect. This research will help forest
41 managers and agents in Mediterranean forest to decide the best postfire management
42 option for wildfire affected forest.

43

44 **Keywords:** wildfire; species richness; species diversity; log erosion barriers; contour
45 felled log debris; mulching; chipping; felling.

46

47 **1. Introduction**

48

49 Forest ecosystems that are affected by wildfires undergo noticeable changes in soil
50 properties and vegetation cover. Due to these changes, post-fire high-intensity storms
51 expose forest soil to erosion and consequent degradation (Pereira et al., 2018; Fernández
52 and Vega, 2016; Morán-Ordóñez et al., 2020). To contrast these degradation factors,
53 millions of euros are currently being spent in short-term post-fire management actions
54 (Lucas-Borja, 2021). Many of these actions are eco-engineering techniques that show
55 economic sustainability and environmental compatibility; mulching, and the construction
56 of log erosion barriers or contour felled log debris are examples of the post-fire eco-
57 engineering techniques (Lucas-Borja, 2021; Zema, 2021). Post-fire eco-engineering
58 techniques are conducted within one year of a fire to stabilize the burned soil, protect
59 public health and infrastructures, and reduce the risk of additional damage to valued forest
60 ecosystems (Robichaud et al., 2010; Vega et al., 2018). These techniques control the soil's
61 hydrological response and, at the same time, enhance recovery of soil properties and
62 restoration of plant cover and diversity to the pre-fire levels. According to the scientific
63 literature, the effectiveness of post-fire eco-engineering techniques is highly variable,
64 depending on the wildfire severity and characteristics of forest ecosystems (topography,
65 rainfall characteristics and plant composition) (Badía et al., 2015; Robichaud, 1998;
66 Girona-García et al. 2021). Although several studies have evaluated the effects of several
67 post-fire eco-engineering techniques on soil hydrology and vegetation cover (Morgan et
68 al., 2014; Gómez-Sánchez et al., 2019; Fernández et al., 2019), less information is

69 available on how vegetation diversity responds after the installation of eco-engineering
70 materials and structures. In other words, while the increase in vegetation cover is expected
71 after post-fire management actions, the knowledge on how and to what extent the eco-
72 engineering techniques drive richness and plant diversity is very limited. This is an
73 essential concern in the Mediterranean forest ecosystems, which are threatened by a
74 severe risk of wildfire and often affected by high erosion rates (Moody et al., 2013;
75 Shakesby, 2011). In these environmental contexts, these risks may be aggravated by the
76 expected scenarios of climate change (Collins et al., 2013), which forecast further
77 reductions in vegetation cover and losses of vegetation diversity in forestlands.

78

79 Due to this lack of knowledge, the effectiveness of many techniques on plant diversity is
80 in some cases debatable and in other local contexts even unknown. This implies that these
81 strategies are applied by forest managers all over the Mediterranean area without precise
82 plans, which should be based on evaluations of the effects of previous actions. To fill this
83 gap of knowledge, a regional-scale database about the influence of post-fire eco-
84 engineering techniques on plant diversity is proposed. The effects of a set of five
85 techniques (log erosion barriers, contour felled log debris, mulching, chipping and felling,
86 in some cases with burning) on species richness and diversity are evaluated in nine forest
87 sites that were affected by wildfire in Spain. This country together with Greece, France,
88 Italy, and Portugal constitute over 85% of the most vulnerable areas to fire in Europe, and
89 belong to the Mediterranean Basin that is largely threatened by extreme wildfires
90 (Moreira et al., 2020) (San-Miguel-Ayanz et al., 2017). To the authors' best knowledge,
91 this is the first comprehensive study that has analyzed the effect of a broad set of post-
92 fire management techniques on vegetation diversity of a wildfire-prone forest area, such
93 as the Iberian Peninsula is. We hypothesize that all the analyzed eco-engineering
94 techniques modify plant diversity in wildfire-affected areas in comparison to non-treated
95 areas under the Mediterranean climate. However, the influence of each technique on plant
96 diversity might be site-dependent, that is, it should be influenced by the forest type and
97 ecosystem properties. This study aims to advance our knowledge on how plant diversity
98 responds to the most common post-fire management strategies, considering the variability
99 of climate, soil, and forest species.

100

101 **2. Material and methods**

102

103 *2.1. Study areas and experimental sites*

104

105 This study has been carried out in nine wildfire-affected forest sites of six Spanish
106 provinces, both in the North-western (under oceanic temperate climate) and South-
107 Eastern (under dry sub-humid and semi-arid climates) zones of this country (Figure 1).
108 Table 1 reports the main climatic, morphological and plant characteristics of these forest
109 sites. Different eco-engineering techniques have been immediately applied in the
110 subsequent months after fire at each experimental site (Table 1).

111

112 *2.2. Evaluation of richness and plant diversity*

113

114 In each site and for each combination of post-fire eco-engineering techniques and main
115 forest species depicted in Table 1, the species richness (hereafter indicated as “SR”) and
116 diversity (“SD”) were evaluated five years (Hellín), three years (El Tranco and Porto do
117 Son), and two years (Arbo, Entrimo, Cualedro and Liétor and Llutxent) after the wildfires.
118 In more detail, SR was the number of species identified in each plot, while SD was
119 calculated using the well-known Shannon index. The species richness and relative
120 abundance have been quantified by the α -diversity index (H_α) proposed by Hill (1973),
121 which utilizes Rényi’s function (Li and Reynolds, 1993; O’Neill et al., 1988):

122

123
$$SD = - \sum_{i=1}^S p_i \ln p_i . \quad (1)$$

124

125 where:

126 - $p_i = \frac{n_i}{N}$ = frequency of “ n_i ” plants belonging to the species “ i ” with respect to the total

127 number of plants “ N ” in the plot;

128 - S = number of species in each plot.

129

130 For each site, an effect size for the contrast between each eco-engineering technique and
131 the burned site without any post-fire action was calculated for both SR and SD. This effect
132 size was estimated as the natural logarithm (ln) of the response ratio (RR, (Curtis and
133 Wang, 1998; Hedges et al., 1999)) - hereafter “log response ratio” or “lnRR” - using the
134 following equation:

135

$$\ln RR = \frac{x_T}{x_{BNA}} \quad (2)$$

137

138 where x_T is the mean value of the response variable measured in the plot subjected to the
139 eco-engineering technique “T” and x_T is the corresponding value measured in the burned
140 plot without any post-fire action (burned and no action, BNA). Therefore, in our study,
141 two lnRRs were calculated, namely “lnRR(SR)”, which is the log response ratio of the
142 species richness, and the “lnRR(SD)”, which is the log response ratio of the species
143 diversity.

144

145 A negative lnRR of a technique T is a SR or SD that is lower compared to the SR or SD
146 of a burned and non-treated area, while, if lnRR is positive, the SR or SD is higher than
147 in the BNA plot (Eldridge and Delgado-Baquerizo, 2017). Therefore, the lnRR is an easy
148 and meaningful index to summarize the comparative value and direction of an ecological
149 effect (Lajeunesse, 2015) in meta-analysis. This approach allowed a standardized analysis
150 of data from different sites and after sampling by different methods. Moreover, the 95%-
151 confidence interval (CI₉₅) of both lnRR was calculated, in order to evaluate the
152 significance of the effect of a technique. If the extremes of the CI₉₅ are both positive and
153 negative, the lnRR is significant, otherwise (that is, if both these extremes are positive or
154 negative), it is not significant. Finally, in order to quantify the increase or decrease in SR
155 and SD due to the eco-engineering technique compared to the BNA area, the percent
156 variation of each effect evaluated in the treated plot was evaluated.

157

158 2.3. Statistical analyses

159

160 First, linear correlations between LnRR(SR) and LnRR(SD) on one side and some key
161 factors of the nine sites on the other side (total annual precipitation, mean annual
162 temperature, Aridity Index, and soil slope and altitude) were investigated. To this aim,
163 the values of the LnRR indexes were averaged among the different post-fire management
164 strategies. Then, a one-way ANOVA was applied to the SR and SD (response variables)
165 separately for each site (except El Tranco site), assuming as factor the soil condition (the
166 different technique and the burned and non-treated area), the latter considered as
167 independent factors. In El Tranco site, where different forest species and eco-engineering

168 techniques were investigated and considered as independent factors, a 2-way ANOVA
169 was applied. The pairwise comparison by Tukey's test (at $p < 0.05$) was also used to
170 evaluate the statistical significance of the differences in the response variables. In order
171 to satisfy the assumptions of the statistical tests (equality of variance and normal
172 distribution), the data were subjected to normality test or were square root-transformed
173 whenever necessary. All the statistical tests were carried out by with the XLSTAT
174 software.

175

176 **3. Results**

177

178 Low and non-significant linear correlations ($r^2 < 0.05$) were found between the mean
179 values of LnRR(SR) and LnRR(SD), considered as dependent variables, and total annual
180 precipitation, mean annual temperature, Aridity Index, and soil slope and altitude, as
181 independent variables (data not shown). According to ANOVA, the differences in SR and
182 SD among the investigated post-fire techniques and the BNA soils were never significant
183 ($p < 0.05$) with some exceptions. These differences were significant ($p < 0.05$) only for
184 SR in the forest of *P. halepensis* subjected to LEBs (Hellin), and for both SR and SD in
185 the forest of *P. halepensis* (Liétor) and in *P. pinaster* stands (Entrimo), both subjected to
186 soil mulching.

187

188 Only the influence of soil mulching on plant diversity after wildfire was evident (Table 1
189 sup mat). This evidence is shown by the positive LnRRs of both SR and SD in three
190 (Arbo, Liétor and Entrimo of the four burned forests treated with mulching, although the
191 differences compared to BNA sites were significant in two sites (Liétor and Entrimo)
192 (Figures 2a and 2b). In these three sites, LnRRs(SR) and LnRR(SD) were in the range
193 0.10 (shrubland of Arbo) to 0.41 (forest of *P. halepensis* in Liétor) and 0.04 (shrubland
194 of Arbo) to 0.24 (forest of *P. pinaster* in Entrimo), respectively. In contrast, both LnRRs
195 were negative (-0.18, LnRR(SR), and -0.14, LnRR(SD) in the shrubland of Porto do Son
196 (Figures 2a and 2b). Mulching increased SR by 10.3% (shrubland of Arbo) to 51.3% in
197 the forest of *P. halepensis* in Liétor), and SD by 4.3% (shrubland of Arbo) to 26.9% (*P.*
198 *pinaster* in Entrimo). In contrast, these characteristics decreased by 16.2% (SR) and
199 13.1% (SD) in shrubland of Arbo (Figures 3a and 3b).

200

201 CFD treatments played positive effects on vegetation diversity in the forest of *P. pinaster*
202 of El Tranco and on the shrubland in Llutxent. In more detail, CFD with burning gave
203 LnRR(SR) and LnRR(SD) over 0.18 in *P. pinaster* of El Tranco, while only LnRR(SR)
204 was positive (0.10) after CFD without burning in the same site; in the shrubland of
205 Llutxent, LnRR(SR) was 0.20 and LnRR(SD) was 0.10. In contrast, both LnRR(SR)
206 (equal to -0.06) and LnRR(SD) (-0.22) were negative, when CFD was combined with
207 LEB (*P. pinaster* in El Tranco). Overall, the CFD treatment increased SR and SD up to
208 26.1%, both estimated in the forest of *P. pinaster* in El Tranco under CFD + B treatment
209 (Figures 3a and 3b).

210

211 Positive effects on vegetation diversity - LnRR(SR) or LnRR(SD) > 0 - were also
212 estimated for chipping treatment in Arbo (0.05 and 0.04, respectively) and felling and
213 burning in El Tranco (the latter only for Ln(RR)) (Figures 2a and 2b). In these sites,
214 maximum increases in SR and SD by 5.4% (SR) and 3.8% (SD) were estimated
215 (shrubland of Arbo subjected to chipping), while the increase in SR measured under the
216 treatment of felling and burning was 0.4% (Figures 3a and 3b).

217

218 Conversely, all the other post-fire eco-engineering techniques played negative effects on
219 vegetal diversity, as showed by the negative values of LnRR(SR) and LnRR(SD). In the
220 case of LEB, both these indexes were negative (with a minimum of -0.14 detected for
221 LnRR(SR) in shrubland of Llutxent) in all sites, also when this post-fire action was
222 implemented in combination with other eco-engineering techniques (Figures 2a and 2b).
223 The maximum decreases in SR and SD were detected under CFD treatment (-17.6%,
224 forest of *P. halepensis* in Hellin) and under combined treatments of LEB and CFD (-
225 20.1%, forest of *P. pinaster* in El Tranco) (Figures 3a and 3b).

226

227 **4. Discussion and conclusions**

228

229 This study, carried out at the regional scale in the Iberian Peninsula, provides evidence
230 that the analyzed post-fire eco-engineering techniques have a very limited influence on
231 plant diversity, partially confirming the working hypothesis that all the analyzed eco-
232 engineering techniques modify plant diversity in wildfire-affected areas. In general, no
233 significant differences in species richness and diversity were found between the forest
234 treated with each post-fire eco-engineering technique, and the burned and non-treated

235 soils. These differences were noticeable and thus significant only in some sites treated
236 with log erosion barriers or mulching. The latter technique increased species richness and
237 diversity in forests of *P. halepensis* and *P. pinaster*, and shrublands. These results are in
238 partial accordance with Morgan et al. (2014) and Jonas et al. (2019), who observed higher
239 species richness as we did, but found no differences in species diversity in response the
240 mulching treatments. Contour felled log debris with burning slightly increased vegetal
241 diversity, while log erosion barriers, chipping and felling were not successful for this
242 effect.

243

244 Direct and indirect effects of fire on soils and plants can be critical for the functioning of
245 forest ecosystems. Thus, promoting post-fire recovery of forests is fundamental for an
246 adequate management and planning of these ecosystems (Lucas-Borja, 2021). In this
247 case, scientific literature has widely demonstrated that some Mediterranean species are
248 able to regenerate through different post-fire strategies, including resprouting, serotiny,
249 soil seed banks or wind seed dispersion into a fire- affected site (Valladares et al., 2014,
250 Resco 2021). The short-term period evaluated here on this research and the fact that
251 surveyed vegetation is well adapted to fire would indicate that postfire emergence
252 treatment should not aim biodiversity recovery in wildfire affected areas as not influence
253 on plant diversity was found. The only significant strategy was related to straw mulching
254 in semi-arid locations. This suggests that mulch acts as a retainer for soil nutrients and
255 moisture which may act as limiting factors for seedling growth in water-stressed
256 environments. In fact, Bontrager et al. (2019) found that increased mulch suppressed pine
257 recovery at higher altitudes and in northern aspects than in southern aspects with less
258 precipitation and higher temperature. Contrary to this, Lucas-Borja et al (2020)
259 demonstrated that mulching had no detrimental effects on the short-term initial vegetation
260 recovery in subhumid sites. In addition, the same authors found that leaving the burned
261 trees standing seemed not to be a feasible management option for enhancing vegetation
262 recovery in northern Spain. Mulching seemed to influence neither the natural availability
263 of nutrients nor moisture. Overall, this research has demonstrated that, on a broad scale,
264 soil mulching is generally able to restore post-fire vegetal diversity regardless of the
265 specific site conditions. Conversely, other eco-engineering techniques must be
266 implemented with caution, since these post-fire actions may even decrease the vegetation
267 diversity of severely burned forest ecosystems.

268

269 **Acknowledgements**

270

271 This research was supported by SilvAdapt.net, grant RED2018-102719-T funded by
272 MCIN/AEI/ 10.13039/501100011033.

273

274 **List of symbols/nomenclature**

275

Post-fire eco-engineering techniques

BNA	Burned and No Action
CFD	Contour Felled Log Debris
LEB	Log Erosion Barriers
M	Mulching
C	Chipping
CFD + B	Contour Felled Log Debris + Burning
LEB + CFD	Log Erosion Barriers + Contour Felled Log Debris
LEB + B	Log Erosion Barriers + Burning
F + B	Felling + Burning

Investigated sites

Cu	Cualedro
Ca	Calderona
He	Hellín
Li	Liétor
Ja	Jaén
Ll	Llutxent
Ar	Arbo
Ps	Porto do Son
En	Entrimo

Main forest species

Ps	<i>P. sylvestris</i>
Ph	<i>P. halepensis</i>
Pn	<i>P. nigra</i>
Pp	<i>P. pinaster</i>
S	<i>Shrubland</i>

276

277 **Supplementary material**

278

279 List of plant species at each site.

280

281 **References**

282 Badía, D., Sánchez, C., Aznar, J.M., Martí, C., 2015. Post-fire hillslope log debris dams for runoff
283 and erosion mitigation in the semiarid Ebro Basin. *Geoderma* 237, 298–307.
284 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2014.09.004>

285 Bontrager, J.D., Morgan, P., Hudak, A.T., Robichaud, P.R., 2019. Long-term vegetation response
286 following post-fire straw mulching. *Fire Ecol.* <https://doi.org/10.1186/s42408-019-0037-9>

287 Collins, M., Knutti, R., Arblaster, J., Dufresne, J.-L., Fichefet, T., Friedlingstein, P., Gao, X.,
288 Gutowski, W.J., Johns, T., Krinner, G., 2013. Long-term climate change: projections,
289 commitments and irreversibility, in: *Climate Change 2013-The Physical Science Basis:*
290 *Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel*
291 *on Climate Change.* Cambridge University Press, pp. 1029–1136.

292 Curtis, P.S., Wang, X., 1998. A meta-analysis of elevated CO₂ effects on woody plant mass,
293 form, and physiology. *Oecologia* 113, 299–313. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s004420050381>

294 Eldridge, D.J., Delgado-Baquerizo, M., 2017. Continental-scale Impacts of Livestock Grazing on
295 Ecosystem Supporting and Regulating Services. *Land Degradation and Development* 28, 1473–
296 1481. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ldr.2668>

297 Hedges, L.V., Gurevitch, J., Curtis, P.S., 1999. The meta-analysis of response ratios in
298 experimental ecology. *Ecology* 80, 1150–1156. [https://doi.org/10.1890/0012-9658\(1999\)080\[1150:TMAORR\]2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1890/0012-9658(1999)080[1150:TMAORR]2.0.CO;2)

300 Lajeunesse, M.J., 2015. Bias and correction for the log response ratio in ecological meta-analysis.
301 *Ecology* 96, 2056–2063. <https://doi.org/10.1890/14-2402.1>

302 Li, H., Reynolds, J.F., 1993. A new contagion index to quantify spatial patterns of landscapes.
303 *Landscape Ecology* 8, 155–162. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00125347>

304 Lucas-Borja, M.E., 2021. Efficiency of postfire hillslope management strategies: Gaps of
305 knowledge. *Current Opinion in Environmental Science & Health* 21, 100247.
306 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coesh.2021.100247>

307 Lucas-Borja, M.E., Plaza-Álvarez, P.A., González-Romero, J., Miralles, I., Sagra, J., Molina-
308 Peña, E., Moya, D., de las Heras, J., Fernández, C., 2020. Post-wildfire straw mulching and
309 salvage logging affects initial pine seedling density and growth in two Mediterranean contrasting
310 climatic areas in Spain, *Forest Ecology and Management*, doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2020.118363.

311 Moody, J.A., Shakesby, R.A., Robichaud, P.R., Cannon, S.H., Martin, D.A., 2013. Current
312 research issues related to post-wildfire runoff and erosion processes. *Earth-Science Reviews* 122,
313 10–37.

314 Moreira, F., Ascoli, D., Safford, H., Adams, M.A., Moreno, J.M., Pereira, J.M., Catry, F.X.,
315 Armesto, J., Bond, W., González, M.E., 2020. Wildfire management in Mediterranean-type
316 regions: paradigm change needed. *Environmental Research Letters* 15, 011001.

- 317 O'Neill, R.V., Krummel, J.R., Gardner, R.H., Sugihara, G., Jackson, B., DeAngelis, D.L., Milne,
318 B.T., Turner, M.G., Zygmunt, B., Christensen, S.W., Dale, V.H., Graham, R.L., 1988. Indices of
319 landscape pattern. *Landscape Ecology* 1, 153–162. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00162741>
- 320 Pereira, P., Francos, M., Brevik, E.C., Ubeda, X., Bogunovic, I., 2018. Post-fire soil management.
321 *Current Opinion in Environmental Science & Health* 5, 26–32.
322 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coesh.2018.04.002>
- 323 Resco de Dios, V. 2020. Plant-Fire Interactions. In *Applying Ecophysiology to Wildfire*
324 *Management*; Springer: Cham, Switzerland.
- 325 Robichaud, P.R., 1998. Post-fire treatment effectiveness for hillslope stabilization. US
326 Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station.
- 327 San-Miguel-Ayanz, J., Durrant, T., Boca, R., Libertà, G., Branco, A., De Rigo, D., Ferrari, D.,
328 Maianti, P., Vivancos, T.A., Costa, H., 2017. Forest fires in Europe. *Middle East and North Africa*
329 10, 2017.
- 330 Shakesby, R.A., 2011. Post-wildfire soil erosion in the Mediterranean: review and future research
331 directions. *Earth-Science Reviews* 105, 71–100.
- 332 Valladares F, Rabasa SG, Benavides R, Díaz M, Pausas JG, Paula S, Simonson WD 2014.
333 Global change and Mediterranean forests: current impacts and potential responses. In: Coomes
334 DA, Burslem DFRP, Simonson WD (eds). *Forests and Global Change*. pp. 47-75. Cambridge
335 University Press
- 336 Zema, D.A., 2021. Postfire management impacts on soil hydrology. *Current Opinion in*
337 *Environmental Science & Health* 21, 100252. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coesh.2021.100252>