# Table of Contents

**List of Figures** xvii

**List of Tables** xix

**1 Introduction** 1

1.1 Topic and Justification . . . . . 1

1.2 Hypotheses . . . . . 5

1.3 Objectives . . . . . 6

1.4 Research Questions . . . . . 7

1.5 Structure of the thesis . . . . . 7

**2 English Language in the UK, India, and the USA** 11

2.1 Introduction . . . . . 11

2.2 The origin of the English language in Britain . . . . . 12

2.3 The origin of the English language in the United States of America . . 15

2.4 Difference between British English and American English . . . . . 18

2.4.1 Grammatical differences . . . . . 19

2.4.2 Difference in pronunciation . . . . . 20

2.4.3 Difference in vocabulary . . . . . 22

2.5 World Englishes . . . . . 24

2.6 The origin of English in India . . . . . 34

2.7 Characteristics of Indian English . . . . . 47

2.7.1 Phonetics and Phonology . . . 48
2.7.2 Morphology and vocabulary . . . 49
2.7.3 Syntax . . . 51
2.8 Conclusion . . . 53

**3 Metadiscourse** **55**
3.1 Definition . . . 55
3.2 Classification . . . 57
3.3 Recent Studies . . . 63
3.4 Conclusion . . . 86

**4 Digital discourse** **87**
4.1 Definition . . . 87
4.2 Studies on Digital Discourse . . . 88
4.2.1 Social Media . . . 95
4.2.2 Instant Messaging . . . 98
4.2.3 Comments on News . . . 102
4.3 Conclusion . . . 107

**5 Corpus** **109**
5.1 Introduction . . . 109
5.2 Data Compilation . . . 110
5.2.1 Newspaper selection . . . 112
5.2.2 Newspaper articles selection . . . 113
5.2.3 Comments selection . . . 114
5.2.4 Data pre-processing . . . 116
5.3 Corpus Description . . . 117
5.4 Conclusion . . . 121

**6 Method** **123**

6.1 Introduction . . . 123

6.2 Data Annotation Tool . . . 123

6.3 Data Annotation Process . . . 127

6.3.1 Metadiscourse Markers with Different Senses . . . 129

6.4 Normalization . . . 130

6.5 Conclusion . . . 131

**7 Results & Discussions** **133**

7.1 Introduction . . . 133

7.2 Quantitative Analysis of India Comments . . . 134

7.3 Quantitative Analysis of UK Comments . . . 138

7.4 Quantitative Analysis of USA Comments . . . 143

7.5 In-depth Analysis of Markers . . . 147

7.5.1 Interactional: Hedges . . . 148

7.5.2 Interactional: Boosters . . . 165

7.5.3 Interactional: Attitude Markers . . . 178

7.5.4 Interactional: Engagement markers . . . 192

7.5.5 Interactional: Self-mentions . . . 203

7.5.6 Interactive: Transition markers . . . 210

7.5.7 Interactive: Frame markers . . . 219

7.5.8 Interactive: Endophoric markers . . . 229

7.5.9 Interactive: Code Glosses . . . 237

7.5.10 Interactive: Evidentials . . . 244

7.6 Domain wise Analysis of Comments . . . 251

7.7 Political Ideology Analysis of Comments . . . 256

7.8 Cross-cultural Analysis of Comments . . . 261

7.9 Conclusion . . . 268

**8 Conclusions** **269**

8.1 Answering to research questions . . . . . 270

8.2 Contributions of the Thesis . . . . . 281

8.3 Limitations and Recommendation for Further Study . . . . . 283

**References** **287**

**Appendix A** **307**

A.1 Quantitative Analysis of India Comments . . . . . 307

A.2 Quantitative Analysis of UK Comments . . . . . 308

A.3 Quantitative Analysis of USA Comments . . . . . 308

A.4 Domain wise analysis of comments(normalized) . . . . . 309

A.5 Political Ideology Analysis of Comments (normalized) . . . . . 310

A.6 Cross-culture Analysis of Comments (normalized) . . . . . 311