

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction and objectives</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1	Background . . . . .	2
1.2	Research objectives . . . . .	4
1.3	Methodology . . . . .	5
1.4	Dissertation structure . . . . .	7
<b>2</b>	<b>Steel-concrete composite bridges: design, life cycle assessment, maintenance, and decision-making</b>	<b>11</b>
2.1	Introduction . . . . .	12
2.2	Data sampling strategy . . . . .	14
2.2.1	Statistical analysis . . . . .	14
2.3	Steel-concrete composite bridge design . . . . .	15
2.3.1	General overview . . . . .	15
2.3.2	Design and behavior . . . . .	18
2.3.3	Optimization . . . . .	19
2.3.4	Construction process . . . . .	21
2.3.5	Maintenance and repair . . . . .	22
2.3.6	Life cycle assessment . . . . .	23
2.3.7	Multi-Criteria Decision-Making . . . . .	24
2.4	Discussion . . . . .	24
2.5	Conclusions . . . . .	27
<b>3</b>	<b>Comparative life cycle analysis of concrete and composite bridges varying steel recycling ratio</b>	<b>29</b>
3.1	Introduction . . . . .	30

3.2	Materials and Methods . . . . .	32
3.2.1	Goal and Scope Definition . . . . .	32
	Bridge Deck Type Selection . . . . .	33
	Phases of the Analysis . . . . .	34
	Functional Unit . . . . .	39
3.2.2	Inventory Analysis . . . . .	39
	Software . . . . .	40
3.2.3	Uncertainty . . . . .	40
3.2.4	Bridge Deck Design . . . . .	40
	Life Cycle Model Description . . . . .	42
3.2.5	Impact Assessment . . . . .	43
3.2.6	Interpretation . . . . .	44
3.3	Life Cycle Assessment . . . . .	44
3.3.1	Midpoint Approach . . . . .	44
3.3.2	Endpoint Approach . . . . .	47
3.4	Conclusions . . . . .	53
<b>4</b>	<b>Social impact assessment comparison of composite and concrete bridge alternatives</b>	<b>57</b>
4.1	Introduction . . . . .	58
4.2	Materials and Methods . . . . .	60
4.2.1	Goal and Scope Definition . . . . .	61
	Bridge Deck Type Definition . . . . .	61
	Phases of the Analysis . . . . .	63
	Functional Unit . . . . .	67
4.2.2	Inventory Analysis . . . . .	67
	Software . . . . .	67
4.2.3	Bridge Deck Design . . . . .	69
4.2.4	Impact Assessment . . . . .	71
4.2.5	Interpretation . . . . .	72
4.3	Life Cycle Assessment . . . . .	72
4.3.1	Environmental LCA . . . . .	73
4.3.2	Social LCA . . . . .	73
4.4	Discussion . . . . .	75
4.5	Conclusions . . . . .	78
<b>5</b>	<b>Optimal design of steel-concrete composite bridge based on a transfer function discrete swarm intelligence algorithm</b>	<b>81</b>
5.1	Introduction . . . . .	82
5.2	Optimization: problem description . . . . .	84
5.2.1	Variables . . . . .	85

5.2.2	Parameters . . . . .	87
5.2.3	Constraints . . . . .	92
	Computational model description . . . . .	95
5.3	Methodology . . . . .	96
5.3.1	Trajectory-based algorithm: SAMO2 . . . . .	96
5.3.2	Swarm intelligence algorithms: SCA and Jaya . . . . .	97
	Sine Cosine Algorithm (SCA) . . . . .	97
	Jaya . . . . .	97
	Discretization algorithm . . . . .	98
5.3.3	Parameter tuning . . . . .	100
	SAMO2 tuning . . . . .	100
	Swarm intelligence metaheuristics tuning . . . . .	103
5.4	Results . . . . .	104
5.4.1	Parameter tuning . . . . .	104
5.4.2	Cost minimization metaheuristic comparison . . . . .	104
5.4.3	Insight into the discrete algorithm . . . . .	109
5.4.4	Optimization results . . . . .	113
5.5	Discussion . . . . .	120
5.6	Conclusions . . . . .	122

**6 Discrete swarm intelligence optimization algorithms applied to steel-concrete composite bridges 125**

6.1	Introduction . . . . .	126
6.2	The Optimization problem and computational model . . . . .	129
	6.2.1 Variables of the problem . . . . .	131
	6.2.2 Parameters of the problem . . . . .	133
	6.2.3 Constraints of the problem . . . . .	135
	6.2.4 Structure computational model description . . . . .	136
6.3	Optimization algorithms . . . . .	137
	6.3.1 Swarm intelligence algorithms: SCA and CS . . . . .	138
	Sine Cosine Algorithm (SCA) . . . . .	138
	Cuckoo Search Algorithm (SC) . . . . .	140
	6.3.2 K-means discrete algorithm . . . . .	140
	6.3.3 Transfer function discrete algorithm . . . . .	142
6.4	Results . . . . .	143
	6.4.1 Parameter setting . . . . .	144
	6.4.2 Insight into discrete algorithm . . . . .	145
	6.4.3 Algorithm comparisons . . . . .	145
6.5	Conclusions . . . . .	153

<b>7</b>	<b>Hybrid swarm intelligence optimization methods for low-embodied energy steel-concrete composite bridges</b>	<b>155</b>
7.1	Introduction . . . . .	156
7.2	Optimization Problem Description . . . . .	159
7.2.1	Variables and Parameters . . . . .	160
7.2.2	Structural Analysis and Constraints . . . . .	165
7.3	Optimization Algorithms . . . . .	166
7.3.1	Trajectory-Based Algorithm: Threshold Accepting with a Mutation Operator (TAMO) . . . . .	166
7.3.2	Sine Cosine Algorithm (SCA) . . . . .	167
7.3.3	Cuckoo Search Algorithm . . . . .	167
7.3.4	Hybrid Swarm Intelligence: SCA and CS . . . . .	168
7.3.5	Parameter Tuning . . . . .	169
	TAMO Tuning . . . . .	169
	Hybrid Swarm Intelligence Methods Tuning . . . . .	170
7.4	Results . . . . .	171
7.4.1	Parameters Exploration of the Hybrid Algorithm . . . . .	172
7.4.2	Embodied Energy and Cost Optimization Methods Comparison . . . . .	175
7.4.3	Optimization Results . . . . .	178
7.5	Discussion . . . . .	182
7.6	Conclusions . . . . .	182
<b>8</b>	<b>Deep learning approach for life cycle optimization of steel-concrete composite bridges</b>	<b>185</b>
8.1	Introduction . . . . .	186
8.2	Deep Learning metamodel assisted optimization . . . . .	188
8.2.1	Deep neural networks model . . . . .	189
	Methodology used for the construction of the training data set . . . . .	189
	Topology network definition, hyper-parameters explored and metrics used . . . . .	189
8.2.2	Hybrid metaheuristics . . . . .	190
	Trajectory-based: Old Bachelor Acceptance with a Mutation Operator . . . . .	190
	Swarm intelligence: SCA and CS . . . . .	191
8.2.3	Objective functions . . . . .	195
	Life cycle assessment method . . . . .	197
8.2.4	Problem definition . . . . .	199
	Variables and parameters . . . . .	199
	Constraints . . . . .	202
8.3	Results and discussion . . . . .	203
8.3.1	Algorithm Analysis . . . . .	204
	Neural Network models comparison . . . . .	204
	Time and optimization values analysis . . . . .	206

8.3.2	Objective functions results comparison . . . . .	207
8.4	Conclusions . . . . .	213
<b>9</b>	<b>Game theory approach for environmental and social LCA multi-objective optimization of steel-concrete composite bridges</b>	<b>215</b>
9.1	Introduction . . . . .	216
9.2	Multi-objective optimization . . . . .	218
9.2.1	Game theory approach . . . . .	218
	Game theory based optimization strategy . . . . .	220
9.2.2	Objective functions . . . . .	221
	Life cycle assessment method . . . . .	223
9.2.3	Problem definition . . . . .	226
	Variables and parameters . . . . .	226
	Constraints . . . . .	229
9.2.4	Sine cosine algorithm . . . . .	230
9.2.5	Multi-objective preferred solutions selection . . . . .	231
9.3	Results and discussion . . . . .	232
9.4	Conclusions . . . . .	240
<b>10</b>	<b>Discussion</b>	<b>241</b>
10.1	Research Question Q1 . . . . .	242
10.2	Research Question Q2 . . . . .	244
10.3	Research Question Q3 . . . . .	245
10.4	Research Question Q4 . . . . .	247
10.5	Research Question Q5 . . . . .	248
<b>11</b>	<b>Conclusions and future work</b>	<b>251</b>
11.1	General Conclusions . . . . .	252
11.2	Specific conclusions . . . . .	254
11.3	Future lines of research . . . . .	255
	<b>Bibliography</b>	<b>257</b>