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#### Abstract

Background: Melon (Cucumis melo L.) is a highly diverse species that is cultivated worldwide. Recent advances in massively parallel sequencing have begun to allow the study of nucleotide diversity in this species. The Sanger method combined with medium-throughput 454 technology were used in a previous study to analyze the genetic diversity of germplasm representing 3 botanical varieties, yielding a collection of about 40,000 SNPs distributed in 14,000 unigenes. However, the usefulness of this resource is limited as the sequenced genotypes do not represent the whole diversity of the species, which is divided into two subspecies with many botanical varieties variable in plant, flowering, and fruit traits, as well as in stress response. As a first step to extensively document levels and patterns of nucleotide variability across the species, we used the high-throughput SOLiD ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ system to resequence the transcriptomes of a set of 67 genotypes that had previously been selected from a core collection representing the extant variation of the entire species. Results: The deep transcriptome resequencing of all of the genotypes, grouped into 8 pools (wild African agrestis, Asian agrestis and acidulus, exotic Far Eastern conomon, Indian momordica and Asian dudaim and flexuosus, commercial cantalupensis, subsp. melo Asian and European landraces, Spanish inodorus landraces, and Piel de Sapo breeding lines) yielded about 300 M reads. Short reads were mapped to the recently generated draft genome assembly of the DHL line Piel de Sapo (inodorus) x Songwhan Charmi (conomon) and to a new version of melon transcriptome. Regions with at least 6X coverage were used in SNV calling, generating a melon collection with 303,883 variants. These SNVs were dispersed across the entire C. melo genome, and distributed in 15,064 annotated genes. The number and variability of in silico SNVs differed considerably between pools. Our finding of higher genomic diversity in wild and exotic agrestis melons from India and Africa as compared to commercial cultivars, cultigens and landraces from Eastern Europe, Western Asia and the Mediterranean basin is consistent with the evolutionary history proposed for the species. Group-specific SNVs that will be useful in introgression programs were also detected. In a sample of 143 selected putative SNPs, we verified $93 \%$ of the polymorphisms in a panel of 78 genotypes. Conclusions: This study provides the first comprehensive resequencing data for wild, exotic, and cultivated (landraces and commercial) melon transcriptomes, yielding the largest melon SNP collection available to date and representing a notable sample of the species diversity. This data provides a valuable resource for creating a catalog of allelic variants of melon genes and it will aid in future in-depth studies of population genetics, marker-assisted breeding, and gene identification aimed at developing improved varieties.
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## Background

Melon (Cucumis melo L., Cucurbitaceae) is an important fruit crop worldwide. It is considered to be the most variable species in the genus Cucumis, and one of the most diverse among the cultivated vegetables [1,2]. Being most likely of African or Asian origin [3], melon is thought to have been first domesticated because of its nutritional seeds, with further selection having resulted in increased fruit and seed size. Melon has suffered an intense process of diversification and today exhibits a large variation in plant, flowering and fruit traits. Currently, the species comprises wild, feral and cultivated varieties, including sweet melons used for dessert and non-sweet ones consumed raw, pickled or cooked [4]. Wild melons are still frequent in East and West Africa, as well as from Central Asia to India. The main centers of diversity of melon are located between the Mediterranean basin (ranging from Southern and Eastern Europe to Turkey) and Central Asia (Iraq, Iran, Uzbekistan), and from India to the East Asian countries of China, Korea, Japan [5].
Traditionally, C. melo has been considered to be divided into two subspecies, melo and agrestis [6]. One of the simplest and most accepted classifications describes one single wild variety, var. agrestis Naud., and six cultivar groups (cantalupensis Naud., cantaloupe or muskmelon, inodorus Naud., cassaba and winter melons, flexuosus Naud., snake melons, dudaim Naud., mango melons, momordica, phoot or snap melons, and conomon Mak., pickling melon) [5,7]. More recently Pitrat et al. [8] split these varieties into 15 botanical groups (cantalupensis, reticulatus, adana, chandalak, ameri, inodorus, chate, flexuosus, dudaim and tibish (subsp. melo), momordica, conomon, chinensis, makuwa, and acidulus (subsp. agrestis)). However, some of these botanical groups are not well defined, share characteristics and are quite heterogeneous. Despite many reported accessions accurately fit into one of these distinctive taxonomic groups, other accessions displaying intermediated or mixed features are difficult to classify. Cantalupensis and inodorus are the botanical groups of greatest commercial interest. Both include different cultivar-types that are highly popular in different parts of the world.
Different marker systems have been used to assess the genetic diversity in melon by studying the genetic relationships among the different botanical groups (RFLPs, RAPDs, AFLPs, ISSRs and SSRs) (reviewed in Esteras et al. [2]). Most of the molecular studies strongly support the sub-specific division [9-11], reclassifying some of the botanical groups (the variety tibish has been included in the subspecies agrestis) and detecting a higher diversity among the agrestis types. In general, higher genetic diversity is reported in Africa and India
than in the extremes of the distribution of melon (Medi- 90 terranean area and eastern Asia), which is consistent 9 with the higher variation being maintained close to the 92 center of domestication. The variability found in some 93 groups of the subspecies agrestis (mostly conomon and 94 momordica) has been used as a source of disease resistance for cantalupensis and inodorus cultivars and is also an underexploited reservoir of genetic variability for improving fruit quality in melon cultivars [4].

To date, the genetic basis of this diversity and the consequences of selection on genetic variation in the different wild and cultivated groups have not yet been studied on a genome-wide basis. The genomic abundance and amenability to cost-effective high throughput genotyping make single-nucleotide polymorphisms, SNPs, the mostused markers for genome-wide surveys of genetic diversity. Large SNPs collections have been identified in humans, several animals, and various model plants [12-19].
The availability of SNPs collections for melon has increased in the past few years with the sequences produced by several national and international projects using the Sanger technology [20-22]. Several thousand of SNPs were identified and some were mapped $[10,23]$.

Second-generation sequencing (SGS) platforms, such as 454 GS FLX (Roche Applied Science), Solexa (Illumina Inc), and SOLiD (Life Technologies Inc), offer higher sequencing throughputs at greatly reduced costs. SGS platforms (mostly 454 and Solexa) are being used to resequence a number of genotypes in different crops (maize, rice, sorghum, soybean, common bean, brassicas, pumpkin, etc.), and are successfully generating vast amounts of SNPs. SGS is often combined with approaches to reduce genome complexity (genomic reduced representation libraries, transcriptome resequencing, etc.) [24,25]. SGS provides a reduced read length and lower per-base accuracy than data from Sanger sequencing. However, the 2-base encoding system used in the ligation-base sequencing protocol SOLiD ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ enables a reduction of the sequencing error rate. This reduction translates into more accurate polymorphism discovery [26].
Blanca et al. [27] used SGS reads in melons for the first time to generate the latest and most complete version of the melon transcriptome, combining the previously available Sanger ESTs and the new sequences produced with the 454 platform (available at the NCBI Sequence Read Archive (SRA) with code SRA050214.1). A new and improved assembly of all these public ESTs (both Sanger and 454) is now available at the melogene database generated at the COMAV (http://melogene.net).
In the study by Blanca et al. [27], the 454 platform allowed the deep transcriptome resequencing of a set of melon genotypes that were aligned to the reference transcriptome, yielding a large SNP collection in the species (a total of 38,587 SNPs). The genotypes included in this
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SGS-based SNP discovery assay represented the two most important melon market classes, the inodorus 'Piel de Sapo' and the cantalupensis "Charentais", as well as the exotic conomon variety, which is mostly used for breeding. These markers are turning out to be extremely useful in the genetic diversity assays and breeding programs that use these varieties. This collection has been already used to construct a high-density genetic map employed to anchor and orient scaffolds in the melon whole genome sequence [28]. However, only 1 or 2 genotypes of each group were included, and therefore the within-group variability was not well represented. In addition, the other groups of the species were not reprerented in this first SGS sequencing assay.
To obtain a comprehensive overview of the sequence variation of melon genes, we have used SOLiD to resequince the transcriptome of 67 genotypes, grouped into 8 pools that represent all the botanical groups of the species. The completion of a draft of the genome sequince of melon [28] gives us the opportunity to mine SNVs on a genomic scale by using the reference genome for the alignment of short reads obtained by resequencong the variability across the species.
The diversity in African and Asian wild agrestic and exotic acidulus is analyzed here for the first time. Within the subsp. melo, we extended the study to better represent the variability of the cantalupensis group, the Spanish inodorus landraces, the Peel de Sapo commercial breeding lines, and also included the variability of melons from Eastern Europe and Western Asia that have not been represented in previous studies. Also, the intermediate group of flexuosus, dudaim and momordica, reservoir of resistance and quality genes for improving cultivated melons, has been analyzed. With this deep resequencing we captured a high number of SNVs between groups and detected some group-specific common variants. This new resource provides a unique opportunity to explore the genetic variation of melon and to identify sequence variants associated with phenotypes of interest.

## Methods

## Genotype selection

We used a core collection of 212 melon accessions, including wild relatives, feral types, landraces, breeding lines and commercial cultivars from 54 countries (representing the putative origin areas and diversity centers of the species). This collection was established on the framework of a previous project (MELRIP (2007-2010): ERA-PG project (GEN2006-27773-C2-2-E)), selfed, genotyped with AFLP markers and extensively phenotyped for plant and fruit traits at the COMAV [11]. Fifty two genotypes representing the variability of the species were selected on the basis of their molecular and phenotypic
data. In this previous analysis we found a few discrepancies between the phenotype and the molecular results. Some accessions showing morphological features of a specific taxonomic group were molecularly similar to accessions of a different botanic group. Some others had intermediate features, reflecting the difficulties that sometimes arise during melon classification. In this paper, we employed for each accession the taxonomic group into which it was classified according to its phenotype, but the pooling strategy was decided combining phenotypic and previous AFLP results.
Additionally, 15 breeding lines belonging to 3 melon commercial market classes (two sets of inodorus lines, Piel de Sapo and Amarillo types, and one set of cantalupensis lines) were provided by Semillas Fitó (Barcelona, Spain) and included in the analysis. A total of 67 genotypes were resequenced. Some of these accessions have been used extensively as parental lines in breeding programs. The name, origin, and some phenotypic traits of the resequenced accessions are presented in Table 1, and photographs of each selected genotype are included in Additional file 1: "Resequenced melon genotypes".

We prepared 8 pooled RNA samples. Three pools represented the variability of the subsp. agrestic (Table 1): the first RNA sample was prepared from 5 African genotypes, most belonging to the variety agrestic which is characterized by its small, inedible, non-climacteric fruits ( $<5 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) (Additional file 1), with no sugar and no aroma, as well as another genotype belonging to the newly reported African variety tibish [8]; the second sample consisted of RNA from 6 genotypes, mostly Asian, of the agrestis and acidulus varieties, with traits similar to the first pool, but with medium-sized acidic fruits. The accessions included in this pool grouped in the previous AFLP analysis. Varieties of the acidulus group are currently grown as vegetables in India [29]; the third group included 5 genotypes of the exotic FarEast Asian variety, conomon, one of the most common source of resistances for cultivated melons, which is characterized by medium-sized, climacteric or non elimacteric fruits, with variable fruit quality traits. This group includes typical var. conomon as well as others belonging to the varieties chinensis and makuwa. Varreties of these groups are still widely cultivated as vegetables in rural areas of China [30]. The conomon group was represented by 2 genotypes in the previous Sanger and 454 massive sequencing assay [27], and includes the accession Songwhan Charmi, one of the parental lines of the melon genetic map and of the DHL used for whole genome sequencing [28,31]. The fourth RNA pool included 7 representatives of three varieties that have been previously classified in the subsp. melo (dudaim and flexuosus) and agrestic (momordica), but are often considered intermediate between the two subspecies
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| t1.1 | Table $\mathbf{1}$ Origin and characteristics of genotypes included in the $\mathbf{8}$ pools sequenced with SOLiD |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| t1.2 | Genotype/collection code | Origin | Collection | Flower and fruit traits |

Table 1 Origin and characteristics of genotypes included in the $\mathbf{8}$ pools sequenced with SOLiD (Continued)

| t1.46 | Muchanesvi/CO156 | Georgia | MELRIP | orange flesh. Climacteric. Sweet, variable sugar content. Medium to low aroma. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| t1.47 | Baskavas/CO118 | Greece | MELRIP |  |  |  |
| t1.48 | Korca/Cum168 | Rusia | IPK |  |  |  |
| t1.49 | Kiziluruk/CO96 | Uzbequistan | COMAV |  |  |  |
| t1.50 | Hami melon/CO142 | China | COMAV |  |  |  |
| t1.51 | Winter type/PI 169329 | Turkey | NPGS |  |  |  |
| t1.52 | Maazoon/CO85 | Egypt | COMAV |  |  |  |
| t1.53 | Blanco/CO67 | Spain | COMAV |  |  |  |
| t1.54 | Carosello/CO122 | Italy | COMAV |  |  |  |
| t1.55 | Pool 7: inodorus Spanish landra |  |  |  |  |  |
| t1.56 | Cañadulce/CO48 | Spain | COMAV | Andromonoecious. Large-sized fruits. Round to elliptic. White-Green flesh. Non climacteric. Sweet. Low aroma. | 50.6 | 17,485,023 |
| t1.57 | Madura amarilla/CO58 | Spain | COMAV |  |  |  |
| t1.58 | Erizo/CO75 | Spain | COMAV |  |  |  |
| t1.59 | Amarillo oro/CO79 | Spain | COMAV |  |  |  |
| t1.60 | Escrito oloroso/CO50 | Spain | COMAV |  |  |  |
| t1.61 | Tendral/CO59 | Spain | COMAV |  |  |  |
| t1.62 | Verde pinto/CO73 | Spain | COMAV |  |  |  |
| t1.63 | Coca/CO49 | Spain | COMAV |  |  |  |
| t1.64 | Mochuelo/CO48 | Spain | COMAV |  |  |  |
| t1.65 | Largo de Villaconejos/CO69 | Spain | COMAV |  |  |  |
| t1.66 | 5 Amarillo breeding lines | Spain | S.Fitó S.A |  |  |  |
| t1.67 | Pool 8: inodorus group market class Piel de sapo |  |  |  |  |  |
| t1.68 | T111 | Spain | S.Fitó S.A | Andromonoecious. Large-sized fruits. Round | 37.2 | 13,809,773 |
| t1.69 | 5 Piel de Sapo breeding lines | Spain | S.Fitó S.A | to elliptic. White-green flesh. Non climacteric. Sweet. No aroma. |  |  |

t1.70 Total number of reads and percentage of reads mapped on to the reference melon genome for SNP mining.
t1.71 Genotypes marked in bold letters are those used for SNP mining trough transcriptome resequencing with Sanger and 454 in previous assays [20,21,27]. Seed
t1.72 source codes: IPK: Institut ftir Pflanzengenetik und Kulturpflanzenforschung, Gatersleben, Germany; NPGS: GRIN NPGS, National Plant System, USDA, USA; MELRIP t1.73 (2007-2010): ERA-PG project (GEN2006-27773-C2-2-E); COMAV, Institute for the Conservation and Breeding of Agricultural Biodiversity, UPV, Spain; Semillas Fitó S.
based on molecular studies $[9,11,32]$. This group includes cultivated snake melons consumed immature as cucumbers in southern Europe, northern Africa, and the Middle East, one known oriental cultivar of mango melon used as an ornamental, and snap melon cultigens grown in India.
The remaining four pools represented the variability of the cultivated types of subsp. melo (Table 1): the fifth group included 8 cantalupensis commercial varieties and 5 cantalupensis breeding lines belonging to the Charentais market class from Semillas Fitó. This group comprises the botanical varieties cantalupensis and reticulatus, which include many economically important cultivars from Europe, Asia and America. Previous Sanger and 454 sequencing assays included 3 representatives of this group [27]; the sixth RNA pool was formed by 11 melon cultivars representing other melon varieties, i. e. adana, chandalak, and ameri, most of which show intermediate characteristics between the two main economically
important groups, cantalupensis and inodorus, and several inodorus cultivars from Eastern Europe and Western and Central Asia; the seventh group was prepared from 15 Spanish cultivars of the inodorus group, including many market classes that are popular in Eastern and Southern Europe and Brazil (i.e., 'Amarillo,' 'Rochet', and 'Tendral'), as well as other less know types representing the variability of the Spanish melon landraces; the most important inodorus market class, Piel de Sapo, was resequenced in a separate group, which included the cultivar T111 and 5 additional breeding lines provided by Semillas Fitó. The cultivar T111 was included in the previous massive sequencing assay, and is the parental of the genetic map of melon [27].
from each genotype were combined into eight pools. mRNA was purified from the total RNA using the illus$\mathrm{tra}^{\mathrm{TM}}$ mRNA Purification Kit (GE Healthcare, Amersham Bioscience). Quantification and quality analysis was performed by agarose electrophoresis and by using Spectrophotometer NanoDrop ND-1000 v 3.5.
Double-stranded cDNA was then synthesized from the RNA pools with the SMART ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ PCR cDNA Synthesis Kit (Clontech). cDNA PCR products were purified using the Roche's High Pure PCR Cleanup MicroKit and a subsequent precipitation with sodium acetate. Another quantification step using electrophoresis and spectophotometry was also carried out. A normalization step was carried out with the TRIMMER cDNA normalization Kit (Evrogen) in order to prevent over-representation of the most common transcripts. cDNA was amplified with the Advantage 2 PCR Kit (Clontech) in order to obtain the required quantity. The performance of the normalization step was checked by quantitative PCR with FastStart Universal SYBR Green Master (ROX) (Roche). Samples to be sequenced were lyophilized after purification and precipitation. Approximately $10 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ of double-stranded cDNA from each of the eight normalized cDNA pools were used for sequencing on a SOLiD v4 following standard procedures.
The Applied Biosystems SOLiD ${ }^{\text {mu }}$ System uses the sequence-by-ligation technique to generate several gigabases of short sequence reads in a single run. Error rates are higher in comparison to those of Sanger sequencing reads, but the sequence-by-ligation technique takes advantage of a two-base encoding scheme to help identify these errors. Templated beads were prepared from each of the eight transcriptome libraries according to the manufacturer's instructions using the ePCR kit y. 2 and the Bead Enrichment Kit from Applied Biosystems (Life Technologies, Inc.) for SOLiD3. Workflow Analysis was done after the first round of template bead preparation for each library according to the manufacturer's instructions using the Workflow Analysis kit from Applied Biosystems (Life Technologies, Inc.) to check library quality and the amount of templated beads generated per ePCR. An additional Workflow Analysis was done after it was estimated that a sufficient number of templated beads has been produced. Templated beads were deposited on slides according to the manufacturer's instructions using the Bead Deposition kit from Applied Biosystems (Life Technologies, Inc.). A $1 / 8$ sequencing run was performed for each pooled transcriptome library (Sistemas Genomicos S.L).

## Read processing, mapping and SNV mining

Raw reads generated with SOLiD were processed using the ngs_backbone pipeline $[33,34]$ with the configuration file included as Additional file 2 "ngs_backbone configuration".

Reads were cleaned by following the quality standards for SOLiD reads proposed by Sasson and Michael [35]. The sequences with more than two missing calls or with a mean quality lower than 15 in the first 10 bases were removed. The 3' regions with a mean quality lower than 20 were trimmed to improve the mapping and the reads with a length below 30 were also dropped. A first draft of the entire melon genome sequence was recently developed under the framework of the MELONOMICS project (2009-2012) of the Fundación Genoma España [28]. This sequence was generated from the double haploid line DHL92 derived from the cross between Piel de Sapo T111 and the conomon variety Songwhan Charmi.
In order to make the best use of the short sequence reads for SNVs (Single variants: short INDELs and SNPs) discovery, processed SOLiD reads were aligned to this available melon genome assembly (v3.5) [36]. Alternatively the SNPs were also referred to the transcriptome available at http://melonge.net build with the reads described in Blanca et al. [27]. The method used to do this transcriptome based SNV calling was exactly the same as the described for the genome.
Reads were mapped using BWA [37] run with its default parameters. Other mappers capable of dealing with the splice junctions were assessed like TopHat. TopHat failed to create valid SOLiD mapping with the version available at the time. Several sets of BWA parameters were tested and found to map more reads, but they were dismissed because they were less stringent than the default ones. The SNVs were called with ngs_backbone. Stringent criteria for the SNV calling were used, and only those regions with at least 6X coverage were mined for SNVs. The SNVs were required to have a quality of 70 and at least 3 reads per allele. The obtained SNVs were filtered to select those that were variable within and among groups and to facilitate its use in highthroughput genotyping platforms [27]. The configuration of the filters can be also found in the nsg_backbone configuration file included in Additional file 2.

## Results and discussion

Sequence generation, processing and mapping
The 8 pooled libraries were sequenced separately in one SOLiD run, generating a total of 260 million (M) reads of 49-bp ( 12.737 Gb of sequence). These reads were deposited in the NCBI Sequence Read Archive (SRA) with code SRA050003.2. An average of 32 M reads was generated per library. After cleaning with ngs_backbone, a total of 150 M reads were obtained with an average length of 44 bp , comprising 6.654 Gb . The total yield of sequences per pool was variable, ranging from 8.4 to 30.6 M , with the melos (pool 6) and African agrestis (pool 1) groups retaining the lowest and the highest numbers of useful sequences, respectively. Pool 6 was
the one with the lowest sequencing quality. Changes in read number and average quality after read cleaning are detailed in Additional file 3: "Changes in number and quality of reads after processing with ngs_backbone".
The cleaned reads were mapped by BWA [37]. About $50 \%$ of the reads, a total of 73 M (Table 1), could be mapped against the reference melon genome and used for SNV calling. The reference genome assembly consists of approximately 375 Mb arranged into 78 primary scaffolds, which represent $90 \%$ of the assembly, plus several thousand additional scaffolds and contigs [28]. The melon genome assembly can be accessed from the MELONOMICS webpage [36]. The cleaned reads were also mapped against the new version of the reference melon transcriptome of 49,741 unigenes available at http://melogene.net.

## SNP calling, number, and distribution

We identified a large number of genetic variants across the transcriptomes. A total of 303,883 SNVs, including SNPs and INDELs, were detected. Information about this SNVs collection is included in Additional file 4: "SNVs detected by mapping SOLiD sequences against melon genome". This number is at least 7 fold higher than that identified previously by the Sanger and 454 sequencing of 10 representatives of 3 botanical varieties (38,587 SNPs and 5,795 INDELs) [27].
Information about the 239,521 SNVs identified by mapping SOLiD reads against the reference transcriptome instead of the genome is also included in Additional file 5 "SNVs detected by mapping SOLiD sequences against melon transcriptome" and can be accessed in http://melogene.net.
SNVs were distributed in 245 different scaffolds and contigs of the reference genome. Most (283,206, 93\%) were located in annotated genes. The list of SNVs located in annotated genes is included in Additional file 6. "Location of SNVs in melon genes."
The annotation of the newly assembled genome predicted 27,427 protein-coding genes, 15,064 of which contained variants, with an average of 18.8 SNVs per gene. $65.7 \%$ of the detected variants in genes were in CDS and the remainder in UTRs, with the UTRs displaying a higher SNV density, 14.9 SNVs/Kb, than in the ORF, 9.5/Kb.
The errors that occur in SNVs discovery when using massive sequencing technologies have several major causes: (1) PCR artifacts, (2) sequencing errors, and (3) errors in the mapping of short reads to the reference sequence. You et al. [19], after comparing the 3 most popular SGS platforms, 454, Solexa, and SOLiD, found that INDEL errors accounted for most sequencing errors, mainly in 454 and SOLiD, with base substitution error rates being less frequent. The SOLiD platform exhibited the lowest base substitution error rate,
likely reflecting the di-base encoding and color space scheme in this sequencing technology. Since INDELs are a significant source of false-positive variants, we fil-448 tered them out (filter VKS in Additional file 4). To 450 compare the variability of the different groups, all short 451 INDELs were excluded, and only high-quality SNPs 452 were retained.


A $93 \%(283,972)$ of the SNVs detected by mapping 454 SOLiD reads against the melon genome were SNPs. 94\% 455 $(266,130)$ were located in annotated genes of the melon 456 genome, distributed in UTRs (28.4\%) and ORFs (67.6\%), 457 with an average density of $13.3 \mathrm{SNPs} / \mathrm{Kb}$ versus 9.3458 SNPs/Kb, respectively. Due to the mapping procedure 459 used, we did not identify any SNPs in intron-exon junc- 460 tions. Further analysis of these regions would increase 461 the total number of SNPs in the collection.
For each SNP, the major allele frequency (MAF) was 463 estimated from the available sequences. The proportion 464 of SNPs with MAF $<0.9$ was $25.94 \%$. Figure 1 shows the MAF distribution of SNPs detected in each pool.

This is the largest SNP collection available in C. melo 467 to date. A collection of several thousand SNPs (about 468 3,000 ) was reported, generated from a much more lim- 469 ited collection of sequences obtained using traditional 470 Sanger methods [20,21]. Massive sequencing has only 471 very recently been applied to melons, and has produced 472 the first massive SNP collection, with a total of 38,587473 SNPs, detected in the first combined transcriptome 474 assembly with the Sanger and newly produced 454475 sequences [27]. This previous study used a range of 476 melon genotypes (10) representing two cultivated var- 477 ieties of the subspecies melo, var. inodorus (including the 478 Piel de Sapo market class) and var. cantalupensis, and 479 the conomon variety of the subspecies agrestis. Blanca 480 et al. [27] reported considerably lower SNP densities, 481 from 0.2 to $1.5 \mathrm{SNPs} / \mathrm{Kb}$. The two results are difficult to 482 compare as the coverage and the number of varieties 483 represented are higher in this study. However, we con- 484 sider that the higher number of SNPs reported here 485 is mainly due to the high number of materials included 486 in the study, as the more diverse the materials sequenced 487 the more variation is sampled. The SNP density found in 488 this study is more similar to that reported after the rese- 489 quencing of the transcriptomes of several genotypes in 490 several other crops sequenced mostly by means of 454491 and Solexa $[13,38,39]$, but none of these marker sets 492 come from such a large germplasm collection. Much 493 larger SNPs collections, with several million SNPs, 494 have been reported after the whole genome resequen- 495 cing of several crop genotypes [19,40,41]. However, 496 most of the reported SNPs are in non-genic regions, 497 and the number and relative distribution in CDS and 498 UTRs is comparable to the hundreds of thousands 499 presented here.


Figure 1 MAF distribution of SNPs selected in the different sequenced pools. The number of SNPs with different MAF values is represented for each pool.

## Within-group variation

Table 2 shows the total sequence length (with a minimum of 6X coverage) used for SNP mining in each pool, ranging from 4.4 Mb (pool 6, group melo) to 15.7 Mb (pool 4 , group momordica). The number, density and variability of in silico-detected SNPs, varied among groups.
SNP densities in the pools with accessions belonging to the subspecies agrestis were similar to those of the subspecies melo (ranging from 4.9 to 9.2 SNPs/Kb). However, the percentage of highly variable SNPs (with MAF under 0.7) was higher in agrestis pools including wild and exotic accessions from Africa and Southern Asia (pools 1
and 2) (Figure 1). The level of molecular variability in 513 these two pools was similar despite pool 2 was more het- 514 erogeneous (Table 1, Additional file 1). High variability in 515 the agrestis and acidulus from these areas, which are pu- 516 tative centers of origin for melon, was previously 517 reported [29,42,43]. Less variable were the conomon from 518 the Far East (pool 3) even when the included accessions 519 were quite phenotipically variable (Table 1; Additional 520 file 1). In this group only $1.6 \%$ of the detected SNPs had 521 MAF $<0.7$, which is consistent with previous studies that 522 found East Asian melons to be less variable than South 523 Asian melons (especially those from India) [30,44-46]. 524

Table 2 SNPs identified in the eight pools of C. melo genotypes resequenced with SOLiD

| Pool | Sequence length ${ }^{1}$ | Total ${ }^{\circ}$ SNPs ${ }^{2}$ | SNPs/kb | $\mathrm{N}^{\circ}$ SNPs with MAF $<0.7$ (\%) ${ }^{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C. melo subespecies agrestis |  |  |  |  |
| 1) African agrestis | 13,230,637 bp | 117,204 | 8.9 | 9,133 (7.8) |
| 2) Asian agrestis-acidulus | 14,275,353 bp | 96,460 | 6.8 | 10,197 (10.6) |
| 3) Far East conomon | 13,218,638 bp | 81,807 | 6.2 | 1,305 (1.6) |
| Intermediate types |  |  |  |  |
| 4) Middle East and Indian momordica-dudaim-flexuosus | 15,745,206 bp | 132,792 | 8.4 | 13,826 (10.1) |
| C. melo subespecies melo |  |  |  |  |
| 5) Group cantalupensis | 13,982,666 bp | 102,565 | 7.3 | 6,317 (6.2) |
| 6) Group melo Europe-Asia inodorus-chandalak-ameri | 4,430,082 bp | 40,762 | 9.2 | 2,417 (5.9) |
| 7) inodorus Spanish landraces | 12,505,399 bp | 79,551 | 6.4 | 3,210 (4.0) |
| 8) inodorus group market class Piel de Sapo | 8,680,064 bp | 43,363 | 4.9 | 1,396 (3.2) |

[^1]In our study, pool 4 also showed a large SNP density and a high percentage of highly variable SNPs ( $>10 \%$ ) (Figure 1, Table 2), which is consistent with the higher taxonomic variability of this pool composed of momordica, dudaim and flexuosus genotypes from India and the Near and Middle East (Table 1; Additional file 1). The momordica group has been reported to show high levels of genetic diversity [47-49]. In addition, high levels of variability, leading to discrepancies in their taxonomic classification, have been reported for dudaim and flexuosus, as accessions of these groups are sometimes grouped with agrestis types or interspersed with sweet cultivated types of the subspecies melo [9,11,32]. These data agree with previous studies that indicate a higher molecular variability in Africa and Central and Southern Asia, than in the extremes of melon distribution (the Mediterranean area and the Far East) (reviewed in Esteras et al. [2]).
The previously described pools, 1 to 4, mostly include non-sweet melons found growing wild or locally cultivated as exotic vegetables in different parts of the world. We present here for the first time a deep understanding of their genetic variation. This knowledge can be used to provide the basis not only for breeding commercial sweet melons (cantalupensis and inodorus), but also for promoting their own conservation and for starting commercial breeding activities for these exotic crops. In this sense, Fergany et al. [29] and Kong et al. [30] observe the need to develop new varieties with higher yields and improved nutritional value of acidulus and conomon melons, which are in high demand in India and China.
Unlike other crops for which a extremely narrow genetic basis is reported in cultivated material after resequencing, such as cereals [19], or tomato [50] some of the sweet melon groups still retain significant levels of diversity. The cantalupensis group (pool 5) (which includes melons of several market classes, Charentais, Galia, etc.) was the most variable, with MAF values similar to those of the agrestis group (Figure 1). All the sequenced cultivars are commercial cultivars subjected to breeding. The combination of genetic material from different groups by breeders or the introgressions of favorable traits from wild or exotic material during breeding programs may account for part of this variation. The other major commercial group (pool 8), which includes only the Piel de Sapo market class (the most economically important of the inodorus melons), was less variable, as expected. Despite this low variability, $3.2 \%(1,396)$ of the 43,363 SNPs detected in this group were highly informative with MAF $<0.7$, and represent the largest set of SNPs detected for this group to date.
The cantalupensis and inodorus groups are thought to have originated from genotypes distributed in Eastern Europe and Western Asia. The current variability of
landraces and local cultivars in this area, including Turkey, Iran, Iraq, Russia, Ukraine and surrounding countries has only started to be analyzed [51]. Sensoy et al. [52] found many intermediate forms between the inodorus and cantalupensis groups in Turkey due to the traditional farming practices employed by some local smallscale melon producers. Kohpayegani and Behbahani [53] reported high variability in Iranian melon, comparable to that of Turkish melons and much higher than landraces from Europe. Nimmakayala et al. [54] first reported high variability in the botanical varieties ameri, adana and chandalack from Ukraine, considered to be the ancestors of the cantalupensis group. Most of these groups of cultivars are represented in pool 6 . Even though this highly heterogeneous group had the lowest percentage of mapped reads (Table 1), most likely caused by a low sequence quality, it displayed a considerable number of highly variable SNPs.
Today the variation of the inodorus group is maintained in groups of landraces in different Mediterranean countries such as Greece and Italy [47,55,56]. The Iberian Peninsula is considered to be a secondary diversification center for melon and is a major world producer of inodorus cultivars [57]. Several studies have analyzed the distinctive morphological characteristics of Spanish melon cultivars (texture and unique taste). Also a marked lack of gene introgression from other germplasm of diverse origin has been suggested using molecular markers $[57,58]$. We detected a considerable SNP density, 6.4 SNPs/Kb, within the selected group of landraces (pool 7) (different types of Cassaba melons) indicating that high levels of variation are still present in this traditional Spanish germplasm.
Variation found in these groups of cultigens and landraces (pools 6 and 7) might prove useful for breeding commercial melons.

## Variation among groups

Only 668 SNPs ( $0.2 \%$ ) were shared among all libraries, 616 with only 6 with MAF $<0.7$, which suggests the exist- 617 ence of differential variation in the different groups. 618 Table 3 shows the amount of SNPs shared by every pair of libraries. The momordica group was the group with 620 the highest percentage of SNPs in common with other 621 libraries. Between 16 and $40 \%$ of the SNPs found in this 622 group of exotic accessions were also variable in the com- 623 mercial melons and landraces (Figure 2). The percentage of SNPs shared with exotic and wild agrestis was also 625 high, ranging from 29 to $35 \%$. The results are consistent 626 with the intermediate position of the momordica group 627 between both subspecies. The high heterogeneity of this 628 pool might also explain this high level of shared vari- 629 ation with both subspecies, as it includes flexuosus and dudaim genotypes, which are often grouped with agrestis

Table 3 Number of SNPs shared and differential between groups

|  | Piel de Sapo | Inodorus | Melo | Cantalupensis | Momordica | Conomon | Agrestis acidulus | African agrestis |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pool8 | Pool7 | Pool6 | Pool5 | Pool4 | Pool3 | Pool2 | Pool1 |
| Piel de Sapo |  | 15,560 | 9,149 | 19,451 | 21,566 | 14,168 | 14,488 | 18,172 |
| Pool8 |  | (36/20) | (21/22) | (45/19) | (50/16) | (33/17) | (33/15) | (42/16) |
| inodorus | 1,564 |  | 16,255 | 33,966 | 40,668 | 25,165 | 26,914 | 32,236 |
| Pool7 |  |  | (20/40) | (43/33) | (51/31) | (32/31) | (34/28) | (41/26) |
| melo | 3,260 | 2,722 |  | 19,727 | 23488 | 13,541 | 15,58 | 17,157 |
| Pool6 |  |  |  | (19/48) | (58/18) | (33/17) | (38/16) | (42/15) |
| cantalupensis | 4,735 | 4,353 | 3,178 |  | 52,514 | 31,461 | 34,510 | 39,016 |
| Pool5 |  |  |  |  | (51/40) | (31/39) | (34/36) | (38/33) |
| momordica | 4,441 | 4,484 | 2,417 | 4,224 |  | 38,384 | 47,491 | 46,865 |
| Pool4 |  |  |  |  |  | (47/29) | (49/36) | (40/35) |
| conomon | 19,942 | 20,281 | 12,628 | 14,978 | 9,576 |  | 27,670 | 33,783 |
| Pool3 |  |  |  |  |  |  | (29/34) | (35/29) |
| agrestis-acidulus | 11,402 | 12,009 | 6,837 | 9,577 | 5,273 | 6,474 |  | 36,162 |
| Pool2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (44/31) |
| African agrestis | 20,501 | 20,931 | 14,132 | 18,294 | 13,070 | 21,490 | 11,180 |  |
| Pool1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Numbers in the upper half of the table indicate the number of common SNPs between each pair of libraries. Numbers in brackets indicate percentages these common SNPs represent of the total SNP set detected within the corresponding library (row/column). For example, there are 15,560 SNPs common between pools 8 and 7 (that is with two alleles or more in each of these pools). This number represents the $36 \%$ and $20 \%$ of the total SNPs detected within Pool 8 and pool 7 (indicated in Table 2) respectively. <br> Numbers in the lower part of the table indicate the SNPs that are fixed within each pair of libraries, but polymorphic between them. For example, there are 1,564 SNPs for which all reads from pool 8 have one allele and all reads from pool 7 have the alternative allele. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

types, even though they have been reported to belong to subsp. melo [2]. Dhillon et al. [48] suggested that snap melon landraces from northern India might represent a central melon origin area from which oriental and occidental melon germplasm developed a hypothesis that has also been supported by Luan et al. [46]. Momordica is one of the most utilized groups for melon breeding and serves to introgress resistance to pests and diseases and tolerance to abiotic stresses. These introgressions may also account for part of the shared variation.
Despite the high level of shared variation, all the groups retained a number of exclusive SNPs. For example, 111,226 and 80,278 SNPs that werevariable within the momordica group were not detected in Piel de Sapo and the cantalupensis commercial cultivarsrespectively. Table 3 shows the number of SNPs that differentiate pairs of libraries, i.e. nucleotide positions fixed within a given pool and different between pairs. The momordica group has thousands of fixed positions with different alleles in groups of subsp. melo (from 2,417 to $4,487)$, but this number is much higher in wild African $(14,132$ to 20,931$)$ and even in Far Eastern conomon $(12,628$ to 20,218$)$. These two groups were the most divergent from the subspecies melo. The largest differences were detected between inodorus and Piel de Sapo and the wild African agrestis group (over 20,501 SNPs).

This suggests that a large portion of the genetic variabil- 658 ity found within this melon collection has not yet been 659 used for the development of new cultivars. Both, the 660 African agrestis and conomon groups appear to repre- 661 sent essential reservoirs of underexploited variation. The 662 large number of variants in which the two groups differ 663 $(21,490)$ suggests that they are rich complementary 664 sources of genetic diversity for cultivated melons. The 665 number of SNPs still present in the cultigens and land- 666 races pools (6 and 7) that are absent from commercial 667 cultivars (pools 5 and 8)are worthy of note as they may 668 be useful for breeding melons using these sources that 669 share similar genetic backgrounds.

## Variation in target genes

In order to validate the efficiency of this in silico SNP 672 mining, we compared our results to those previously 673 obtained using EcoTILLING in the same germplasm col- 674 lection [59]. EcoTILLING was used to detect SNPs with 675 an impact on gene function by screening the coding 676 sequences of genes involved in fruit quality and disease 677 resistance. The natural variation in two melon genes was 678 analyzed: Cm-ACO1 (1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylate 679 oxidase 1) which is involved in melon ripening through 680 the alteration of ethylene synthesis [60], and Cm-eIF(iso) 681 $4 E$ (melon eukaryotic translation initiation factor E, 682


Figure 2 Degree of shared polymorphism between the momordica group and the 7 pools of both subspecies. Total number of SNPs in each group is indicated in the center of each circle and the number of shared SNPs in the intersection. Numbers in brackets show the percentage of shared SNPs (first number referred to the total number in each group and second number in the momordica).

Isoform) which has been suggested to be involved in recessive resistance to viruses $[61,62]$. In the previous study performed by Esteras et al. [59] all mutations found by EcoTILLING were confirmed by Sanger sequencing and the effect of the mutations was analyzed with SIFT (Sorting Intolerant from Tolerant) $[63,64]$ which predicts whether an amino acid substitution affects protein function.
Cm-ACO-1 (unigene MELO3C014437 at [36]) is located in positions 3015704-3017224 of the scaffold CM3.5_ scaffold00022 in the melon genome (v3.5) (Figure 3 A ). Resequencing permitted us to find 6 SNPs in the coding region of this gene (Table 4). Five nucleotide variants were also previously detected by EcoTILLING [59]. The allele distribution found in SOLiD agrees with the EcoTILLING haplotypes: two mutations were exclusive to the agrestis pools (1, 2, and 3) (CM3.5_scaffold00022: 3015744 and 3016016), one was exclusive to the conomon pool (3) (CM3.5_scaffold00022: 3016091), and one was fixed in agrestis and appeared with a low frequency in the momordica and melo pools (4, 5, 6, 7 and 8) (CM3.5_scaffold00022: 3015944). According to EcoTILLING, the mutation CM3.5_scaffold00022: 3016304, the only predicted not to be tolerated by SIFT, was present in only one genotype, the snake melon from

Arabia (included in pool 4, Table 1). Accordingly, the variant was only sequenced in pool 4 , thus confirming the utility of pooling samples to increase the number of genotypes represented in resequencing assays without missing rare alleles.
EcoTILLING studies show that most natural variation in Cm-ACO-1 occurs in exon 1, 2 and 3 [59]. The only variant in exon 4 was detected by TILLING in an EMStreated Piel de Sapo melon collection (C728T, T243I) [62]. SOLiD resequencing detected a putative natural missense mutation in exon 4 , which was reported to be tolerated by SIFT (CM3.5_scaffold00022: 3016920). This was a rare allele $(\mathrm{MAF}=0.97)$, only present in momordica and the two groups with commercial varieties, cantalupensis and Piel de Sapo. It has been demonstrated that two artificially induced missense mutations found in exon 3 (in a TILLING platform constructed in a cantalupensis genetic background) (C580T, L124Phe, and G791A, Gly194Asp) [66] delay the ripening process resulting in fruit flesh with increased firmness. It remains to be demonstrated if any of the natural putative missense mutations found in this study affect ethylene production, thereby altering the ripening process.
Cm-eiF(iso)4E (unigene MELO3C023037 at [36]) is 731 located in CM3.5_scaffold00057: 1028066 to 1030714732


Figure 3 (See legend on next page.)

## (See figure on previous page.)

Figure 3 SNPs detected in the coding region of $C m-A C O-1$ ( $A$ ) and $C m$-eiF(iso)4E (B). Short reads generated by SOLiD in the different pools are represented mapped to the genomic sequence (whole genome draft version 3.5 available in MELONOMICS) of both genes. Coverage in exonic and UTRs regions is shown for each nucleotide. SNPs detected by SOLiD and EcoTILLING are represented by colored bars in the different exons (red, green and yellow for mutations detected only by SOLiD, only by EcoTILLING and by both methods). The structure of each gene as annotated in the genome is shown below. Data are visualized with IGV (Integrative Genomics Viewer) [65].
t4.1 Table 4 Polymorphism in Cm-ACO-1 and Cm-eiF4-iso detected by SOLiD sequencing and EcoTILLING [59]

| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{t} 4.2 \\ & \mathrm{t} 4.3 \end{aligned}$ | Position in the scaffold ${ }^{1}$ | Position in the gene (from ATG) | SNP MAF | aa change | Effect on protein according to SIFT ${ }^{2}$ | Exon | Detected by SOLiD/ EcoTILLING | $\text { Agrestis }^{3}$ | Momord | Melo |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| t4.4 | Coding region of Cm-ACO-1, CM3.5_scaffold00022 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| t4.5 | 3015744 | 41 | A/G 0.68 | D14G | Tolerated (1.00/3.02) | 1 | Yes/yes | G:6,7,4 | A:21 | A:5,2,8,- |
| t4.6 | 3015944 | 241 | A/G | L46L |  | 2 | Yes/yes | G:15,6,5 | A:15 | A:5,5,8,1 |
| t4.7 |  |  | 0.54 |  |  |  |  |  | G:1 | G: $1,0,1,0$ |
| t4.8 | 3016016 | 313 | T/G | L70L |  | 2 | Yes/yes | G:0,3,2 | T:12 | T:8,2,11,6 |
| t4.9 |  |  | 0.99 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| t4.10 | 3016091 | 388 | T/A | L95L |  | 2 | Yes/yes | T:3,1,0 | T:10 | T:7,-,2,2 |
| t4.11 |  |  | 0.80 |  |  |  |  | A:0,0,6 |  |  |
| t4.12 | 3016304 | 601 | C/A | L131M | Not tolerated |  | Yes/yes | C:8,4,6 | C: 12 | C:9,4,20,5 |
| t4.13 |  |  | 0.87 |  | (0.03/3.02) |  |  |  | A:10 |  |
| t4.14 | - | 747 | C/T | D179D | - | 3 | No/yes |  |  |  |
| t4.15 | 3016920 | 1216 | T/C | V294A | Tolerated | 4 | Yes/no | T: 11,7,7 | T:29 | T:18,5,20,7 |
| t4.16 |  |  | 0.97 |  | (0.12/3.03) |  |  |  | C:1 | $\mathrm{C}: 1,0,0,1$ |
| t4.17 | Coding region of Cm-eiF(iso)4E, CM3.5_scaffold00057 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| t4.18 | - | 26 | G/A | G9D | Not tolerated | 1 | No/yes |  |  |  |
| t4.19 |  |  |  |  | $(0.00 / 4.32)^{*}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| t4.20 | 1030561 | 41 | C/T | A14V | Not tolerated | 1 | Yes/yes | G:2,3,3 | $\mathrm{G}: 3$ | G:5,1,5,1 |
| t4.21 |  |  | 0.90 | - | (0.00/4.32)* |  |  | A:4,0,0 |  |  |
| t4.22 | 1030440 | 162 | T/C | L54L |  | 1 | Yes/yes | G:31,22,3 | G:12 | G:31,1,5,0 |
| t4.23 |  |  | 0.70 |  |  |  |  | T:0,1,0 | T:1 | T:3,3,21,7 |
| t4.24 | 1029938 | 664 | C/T | S112N | Tolerated | 2 | Yes/no | C:66,33,26 | $\mathrm{C}: 25$ | $\mathrm{C}: 0,15,55,19$ |
| t4.25 |  |  | 0.99 |  | (0.56/3.11) |  |  | T:2,0,0 |  | T:4,0,1,0 |
| t4.26 | 1029710 | 892 | A/G | L153L |  | 3 | Yes/no | A:91,49,30 | A:46 | A:75,17,54,30 |
| t4.27 |  |  | 0.99 |  |  |  |  | G:1,0,0 |  | $\mathrm{G}: 1,1,0,0$ |
| t4.28 | 1029697 | 905 | C/T | K158E | Not tolerated | 3 | Yes/no | T:0,38,13 | T:31 | T:67,10,39,26 |
| t4.29 |  |  | 0.99 |  | (0.02/3.12) |  |  | C:5,0,0 |  | C:3,0,0,0 |
| t4.30 | 1028781 | 1810 | C/T | D178G | Tolerated | 4 | Yes/no | T:0,23,17 | T:17 | T:57,17,27,26 |
| t4.31 |  |  | 0.99 |  | (0.65/3.12) |  |  | C:7,0,0 |  | C:1,0,2,0 |
| t4.32 | 1028629 | 1962 | C/T | K198K |  | 5 | Yes/no | T:33,24,26 | T:34 | T:34,4,35,17 |
| t4.33 |  |  | 0.99 |  |  |  |  |  |  | C:2,0,1,0 |
| t4.34 | 1028619 | 1972 | C/T | S202G | Not tolerated | 5 | Yes/no | T:42,32,33 | T:37 | T:52,8,49,21 |
| t4.35 |  |  | 0.99 |  | (0.00/3.14) |  |  |  | $\mathrm{C}: 2$ | C: $1,0,0,0$ |

t4.36 $\quad{ }^{1}$ Position in the melon genome assembly v3.5 available at MELONOMICS [36].
t4.37 ${ }^{2}$ The effect of mutations was analyzed with SIFT [64]. Prediction score and median sequence conservation, respectively, are indicated in brackets. * Low
t4.38 confidence in the prediction (few sequences represented at those positions).
$t 4.39 \quad{ }^{3}$ Number of reads of each allele are indicated in each pool, ( - ) means that this nucleotide has not been sequenced in the corresponding pool, numbers are
t4.40 ordered according to pool number, agrestis (pools 1, 2 and 3), momord (pool 4), melo (pools 5, 6, 7, 8)
(Figure 3 B ). We detected 8 mutations in the coding region of this gene (Table 4). We previously screened the natural variation of this gene with EcoTILLING, analyzing exons 1,2 , and 3 , and detecting only 2 of the 5 mutations identified by sequencing, both in exon 1 (CM3.5_scaffold00057: 1030561 and 1030440). Resequencing provided additional putative mutations in exons 2 and 3, one of which was non-tolerated. All were rare alleles that appeared in African agrestis accessions and in certain commercial varieties (CM3.5_scaffold00057: 1029938, 1029710, and 1029697). Exons 1, 2, and 3 of Cm-eIF(iso) $4 E$ were also tilled in the Piel de Sapo and Charentais TILLING populations described above [62]. Only one mutation in exon 1, a transition G128A that alters aa 43 R to K , was found and predicted to be tolerated, so the number of natural variants was much higher than that obtained with induced variation.
In the re-sequencing assay we also analyzed exons 4 and 5, which have not been analyzed by EcoTILLING. We found 3 rare mutations in agrestis, momordica and commercial cultivars respectively, the last of which was predicted to alter protein function according to SIFT (CM3.5_scaffold00057: 1028781, 1028629, and 1028619).
Although it is necessary to validate by sequencing or genotyping these in silico-detected SNPs, our results confirm that resequencing strategy provides a large cata$\log$ of alleles in genes of interest, some of which may potentially alter gene function.
Only two of the mutations detected by EcoTILLING in the accessions used for resequencing were missed by SOLiD: one in the $\mathrm{Cm}-\mathrm{ACO}-1$ gene, mutation $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{T}$ in nucleotide 747 from the ATG, and the second in Cm-eIF (iso) $4 E$, mutation G/A in nucleotide 26 from the ATG, both detected in the Wild chibbar accession of pool 2. Problems with the sequencing of the cDNA of this accession may explain these results.

## Design of a genotyping array for validation

To validate some of the putative SNPs found by resequencing we designed a Sequenom genotyping array [67] with 143 SNPs and used it with 78 varieties, including most of the resequenced genotypes (Additional file 7: "Validation of SNP"). To facilitate primer design and optimize the use of this genotyping method, the set of SNPs selected for validation was filtered out using IS60 and CS60 filters (see Additional file 4). These filters allow the selection of those SNPs that are not closer than 60 bp to an intron (193,743 SNPs, $68.2 \%$ of the total) or to another SNVs (55,000, 19.4\%), respectively. CS60 was a very restrictive filter due to the large number of SNPs detected in the species, as only $19.4 \%$ of the detected variants don't have another SNVs in a flanking window of 60 pb , with only 28,996 (10.2\%) meeting both criteria (no IS60 and no CS60). In order to increase the
possibility of selecting SNPs that are useful for high- 786 throughput genotyping, we modified filter CS60 to in- 787 clude those SNPs surrounded by SNPs with a very high 788 MAF in the selection, that is, we allowed rare variants to 789 be close to the SNPs assayed. The filter CS60_MAF per- 790 mitted the selection of SNPs flanked by other SNPs with 791 MAF values over a specified threshold. Table 5 shows the number of SNPs obtained after filtering the whole collection with different filter combinations. For example, the number of selected SNPs increased from 28,996 to 795 65,500 when we combined no IS60 and no 796 CS60_MAF0.99. Only a small proportion of these SNPs 797 were common to all resequenced groups. 798
Using the subset of SNPs with no IS60 and no 799 CS60_MAF, we randomly selected several sets of SNPs 800 that met different within- and between-group variation 801 criteria for validation. The number of SNPs selected 802 from each group and the validation percentage is 803 included in Table 6. All the assayed SNPs amplified in most samples and only 12 were monomorphic in all the 805 accessions genotyped, giving a validation ratio of $92 \%$. 806 Similar validation rates have been previously reported 807 with SOLiD and Solexa [19].
The ratio of validation varied among SNPs groups. 809 Nearly 100\% of the SNPs selected for being common be- 810 tween Piel de sapo and African agrestis or conomon, and 811 variable with conomon or African agrestis, respectively, 812

Table 5 Number of SNPs meeting different criteria for optimizing validation with the sequenom genotyping array

| No CS60_MAF ${ }^{\mathbf{1}}$ | Whole <br> collection | No IS60 | Variable in <br> all groups | t 5.3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| MAF 1 | 55,000 | 28,996 | 9 | t 5.4 |
| MAF 0.99 | 108,731 | $\mathbf{( 1 0 . 2 1 \% )}$ |  | t 5.5 |
|  |  | $(23,500$ | 158 | t 5.6 |
| MAF 0.98 | 136,694 | 86,103 | 211 | t 5.8 |



[^2]t6.1 Table 6 SNPs variable within and between different groups of botanical varieties selected for validation

| t6.2 <br> t6.4 | Polymorphic between ${ }^{1}$ |  | Total SNPs |  |  | SNPs with MAF $<0.7$ |  |  | Selected | Validated |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Monomorphic Within | Monomorphic Within | All | No Is60 |  | All | No Is60 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | CS60 MAF1 | CS60 MAF0.7 |  | CS60 MAF1 | CS60 MAF0.7 |  |  |
| t6.5 | Piel de Sapo (8) African agrestis (1) | conomon (3) | 13,168 | 4,000 | 6,240 | 5,361 | 1,659 | 2,690 | 34 | 33 (97\%) |
| t6.6 | Piel de Sapo (8) conomon (3) | African agrestis (1) | 15,261 | 4,226 | 7,095 | 6,724 | 1,894 | 3,322 | 24 | 24 (100\%) |
| t6.7 | cantalupensis (5) conomon (3) | African agrestis (1) | 13,168 | 3,559 | 5,972 | 5,052 | 1,354 | 2,284 | 12 | 12 (100\%) |
| t6.8 | momordica (4) Piel de Sapo (8) inodorus (7) | African agrestis (1)conomon (3) | 5,822 | 1,739 | 2,26 | 2,848 | 879 | 1,139 | 15 | 14 (93\%) |
| t6.9 | momordica (4) cantalupensis (5) | African agrestis (1)conomon (3) | 5,102 | 1,544 | 2,006 | 2,305 | 744 | 954 | 24 | 24 (100\%) |
| t6.10 | Polymorphic in Piel de Sapo |  | 43,363 |  |  | 1,305 |  |  | 19 | 12 (63\%) |
| t6.11 | Polymorphic in all groups |  | 668 | 9 | 431 |  | 0 | 0 | 16 | 13 (81\%) |
| t6.12 | ${ }^{1}$ Pool number indicated. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

were successfully validated (Table 6, and Additional file 7). Nearly all the SNPs selected for being common between cantalupensis and conomon and variable with African agrestis, and those selected for being common between momordica and inodorus-Piel de Sapo or cantalupensis and variable with conomon were also true SNPs. The percentage of validation was lower in the group of SNPs selected for being variable in all groups (81\%), and the lower percentage of validation was found in the group variable within Piel de Sapo. However, the lower ratio of validation found in the latter group can be due to the fact that only 2 genotypes of this market class were included in the genotyping array due to technical problems.
Polymorphism Information Content (PIC) for every SNP validated was calculated by using Power Marker v. 3.25 software [68] (Additional file 7).In general, results indicate a high percentage of validation and consistency of the results obtained by SOLiD with those of the genotyping array, suggesting that most of the in silico selected markers will be useful for different melon breeding objectives.

## Conclusions

This study provides the first comprehensive resequencing data of wild, exotic, and cultivated melons. It demonstrates that pooling RNA samples from several genotypes combined with high-throughput transcriptome sequencing is an efficient and effective way to identify large numbers of SNPs. This collection of variants dramatically improves the previously available SNP collection by increasing the total number of useful SNPs and by identifying new ones in groups of melons from the area of origin and diversification analyzed here for the first time. Our results show the divergence between wild and cultivated melons. The huge amount of variation present in wild African agrestis and conomon, which is absent in the subspecies melo, may prove useful in breeding commercial types. The variation detected in landraces shows that these are also reservoirs of polymorphism for breeding melons with similar genetic backgrounds. The high percentage of validation confirms the utility of the SNP-mining process and the stringent quality criteria for distinguishing sequence variations from sequencing errors and mutations introduced during the cDNA synthesis step. The availability of this information will aid in carrying out future studies of population genetics, marker-assisted breeding, and QTL dissection. Some of the resequenced genotypes are donors of agronomic traits, with available mapping population's with will enable the rapid application of the discovered SNPs in mapping experiments.

## Additional files


#### Abstract

Additional file 1: Resequenced melon genotypes. Photographs of the fruits of the genotypes resequenced, in eight pools, using SOLiD are included. A. Pools 1-4. B. Pools 5-8.

Additional file 2: The configuration of the ngs_backbone pipeline used for processing raw reads generated with SOLiD, for mapping, SNV calling and filtering is included.

Additional file 3: Changes in number and quality of reads after processing with ngs_backbone. Additional file 4: SNVs detected by mapping SOLiD sequences against melon genome. All SNVs detected in all eight resequenced pools are included, their position in the reference genome (scaffold or contig), referred to the whole genome draft version 3.5 available in MELONOMICS [36], their MAFs and allelic frequency in each group, and the filters implemented for its selection are detailed. Additional file 5: SNVs detected by mapping SOLiD sequences against melon transcriptome. All SNVs detected in all eight resequenced pools are included, their position in the reference transcriptome available in http://melogene.net, their allelic frequency in each group are detailed. Alleles in reads from genotypes previously sequenced with Sanger and 454 are also indicated.


Additional file 6: Location of SNVs in melon genes. Correspondence of the SNVs located in melon genes annotated in the melon genome version 3.5 available in MELONOMICS [36] is listed.
Additional file 7: Validation of SNPs. Information about the SNPs selected for validation is included along with genotyping results obtained with Sequenom with 78 varieties. PIC for each SNP along with the MAF estimated by SOLiD and by genotyping is indicated.
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