# ON SUBGROUPS OF ZJ TYPE OF AN $\mathfrak{F}$ -INJECTOR FOR FITTING CLASSES $\mathfrak{F}$ BETWEEN $\mathfrak{E}_{p^*p}$ AND $\mathfrak{E}_{p^*}\mathfrak{S}_p$

# A. Martínez Pastor(\*)

Abstract.

Let G be a finite group and p a prime. We consider an  $\mathfrak{F}$ -injector K of G, being  $\mathfrak{F}$  a Fitting class between  $\mathfrak{E}_{p^*p}$  and  $\mathfrak{E}_{p^*}\mathfrak{S}_p$ , and we study the structure and normality in G of the subgroups ZJ(K) and  $ZJ^*(K)$ , provided that G verify certain conditions, extending some results of G. Glauberman (A characteristic subgroup of a p-stable group, C and C and C and C be a prime C of C and C and C of C of C and C of C of

## 1. Introduction and notation

In this paper we consider a finite group G verifying certain conditions of stability and constraint, and we study the structure and normality in G of the subgroups ZJ(K) and  $ZJ^*(K)$ , being K and  $\mathfrak{F}$ -injector of G and  $\mathfrak{F}$  a Fitting class such that  $\mathfrak{E}_{p^*p} \subseteq \mathfrak{F} \subseteq \mathfrak{E}_{p^*}\mathfrak{S}_p$ , extending some results of Glauberman [6].

All groups in this paper are assumed to be finite. Given a fixed prime p,  $\mathfrak{S}_p$  will denote the class of all p-groups,  $\mathfrak{E}_{p^*}$ , the class of all  $p^*$ -groups,  $\mathfrak{E}_{p^*p}$  the class of all  $p^*p$ -groups and  $\mathfrak{E}_{p^*}\mathfrak{S}_p$  that of all  $p^*$ -by-p-groups. The corresponding radicals in a group G are denoted by  $O_p(G)$ ,  $O_{p^*}(G)$ ,  $O_{p^*p}(G)$  and  $O_{p^*}$ , p(G) respectively. For all definitions we refer to Bender [3].

The notation for Fitting classes is taken from [4]. The remainder of the notation is standard and it is taken mainly from [7] and [8]. In particular, E(G) is the semisimple radical of G and  $F^*(G) = F(G)E(G)$  the quasinilpotent radical of G. If H is a subgroup of G,  $C_G^*(H)$  is the generalized centralizer of H in G (see [3]). Note that  $C_G^*(F^*(G)) \leq$ 

<sup>(\*)</sup>Work supported by the CICYT of the Spanish Ministry of Education and Science, project PB90-0414-C03-01.

F(G), in every group G. A group G is said to be  $\mathfrak{R}$ -constrained if  $C_G(F(G)) \leq F(G)$ , that is, if E(G) = 1.

Moreover,  $\pi(G)$  is the set of primes dividing the order of G, d(G) is the maximum of the orders of the abelian subgroups of G,  $\mathfrak{A}(G)$  is the set of all abelian subgroups of order d(G) in G and J(G) is the subgroup generated by  $\mathfrak{A}(G)$ , that is, the Thompson subgroup of G. We set ZJ(G) = Z(J(G)).

In [6] G. Glauberman proves his well-known ZJ-Theorem and also introduces the subgroup  $ZJ^*(P)$  proving the following: "Let p be an odd prime and let P be a Sylow p-subgroup of a group G. Suppose that  $C_G(O_p(G)) \leq O_p(G)$  and that SA(2,p) is not involved in G. Then  $ZJ^*(P)$  is a characteristic subgroup of G and  $C_G(ZJ^*(P)) \leq ZJ^*(P)$ ".

On the other hand, Arad and Glauberman study in [2] the structure and normality of the subgroup ZJ(H), H being a Hall  $\pi$ -subgroup of a  $\pi$ -soluble group G with abelian Sylow 2-subgroups and  $O_{\pi'}(G) = 1$ .

Some related results were obtained by Arad in [1], by Ezquerro in [5] and by Pérez Ramos in [11] and [12].

Here we study the structure of the subgroups ZJ(K) and  $ZJ^*(K)$  where K is an  $\mathfrak{F}$ -injector of G, being  $\mathfrak{F}$  a Fitting class such that  $\mathfrak{E}_{p^*p} \subseteq \mathfrak{F} \subseteq \mathfrak{E}_{p^*}\mathfrak{S}_p$ , and we obtain that it depends only of G. Also, we obtain some analogous to Glauberman's ZJ and  $ZJ^*$  Theorems for such Fitting classes. Recall that such a Fitting class  $\mathfrak{F}$  is dominant in the class of all finite groups, so every finite group G has a unique conjugacy class of  $\mathfrak{F}$ -injectors (see [10]). Moreover, for such  $\mathfrak{F}$  every finite group is  $\mathfrak{F}$ -constrained in the sense of [9] (see [3]).

In the following  $\mathfrak{F}$  will be a Fitting class such that  $\mathfrak{E}_{p^*p} \subseteq \mathfrak{F} \subseteq \mathfrak{E}_{p^*}\mathfrak{S}_p$ .

# 2. Preliminary results

### Remark 1.

Let K be an  $\mathfrak{F}$ -injector of a group G. By [10] we know that

$$K = (O_{p^*}(G)P)_{\mathfrak{F}}$$

where P is a Sylow p-subgroup of G. Moreover,  $O_{p^*}(K) = O_{p^*}(G)$ , so  $O_{p'}(K) = O_{p'}(G)$  and  $O_{p'}(F(K)) = O_{p'}(F(G))$ . On the other hand, since  $F^*(G) \leq K$ , we have E(K) = E(G).

#### Remark 2.

Suppose that K is an  $\mathfrak{E}_{p^*}\mathfrak{S}_p$ -group, that is,  $K = O_{p^*}(K)S$  where S is a Sylow p-subgroup of K. Since  $[O_{p^*}(K), O_p(K)] = 1$ , it is clear that K

acts nilpotently on  $O_p(K)$ , i.e.  $K = C_K^*(O_p(K))$ . In particular, we can deduce that

$$C_K^*(E(K)O_{p'}(F(K))) = C_K^*(F^*(K)) \le F(K).$$

### Lemma 2.1.

Let G be a group and let K be an  $\mathfrak{E}_{p^*}\mathfrak{S}_p$ -subgroup of G containing  $F^*(G)$ . Then  $\pi(ZJ(K)) \subseteq \pi(F(G)) = \pi(F(K))$ . Moreover if the prime p belongs to  $\pi(F(G))$  then  $p \in \pi(ZJ(K))$ .

# Proof:

Since  $\pi(F(K)) = \pi(Z(F(K)))$  and  $Z(F(K)) \leq C_G(F^*(G)) \leq F(G)$ , the first statement can be easily obtained. On the other hand if  $p \in \pi(F(G))$  and P is a Sylow p-subgroup of K we have  $1 \neq Z(P) \cap O_p(K) \leq Z(K) \leq ZJ(K)$  since  $K = PO_{p^*}(K)$ , and so the result holds.  $\blacksquare$ 

#### Lemma 2.2.

Let G be a group and let K be an  $\mathfrak{E}_{p^*}\mathfrak{S}_p$ -subgroup of G containing  $O_p(G)$ . Let B be a nilpotent normal subgroup of G and let A be any nilpotent subgroup of K. Then  $AO_p(B)$  is nilpotent.

## Proof:

By the Remark 2 A acts nilpotently on  $O_p(B) \leq O_p(K)$ , so the result follows.

Next we will deal with the subgroup  $ZJ^*(K)$  of an arbitrary group K and its properties:

# Definition 2.3. [5].

For any group K define two sequences of characteristic subgroups of K as follows. Set  $ZJ^0(K)=1$  and  $K_0=K$ . Given  $ZJ^i(K)$  and  $K_i$ ,  $i\geq 0$ , let  $ZJ^{i+1}(K)$  and  $K_{i+1}$  the subgroups of K that contain  $ZJ^i(K)$  and satisfy:

$$\begin{split} ZJ^{i+1}(K)/ZJ^{i}(K) &= ZJ(K_{i}/ZJ^{i}(K)) \\ K_{i+1}/ZJ^{i}(K) &= C_{K_{i}/ZJ^{i}(K)}(ZJ^{i+1}(K)/ZJ^{i}(K)). \end{split}$$

Let n be the smallest integer such that  $ZJ^n(K) = ZJ^{n+1}(K)$ , then  $ZJ^n(K) = ZJ^{n+r}(K)$  and  $K_n = K_{n+r}$  for every  $n \ge 0$ . Set  $ZJ^*(K) = ZJ^n(K)$  and  $K_* = K_n$ .

# Example.

In general, the subgroups ZJ(K) and  $ZJ^*(K)$  of a group K are different. To see this, we can consider, as an example, the group  $K = [Q_8 \times C_3]S_3$  generated by the elements a, b, c, x, y with the following relations:

$$a^4 = 1$$
,  $a^2 = b^2$ ,  $a^b = a^{-1}$ ,  $c^3 = 1$ ,  $a^c = a$ ,  $b^c = b$ ,  $x^3 = y^2 = 1$ ,  $x^y = y^{-1}$ ,  $a^x = ba$ ,  $b^x = a^{-1}$ ,  $c^x = c$ ,  $a^y = b$ ,  $b^y = a$ ,  $c^y = c^{-1}$ .

Then we can get check that d(K)=18,  $Z(K)=Z(Q_8)=\langle a^2\rangle$ ,  $ZJ(K)=Z(Q_8)\times C_3$ ,  $K_1=[Q_8\times C_3]\langle x\rangle=J(K)$  and  $ZJ^*(K)=ZJ^2(K)=K_2=[Q_8\times C_3]$ .

# Remark 3.

For every group K:

- i)  $ZJ(K_i/ZJ^i(K)) = ZJ(K_{i+1}/ZJ^i(K)) = Z(K_{i+1}/ZJ^i(K))$ , for every i > 0.
- ii)  $Z(K_i) \leq Z(K_{i+1})$ , for every  $i \geq 0$ .

# Lemma 2.4.

For any group K and for every  $i \geq 0$ :

- i)  $ZJ^i(K)$  is nilpotent.
- ii)  $F(K_i/ZJ^i(K)) = F(K_i)/ZJ^i(K)$ .

Proof:

- i) By induction on i, assume that  $ZJ^i(G)$  is nilpotent, for every group G. By ([5, Prop. II 3.6]) we have that  $ZJ^{i+1}(K)/ZJ^1(K) = ZJ^i(K_1/ZJ^1(K))$ , so this is a nilpotent group. Now, by the previous remark,  $ZJ^1(K) = ZJ(K) \leq Z(K_1) \leq Z(K_i)$ , and  $ZJ^{i+1}(K) \leq K_i$ , hence  $ZJ^{i+1}(K)$  is nilpotent.
- ii) By induction on i. The assertion is clear for i = 0. Assume now that  $F(K_i/ZJ^i(K)) = F(K_i)/ZJ^i(K)$ . We have:

$$F(K_{i+1}/ZJ^{i+1}(K)) \cong F(K_{i+1}/ZJ^{i}(K)/ZJ^{i+1}(K)/ZJ^{i}(K))$$

and since  $ZJ^{i+1}(K)/ZJ^{i}(K) = Z(K_{i+1}/ZJ^{i}(K))$ , it follows

$$F(K_{i+1}/ZJ^{i}(K)/ZJ^{i+1}(K)/ZJ^{i}(K)) = F(K_{i+1}/ZJ^{i}(K))/ZJ^{i+1}(K)/ZJ^{i}(K).$$

But applying the inductive hypothesis we have:

$$F(K_{i+1}/ZJ^{i}(K)) = F(K_{i}/ZJ^{i}(K)) \cap K_{i+1}/ZJ^{i}(K) = F(K_{i})/ZJ^{i}(K) \cap K_{i+1}/ZJ^{i}(K) = F(K_{i+1}/ZJ^{i}(K))$$

and so we can conclude that  $F(K_{i+1}/ZJ^{i+1}(K)) = F(K_{i+1})/ZJ^{i+1}(K)$ .

# 3. The structure of the ZJ-subgroup and the $ZJ^*$ -subgroup

In this section we will study the structure of the subgroups ZJ(K) and  $ZJ^*(K)$  being K an  $\mathfrak{E}_{p^*}\mathfrak{S}_p$ -subgroup of a group G containing  $O_p(G)$  and satisfying that  $O_{p^*}(K) = O_{p^*}(G)$ , properties that hold for an  $\mathfrak{F}$ -injector of G, as we have seen.

# Theorem 3.1.

Let G be an  $\mathfrak{R}$ -constrained group and let K be an  $\mathfrak{E}_{p^*}\mathfrak{S}_p$ -subgroup of G containing  $O_p(G)$  and such that  $O_{p^*}(K) = O_{p^*}(G)$ . Assume that at least one of the following conditions hold:

- i)  $O_{p'}(F(G)) \leq ZJ(K)$ ,
- ii) F(G) is abelian,
- iii) d(K) is odd and  $O_2(G)$  is abelian.

Then:

- a)  $\{O_p(A)|A \in \mathfrak{A}(K)\} = \mathfrak{A}(O_p(K)).$
- b)  $O_p(ZJ(K)) = ZJ(O_p(K)).$
- c)  $\{O_{p'}(A)|A \in \mathfrak{A}(K)\} = \mathfrak{A}(Q_{p^*}(G)).$
- d)  $O_{p'}(ZJ(K)) = ZJ(O_{p^*}(G)).$

In particular, if we assume  $O_{p'}(F(G)) \leq ZJ(K)$  then for every  $A \in \mathfrak{A}(K)$ 

$$O_{p'}(A) = O_{p'}(ZJ(K)) = O_{p'}(F(G)).$$

Moreover the prime numbers divisors of d(K), |ZJ(K)|, |F(K)| and |F(G)| coincide.

Proof:

Let  $A \in \mathfrak{A}(K)$ . Since  $F^*(G) \leq K$  we know that E(K) = E(G) = 1, so K is an  $\mathfrak{N}$ -constrained group. Leading from our assumptions we can obtain that AF(G) is nilpotent (if we assume i) Lemma 2.2 applies; if we assume ii) or iii) Proposition 1 of [2] applies). Moreover, since  $O_{p^*}(K) = O_{p^*}(G)$  we have  $O_{p'}(F(K)) = O_{p'}(F(G))$ .

a) Let  $A \in \mathfrak{A}(K)$ . Since AF(G) is nilpotent  $O_p(A)$  centralizes  $O_{p'}(F(G))$  and so applying Remark 2 we obtain

$$O_p(A) \le C_K(O_{p'}(F(K))) \le F(K)$$

so  $O_p(A) \leq O_p(K)$ .

Let  $B \in \mathfrak{A}(O_p(K))$ . Since  $AO_p(K)$  is nilpotent by Lemma 2.2,  $O_{p'}(A)$  centralizes  $O_p(K)$ , so  $O_{p'}(A)B$  is an abelian subgroup of K and then

$$|O_{p'}(A)B| \le |A| = |O_{p'}(A)O_p(A)|.$$

Hence  $d(O_p(K)) \leq |O_p(A)|$ . Since  $O_p(A) \leq O_p(K)$  the equality  $d(O_p(K)) = |O_p(A)|$  holds.

Thus, for every  $B \in \mathfrak{A}(O_{\mathfrak{p}}(K))$ ,  $O_{\mathfrak{p}'}(A) \times B \in \mathfrak{A}(K)$ . So we have

$${O_p(A)|A \in \mathfrak{A}(K)} = \mathfrak{A}(O_p(K)).$$

b) This follows easily from a):

$$O_p(ZJ(K)) = O_p(\cap \{A | A \in \mathfrak{A}(K)\})$$
  
=  $\cap \{O_p(A) | A \in \mathfrak{A}(K)\} = ZJ(O_p(K)).$ 

c) Let  $A \in \mathfrak{A}(K)$ . By a) we know that  $O_p(A) \leq O_p(K)$ . On the other hand, since K is an  $\mathfrak{E}_{p^*}\mathfrak{S}_p$ -group we have  $O_{p'}(A) \leq O^p(K) = O_{p^*}(K) = O_{p^*}(G)$ .

Let  $B \in \mathfrak{A}(O_{p^*}(G))$ . Since  $[O_{p^*}(G), O_p(K)] = 1, O_p(A)$  centralizes B so  $O_p(A)B$  is an abelian subgroup of K and then

$$|O_{p'}(A)B| \le |A| = |O_p(A)O_{p'}(A)|.$$

Hence  $d(O_{p^*}(G)) \leq |O_{p'}(A)|$ . Since  $O_{p'}(A) \leq O_{p^*}(G)$  it follows  $d(O_{p^*}(G)) = |O_{p'}(A)|$ . Therefore, for every  $B \in \mathfrak{A}(O_{p^*}(G), O_p(A) \times B \in \mathfrak{A}(K)$ . This proves c).

d) This follows from c) as in b).

If we assume  $O_{p'}(F(G)) \leq ZJ(K)$  then it is clear that  $O_{p'}(ZJ(K)) = O_{p'}(F(K)) = O_{p'}(F(G))$ . Let  $A \in \mathfrak{A}(K)$ . Since  $ZJ(K) = \cap \{A | A \in \mathfrak{A}(K)\}$  and AF(G) is nilpotent we obtain that  $O_{p'}(A) \leq C_G(F(G)) \leq F(G)$  and so the equality  $O_{p'}(F(G)) = O_{p'}(ZJ(K)) = O_{p'}(A)$  holds.

Now since  $F^*(G) \leq K$  we can apply Lemma 2.1 and our assumptions to obtain  $\pi(ZJ(K)) = \pi(F(G)) = \pi(F(K))$ . Moreover, if  $A \in \mathfrak{A}(K)$  it is clear that  $\pi(ZJ(K)) \subseteq \pi(A) = \pi(d(K))$ . On the other hand, if q is a prime number such that  $q \neq p$  and  $q \in \pi(A)$ , then  $q \in \pi(F(G))$ , by the foregoing assertion. Finally, if we assume that  $p \in \pi(A)$ , then  $p \in \pi(F(K)) = \pi(F(G))$  because of a), and so the result follows.

# Corollary 3.2.

Let G be an  $\mathfrak{R}$ -constrained group, H an  $\mathfrak{E}_{p^*}\mathfrak{S}_p$ -injector of G and  $K = H_{\mathfrak{F}}$  its associated  $\mathfrak{F}$ -injector of G. If one of the following conditions holds:

- i)  $O_{p'}(F(G)) \leq ZJ(K)$ ,
- ii) F(G) is abelian,
- iii) d(K) is odd and  $O_2(G)$  is abelian,

then

$$ZJ(K) = ZJ(O_{p^*}(G)) \times ZJ(O_p(H)) = ZJ(H).$$

So, in particular, ZJ(K) does not depend on the Fitting class  $\mathfrak{F}$ .

#### Proof:

Given A in  $\mathfrak{A}(H)$ , by Remark 2 we see that  $O_p(A) \leq O_p(H) = O_p(K)$ . On the other hand, due to the structure of the injectors considered here, one has  $O_{p'}(A) \leq O^p(H) = O_{p^*}(H) = O_{p^*}(G) \leq K$ . Therefore  $\mathfrak{A}(H) = \mathfrak{A}(K)$ . Then apply Theorem 3.1 parts b) and d) to the subgroups H and K.

# Corollary 3.3.

If G is an  $\mathfrak{N}$ -constrained group and K and  $\mathfrak{F}$ -injector of G such that  $O_{p'}(F(G)) \leq Z(K)$ , then

$$K = O_{p'}(F(G)) \times P$$

where P is a Sylow p-subgroup of G. In particular,

$$\mathfrak{A}(K) = \{ O_{n'}(F(G)) A | A \in \mathfrak{A}(P) \}.$$

Proof:

Since  $K = PO_{p^*}(G)$ , P a Sylow p-subgroup of K and  $O_{p'}(F(G)) \le Z(K)$ , due to 6.11 in [3], we can write  $[P, O_{p^*}(G)] = 1$ . Now by  $\mathfrak{N}$ -constraint, K is nilpotent and hence it is an  $\mathfrak{E}_{p'}\mathfrak{S}_p$ -injector of G (see [10]); therefore P is a Sylow p-subgroup of G and  $K = O_{p'}(F(G)) \times P$ .

Our next goal is to study the structure of the  $ZJ^*$ -subgroup.

#### Theorem 3.4.

Let G be an  $\mathfrak{N}$ -constrained group. Let K be an  $\mathfrak{E}_{p^*}\mathfrak{S}_p$ -subgroup of G containing  $O_p(G)$  and such that  $O_{p^*}(K) = O_{p^*}(G)$ . Assume that  $O_{p'}(F(G)) \leq ZJ(K)$ . Denote  $P = O_p(K)$ . Then for every  $i \geq 1$ ,  $O_{p'}(ZJ^i(K)) = O_{p'}(F(K_i)) = O_{p'}(F(G))$ ,  $K_i$  is a nilpotent group and

$$O_p(ZJ^i(K)) = ZJ^i(P) \quad O_p(K_i) = P_i$$

with the notation given in Definition 2.3. In particular  $O_p(ZJ^*(K)) = ZJ^*(P)$ ,  $O_p(K_*) = P_*$  and

$$ZJ^*(K) = ZJ^*(P) \times O_{p'}(F(G)).$$

Proof:

Since  $O_{p'}(ZJ(K)) \leq O_{p'}(ZJ^i(K)) \leq O_{p'}(F(K_i)) \leq O_{p'}(F(K)) = O_{p'}(F(G))$ , the first statement is clear.

Notice that  $O_{p'}(F(G)) \leq ZJ(K) \leq Z(K_1)$ , so  $O_{p^*}(K_1) \leq C_G(F(G)) \leq F(G)$ . Hence  $O_{p^*}(K_1) = O_{p'}(F(K_1)) \leq Z(K_1)$  and  $K_1$  is a nilpotent gorup. Now apply that for every  $i \geq 1$ ,  $K_i \leq K_1$ .

We will prove that  $O_p(ZJ^i(K)) = ZJ^i(P)$  and  $O_p(K_i) = P_i$  by induction on i. By Propositiom 3.2 we have  $ZJ(P) = O_p(ZJ(K))$ . On the other hand  $P = O_p(K)$  centralizes  $O_{p'}(ZJ(K))$ , so  $C_P(ZJ(P)) \leq C_K(ZJ(K))$  and then we obtain

$$O_p(K_1) = P \cap K_1 = P \cap C_K(ZJ(K)) = C_P(ZJ(P)) = P_1.$$

Thus, the statement is clear for i = 1.

Now suppose that  $O_p(ZJ^i(K)) = ZJ^i(P)$  and  $O_p(K_i) = P_i$ . Applying Lemma 2.4 and the fact that  $O_{p'}(F(K_i)) = O_{p'}(ZJ^i(K))$ , we get that  $K_i/ZJ^i(K) = F(K_i)/ZJ^i(K)$  is a p-group. Then it follows that

$$K_i/ZJ^i(K) = P_iZJ^i(K)/ZJ^i(K) \cong P_i/ZJ^i(K) \cap P_i = P_i/ZJ^i(P)$$

by the inductive hypothesis. Thus

$$ZJ^{i+1}(K)/ZJ^{i}(K) = ZJ(K_{i}/ZJ^{i}(K)) \cong ZJ(P_{i}/ZJ^{i}(P))$$
  
=  $ZJ^{i+1}(P)/ZJ^{i}(P)$ .

and since  $ZJ^{i+1}(K) = ZJ^{i}(K)(ZJ^{i+1}(K) \cap P_i)$  we can conclude

$$O_p(ZJ^{i+1}(K)) = ZJ^{i+1}(K) \cap O_p(K_i) = ZJ^{i+1}(K) \cap P_i = ZJ^{i+1}(P).$$

Now we will prove that  $O_p(K_{i+1}) = P_{i+1}$ . It is clear that  $O_p(K_{i+1}) \le O_p(K_i) = P_i$  and

$$[O_p(K_{i+1}), ZJ^{i+1}(P)] \le [O_p(K_{i+1}), ZJ^{i+1}(K)]$$
  
 
$$\le O_p(K_{i+1}) \cap ZJ^i(K) = ZJ^i(P).$$

Hence by the definition of  $P_{i+1}$  it follows that  $O_{P'}(K_{i+1}) \leq P_{i+1}$ . On the other hand,  $P_{i+1} \leq P_i \leq K_i$  and since  $O_{p'}(F(G)) \leq ZJ(K) \leq Z(K_i)$ , we have

$$[P_{i+1}, ZJ^{i+1}(K)] = [P_{i+1}, ZJ^{i+1}(P)] \le ZJ^{i}(P) \le ZJ^{i}(K).$$

Thus, by the definition of  $K_{i+1}$  we obtain  $P_{i+1} \leq K_{i+1}$ . Now, since  $O_p(K_{i+1})$  is the Sylow p-subgroup of  $K_{i+1}$  the result follows.

# Corollary 3.5.

Let G be an  $\mathfrak{R}$ -constrained group. Let H be an  $\mathfrak{E}_{p^*}\mathfrak{S}_p$ -injector of G and assume that  $O_{p'}(F(G)) \leq ZJ(H)$ . Let  $K = H_{\mathfrak{F}}$  be an  $\mathfrak{F}$ -injector of G. Then

$$ZJ^*(K) = O_{p'}(F(G)) \times ZJ^*(O_p(H)) = ZJ^*(H).$$

In particular,  $ZJ^*(K)$  does not depend on  $\mathfrak{F}$ .

Proof:

Because of Corollary 3.2 we have ZJ(K) = ZJ(H). Now Theorem 3.4 is applied, keeping in mind that  $O_p(K) = O_p(H)$ .

# 4. The normality of the ZJ-subgroup and the $ZJ^*$ -subgroup

In this section we prove some results related to the normality of the ZJ-subgroup and the normality and self-centrality of the  $ZJ^*$ -subgroup of an  $\mathfrak{F}$ -injector K of a group G, provided that G verifies certain conditions of stability. Concretely, we will use the following version of p-stability:

#### Definition 4.1.

A group G is said to be p-stable if whenever A is a subnormal p-subgroup of G and B is a p-subgroup of  $N_G(A)$  satisfying [A, B, B] = 1, then

$$B \le O_p(N_G(A) \bmod C_G(A)).$$

# Proposition 4.2.

Let G be a p-stable group. Let K be an  $\mathfrak{E}_{p^*}\mathfrak{S}_p$ -subgroup of G containing the  $\mathfrak{E}_{p^*p}$ -radical of G,  $O_{p^*p}(G)$ . If N is an abelian normal subgroup of K then  $N \leq G$  and  $N \leq F(G)$ . In particular  $ZJ(K) \leq F(G)$ .

Proof:

First notice that  $O_{p^*p}(G) \leq K$  implies  $O_{p^*}(K) = O_{p^*}(G)$  (see [3, 4.22]). Thus,  $O_{p'}(N) \leq O_{p^*}(G) \leq O_{p^*p}(G) \leq K$ , and so  $O_{p'}(N) \leq O_{p^*p}(G)$ .

On the other hand, it holds  $[O_p(G), O_p(N), O_p(N)] = 1$  and so applying the *p*-stability of G we have:

$$O_p(N)C_G(O_p(G))/C_G(O_p(G)) \le O_p(G/C_G(O_p(G)))$$
  
=  $C_G^*(O_p(G))/C_G(O_p(G))$ 

(see [3, 3.8]). Then we obtain

$$O_p(N) \le C_G^*(O_p(G)) \cap C_G(E(G)O_{p'}(F(G))) \le C_G^*(F^*(G)) \le F(G)$$

so  $O_p(N) \leq O_{p^*p}(G)$  and the result follows.

## Theorem 4.3.

Let G be a p-stable group, p and odd prime and assume that  $O_p(G) \neq 1$ . If K is an  $\mathfrak{F}$ -injector of G then

$$1 \neq O_p(ZJ(K)) \trianglelefteq G.$$

Moreover, if  $O_{p'}(F(G)) \leq ZJ(K)$ , then  $1 \neq ZJ(K) \leq G$ .

Proof:

First note that  $O_p(ZJ(K)) \leq G$  implies  $O_p(ZJ(K))$  char G, because of the conjugacy of the  $\mathfrak{F}$ -injectors.

By Proposition 4.2, we know that  $O_p(ZJ(K)) \leq O_p(G)$ , and by Lemma 2.1  $O_p(ZJ(K)) \neq 1$ . Now, to obtain the theorem it is enough to prove that if B is a normal p-subgroup of G, then  $B \cap O_p(ZJ(K))$  is normal in G.

Assume the result false and suppose that G is a minimal counterexample. Suppose that B is a normal p-subgroup of G of least order such that  $B \cap O_p(ZJ(K))$  is not normal in G.

Set  $Z = O_p(ZJ(K))$  and let  $B^*$  be the normal closure of  $B \cap Z$  in G, then  $B \cap Z = B^* \cap Z$  and by our minimal choice of B we obtain  $B = B^*$ .

Moreover, since B' < B we have that  $B' \cap Z$  is a normal subgroup of G. Thus, for any g in G we have  $[(B \cap Z)^g, B] = [B \cap Z, B]^g \le B' \cap Z$ . Since B is generated by all such  $(B \cap Z)^g$ , it follows that  $B' \le Z$ . In particular  $B \cap Z$  centralizes B', and applying the foregoing argument we get [B, B, B] = 1.

Let  $A \in \mathfrak{A}(K)$ . By Lemma 2.2 we know that AB is nilpotent, so there exists some positive integer n such that [B, A; n] = 1. Moreover, since p is an odd prime  $[A, B]' \leq B'$  has odd order.

Now by Glauberman's replacement Theorem ([1, Corollary 2.8]) we can conclude that there exists an element A in  $\mathfrak{A}(K)$  such that  $B \leq N_G(A)$ , and therefore [B, A, A] = 1.

In particular,  $[B, O_p(A), O_p(A)] = 1$ . Since G is p-stable we have:

$$O_n(A)C/C \le O_n(G/C) = T/C \le G/C$$

where  $C = C_G(B)$  and  $T = C_G^*(B)$ . Moreover, since  $O_{p'}(A) \leq C_G(B)$  we get

If T = G, then G/C is a p-group, so KC is a subnormal subgroup of G. Since KC normalizes  $B \cap Z$ , KC < G. Let M be a normal proper subgroup of G such that  $KC \leq M$ . Clearly M verifies the hypothesis of the theorem, K being an  $\mathfrak{F}$ -injector of M, so by our minimal choice of G, we get  $Z \subseteq M$ , and then Z char M. Therefore,  $Z \subseteq G$ , contrary to our choice of G.

Thus, we have T < G. Since  $A \leq K \cap T$ , it follows that  $\mathfrak{A}(K \cap T) \subseteq \mathfrak{A}(K)$ ,  $J(K \cap T) \leq J(K)$  and  $ZJ(K) \leq ZJ(K \cap T)$ . It is clear that T verifies the hypothesis of the theorem, being  $K \cap T$  an  $\mathfrak{F}$ -injector of T. Thus, by the minimal choice of G,  $O_p(ZJ(K \cap T))$  char T and then  $O_p(ZJ(K \cap T)) \leq G$ . Since B is the normal closure of  $B \cap Z$  in G we obtain  $B \leq O_p(ZJ(K \cap T))$ . In particular, B is abelian.

If  $J(K)=J(K\cap T)$  then  $O_p(ZJ(K))=O_p(ZJ(K\cap T)) riangleq G$ , contrary to the choice of G. Thus, there exists an element  $A_1 \in \mathfrak{A}(K)$  such that  $A_1$  is not a subgroup of T. Then we must have  $[B,A_1,A_1] \neq 1$ . Among all such  $A_1$ , choose  $A_1$  such that  $|A_1\cap B|$  is maximal. As B does not normalize  $A_1$ , by Thompson's replacement Theorem ([1, Theorem 2.5], there exists an element  $A_2$  in  $\mathfrak{A}(K)$  such that  $A_1\cap B < A_2\cap B$  and  $A_2$  normalizes  $A_1$ . The maximal choice of  $A_1$  implies that  $[B,A_2,A_2]=1$  and  $A_2 \leq T$ . Hence,  $B \leq ZJ(K\cap T) \leq A_2 \leq N_G(A_1)$  and this is the last contradiction.

Finally, if in addition we assume  $O_{p'}(F(G)) \leq ZJ(K)$ , then  $O_{p'}(F(G)) = ZJ(K)$  and the result follows.

Corollary 4.4 (compare with Glauberman's ZJ-Theorem [6]).

Let G be a p-stable group such that  $C_G(O_p(G)) \leq O_p(G)$ , p and odd prime. If P is a Sylow p-subgroup of G then  $ZJ(P) \leq G$ .

#### Proof:

Leading from our assumptions we have  $O_{p^*}(G) = O_{p'}(G) = 1$ , so P is actually an  $\mathfrak{E}_{p^*}\mathfrak{S}_{p}$ -injector of G and Theorem 4.3 applies.

#### Theorem 4.5.

Let p be an odd prime and K an  $\mathfrak{F}$ -injector of a group G, being  $\mathfrak{F}$  a Z-extensible and  $Q_Z$ -closed Fitting class. Assume that SA(2,p) is not involved in G and that  $O_{p'}(F(G)) \leq ZJ(K)$ . Then  $ZJ^i(K)$  is a characteristic subgroup of G for every  $i \geq 0$ .

#### Proof:

Assume the result to be false and let G be a minimal counterexample. Since SA(2,p) is not involved in G, we know that G is p-stable (using Definition 4.1 above, proceed as in [6]). Therefore applying Theorem 4.3

we have ZJ(K) char G. Because of the choice of G we can assume  $1 \neq ZJ(K)$ .

Set  $C = C_G(ZJ(K))$ . Assume that C < G. Then for every  $i \ge 0$  we have  $ZJ^i(K \cap C)$  char C, and so  $ZJ^i(K \cap C) \le G$ . Now since  $J(K) \le K \cap C$ , it follows that  $J(K) = J(K \cap C)$  and  $ZJ(K) = ZJ(K \cap C)$ . Also  $K_1 = C_K(ZJ(K)) = C_{K \cap C}(ZJ(K \cap C))$  and applying induction on i we can obtain  $ZJ^i(K) = ZJ^i(K \cap C) \le G$ , contrary to the choice of G.

Therefore C = G and then ZJ(K) = Z(G). Since |G/Z(G)| < G and K/Z(G) is an  $\mathfrak{F}$ -injector of G/Z(G) we obtain  $ZJ^i(K/Z(G))$  char G/Z(G), for every  $i \geq 0$ . Now since  $K_1 = C_K(ZJ(K)) = K$ , using ([5, Prop. II.3.6]) we can deduce  $ZJ^i(K/Z(G)) = ZJ^{i+1}(K)/Z(G)$ , and so  $ZJ^{i+1}(K)$  char G for every  $i \geq 0$ , which is the last contradiction.

#### Remark 4.

Recall that for any group K,  $C_K(ZJ^*(K)) \leq K_*$  and  $K_*/C_K(ZJ^*(K))$  is nilpotent (by [5, Prop. II 3.7]). Using this facts it is easy to see that for any group K the following statements are equivalent:

i) 
$$C_K(ZJ^*(K)) \le ZJ^*(K)$$
 ii)  $K_* = ZJ^*(K)$ .

Also, we know that  $C_K(K_*) \leq C_K(ZJ^*(K)) \leq K_*$ , using ([5, Prop. II 3.7]).

### Remark 5.

Let K be an  $\mathfrak{F}$ -injector of a group G. Then K is also an  $\mathfrak{F}$ -injector of any subgroup of G containing K (see [10]). In particular, K is an  $\mathfrak{F}$ -injector of  $N_G(K_*)$ , and so by the previous remark  $Z(K_*) = C_K(K_*) = C_G(K_*) \cap K$  is an  $\mathfrak{F}$ -injector of  $C_G(K_*)$ . Thus if  $x \in C_G(K_*)$ , since  $\langle x, Z(K_*) \rangle$  is an abelian subgroup of  $N_G(K_*)$  with  $Z(K_*) \leq \langle x, Z(K_*) \rangle \leq C_G(K_*)$ , we can conclude that  $Z(K_*) = \langle x, Z(K_*) \rangle$ . Therefore, we have proved that  $C_G(K_*) \leq K_*$ .

# Proposition 4.6.

Let K be an  $\mathfrak{F}$ -injector of a group G and assume  $O_{p'}(F(G)) \leq ZJ(K)$ . Then the following are equivalent:

- i) G is an  $\mathfrak{N}$ -constrained group.
- ii)  $K_* = ZJ^*(K)$ .
- iii)  $C_G(ZJ^*(K) \leq ZJ^*(K)$ .

# Proof:

First notice that, applying Lemma 2.1, since  $K_*/ZJ^*(K)$  is an  $\mathfrak{E}_{p^*}\mathfrak{S}_{p^-}$  group,  $ZJ(K_*/ZJ^*(K))=1$  implies  $O_p(K_*/ZJ^*(K))=1$ . Now applying Lemma 2.4 and the fact that  $O_{p'}(F(G))\leq ZJ(K)$  we obtain that  $F(K_*/ZJ^*(K))=F(K_*)/ZJ^*(K)$  is a p-group and so we conclude  $ZJ^*(K)=F(K_*)$ .

- i)  $\Rightarrow$  ii) Since  $F(G) \leq K$  it follows that  $C_K(F(K)) \leq F(K)$ , and so on  $C_{K_*}(F(K_*)) \leq F(K_*)$ . Bearing in mind that  $ZJ^*(K) = F(K_*)$  and  $C_K(ZJ^*(K)) = C_{K_*}(ZJ^*(K))$ , ii) follows from Remark 4.
  - ii) ⇒ iii) By the Remark 5.
- iii)  $\Rightarrow$  i) Since  $ZJ^*(K)$  is nilpotent we have  $E(G) \leq C_G(ZJ^*(K)) \leq ZJ^*(K)$ , and then E(G) = 1, that is, G is an  $\mathfrak{R}$ -constrained group.

# Corollary 4.7.

Let p be an odd prime and K an  $\mathfrak{F}$ -injector of an  $\mathfrak{N}$ -constrained group G, being  $\mathfrak{F}$  a Z-extensible and  $Q_Z$ -closed Fitting class. Assume that SA(2,p) is not involved in G and that  $O_{p'}(F(G)) \leq ZJ(K)$ . Then  $ZJ^*(K)$  is a characteristic subgroup of G and  $C_G(ZJ^*(K)) \leq ZJ^*(K)$ .

Recall that both the classes  $\mathfrak{E}_{p^*p}$  and  $\mathfrak{E}_{p^*p}\mathfrak{S}_p$  are Z-extensible and  $Q_Z$ -closed Fitting classes (see [3] and [10]), so the previous result applies for such classes. Moreover, as in the case of the ZJ-theorem we can also recover the Glauberman's  $ZJ^*$ -Theorem quoted at the beginning as a consequence of the above corollary.

# 5. Final remarks

#### Remark 6.

There exist  $\mathfrak{R}$ -constrained groups G such that  $O_{p'}(F(G)) \leq ZJ(K)$ , being K an  $\mathfrak{E}_{p^*}\mathfrak{S}_p$ -injector of G, verifying that SA(2,p) is not involved in G, p odd, and however with  $O_{p'}(G) \neq 1$ .

#### Proof:

It is enough to take the group G = SA(3,3) = [N]H, with  $N \cong C_3 \times C_3 \times C_3$  and  $H \cong SL(3,3)$  and the prime p=13. Really, G is an  $\mathfrak{N}$ -constrained group with  $O_{p'}(F(G)) = N$ , an  $\mathfrak{E}_{p^*}\mathfrak{S}_p$ -injector of G is  $K = O_{p^*}(G)P = NP$  where  $P \cong C_{13}$ , and ZJ(K) = N. Moreover, it is clear that SA(2,13) is not involved in G, bearing the orders in mind.

#### Remark 7.

In [2] and [12], the authors consider a  $\pi$ -soluble group G with abelian Sylow 2-subgroups and  $O_{\pi'}(G) = 1$ , and they study the structure of the subgroup ZJ(H), where H is a Hall  $\pi$ -subgroup of G, or H is an  $\mathfrak{F}$ -injector of G for certain Fitting classes  $\mathfrak{F}$ , respectively. Recall that such a group is an  $\mathfrak{N}$ -constrained group (see [2]), and moreover it is a p-stable group for any prime number p (see [12]).

Moreover, since the *p*-nilpotent groups are  $\mathfrak{E}_{p^*}\mathfrak{S}_p$ -groups, we can easily generalizes Lemma 4 of [2], as follows:

"Let G be a group and let P be a p-subgroup of  $K = O_{p^*}$ , p(G). Assume that P centralizes  $E(G)O_{p'}(F(G))$ . Then  $P \leq O_p(G)$ ".

For the proof, let  $K = O_{p^*}$ , p(G); since  $F^*(K) = F^*(G)$ , applying Remark 2 it follows that  $P \leq C_K(E(K)O_{p'}(F(K))) \leq F(K)$ , and hence  $P \leq O_p(K) = O_p(G)$ .

## References

- 1. Z. ARAD, A characteristic subgroup of  $\pi$ -stable groups, Canad. J. Math. **26** (1974), 1509–1514.
- 2. Z. ARAD AND G. GLAUBERMAN, A characteristic subgroup of a group of odd order, *Pacific J. Math.* **56(2)** (1975), 305–319.
- 3. H. Bender, On the normal p-structure of a finite group and related topics I, Hokkaido Math. J. 7 (1978), 271–288.
- 4. K. Doerk and T. Hawkes, "Finite soluble groups," De Gruyter, Expositions in Mathematics, Berlin, 1992.
- L. M. EZQUERRO, 3-estabilidad, constricción y factorización de grupos finitos, Tesis doctoral, Univ. de Valencia, 1983.
- 6. G.GLAUBERMAN, A characteristic subgroup of a p-stable group, Canad. J. Math. 20 (1968), 1101–1135.
- 7. D. GORENSTEIN, "Finite groups," Harper & Row, New York, 1968.
- 8. B. Huppert and N. Blackburn, "Finite groups," Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1982.
- 9. M. J. IRANZO AND F. PÉREZ MONASOR, 3-constraint with respect to a Fitting class, Arch. Math. 46 (1986), 205–210.
- 10. M. J. IRANZO AND M. TORRES, The  $p^*p$ -injectors of a finite group, Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova 82 (1989), 233–237.
- M. D. PÉREZ RAMOS, A self-centralizing characteristic subgroup, J. Austral Math. Soc. (serie A) 46 (1989), 302–307.

12. M. D. PÉREZ RAMOS, Stability with respect to a Fitting class, J. Algebra 128(2) (1990), 276–286.

Departamento de Matemática Aplicada E. U. Informática Universidad Politécnica de Valencia Camino de Vera s/n Valencia SPAIN

Primera versió rebuda el 15 de Març de 1994, darrera versió rebuda el 10 de Maig de 1994