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#### Abstract

The space of $n \times n$ complex matrices with the star partial order is considered in the first part of this paper. The class of $E P$ matrices is analyzed and several properties related to this order are given. In addition, some information about predecessors and successors of a given $E P$ matrix is obtained. The second part is dedicated to the study of some properties that relate the eigenprojection at 0 with the star and sharp partial orders.
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## 1 Introduction and Preliminaries

Partial orders on matrices have been studied by several authors. They involve different generalized inverses in their definitions. Some results about matrices, generalized inverses and partial orders on matrices can be found, for instance, in $[7,8,22,24,25,27,30,31]$. Different applications of partial orders in many areas, such as statistics, generalized inverses, electrical networks, etc. can be found in $[3,4,24,26,28]$.

[^0]A matrix $A$ is called $E P$ if the projectors $A A^{\dagger}$ and $A^{\dagger} A$, determined by its Moore-Penrose inverse, are equal. Several representations were given for these matrices. For example, Tian et al. summarize thirty five characterizations of $E P$ matrices in [29]. Theorems 2.3 and 2.4 in the present paper are related to these characterizations and Theorems 2.1 and 2.2 state conditions under which an $E P$ matrix commutes with an arbitrary matrix.

The star partial order, denoted by $\leq^{*}$, was introduced by Drazin in [13] and it has been widely studied in the literature. In [1], Baksalary et al. studied certain partial orders on complex matrices and some relationships with their powers. In particular, the authors showed that if $A$ is an $E P$ matrix and $B$ is a successor of $A$ under the star partial order then $B^{2}$ is a successor of $A^{2}$ as well. Moreover, in [2], under the same hypothesis it was proved that $A$ and $B$ commute. Related to its algebraic structure, Hartwig et al. established the fact that the set of complex $m \times n$ matrices is a lower semi-lattice with respect to star partial order in [17].

The minus partial order, denoted by $\leq^{-}$, was introduced by Hartwig and it has been analyzed by several authors $[15,18,19]$. For instance, some results about the minus partial order on a class of nonnegative matrices are found in [5, 6].

The sharp partial order, denoted by $\leq \#$, was introduced by Mitra in [23] on the class of index 1 matrices. By using the singular value decomposition for these matrices, Groß showed in [14] that $A$ is a predecessor of $B$ with respect to the sharp partial order if and only if $A$ and $B$ have a special form. This result will be recovered in our Theorem 3.5 for the star partial order since Moore-Penrose inverse and group inverse coincide in the class of $E P$ matrices. However, we present a direct proof based on a factorization of $E P$ matrices.

Let $\mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ denote the space of complex $m \times n$ matrices; in particular, $I_{n}$ stands for the identity matrix of size $n \times n$. The symbols $A^{*}, A^{-1}, \mathcal{R}(A)$ and $\mathcal{N}(A)$ will denote the conjugate transpose, the inverse $(m=n)$, the range and the null space of a matrix $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$, respectively. The symbol $A \oplus B$ stands for the direct sum of two square matrices $A$ and $B$. If $S$ is a subspace of $\mathbb{C}^{n}$, then $S^{\perp}$ will denote its orthogonal complementary subspace and $P_{S}$ will stand for the orthogonal projector onto $S$ along $S^{\perp}$.

For every matrix $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ there is a unique matrix in $\mathbb{C}^{n \times m}$, called the Moore-Penrose inverse of $A$, denoted by $A^{\dagger}$, which satisfies the four conditions below:
(M-P1) $\quad A A^{\dagger} A=A$
(M-P2) $\quad A^{\dagger} A A^{\dagger}=A^{\dagger}$
(M-P3) $\quad\left(A A^{\dagger}\right)^{*}=A A^{\dagger}$
(M-P4) $\quad\left(A^{\dagger} A\right)^{*}=A^{\dagger} A$

The following property on Moore-Penrose inverses will often be used [8].
(M-P) If $W \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}, V \in \mathbb{C}^{s \times s}$ and $U, T \in \mathbb{C}^{(n+s) \times(n+s)}$, with $U$ and $T$ unitary
matrices, then $[U(W \oplus V) T]^{\dagger}=T^{*}\left(W^{\dagger} \oplus V^{\dagger}\right) U^{*}$.
A similar property to (M-P) is also valid for group inverses setting $U=P$ and $T=P^{-1}$, where $P \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ is nonsingular.

A matrix $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ with rank $a$ is called range-Hermitian or $E P$ if one of the following equivalent conditions is satisfied:
(EP1) $\mathcal{R}(A) \perp \mathcal{N}(A)$ (i.e., $\mathcal{R}(A)$ and $\mathcal{N}(A)$ are mutually orthogonal subspaces of $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ )
(EP2) $\quad \mathcal{R}(A)=\mathcal{R}\left(A^{*}\right)$
(EP3) $\quad \mathcal{N}(A)=\mathcal{N}\left(A^{*}\right)$
(EP4) $A=O$ or there exist a unitary matrix $U_{A} \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ and a nonsingular matrix
$C_{A} \in \mathbb{C}^{a \times a}$ such that $A=U_{A}\left(C_{A} \oplus O\right) U_{A}^{*}$
(EP5) $\quad A A^{\dagger}=A^{\dagger} A$
As usual, the last null row and column blocks may be absent in (EP4).
Notice that a nonzero matrix $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ with rank $a$ is $E P$ if and only if there exist a unitary matrix $V_{A} \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ and a nonsingular matrix $C_{A} \in \mathbb{C}^{a \times a}$ such that $A=V_{A}\left(O \oplus C_{A}\right) V_{A}^{*}$.

For two given matrices $A, B \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ it is said that $A$ is below $B$ under the star partial order, and it is denoted by $A \leq^{*} B$, if one of the following equivalent conditions is satisfied:
(SO1) $\quad A^{*} A=A^{*} B$ and $A A^{*}=B A^{*}$
(SO2) $\quad A^{\dagger} A=A^{\dagger} B$ and $A A^{\dagger}=B A^{\dagger}$
(SO3) $\quad A^{\dagger} A=B^{\dagger} A$ and $A A^{\dagger}=A B^{\dagger}$
In this case, it is also said that $A$ is a predecessor of $B$ or $B$ is a successor of $A$.
Throughout this paper, $a$ and $b$ will stand for the rank of the matrices $A$ and $B$, respectively.
The index of a matrix $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$, denoted by $\operatorname{ind}(A)$, is the smallest nonnegative integer $k$ such that $\mathcal{R}\left(A^{k}\right)=\mathcal{R}\left(A^{k+1}\right)$. For nonsingular matrices, $\operatorname{ind}(A)=0$. Let $\mathbb{C}_{k}^{n}$ denote the set of all matrices $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ of index $k$, for a given integer $k \geq 0$.

In $[9,10,11,12]$, the authors worked with the concept of eigenprojection at 0 . Specifically, for $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ of index at most 1 , it is possible to define its eigenprojection at 0 as $A^{\pi}=I-A A^{\#}$, which is the projection onto $\mathcal{N}(A)$ along $\mathcal{R}(A)$. The symbol $A^{\#}$ denotes the group inverse of $A$, which exists if and only if $A$ has index at most 1 . The group inverse of $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ is the only matrix $A^{\#}$ that satisfies $A A^{\#} A=A, A^{\#} A A^{\#}=A^{\#}$ and $A A^{\#}=A^{\#} A$. For two given matrices $A, B \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ it is said that $A$ is below $B$ under the sharp partial order, denoted by $A \leq{ }^{\#} B$, if and only if $A^{\#} A=A^{\#} B$ and $A A^{\#}=B A^{\#}$. If $A$ is $E P$ then $A^{\dagger}=A^{\#}$. In the class of $E P$
matrices the star partial order coincides with the sharp partial order.
This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, some properties of $E P$ matrices are given. In Section 3, the star partial order on the class of $E P$ matrices is studied and different characterizations for predecessors and successors of a given $E P$ matrix are obtained. In Section 4, the eigenprojection at 0 is related to the star and sharp partial orders on the class of $E P$ matrices.

## $2 \boldsymbol{E P}$ matrices properties

In this section some properties of $E P$ matrices are given. The first one relates $E P$ matrices with commutativity [32]. Notice that if $A, B \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ are non-zero $E P$ matrices, from (EP4) we can assume that they are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=U_{A}\left(C_{A} \oplus O\right) U_{A}^{*} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
B=U_{B}\left(C_{B} \oplus O\right) U_{B}^{*}, \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $U_{A}, U_{B} \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ are unitary and $C_{A} \in \mathbb{C}^{a \times a}, C_{B} \in \mathbb{C}^{b \times b}$ are nonsingular.
Theorem 2.1 Let $A, B \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ be such that $A$ is $E P$. The following conditions are equivalent:
(a) $A B=B A$
(b) If $A$ is given by (1) then there exist $X \in \mathbb{C}^{a \times a}$ and $T \in \mathbb{C}^{(n-a) \times(n-a)}$ such that $B=$ $U_{A}(X \oplus T) U_{A}^{*}$, with $C_{A} X=X C_{A}$.

Proof. Let us consider the following decomposition of $B$ :

$$
B=U_{A}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
X & Y \\
Z & T
\end{array}\right) U_{A}^{*}
$$

where the partition has been carried out according to the size of the blocks of $A$. The equality $A B=B A$ is equivalent to

$$
\left(C_{A} \oplus O\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
X & Y \\
Z & T
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
X & Y \\
Z & T
\end{array}\right)\left(C_{A} \oplus O\right)
$$

By making some algebraic computations, this last expression leads to $C_{A} X=X C_{A}, Y=O$, $Z=O$.

When both matrices $A$ and $B$ are $E P$, we have the following result for commutativity.

Theorem 2.2 Let $O \neq A, B \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ be EP matrices in the form given by (1) and (2), respectively. If $U_{A}^{*} U_{B}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}X & Y \\ Z & T\end{array}\right)$, with $X \in \mathbb{C}^{a \times b}, Y \in \mathbb{C}^{a \times(n-b)}, Z \in \mathbb{C}^{(n-a) \times b}$ and $T \in \mathbb{C}^{(n-a) \times(n-b)}$, then the following conditions are equivalent:
(a) $A B=B A$
(b) $\left(X^{*} C_{A} X\right) C_{B}=C_{B}\left(X^{*} C_{A} X\right), X^{*} C_{A} Y=O, Y^{*} C_{A} X=O$
(c) $C_{A}\left(X C_{B} X^{*}\right)=\left(X C_{B} X^{*}\right) C_{A}, Z C_{B} X^{*}=O, X C_{B} Z^{*}=O$

Proof. (a) $\Leftrightarrow$ (b) Substituting decompositions of $A$ and $B$ into the equality $A B=B A$ and replacing $U_{A}^{*} U_{B}$ with $\left(\begin{array}{cc}X & Y \\ Z & T\end{array}\right)$, we get $U_{A}\left(C_{A} X C_{B} \oplus O\right) U_{B}^{*}=U_{B}\left(C_{B} X^{*} C_{A} \oplus O\right) U_{A}^{*}$. Hence, pre-multiplying by $U_{B}^{*}$ and post-multiplying by $U_{B}$ both sides of the equality and replacing again $U_{A}^{*} U_{B}$ with its block decomposition we have

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
X^{*} C_{A} X C_{B} & O \\
Y^{*} C_{A} X C_{B} & O
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
C_{B} X^{*} C_{A} X & C_{B} X^{*} C_{A} Y \\
O & O
\end{array}\right) .
$$

This equality is equivalent to $X^{*} C_{A} X C_{B}=C_{B} X^{*} C_{A} X, X^{*} C_{A} Y=O$ and $Y^{*} C_{A} X=O$ because $C_{A}$ and $C_{B}$ are nonsingular matrices.
(a) $\Leftrightarrow$ (c) Substituting $U_{B}=U_{A}\left(\begin{array}{cc}X & Y \\ Z & T\end{array}\right)$ into the decomposition of $B$ we obtain

$$
B=U_{A}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
X C_{B} X^{*} & X C_{B} Z^{*} \\
Z C_{B} X^{*} & Z C_{B} Z^{*}
\end{array}\right) U_{A}^{*}
$$

Now, some computations show that $A B=B A$ is equivalent to the condition (c).

Theorem 2.3 Let $O \neq A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$. The following conditions are equivalent:
(a) $A$ is $E P$ (in the form given by (1)).
(b) There exist a nonsingular matrix $T \in \mathbb{C}^{(n-a) \times(n-a)}$ and $Z \in \mathbb{C}^{(n-a) \times a}$ such that $A=A^{*} Q$ with $Q=U_{A}\left(\begin{array}{cc}\left(C_{A}^{*}\right)^{-1} C_{A} & O \\ Z & T\end{array}\right) U_{A}^{*}$.
(c) There exist a nonsingular matrix $T \in \mathbb{C}^{(n-a) \times(n-a)}$ and $Z \in \mathbb{C}^{(n-a) \times a}$ such that $A=A^{\dagger} Q$ with $Q=U_{A}\left(\begin{array}{cc}C_{A}^{2} & O \\ Z & T\end{array}\right) U_{A}^{*}$.

Proof. (a) $\Rightarrow$ (b) There is a nonsingular matrix $Q \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ such that $A=A^{*} Q$ by (EP2). Let us consider the following decomposition of $Q$ :

$$
Q=U_{A}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
X & Y \\
Z & T
\end{array}\right) U_{A}^{*}
$$

where the partition has been carried out according to the size of the blocks of $A$. Some calculations yield $Q=U_{A}\left(\begin{array}{cc}\left(C_{A}^{*}\right)^{-1} C_{A} & O \\ Z & T\end{array}\right) U_{A}^{*}$. The nonsingularity of $T$ is derived from the nonsingularity of $Q$.
(b) $\Rightarrow$ (a) If there is a nonsingular matrix $Q$ such that $A=A^{*} Q$ then $A$ and $A^{*}$ are column equivalent, i.e., $\mathcal{R}(A)=\mathcal{R}\left(A^{*}\right)$, hence $A$ is $E P$.
(a) $\Leftrightarrow$ (c) It is similar to the above equivalence taking into account that $\mathcal{R}\left(A^{\dagger}\right)=\mathcal{R}\left(A^{*}\right)$.

The following result is similar to Theorem 2.3.
Theorem 2.4 Let $O \neq A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$. The following conditions are equivalent:
(a) $A$ is $E P$ (in the form given by (1)).
(b) There exist a nonsingular matrix $T \in \mathbb{C}^{(n-a) \times(n-a)}$ and $Y \in \mathbb{C}^{a \times(n-a)}$ such that $A=P A^{*}$ with $P=U_{A}\left(\begin{array}{cc}C_{A}\left(C_{A}^{*}\right)^{-1} & Y \\ O & T\end{array}\right) U_{A}^{*}$.
(c) There exist a nonsingular matrix $T \in \mathbb{C}^{(n-a) \times(n-a)}$ and $Y \in \mathbb{C}^{a \times(n-a)}$ such that $A=P A^{\dagger}$ with $P=U_{A}\left(\begin{array}{cc}C_{A}^{2} & Y \\ O & T\end{array}\right) U_{A}^{*}$.

Proof. It is similar to the proof of Theorem 2.3 considering the fact that $A$ and $B$ are row equivalent if and only if $\mathcal{N}(A)=\mathcal{N}(B)$ and the property $\mathcal{N}\left(A^{*}\right)=\mathcal{N}\left(A^{\dagger}\right)$.

## 3 The star partial order and $E P$ matrices

In this section several characterizations for the star partial order on the class of $E P$ matrices are given. Some known results are obtained by means of direct proofs where the canonical form (under unitary similarity) for these matrices is used.

A first observation is the following: Let $A, B \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ be such that $A \leq^{*} B$. Then the matrices $A^{*} B, B A^{*}, A^{\dagger} B$ and $B A^{\dagger}$ are Hermitian.

Remark 3.1 By Theorems 2.3 and 2.4 we derive the following observation when the star partial order is also involved. Let $O \neq A, B \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ be such that $A$ is $E P$ and $A \leq^{*} B$. Then there are nonsingular matrices $P, Q \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ such that the following statements hold: (a) $A^{*}\left(A^{*} Q-B\right)=$ $O,(\mathrm{~b})\left(A^{*} Q-B\right) A^{*}=O,(\mathrm{c}) A^{*}\left(P A^{*}-B\right)=O,(\mathrm{~d})\left(P A^{*}-B\right) A^{*}=O$. Notice that under the same assumptions as in Corollary 3.1, items (a)-(d) remain valid if we replace $*$ with $\dagger$.

We now characterize the predecessors of an EP matrix under the star partial order.
Theorem 3.1 Let $A, B \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ be such that $B$ is a non-zero $E P$ matrix. If $B$ is written as in (2) then the following conditions are equivalent:
(a) $A \leq^{*} B$
(b) There exists $X \in \mathbb{C}^{b \times b}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=U_{B}(X \oplus O) U_{B}^{*} \quad \text { with } \quad X \leq{ }^{*} C_{B} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. $(\mathrm{a}) \Rightarrow(\mathrm{b})$ Let us consider the following decomposition of $A$

$$
A=U_{B}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
X & Y \\
Z & T
\end{array}\right) U_{B}^{*}
$$

where the partition has been carried out according to the size of the blocks of $B$. Then

$$
A^{*} A=U_{B}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
X^{*} X+Z^{*} Z & X^{*} Y+Z^{*} T \\
Y^{*} X+T^{*} Z & Y^{*} Y+T^{*} T
\end{array}\right) U_{B}^{*}, \quad A^{*} B=U_{B}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
X^{*} C_{B} & O \\
Y^{*} C_{B} & O
\end{array}\right) U_{B}^{*}
$$

The equality $A^{*} A=A^{*} B$ yields $X^{*} X+Z^{*} Z=X^{*} C_{B}, X^{*} Y+Z^{*} T=O, Y^{*} X+T^{*} Z=Y^{*} C_{B}$, $Y^{*} Y+T^{*} T=O$. Then $Y=O$ and $T=O$. A similar computation gives $Z=O$. Hence, $X \leq{ }^{*} C_{B}$.
$(\mathrm{b}) \Rightarrow(\mathrm{a})$ It is trivial.

In general, if $B$ is an $E P$ matrix and $A \leq^{*} B$, then $A$ is not necessarily $E P$. For example, we can consider

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 1 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad B=\left(\begin{array}{rr}
1 & 1 \\
1 & -1
\end{array}\right)
$$

In what follows, some equivalent conditions for $A$ to be an $E P$ matrix are given when $B$ is $E P$ and $A$ is a predecessor of $B$.

Theorem 3.2 Let $A, B \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ be such that $B \neq O$ is $E P$ and $A \leq^{*} B$. Let us consider the decomposition of $B$ given by (2) and the decomposition of $A$ given by (3). The following conditions are equivalent:
(a) $A$ is an EP matrix.
(b) $X C_{B}=C_{B} X$
(c) $X^{\dagger} C_{B}=C_{B} X^{\dagger}$
(d) $X\left(X^{*}-C_{B}\right)=C_{B}\left(X^{*}-X\right)$
(e) $\left(X^{*}-C_{B}\right) X=\left(X^{*}-X\right) C_{B}$
(f) $X$ is an EP matrix.

Proof. Let $B$ be as in (2). By Theorem 3.1, there exists $X \in \mathbb{C}^{b \times b}$ such that $A=U_{B}(X \oplus O) U_{B}^{*}$ with $X \leq C_{B}$.
(a) $\Leftrightarrow$ (b) Since $A \leq^{*} B$, the property (SO3) implies that $A$ is $E P$ if and only if $A$ and $B^{\dagger}$ commute. Thus, replacing in $A B^{\dagger}=B^{\dagger} A$ each matrix with its decomposition and by making some computations, it results $X C_{B}=C_{B} X$, because $C_{B}^{\dagger}=C_{B}^{-1}$ and $U_{B}$ is unitary.

In a similar way, the equivalences (a) $\Leftrightarrow(\mathrm{c}),(\mathrm{b}) \Leftrightarrow(\mathrm{d}),(\mathrm{b}) \Leftrightarrow(\mathrm{e})$ and (c) $\Leftrightarrow$ (f) can be shown by using (SO1) and (SO2).

Remark 3.2 If one of the equivalent conditions (a)-(f) of Theorem 3.2 is satisfied, then the following statements hold: $X=X C_{B} X^{\dagger}$ and $X^{*}=X^{*} C_{B} X^{\dagger}=C_{B}^{*} X X^{\dagger}=C_{B}^{*} X^{\dagger} C_{B}=C_{B}^{*} C_{B} X^{\dagger}$.

Corollary 3.1 Let $A, B \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ be such that $B$ is $E P$ and $A \leq^{*} B$. The following conditions are equivalent:
(a) $A$ is an EP matrix.
(b) $A B=B A$
(c) $A\left(A^{*}-B\right)=B\left(A^{*}-A\right)$
(d) $\left(A^{*}-B\right) A=\left(A^{*}-A\right) B$

When the predecessor is an $E P$ matrix, a similar result to that of Theorem 3.1 is given in the following theorem.

Theorem 3.3 Let $A, B \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ be such that $A \neq O$ is an $E P$ matrix written as in (1). The following conditions are equivalent:
(a) $A \leq^{*} B$
(b) There exists $T \in \mathbb{C}^{(n-a) \times(n-a)}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
B=U_{A}\left(C_{A} \oplus T\right) U_{A}^{*} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Theorem 3.1.

As shown before, if $A$ is an $E P$ matrix and $A \leq^{*} B$, then $B$ is not necessarily $E P$. For example, we can consider

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad B=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

In what follows, some equivalent conditions for $B$ to be an $E P$ matrix are given when $A$ is $E P$ and $B$ is a successor of $A$.

Theorem 3.4 Let $A, B \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ be such that $A \neq O$ is $E P$ and $A \leq^{*} B$. Let us consider the decompositions of $A$ and $B$ given by (1) and (4), respectively. The following conditions are equivalent:
(a) $B$ is an EP matrix.
(b) $T$ is an $E P$ matrix.
(c) $B\left(A^{\dagger}-B^{\dagger}\right)=\left(A^{\dagger}-B^{\dagger}\right) B$
(d) $B^{\dagger}(A-B)=(A-B) B^{\dagger}$

Proof. Let $A=U_{A}\left(C_{A} \oplus O\right) U_{A}^{*}$ and $B=U_{A}\left(C_{A} \oplus T\right) U_{A}^{*}$.
(a) $\Leftrightarrow$ (b) It follows from the properties (M-P) and (EP5).
(a) $\Rightarrow$ (c) Using (SO2) and (EP5) one has $B\left(A^{\dagger}-B^{\dagger}\right)=B A^{\dagger}-B B^{\dagger}=A^{\dagger} B-B^{\dagger} B=$ $\left(A^{\dagger}-B^{\dagger}\right) B$.
(c) $\Rightarrow$ (a) Since $A \leq^{*} B$ and $A$ is $E P$ by (SO2) it results that $A^{\dagger}$ and $B$ commute, then from (c) it is obtained $B B^{\dagger}=B^{\dagger} B$, i.e., $B$ is $E P$.
(a) $\Leftrightarrow$ (d) Since $A \leq^{*} B$ and $A$ is $E P$ by (SO3) it results that $A$ and $B^{\dagger}$ commute. Now, it is easy to see that item (d) is equivalent to the condition $B B^{\dagger}=B^{\dagger} B$.

Remark 3.3 Let $A, B \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$. If $A B=B A$ then the following conditions are trivially equivalent:
(a) $A \leq^{*} B$.
(b) $A\left(A^{*}-B\right)=B\left(A^{*}-A\right),\left(A^{*}-B\right) A=\left(A^{*}-A\right) B$.

Remark 3.4 Let $A, B \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ be such that $A \leq^{*} B$ and $A$ is $E P$. Then by Theorem 2.1 in [2] we get that $A$ and $B$ commute. So, the following properties hold:
(a) $A\left(A^{*}-B\right)=B\left(A^{*}-A\right)$
(b) $\left(A^{*}-B\right) A=\left(A^{*}-A\right) B$
(c) $B A^{\dagger}=A^{\dagger} A$
(d) $A\left(A^{\dagger}-B^{\dagger}\right)=\left(A^{\dagger}-B^{\dagger}\right) A$
(e) $A^{\dagger}(A-B)=(A-B) A^{\dagger}$

The next result generalizes Theorem 2.1 of Merikoski et al. [21].
Theorem 3.5 Let $A, B \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ be $E P$ with $A \neq O$. The following statements are equivalent:
(a) $A \leq^{*} B$
(b) There exist $V \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}, C \in \mathbb{C}^{a \times a}$ and $T \in \mathbb{C}^{(b-a) \times(b-a)}$ such that $A=V(C \oplus O \oplus O) V^{*}$ and $B=V(C \oplus T \oplus O) V^{*}$ where $V$ is unitary, $C$ is nonsingular and $T$ is nonsingular or $T=O$.

Proof. (a) $\Rightarrow(\mathrm{b})$ Let $B=U_{B}\left(C_{B} \oplus O\right) U_{B}^{*}$. By Theorem 3.1, there exists $X \in \mathbb{C}^{b \times b}$ such that $A=U_{B}(X \oplus O) U_{B}^{*}$ with $X \leq^{*} C_{B}$. Theorem 3.2 assures that $X$ is an $E P$ matrix, so we can consider $X=U_{X}\left(C_{X} \oplus O\right) U_{X}^{*}$, where $U_{X} \in \mathbb{C}^{b \times b}$ is unitary and $C_{X} \in \mathbb{C}^{a \times a}$ is a nonsingular matrix. Since $X \leq^{*} C_{B}$, by Theorem 3.3 there exists $T \in \mathbb{C}^{(b-a) \times(b-a)}$ such that $C_{B}=U_{X}\left(C_{X} \oplus T\right) U_{X}^{*}$. Hence, we get that $T$ is nonsingular when $T \neq O$. By setting $C=C_{X}$, we obtain $B=V(C \oplus T \oplus O) V^{*}$, where $V=U_{B}\left(U_{X} \oplus I\right)$ is a unitary matrix. A similar computation gives $A=V(C \oplus O \oplus O) V^{*}$.
(b) $\Rightarrow$ (a) It is easy to see that ( SO 2 ) holds.

Remark 3.5 When Theorem 3.5 is restricted to normal (resp. Hermitian) matrices, the blocks $C$ and $T$ have to be normal (resp. Hermitian) matrices.

Hartwig, Katz and Koliha studied when the product of two $E P$ matrices is $E P[16,20]$. What can we say about the relationship between the product of two $E P$ matrices and the partial star order? The next results state that if $A \leq^{*} B$ then $A B$ is a successor of $A$ (or equivalently a predecessor of $B$ ) only when $A$ is an orthogonal projector.

Theorem 3.6 Let $A, B \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ be $E P$ such that $A \leq^{*} B$. Then
(a) $\operatorname{ind}(A B)=\operatorname{ind}(A), \operatorname{rank}(A B)=\operatorname{rank}(A), A B$ is $E P$ and $A B=A^{2}=B A$.
(b) $A B$ is a successor of $A$ (or equivalently a predecessor of $B$ ) if and only if $A$ is an orthogonal projector (or equivalently $A B=A$ ).

Proof. If $A=O$ the conclusion is evident. Let assume $A \neq O$. Then the matrices $A$ and $B$ have the form indicated in item (b) of Theorem 3.5. Thus, it is easy to see that $A B=$ $V\left(C^{2} \oplus O \oplus O\right) V^{*}$.
(a) It follows directly from definitions.
(b) The conditions: (i) $A \leq^{*} A B$, (ii) $A B \leq^{*} B$, (iii) $A$ is an orthogonal projector, (iv) $A=A B$ are equivalent, which can be shown taking into account that $A$ is an orthogonal projector if and only if there exists a unitary matrix $U \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ such that $A=U\left(I_{a} \oplus O \oplus O\right) U^{*}$.

Remark 3.6 If $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ is $E P$ then $A^{j}$ is also $E P$, for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Moreover, $A^{k} \leq^{*} A^{s}$ if and only if $A^{s-k}$ is an orthogonal projector for all $k, s \in \mathbb{N}$ with $s \geq k$.

## 4 On the eigenprojection at 0

The purpose of this section is to study the eigenprojection at 0 and its relation to the considered partial orders. Specifically, we show that $A$ is $E P$ if and only if $A^{\pi}$ is $E P$ and we derive a characterization for $\left(A^{\pi}\right)^{\pi}=A$ to be valid. Predecessors and successors are obtained when the eigenprojection at 0 of two comparable matrices is considered.

The following result is known.

Lemma 4.1 [8, 9] Let $A \in \mathbb{C}_{0}^{n} \cup \mathbb{C}_{1}^{n}$.
(a) $A^{\pi}$ is idempotent.
(b) $A A^{\pi}=A^{\pi} A=A^{\#} A^{\pi}=A^{\pi} A^{\#}=O$.
(c) $A^{\pi}=O$ if and only if $A$ is nonsigular.
(d) If $A \in \mathbb{C}_{1}^{n}$ and $a>0$ then there exist nonsingular matrices $C \in \mathbb{C}^{a \times a}$ and $P \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=P(C \oplus O) P^{-1} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this case, $A^{\#}=P\left(C^{-1} \oplus O\right) P^{-1}$ and $A^{\pi}=P\left(O \oplus I_{n-a}\right) P^{-1}$.
(e) If $A^{\pi}$ is nonsingular then $A=O$. Conversely, if $A=O$ then $A^{\pi}=I_{n}$.
(f) $A^{\pi}$ has index at most 1 .
(g) $\left(A^{\pi}\right)^{\pi}=O$ if and only if $A=O$.
(h) $\operatorname{rank}\left(A^{\pi}\right)=n-\operatorname{rank}(A)$.

Lemma 4.2 Let $A, B \in \mathbb{C}_{0}^{n} \cup \mathbb{C}_{1}^{n}$.
(a) If $A \leq{ }^{\#} B$ then $\operatorname{rank}(A) \leq \operatorname{rank}(B)$.
(b) $A \leq{ }^{\#} A^{\pi}$ if and only if $A=O$.
(c) $A^{\pi} \leq \# A$ if and only if $A$ is nonsingular.
(d) If $A$ is a non-zero singular matrix then $A$ and $A^{\pi}$ are incomparable under the sharp order.
(e) If $B^{\pi} \leq \# A^{\pi}$ then $\operatorname{rank}(A) \leq \operatorname{rank}(B)$. However, in general, $A \not \ell^{\#} B$.
(f) $A \leq \# A+A^{\pi}$.

## Proof.

(a) $\mathcal{R}(A)=\mathcal{R}\left(A A^{\#} A\right) \subseteq \mathcal{R}\left(A A^{\#}\right)=\mathcal{R}\left(B A^{\#}\right) \subseteq \mathcal{R}(B)$, thus $\operatorname{rank}(A) \leq \operatorname{rank}(B)$.
(b) If $A \leq \# A^{\pi}$ then $A^{\#} A=A^{\#} A^{\pi}=A^{\#}-A^{\#} A A^{\#}=O$, so $A=A A^{\#} A=O$. Conversely, if $A=O, A^{\#}=O$ and $A^{\pi}=I_{n}$, then $A \leq A^{\#}$.
(c) By Lemma $4.1(\mathrm{~d})$, it is clear that $\left(A^{\pi}\right)^{\#}=A^{\pi}$. If $A^{\pi} \leq{ }^{\#} A$ then $A^{\pi}=A^{\pi} A=O$, therefore $A$ is nonsingular by Lemma 4.1 (c). Conversely, if $A$ is nonsingular then $A^{\pi}=O$, thus $A^{\pi} \leq \#$.
(d) Follows from (b) and (c).
(e) Follows from (a) and by Lemma 4.1 (h). It is enough to consider $A=\operatorname{diag}(1,0)$ and $B=\operatorname{diag}(2,0)$ to check that, in general, $A \not \mathbb{K}^{\#} B$.
(f) Follows from definitions.

Let $\mathcal{E P}$ be the set of all square complex $E P$ matrices of size $n \times n$. It is well known that $\mathcal{E P} \subseteq \mathbb{C}_{0}^{n} \cup \mathbb{C}_{1}^{n}$; moreover, if $A \in \mathcal{E P}$ then $A^{\pi}=I-A A^{\dagger}$.

Lemma 4.3 Let $A \in \mathbb{C}_{0}^{n} \cup \mathbb{C}_{1}^{n}$.
(a) If $A \in \mathcal{E P}$ then $A^{\pi}$ is Hermitian (therefore, $A^{\pi} \in \mathcal{E P}$ ).
(b) If $A^{\pi} \in \mathcal{E P}$ then $A \in \mathcal{E P}$.

## Proof.

(a) It follows directly from the property $\left(A A^{\dagger}\right)^{*}=A A^{\dagger}$.
(b) By Lemma 4.1 (c), if $A^{\pi}=O$ then $A$ is nonsingular. Hence, $A$ is $E P$. If $A^{\pi}$ is a non-zero $E P$ matrix, there exist a unitary matrix $U \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ and a nonsingular matrix $C \in \mathbb{C}^{a \times a}$ such that $A^{\pi}=U(C \oplus O) U^{*}$. Because $A^{\pi}$ is idempotent, we get $C^{2}=C$, that is $C=I_{n-a}$. Since, $A^{\#} A=A A^{\#}=I_{n}-A^{\pi}$, we get $A A^{\#}=U\left(O \oplus I_{a}\right) U^{*}$. Let us consider the decomposition of $A$

$$
A=U\left(\begin{array}{cc}
X & Y \\
Z & T
\end{array}\right) U^{*}
$$

where the partition has been carried out according to the size of the blocks of $A^{\pi}$. From $A=\left(A A^{\#}\right) A$, it is obtained $X=O, Y=O$. Similarly, from $A=A\left(A^{\#} A\right)$ it is obtained $Z=O$, therefore $A=U(O \oplus T) U^{*}$. Then $A A^{\#}=U\left(O \oplus T T^{\#}\right) U^{*}$. Hence, $T$ is nonsingular.

Notice that if $A^{\pi}$ is $E P$ then $A$ could not be Hermitian (it is enough to consider a nonsingular and non-Hermitian matrix $A$ ).

Let $f: \mathbb{C}_{0}^{n} \cup \mathbb{C}_{1}^{n} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}_{0}^{n} \cup \mathbb{C}_{1}^{n}$ be the function defined by $f(A)=A^{\pi}$ for each $A \in \mathbb{C}_{0}^{n} \cup \mathbb{C}_{1}^{n}$. By Lemma 4.1, $f$ is well defined and $f(f(O))=O$. However, in general, $f(f(A)) \neq A$. For example, let us consider the matrix $A=\operatorname{diag}(2,0)$. Then $A^{\#}=\operatorname{diag}(1 / 2,0), A^{\pi}=\operatorname{diag}(0,1)=\left(A^{\pi}\right)^{\#}$ and $f(f(A))=\operatorname{diag}(1,0)$.

In Lemma $4.1(\mathrm{~g})$, we have shown that $f(f(A))=O$ if and only if $A=O$.
Lemma 4.4 Let $f$ be the function previously defined and $A \in \mathbb{C}_{0}^{n} \cup \mathbb{C}_{1}^{n}$ a non-zero matrix decomposed as in (5). Then $f(f(A))=A$ if and only if $C=I_{a}$.

Proof. It is easy to check that $A A^{\#}=P\left(I_{a} \oplus O\right) P^{-1}$ and $f(A)=P\left(O \oplus I_{n-a}\right) P^{-1}$. The equalities $f(A)^{\#}=f(A)$ and $f(f(A))=P\left(I_{a} \oplus O\right) P^{-1}$ imply $A=P\left(I_{a} \oplus O\right) P^{-1}$.

Remark 4.1 If $A \in \mathcal{E P}$ then $f(f(A))=A$ if and only if $A$ is an orthogonal projector.

By using Lemma 4.1 (c)-(e), it is easy to prove the following assertion by induction on $k$. Let $f^{k}$ denote $f^{1}=f$ and $f^{k+1}=f \circ f^{k}$, for every integer $k \geq 1$.

Corollary 4.1 Let $k \geq 1$ be an integer and $A \in \mathbb{C}_{0}^{n} \cup \mathbb{C}_{1}^{n}$. The following statements hold:
(a) If $A \in \mathbb{C}_{0}^{n}$ then $f^{k}(A)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}O & \text { if } k \text { is odd } \\ I_{n} & \text { if } k \text { is even }\end{array}\right.$.
(b) If $A \in \mathbb{C}_{1}^{n}-\{O\}$ then $f^{k}(A)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}f(A) & \text { if } k \text { is odd } \\ I_{n}-f(A) & \text { if } k \text { is even }\end{array}\right.$.
(c) If $A=O$ then $f^{k}(A)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}I_{n} & \text { if } k \text { is odd } \\ O & \text { if } k \text { is even }\end{array}\right.$.

Let us consider the sets

$$
\mathcal{E E P}=\left\{A \in \mathbb{C}_{0}^{n} \cup \mathbb{C}_{1}^{n}: f(A) \in \mathcal{E P}\right\}
$$

and

$$
\mathcal{E E P}_{0}=\left\{A \in \mathbb{C}_{0}^{n} \cup \mathbb{C}_{1}^{n}: f(A) \in \mathcal{E P} \text { and } f(A) \neq O\right\}
$$

The next result provides a characterization of $E P$ matrices that follows by Lemma 4.1 and Lemma 4.3.

Theorem 4.1 The following statements hold.
(a) $\mathcal{E P}=\mathcal{E E P}$.
(b) $\mathcal{E P} \cap \mathbb{C}_{1}^{n}=\mathcal{E E} \mathcal{P}_{0}$.

By Lemma 4.3 (a), it is clear that $f(\mathcal{E P}) \subseteq \mathcal{E P}$, but in general the equality is not true as the matrix $A=\operatorname{diag}(2,0)$ shows. Let us suppose that there exists $M \in \mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2} \cap \mathcal{E P}$ such that $A=f(M)$. By Lemma $4.1(\mathrm{~h}), \operatorname{rank}(M)=1$ and so $M \in \mathcal{E P}$ by Lemma 4.3 (b). If
$M=U \operatorname{diag}(c, 0) U^{*}$, with $U \in \mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2}$ a unitary matrix and $c$ a nonzero complex number, it is easy to see that $f(M)=U \operatorname{diag}(0,1) U^{*}$, which is a contradiction because $2 \in \sigma(A)$ and $2 \notin \sigma(f(M))$, where $\sigma(A)$ denotes the spectrum of $A$. Therefore, $\mathcal{E P} \nsubseteq f(\mathcal{E P})$.

Remark 4.2 Let $\mathcal{O} \mathcal{P}_{n}$ be the set of all orthogonal projectors of size $n \times n$. By Lemma 4.1, Remark 4.1 and Theorem 4.1 we obtain
(a) $f\left(\mathbb{C}_{1}^{n}-\{O\}\right) \subseteq \mathbb{C}_{1}^{n}-\{O\}$.
(b) $f\left(\mathcal{E E} \mathcal{P}_{0}-\{O\}\right)=\mathcal{O} \mathcal{P}_{n} \cap\left(\mathbb{C}_{1}^{n}-\{O\}\right)=\left(\mathcal{O} \mathcal{P}_{n} \cap \mathcal{E E} \mathcal{P}_{0}\right)-\{O\}$.
(c) $f\left(\mathcal{E E P}_{0}\right)=\left(\mathcal{O} \mathcal{P}_{n} \cap\left(\mathbb{C}_{1}^{n}-\{O\}\right)\right) \cup\left\{I_{n}\right\}=\left(\left(\mathcal{O} \mathcal{P}_{n} \cap \mathcal{E E} \mathcal{P}_{0}\right)-\{O\}\right) \cup\left\{I_{n}\right\}$.

Let $g: \mathcal{E P} \longrightarrow \mathcal{E P}$ be the restriction of the function $f$ to the set $\mathcal{E P}$. By Lemma 4.3 (a), $g$ is well defined. It is clear that $g$ is not surjective. Moreover, $g$ is not injective as the matrices $A=\operatorname{diag}(2,0)$ and $B=\operatorname{diag}(3,0)$ show.

The next lemma characterizes the interval

$$
[O, g(A)]=\left\{B \in \mathbb{C}_{0}^{n} \cup \mathbb{C}_{1}^{n}: O \leq^{*} B \leq^{*} g(A)\right\}
$$

Lemma 4.5 Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ be an EP matrix given by (1). Then

$$
[O, g(A)]=\left\{U_{A}(O \oplus T) U_{A}^{*}: T \in \mathcal{O} \mathcal{P}_{n-a}\right\} \subseteq \mathcal{O} \mathcal{P}_{n}
$$

Proof. Let $A=U_{A}\left(C_{A} \oplus O\right) U_{A}^{*}$, with $U_{A} \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ unitary and $C_{A} \in \mathbb{C}^{a \times a}$ nonsingular. Then $f(A)=U_{A}\left(O \oplus I_{n-a}\right) U_{A}^{*}$. If we assume $B \leq^{*} g(A)$, then $B=U_{A}(O \oplus T) U_{A}^{*}$, where $T \leq^{*} I_{n-a}$, that is, $T=T^{*}=T^{2}$.

Theorem 4.2 The function $g$ above defined is monotone decreasing.
Proof. Let $A, B \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ be $E P$ matrices such that $A \leq^{*} B$. By using properties (SO2), (SO3), (EP5) and Theorem 2.1 in [2] we get $A A^{\dagger} B B^{\dagger}=A B A^{\dagger} B^{\dagger}=B A A^{\dagger} B^{\dagger}=B A^{\dagger} A B^{\dagger}=$ $B B^{\dagger} A B^{\dagger}=B B^{\dagger} A A^{\dagger}$ and $B B^{\dagger} A A^{\dagger}=B A^{\dagger} A A^{\dagger}=B A^{\dagger}=A A^{\dagger}$. These two last equations are equivalent to $g(B)=g(B) g(A)$ and $g(B)=g(A) g(B)$. Therefore, $g(B) \leq^{*} g(A)$.

However, the $E P$ matrices $A=\operatorname{diag}(1,0)$ and $B=\operatorname{diag}(2,0)$ satisfy $g(A) \leq^{*} g(B)$ but $B \not \mathbb{Z}^{*} A$. We can state the following theorem for a smaller class of matrices.

Theorem 4.3 Let $A, B \in \mathcal{O} \mathcal{P}_{n}$. If $g(B) \leq^{*} g(A)$ then $A \leq^{*} B$.
Proof. The proof follows applying Theorem 4.2 and Remark 4.1.

Theorem 4.4 Let $A \in \mathcal{E P}$ and $B \in \mathbb{C}_{0}^{n} \cup \mathbb{C}_{1}^{n}$ be such that $A \leq^{*} B$. Then $f(B) \leq^{*} f(A)$ if and only if $f(B) \in \mathcal{O} \mathcal{P}_{n}$.

Proof. Let $A$ given by (1). By Theorem 3.3, $B=U\left(C_{A} \oplus T\right) U^{*}$ and so $f(B)=U(O \oplus f(T)) U^{*}$. If we suppose $f(B) \leq^{*} f(A)$, by Theorem 3.1, we get $f(B)=U(O \oplus X) U^{*}$ with $X \leq^{*} I_{n-a}$. Therefore, $X=f(T)$. Hence, $f(T) \in \mathcal{O} \mathcal{P}_{n-a}$.

Conversely, if we suppose that $f(B) \in \mathcal{O} \mathcal{P}_{n}$ then $B$ is $E P$. Now, Theorem 4.2 yields $f(B) \leq^{*} f(A)$.

For two given matrices $A, B \in \mathbb{C}_{0}^{n} \cup \mathbb{C}_{1}^{n}$, necessary and sufficient conditions for the equality $f(A)=f(B)$ to be satisfied are given in $[9,11]$. Some results about inequalities are given below.

If $A \in \mathbb{C}_{0}^{n} \cup \mathbb{C}_{1}^{n}$ is the zero matrix then the only matrix $B \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ such that $A^{\pi} \leq^{\#} B^{\pi}$ is $B=O$. The remaining matrices satisfying this last inequality are found in the next result.

Theorem 4.5 Let $O \neq A \in \mathbb{C}_{0}^{n} \cup \mathbb{C}_{1}^{n}$ be as in (5) and $B \in \mathbb{C}_{0}^{n} \cup \mathbb{C}_{1}^{n}$. Then $f(A) \leq{ }^{\#} f(B)$ if and only if there exists $Q \in \mathbb{C}_{0}^{a} \cup \mathbb{C}_{1}^{a}$ such that $B=P(Q \oplus O) P^{-1}$.

Proof. Suppose that $f(A) \leq{ }^{\#} f(B)$. Then $f(A) f(B)=f(B) f(A)=f(A)$. Let us consider

$$
f(B)=P\left(\begin{array}{cc}
X & Y \\
Z & T
\end{array}\right) P^{-1}
$$

where the partition has been carried out according to the size of the blocks of $A$. By making some algebraic manipulations we obtain $Y=O, Z=O$ and $T=I_{n-a}$. Thus, $f(B)=P\left(X \oplus I_{n-a}\right) P^{-1}$. So, $B B^{\#}=B^{\#} B=I_{n}-f(B)=P\left(\left(I_{a}-X\right) \oplus O\right) P^{-1}$. If we partition

$$
B=P\left(\begin{array}{cc}
Q & W \\
R & S
\end{array}\right) P^{-1}
$$

according to the blocks of $f(B)$, the equality $B=\left(B B^{\#}\right) B$ yields $R=O, S=O, X Q=O$, $X W=O$. Analogously, from $B=B\left(B^{\#} B\right)$, we get $W=O, Q X=O$. That is, $B=$ $P(Q \oplus O) P^{-1}$ with $Q X=O, X Q=O$. Now, it is easy to see that $X=f(Q)$. It is clear that $Q \in \mathbb{C}^{a \times a}$ has index at most 1 and the conditions $Q X=O, X Q=O$ are always true. The
converse is evident.

The next result can be shown by means of a similar technique to that used in the previous theorem.

Theorem 4.6 Let $O \neq A \in \mathbb{C}_{0}^{n} \cup \mathbb{C}_{1}^{n}$ be as in (5) and $B \in \mathbb{C}_{0}^{n} \cup \mathbb{C}_{1}^{n}$. Then $f(B) \leq{ }^{\#} f(A)$ if and only if there exist $S \in \mathbb{C}_{0}^{n-a} \cup \mathbb{C}_{1}^{a}$ and $B_{i}$ of adequate sizes, $i \in\{1,2,3,4\}$, such that

$$
f(B)=P(O \oplus S) P^{-1}, \quad B=P\left(\begin{array}{ll}
B_{1} & B_{2} \\
B_{3} & B_{4}
\end{array}\right) P^{-1}
$$

where $B_{2} S=O, B_{4} S=O, S B_{3}=O, S B_{4}=O$.
In what follows, we analyze how to locate all the linear combinations between two given $E P$ matrices. The trivial case $A=B$ is discarded and we shall denote $A<^{*} B$ when $A \leq^{*} B$ and $A \neq B$.

Theorem 4.7 Let $A, B \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ be two EP matrices such that $A<^{*} B$. Then the linear combinations $C_{\alpha, \beta}=\alpha A+\beta B$, with $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$, are $E P$ matrices with rank equals 0 if $\alpha=\beta=0$; rank equals b-a if $\alpha+\beta=0 \neq \beta$; rank equals a if $\alpha \neq 0=\beta$; rank equals b if $\alpha+\beta \neq 0, \beta \neq 0$. Moreover,
(a) $A \leq^{*} C_{\alpha, \beta}$ if and only if $A=O$ or $\alpha+\beta=1$.
(b) $C_{\alpha, \beta} \leq * B$ if and only if
(I) $A \neq O$ and one of the following conditions holds: (i) $\alpha=\beta=0$, (ii) $\beta=1, \alpha=-1$, (iii) $\beta=0, \alpha=1$, (iv) $\beta=1, \alpha=0$.
(II) $A=O$ and one of the following conditions holds: (i) $\beta=0$, (ii) $\beta=1$.
(c) $C_{\alpha, \beta} \leq{ }^{*} C_{\gamma, \delta}$ if and only if
(I) $A \neq O$ and one of the following conditions holds: (i) $\alpha=\beta=0$, (ii) $\alpha=-\beta=-\delta$, (iii) $\alpha=\gamma+\delta, \beta=0,(i v) \alpha=\gamma, \beta=\delta$.
(II) $A=O$ and one of the following conditions holds: (i) $\beta=0$, (ii) $\beta=\delta$.
(d) $f\left(C_{\alpha, \beta}\right) \leq^{*} f(A)$ if and only if $A=O$, or $A \neq O$ and one of the following conditions holds: (i) $\alpha+\beta \neq 0, \beta \neq 0$, (ii) $\alpha \neq 0, \beta=0$.
(e) $f(B) \leq^{*} f\left(C_{\alpha, \beta}\right)$ for every $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$.

Proof. By Theorem 3.5, there exist $V \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}, C \in \mathbb{C}^{a \times a}$ and $T \in \mathbb{C}^{(b-a) \times(b-a)}$ such that $A=V(C \oplus O \oplus O) V^{*}$ and $B=V(C \oplus T \oplus O) V^{*}$, where $V$ is unitary, $C$ is nonsingular and $T=O$ or nonsingular. Then $C_{\alpha, \beta}=V((\alpha+\beta) C \oplus \beta T \oplus O) V^{*}$. Notice that $C_{\alpha, \beta}$ is an $E P$ matrix for all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ and the statement about the rank follows directly. It is easy to see that all the remaining items can be shown using the above decompositions of $A, B$ and $C_{\alpha, \beta}$.
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