

Document downloaded from:

<http://hdl.handle.net/10251/53612>

This paper must be cited as:

Calabuig Gaspar, J.; Monserrat Del Río, JF.; Gozávez, D.; Klemp, O. (2014). Safety on the roads: LTE alternatives for sending ITS messages. IEEE Vehicular Technology Magazine. 9(4):61-70. doi:10.1109/MVT.2014.2362272.



The final publication is available at

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/MVT.2014.2362272>

Copyright Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Inc.

Additional Information

© © 2015 IEEE. Personal use of this material is permitted. Permission from IEEE must be obtained for all other uses, in any current or future media, including reprinting/republishing this material for advertising or promotional purposes, creating new collective works, for resale or redistribution to servers or lists, or reuse of any copyrighted component of this work in other works.

Efficient Delivery of ITS Messages in LTE Networks

Jordi Calabuig, Instituto de Telecomunicaciones y Aplicaciones Multimedia (ITEAM)

Jose F. Monserrat, Instituto de Telecomunicaciones y Aplicaciones Multimedia (ITEAM)

David Gozávez, BMW Group Research and Technology

Oliver Klomp, BMW Group Research and Technology

This paper discusses different alternatives for sending Intelligent Transportation Systems (ITS) messages using LTE networks. Specifically, it compares the unicast and eMBMS transmission modes by means of system level simulations and a cost modeling analysis. The optimum configuration of the eMBMS carrier is studied for the case of ITS services. The paper also includes some recommendation on the configuration of the ITS server in charge of distributing safety messages as well as on its interaction with the mobile network operator. Results show that eMBMS is significantly more efficient in terms of resource consumption than the unicast mode, implying an important reduction of the delivery costs.

Introduction

The recent advances in wireless communication networks together with the technological development of the automotive industry have paved the way for a totally new approach to vehicular safety, which integrates multiple equipment and technologies in one autonomous and intelligent vehicle. In this context, the term Intelligent Transportation Systems (ITS) [1] refers to a new set of information and communications technologies that allow vehicles to exchange information with each other and with the infrastructure to improve road safety, traffic efficiency and travel comfort.

The European Committee for Standardization (CEN) and European Telecommunications Standards Institute (ETSI) finalized during 2013 a basic set of standards necessary for the implementation and deployment of Cooperative ITS (C-ITS) systems as requested by the European Commission [2]. This set of standards is mainly based on the IEEE 802.11p access technology for ITS communications, which are defined as ITS G5 communications by ETSI. The system is well suited to active road safety use cases due to its very low delays and communication range of several hundred meters. However, the channel congestion experienced in dense scenarios and its decentralized ad-hoc nature is motivating the research of other technologies, like cellular networks, as alternatives for ITS communications.

The latest iteration of 3rd Generation Partnership Project (3GPP) standards, known as Long Term Evolution (LTE),

promises better levels of quality in terms of throughput and latency compared to 3rd Generation (3G) systems. However, it is not clear whether LTE networks can support ITS applications in an efficient manner by means of unicast transmissions. Similarly to IEEE 802.11p, there is a scalability problem related to the fact that ITS messages have to be delivered to potentially all the vehicles in a certain geographical area, and with stringent delay requirements. If the unicast transmission mode is used, the amount of resources required for the delivery of ITS messages might result in elevated costs for the mobile network operators (MNOs) as well as for the service providers (e.g. car manufacturers). In this context, the utilization of broadcast technologies, like evolved Multimedia Broadcast Multicast Services (eMBMS) in LTE, appears as a possible solution to solve the scalability problem of ITS in cellular networks.

Other studies in this area focus on the unicast delivery in both 3G [3-4] and LTE [4-7] cellular networks. Regarding broadcast delivery, previous studies were only performed in 3G cellular networks [4, 8-9]. This paper analyses the benefits of broadcast technologies for the provision of ITS applications in LTE networks and addresses open issues to support this kind of applications over the current eMBMS architecture.

ITS applications and use cases

ITS applications can be divided into three main categories [10]: cooperative road safety, cooperative traffic efficiency and cooperative local services and global Internet services. ITS applications related to cooperative road safety can be further divided into two types: those associated with Cooperative Awareness (CA) and those associated with Road Hazard Warnings (RHWs). This paper focuses on both types of cooperative road safety services.

CA applications

CA applications are based on the periodic interchange of status data among neighboring vehicles. ETSI defines the Cooperative Awareness Message (CAM) [11] to exchange information of presence, position, as well as basic status. By




Figure 1 Unicast (left) and broadcast (right) delivery modes of a DENM over LTE cellular networks.

receiving CAMs, the ITS vehicle is aware of other vehicles in its neighborhood area as well as their positions, movement, basic attributes and basic sensor information.

Most of the CA applications require a minimum CAM transmission frequency of 10 Hz and a maximum end-to-end latency of 100 ms [11]. According to the message format specified by ETSI, the status information provided by a CAM is divided into four containers: basic, high-frequency, low-frequency and special vehicle containers [11]. Both basic and high-frequency containers are mandatory whereas low-frequency and special vehicle containers are optional. In addition, the size of containers depends on several optional fields. This entails that the CAM payload is of variable size. As example, the maximum payload size of a CAM with only mandatory containers is around 50 bytes whereas it increases up to 250 bytes when including the low frequency container.

In addition to this CAM format, ETSI specifies the formats of security header –96 bytes– and certificate –133 bytes– used for securing ITS G5 communications. A remaining question regarding the transmission of CAMs via cellular networks is the inclusion of the security overhead. Some works assume that no security payload is needed as it should be possible to provide access control via Subscriber Identity Module (SIM)-cards [5]. However, the addition of security overhead could be needed in order to provide end-to-end security.

RHW applications

On the other hand, ETSI defines the dissemination of Decentralized Environmental Notification Messages (DENMs) [12] by RHW applications to alert road users about dangerous events. The main purpose is to warn the rest of the vehicles in the network about an unexpected situation. DENMs are triggered by specific events on the road and must be disseminated with a certain transmission frequency to as many ITS vehicles located within the relevance area as possible.

The message format described by ETSI [12] specifies that a DENM is divided in four containers: management, situation, location and à la carte containers. Only the management container is mandatory. Similarly to CAM, the DENM payload size depends on optional containers and optional fields. As an example, the maximum payload size of a DENM with only the management container is around 45 bytes. If both situation and location containers are included, the DENM payload size ranges between 250 and 1500 bytes.

ITS over LTE cellular networks

LTE networks offer two modes of data transmission: unicast and eMBMS delivery. For the provision of ITS applications, both modes require an ITS backend server that receives messages from the vehicles and the traffic infrastructure, processes the information, and redistributes it to the vehicles and the traffic infrastructure [4, 6].

Unicast delivery must be used for the uplink and is an optional mode for the downlink communication. The left part

of Figure 1 shows an example of a RHW application where a vehicle sends a DENM with its identification, event cause, and position via the cellular network to the ITS Server. This information is then distributed to all vehicles in the neighborhood. The ITS server needs location information about every single vehicle in order to identify the vehicles potentially interested in the RHW information within a certain area. One option is that ITS server uses the location information provided by the CAMs that are sent in the uplink. Another option is to make use of grid-based methods in which the vehicles send location information updates to the ITS server every time they enter a new cell within the grid-area [5].

The eMBMS delivery mode can be used exclusively for the downlink distribution of ITS messages. In this case, all vehicles belonging to the broadcast area are addressed collectively, rather than individually. In the exemplary broadcast scenario represented in the right part of Figure 1, the ITS server addresses the Broadcast Multicast Service Centre (BM-SC) to distribute data via eMBMS. To this purpose, the ITS server has to identify the broadcasting area that is better suited to the RHW information. It is important to note that eMBMS can maintain different broadcast areas, which consist of a set of cells specified by the MNO.

The main difference compared with the unicast scenario is that the whole broadcast area is addressed instead of a single user. In this manner, a significant amount of resources can be saved due to the fact that every message is only transmitted once per broadcasting area instead of once per vehicle. At the same time, the location information of potential recipient vehicles is not needed in the broadcast case, and therefore, an important amount of resources is also saved in the uplink.

On the other hand, broadcast delivery mode prevents the information from being personalized on a user basis. As a result, the vehicle has to filter the relevant information out of all the information delivered in the broadcasting area. Larger broadcasting areas offer potentially greater resource savings but increase the processing that has to be done in the vehicle.

In other words, unicast delivery requires extensive processing in the ITS server in order to select the receivers of each message, reducing the processing requirements in the vehicle, whereas eMBMS delivery shifts the processing efforts from the ITS server to the end user, thus distributing the computational burden.

eMBMS architecture for ITS services

The management of both eMBMS content and resources is performed through a Multi-cell/multicast Coordination Entity (MCE), which is a control entity responsible for the admission control and the resource allocation. On the other hand, the main function of the MBMS Gateway (MBMS GW) is to forward the eMBMS packets to the eNodeBs involved in the eMBMS transmission using IP multicast. Finally, the other entity involved in the provision of eMBMS services is the BM-SC. It is located between the core network and the content provider

and is the entry point of the eMBMS contents. The BM-SC controls the start and end of eMBMS transmissions, service announcements, security, billing tasks, etc. In the specific case of ITS applications, the content provider entity corresponds to the ITS server. There is not any specification concerning the interface between the content provider and the BM-SC. Therefore, the configuration of the server shall require the common work of operators and car manufacturers. Due to the relevance and tight interactivity of the ITS server and the BM-SC, it is likely that both entities would be integrated in the same physical device.

Another aspect to be considered is the logical location in the IP domain of the ITS server. From a latency point of view, it would be beneficial that the ITS server was located within the operator network, with a private IP address valid in the operator domain. However, this alternative would prevent cars belonging to different operators from getting connected. Therefore, the ITS server should be located in the Internet, with a public IP address so that it is reachable by all MNOs. In order to reduce the latency, each ITS server should be regional-wise, with a limited number of route hops until the MBMS GW in the mobile network. Note that the MBMS GW, the BM-SC and the content provider are entities with public IP address. This paper proposes that the BM-SC and the content provider share the same IP being reachable by all operators. Vehicles shall subscribe to the service in the same entity, which shall distribute the relevant and filtered information to the same areas covered by different operators. The functionality of this new node, the one that merges BM-SC and ITS server duties, is described in the following section.

Functionality of the BM-SC/ITS server node

According to the specifications [13], the BM-SC is responsible for the following sub-functions in E-UTRAN: membership function, session and transmission function, proxy and transport function, service announcement function, security function and content synchronization for MBMS.

New functionalities must be added to support ITS applications. More specifically, the new entity must receive information from vehicles –instead of only sending the information as in the BM-SC– and filter the data streams according to the geo-localization of these vehicles. Therefore, a new geo-positioning function must be included to allow for this smart filtering. This functionality would be in charge of selecting the broadcasting area for each message to be delivered. In addition, it is worth stressing again here that all communication with the UE and the MBMS GW is made through a conventional IP connection that requires the appropriate DNS resolution in the UE side and a complete registration process. The new characteristics of the BM-SC/ITS server node are depicted in Figure 2.




Figure 2 Main functionalities of the proposed BM-SC/ITS server node.

ITS services configuration for eMBMS delivery

In eMBMS terminology, an MBMS user service is the entity in charge of providing the service to the end user and controlling its activation or deactivation. For ITS, two MBMS user services could be defined: one for the CA service and another for the RHW service.

A single MBMS user service can contain several multimedia objects or streams, which might require multiple MBMS sessions. Each MBMS session might be associated with more than one MBMS bearer and a set of delivery parameters, including the broadcasting area. By using multiple MBMS sessions, the same MBMS user service can transmit different contents in each broadcasting area of the network. In this manner, the relation between broadcasting areas and content is transparent for the vehicles, i.e. they just activate the reception of the service and receive the content according to their location.

The BM-SC controls the ITS content to be delivered in each broadcasting area by establishing a separate MBMS bearer for each ITS content data flow. All MBMS bearers of the same MBMS user service share the same Temporary Mobile Group Identity (TMGI) but contain a different Flow Identifier. The BM-SC allocates the Flow Identifier during the MBMS Session Start procedure and initiates a separate session for each content data flow. Besides, for IP Multicast support, the MBMS GW allocates an IP Multicast Address based on the TMGI and Flow Identifier.

In order to receive an MBMS service, vehicles must subscribe to the service and, whenever data is available, the BM-SC starts the session. The session is first announced via the MBMS control channels and, after that, the data channel can be established and used. This implementation is resource-efficient in terms of transmission power since vehicles are able to perform discontinuous reception to save battery power. Nevertheless, the "Session Start" and "MBMS Notification"

phase take some time that makes this procedure not be recommended for time-critical traffic warnings.

To enable a broadcast channel with minimal transmission delays, a continuous eMBMS service for traffic safety should be configured. In this manner, the vehicle only has to join the eMBMS service at the beginning of each session (e.g. when the vehicle is started) and receives continuously the data until the session ends (e.g. when the vehicle is shut down). By using a continuous eMBMS service it is possible to minimize the delays associated to the "Session Start" and "MBMS Notification" procedures.

Although current eMBMS standard specifies two delivery methods for the MBMS user services, namely download and streaming, other delivery methods may be added beyond the current release of specifications. In principle, ITS content could be provided through eMBMS using the download delivery of binary files. However, this method is not suitable for services with very stringent delay requirements, as those of ITS. Thus, the provision of ITS content using eMBMS could only be performed by defining a new delivery method suited for time-critical requirements. In next sections, we have assumed the use of this new delivery method.

ITS services scheduling for eMBMS delivery

The eMBMS services provided over LTE are multiplexed in time with unicast services using MBSFN subframes. Among the 10 subframes included in a LTE radio frame, the maximum number of subframes allocated to MBSFN is six.

In order to inform users about the eMBMS scheduling, specific eMBMS control information is used [14]. Most of the eMBMS control data is carried by the multicast control channel (MCCH). It provides control information for eMBMS traffic data, which is conveyed in multicast traffic channels (MTCHs). Both MCCH and MTCH are mapped into the multicast transport channel (MCH). The MCE provides to eNodeBs a semi-static allocation of radio resources for each MCH and also a scheduling period where all eMBMS traffic data channels – MTCHs – must be multiplexed. The MTCH multiplexing is configured and indicated by the eNodeB in the first subframe of each scheduling period.

The eNodeB can allocate eMBMS resources in a persistent or in a dynamic manner. If the resources for eMBMS are allocated persistently, the continuously maintained data channel would allow for the immediate transmission of ITS information. While this approach minimizes the delay for the downlink transmission, it might lead to a waste of resources when the amount of ITS information to be transmitted is lower than the amount of resources allocated to eMBMS. A solution to this problem is that the eNodeB performs a dynamic scheduling of eMBMS resources. The proposed configuration consist in adapting the resource allocation to the amount of data to be transmitted in each scheduling period, whose lowest value is 80 ms. The empty subframes not used for eMBMS in each scheduling period can be used for unicast services in order

to avoid a waste of resources. It should be note that, although this approach results in more efficient resource utilization, it might increase the delay of ITS applications. In particular, the maximum latency of a message in the downlink would be about 80 ms, which corresponds to the worse situation in which the message arrives at the beginning of the previous scheduling period.

Simulation model and results

In order to assess the delivery of ITS services in LTE networks, we have used a system level simulator developed in the framework of the WINNER+ project [15], one of the International Mobile Telecommunications-Advanced (IMT-Advanced) evaluation groups of the ITU-R.

Table 1 summarizes the configuration parameters, which follow the ITU guidelines for the IMT-Advanced candidate evaluation [16]. The analysis focused on a real motorway scenario deployment, which consists of several base stations arranged along a stretch of motorway of 20 km. The LTE deployment is based on a frequency carrier of 800 MHz and an ISD of 10 km with wraparound. As a result, a total of 2 sites cover the total road length. Each site has two sectors, which cover both directions of the motorway. The distance from the center of the highway to the site is 50 m

Vehicles are randomly dropped over the six different lanes –three lanes per direction– with different speeds. Three different speeds were assumed for the three different lanes per direction. These speeds are 100, 120 and 180 km/h. Each user keeps the same lane and its speed is constant during all the simulation time. Besides, when a vehicle gets the lane end, it reappears at the beginning of the lane. Simulations are dynamic and handover processes occur due to the vehicles’ mobility.

Next subsections compare the performance of unicast and eMBMS delivery modes for CA and RHW applications.

CA application analysis

In this study it was assumed that every vehicle sends messages in the uplink to a backend server with a transmit rate of 10 CAMs/s, as defined by ETSI. In order to illustrate the system behavior with a lower transmit rate, additional results for a transmit rate of 2 CAMs/s are also provided. The payload size of each CAM was assumed to be 270 bytes including security headers and excluding IP and UDP headers. For the downlink, the information transmitted by the eNodeBs depends on the delivery mode (unicast or broadcast).

In the case of unicast, the backend server, after receiving and processing uplink CAMs, sends to each vehicle a downlink CAM packet with the aggregation of all CAMs belonging to vehicles within the area of interest. It was assumed an area of interest of 362 m, which correspond to the breaking distance computed for a reaction time of 1 s, a breaking deceleration of 4 m/s^2 (sand or concrete), and a velocity of 180 km/h.

In the case of eMBMS, CAMs are transmitted to all the vehicles inside the broadcasting area in which they were

| Parameter | Value |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Bandwidth | 10 MHz FDD |
| Central frequency | 800 MHz |
| Tx/Rx antennas | Unicast: MIMO 2/2 E-MBMS: SIMO 1/2 |
| eNB antenna height | 20 m |
| eNB transmit power | 46 dBm |
| eNB antenna gain | 14 dBi |
| eNB antenna beamwidth | 70°/10° (H/V) |
| eNB antenna downtilt | 6° |
| eNB cable loss | 2 dB |
| Vehicle antenna height | 1.5 m |
| Vehicle antenna gain | 2 dBi |
| Vehicle cable loss | 0.2 dB/m (2 m of cable length) |
| Vehicle implementation loss | 5 dB |
| Vehicle noise figure | 7 dB |
| Path loss | Based on RMa model [16]: $PL = PL_{LOS} \cdot P_{LOS} + PL_{NLOS} \cdot (1 - P_{LOS})$ |
| Shadowing parameters | Standard deviation (σ) 6 dB Correlation distance (d_{corr}) 100 m |
| Multipath channel model | EVA PDP [17] |
| Thermal noise level | -174 dBm/Hz |
| OFDM symbols to control channels | Unicast: 2 symbols (6 assignments) E-MBMS: 1 symbol |
| CQI reporting period | 20 ms (CQI wideband) |
| Scheduling algorithm | Proportional fair |
| CAM payload size | 270 bytes |
| DENM payload size | 800 bytes |
| IPv6/UDP header size | 48 bytes |
| IPv6/TCP header size | 60 bytes |
| Header compression | RoHC is only applied for unicast: - 48 bytes to 3 bytes for CA - 60 bytes to 4 bytes for RHW |

Table 1 Simulation parameters.

originated. In addition, the CAM updates from vehicles that are outside the broadcasting area but within 362 meters of the edge are also delivered inside the broadcasting area. For the sake of simplicity, it was assumed that every broadcasting area corresponds to the coverage area of one cell.

Figure 3 shows the average downlink resource usage depending on the cell load (i.e. number of vehicles) for the unicast and eMBMS delivery modes. For eMBMS, it was assumed two different modulation and coding schemes [18]. QPSK with code rate 0.44 is the highest mode that achieves a coverage level greater than 95% whereas QPSK with code rate 0.3 is the highest mode that achieves a coverage level greater than 98%. In addition, it is worth remembering that the maximum resource usage for eMBMS is 60 % of the channel capacity (6 subframes out of 10). For unicast, the LTE system adapts automatically the transmission mode to the current channel conditions of each user.




Figure 3 Downlink resource usage of CA application depending on cell load with unicast and E-MBMS delivery modes.




Figure 4 Downlink packet delays of CA application depending on the cell load with unicast and E-MBMS delivery modes.

As can be seen in the figure, unicast outperforms eMBMS in terms of resource usage when the number of vehicles per cell is low. The reason for this is twofold. On the one hand, unicast transmissions benefit from link adaptation and advanced retransmissions mechanisms based on feedback information from receivers, which increase the spectral efficiency compared to broadcast transmissions. On one hand, a low vehicle density entails a small number of downlink CAM packets to be delivered by the infrastructure. In unicast mode, each CAM packet transmitted by the vehicles in uplink has to be sent in downlink once to each vehicle within the area of interest. The higher the number of vehicles in the area, the higher the number of unicast transmissions that is needed and vice versa. In broadcast mode, downlink packets only needed to be sent once in the broadcast area regardless of the number of vehicles that are within the area of interest. As a result, eMBMS only

starts outperforming the unicast mode when the number of vehicles per cell increases above a certain value.

Figure 4 shows the downlink packet delay with an increasing number of vehicles per cell. Both average and 95% percentile are showed in the left and the right part, respectively. For eMBMS, it was assumed that the eNodeBs perform a dynamic eMBMS resource allocation using the lowest scheduling period, that is, 80 ms. In the figure it is shown that the downlink delays in unicast mode are reasonable up to a certain number of vehicles per cell, where they begin to grow exponentially. Higher transmission frequencies of CAM reduce the number of vehicles that can be supported with acceptable delay. On the contrary, the highest latency of a downlink message in the case of eMBMS does not depend on the number of vehicles and is limited to 80 ms, which corresponds to the worse situation in which the message arrives at the beginning of the previous scheduling period.




Figure 5 Downlink resource usage of RHW application depending on the cell load with unicast and E-MBMS delivery.




Figure 6 Downlink packet delays of RHW application depending on the cell load with unicast and E-MBMS delivery modes.

RHW application analysis

In the RHW delivery scenario, the transmission of DENMs is event-triggered. This means that an event (e.g. an accident or mechanical failure) triggers the transmission of DENMs during a certain period of time in which the event is considered to be active. In this study, it was assumed that no more than one event can be active at any given moment of time within a certain area. The event-vehicle sends a DENM of 800 bytes to a backend server which must deliver the message to all vehicles in the simulation scenario.

In the case of eMBMS, after receiving and processing the information, the ITS server sends the resulting DENM to the relevant eNodeBs by means of multicasting. Following this, each eNodeB broadcasts the DENM in downlink within its coverage area with a repetition period of 1 Hz or 10 Hz. In the case of unicast, the backend server sends the corresponding DENM in downlink to all the vehicles in the simulation scenario by means of point-to-point connections. Contrary to eMBMS, the DENM is not periodically repeated but rather transmitted only once to each vehicle using the TCP protocol.

Figure 5 shows the average downlink resource usage with an increasing number of vehicles per cell for the unicast and eMBMS delivery modes. For eMBMS, it was assumed the use of QPSK 0.3 and two different DENM transmission rates, 1 and 10 DENMs/s.

Results show that the capacity required when delivering RHW applications is much lower than in the case of CA applications. Furthermore, the gain of eMBMS in terms of resource savings compared to the unicast mode is much higher than in the CA case, which is explained by the localized nature of CA applications as opposed to the broadcast nature of RHW applications (the same message is delivered to all the vehicles in a wide area).

Figure 6 shows the downlink packet delays of DENMs depending on the cell load for unicast and eMBMS delivery modes. This figure also illustrates that the downlink delay with unicast delivery increases with the number of vehicles per cell, whereas it does not depend on the cell load using eMBMS delivery mode.

Cost analysis

One of the objectives of this paper is to demonstrate the advantages of broadcasting technologies for the provision of ITS applications in LTE networks not only in terms radio link performance but also in terms of delivery costs. To this end, a cost modeling calculation must be first defined, followed by a fair comparison of costs. For the sake of simplicity, only the cost in downlink for the CA use case is analyzed.

Cost modeling and assumptions

The state of the art in Europe for pay per use ranges from 5 cents/MByte down to 1 cent/MByte. With these considerations in mind, a model including a fare of 1 cent/MByte was assumed. Other assumptions concerning the cost analysis are summarized in Table 2. Regarding the modeling of the costs, it was firstly assumed a win-win situation in which all the stakeholders, that is, the Mobile Network Operator (MNO), governments, citizens and the car manufacturers, are satisfied. These assumptions are the following:

- From the government point of view, ITS applications improve the road safety, reduce accidents and lower the costs in terms of rescues and medical care. Therefore, ITS capabilities were assumed to be enforced by governments in all the cars and MNOs in order to guarantee the

coverage, prioritization and inter-operability of the service.

- From the citizens' point of view, it is unforeseeable that users would be willing to pay for the additional cost derived from the data exchange in ITS applications. Provided the enforcement from governments, users are not charged by this service directly, although the final cost of cars could be increased by car manufacturers in order to compensate for the extra cost.
- From the automotive industry point of view, ITS capabilities have to be incorporated in the majority of the cars in order to enable ITS applications. Together with the operators, the automotive industry will pay for the ITS deployment and the cost of the data traffic exchange. In compensation, car manufacturers may increase the price of cars in order to encompass part of the costs incurred by the new service.
- From the MNOs point of view, it is necessary to adapt the network in order to support ITS applications. This requires modifying algorithms via software updates and including new servers and gateways among different operators. Moreover, the provision of ITS applications with eMBMS entails a certain loss of resources to other conventional users. In order to identify a win-win scenario, the cost modelling shall find the situation in which the benefits derived from eMBMS overcomes the loss of revenue derived from the loss of unicast resources.

Cost for CA application

For the sake of simplicity, this cost analysis only focuses on the CA application. Figure 7 shows the cost per car and day derived from the delivery of CA application messages with a CAM transmission frequency of 10 and 2 Hz. For this calculation, we have previously obtained the maximum traffic carried by the LTE network in the real motorway scenario deployment assuming full resource usage. Using the income per MByte, we derive the total income of the MNO per resource unit. Then, using simulations, we calculate the required amount of resources to deliver CA messages for a certain number of vehicles and, therefore, the cost per vehicle and day, after normalizing by the average car usage per day.

In the case of unicast, the cost per car increases with the number of vehicles per cell due to the higher utilization of radio resources. For eMBMS, the cost actually decreases with the number of vehicles, and broadcasting transmissions become more profitable when the number of active vehicles increases beyond a certain value.

Finally, Table 3 summarizes the increase in the cost per car caused by the transmission of CAM messages in CA applications for 60 vehicles per cell on average and different percentages of market penetration. This calculation is made taking into account an average life expectancy of a car of 9 years. Note that, in the case of eMBMS, the cost per car significantly decreases when the percentage of cars using ITS

| Concept | Value |
|-----------------------------------|-----------|
| Life expectancy of a car | 9 years |
| Car use per day | 79 min |
| MNO income per MByte | 0.01 € |
| MNO sustained throughput/cell | 17.3 Mbps |
| MNO income/cell in car use period | 97.75 € |
| Average number of cars per cell | 60 |

Table 2 Cost assumptions.




Figure 7 Cost per car and day for CA application.

| CAM frequency transmission | Market share | Unicast delivery cost [€] | eMBMS delivery cost [€] |
|----------------------------|--------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|
| 10 Hz | 25 % | 1158,95 | 3003,56 |
| | 50 % | 2393,29 | 2866,55 |
| | 75 % | 3838,92 | 2820,88 |
| | 100 % | 4792,06 | 2798,04 |
| 2 Hz | 25 % | 324,33 | 639,03 |
| | 50 % | 550,49 | 612,88 |
| | 75 % | 779,04 | 592,79 |
| | 100 % | 906,10 | 582,30 |

Table 3 Price increase per car comparing unicast and eMBMS delivery for different frequencies in the CAM transmission.

services grows towards the full integration of the service. As a result, while the unicast mode is preferable in early markets with a penetration below 50%, the use of eMBMS is the most economical option in developed markets with penetration values above this value.

Conclusions

This paper has demonstrated the interest of LTE eMBMS for the provision of ITS applications based on CA and RHW applications. Results in terms of resource consumption and cost modeling support the conclusion that eMBMS is more efficient

than the unicast delivery mode when the number of vehicles on the road is high, and when the market penetration rate of the service is over 50 %. This paper has also discussed a possible configuration of the LTE network for the delivery of ITS messages with eMBMS. In particular, a solution based on a continuous eMBMS service for ITS applications together with a dynamic allocation of eMBMS resources has been proposed for latency and network efficiency reasons. Concerning the architecture, we have analyzed the impact of the ITS backend server and the possibility to merge it with the BM-SC for the feasibility of multi-operator scenarios.

Author Information

Jordi Calabuig (jorcagas@iteam.upv.es) received the telecommunications engineer degree and the M.Sc. degree in Communications Technologies, Systems and Networks from the Universitat Politècnica de València (UPV), Spain, in 2009 and 2010, respectively. Currently, he is a Ph.D. student at the Institute of Telecommunications and Multimedia Applications, UPV. In 2012, he was a guest researcher with the Technische Universität Braunschweig, Germany. His research interests are focused on multicast and broadcast services in 4G mobile communications networks and its evolution towards 5G.

Jose F. Monserrat (jomondel@iteam.upv.es) received his M.Sc. degree with High Honors and Ph.D. degree in Telecommunications engineering from the Universitat Politècnica de València (UPV) in 2003 and 2007, respectively. He is currently an associate professor in the Communications Department of the UPV. He has been involved in several European Projects, being especially significant his current participation in METIS where he is leading the simulation work. He is co-author of the Wiley book "Mobile and wireless communications for IMT-Advanced and beyond".

David Gozálviz (David.Gozalvez-Serrano@bmw.de) received his M.S. degree and Ph.D. in electrical engineering from the Universitat Politècnica de València (UPV) in 2007 and 2012, respectively. During his PhD, David Gozálviz was an active participant in the standardization process of DVB-NGH inside the CCI (Constellation, coding and interleaving) and MIMO working groups, and a contributor to the implementation guidelines of DVB-T2. Since 2012, he is with BMW Group Research and Development as a research specialist in the area of vehicle-centric communications.

Oliver Klemp (Oliver.Klemp@bmw.de) received his Dipl.-Ing. degree in 2002 and the Dr.-Ing. degree in 2007 (with distinction), both in Electrical Engineering from Leibniz University of Hannover. Dr. Klemp joined Delphi Delco Electronics Europe in 2006 as a research engineer for antennas and receivers in the area of digital satellite radio systems. In 2010, Oliver Klemp joined BMW Group Research and Development as a research specialist in the area of vehicle-centric communications. His principal research interests include multiple antenna systems, channels and electronics for wireless MIMO and ultra-wideband communications.

References

- [1] G. Dimitrakopoulos, and P. Demestichas, "Intelligent Transportation Systems," *IEEE Vehicular Technology Magazine*, vol. 5, no. 1, pp.77-84, March 2010.
- [2] *Intelligent Transport Systems (ITS); Cooperative ITS (C-ITS); Release 1*, ETSI TR 101 607, V1.1.1, 2013.
- [3] T. Mangel, and H. Hartenstein, "An analysis of data traffic in cellular networks caused by inter-vehicle communication at intersections," in *Proceedings IEEE Intelligent Vehicles Symposium (IV)*, Baden, Germany, pp. 473-478, June 2011.
- [4] *Intelligent Transport Systems (ITS); Framework for Public Mobile Networks in Cooperative ITS (C-ITS)*, ETSI TR 102 962, V1.1.1, 2012.
- [5] M. Wiecker et al., "CoCarX - ITS Services and Communication Architecture," CoCarX project deliverable 3, October 2011.
- [6] G. Araniti, C. Campolo, M. Condoluci, A. Iera, and A. Molinaro, "LTE for Vehicular Networking: A Survey," *IEEE Communications Magazine*, May 2013.
- [7] Z. H. Mir, and F. Filali, "LTE and IEEE 802.11p for vehicular networking: a performance evaluation," in *EURASIP Journal on Wireless Communications and Networking*, vol. 2014, no. 89, May 2014.
- [8] D. Valerio, F. Ricciato, P. Belanovic, and T. Zemen, "UMTS on the Road: Broadcasting Intelligent Road Safety Information via MBMS," in *Proceedings IEEE Vehicular Technology Conference (VTC) Spring*, Singapore, pp. 3026-3030, May 2008.
- [9] M. Wetterwald, "A case for using MBMS in geographical networking", in *Proceedings 9th International Conference on Intelligent Transport Systems Telecommunications (ITST)*, Lille, France, pp. 309-313, October 2009.
- [10] *Intelligent Transport Systems (ITS); Vehicular Communications; Basic Set of Applications; Definitions*, ETSI TR 102 638, V1.1.1, June 2009.
- [11] *Intelligent Transport Systems (ITS); Vehicular Communications; Basic Set of Applications; Part 2: Specification of Cooperative Awareness Basic Service*, ETSI EN 302 637-2, V1.3.0, August 2013.
- [12] *Intelligent Transport Systems (ITS); Vehicular Communications; Basic Set of Applications; Part 3: Specification of Decentralized Environmental Notification Basic Service*, ETSI EN 302 637-3, V1.2.0, August 2013.
- [13] *Multimedia Broadcast/Multicast Service (MBMS); Architecture and functional description*, 3GPP TS 23.246, V11.1.0, March 2012.
- [14] J. Calabuig, J.F. Monserrat, D. Martín-Sacristán, J. Olmos, "Comparison of multicast/broadcast services in Long Term Evolution Advanced and IEEE 802.16m networks," *Wireless Communications and Mobile Computing*, March 2012.
- [15] WINNER+ IMT-Advanced Calibration: Guidelines, software and results. <http://projects.celticinitiative.org/winner+/WINNER+%20Evaluation%20Group.html>
- [16] *Guidelines for evaluation of radio interface technologies for IMT-Advanced*, ITU-R M.2135, 2008.
- [17] *Evolved Universal Terrestrial Radio Access (E-UTRA); User Equipment (UE) radio transmission and reception*, 3GPP TS 36.101, V.9.10.0, December 2011.
- [18] *Evolved Universal Terrestrial Radio Access (E-UTRA); Physical layer procedures*, 3GPP TR 36.213, V.9.3.0, September 2010.