Document downloaded from:

http://hdl.handle.net/10251/55122

This paper must be cited as:

Martí Vargas, JR.; García Taengua, EJ.; Caro Forero, LA.; Serna Ros, P. (2014). Measuring specific parameters in pretensioned concrete members using a single testing technique. Measurement. 49(49):421-432. doi:10.1016/j.measurement.2013.12.007.



The final publication is available at

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.measurement.2013.12.007

Copyright Elsevier

Additional Information

1 Measuring specific parameters in pretensioned concrete members using a

2 single testing technique

3 Abbreviated title: Measuring in pretensioned concrete

4 J.R. Martí-Vargas*, E. García-Taengua, L.A. Caro, P. Serna

5 ICITECH, Institute of Concrete Science and Technology

6 Universitat Politècnica de València, 4G, Camino de Vera s/n, 46022, Valencia, Spain

7 e-mail address: jrmarti@cst.upv.es; emgartae@upv.es; licafo@doctor.upv.es;
8 pserna@cst.upv.es

9 ***Corresponding author:** Tel.: +34 96 3877007 (ext. 75612); Fax: +34 96 3877569

10 e-mail address: jrmarti@cst.upv.es (José R. Martí-Vargas)

11

12 Abstract:

13 Pretensioned concrete members are designed and manufactured by using at least two 14 materials: concrete and prestressing reinforcement. Also, two main stages must be considered: 15 prestress transfer and member loading. Hence, the behavior of these members depends strongly on the reinforcement-to-concrete bond performance and prestress losses. In this 16 17 paper, a testing technique to measure the specific parameters related with the involved 18 phenomena is presented. The testing technique is based on the analysis of series of specimens 19 varying in embedment length to simulate several cross sections at only one end of a 20 pretensioned concrete member. Each specimen is characterized by means of the sequential 21 release of the prestress transfer (detensioning) and the pull-out (loading) operation. The test 22 provides data on prestressing force, transmission length (initial and long-term), anchorage 23 length (without and with slip), reinforcement slips, bond stresses, longitudinal concrete 24 strains, concrete modulus of elasticity, and prestress losses (instantaneous and time-25 dependent).

26 Keywords:

27 Concrete, Pretensioned, Test, Transmission, Anchorage, Prestress loss28

29 **1. Introduction**

30

31 A lot of civil engineering structures have been made by using a pretensioning procedure. With 32 this method of prestressing, pretensioned concrete members are designed and manufactured 33 by using two materials: concrete and prestressing reinforcement. Also, two main stages must 34 be considered: prestress transfer and loading. Prestressing reinforcement remains placed into 35 the concrete and is always in tension. Concrete is initially precompressed by the prestressing 36 reinforcement and can be decompressed and acquire tension stresses at loading. Stresses in 37 both materials vary along the member length and through time. These variations are allowed 38 only if there is sufficient bond between prestressing reinforcement and concrete.

39

40 First, the prestressing reinforcement is tensioned and concrete is cast. The prestressing 41 reinforcement is released when sufficient strength is attained by concrete. Then, the 42 prestressing force is transferred to concrete by bond. Later, when the member is loaded by 43 externals actions, greater stresses in the prestressing reinforcement are activated by bond. As a 44 result, tensile stresses in the prestressing reinforcement vary from zero at member ends to the 45 effective stress –which is constant in the central zone of the member for each time. Effective 46 stress is maximum just after prestress transfer, and decreases through time due to concrete 47 creep and shrinkage and prestressing reinforcement relaxation. The difference between initial 48 stress and stress at any time is defined as prestress loss. In addition, variations in prestressing 49 reinforcement stresses along the member length appear when the member is put into service.

51 Therefore, the behavior of pretensioned concrete members depends strongly on the 52 reinforcement-to-concrete bond performance [1-4] and prestress losses [5-7], and specific 53 parameters related with these phenomena are established.

54

The aforementioned two main stages require setting up two bond lengths at the member ends: the transmission length (L_T) and the anchorage length (L_D) [8] (transfer length and development length [9]). L_T is the distance –from the member end– along which the prestress is built up in the prestressing reinforcement after prestress transfer. L_D is the distance required to transfer the ultimate tension force to the concrete. Fig. 1 illustrates these lengths and the idealized profile –based on the uniform bond stress hypothesis– of the prestressing reinforcement force along a pretensioned concrete member.

62

The relative displacements of the prestressing reinforcement into the concrete –slips– are also parameters related with the bond phenomenon [3,10-13]. These slips accumulate at the free end of the member at prestress transfer and can be measured and related to the L_T [14-16], but no condition regarding reinforcement slips is addressed for L_D in the main design codes [9,17,18]. For this reason, anchorage length definition can be based on two modes [19]: anchorage length –without slip– (L_A) and anchorage length with slip (L_S), that is, without and with slips at the free end of the member during the loading stage, respectively.

70

On the other hand, L_T depends on the concrete modulus of elasticity –among other factors [20,21]. Prestress loss due to elastic concrete shortening occurs at prestress transfer. Beyond L_T , the prestressing reinforcement force is the effective prestressing force which is determined by strain compatibility between the prestressing reinforcement and concrete.

It is worth noting that, for bonded applications, quality assurance procedures should be used to confirm that the prestressing reinforcement is capable of adequate bond [9]. However there are not minimum requirements for bond performance of prestressing reinforcement in [9] neither in [22,23]. Besides, there is no consensus on a standard test method for bond quality [8]. Methodological aspects are still being studied [24], in addition to the development of new sensors [25] and techniques [26].

83

Several experimental methodologies to characterize bond are offered: push-in test [10], pullout test [27-29], push-pullout test [30], prestressing reinforcement end slip [15,16],
longitudinal concrete strains profile [3], prestressing reinforcement force [31], and iterative
process of flexural testing [32].

88

Regarding prestress losses, there are different experimental methods [7,33,34]: monitoring longitudinal concrete strains, determining crack initiation and crack re-opening loads, cutting the prestressing reinforcement into or using suspended weights on an exposed length, and inducing a hole drilled in the bottom flange of a member.

93

The purpose of this paper is to describe the development of a testing technique which allows the simultaneous measurement of the main specific parameters related with the bond phenomena and prestress losses concerning pretensioned concrete members. Particularly as exposed in section 2, the testing technique includes measurement of prestressing reinforcement force, prestressing reinforcement slips, and longitudinal concrete surface strains. Directly or by means a back-calculation from the test results as described in section 3, the tests provide data on prestressing force, transmission length (initial and long-term), anchorage length (without and with slip), bond stresses, prestressing reinforcement slips (free
end slip, slips sequences and slips distribution), longitudinal concrete strains, concrete
modulus of elasticity at prestress transfer, and prestress losses (instantaneous and timedependent). By way of example, some experimental results obtained in several studies –
conducted at the Institute of Concrete Science and Technology (ICITECH) at Universitat
Politècnica de València (Spain)– are shown in section 4.

107

108 **2. Testing technique**

109

110 **2.1. Overview**

111

112 An experimental methodology based exclusively on the measurement of the prestressing reinforcement force was conceived: the ECADA¹ test method [31]. This test method was 113 initially addressed to determine bond lengths [35]. After, a revised version (ECADA+ [36]) 114 115 was developed to measure changes in these lengths through time. Each tested specimen is 116 characterized by means the detensioning (prestress transfer) and the pull-out (loading) 117 operations, which are sequentially performed. The bond lengths are obtained by analyzing 118 series of specimens varying only in embedment length to simulate different cross sections at 119 one end of a pretensioned concrete member. The feasibility of the test method has been 120 verified for a short [37,38] and long-term analyses [39]. The test repeatability has been 121 observed in two modes: (a) when a same effective prestressing force has been measured in specimens with different embedment lengths which are equal to or longer than L_T ; and (b) 122 123 when identical specimens have been tested.

¹ ECADA is the Spanish acronym for "Ensayo para Caracterizar la Adherencia mediante Destesado y Arrancamiento"; in English, "Test to Characterize the Bond by Release and Pull-out".

This test method may be extended to obtain other parameters besides bond lengths. For this purpose, the required instrumentation to measure prestressing reinforcement forces has to be complemented with other measuring devices, such as displacement transducers or micrometers to measure prestressing reinforcement slips at the ends of the specimens and strain gauges (electrical resistances or demountable mechanical points) to obtain the longitudinal concrete surface strains profile.

132

133 2.2. Experimental Set-up

134

The specimens are made and tested in a pretensioning frame with additional components at both ends, as shown in Fig. 2. In this way, each specimen simulates a cross section as part of one end of a member.

138

139 To carry out tensioning, provisional anchorage and detensioning, a hollow hydraulic actuator 140 with an end-adjustable anchorage device is placed at the pretensioning frame end related to 141 the free end of the specimens. At the opposite end, the whole made up by a sleeve beyond the 142 specimen embedment length, the end frame plate and an anchorage plate supported on the 143 frame by two separators, it forms the Anchorage-Measurement-Access (AMA) system. Its 144 design requirements are detailed in [31,36]. It carry out the simulation of member rigidity, it 145 includes an anchorage device and the instrumentation to measure the prestressing 146 reinforcement force, and it allows to pull the prestressing reinforcement from the 147 pretensioning frame by using a second hydraulic actuator.

148

149 **2.3. Instrumentation**

151 In accordance with the ECADA/ECADA+ test method, the strictly necessary instrumentation 152 devices are a hydraulic pressure sensor to control the tensioning and detensioning operations, 153 and a hollow force transducer included in the AMA system to measure prestressing 154 reinforcement force at all times during the test.

155

156 Complementarily, the prestressing reinforcement slips are measured simultaneously at both 157 ends of a specimen by displacement transducers (in the test stages into the pretensioning 158 frame) and by means of analogical micrometers (in the storage stage for analysis through 159 time), while detachable mechanical gauges are used to obtain the longitudinal concrete 160 surface strains at the prestressing reinforcement level.

161

162 The instrumentation devices used in the studies conducted at ICITECH laboratories are: 163 pressure sensor Druck PDCR 4000 350 bar (accuracy $\pm 0.08\%$), force transducers HBM C6A 164 500 kN (sensitivity 2 mV/V, accuracy class 0.5), linear displacement sensors Penny Giles 165 SLS190/50/2K/L/50/01 (typical linearity 0.15%), micrometers Käfer 0-5 mm Ø58 (accuracy 166 $\pm 1 \mu$ m), and mechanical strain gauges (DEMEC points) spaced at 50 mm intervals with an 167 extensometer Mayes Instruments 100 mm base length (accuracy $\pm 5 \mu$ m).

168

169 Neither of these measurement devices introduces any distortion of the reinforcement-concrete170 bond phenomenon.

171

172 **2.4 Specimen test procedure**

174	The	e specimen test procedure includes the following main stages: (I) tensioning, (II) casting
175	the	concrete specimen, (III) preparing, (IV) transferring the prestress, (V) storing (only for
176	ana	lysis through time), and (VI) loading. With the equipment test set up as shown in Fig. 2,
177	the	step-by-step procedure are as follows ("LS" indicates step for long specimen -with
178	em	bedment length clearly longer than L_T instrumented with gauge points):
179		
180	I) 7	Tensioning
181	1.	Lining up the prestressing reinforcement in the pretensioning frame with both anchorage
182		devices at their ends (Fig. 3a).
183	2.	Tensioning of the prestressing reinforcement by using the hydraulic actuator (Fig. 3b).
184	3.	Acting on the prestressing reinforcement to avoid relaxation losses ² .
185	4.	Anchoring provisional of the prestressing reinforcement by unscrewing the end-
186		adjustable anchorage to mechanically block the hydraulic actuator (Fig. 3c).
187		
188	II)	Casting the concrete specimen
189	1.	Specimen concreting and consolidating into the formwork mounted in the pretensioning
190		frame, around the prestressing reinforcement (Fig. 3d).
191	2.	Maintaining the selected conservation conditions to achieve the desired concrete
192		properties at the time of testing.
193		
194	III)	Preparing
195	1.	Demounting the formwork from the pretensioning frame.
196	2.	(LS) Attaching gauge points by epoxy glue along both lateral sides of the specimen at the
197		prestressing reinforcement level.

 $^{^{2}}$ Only for analysis through time, the prestressing reinforcement is overtensioned (e.g. at 82% of its specified strength over 10 minutes) prior to provisional anchoring.

198	3.	Releasing the provisional anchorage: the hydraulic actuator recovers the actual
199		prestressing reinforcement force (P_0 , just before prestress transfer), and the end-
200		adjustable anchorage is relieved and withdrawn by screwing (Fig. 3e).
201	4.	Placing the displacement transducers at both ends of the specimen -at the free end and
202		into the AMA system- (Fig. 3f).
203	5.	(LS) Reading the initial set of distances between gauge points.
204		
205	IV)	Transferring the prestress
206	1.	Detensioning: the hydraulic actuator is gradually unloaded and the prestressing
207		reinforcement movement from the free end is produced by push-in. The prestressing force
208		is transferred to the concrete, and the pretensioned concrete specimen is supported by the
209		AMA system (Fig. 3g).
210	2.	Stabilization period. The action between the pretensioned concrete specimen and the
211		AMA system requires a stabilization period to guarantee the prestressing force
212		measurement.
213	3.	Measuring:
214		i. the prestressing reinforcement force achieved (P_{Ti}) in the AMA system.
215		ii. the prestressing reinforcement slips at both ends.
216		iii. (LS) the actual set of distances between gauge points.
217		
218	V)	Storing (only for analysis through time)
219	1.	Demounting the pretensioned concrete specimen with its coupled AMA system from the
220		pretensioning frame (Fig. 3h).
221	2.	Storing the demounted specimen under controlled conservation conditions (Fig. 3i).

3. Replacing the displacement transducers by analogical micrometers at both ends of thespecimen.

- 4. At a given time *j*, subsequent measuring of:
- i. the prestressing reinforcement force (P_{T_i}) in the AMA system.
- 226 ii. the prestressing reinforcement slips at both ends.
- iii. (LS) the set of distances between gauge points.
- 228
- VI) Loading

If stage V) exists, remounting the pretensioned concrete specimen with its coupled AMA
 system in the pretensioning frame.

232 2. Coupling the second hydraulic actuator at the pretensioning frame (Fig. 3j).

233 3. Loading: the force in the prestressing reinforcement is gradually increased by loading the234 second hydraulic actuator which pulls the AMA system from the pretensioning frame.

4. Measuring: the maximum forces achieved before the prestressing reinforcement slips at the free end (P_A) and during the pull-out operation (P_S) are measured.

237 5. Finishing: loading is done until the prestressing reinforcement fractures, the concrete fails

by splitting, or there is reinforcement slippage without reinforcement force increase.

239

A data acquisition system is used to obtain the complete curves force vs. slip at both ends of the specimen for the transferring the prestress and loading stages, in addition to the main prestressing reinforcement force values: P_{0} , P_{Tj} , P_{A} , and P_{S} (P_{Tj} values are obtained as direct readings from the force transducers by using an amplifier HBM MVD2555 with display).

244

3. Determination of specific parameters

The direct test results for a specimen are: prestressing reinforcement force, slips at both ends and longitudinal concrete strains. Directly or by means back-calculations from the test results using theory of mechanics concepts, and from a specimen as well as by comparing the test results to the embedment length from series of specimens tested under the same conditions, several specific parameters of pretensioned concrete members can be determined.

252

3.1 Bond lengths

254

The values of the bond lengths –transmission length (L_T), anchorage length (L_A), and anchorage length with slip (L_S)– are determined from series of specimens by plotting the measured prestressing reinforcement forces –at prestress transfer (P_{Ti}) and loading (P_A and P_S) stages– vs. embedment length. Fig. 4 shows an idealization of what these plots look like.

259

For the P_{Ti} values, the curves are expected to present an ascendent branch followed by a horizontal branch which corresponds to the effective prestressing force (P_E). L_T is determined as the embedment length of the specimen that marks the beginning of the horizontal branch. As shown in Fig. 4, this is the first specimen of the series with $P_{Ti} = P_E$.

264

For the P_A and P_S values, the curves are expected to present an ascendent branch in both cases (see Fig. 4). To analyze the anchorage behavior, a reference force (P_R) has to be established to represent the force that can be applied to the strand before failure. L_A is determined as the embedment length of the shortest specimen with $P_A \ge P_R$, whereas L_S is determined as the embedment length of the shortest specimen with $P_S \ge P_R$.

The resolution in determining bond lengths depends on the sequence of embedment lengthstested.

273

On the other hand, long-term values of the bond lengths at a time *j* can be determined in a similar manner, in this case from curves with P_{Tj} values –which depicts a lesser effective prestressing force ($P_{Ej} < P_E$) because of prestress losses– and the corresponding P_A and P_S values at time *j*.

278

- 279 **3.2 Effective prestressing force**
- 280

281 The effective prestressing force is directly determined from the P_{Ti} and P_{Tj} values (see section 282 3.1). Besides, it can be obtained according to Eq. (1) from the prestressing reinforcement 283 strain change $(\Delta \varepsilon_p)$ beyond L_T accounted for just before prestress transfer until time j. This 284 change is equal to the concrete strain change ($\Delta \varepsilon_c$) obtained at testing steps IV.3.iii (only 285 prestress losses due to elastic concrete shortening are included) or V.4.iii (time-dependent 286 prestress losses are also included). In Eq. (1), E_p and A_p are the modulus of elasticity and the area of the prestressing reinforcement, respectively, and the term $\Delta \varepsilon_p \cdot E_p \cdot A_p$ corresponds to the 287 288 total prestress losses accounted for until time *j*.

$$289 \qquad P_{Ej} = P_0 - \Delta \varepsilon_p \cdot E_p \cdot A_p \tag{1}$$

290

3.3 Bond stresses

292

Based on the equilibrium of forces and the uniform bond stress distribution hypothesis which is generally accepted [2,4,9,40], the average bond stress (U_X) for a prestressing reinforcement force (P_X) developed along a length (L_X) can be obtained according to Eq. (2)::

$$296 \qquad U_X = \frac{P_X}{\prod_p L_X} \tag{2}$$

Where Π_p is the prestressing reinforcement perimeter and the remaining parameters have to be consistently attributed to the cases of transmission, anchorage beyond L_T and anchorage with slip.

301 **3.4 Prestressing reinforcement slips**

302

303 Concerning prestressing reinforcement slips, the testing technique offers a lot of possibilities: 304 slips sequences at both ends and slips distribution along bond lengths can be obtained in 305 addition to the traditional free end slip value.

306

307 a) Free end slip

308 The free end slip (δ) allows determining L_T based on Eq. (3) [14]:

$$309 L_T = \alpha \frac{\delta E_p}{f_{pi}} (3)$$

310 where α represents the shape factor of the bond stress distribution ($\alpha = 2$ for uniform and $\alpha =$ 311 3 for linear descending; a 2.8 value is established in several standards [41-43]), E_p is the 312 modulus of elasticity of the prestressing reinforcement and f_{pi} is the prestressing 313 reinforcement stress immediately before release. In is worth noting that Eq. (3) is only 314 applicable if the embedment length is equal to or longer than L_T .

315

316 b) Slips sequences

317 At prestress transfer, and according to the compatibility of strains condition between the 318 prestressing reinforcement and concrete, slips do not occur beyond L_T . In this way, L_T (for 319 both initial and long-term cases) is suitable to be determined from series of specimens by 320 plotting the measured prestressing reinforcement slips –at one end at prestress transfer– vs. 321 specimen embedment length. In these cases, the curves are expected to present a descendent 322 branch followed by a horizontal branch. Again, L_T can be determined as the embedment 323 length of the specimen that marks the beginning of the horizontal branch.

324

325 c) Slips distribution along transmission and anchorage lengths

The bond behavior can be characterized from curves prestressing force vs. slip. Two cases are expected from these curves for free end slip at prestress transfer: (a) for embedment length equal to or longer than L_T , an ascendent branch; and (b) for embedment length shorter than L_T , an ascendent branch followed by a horizontal branch starting at generalized slippage of the prestressing reinforcement.

331

Also, two cases are expected from these curves for end slip in the AMA system at loading: (a) for embedment length equal to or longer than L_T , increases in load and slips along the available embedment length beyond L_T ; and (b) for embedment length shorter than LT, generalized slippage. Both cases are in agreement with the Stress Waves Theory [1,44].

336

337 In this way, by analyzing these curves at both ends for a complete series of specimens at both 338 prestress transfer and loading stages, the slips distribution along bond lengths can be 339 determined.

340

341 **3.5. Longitudinal concrete strains**

342

Longitudinal concrete strains can be obtained from the changes in distances between gauge
points before and after prestress transfer (testing steps IV.3.iii or V.4.iii) by dividing them by

345 gauge length. In correspondence with P_{Ti} values, a profile with an ascendent branch, followed 346 by a practically horizontal branch, is depicted when these strains are plotted according to 347 embedment length (Fig. 5). Concrete strains increase through time due to concrete creep and 348 shrinkage, and this causes decreases -time-dependent prestress losses- in prestressing 349 reinforcement force (P_{T_i} values). An approximate L_T value can be obtained from this profile 350 directly as the distance from the free end to the beginning of the horizontal branch (Fig. 5) or 351 by applying some adjustments [3]. In addition, prestress losses can be determined from the 352 constant strain plateau (see section 3.2).

353

354 3.6 Concrete modulus of elasticity at prestress transfer

355

Eq. (4) accounts for prestress losses due to elastic concrete shortening at prestress transfer ($\Delta \varepsilon_{ci}$, concrete strain change at testing step IV.3.iii) and the transformed cross-section properties (initial steel modular and geometric ratios) to obtain the concrete modulus of elasticity at prestress transfer (E_{ci}) for a specimen with embedment length equal to 0 longer than L_T . In Eq. (4), A_c is the net cross-sectional area of the specimen.

361

$$\begin{array}{l}
362\\
363
\end{array} \qquad E_{ci} = \frac{\frac{P_0}{\Delta \varepsilon_{ci}} - E_p A_p}{A_c}
\end{array} \tag{4}$$

364

365 3.7. Prestress losses

366

Total prestress losses are directly determined from the P_{Ti} and P_{Tj} values by subtracting them to P_0 . Besides, it can be obtained from the concrete strain change beyond L_T accounted for just before prestress transfer until the considered time *j* (see sections 3.2 and 3.5).

371 **4. Applications**

372

Several experimental studies using this testing technique have been conducted at the ICITECH laboratories [19,37-39]. Based on test equipment designed for prismatic concrete specimens pretensioned with a concentrically located single seven-wire prestressing strand, the main variables covered have been: concrete composition and strength, specimen crosssection, age at testing, release method, and level of prestress. Some aspects of these studies are shown in Fig. 6: a general view of a pretensioning frame (a), a series of tested specimens (b), and (c) instrumentation to obtain the longitudinal concrete surface strains.

380

In the following, some examples of experimental results regarding the testing technique are shown. Table 1 summarizes the main characteristics of the testing series of specimens used in the different analyses. Regarding the prestressing reinforcement, it was a low-relaxation seven-wire steel strand typified as UNE 36094:97 Y 1860 S7 13.0 [23]. According to the Spanish code [45] provisions for pretensioning, the maximum prestress level of 75% of specified strand strength was applied.

387

388 4.1 Analyses from prestressing reinforcement forces

389

Fig. 7 shows the prestressing reinforcement forces for series of specimens A. As it can be observed with increasing embedment length, the prestressing force transferred (P_{Ti}) increases until an effective prestressing force (P_E) of 132.5 kN which is achieved for the transmission length (L_T = 550 mm). The P_A forces increase from P_E until the reference force (P_R = 158 kN) when the embedment length increases from L_T to the anchorage length (L_A = 650 mm). For specimens with embedment length shorter than L_T , P_A coincides with the corresponding P_{Ti} which is in agreement with the Stress Waves Theory [1,44]. As expected, the P_S forces are greater than the P_A forces, and a shorter anchorage length –with slip– results ($L_S = 500$ mm). Generally, determining the bond lengths requires 6 to 12 specimens with different embedment lengths with a testing increment of 50 mm.

400

401 As the prestressing reinforcement was tensioned at a prestress level of 75 percent of its 402 specified strength (1860 MPa), the prestressing reinforcement forces before prestress transfer 403 (P_0) were around 140 kN for nominal diameter $\phi = 13 \text{ mm} (A_p = 0.194 \pi \phi/^2 \text{ for seven-wire}$ 404 strands). Therefore, an instantaneous prestress loss about 7.5 kN (from P_0 to P_E) is measured 405 (see Fig. 7).

406

407 By using Eq. (2), the average bond stresses $U_T = 4.5$ MPa and $U_S = 6$ MPa are obtained when 408 the values L_T and P_E , and L_S and P_R , respectively, are used jointly with Π_p ($\Pi_p = 1.33 \pi \phi$ for 409 seven-wire strands). In addition, an average bond stress $U_C = 2.6$ MPa is obtained to 410 characterize the behavior along a length $L_C = L_A - L_T$ considering the corresponding P_A forces. 411

Regarding the long-term behavior, Fig. 8 depicts the results for series of specimens B after 6 months. The time-dependent behavior shows changes in the effective prestressing force (from $P_E = 132.5$ kN to $P_{Ej} = 119.5$ kN) and also in L_T : the P_{Tj} value is smaller for the first specimen after 6 months, and greater and similar P_{Tj} values are measured in the remaining longer test specimens, that is, there is change in the embedment length that marks the beginning of the horizontal branch. Therefore for this series and time interval, L_T varies from 500 to 550 mm and time-dependent prestress losses about 13 kN are measured. In a general case, this process 419 may be done with other specimens by following the embedment length sequence, and also 420 cases with no changes in L_T through time exist.

421

422 **4.2 Analyses from prestressing reinforcement slips**

423

424 Fig. 9 shows free end slips for the specimens of series B with embedment length equal to or 425 longer than the initial L_T (500 mm). Similar tendencies and values are observed for all the 426 specimens, except for the specimen with the shorter embedment length (500 mm) which 427 presents a greater slip one month after prestress transfer. This is in agreement with the change in L_T registered from the prestressing reinforcement force measurements (see Fig. 8). 428 429 Complementarily, Fig. 10 depicts the L_T results obtained from forces as well as by Eq. (3) – with $\alpha = 2.8$ - from the free end slips just after prestress transfer and after 6 months. As 430 431 observed, the L_T from slips vary for the different embedment lengths and with time, resulting 432 in average L_T values of 544 mm (initial) and 608 mm (long-term; the specimen with 500 mm 433 embedment length is excluded in this case). Therefore for this series and time interval, a L_T 434 change of 64 mm is obtained from slips, which is of the order than 50 mm in accordance with 435 the results from the prestressing reinforcement forces.

436

437 On the other hand, Fig. 11 shows the complete curves prestressing force transferred vs. free
438 end slip for a series of specimens C including very short embedment length to characterize
439 bond at prestress transfer. Two cases can be distinguished:

a) For embedment lengths shorter than 400 mm, it is observed a bilinear response with an ascendent branch until a certain slip value (δ_p , peak-slip) that marks the beginning of the generalized slippage until a final slip (δ_f). b) For embedment lengths equal to or longer than 400 mm, the slip increases progressively while the prestressing force is transferred to the concrete; no peak-slip value appears, and the final slip obtained is the free end slip (δ) which is suitable to be used in Eq. (3).

446

Fig. 12 depicts the final slips at prestress transfer for the specimens of series C. As it can be observed with increasing embedment length, the final slip decreases until a slip (δ) of 1 mm (in average). In this way, L_T (400 mm in this case) can be directly obtained from the sequence of slips.

451

Besides, and according to the L_T definition, after prestress transfer the slip is zero beyond L_T and it is maximum at the free end of the member. For an embedment length shorter than L_T , the δ_p is the maximum slip compatible with the prestressing force transferred that can be assumed along the available embedment length. Therefore, the δ_p points can be arranged according to the embedment length –from the end of L_T (400 mm in this case) towards the free end– as shown in the Fig. 13. In this way, the slip distribution along L_T is obtained without distorting the bond phenomenon.

459

460 **4.3 Analyses from longitudinal concrete strains**

461

462 Fig. 14 shows the longitudinal concrete strains profiles at several ages for specimen D. The 463 results corresponds to average values from the readings from two opposite specimen faces, 464 and the strain change for each 100 gauge length is assigned to its center point sequentially 465 from the free end.

From the profile corresponding at prestress transfer, an approximate L_T of 400 mm is directly observed. Beyond L_T , constant strains plateaus with increasing concrete strains through time are depicted.

470

471 An average concrete strain $\Delta \varepsilon_{ci} = 0.00071$ result in the plateau at prestress transfer. By using 472 Eq. (4), with a specimen gross cross-sectional area (A_g) of 100x100 mm² ($A_c = A_g - A_p$), $P_0 =$ 473 143 kN and $E_p = 203.35$ GPa, the concrete modulus of elasticity at prestress transfer (E_{ci}) is 474 18.23 GPa.

475

476 Regarding prestress losses, they can be obtained as $\Delta \varepsilon_p \cdot E_p \cdot A_p$ (see Eq. (1)). For instantaneous 477 prestress losses, $\Delta \varepsilon_p = \Delta \varepsilon_{ci} = 0.00071$ and it results 14.9 kN. Time-dependent losses and total 478 prestress losses can be obtained from subsequent profiles. For a 12 months interval, time-479 dependent prestress losses are obtained from $\Delta \varepsilon_p = \Delta \varepsilon_{c,12} - \Delta \varepsilon_{ci}$, resulting in 25.4 kN ($\Delta \varepsilon_{c,12}$ 480 = 0.00192); and total prestress losses are obtained from $\Delta \varepsilon_p = \Delta \varepsilon_{c,12}$, resulting in 40.3 kN 481 (also as 14.9 + 25.4 = 40.3 kN).

482

483 **5.** Conclusions

484

In this study, a testing technique to measure simultaneously prestressing reinforcement forces and slips and concrete strains in pretensioned concrete specimens has been developed. The testing technique reproduces sequentially the prestress transfer and loading stages and simulates the behavior at one end of a member. From the test results, directly o by means back-calculations using theory of mechanics concepts, several specific parameters concerning pretensioned concrete members can be determined. This testing technique allows obtain additional knowledge about bond behavior of prestressing reinforcement and prestress losses 492 for a better determination of transmission and anchorage lengths and the available 493 prestressing force at different cross-sections of a pretensioned concrete member. Regarding 494 both initial and long-term behavior, the testing technique shows satisfactory experimental 495 results. In this way, the testing technique possess good qualities for application to the precast 496 concrete industry: pretensioned concrete members can be characterized for design, production 497 process and quality control.

498

499 Acknowledgements

500

The content of this paper is part of the research that the Institute of Concrete Science and Technology (ICITECH) at Universitat Politècnica de València is currently conducting in conjunction with PREVALESA and ISOCRON. This study has been funded by the Ministry of Education and Science/Science and Innovation and ERDF (Projects BIA2006-05521 and BIA2009-12722). The authors wish to thank the aforementioned companies as well as the technicians at the concrete structures laboratory of the Universitat Politècnica de València for their cooperation. Finally, the authors wish to pay their respects to C.A. Arbeláez.

508

510

511 [1] J. Janney, Nature of bond in pretensioned prestressed concrete, ACI J. 25 (9) (1954) 717–
512 737.

513 [2] N.W. Hanson, P.H. Kaar, Flexural bond test of pretensioned prestressed beams, ACI J. 50
514 (7) (1959) 783–802.

515 [3] B.W. Russell, N.H. Burns, Measured transfer lengths of 0.5 and 0.6 in. strands in 516 pretensioned concrete, PCI J. 41 (5) (1996) 44–65.

- 517 [4] J.R. Martí-Vargas, P. Serna, J. Navarro-Gregori, L. Pallarés, Bond of 13 mm prestressing
- 518 steel strands in pretensioned concrete members, Eng. Struct. 41 (2012) 403–412.
- 519 [5] P. Zia, H.K. Preston, N.L. Scott, E.B. Workman, Estimating prestress losses, Concr. Int. 1
- 520 (6) (1979) 32–38.
- 521 [6] N. Al-Omaishi, M.K. Tadros, S.J. Seguirant, Estimating prestress loss in pretensioned,
- 522 high-strength concrete members. PCI J. 54 (4) (2009) 132–159.
- 523 [7] L.A. Caro LA, J.R. Martí-Vargas, P. Serna P, Prestress losses evaluation in prestressed
 524 concrete prismatic specimens, Eng. Struct. 48 (2013) 704–715.
- 525 [8] FIB, Bond of reinforcement in concrete, Bulletin d'information n° 10, Fédération
 526 Internationale du Béton, Lausanne, 2000.
- 527 [9] ACI Committee 318, Building code requirements for reinforced concrete (ACI 318-11),
- 528 American Concrete Institute, Farmington Hills (MI), 2011.
- 529 [10] D.R. Logan, Acceptance criteria for bond quality of strand for pretensioned prestressed
- 530 concrete applications, PCI J. 42 (2) (1997) 52–90.
- 531 [11] D.R. Rose, B.W. Russell, Investigation of standardized tests to measure the bond
 532 performance of prestressing strand, PCI J. 42 (4) (1997) 56–80.
- 533 [12] J. A. D. Uijl, Bond modelling of prestressing strand, in: R. León (Ed.), Bond and
- development of reinforcement (SP-180), American Concrete Institute, Farmington Hills (MI),
 1998, pp. 145–169.
- [13] R.J. Peterman, The effects of as-cast depth and concrete fluidity on strand bond, PCI J.
 52 (3) (2007) 72–101.
- 538 [14] Guyon Y, Béton précontrainte, Étude théorique et expérimentale, Ed. Eyrolles, Paris,
 539 1953.

- [15] FIP, Test for the determination of tendon transmission length under static conditions,
 Report of Prestressing Steel: 7, Fédération International de la Précontrainte, Wexham Springs,
 U.K., 1982.
- 543 [16] J.R. Martí-Vargas, C.A. Arbeláez, P. Serna-Ros, C. Castro-Bugallo, Reliability of
 544 transfer length estimation from strand end slip, ACI Struct. J. 104 (4) (2007) 487–494.
- 545 [17] CEN, European standard EN 1992-1-1:2004:E: Eurocode 2: Design of concrete
 546 structures Part 1-1: General rules and rules for buildings, Comité Européen de Normalisation,
 547 Brussels, 2004.
- 548 [18] FIB, Model Code 2010, First complete draft Volume 1," Fib Bulletin n°55, Fédération
 549 Internationale du Béton, Lausanne, 2010.
- 550 [19] J.R. Martí-Vargas, E. García-Taengua, P. Serna, Influence of concrete composition on
- anchorage bond behavior of prestressing reinforcement, Constr. Build. Mat. 48 (2013) 1156–
 1164.
- [20] R.W. Barnes, J.W. Grove, N.H. Burns, Experimental assessment of factors affecting
 transfer length, ACI Struct. J. 100 (6) (2003) 740–748.
- 555 [21] J.R. Martí-Vargas, F.J. Ferri, V. Yepes, Prediction of the transfer length of prestressing
 556 strands with neural networks, Comput. Concrete, 12 (2) (2013) 19–36.
- 557 [22] ASTM, A416/A416M-10 Standard specification for steel strand, uncoated seven-wire for
- 558 prestressed concrete, American Society for Testing and Materials, West Conshohocken (PA),
- 559 2010.
- 560 [23] AENOR, UNE 36094:1997 Alambres y cordones de acero para armaduras de hormigón,
- 561 Asociación Española de Normalización y Certificación, Madrid, 1997.
- 562 [24] H. Park, Z.U. Din, J.Y. Cho, Methodological aspects in measurement of strand transfer
- length in pretensioned concrete, ACI Struct. J., 109 (5) (2012) 625–633.

- 564 [25] K. Kesavan, K. Ravisankar, S. Parivallal, P. Sreeshylam, S. Sridhar, Experimental
 565 studies on fiber optic sensors embedded in concrete, Measurement, Measurement 43 (2010)
 566 157–163.
- 567 [26] Ch.W. Chang, P.H. Chen, H.S. Lien, Evaluation of residual stress in pre-stressed
 568 concrete material by digital image processing photoelastic coating and hole drilling method,
 569 Measurement 42 (2009) 552–558.
- 570 [27] Moustafa S, Pull-out strength of strand and lifting loops, Technical Bulletin 74-B5,
 571 Concrete Technology Corporation, Washington, 1974.
- 572 [28] ASTM, A981/A981M-11 Standard test method for evaluating bond strength for 0.600-in.
- 573 diameter steel prestressing strand, Grade 270, uncoated, used in prestressed ground anchors,
- 574 American Society for Testing and Materials, West Conshohocken (PA), 2011.
- 575 [29] ASTM, A1081/A1081M-12 Standard test method for evaluating bond of seven-wire steel
- 576 prestressing strand, American Society for Testing and Materials, West Conshohocken (PA),577 2012.
- 578 [30] J. Hegger, S. Bülte, B. Kommer, Structural behavior of prestressed beams made with 579 self-consolidating concrete, PCI J. 52 (4) (2007) 34–42.
- 580 [31] J.R. Martí-Vargas JR, P. Serna-Ros, M.A. Fernández-Prada, P.F. Miguel-Sosa, C.A.
- Arbeláez, Test method for determination of the transmission and anchorage lengths in
 prestressed reinforcement, Mag. Concr. Res. 58 (2006) 21–29.
- 583 [32] R.W. Floyd, M.B. Howland, W.M. Hale, Evaluation of strand bond equations for 584 prestressed members cast with self-consolidating concrete, Eng. Struct. 33 (2011) 2879–2887.
- [33] A. Azizinamini, B.J. Keeler, J. Rohde, A.B. Mehrabi, Application of a new
 nondestructive evaluation technique to a 25-year-old prestressed concrete girder, PCI J. 41 (3)
 (1996) 82–95.

- 588 [34] E. Baran, C.K. Shield, C.E. French, A comparison of methods for experimentally
 589 determining prestress losses in pretensioned prestressed concrete girders, in: B.W. Russell,
- 590 S.P. Gross (Eds.), Historic innovations in prestressed concrete, American Concrete Institute,
- 591 Farmington Hills (MI), 2005, pp. 161–179.
- 592 [35] J.R. Martí-Vargas, C.A. Arbeláez, P. Serna-Ros, M.A. Fernández-Prada, P.F. Miguel-
- Sosa, Transfer and development lengths of concentrically prestressed concrete, PCI J. 51 (5)
 (2006) 74–85.
- 595 [36] J.R. Martí-Vargas, L.A. Caro, P. Serna, Experimental technique for measuring the long596 term transfer length in prestressed concrete, Strain 49 (2013) 125–134.
- 597 [37] J.R. Martí-Vargas, P. Serna-Ros, C.A. Arbeláez, J.W. Rigueira-Victor, Bond behaviour
- of self-compacting concrete in transmission and anchorage, Mater. Constr. 56 (284) (2006)
 27–42.
- [38] J.R. Martí-Vargas, P. Serna, J. Navarro-Gregori, J.L. Bonet, Effects of concrete
 composition on transmission length of prestressing strands, Constr. Build. Mater. 27 (2012)
 350–356.
- [39] L.A. Caro, J.R. Martí-Vargas, P. Serna, Time-dependent evolution of strand transfer
 length in pretensioned prestressed concrete members, Mech. Time-Depend. Mater. (2012)
 http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11043-012-9200-2.
- 606 [40] J.R. Martí-Vargas, C.A. Arbeláez, P. Serna-Ros, J. Navarro-Gregori, L. Pallarés-Rubio,
- Analytical model for transfer length prediction of 13 mm prestressing strand, Struct. Eng.
 Mech. 26 (2007) 211–229.
- 609 [41] RILEM, RPC6: Specification for the test to determine the bond properties of prestressing
- 610 tendons, Réunion Internationale des Laboratoires et Experts des Matériaux, Systèmes de
- 611 Constructions et Ouvrages, Bagneux, France, 1979.

- 612 [42] IRANOR, UNE 7436: Bond test of steel wires for prestressed concrete, Instituto
 613 Nacional de Racionalización y Normalización, Madrid, Spain, 1982.
- 614 [43] LCPC, Transfer length determination. test method applicable for prestressed
 615 reinforcement, Techniques et méthodes, Laboratoire Central des Ponts et Chaussées, Paris,
 616 France, 53 (1999) 45–55.
- 617 [44] J.R. Martí-Vargas, P. Serna, W.M. Hale, Strand bond performance in prestressed
 618 concrete accounting for bond slip, Eng. Struct. 51 (2013) 236–244.
- 619 [45] MFOM, Instrucción de hormigón estructural (EHE-08), Madrid, Ministerio de Fomento,
- 620 2008.