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#### Abstract

A new technique to obtain derivative-free methods with optimal order of convergence in the sense of the Kung-Traub conjecture for solving nonlinear smooth equations is described. The procedure uses Steffensen-like methods and Padé approximants. Some numerical examples are provided to show the good performance of the new methods.
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## 1. Introduction

A variety of problems in different fields of science and technology require to find the solution of a nonlinear equation. Iterative methods for approximating solutions are the most used technique. The efficiency index, introduced by Ostrowski in [1], establishes the effectiveness of the iterative method. In this sense, Kung and Traub conjectured in [2] that a method is optimal if it reaches an order of convergence $p=2^{n-1}$, where $n$ is the number of functional evaluations per step.

[^0]Newton's method is optimal for $n=2$, but it uses the derivative, which can be a drawback in some applications. Steffensen's method (SM),(see [3]) is not only optimal but also derivative free,

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{n+1}=x_{n}-\frac{f\left(x_{n}\right)^{2}}{f\left(z_{n}\right)-f\left(x_{n}\right)}, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $z_{n}=x_{n}+f\left(x_{n}\right)$.
In this work, we introduce a technique to obtain optimal order methods for $n=3,4, \ldots$. The idea is to compose an optimal derivative free method with a modified Newton's step in which the derivative is computed using a Padé approximant.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we apply the technique to Steffensen's method, obtaining an optimal fourth order method and prove a convergence result. In the next section, the technique is generalized for getting higher order derivative free optimal methods. Finally, different numerical tests confirm the theoretical results. In this numerical section, we also analyze the behavior of the new schemes on nonsmooth equations.

## 2. Fourth order optimal method

We first compose the well-known Steffensen method, defined by (1), with Newton's method obtaining the fourth-order scheme

$$
\begin{align*}
y_{n} & =x_{n}-\frac{f\left(x_{n}\right)^{2}}{f\left(z_{n}\right)-f\left(x_{n}\right)},  \tag{2}\\
x_{n+1} & =y_{n}-\frac{f\left(y_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(y_{n}\right)},
\end{align*}
$$

where $z_{n}=x_{n}+f\left(x_{n}\right)$. Now, in order to avoid the evaluation of $f^{\prime}\left(y_{n}\right)$, we approximate it by the derivative $m^{\prime}\left(y_{n}\right)$ of the following first degree Padé approximant

$$
m(t)=\frac{a_{1}+a_{2}\left(t-y_{n}\right)}{1+a_{3}\left(t-y_{n}\right)},
$$

where $a_{1}, a_{2}$ and $a_{3}$ are real parameters to be determined satisfying the following conditions:

$$
\begin{align*}
m\left(x_{n}\right) & =f\left(x_{n}\right),  \tag{3}\\
m\left(y_{n}\right) & =f\left(y_{n}\right), \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
m\left(z_{n}\right)=f\left(z_{n}\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (4) one immediately obtains

$$
a_{1}=f\left(y_{n}\right) .
$$

Conditions (3) and (5), give, respectively,

$$
a_{2}-f\left(x_{n}\right) a_{3}=f\left[x_{n}, y_{n}\right]
$$

and

$$
a_{2}-f\left(z_{n}\right) a_{3}=f\left[z_{n}, y_{n}\right],
$$

where $f\left[x_{n}, y_{n}\right]$ denotes the divided difference $\frac{f\left(x_{n}\right)-f\left(y_{n}\right)}{x_{n}-y_{n}}$. After some algebraic manipulations, the following values are obtained for the parameters:

$$
a_{2}=f\left[y_{n}, z_{n}\right]-\frac{f\left(z_{n}\right) f\left[x_{n}, y_{n}, z_{n}\right]}{f\left[z_{n}, x_{n}\right]}
$$

and

$$
a_{3}=-\frac{f\left[x_{n}, y_{n}, z_{n}\right]}{f\left[x_{n}, z_{n}\right]},
$$

where $f\left[x_{n}, y_{n}, z_{n}\right]=\frac{f\left[x_{n}, y_{n}\right]-f\left[y_{n}, z_{n}\right]}{x_{n}-z_{n}}$ is a second order divided difference.
The derivative of the Padé approximant evaluated in $y_{n}$ can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
m^{\prime}\left(y_{n}\right)=\frac{f\left[x_{n}, y_{n}\right] f\left[y_{n}, z_{n}\right]}{f\left[x_{n}, z_{n}\right]} . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (6) in the second equation of (2), we obtain a new iterative method denoted by $M_{4}$, whose expression is:

$$
\begin{gather*}
y_{n}=x_{n}-\frac{f\left(x_{n}\right)^{2}}{f\left(z_{n}\right)-f\left(x_{n}\right)},  \tag{7}\\
x_{n+1}=y_{n}-\frac{f\left(y_{n}\right) f\left[x_{n}, z_{n}\right]}{f\left[x_{n}, y_{n}\right] f\left[y_{n}, z_{n}\right]} . \tag{8}
\end{gather*}
$$

Let us note that in each iteration we only evaluate $f\left(x_{n}\right), f\left(y_{n}\right)$ and $f\left(z_{n}\right)$, so that the method will be optimal in the sense of Kung-Traub's conjecture if we show that its convergence order is 4 .

Theorem 1. Let $\alpha \in I$ be a simple zero of a sufficiently differentiable function $f: I \subseteq \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ in an open interval $I$. If $x_{0}$ is sufficiently close to $\alpha$, then the method $M_{4}$ defined by (7)-(8) has optimal convergence order 4.

Proof: Let $e_{n}$ be the error in $x_{n}$, that is $e_{n}=x_{n}-\alpha$. By using Taylor's expansion around $x=\alpha$, we write

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(x_{n}\right)=c_{1} e_{n}+c_{2} e_{n}^{2}+c_{3} e_{n}^{3}+c_{4} e_{n}^{4}+O\left(e_{n}^{5}\right), \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{k}=f^{(k)}(\alpha) / k!, k=1,2, \ldots$
Using that $z_{n}=x_{n}+f\left(x_{n}\right)$, we write

$$
\begin{align*}
& f\left(z_{n}\right)=c_{1}\left(1+c_{1}\right) e_{n}+\left(c_{1}+\left(1+c_{1}\right)^{2}\right) c_{2} e_{n}^{2} \\
& \quad+\left(2\left(1+c_{1}\right) c_{2}^{2}+c_{1} c_{3}+\left(1+c_{1}\right)^{3}+c_{3}\right) e_{n}^{3} \\
& \quad+\left(3\left(1+c_{1}\right)^{2} c_{2} c_{3}+c_{2}\left(c_{2}^{2}+2\left(1+c_{1}\right) c_{3}\right)\right. \\
& \left.\quad+c_{1} c_{4}+\left(1+c_{1}\right)^{4} c_{4}\right) e_{n}^{4}+O\left(e_{n}^{5}\right) \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting (9) and (10) in (7) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
y_{n}- & \alpha=\left(1+\frac{1}{c_{1}}\right) c_{2} e_{n}^{2}+\frac{-\left(2+2 c_{1}+c_{1}^{2}\right) c_{2}^{2}+c_{1}\left(2+3 c_{1}+c_{1}^{2}\right) c_{3}}{c_{1}^{2}} e_{n}^{3} \\
& +\frac{\left(4+5 c_{1}+3 c_{1}^{2}+c_{1}^{3}\right) c_{2}^{3}-c_{1}\left(7+10 c_{1}+7 c_{1}^{2}+2 c_{1}^{3}\right) c_{2} c_{3}}{c_{1}^{3}} e_{n}^{4} \\
& +\frac{c_{1}^{2}\left(3+6 c_{1}+4 c_{1}^{2}+c_{1}^{3}\right) c_{4}}{c_{1}^{3}} e_{n}^{4}+O\left(e_{n}^{5}\right) . \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

Then,

$$
\begin{align*}
& f\left(y_{n}\right)=\left(1+c_{1}\right) c_{2} e_{n}^{2}+\frac{-\left(2+2 c_{1}+c_{1}^{2}\right) c_{2}^{2}+c_{1}\left(2+3 c_{1}+c_{1}^{2}\right) c_{3}}{c_{1}} e_{n}^{3} \\
& \quad+\frac{\left(5+7 c_{1}+4 c_{1}^{2}+c_{1}^{3}\right) c_{2}^{3}-c_{1}\left(7+10 c_{1}+7 c_{1}^{2}+2 c_{1}^{3}\right) c_{2} c_{3}}{c_{1}^{2}} e_{n}^{4} \\
& \quad+\frac{+c_{1}^{2}\left(3+6 c_{1}+4 c_{1}^{2}+c_{1}^{3}\right) c_{4}}{c_{1}^{2}} e_{n}^{4}+O\left(e_{n}^{5}\right) . \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

Using (8) we obtain

$$
x_{n+1}-\alpha=\frac{\left(1+c_{1}\right)^{2} c_{2}\left(2 c_{2}^{2}-c_{1} c_{3}\right)}{c_{1}^{3}} e_{n}^{4}+O\left(e_{n}^{5}\right)
$$

showing that the method is of fourth order.

## 3. Higher order optimal methods

This technique can be applied to a higher order multistep method using higher degree Padé's approximations for the derivative that appears when adding a Newton's step.

Recently, in [4] Ren et al. derive a one-parameter class of fourth-order methods with three functional evaluations per step. In these methods, an interpolation polynomial of order three is used to get a better approximation to the derivative of the given function. Other Steffensen type methods and their applications are also discussed by Liu et al. in [5] and by Zheng et al. in [6] and by Feng and He in [7].

In [8], Cordero and Torregrosa obtain a family of optimal fourth-order methods, that we will denote by $C T_{4}$ and is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{n+1}=y_{n}-\frac{f\left(y_{n}\right)}{\frac{f\left(y_{n}\right)-b f\left(z_{n}\right)}{y_{n}-z_{n}}+\frac{f\left(y_{n}\right)-d f\left(x_{n}\right)}{y_{n}-x_{n}}}, \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $y_{n}$ is the approximation of the Steffensen's method (1) and $b, d \in \mathbb{R}$ are parameters such as $b+d=1$.

Our aim now is to obtain optimal order derivative free methods for $n=4$, starting from any optimal fourth order method.

So, let us consider $\psi_{4}$ a function that defines an optimal fourth order derivative free iterative method, which we compose with and Newton's scheme. If a Padé approximant of degree two is applied to the estimation of the derivative in the last step, the resulting method will appear as:

$$
\begin{align*}
y_{n} & =x_{n}-\frac{f\left(x_{n}\right)^{2}}{f\left(z_{n}\right)-f\left(x_{n}\right)}, \\
u_{n} & =\psi_{f}\left(x_{n}, y_{n}, z_{n}\right),  \tag{14}\\
x_{n+1} & =u_{n}-\frac{f\left(u_{n}\right)}{\bar{m}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)},
\end{align*}
$$

where $\bar{m}(t)=\frac{b_{1}+b_{2}\left(t-u_{n}\right)+b_{3}\left(t-u_{n}\right)^{2}}{1+b_{4}\left(t-u_{n}\right)}$, and the parameters $b_{1}, b_{2}, b_{3}$ and $b_{4}$ satisfy the following conditions:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \bar{m}\left(x_{n}\right)=f\left(x_{n}\right),  \tag{15}\\
& \bar{m}\left(y_{n}\right)=f\left(y_{n}\right), \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{m}\left(z_{n}\right)=f\left(z_{n}\right), \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{m}\left(u_{n}\right)=f\left(u_{n}\right) . \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Again, from (18) one obtains

$$
b_{1}=f\left(u_{n}\right),
$$

and, by solving the system described by (15), (16) and (17) in a similar way as before, the following values are obtained for the parameter $b_{4}$ :

$$
b_{4}=\frac{f\left[y_{n}, u_{n}, x_{n}\right]-f\left[y_{n}, u_{n}, z_{n}\right]}{f\left[y_{n}, z_{n}\right]-f\left[y_{n}, x_{n}\right]}
$$

and also $b_{3}$ :

$$
b_{3}=f\left[y_{n}, u_{n}, z_{n}\right]+b_{4} f\left[y_{n}, z_{n}\right]
$$

and $b_{2}$ :

$$
b_{2}=f\left[y_{n}, u_{n}\right]-b_{3}\left(y_{n}-u_{n}\right)+f\left(y_{n}\right) b_{4} .
$$

Therefore the derivative of the second-degree Padé approximant can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{m}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)=b_{2}-b_{1} b_{4} . \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (19) in (14), we obtain a new scheme, denoted by $M \psi_{8}$, whose iterative expression is:

$$
\begin{align*}
y_{n} & =x_{n}-\frac{f\left(x_{n}\right)^{2}}{f\left(z_{n}\right)-f\left(x_{n}\right)},  \tag{20}\\
u_{n} & =\psi_{4}\left(x_{n}, y_{n}, z_{n}\right),  \tag{21}\\
x_{n+1} & =u_{n}-\frac{f\left(u_{n}\right)}{b_{2}-b_{1} b_{4}} . \tag{22}
\end{align*}
$$

Let us notice that in each iteration we evaluate $f\left(x_{n}\right), f\left(y_{n}\right), f\left(z_{n}\right)$ and $f\left(u_{n}\right)$, so that the method will be optimal, if we show that its convergence order is 8 .

Theorem 2. Let $\alpha \in I$ be a simple zero of a sufficiently differentiable function $f: I \subseteq \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ in an open interval $I$. If $x_{0}$ is sufficiently close to $\alpha$, then the method $M \psi_{8}$ defined by (20-22) has optimal convergence order 8.

Proof: Let $e_{n}$ be the error of $x_{n}$, that is, $e_{n}=x_{n}-\alpha$. Taylor's expansion of $f(x)$ around $\alpha$ gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(x_{n}\right)=c_{1} e_{n}+c_{2} e_{n}^{2}+c_{3} e_{n}^{3}+c_{4} e_{n}^{4}+\cdots+c_{7} e_{n}^{7}+c_{8} e_{n}^{8}+O\left(e_{n}^{9}\right) \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{k}=\frac{f^{(k)}(\alpha)}{k!}, k=1,2, \ldots$. Using that $z_{n}=x_{n}+f\left(x_{n}\right)$, we write

$$
\begin{align*}
& f\left(z_{n}\right)=c_{1}\left(1+c_{1}\right) e_{n}+\left(c_{1}+\left(1+c_{1}\right)^{2}\right) c_{2} e_{n}^{2} \\
& \quad+\left(2\left(1+c_{1}\right) c_{2}^{2}+c_{1} c_{3}+\left(1+c_{1}\right)^{3}+c_{3}\right) e_{n}^{3}+O\left(e_{n}^{4}\right) \tag{24}
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting (23) and (24) in (20) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
y_{n}- & \alpha=\left(1+\frac{1}{c_{1}}\right) c_{2} e_{n}^{2}+\frac{-\left(2+2 c_{1}+c_{1}^{2}\right) c_{2}^{2}+c_{1}\left(2+3 c_{1}+c_{1}^{2}\right) c_{3}}{c_{1}^{2}} e_{n}^{3} \\
& +\frac{\left(4+5 c_{1}+3 c_{1}^{2}+c_{1}^{3}\right) c_{2}^{3}-c_{1}\left(7+10 c_{1}+7 c_{1}^{2}+2 c_{1}^{3}\right) c_{2} c_{3}}{c_{1}^{3}} e_{n}^{4} \\
& +\frac{c_{1}^{2}\left(3+6 c_{1}+4 c_{1}^{2}+c_{1}^{3}\right) c_{4}}{c_{1}^{3}} e_{n}^{4}+O\left(e_{n}^{5}\right) \tag{25}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& f\left(y_{n}\right)=\left(1+c_{1}\right) c_{2} e_{n}^{2}+\frac{-\left(2+2 c_{1}+c_{1}^{2}\right) c_{2}^{2}+c_{1}\left(2+3 c_{1}+c_{1}^{2}\right) c_{3}}{c_{1}} e_{n}^{3} \\
& \quad+\frac{\left(5+7 c_{1}+4 c_{1}^{2}+c_{1}^{3}\right) c_{2}^{3}-c_{1}\left(7+10 c_{1}+7 c_{1}^{2}+2 c_{1}^{3}\right) c_{2} c_{3}}{c_{1}^{2}} e_{n}^{4} \\
& \quad+\frac{c_{1}^{2}\left(3+6 c_{1}+4 c_{1}^{2}+c_{1}^{3}\right) c_{4}}{c_{1}^{2}} e_{n}^{4}+O\left(e_{n}^{5}\right) \tag{26}
\end{align*}
$$

Assume that $u_{n}$ is obtained by means of a fourth order method. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{n}-\alpha=h_{4} e_{n}^{4}+h_{5} e_{n}^{5}+h_{6} e_{n}^{6}+h_{7} e_{n}^{7}+h_{8} e_{n}^{8}+O\left(e_{n}^{9}\right) \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

and so,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(u_{n}\right)=c_{1} h_{4} e_{n}^{4}+c_{1} h_{5} e_{n}^{5}+c_{1} h_{6} e_{n}^{6}+c_{1} h_{7} e_{n}^{7}+\left(c_{2} h_{4}^{2}+c_{1} h_{8}\right) e_{n}^{8}+O\left(e_{n}^{9}\right) \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

By computing (19) and substituting in (22) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{n+1}-\alpha=\frac{-\left(1+c_{1}\right)^{2} c_{3}^{2}+c_{2}\left(\left(1+c_{1}\right)^{2} c_{4}+c_{1} h_{4}\right)}{c_{1}^{2}} h_{4} e_{n}^{8}+O\left(e_{n}^{9}\right), \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

showing that the method is of eighth order.
The same procedure can be applied to obtain higher-order optimal methods. In this case, we can write

$$
\begin{align*}
y_{n} & =x_{n}-\frac{f\left(x_{n}\right)^{2}}{f\left(z_{n}\right)-f\left(x_{n}\right)}, \\
u_{n} & =\psi_{4}\left(x_{n}, y_{n}, z_{n}\right),  \tag{30}\\
v_{n} & =\varphi_{8}\left(x_{n}, y_{n}, z_{n}, u_{n}\right), \\
x_{n+1} & =v_{n}-\frac{f\left(v_{n}\right)}{\bar{m}^{\prime}\left(v_{n}\right)},
\end{align*}
$$

where $\psi_{4}$ and $\varphi_{8}$ are the iteration functions of optimal iterative methods of orders 4 and 8 , respectively. Now, the Pade's approximant is of third degree

$$
\bar{m}(t)=\frac{b_{1}+b_{2}\left(t-v_{n}\right)+b_{3}\left(t-v_{n}\right)^{2}+b_{4}\left(t-v_{n}\right)^{3}}{1+b_{5}\left(t-v_{n}\right)}
$$

and the parameters $b_{1}, b_{2}, b_{3}, b_{4}$ and $b_{5}$ can be determined analogously to the previous cases. Then, by substituting the approximation of the derivative $\bar{m}^{\prime}\left(v_{n}\right)=b_{2}-b_{1} b_{5}$, in (30) a new method is defined. We give the following result for the error equation in this case.

Theorem 3. Let $\alpha \in I$ be a simple zero of a sufficiently differentiable function $f: I \subseteq \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ in an open interval $I$. If $x_{0}$ is sufficiently close to $\alpha$, then the method $M \psi \varphi_{16}$ defined by (30) has optimal convergence order 16, and satisfies the following relation:

$$
e_{n+1}=\frac{c_{2} j_{9}\left(\left(1+c_{1}\right)^{2} c_{4}^{2} h_{4}-c_{3}\left(\left(1+c_{1}\right)^{2} c_{5} h_{4}-c_{1} j_{9}\right)\right) e_{n}{ }^{16}}{c_{1}^{2} c_{3}}+O\left(e_{n}{ }^{17}\right)
$$

where $c_{k}=\frac{f^{(k)}(\alpha)}{k!}, k=1,2, \ldots, 16$, and $h_{k}, j_{k}, k=1,2, \ldots, 16$ are the generic coefficients of the error equation for methods of fourth and eighth orders given by $\psi_{4}$ and $\varphi_{8}$, that is:

$$
\begin{gathered}
u_{n}-\alpha=h_{4} e_{n}^{4}+h_{5} e_{n}^{5}+\ldots+h_{16} e_{n}^{16}+O\left(e_{n}^{17}\right) \\
v_{n}-\alpha=j_{8} e_{n}^{8}+j_{9} e_{n}^{9}+\ldots+j_{16} e_{n}^{16}+O\left(e_{n}^{17}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

## 4. Numerical results

In this section we check the effectiveness of the new optimal methods comparing them with Steffensen's method and also with the method of which they are derived. Specifically, we consider the new fourth-order method $M_{4}$ introduced in (7) and the method $C T_{4}$ given by (13). Applying (20), we obtain the new optimal methods $M_{8}$ and $C T_{8}$ and using (30), we derive methods $M_{16}$ and $C T_{16}$.

Nowadays, high-order methods are important because numerical applications use high precision in their computations; for this reason numerical tests have been carried out using variable precision arithmetic in MATLAB 7.1. with 2000 significant digits.

Tables 1 and 2 show for each initial estimation and every method, the exact absolute error at first and last iterations, the number of iterations required to obtain incr $1=\left|x_{k+1}-x_{k}\right|<10^{-150}$ or incr $2=\left|f\left(x_{k+1}\right)\right|<10^{-150}$ and the corresponding computational order of convergence $\rho$ (usually called ACOC), defined by Cordero et al. in [9]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
p \approx \rho=\frac{\ln \left(\left|x_{k+1}-x_{k}\right| /\left|x_{k}-x_{k-1}\right|\right)}{\ln \left(\left|x_{k}-x_{k-1}\right| /\left|x_{k-1}-x_{k-2}\right|\right)} \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

The value of $\rho$ appearing in Tables 1 and 2 is the last component of the vector defined by (31), when it is stable, in other case we will denote it by ' - '.

The tests have been made on the nonsmooth function:

$$
f(x)= \begin{cases}x(x+1), & \text { if } x<0  \tag{32}\\ -2 x(x-1), & \text { if } x \geq 0\end{cases}
$$

that can be found in [10]. We use three initial estimations in order to approximate the three different roots of the equation, $\{-1,0,1\}$. From Table 1 it can be inferred that, as every root is close to each other, the initial estimation must be quite good if convergence to the central root is looked for. In fact, for $x_{0}=5$, Steffensen's method converges to $0($ instead of 1$)$ and, for $x_{0}=-10$, method $M_{8}$ converges to 0 , instead of the closest root, -1 .

Moreover, when $x_{0}=0.1$ the nonsmoothness of the function (32) in 0 is the reason why the estimated order of convergence is 2 for all the methods, except in the case of $M_{16}$ and $C T_{16}$ in which, although $\rho$ is not stable, a visible reduction of the number of iterations show the better behavior of these methods. In this case, the stability problems do not allow the order of convergence to reach the theoretical ones (let us remember that these orders
of convergence have been calculated under the assumption of the smoothness of the nonlinear function).

When the initial approximation is near of 1 or -1 , the behavior of the methods is stable and the ACOC is near the theoretical order of convergence. High-order methods are shown to be more efficient when the root is far enough; for $x_{0}=-10$, the number of iterations needed have been reduced in a reason of $1 / 4$ from Steffensen's method. It must be also taken into account that, for (32), it is verified that $c_{1}=1$, so the theoretical order of convergence for all the methods involved, from $S M$ to $C T_{16}$, are higher than in the standard case. The specific order of convergence will depend on each method.

## 5. Conclusions

We have introduced a new technique which applied to optimal derivative methods of order $2^{n-1}$ provides new optimal derivative methods of order $2^{n}$. Specifically new methods of order 4,8 and 16 are given explicitly. The procedure uses Steffensen-like methods and Padé approximant. Some numerical tests are provided on a nonsmooth function to show the good performance of the new methods.
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|  | SM |  | $M_{4}$ |  | $M_{8}$ |  | $M_{16}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $x_{0}=0.1$ | iter | error | iter | error | iter | error | iter | error |
| $\alpha=0$ | 1 | $4.52 \mathrm{e}-2$ | 1 | $1.98 \mathrm{e}-2$ | 1 | $6.74 \mathrm{e}-3$ | 1 | $2.96 \mathrm{e}-3$ |
|  | 2 | $4.60 \mathrm{e}-3$ | 2 | 7.23e-3 | 2 | $6.18 \mathrm{e}-5$ | 2 | 1.18e-011 |
|  | : |  | : |  |  |  | : |  |
|  | 8 | 9.98e-124 | 7 | 6.50e-92 | 7 | 5.82e-130 | 4 | $5.01 \mathrm{e}-091$ |
|  | 9 | $2.99 \mathrm{e}-246$ | 8 | $8.45 \mathrm{e}-183$ | 8 | 5.08e-259 | 5 | 7.54e-182 |
| incr1 | 9.98e-124 |  | $6.50 \mathrm{e}-92$ |  | $5.82 \mathrm{e}-130$ |  | 5.01e-091 |  |
| incr2 | $2.99 \mathrm{e}-246$ |  | $8.45 \mathrm{e}-183$ |  | $1.02 \mathrm{e}-258$ |  | $7.54 \mathrm{e}-182$ |  |
| $\rho$ | 2.0058 |  | 2.0000 |  | 2.0000 |  | - |  |
| $x_{0}=5$ | ite | error | ite | error | iter | error |  | error |
| $\alpha=1$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 2 \\ & \vdots \\ & 11 \\ & 12 \end{aligned}$ | 2.70 |  | 1.42 |  | $3.83 \mathrm{e}-1$ | 1 | 8.32e-2 |
|  |  |  |  | 0.57 |  | $3.25 \mathrm{e}-1$ | 123 | $3.61 \mathrm{e}-16$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $1.32 \mathrm{e}-246$ |
|  |  |  |  | $2.28 \mathrm{e}-081$ |  | $4.76 \mathrm{e}-36$ |  |  |
|  |  | 1 | 7 | $5.43 \mathrm{e}-323$ |  | $1.08 \mathrm{e}-282$ |  |  |
| incr1 | $2.76 \mathrm{e}-080$ |  | $2.28 \mathrm{e}-081$ |  | 4.78e-36 |  | 3.61e-16 |  |
| incr2 | $3.05 \mathrm{e}-159$ |  | 1.09e-322 |  | 2.17e-282 |  | $2.64 \mathrm{e}-246$ |  |
| $\rho$ | 2.0000 |  | 4.0000 |  | 7.7025 |  | 8.5860 |  |
| $x_{0}=-10$ | ite | error | ite | error | iter | error | iter | error |
| $\alpha=-1$ | 12$\vdots$1516 | 8.36 |  | 4.13 | $\begin{array}{ll} \hline 1 & 1.73 \\ 2 & 8.08 \mathrm{e}-1 \\ \vdots & \\ 9 & 1 \\ 10 & 1 \end{array}$ |  | 1234 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 6.72 \mathrm{e}-1 \\ & 2.40 \mathrm{e}-3 \\ & 2.07 \mathrm{e}-62 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  | 7.71 | 12$\vdots$11 | 1.64 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $9.08 \mathrm{e}-130$ |  | $6.06 \mathrm{e}-37$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 0 | 12 | $9.88 \mathrm{e}-218$ |  |  |  |  |
| incr1 | $9.04 \mathrm{e}-130$ |  | $6.06 \mathrm{e}-037$ |  | 1.115e-142 |  | 2.07e-062 |  |
| incr2 | 0.0000 |  | $9.88 \mathrm{e}-218$ |  | 4.90e-285 |  | 0 |  |
| $\rho$ | 3.0000 |  | - |  | 2.0000 |  | - |  |

Table 1: Numerical results for function (32)

|  | $C T_{4}$ |  | $C T_{8}$ |  | $C T_{16}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $x_{0}=0.1$ | iter | error | iter | error | iter | error |
| $\alpha=0$ | 1 | $1.93 \mathrm{e}-2$ |  | $6.73 \mathrm{e}-3$ |  | $2.93 \mathrm{e}-3$ |
|  | 2 | $6.95 \mathrm{e}-4$ |  | $6.18 \mathrm{e}-5$ |  | 1.14e-11 |
|  | ! |  |  |  | : |  |
|  | 8 | $6.45 \mathrm{e}-184$ |  | 5.68e-130 |  | 3.76e-91 |
|  | 9 | 0 |  | $4.85 \mathrm{e}-259$ | 5 | $4.25 \mathrm{e}-182$ |
| incr1 | $6.45 \mathrm{e}-184$ |  | $5.68 \mathrm{e}-130$ |  | 3.76e-91 |  |
| incr2 | 0 |  | $9.69 \mathrm{e}-259$ |  | $4.25 \mathrm{e}-182$ |  |
| $\rho$ | 2.0000 |  | 2.0000 |  | - |  |
| $x_{0}=5$ | ite | error | iter error |  | iter erro |  |
| $\alpha=1$ | 1 1.44 <br> 2 $5.25 \mathrm{e}-1$ |  | $\begin{array}{ll} 1 & 3.97 \mathrm{e}-1 \\ 2 & 3.27 \mathrm{e}-1 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{\|ll\|} \hline 1 & 8.79 \mathrm{e}-2 \\ 2 & 5.66 \mathrm{e}-17 \end{array}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{array}{ll} 6 & 2.87 \mathrm{e}-197 \\ 7 & 0 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{lc} : & \\ 5 & 1.34 \mathrm{e}-137 \\ 6 & 0 \end{array}$ |  |  | 1.10e-260 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| incr1 | 2.87e-197 |  | $1.34 \mathrm{e}-137$ |  | $5.66 \mathrm{e}-17$ |  |
| incr2 | 0 |  | 0 |  | $2.21 \mathrm{e}-260$ |  |
| $\rho$ | 4.0000 |  | 8.0017 |  | 9.2156 |  |
| $x_{0}=-10$ | ite | error | iter error |  | iter | error |
| $\alpha=-1$ | 1 4.29 <br> 2 1.82 <br> $\vdots$  <br> 7 $1.98 \mathrm{e}-323$ <br> 8 0 |  | 1 1.82 <br> 2 $5.30 \mathrm{e}-1$ <br> $\vdots$  <br> 4 $1.08 \mathrm{e}-17$ <br> 5 $2.53 \mathrm{e}-204$ |  | 1 $7.15 \mathrm{e}-1$ <br> 2 $1.32 \mathrm{e}-3$ <br> 3 $8.33 \mathrm{e}-70$ <br> 4 0 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| incr1 | 1.98e-323 |  | 1.08e-17 |  | 8.33e-70 |  |
| incr2 | 0 |  | $2.53 \mathrm{e}-204$ |  | 0 |  |
| $\rho$ | 5.9990 |  | - |  | - |  |

Table 2: More numerical results for function (32)
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