

Document downloaded from:

<http://hdl.handle.net/10251/57518>

This paper must be cited as:

Calabuig Rodríguez, JM.; Rodríguez Ruiz, J.; Sánchez Pérez, EA. (2012). Interpolation subspaces of L^1 of a vector measure and norm inequalities for the integration operator. Contemporary Mathematics. 561:155-163. doi:10.1090/conm/561/11113.



The final publication is available at

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1090/conm/561/11113>

Copyright American Mathematical Society

Additional Information

Interpolation subspaces of L^1 of a vector measure and norm inequalities for the integration operator

J.M. Calabuig, J. Rodríguez, and E.A. Sánchez-Pérez

ABSTRACT. Let m be a Banach space valued measure. We study some domination properties of the integration operator that are equivalent to the existence of Banach ideals of $L^1(m)$ that are interpolation spaces. These domination properties are closely connected with some interpolated versions of summing operators, like (p, θ) -absolutely continuous operators.

1. Introduction

Let (Ω, Σ) be a measurable space, X a Banach space and $m : \Sigma \rightarrow X$ a vector measure. For $1 \leq p < \infty$, let $L^p(m)$ be the Banach lattice of all p -integrable functions with respect to m . The domination properties (i.e. vector norm inequalities) of the integration operator $I : L^1(m) \rightarrow X$, $f \mapsto \int_{\Omega} f dm$, are directly related to the structure of $L^1(m)$ and determine the existence of some characteristic subspaces. From this point of view, the existence of Lebesgue subspaces of $L^1(m)$ has recently been studied in [2] (cf. [10, Section 3.4 and Chapter 6]): geometric or summability properties of I (namely, p -concavity on $L^p(m)$ or positive p -summability on $L^1(m)$) are shown to characterize either the inclusions $L^p(m) \hookrightarrow L^p(\nu) \hookrightarrow L^1(m)$ or the order isomorphism $L^1(m) \simeq L^1(\nu)$, for some control measure ν of m .

The aim of this paper is to continue this research by showing which vector norm inequalities for I characterize the inclusion of some special *Calderón-Lozanovskii lattice interpolation spaces* in $L^1(m)$. Our results can be applied to analyze the inclusion of such subspaces in a broad class of Banach lattices by means of the

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 46E30, 46G10.

J.M. Calabuig was supported by Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación (project MTM2008-04594) and Generalitat Valenciana (project GV/2009/102). J. Rodríguez was supported by Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación (project MTM2008-05396) and Fundación Séneca (project 08848/PI/08). E.A. Sánchez-Pérez was supported by Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación (project MTM2009-14483-C02-02). The authors were also supported by Universidad Politécnica de Valencia (project PAID-06-08-3093).

©0000 (copyright holder)

well-known representation technique via vector measures (cf. [10, Chapter 3]). In particular, we center our attention in the following problem (left open in [2, p.31]): find a domination property of I which is equivalent to the existence of a control measure ν of m and $0 \leq \theta < 1$ such that

$$(L^p(\nu), L^p(m))_\theta \hookrightarrow L^1(m),$$

where $(L^p(\nu), L^p(m))_\theta$ is the Calderón-Lozanovskii lattice interpolation space of $L^p(m)$ and $L^p(\nu)$. We will show that the requested domination property of I is a concavity-type property which we call (p, θ) -concavity (Theorem 2.3). At the end of the paper we analyze some summability properties related to (p, θ) -concavity, like the largely studied (p, θ) -absolute continuity (see [7, 9] and the references therein). Along this line, in Theorem 2.8 we prove that the positive (p, θ) -absolute continuity of I has the same structural consequences on $L^1(m)$ than its non-interpolated version (i.e. positive p -summability), namely: $L^1(m)$ is order isomorphic to the L^1 space of a non-negative scalar measure.

Terminology. Unexplained terminology can be found in our standard references [3, 4, 6]. All our linear spaces are real. Given a Banach space Z , the symbol Z' stands for the topological dual of Z and the duality is denoted by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. We write B_Z to denote the closed unit ball of Z . The norm of Z is denoted by $\|\cdot\|_Z$ if needed explicitly. A Banach space E is called *Banach function space* over a finite measure space (Ω, Σ, μ) if E is a linear subspace of $L^0(\mu)$ such that: (i) if $f \in E$ and $|f| \leq |g|$ μ -a.e. for some $g \in E$, then $f \in E$ and $\|f\|_E \leq \|g\|_E$; (ii) the characteristic function χ_A of each $A \in \Sigma$ belongs to E . Then E is a Banach lattice when endowed with the μ -a.e. order. We write B_E^+ to denote the intersection of B_E with the positive cone E^+ of E .

Let E and F be two Banach function spaces over a finite measure space (Ω, Σ, μ) . Given $0 \leq \theta \leq 1$, the *Calderón-Lozanovskii lattice interpolation space* $(E, F)_\theta$ is the Banach function space over (Ω, Σ, μ) made up of all $h \in L^0(\mu)$ for which there are $e \in E$ and $f \in F$ such that $|h| = |e|^{1-\theta}|f|^\theta$, endowed with the norm

$$\|h\|_{(E,F)_\theta} = \inf \{ \|e\|_E^{1-\theta} \|f\|_F^\theta : |h| = |e|^{1-\theta}|f|^\theta, e \in E, f \in F \}.$$

We write $F \hookrightarrow E$ if the ‘identity’ mapping is a well-defined operator (i.e. linear continuous map) from F to E . In this case, we have $F \hookrightarrow (E, F)_\theta \hookrightarrow E$. The space $(E, F)_\theta$ is sometimes denoted by $E^{1-\theta}F^\theta$ and coincides with the complex interpolation space $[F, E]_{1-\theta}$ under mild assumptions on E and F . For detailed information on Calderón-Lozanovskii spaces, we refer the reader to [1] and [8].

Throughout the paper (Ω, Σ) is a measurable space, X is a Banach space and $m : \Sigma \rightarrow X$ is a (countably additive) vector measure. A *control measure* of m is a non-negative scalar measure ν on (Ω, Σ) such that $\nu(A) = 0$ if and only if $\|m\|(A) = 0$, where $\|\cdot\|$ stands for the semivariation of m . We fix a *Rybakov*

control measure μ of m , that is, a control measure of the form $\mu = |\langle m, x'_0 \rangle|$ with $x'_0 \in B_{X'}$, cf. [4, p. 268]. For each $x' \in X'$, we write $\langle m, x' \rangle$ to denote the scalar measure defined by $\langle m, x' \rangle(A) := \langle m(A), x' \rangle$ for all $A \in \Sigma$. A Σ -measurable function $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is m -integrable if it is integrable with respect to $\langle m, x' \rangle$ for every $x' \in X'$ and, for each $A \in \Sigma$, there exists a vector $\int_A f dm \in X$ such that $\langle \int_A f dm, x' \rangle = \int_A f d\langle m, x' \rangle$ for all $x' \in X'$. Given $1 \leq p < \infty$, the space $L^p(m)$ is the Banach function space over (Ω, Σ, μ) made up of all (equivalence classes of) functions f such that $|f|^p$ is m -integrable, endowed with the norm

$$\|f\|_{L^p(m)} := \sup_{x' \in B_{X'}} \left(\int_{\Omega} |f|^p d\langle m, x' \rangle \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

For the basic properties of this space, we refer the reader to [5] and [10, Chapter 3]. The mapping $I : L^1(m) \rightarrow X$ given by $I(f) := \int_{\Omega} f dm$ is an operator which is usually called *integration operator*.

We recall that each functional $\varphi \in L^1(m)'$ can be represented as $\varphi(f) = \int_{\Omega} fh d\mu$ for some $h \in L^1(m)^\times$. The Köthe dual $L^1(m)^\times$ of $L^1(m)$ is the Banach function space over (Ω, Σ, μ) made up of all $h \in L^0(\mu)$ such that $fh \in L^1(\mu)$ for every $f \in L^1(m)$. Given $h \in L^1(m)^\times$, if the scalar measure $h d\mu$ on (Ω, Σ) defined by $A \mapsto \int_A h d\mu$ is a control measure of m , then $L^1(h d\mu)$ is a Banach function space over (Ω, Σ, μ) and we have $L^1(m) \hookrightarrow L^1(h d\mu)$.

2. (p, θ) -concave integration operators

DEFINITION 2.1. Let E be a Banach function space over (Ω, Σ, μ) and let Y be a Banach space. We say that an operator $T : E \rightarrow Y$ is (p, θ) -concave (where $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $0 \leq \theta < 1$) if there is a constant $K > 0$ such that

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \|T(h_i)\|_Y^{\frac{p}{1-\theta}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq K \left\| \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |f_i|^p \|g_i\|_E^{\frac{\theta p}{1-\theta}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \right\|_E$$

whenever $h_i, f_i, g_i \in E$ satisfy $|h_i| = |f_i|^{1-\theta} |g_i|^\theta$ for every $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

Notice that $(p, 0)$ -concavity is just the usual notion of p -concavity.

REMARK 2.2. Every (p, θ) -concave operator is p_θ -concave in the sense of [11]. We stress that an operator $T : E \rightarrow Y$ is p_θ -concave if and only if it factorizes through a specific real interpolation space, see [11, Theorem 3.7].

THEOREM 2.3. Let $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $0 \leq \theta < 1$. The following statements are equivalent:

- (a) The integration operator $I : L^p(m) \rightarrow X$ is (p, θ) -concave.
- (b) There exist $C > 0$ and $h_0 \in B_{L^1(m)}^+$ such that

$$\left\| \int_{\Omega} v dm \right\|_X \leq C \left(\int_{\Omega} |f|^p h_0 d\mu \right)^{\frac{1-\theta}{p}} \|g\|_{L^p(m)}^\theta$$

whenever $v, f, g \in L^p(m)$ satisfy $|v| = |f|^{1-\theta}|g|^\theta$.

(c) There is $h_0 \in B_{L^1(m)'}^+$ such that $h_0 d\mu$ is a control measure of m and $(L^p(h_0 d\mu), L^p(m))_\theta \hookrightarrow L^1(m)$.

(d) There is a control measure ν of m such that

$$L^1(m) \hookrightarrow L^1(\nu) \quad \text{and} \quad (L^p(\nu), L^p(m))_\theta \hookrightarrow L^1(m).$$

PROOF. (a) \Rightarrow (b). Let $K > 0$ be a constant like in Definition 2.1 applied to the integration operator $I : L^p(m) \rightarrow X$.

Given finitely many $v_i, f_i, g_i \in L^p(m)$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, such that $|v_i| = |f_i|^{1-\theta}|g_i|^\theta$, let us consider the function $\Phi : B_{L^1(m)'}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$\Phi(h) := \sum_{i=1}^n \left\| \int_{\Omega} v_i dm \right\|_{X}^{\frac{p}{1-\theta}} - K^p \int_{\Omega} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |f_i|^p \|g_i\|_{L^p(m)}^{\frac{\theta p}{1-\theta}} \right) h d\mu.$$

Clearly Φ is w^* -continuous on the w^* -compact set $B_{L^1(m)'}^+$, so it attains its infimum at some $h_\Phi \in B_{L^1(m)'}^+$. We claim that $\Phi(h_\Phi) \leq 0$. Indeed, for each $h \in B_{L^1(m)'}^+$, the inequality $\Phi(h_\Phi) \leq \Phi(h)$ implies

$$\int_{\Omega} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |f_i|^p \|g_i\|_{L^p(m)}^{\frac{\theta p}{1-\theta}} \right) h d\mu \leq \int_{\Omega} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |f_i|^p \|g_i\|_{L^p(m)}^{\frac{\theta p}{1-\theta}} \right) h_\Phi d\mu.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} (2.1) \quad & \left\| \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |f_i|^p \|g_i\|_{L^p(m)}^{\frac{\theta p}{1-\theta}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \right\|_{L^p(m)}^p = \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n |f_i|^p \|g_i\|_{L^p(m)}^{\frac{\theta p}{1-\theta}} \right\|_{L^1(m)} = \\ & = \sup_{h \in B_{L^1(m)'}^+} \int_{\Omega} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |f_i|^p \|g_i\|_{L^p(m)}^{\frac{\theta p}{1-\theta}} \right) h d\mu \leq \int_{\Omega} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |f_i|^p \|g_i\|_{L^p(m)}^{\frac{\theta p}{1-\theta}} \right) h_\Phi d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, since $I : L^p(m) \rightarrow X$ is (p, θ) -concave, we have

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \left\| \int_{\Omega} v_i dm \right\|_X^{\frac{p}{1-\theta}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq K \left\| \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |f_i|^p \|g_i\|_{L^p(m)}^{\frac{\theta p}{1-\theta}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \right\|_{L^p(m)},$$

which combined with (2.1) yields

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \left\| \int_{\Omega} v_i dm \right\|_X^{\frac{p}{1-\theta}} \leq K^p \int_{\Omega} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |f_i|^p \|g_i\|_{L^p(m)}^{\frac{\theta p}{1-\theta}} \right) h_\Phi d\mu,$$

and so $\Phi(h_\Phi) \leq 0$, as claimed. Notice also that Φ is convex (in fact, it is affine).

It is easy to check that the collection of all Φ 's as above is a convex cone in $\mathbb{R}^{B_{L^1(m)'}^+}$. An appeal to Ky Fan's Lemma (cf. [3, Lemma 9.10]) ensures the existence of $h_0 \in B_{L^1(m)'}^+$ such that $\Phi(h_0) \leq 0$ for every function Φ as above. In particular, if $v, f, g \in L^p(m)$ satisfy $|v| = |f|^{1-\theta}|g|^\theta$, then

$$\left\| \int_{\Omega} v dm \right\|_X^{\frac{p}{1-\theta}} \leq K^p \left(\int_{\Omega} |f|^p h_0 d\mu \right) \|g\|_{L^p(m)}^{\frac{\theta p}{1-\theta}}$$

and taking $C := K^{1-\theta}$ we have

$$\left\| \int_{\Omega} v \, dm \right\|_X \leq C \left(\int_{\Omega} |f|^p h_0 \, d\mu \right)^{\frac{1-\theta}{p}} \|g\|_{L^p(m)}^{\theta}.$$

This completes the proof of (a) \Rightarrow (b).

(b) \Rightarrow (c). Since $L^p(m) \hookrightarrow L^p(h_0 \, d\mu)$, we have

$$L^p(m) \hookrightarrow (L^p(h_0 \, d\mu), L^p(m))_{\theta} \hookrightarrow L^p(h_0 \, d\mu).$$

We divide the proof of (b) \Rightarrow (c) into several steps.

STEP 1.- Condition (b) yields

$$\|m(B)\|_X \leq C \left(\int_B h_0 \, d\mu \right)^{\frac{1-\theta}{p}} \|\chi_{\Omega}\|_{L^p(m)}^{\theta} \leq C \left(\int_A h_0 \, d\mu \right)^{\frac{1-\theta}{p}} \|\chi_{\Omega}\|_{L^p(m)}^{\theta}$$

for every $B \subset A$ in Σ . Hence $h_0 \, d\mu$ is a control measure of m .

STEP 2.- Fix an arbitrary simple function v . We claim that

$$(2.2) \quad \|v\|_{L^1(m)} \leq C \|v\|_{(L^p(h_0 \, d\mu), L^p(m))_{\theta}}.$$

Let $f \in L^p(h_0 \, d\mu)$ and $g \in L^p(m)$ such that $|v| = |f|^{1-\theta} |g|^{\theta}$. Choose sequences (f_n) and (g_n) of simple functions such that $|f_n| \nearrow |f|$ and $|g_n| \nearrow |g|$ μ -a.e. Define $v_n := |f_n|^{1-\theta} |g_n|^{\theta}$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, so that $v_n \nearrow |v|$ μ -a.e. We next show that

$$(2.3) \quad \|v_n\|_{L^1(m)} \leq C \|f_n\|_{L^p(h_0 \, d\mu)}^{1-\theta} \|g_n\|_{L^p(m)}^{\theta} \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

To this end, take any $\xi \in L^{\infty}(\mu)$. Since the functions $v_n \xi, f_n \xi, g_n \xi \in L^p(m)$ satisfy $|v_n \xi| = |f_n \xi|^{1-\theta} |g_n \xi|^{\theta}$, condition (b) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \int_{\Omega} v_n \xi \, dm \right\|_X &\leq C \left(\int_{\Omega} |f_n \xi|^p h_0 \, d\mu \right)^{\frac{1-\theta}{p}} \|g_n \xi\|_{L^p(m)}^{\theta} \leq \\ &\leq C \left(\int_{\Omega} |f_n|^p h_0 \, d\mu \right)^{\frac{1-\theta}{p}} \|g_n\|_{L^p(m)}^{\theta} = C \|f_n\|_{L^p(h_0 \, d\mu)}^{1-\theta} \|g_n\|_{L^p(m)}^{\theta}. \end{aligned}$$

Bearing in mind that

$$\|v_n\|_{L^1(m)} = \sup_{\xi \in B_{L^{\infty}(\mu)}} \left\| \int_{\Omega} v_n \xi \, dm \right\|_X,$$

cf. [10, (3.64)], inequality (2.3) follows at once. Now, since

$$\|v_n\|_{L^1(m)} \rightarrow \|v\|_{L^1(m)}, \quad \|f_n\|_{L^p(h_0 \, d\mu)} \rightarrow \|f\|_{L^p(h_0 \, d\mu)}, \quad \|g_n\|_{L^p(m)} \rightarrow \|g\|_{L^p(m)},$$

we can take limits in (2.3) to infer that $\|v\|_{L^1(m)} \leq C \|f\|_{L^p(h_0 \, d\mu)}^{1-\theta} \|g\|_{L^p(m)}^{\theta}$. As $f \in L^p(h_0 \, d\mu)$ and $g \in L^p(m)$ are arbitrary functions satisfying $|v| = |f|^{1-\theta} |g|^{\theta}$, inequality (2.2) holds true.

STEP 3.- The space $(L^p(h_0 \, d\mu), L^p(m))_{\theta}$ is order continuous, cf. [8, Lemma 20], and so the subspace S made up of all simple functions is dense in $(L^p(h_0 \, d\mu), L^p(m))_{\theta}$. Fix $v \in (L^p(h_0 \, d\mu), L^p(m))_{\theta}$ and let (v_n) be a sequence in S such that

$$\|v_n - v\|_{(L^p(h_0 \, d\mu), L^p(m))_{\theta}} \rightarrow 0.$$

Then $\|v_n - v\|_{L^p(h_0 d\mu)} \rightarrow 0$ and so, by passing to a further subsequence, we can assume without loss of generality that $v_n \rightarrow v$ μ -a.e. (by Step 1, $h_0 d\mu$ has the same null sets as m). On the other hand, by Step 2, the ‘identity’ mapping $S \rightarrow L^1(m)$ is continuous (with norm less than or equal to C). Thus, (v_n) is a Cauchy sequence in $L^1(m)$ and so there is $w \in L^1(m)$ such that $\|v_n - w\|_{L^1(m)} \rightarrow 0$ and, in particular, $\|v_n - w\|_{L^1(h_0 d\mu)} \rightarrow 0$. Hence $v = w \in L^1(m)$ and $\|v_n - v\|_{L^1(m)} \rightarrow 0$. Moreover, we have $\|v\|_{L^1(m)} \leq C\|v\|_{(L^p(h_0 d\mu), L^p(m))_\theta}$. This shows that

$$(L^p(h_0 d\mu), L^p(m))_\theta \hookrightarrow L^1(m)$$

and the proof of (b) \Rightarrow (c) is finished.

(c) \Rightarrow (d) is obvious.

(d) \Rightarrow (c). Observe that if ν is a control measure of m such that $L^1(m) \hookrightarrow L^1(\nu)$, then the positive linear mapping $f \mapsto \int_\Omega f d\nu$ is continuous on $L^1(m)$ and so there is $0 < h \in L^1(m)'$ such that $\int_\Omega f d\nu = \int_\Omega fh d\mu$ for all $f \in L^1(m)$, hence $\nu = h d\mu$. Finally just consider $h_0 = h/\|h\|_{L^1(m)'} \in B_{L^1(m)'}^+$ in order to obtain the result since $h_0 d\mu$ is a control measure of m and $L^p(h_0 d\mu) = L^p(h d\mu) = L^p(\nu)$.

(c) \Rightarrow (a). Let $K > 0$ be a constant such that $\|v\|_{L^1(m)} \leq K\|v\|_{(L^p(h_0 d\mu), L^p(m))_\theta}$ for every $v \in (L^p(h_0 d\mu), L^p(m))_\theta$. Take finitely many functions $v_i, f_i, g_i \in L^p(m)$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, satisfying $|v_i| = |f_i|^{1-\theta}|g_i|^\theta$. Then each $v_i \in (L^p(h_0 d\mu), L^p(m))_\theta$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^n \left\| \int_\Omega v_i d\mu \right\|_{L^1(m)}^{\frac{p}{1-\theta}} &\leq \sum_{i=1}^n \|v_i\|_{L^1(m)}^{\frac{p}{1-\theta}} \leq K^{\frac{p}{1-\theta}} \sum_{i=1}^n \|v_i\|_{(L^p(h_0 d\mu), L^p(m))_\theta}^{\frac{p}{1-\theta}} \leq \\ &\leq K^{\frac{p}{1-\theta}} \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\|f_i\|_{L^p(h_0 d\mu)}^{1-\theta} \|g_i\|_{L^p(m)}^\theta \right)^{\frac{p}{1-\theta}} = K^{\frac{p}{1-\theta}} \sum_{i=1}^n \|f_i\|_{L^p(h_0 d\mu)}^p \|g_i\|_{L^p(m)}^{\frac{\theta p}{1-\theta}} = \\ &= K^{\frac{p}{1-\theta}} \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\int_\Omega |f_i|^p h_0 d\mu \right) \|g_i\|_{L^p(m)}^{\frac{\theta p}{1-\theta}} = K^{\frac{p}{1-\theta}} \int_\Omega \sum_{i=1}^n |f_i|^p \|g_i\|_{L^p(m)}^{\frac{\theta p}{1-\theta}} h_0 d\mu \leq \\ &\leq K^{\frac{p}{1-\theta}} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n |f_i|^p \|g_i\|_{L^p(m)}^{\frac{\theta p}{1-\theta}} \right\|_{L^1(m)} = K^{\frac{p}{1-\theta}} \left\| \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |f_i|^p \|g_i\|_{L^p(m)}^{\frac{\theta p}{1-\theta}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \right\|_{L^p(m)}^p. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the integration operator $I : L^p(m) \rightarrow X$ is (p, θ) -concave. \square

REMARK 2.4. *Our previous theorem generalizes [2, Theorem 2.3], where we proved that $I : L^p(m) \rightarrow X$ is p -concave if and only if there is a control measure ν of m such that $L^p(m) \hookrightarrow L^p(\nu) \hookrightarrow L^1(m)$. In this case, for each $0 \leq \theta < 1$ we have*

$$L^p(m) \hookrightarrow (L^p(\nu), L^p(m))_\theta \hookrightarrow L^p(\nu) \hookrightarrow L^1(m).$$

However, there are cases where $L^p(\nu) \not\hookrightarrow L^1(m)$ and $(L^p(\nu), L^p(m))_\theta \hookrightarrow L^1(m)$ for some Rybakov control measure ν of m , as in the following example.

EXAMPLE 2.5. *Let $\Omega := [0, 1]$ with the Lebesgue σ -algebra Σ and consider the vector measure $m : \Sigma \rightarrow L^2[0, 1]$ given by $m(A) := \chi_A$. Then the Lebesgue*

measure λ is a Rybakov control measure of m and the ‘identity’ mapping is an isometric isomorphism between $L^1(m)$ and $L^2[0, 1]$. Then:

- (i) $(L^{3/2}[0, 1], L^{3/2}(m))_{1/2} \hookrightarrow L^1(m)$.
- (ii) $L^{3/2}(\nu) \not\hookrightarrow L^1(m)$ for any Rybakov control measure ν of m .

PROOF. (i) Fix $v \in (L^{3/2}[0, 1], L^{3/2}(m))_{1/2}$ arbitrary. Take functions $f \in L^{3/2}[0, 1]$ and $g \in L^{3/2}(m) = L^3[0, 1]$ satisfying $|v| = |f|^{1/2}|g|^{1/2}$. Hölder’s inequality yields

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} |v|^2 d\lambda &= \int_{\Omega} |f||g| d\lambda \leq \\ &\leq \left(\int_{\Omega} |f|^{3/2} d\lambda \right)^{\frac{2}{3}} \left(\int_{\Omega} |g|^3 d\lambda \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} = \|f\|_{L^{3/2}[0,1]} \|g\|_{L^{3/2}(m)}, \end{aligned}$$

hence $v \in L^1(m) = L^2[0, 1]$ and $\|v\|_{L^1(m)} \leq \|v\|_{(L^{3/2}[0,1], L^{3/2}(m))_{1/2}}$.

(ii) Let ν be any Rybakov control measure ν of m . Then there is $h \in B_{L^2[0,1]}$ such that $\nu = |\langle m, h \rangle|$. Notice that $\langle m, h \rangle(A) = \langle m(A), h \rangle = \int_A h d\lambda$ for all $A \in \Sigma$, so $\nu = |h| d\lambda$. Take $A \in \Sigma$ with $\lambda(A) > 0$ such that h is bounded on A , that is, for some $b > 0$ we have $|h(t)| \leq b$ for all $t \in A$. The restrictions of λ and ν to the trace σ -algebra $\Sigma_A := \{A \cap E : E \in \Sigma\}$ on A are denoted by λ_A and ν_A , respectively. An easy computation shows that each $f \in L^{3/2}(\lambda_A)$ belongs to $L^{3/2}(\nu_A)$ and $\|f\|_{L^{3/2}(\nu_A)} \leq b^{2/3} \|f\|_{L^{3/2}(\lambda_A)}$. Now we argue by contradiction. Suppose that $L^{3/2}(\nu) \hookrightarrow L^1(m)$. Then there is $C > 0$ such that each $f \in L^{3/2}(\lambda_A)$ belongs to $L^2(\lambda_A)$ and $\|f\|_{L^2(\lambda_A)} \leq Cb^{2/3} \|f\|_{L^{3/2}(\lambda_A)}$. Hence the ‘identity’ mapping is an isomorphism between $L^{3/2}(\lambda_A)$ and $L^2(\lambda_A)$, a contradiction. \square

REMARK 2.6. *Actually the same proof of part (ii) gives*

- (ii)’ $L^{3/2}(\nu) \not\hookrightarrow L^1(m)$ for every control measure ν of m with $L^1(m) \hookrightarrow L^1(\nu)$.

Hence, the integration map $I : L^{3/2}(m) \rightarrow X$ is not 3/2-concave. However I must be $(3/2, 1/2)$ -concave (and in fact $(3/2, \theta)$ -concave for all $\theta \geq 1/2$).

The same kind of arguments can provide more examples in the setting of Lorentz spaces $L^{p,q}[0, 1]$.

DEFINITION 2.7. *Let $T : Z \rightarrow Y$ be an operator between Banach spaces.*

- (i) *T is called (p, θ) -absolutely continuous (where $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $0 \leq \theta < 1$) if there is a constant $K > 0$ such that*

$$(2.4) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n \|T(z_i)\|_Y^{\frac{p}{1-\theta}} \leq K \sup_{z' \in B_{Z'}} \sum_{i=1}^n |\langle z_i, z' \rangle|^p \|z_i\|_Z^{\frac{\theta p}{1-\theta}}$$

for every $z_1, \dots, z_n \in Z$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

- (ii) *If Z is a Banach lattice, then T is called positive (p, θ) -absolutely continuous if there is $K > 0$ such that (2.4) holds for every $z_1, \dots, z_n \in Z^+$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Notice that for $\theta = 0$ the notion of (positive) (p, θ) -absolutely continuous operator coincides with that of (positive) p -summing operator.

The following result is an extension of [2, Theorem 2.7].

THEOREM 2.8. *Let $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $0 \leq \theta < 1$. The following statements are equivalent:*

- (a) $I : L^1(m) \rightarrow X$ is positive (p, θ) -absolutely continuous.
- (b) $I : L^1(m) \rightarrow X$ is positive $\frac{p}{1-\theta}$ -summing.
- (c) $L^1(m)$ is order isomorphic to the L^1 space of a non-negative scalar measure.

PROOF. (b) \Leftrightarrow (c) follows from [2, Theorem 2.7].

(a) \Rightarrow (b). Let $K > 0$ be as in Definition 2.7. Fix $f_1, \dots, f_n \in L^1(m)^+$. For each $r_1, \dots, r_n \in B_{L^\infty(\mu)}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^n \left\| \int_{\Omega} f_i r_i dm \right\|_X^{\frac{p}{1-\theta}} &\leq K \sup_{h \in B_{L^1(m)'}} \sum_{i=1}^n \left| \int_{\Omega} f_i r_i h d\mu \right|^p \|f_i r_i\|_{L^1(m)}^{\frac{\theta p}{1-\theta}} \leq \\ &\leq K \sup_{h \in B_{L^1(m)'}} \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\int_{\Omega} f_i |h| d\mu \right)^p \|f_i\|_{L^1(m)}^{\frac{\theta p}{1-\theta}} \leq \\ &\stackrel{(*)}{\leq} K \sup_{h \in B_{L^1(m)'}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \left(\int_{\Omega} f_i |h| d\mu \right)^{\frac{p}{1-\theta}} \right)^{1-\theta} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \|f_i\|_{L^1(m)}^{\frac{p}{1-\theta}} \right)^{\theta}, \end{aligned}$$

where (*) follows from Hölder's inequality. Taking into account that

$$\|f_i\|_{L^1(m)} = \sup_{r \in B_{L^\infty(\mu)}} \left\| \int_{\Omega} f_i r dm \right\|_X,$$

cf. [10, (3.64)], we obtain

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \|f_i\|_{L^1(m)}^{\frac{p}{1-\theta}} \leq K \sup_{h \in B_{L^1(m)'}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \left(\int_{\Omega} f_i |h| d\mu \right)^{\frac{p}{1-\theta}} \right)^{1-\theta} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \|f_i\|_{L^1(m)}^{\frac{p}{1-\theta}} \right)^{\theta}$$

and therefore

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \|f_i\|_{L^1(m)}^{\frac{p}{1-\theta}} \leq C \sup_{h \in B_{L^1(m)'}} \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\int_{\Omega} f_i |h| d\mu \right)^{\frac{p}{1-\theta}},$$

where $C = K^{1/(1-\theta)}$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^n \left\| \int_{\Omega} f_i dm \right\|_X^{\frac{p}{1-\theta}} &\leq \sum_{i=1}^n \|f_i\|_{L^1(m)}^{\frac{p}{1-\theta}} \leq \\ &\leq C \sup_{h \in B_{L^1(m)'}} \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\int_{\Omega} f_i |h| d\mu \right)^{\frac{p}{1-\theta}} \leq C \sup_{h \in B_{L^1(m)'}} \sum_{i=1}^n \left| \int_{\Omega} f_i h d\mu \right|^{\frac{p}{1-\theta}}. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, the integration operator is positive $\frac{p}{1-\theta}$ -summing.

(b) \Rightarrow (a). Just bear in mind that for each $f \in L^1(m)$ and $h \in B_{L^1(m)}^+$, we have

$$|\langle f, h \rangle|^{\frac{p}{1-\theta}} = |\langle f, h \rangle|^p |\langle f, h \rangle|^{\frac{\theta p}{1-\theta}} \leq |\langle f, h \rangle|^p \|f\|_{L^1(m)}^{\frac{\theta p}{1-\theta}}.$$

The proof is over. \square

References

- [1] A.P. Calderón, *Intermediate spaces and interpolation, the complex method*, Studia Math. **24** (1965), 133–190.
- [2] J.M. Calabuig, J. Rodríguez, and E.A. Sánchez Pérez, *On the structure of L^1 of a vector measure via its integration operator*, Integr. Equ. Oper. Theory **64** (2009), no. 1, 21–33.
- [3] J. Diestel, H. Jarchow, and A. Tonge, *Absolutely summing operators*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 43, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995.
- [4] J. Diestel and J.J. Uhl, Jr., *Vector measures*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 1977, With a foreword by B. J. Pettis, Mathematical Surveys, No. 15.
- [5] A. Fernández, F. Mayoral, F. Naranjo, C. Sáez, and E. A. Sánchez-Pérez, *Spaces of p -integrable functions with respect to a vector measure*, Positivity **10** (2006), no. 1, 1–16.
- [6] J. Lindenstrauss and L. Tzafriri, *Classical Banach spaces. II Function spaces*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas], vol. 97, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1979.
- [7] J.A. López Molina and E.A. Sánchez Pérez, *On operator ideals related to (p, σ) -absolutely continuous operators*, Studia Math. **138** (2000), no. 1, 25–40.
- [8] G. Ya Lozanovskii, *On some Banach lattices*, Siberian Math. J. **10** (1969), no. 3, 584–599.
- [9] U. Matter, *Absolutely continuous operators and super-reflexivity*, Math. Nachr. **130** (1987), 193–216.
- [10] S. Okada, W.J. Ricker, and E.A. Sánchez Pérez, *Optimal domain and integral extension of operators. Acting in function spaces*, Operator Theory: Advances and Applications, vol. 180, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2008.
- [11] E.A. Sánchez-Pérez, *Asymptotic domination of operators on Köthe function spaces and convergence of sequences*, Math. Nachr. **279** (2006), no. 15, 1709–1722.

INSTITUTO UNIVERSITARIO DE MATEMÁTICA PURA Y APLICADA, UNIVERSIDAD POLITÉCNICA DE VALENCIA, CAMINO DE VERA S/N, 46022 VALENCIA, SPAIN

E-mail address: `jmcabu@mat.upv.es`

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA APLICADA, FACULTAD DE INFORMÁTICA, UNIVERSIDAD DE MURCIA, 30100 ESPINARDO (MURCIA), SPAIN

E-mail address: `joserr@um.es`

INSTITUTO UNIVERSITARIO DE MATEMÁTICA PURA Y APLICADA, UNIVERSIDAD POLITÉCNICA DE VALENCIA, CAMINO DE VERA S/N, 46022 VALENCIA, SPAIN

E-mail address: `easancpe@mat.upv.es`