

# Table of contents

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS .....	I
ABSTRACT .....	V
RESUMEN.....	VII
RESUM.....	XI
RESUME .....	XV
TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	XIX
INDEX OF FIGURES.....	XXV
INDEX OF TABLES .....	XXIX
GLOSSARY OF ABBREVIATIONS .....	XXXI
<b>CHAPTER 1 GENERAL INTRODUCTION .....</b>	<b>33</b>
1.1 WATER MANAGEMENT AND ADAPTATION TO GLOBAL CHANGE .....	33
1.2 AIM AND OBJECTIVES OF THE THESIS.....	37
1.3 METHOD AND ASSUMPTIONS .....	38
1.4 STRUCTURE OF THE THESIS.....	40
1.5 RESEARCH CONTEXT AND PUBLICATIONS.....	42
<b>CHAPTER 2 STATE-OF-THE-ART.....</b>	<b>45</b>
2.1 INTEGRATING TOP-DOWN AND BOTTOM-UP APPROACHES .....	45
2.1.1 Top-down approaches.....	45
2.1.2 Bottom-up approaches .....	46
2.1.3 Integrating top-down and bottom-up approaches.....	48
2.2 SELECTING COST-EFFECTIVE ADAPTATION MEASURES IN RIVER BASIN	50
2.2.1 Cost-effectiveness analysis in water resources management .	50
.....	
2.2.2 Integrated water resources management models .....	51

2.2.3	Selecting adaptation measures in a context of climate change uncertainty .....	53
2.3	ADDRESSING THE COST ALLOCATION ISSUE .....	55
2.3.1	Equity and cost allocation in water resources management .....	55
2.3.2	Insights from game theory .....	56
2.3.3	The social justice approach .....	59
2.4	RESEARCH GAP AND MOTIVATION.....	60
<b>CHAPTER 3</b>	<b>METHODS.....</b>	<b>63</b>
3.1	OVERVIEW OF THE GENERAL METHODOLOGICAL FRAMEWORK .....	63
3.2	TOP-DOWN IMPACT ASSESSMENT.....	65
3.2.1	Emission scenario and general climate models .....	65
3.2.2	Downscaling techniques .....	66
3.2.3	Hydrological modelling .....	66
3.3	BOTTOM-UP EVALUATION OF DEMAND SCENARIOS AND ADAPTATION MEASURES.....	67
3.3.1	The participatory process .....	68
3.3.2	Defining future agricultural demand scenario .....	68
3.3.3	Defining future urban demand scenario .....	69
3.3.4	Selecting adaptation measures .....	70
3.3.5	Economic and technical assessment of measures .....	71
3.4	INTEGRATING TOP-DOWN AND BOTTOM-UP APPROACHES .....	71
3.4.1	Least-Cost River Basin Optimization Model.....	71
3.4.2	Scenario analysis through LCRBOM.....	73
3.4.3	Performance indicator .....	74
3.5	CLIMATE CHECK .....	75
3.5.1	Performance matrix .....	76
3.5.2	Regret matrix .....	77
3.5.3	Preferences and weights for ranking .....	77
3.6	ADDRESSING THE COST ALLOCATION ISSUE .....	78
3.6.1	Two approaches to define cost allocation scenarios .....	79

3.6.2	Cooperative game theory .....	79
3.6.3	Social justice approach.....	83
<b>CHAPTER 4</b>	<b>CASE STUDY: THE ORB RIVER BASIN.....</b>	<b>87</b>
4.1	HYDROLOGY OF THE ORB RIVER BASIN .....	88
4.2	WATER MANAGEMENT INFRASTRUCTURE .....	90
4.2.1	The Monts d'Orb reservoir.....	90
4.2.2	The BRL Company and the regional infrastructure .....	92
4.2.3	The Montahut hydropower plant.....	93
4.3	CONSUMPTIVE USES (CURRENT SITUATION).....	94
4.3.1	The urban water sector.....	95
4.3.2	The irrigated agricultural sector .....	97
4.4	ENVIRONMENTAL FEATURES .....	99
4.5	LOCAL PLANNING AND MANAGEMENT CONTEXT .....	101
4.5.1	Planning process .....	101
4.5.2	Quantitative water management.....	102
4.5.3	Adaptation strategy to climate change .....	103
4.6	FINAL COMMENTS ON THE CASE STUDY .....	104
<b>CHAPTER 5</b>	<b>IMPLEMENTING THE GENERAL FRAMEWORK.....</b>	<b>105</b>
5.1	RESULTS FROM THE TOP-DOWN IMPACT ASSESSMENT.....	105
5.1.1	Future climate projections .....	105
5.1.2	Calibration and validation of the hydrological model .....	108
5.1.3	Future hydrological scenarios.....	111
5.2	RESULTS FROM THE BOTTOM-UP APPROACH.....	114
5.2.1	Stakeholder involvement .....	114
5.2.2	Future agricultural demand scenarios .....	117
5.2.3	Future urban demand scenario .....	121
5.2.4	Adaptation measures.....	122
5.3	DEVELOPING A LEAST-COST RIVER BASIN OPTIMIZATION MODEL .....	126
5.3.1	Characteristics of the model .....	126

5.3.2	Environmental flow requirements .....	127
5.3.3	Infrastructure management .....	127
5.3.4	Spatial representation: connectivity matrices .....	128
5.4	REMARKS ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE FRAMEWORK .....	128
<b>CHAPTER 6</b>	<b>SELECTING COST-EFFECTIVE ADAPTATION MEASURES UNDER GLOBAL CHANGE UNCERTAINTIES</b> .....	<b>131</b>
6.1	COST-EFFECTIVENESS ANALYSIS OF THE MEASURES.....	131
6.1.1	Index-based cost-effectiveness analysis.....	131
6.1.2	Limits of the index-based cost-effectiveness analysis.....	134
6.2	SCENARIO ANALYSIS .....	135
6.2.1	Baseline scenario .....	135
6.2.2	Business-as-usual scenario.....	136
6.2.3	Least-cost programme of adaptation measures .....	137
6.3	TRADE-OFF ANALYSIS.....	139
6.3.1	Variations in agricultural demand at the basin scale .....	139
6.3.2	Trade-offs between environmental flows and cost of adaptation .....	143
6.3.3	Trade-offs between environmental flow, adaptation cost and agricultural demand. ....	144
6.4	CLIMATE CHECK .....	145
6.4.1	Considering different climate projections .....	145
6.4.2	Assessing the performance matrix .....	148
6.4.3	Regret matrix .....	152
6.4.4	Analysis of preferences .....	152
6.5	FINAL COMMENTS ON THE SELECTION OF COST-EFFECTIVE ADAPTATION MEASURES.....	153

<b>CHAPTER 7</b>	<b>ADDRESSING THE COST ALLOCATION ISSUE.....</b>	<b>155</b>
7.1	APPLYING THE GAME THEORY APPROACH .....	156
7.1.1	Representation of the Orb River basin .....	156
7.1.2	The characteristic function.....	158
7.1.3	The core of the game .....	159
7.1.4	Solution concepts .....	160
7.2	APPLYING THE SOCIAL JUSTICE APPROACH.....	161
7.2.1	Defining cost allocation scenarios in practice.....	161
7.2.2	Field survey .....	163
7.2.3	Cost allocation scenarios following social justice principles	166
7.3	COMPARING COST ALLOCATION SCENARIOS.....	168
<b>CHAPTER 8</b>	<b>DISCUSSION .....</b>	<b>173</b>
8.1	KEY FINDINGS AND POLICY RECOMMENDATIONS.....	173
8.2	FEEDBACK ON STAKEHOLDER INVOLVEMENT.....	176
8.3	INSIGHTS FROM THE INTERDISCIPLINARY APPROACH .....	179
8.4	LIMITATIONS OF THE METHODS.....	181
8.4.1	On uncertainties in top-down and bottom-up approaches .	181
8.4.2	On the least-cost optimization model .....	184
8.4.3	On the cost allocation .....	186
8.4.4	On the overall framework .....	187
<b>CHAPTER 9</b>	<b>SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS.....</b>	<b>189</b>
9.1	SUMMARY.....	189
9.2	CONCLUSION.....	190
9.3	FUTURE RESEARCH.....	191
<b>REFERENCES .....</b>		<b>195</b>
<b>APPENDIX A DISSEMINATION.....</b>		<b>217</b>
<b>APPENDIX B DOWNSCALING .....</b>		<b>219</b>

<b>APPENDIX C HYDROLOGY .....</b>	<b>223</b>
<b>APPENDIX D AGRICULTURAL DEMAND .....</b>	<b>245</b>
<b>APPENDIX E URBAN DEMAND .....</b>	<b>259</b>
<b>APPENDIX F ADAPTATION MEASURES.....</b>	<b>263</b>
<b>APPENDIX G ENVIRONMENTAL FLOW .....</b>	<b>269</b>
<b>APPENDIX H LEAST-COST RIVER BASIN OPTIMIZATION MODEL ....</b>	<b>273</b>
<b>APPENDIX I COMPARISON IBCEA VS. LCRBOM .....</b>	<b>285</b>
<b>APPENDIX J COST ALLOCATION SCENARIOS.....</b>	<b>295</b>
<b>APPENDIX K PUBLICATION LICENSE AGREEMENTS.....</b>	<b>301</b>
<b>APPENDIX L CHAPITRES TRADUITS EN FRANÇAIS .....</b>	<b>305</b>