Contents

Abstract v

Acknowledgements iii

List of Figures xi

Abbreviations and Acronyms xv

1 Introduction 1

1.1 Motivation 2

1.2 Objectives 7

1.2.1 Problem formulation and solution specification 9

1.3 Scientific Contributions 12

1.3.1 Scientific Publications 12

1.3.1.1 Selected Papers 12

1.3.1.2 Other Publications 14

1.3.2 Research Projects 14

1.4 Document Structure 15

2 Selected Papers 17

2.1 Summary of the Selected Papers 17

2.2 Selected Paper 1: Defeasible Planning through Multi-Agent Argumentation (CIMA-ICTAI 2010 and its extension in a Book) 22
2.2.1 Introduction 22
2.2.2 Background 23
2.2.2.1 Defeasible Logic 23
2.2.2.2 Partial-Order Planning 26
2.2.3 Argumentation in POP 28
2.2.4 Defeasible argumentation in a multi-agent system 31
2.2.4.1 DefPlanner Algorithm 33
2.2.4.2 Defeasible Argumentation Multi-Agent Process 34
2.2.5 Example of application 37
2.2.5.1 Searching for a Solution Plan 40
2.2.6 Conclusions and related work 45
2.3 Selected Paper 2: An architecture for Defeasible-Reasoning-based Cooperative Distributed Planning (CoopIS 2011) 47
2.3.1 Introduction 47
2.3.2 Related Work 49
2.3.3 Background 50
2.3.4 Elements of the MAPA Architecture 52
2.3.4.1 The Agents' Planning Model and Arguments 52
2.3.4.2 The Qualification Problem and Plan Definition 54
2.3.4.3 Interferences among Actions and Arguments 56
2.3.5 Cooperative Distributed Planning Protocol in the MAPA Architecture 57
2.3.5.1 Plan Generation 58
2.3.5.2 Plan Evaluation 59
2.3.5.3 Plan Selection 61

2.3.6 Evaluating the MAPA architecture within the context of a transit journey planning service 63
2.3.6.1 Preliminaries 65
2.3.6.2 Implementation 68
2.3.7 Conclusions and Future work 70
2.4 Selected paper 3: Multiagent Argumentation for Cooperative Planning in DeLP-POP (AAMAS 2011) and its extension in (ArgMAS 2012) 71
2.4.1 Introduction 71
2.4.2 Preliminaries 73
2.4.2.1 DeLP: Defeasible Logic Programming 73
2.4.2.2 DeLP-POP: A DeLP extension for POP planning . . . 75
2.4.2.3 A DeLP-POP extension for the qualification problem . 77
2.4.3 Argumentative Dialogues on Multi-agent Plans 79
2.4.4 Argumentative-Dialogues-based Multi-Agent Planning 83
2.4.4.1 Argumentative Plan Evaluation 83
2.4.4.2 Dialogue-based A^* plan search 84
2.4.5 A scenario of validation 87
2.4.6 Related Work 92
2.4.7 Conclusions and Future Work 92
2.5 Selected Paper 4: Defeasible Argumentation for Multi-Agent Planning in Ambient Intelligence Applications (AAMAS 2012) 94
2.5.1 Introduction 94
2.5.2 Components of the system 97
2.5.2.1 Ambient Agents 97
2.5.2.2 Context information 98
2.5.2.3 Planning task 99
2.5.2.4 Arguments versus Actions 100

2.5.2.5 Plans 100
2.5.3 Multi-Agent Planning Protocol 101
2.5.3.1 Overview of the Application Scenario 104
2.5.3.2 Plan proposals process 105
2.5.3.3 Plan evaluation process 107
2.5.3.4 Plan selection process 110
2.5.4 Experimental Evaluation 111
2.5.5 Conclusions and Future Work 113
2.6 Selected Paper 5: Context-Aware Multi-Agent Planning in Intelligent Environments (INS Journal 2013) 116
2.6.1 Introduction 116
2.6.2 Related Work 118
2.6.2.1 Contributions of our model 122
2.6.3 Background 122
2.6.4 Definition of Components of the Context-Aware System 124
2.6.4.1 Ambient Agents and Ambient Artifacts 124
2.6.4.2 Context-Aware Information 124
2.6.4.3 Planning Tasks 125
2.6.4.4 Arguments versus Actions 126
2.6.4.5 Plans 128
2.6.5 Overview of the Ambient Intelligence Application Scenario . . . 131
2.6.5.1 Modeling the Health-Scenario with a Planning Language 132
2.6.6 Context-Aware Multi-Agent Planning Protocol 138
2.6.6.1 Plan Proposal Process 139
2.6.6.2 Plan Evaluation Process 142
2.6.6.3 Plan and Goal Selection Process 145
2.6.7 Experimental Evaluation 146

2.6.7.1 Experimental Settings 146
2.6.7.2 Experimental Results 147
2.6.7.3 Discussion 152
2.6.8 Conclusions and Future Work 153
2.7 Selected Paper 6: Argumentation-based Planning (Submitted AIJ 2015) . 155
2.7.1 Introduction 155
2.7.2 Preliminary notions 158
2.7.2.1 DeLP: a framework for defeasible argumentation . . . 159
2.7.2.2 POP: Partial Order Planning 162
2.7.2.3 DeLP-POP: A first extension of POP with DeLP . . . 163
2.7.3 Components of Q-DeLP-POP 164
2.7.3.1 Action-Argument Steps 166
2.7.3.2 Conflicting situations 168
2.7.3.3 Activation of Attacking Arguments 174
2.7.4 Cooperative Planning Protocol 177
2.7.4.1 Multi-Agent Planning Task 177
2.7.4.2 Multi-Agent Search Protocol 179
2.7.5 Experimental evaluation 183
2.7.5.1 Performance analysis 187
2.7.5.2 Quality of the solution plans 191
2.7.6 Conclusions and Future Work 193

3 General discussion of the results 195

3.1 Theoretical Model 195
3.2 Language of Planning and Argumentation 197
3.3 Framework for cooperative distributed planning 199
3.4 Empirical Evaluation 200

4 Conclusions **203**

References **207**