

## **Table of contents**

Acknowledgments	I
Abbreviations	III
Summary	V
Resumen	VII
Resum	IX
Table of contents	XI
List of figures	XVII
List of tables	XIX

## **CHAPTER I**

<b>1. Introduction</b>	<b>3</b>
1.1 Conceptual framework	4
1.1.1 Environmental impact assessment	4
1.1.2 Social impact assessment	5
1.1.3 Environmental conflict	5
1.2 Legal framework	7
1.2.1 Peruvian law	7
1.2.2 Spanish law	8
1.3 Objectives of the thesis	9
1.4 Organization of the thesis	9
References	10

## **CHAPTER II**

<b>2. State of the art</b>	<b>17</b>
2.1 Introduction	17
2.2 The Delphi method	18
2.2.1 Procedure for the Delphi method	18
2.2.2 Summary of the Delphi method	20
2.3 The AHP method	21
2.3.1 Procedure for the AHP method	22
2.3.2 Summary of the AHP method	34
2.4 The FAHP method	34
2.4.1 Procedure for the FAHP method	36

2.4.2 Summary of the FAHP method	43
2.5 The grey clustering method	44
2.5.1 Procedure for the grey clustering method	45
2.5.2 Summary of the grey clustering method	49
2.6 The entropy-weight method	50
2.6.1 Procedure for the entropy-weight method	51
2.6.2 Summary of the entropy-weight method	55
References	56
<b>CHAPTER III</b>	<b>63</b>
<b>3. Formulation of the proposed method</b>	<b>65</b>
3.1 Introduction	65
3.2 Initial study to explore a method for SIA	65
Paper 1: Selection of a method for SIA using AHP	66
3.2.1 Introduction	67
3.2.2 Methodology	69
3.2.3 AHP on the selection of a method for SIA	73
3.2.3.1 Alternatives	73
3.2.3.2 Criteria of selection	75
3.2.3.3 Matrix and index of consistency	76
3.2.3.4 Ranking of the alternatives	78
3.2.4 Results and discussion	80
3.2.5 Conclusions	82
References	83
3.3 Formulation of the method for SIA and ECA	87
3.3.1 SIA using the grey clustering method based on CTWF	87
3.3.2 ECA using the entropy-weight method	89
3.3.3 Integrating SIA and ECA using the grey clustering and entropy-weight methods	90
Step 1: Criteria and grey classes	91
Step 2: CTWF and the comprehensive clustering coefficient	91
Step 3: Percentage system	91

Step 4: Entropy-weight method	92
Step 5: Objective assessment	92
3.3.4 Schema of the proposed method	93
3.3.5 Advantages and limitations of the proposed method	95
References	97
<b>CHAPTER IV</b>	<b>101</b>
<b>4. Case study in Peru</b>	<b>103</b>
<b>Paper 2: Environmental conflict analysis using an integrated grey clustering and entropy-weight method: A case study of a mining project in Peru</b>	<b>103</b>
4.1 Introduction	104
4.2 Literature review	107
4.3 Method	113
4.3.1 Grey clustering method based on CTWF	113
4.3.2 Entropy-weight method	115
4.3.3 Integration of the grey clustering and entropy-weight methods	118
4.4 Case study	121
4.4.1 Stakeholder Groups	122
4.4.2 Calculations	123
Step 1: Criteria and grey classes	124
Step 2: CTWF and the comprehensive clustering coefficient	125
Step 3: Percentage system	129
Step 4: Entropy-weight method	131
Step 5: Objective assessment	131
4.5 Results and Discussion	132
4.5.1 The case study	132
4.4.1.1 Sensitivity Analysis	135
4.4.1.2 Analysis of diverging criteria	136
4.4.2 The broader potential of the IGCEW method	141
4.6 Conclusions	142
References	143

<b>CHAPTER V</b>	151
<b>5. Case study in Spain</b>	153
<b>Paper 3: Integrating social impact assessment and environmental conflict analysis on a hydrocarbon exploration project in the gulf of Valencia, Spain.</b>	153
5.1. Introduction	154
5.2. Methodology	156
5.2.1. SIA using the GC method	157
5.2.2. ECA using the EW method	160
5.2.3. Integration of SIA and ECA using the IGCEW method	162
5.3. Case study	165
5.3.1. Stakeholder Groups	166
5.3.2. Calculations using the integrated method	169
Step 1: Criteria and grey classes	169
Step 2: CTWF and the comprehensive clustering coefficient	171
Step 3: Percentage system	175
Step 4: Entropy-weight method	176
Step 5: Objective assessment	177
5.4. Results and Discussion	177
5.4.1 The potential of the integrated method to integrate SIA and ECA	177
5.4.2 The case study	179
5.4.2.1 Analysis of findings from calculations	179
5.4.2.2 Analysis of diverging criteria	182
5.5. Conclusions	185
References	186
<b>CHAPTER VI</b>	193
<b>6. General discussion and conclusions</b>	195
6.1 Discussion of the thesis	195
6.1.1 Discussion on the proposed integrated method	195
6.1.2 Discussion on the application to a project in Peru	197
6.1.3 Discussion on the application to a project in Spain	198

6.1.4 Discussion on the potential to be applied in other contexts	199
6.2 Conclusions of the thesis	199
6.3 Contributions and limitations of the thesis	200
6.4 Future research lines	201
<b>References</b>	202
<b>Annexes</b>	203
Annexe 1: Questionnaire used in paper 1	205
Annexe 2: Questionnaire used in paper 2	206
Annexe 3: Questionnaire used in paper 3	207
Annexe 4: Images from stakeholder groups of case study in Peru	208
Annexe 5: Images from stakeholder groups of case study in Spain	211
Annexe 6: Products derived of the thesis	215