

---

# CONTENTS

---

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1	Motivation for the thesis . . . . .	2
1.2	Statement of the problem . . . . .	7
1.3	Thesis objectives . . . . .	7
1.4	Summary of contributions . . . . .	9
1.5	Outline of the thesis . . . . .	12
<b>2</b>	<b>Background</b>	<b>15</b>
2.1	Scheduling . . . . .	16
2.2	Classification of scheduling problems . . . . .	17
2.2.1	Single-machine problems . . . . .	17
2.2.2	Single-stage multi-machine problems . . . . .	18
2.2.3	Multi-stage multi-machine problems . . . . .	19
2.3	Assembly scheduling problems . . . . .	21
2.4	Distributed manufacturing systems . . . . .	23
2.5	Optimization . . . . .	24
2.6	Solution methods . . . . .	25
2.6.1	Exact solution procedures . . . . .	25
2.6.2	Heuristics . . . . .	26
2.6.3	Metaheuristics . . . . .	27
2.7	Conclusions . . . . .	29

---

<b>3</b>	<b>The Distributed Assembly Permutation Flowshop Scheduling Problem</b>	<b>31</b>
3.1	Introduction . . . . .	32
3.2	Literature review . . . . .	34
3.3	Mixed Integer Linear Programming model . . . . .	36
3.4	Heuristic methods . . . . .	39
3.4.1	Heuristic 1 . . . . .	40
3.4.2	Heuristic 2 . . . . .	43
3.4.3	Heuristic 3 . . . . .	44
3.5	Variable Neighborhood Descent (VND) . . . . .	44
3.5.1	Solution representation and VND initialization . . . . .	45
3.5.2	Neighborhoods and acceptance criterion . . . . .	46
3.6	Computational evaluation . . . . .	47
3.6.1	MILP model evaluation . . . . .	49
3.6.2	Heuristics evaluation . . . . .	52
3.6.3	Heuristics evaluation on large instances . . . . .	57
3.7	Conclusions of this chapter . . . . .	60
<b>4</b>	<b>Distributed Assembly Permutation Flowshop Scheduling Problem with Sequence Dependent Setup Times</b>	<b>63</b>
4.1	Introduction . . . . .	64
4.2	Literature review . . . . .	68
4.3	Simple constructive heuristic methods . . . . .	70
4.3.1	Heuristic 1 . . . . .	72
4.3.2	Heuristic 2 . . . . .	75
4.4	A simple Variable Neighborhood Search . . . . .	78
4.4.1	Solution representation . . . . .	79
4.4.2	Pr1 neighborhoods . . . . .	80
4.4.3	Pr2 neighborhoods . . . . .	80
4.5	Iterated Greedy algorithm . . . . .	80
4.5.1	Destruction, reconstruction and local search for Pr1 . . . . .	82

## CONTENTS

---

4.5.2	Destruction, reconstruction and local search for Pr2 . . .	83
4.5.3	Acceptance criteria . . . . .	83
4.6	Calibration of the proposed VND and IG methods . . . . .	85
4.6.1	VND calibration . . . . .	88
4.6.2	Experimental parameter tuning of the IG . . . . .	90
4.7	Computational evaluation . . . . .	94
4.8	Conclusions of the chapter . . . . .	98
<b>5</b>	<b>The Distributed Parallel Machine and Assembly Scheduling Problem with Eligibility Constraints</b>	<b>101</b>
5.1	Introduction . . . . .	102
5.2	Literature review . . . . .	105
5.3	Mixed Integer Linear Programming model . . . . .	107
5.4	Constructive heuristic methods . . . . .	110
5.4.1	Heuristics $PJ_1$ and $PJ_2$ . . . . .	112
5.4.2	Heuristic application example . . . . .	114
5.5	Computational evaluation . . . . .	115
5.5.1	MILP model evaluation . . . . .	116
5.5.2	Heuristics evaluation . . . . .	118
5.6	Conclusions of the chapter . . . . .	122
<b>6</b>	<b>Heuristics for a Distributed Parallel Machine and Assembly Scheduling Problem with Eligibility Constraints</b>	<b>125</b>
6.1	Introduction . . . . .	126
6.2	Mixed Integer Linear Programming model . . . . .	129
6.3	Constructive heuristics . . . . .	132
6.3.1	Product sequence construction . . . . .	134
6.3.2	Job to machine-factory sequence for products construction . . . . .	134
6.3.3	Heuristic $H_1$ . . . . .	136
6.3.4	Heuristic $H_2$ . . . . .	137

---

6.3.5	Heuristic $H_3$ . . . . .	139
6.3.6	Heuristic $H_4$ . . . . .	140
6.4	Computational evaluation . . . . .	140
6.4.1	MILP model evaluation . . . . .	141
6.4.2	Heuristics evaluation . . . . .	143
6.5	Conclusions of this chapter . . . . .	147
<b>7</b>	<b>General discussion of the results</b>	<b>151</b>
7.1	The Distributed Assembly Permutation Flowshop Scheduling Problem . . . . .	152
7.2	The Distributed Assembly Permutation Flowshop Scheduling Problem with Sequence Dependent Setup Times . . . . .	156
7.3	The Distributed Parallel Machine and Assembly Scheduling Problem with eligibility constraints . . . . .	160
7.4	Heuristics for a Distributed Parallel Machine and Assembly Scheduling Problem with Eligibility Constraints . . . . .	162
<b>8</b>	<b>Conclusions and future research</b>	<b>165</b>
8.1	Results . . . . .	165
8.2	Future work . . . . .	170
	<b>Bibliography</b>	<b>173</b>

---

## LIST OF FIGURES

---

1.1	A schematic diagram of DAPFSP. . . . .	9
1.2	A schematic diagram of DAPFSP-SDST. . . . .	10
1.3	A schematic diagram of DPMASP. . . . .	11
3.1	Gantt chart of $H_{11}$ for the example. . . . .	43
3.2	Decision tree for the MILP model evaluation. . . . .	53
3.3	Means plot and 99% confidence level Tukey's HSD intervals for simple constructive heuristic methods and small instances. . . . .	56
3.4	Means plot and 99% confidence level Tukey's HSD intervals for VND methods and small instances. . . . .	56
3.5	Means plot and 99% confidence level Tukey's HSD intervals for algorithms and large instances. . . . .	59
3.6	Means plot and 99% confidence level Tukey's HSD intervals for interaction between algorithms and number of factories $f$ and large instances. . . . .	59
4.1	Gantt chart with the result of $CH_{11}$ for the example problem. . . . .	76
4.2	Flowchart of Heuristic 1. . . . .	77
4.3	Flowchart of Heuristic 2. . . . .	78
4.4	Flowchart of VND (left) and IG (right). . . . .	86

4.5	Means plot and 99% confidence level Tukey's HSD intervals for the type of solution presentation $Pr$ , job assignment rules $NR$ , and initial solutions $INI$ for the proposed VND methods.	89
4.6	Means plot and 99% confidence level Tukey's HSD intervals for the temperature $T$ parameter and the interaction between the temperature $T$ parameter and acceptance criterion ( $AC$ ) for the first calibration experiment for the proposed Iterated Greedy methods. . . . .	91
4.7	Means plot and 99% confidence level Tukey's HSD intervals for the interaction between the solution representation ( $Pr$ ) and the job to factory assignment rule ( $NR$ ) factors in the second calibration experiment for the proposed Iterated Greedy methods.	93
4.8	Means plot and 99% confidence level Tukey's HSD intervals for the type of Iterated Greedy method in the final test experiments. . . . .	99
5.1	Means plot with the interaction between the distribution of the assembly processing times and the tested methods for instances $GB$ . All means have Tukey's Honest Significant Difference (HSD) 95% confidence intervals. . . . .	122
5.2	Means plot for the two heuristics in large instances ( $GC$ ). All means have Tukey's Honest Significant Difference (HSD) 95% confidence intervals. . . . .	123
6.1	Gantt chart of $H_1$ for the example. . . . .	138
6.2	Means plot with the interaction between the distribution of the assembly processing times and the tested methods for instance set $G_B$ . All means have Tukey's Honest Significant Difference (HSD) 99% confidence intervals. . . . .	147
6.3	Means plot and 99% confidence level Tukey's HSD intervals for the heuristics in the large instance set $GC$ . . . . .	148

---

## LIST OF TABLES

---

3.1	indexes, parameters and variables used in MILP mathematical model. . . . .	37
3.2	Processing times of the jobs and assembly processing times of the products for the example. . . . .	42
3.3	Job completion times on the last machine of production stage, products partial job sequence and earliest start time for assembling each product for the example. . . . .	45
3.4	Instance and test factors for the small instances. . . . .	48
3.5	Instance factors for the large instances. . . . .	48
3.6	Performance results for solvers, threads and time limit for the small instances. . . . .	50
3.7	Relative Percentage Deviation (RPD) of MILP and proposed algorithms over the best known solution for the small instances. . . . .	54
3.8	Relative Percentage Deviation (RPD) and CPU times of proposed algorithms for the large instances. . . . .	57
4.1	Job and product assembly times for the example. . . . .	71
4.2	Assembly stage setup time matrix for the example. . . . .	71
4.3	Production stage setup time matrix for the example. . . . .	72

4.4	Average Relative Percentage Deviation (RPD) over the best known solution, grouped by instance characteristics of the proposed constructive heuristics. . . . .	95
4.5	Average Relative Percentage Deviation (RPD) over the best known solution, grouped by instance characteristics and average CPU times of the proposed algorithms. Bold values indicate the best obtained average relative percentage deviations.	97
5.1	Job processing times and factory eligibility constraints for the example. . . . .	111
5.2	Instance and factors for proposed instances. . . . .	116
5.3	Performance results for solvers and time limit for instance sets of $GA_{50}$ , $GA_{100}$ , $GB_{50}$ and $GB_{100}$ . . . . .	117
5.4	Average Relative Percentage Deviation (RPD) of CPLEX, GUROBI and the proposed heuristics for instance sets $GA_{50}$ and $GA_{100}$ . . . . .	119
5.5	Average Relative Percentage Deviation (RPD) of CPLEX, GUROBI and the proposed heuristics for instance sets $GB_{50}$ and $GB_{100}$ . . . . .	120
5.6	Average Relative Percentage Deviation (RPD) of the proposed heuristics for instance sets $GC_{50}$ and $GC_{100}$ . . . . .	121
5.7	Heuristic's CPU time (in seconds) for all instance groups. . . . .	121
6.1	indices, parameters and variables used in MILP mathematical model. . . . .	129
6.2	Job processing times and factory eligibility constraints for the example. . . . .	133
6.3	Results of the MILP solution for the tested solvers and time limits for instance sets of $GA_{50}$ , $GA_{100}$ , $GB_{50}$ and $GB_{100}$ . . . . .	143



## List of Tables

---

6.4	Average Relative Percentage Deviation ( <i>RPD</i> ) of CPLEX (CP), GUROBI (GU) and the proposed heuristics for instance sets $GA_{50}$ and $GA_{100}$ . . . . .	144
6.5	Average Relative Percentage Deviation ( <i>RPD</i> ) of CPLEX (CP), GUROBI (GU) and the proposed heuristics for instance sets $GB_{50}$ and $GB_{100}$ . . . . .	145
6.6	Average Relative Percentage Deviation ( <i>RPD</i> ) of the proposed heuristics for instance sets $GC_{50}$ and $GC_{100}$ . . . . .	145
6.7	Heuristic's CPU time (in seconds) for all instance groups. . . . .	146



---

## LIST OF ALGORITHMS

---

1	Outline of the $H_{11}/H_{12}$ heuristic. . . . .	41
2	Outline of the $H_{21}/H_{22}$ heuristic. . . . .	44
3	Product Local Search, $LS_P$ . . . . .	47
4	Job Local Search, $LS_J$ . . . . .	47
5	Destruction_Pr1( $d$ ) . . . . .	82
6	Outline of the $PJ_1$ heuristic. . . . .	113