

Document downloaded from:

<http://hdl.handle.net/10251/64123>

This paper must be cited as:

Garrido-Villén, N.; Antón Merino, A.; Berné Valero, JL.; Mata, R.; Raboso García-Baquero, D. (2015). Atmospheric Attenuation and Scintillation Effects on the Range of EDM Instruments. *Journal of Surveying Engineering*. 141(3):05015/01-05015/06.
doi:10.1061/(ASCE)SU.1943-5428.0000142.



The final publication is available at

[http://dx.doi.org/10.1061/\(ASCE\)SU.1943-5428.0000142](http://dx.doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)SU.1943-5428.0000142)

Copyright American Society of Civil Engineers

Additional Information

25 Keywords: EDM range, Atmospheric turbulence, Free-space optics, Atmospheric attenuation.

26 **Introduction**

27 Over the past 50 years, distance measurement technologies have greatly advanced. The surveying tape was
28 replaced by EDM for long distances, and subsequent improvements in range, accuracy, mass reduction and
29 speed of operation of this technology eventually enabled the EDM to be integrated into electronic theodolites
30 resulting in compact total stations. These total stations, which continued to require retroprisms for distance
31 measurements solved many logistical issues in surveying and lightened the workload of surveyors (Lambrou and
32 Pantazis 2010).

33 Due to the introduction of GNSS techniques, the use of EDM instruments in survey engineering has decreased in
34 recent years. However, in many cases where GNSS cannot be used because a clear window to the sky is needed
35 for reliable measurements or because it takes too much time to achieve the required accuracy, EDM technology
36 play a vital role in filling this gap. Nowadays, EDMs are universally used to measure large engineering
37 structures such as dams and tunnels, and they still play a key role in establishing position in land surveying in
38 cases where the Global Navigation Satellite System (GNSS) is unable to be used.

39 Studies regarding the accuracy of the EDM have mainly focused on baseline calibrations. However, little
40 attention has been paid to the comparison of the range of the EDM, in cases where every parameter involved in
41 the measurement is controlled (Burnside 1991).

42 Essentially, research on laser propagation through the atmosphere involves studying the interaction of the
43 atmospheric medium and laser radiation, i.e., scattering and absorption of radiation by the medium, as well as
44 studying how the laser changes its own properties owing to atmospheric effects (Zhengfang 1997).

45 The aim of this paper was to study the range of different EDMs and the relationship between range and
46 atmospheric attenuation and scintillation, calculated using a specific methodology under controlled conditions.

47 **Theoretical Background**

48 Knowledge of laser beam propagation in the atmosphere is required for many purposes such as optical
49 communication, earth resource remote sensing, laser range finding or EDM measuring, as in the case under
50 study.

51 The transmission of electromagnetic waves through the atmosphere is governed by attenuation due to both
52 scattering and absorption by all the atmospheric species present in the path of propagation (Salman 2009) and by
53 atmospheric scintillation.

54 ***Atmospheric absorption***

55 Absorption results from the loss of a part of the power transmitted as a consequence of energy collection by
56 molecules and particles in the atmosphere. Fundamentally, it is water vapor and decreased carbon dioxide and
57 oxygen molecules that most contribute to this phenomenon, although with the wavelengths used in this work, the
58 absorption effect of the air is irrelevant (Rephaeli *et al*, 2013).

59 ***Atmospheric scattering***

60 Atmospheric scattering is produced by the interaction of photons with atoms and molecules in the propagation
61 medium. The scattering causes an angular redistribution of radiation, with or without modification of the
62 wavelength.

63 Atmospheric scattering shows greater variability than absorption. In this case two similar atmospheric
64 phenomena, which act in different ways to produce distinct scattering effects, can be distinguished: molecular
65 and aerosol scattering. These two scattering effects are usually associated with mist and haze.

66 In the case of molecular scattering (misty conditions) scattering from the gas molecules in the atmosphere
67 (Rayleigh scattering) contributes to the total attenuation of the electromagnetic radiation.

68 Molecular scattering is negligible at infrared wavelengths, and Rayleigh scattering mainly affects wavelengths
69 ranging from the ultraviolet to the visible spectrum. This type of scattering is responsible for the blue color of the
70 clear sky.

71 Aerosol scattering (Mie scattering) occurs when the particle size is the same order of magnitude as the
72 wavelength of incident light.

73 The concentration, composition and size distribution of aerosols vary temporally and spatially; therefore, it is
74 difficult to predict the attenuation caused by aerosols. Although the concentration is closely related to the optical
75 visibility, there is not a unique distribution of particle sizes for a given visibility.

76 ***Atmospheric scintillation***

77 The propagation of electromagnetic waves through the atmosphere is severely affected by random fluctuations
78 and discontinuities in the refractive index of air, i.e., resulting in optical turbulence (Sivaslilgil et al. 2013).

79 As a result of the fluctuating nature of the refractive index in a turbulent medium any laser beam that propagates
80 through the atmosphere experiences deflections. These displacements are always perpendicular to the initial
81 unperturbed direction of propagation, and arise from the beam phase fluctuations. This phenomenon is

82 commonly known as laser beam wandering because of the dancing the beam performs over a screen (Perez and
83 Funes 2012).

84 Atmospheric scintillation is the result of thermal turbulence within the propagation medium, and is produced by
85 a random distribution of air cells, which vary in size (10 cm - 1 km). The amplitude and frequency of
86 atmospheric scintillation depend on the size of the cells compared to the diameter of the beam. Figure 1
87 schematically shows the variations in amplitude and frequency of the signal received, as well as the deflection
88 and widening of the beam. A mixture of these heterogeneities causes atmospheric scintillation.

89 Figure 1. Effect of heterogeneities of different sizes in the propagation of a laser beam (atmospheric scintillation)

90 **Checking Methodology**

91 The range of an EDM system depends mainly on the emission power of the EDM, the EDM detector sensitivity,
92 the reflector used, the beam's divergence and the transmission medium of the electromagnetic radiation. The
93 reflector used in our tests was a total reflection prism. Since its influence has not been studied; the same reflector
94 has been used throughout all the experiments carried out, so it is considered constant. The emission power, beam
95 divergence and detector sensitivity of the EDM is also constant for each instrument. Therefore, the only variable
96 that affects the range for each EDM is the transmission medium.

97 The first step consisted in choosing the instruments to be checked; the instruments Leica TS02, Trimble S6
98 DR300, Topcon GPT7500 and SOKKIA NET1 were chosen in order to represent different brands and different
99 nominal accuracies.

100 The wavelength of a diode laser is determined primarily by the band gap of the semiconductor material though
101 there is also strong dependence on diode temperature and current density (Dobosz 2012). Therefore, the second
102 step was to accurately measure the actual emission wavelengths of the instruments being analyzed.

103 The last step was to carry out an experiment consisting of series of measurements under different atmospheric
104 conditions in order to obtain the range of each EDM under study.

105 Thus three experimental tests were carried out in April 2013, in three different locations in eastern Spain,
106 separated by a distance of less than 90 km from each other, and up to a maximum elevation of about 2000 meters
107 namely including:

- 108 - Malvarrosa beach in Valencia, located at sea level.
- 109 - Albentosa (Teruel province, Autonomous Region of Aragón), located at an altitude of 1000 m.

110 - Javalambre peak (Teruel province, Autonomous Region of Aragón), located at an altitude of 2019 m.

111 *Laser beam divergence data*

112 A laser beam divergence is a measure for how fast the beam expands far from the beam waist. A low beam
113 divergence can be important for applications such as the use of EDMs. Laser beam divergence influences the
114 range of EDMs. The laser beam divergences according to the technical specifications of each instrument are
115 shown in Table 1.

116 Table 1. The laser beam divergence according to the technical specifications of each instrument. Sources:

117 (Leica, 2013), (Trimble, 2013), (Topcon, 2013)

118 Aerosol scattering occurs when the particle size is the same order of magnitude as the wavelength of incident
119 light. Aerosol absorption also depends on the incident wavelength; the wavelength of the instruments was
120 accurately measured.

121 Spectroscopy techniques were used for the optical study of the laser installed in every EDM instrument. The
122 experiments were performed in the ESA-VSC High Power Space Material Laboratory.

123 *Test procedure*

124 Optical spectroscopy is a non-destructive technique that measures radiation intensity as a function of the
125 photon's wavelength (λ). The light from the laser installed in the EDM instrument was focalized by using a lens
126 for visible light, and an optic fiber was placed on the lens focus in order to lead the collected light into a Newport
127 spectrometer with a focal length of 54 mm. The setup of the experiment is shown in Figure 2. This spectrometer
128 is provided with gratings that allow the wavelength to be resolved in the range between 190 and 1000 nm with a
129 resolution of 0.5 nm. The detector used is a 1024 element silicon NMOS photodiode array. The gratings of the
130 spectrometer separate the different wavelengths of the incident light and their intensity is recorded by the
131 photodiode array which is finally represented as a function of the photon's wavelength.

132 Figure 2. Diagram of the optical spectroscopy setup.

133 *Results and discussion*

134 After the calibration of the set up with the well-known mercury line at 365 nm of a Hg-Xe lamp, the spectra of
135 the laser from the stations under study were recorded. The background was subtracted and the emission was
136 fitted using Gaussian functions. Our attention was focused on the highest intensity, since it is the intensity most

137 likely to be detected by the EDM instrument. Figure 5 shows the normalized optical intensity for each EDM
138 instrument. The spectra were normalized due to the difficulty involved in comparing the intensity between them.
139 The maximum emission (λ_c) of two of these, Trimble and Leica, was close to 660 nm while the Topcon and
140 Sokkia emitted around 680 nm. On the other hand, Trimble and Topcon had the minimum full width at half
141 maximum (FWHM). This parameter is related to the monochromaticity of the emission. In the figure, λ_c and
142 FWHM are depicted with arrows in the Topcon's spectrum. Table 2 presents for each EDM the wavelength
143 measured in the laboratory and the nominal one which is given by the manufacturer.

144 Figure 3. Normalized optical emission from the EDM instruments under study.

145 Table 2. Measured and nominal Wavelengths of the EDMs

146 *The experiment*

147 *Study of molecular scattering*

148 In order to study this phenomenon, observations were made in the three selected areas on three separate days,
149 taking care that atmospheric turbulence was minimum. A clear day with light west wind was chosen, which
150 helped in ensuring the highest visibilities for these areas. The relative humidity was around 20% and the levels of
151 aerosols in the atmosphere were low. The observations were made at intervals of two hours from 7:00 am to
152 21:00 pm.

153 The experiment was designed to determine and quantify how the decrease in atmospheric molecular
154 concentration (correlated with increasing altitude), and the temperature, affected the range of EDMs studied.

155 Regarding the results of the observations, Figures 4, 5 and 6 show the results of the one day observations at sea
156 level, an altitude of 1000 meters and an altitude of about 2000meters (specifically 2019 m), respectively. Figure
157 7 shows the range in function of altitude for every instrument checked.

158 Figure 4. The range of EDM analyzed at sea level (Valencia)

159 Figure 5. The range of EDM analyzed at an altitude of 1000 meters (Albentosa)

160 Figure 6. The range of EDM analyzed at an altitude of about 2000 meters, actually 2019 meters (Javalambre)

161 Figure 7. Variation of the range of each EDM in relation to the altitude

162 *Study of scattering by aerosols*

163 In order to study this phenomenon, two sets of observations were made on two different days at the Malvarrosa
164 beach in Valencia. The observations were made at intervals of two hours from 7:00 am to 21:00 pm and

165 atmospheric conditions were constant throughout every observation period, and the results are shown in Figure
166 8.

167 On the first day, the atmospheric conditions were as follows: Northeast wind (marine origin) of 15 km / h and
168 91% relative humidity. Under these conditions, the amount of aerosols, and especially sea foam and sand, was
169 very high.

170 On the second day, the meteorological parameters were very different from on the first day: with west wind
171 (continental origin) of 20 km / h and 15% relative humidity. Under these conditions, the amount of aerosols was
172 very low, and visibility was very high.

173 The experiment was designed to determine and quantify how the amount of aerosols affects the range of EDM
174 studied.

175 Figure 8. The influence of the scattering by aerosol over one day period to the range of each EDM.

176 *Study of atmospheric scintillation*

177 In order to study this phenomenon, two sets of observations were made on two different days at Albentosa in
178 Teruel, at an altitude of 1000 m. The observations were made at intervals of two hours from 7:00 am to 21:00 pm
179 and atmospheric conditions were constant throughout each observation period.

180 On the first day, weather conditions not favoring atmospheric turbulence were chosen: with a west wind of 15
181 km / h and temperatures around 10 ° C maximum.

182 The second day was hotter (maximum temperature around 25 °) and there was no wind, meaning that the
183 conditions were suitable for the generation of atmospheric turbulence.

184 The design of the experiment aimed to determine and quantify how much atmospheric turbulence affects the
185 range of each EDM studied.

186 Figure 9 shows the influence of the atmospheric scintillation to the ranges of each EDM over the second day, in
187 which atmospheric turbulence was intense. The ranges measured for each of the EDM used to study atmospheric
188 scintillation over the first day, in which atmospheric turbulence was low, are shown in Figure 5.

189 Figure 9. The influence of the atmospheric scintillation to the range of each EDM over one day period.

190 **Conclusions**

191 The wavelength values offered by different manufacturers are almost exactly the same as the values measured in
192 the laboratory (differences not exceeding 1%).

193 Based on the study of molecular scattering two basic aspects can be pointed out:

194 - On the one hand, the graphs clearly show a large dependence on altitude in the range for each of the
195 EDM studied. Specifically, for the Leica TS02 EDM, which has the highest variation depending on
196 height, the range increased by 41.5% at an altitude of 2000 meter. This is due to the decrease in the
197 altitude of the molecular concentration, especially water vapor, carbon dioxide and oxygen.

198 - Furthermore, as shown in Figures 6, 7 and 8, a decrease in range averaging 3% at midday hours was
199 observed in all cases studied. These values are higher at sea level (6%) and hardly noticeable at an
200 altitude of 2000 meters (0.5%). This phenomenon responds to increased spectral absorption lines due to
201 the Doppler Effect, as the molecules are moved with respect to the incident wave, and due to the
202 collisions caused by the interaction between molecules. This phenomenon reaches its peak at the
203 maximum temperature during the midday hours. On the other hand, this phenomenon decreases with
204 altitude due to decreases in molecular concentrations and atmospheric pressure as altitude increases.

205 The aerosol scattering is evidenced in both sets of observations, causing a 12% average reduction of range for
206 the EDM studied, with a great abundance of sand particles, sea foam and high humidity. It is worth noting that
207 the decrease in the range is not the same for every instrument checked. The reduction in range is 9% for the
208 Topcon GPT7500 EDM and 16% for the Leica TS02 EDM.

209 The great influence of atmospheric scintillation on the range of EDM has become evident in tests. Atmospheric
210 turbulence is the most influential phenomenon in reducing the range. Decreases and increases of 51% were
211 recorded in tests carried out over a one day period for Topcon GPT7500 EDM. The tests showed that the range
212 could be decrease up to 40% in a one-hour interval.

213 As expected, a correlation between laser beam divergence and range was found and increased with altitude, as
214 shown in Figure 7. In general, Leica TS02 Total Station, which has the smallest beam divergence angle, has the
215 greatest range. On the other hand, Topcon GPT7500 Total Station, which has the largest beam divergence angle,
216 has the least range.

217 Therefore, it can be concluded that in certain surveying engineering projects where range in electronic distance
218 measurement is essential, it is advisable to conduct a study to evaluate the actual range of the particular
219 instrument to be used and, above all, to plan meteorological conditions as carefully as possible, given that the

220 nominal precisions offered by manufacturers are not always accurate. With a calibration procedure in place and
221 proper planning prior to the execution of a project, one can save time, money and negative surprises.

222 Although, theoretically speaking, aerosol scattering depends on the incident wavelength, no relation has been
223 found between incident wavelength and range in the tests carried out.

224 Since weather conditions have a significant influence on the range of EDM, in a future study it would also be
225 interesting to study how accuracy is influenced by weather conditions.

226 **Acknowledgments**

227 The authors would like to express our gratitude to ESA-VSC (European Space Agency - Val Space Consortium)
228 laboratory for allowing us the opportunity to carry out this project and for their collaboration. We also would like
229 to thank the Department of Cartographic Engineering, Geodesy and Photogrammetry and the Technical School
230 of Mapping, Geodetic and Photogrammetric Engineering of the Universitat Politècnica de València and
231 Geoconcept for loaning our team the instruments used.

232 The translation of this paper was funded by the Universitat Politècnica de València, Spain.

233 **References**

234 Burnside, C. D.(1991). *Electromagnetic distance measurement*. London etc.: BSP

235 Dobosz, M. (2012). “Laser diode distance measuring interferometer - Metrological properties”. *Metrology And*
236 *Measurement Systems*, 19(3), 553-564

237 International Union of Telecommunications. (2012). “Recommendation ITU-R P.1817-1. Propagation data
238 required for the design of terrestrial optical links in free space (Question ITU-R 228/3)”. “(Recomendación UIT-
239 R P.1817-1. Datos de propagación necesarios para el diseño de enlaces ópticos terrenales en el espacio libre
240 (Cuestión UIT-R 228/3))”.

241 Lambrou, E. and Pantazis, G. (2010). “Evaluation of the Credibility of Reflectorless Distance Measurement” J.
242 *Surv. Eng.* 136(4), 165-171.

243 Leica (2013). “Leica FlexLine TS02/TS06/TS09 User Manual”
244 http://surveyequipment.com/PDFs/Leica_FlexLine_UserManual.pdf Accessed: 05/08/2013.

245 Perez, D. G. and Funes, G. (2012). “Beam wandering statistics of twin thin laser beam propagation under
246 generalized atmospheric conditions”. *Optics Express*, 20(25), 27766-27780

247 Popoolaa, W.O., Ghassemlooya, Z., Lee, C.G. and Boucouvalas, A.C. (2009). “Scintillation effect on intensity
248 modulated laser communication systems - a laboratory demonstration”. *Optics & Laser Technology*, 42 (2010),
249 682–692.

250 Rephaeli, E., Raman, A. and Fan S., (2013). “Ultrabroadband Photonic Structures To Achieve High-Performance
251 Daytime Radiative Cooling”. *Nano Letters*, 13 (4), 1457–1461.

252 Rüeiger, J. M. (1990). *Electronic distance measurement*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin.

253 Salman, S. A. (2009). “Attenuation of infrared laser beam propagation in the atmosphere”. *Diala, Jour*,36, 2-9

254 Sivasligil, M., Erol, C. B., Polat, Ö. M. and Sari, H. (2013).”Validation of refractive index structure parameter
255 estimation for certain infrared bands”. *Applied Optics*. Vol. 52(14), 3127- 3133

256 Trimble (2013). “S6 Total Station Datasheet” [http://trl.trimble.com/docushare/dsweb/Get/Document-
257 208580/022543-098L_TrimbleS6_DS_0613_LR.pdf](http://trl.trimble.com/docushare/dsweb/Get/Document-208580/022543-098L_TrimbleS6_DS_0613_LR.pdf). Accessed: 05/08/2013.

258 Topcon (2013).
259 [http://webcache.googleusercontent.com/search?q=cache:G86pHM47rSIJ:www.dtpli.vic.gov.au/_data/assets/ex
260 cel_doc/0020/218162/Topcon-Instrument-Parameters.xls+&cd=2&hl=es&ct=clnk&gl=es](http://webcache.googleusercontent.com/search?q=cache:G86pHM47rSIJ:www.dtpli.vic.gov.au/_data/assets/excel_doc/0020/218162/Topcon-Instrument-Parameters.xls+&cd=2&hl=es&ct=clnk&gl=es). Accessed:
261 05/08/2013.

262 Zhengfang, S. (1997). “Atmospheric attenuation of common applied lasers”. *Laser Journal, January*, 1-7.