Contents

1 Introduction **1**
- 1.1 Objectives . . . 2
- 1.2 Structure . . . 3

2 Background **6**
- 2.1 Multi-Agent Environment . . . 6
  - 2.1.1 Matching Pennies . . . 7
  - 2.1.2 Prisoner's Dilemma . . . 8
  - 2.1.3 Predator-Prey (Pursuit Game) . . . 8
  - 2.1.4 Pac-Man . . . 9
  - 2.1.5 RoboCup Soccer . . . 11
- 2.2 Reinforcement Learning . . . 12
  - 2.2.1 Q-learning . . . 12
  - 2.2.2 SARSA . . . 13
  - 2.2.3 QV-learning . . . 14
- 2.3 Kolmogorov Complexity . . . 14
- 2.4 Probability Distribution . . . 15
  - 2.4.1 Uniform Distribution . . . 15
  - 2.4.2 Geometric Distribution . . . 16
  - 2.4.3 Universal Distribution . . . 16
- 2.5 Monte Carlo Approximation . . . 16

3 State of the Art **18**
- 3.1 Evaluation of Intelligence . . . 18
  - 3.1.1 Psychometrics . . . 18
  - 3.1.2 Animals . . . 20
  - 3.1.3 Machines . . . 21
  - 3.1.4 Formal Evaluation . . . 22
- 3.2 Works Focussed on the Interaction Between Agents . . . 22

4 Extending a General Intelligence Test to Evaluate Social Intelligence **25**
- 4.1 Universal Intelligence . . . 25
- 4.2 Universal Anytime Intelligence Test . . . 26
  - 4.2.1 Lambda Environment Class . . . 28
  - 4.2.2 A Prototype of a General Intelligence Test . . . 29
- 4.3 Evaluation of Social Intelligence Using a General Intelligence Test . . . 30
  - 4.3.1 Extending a General Intelligence Test to Consider Several Agents . . . 31

4.3.2 Evaluating Agents Isolatedly . . . 32
4.3.3 Evaluating Agents in a Competitive Scenario . . . 32
4.3.4 Evaluating Agents in a Cooperative Scenario . . . 34
4.3.5 Scenario Measuring Both Competition and Cooperation . . . 35
4.3.6 Discussion . . . 36

5 Defining Social Intelligence Universally 39
5.1 Teams . . . 41
5.2 Multi-Agent Environment Using Teams . . . 42
5.3 Agents' Setup . . . 43
5.4 A Formal Definition of Social Intelligence . . . 44
5.5 Social Intelligence Test . . . 47

6 Experimental Analysis for Several Types of Environments and Agents 49
6.1 Experiment Configuration . . . 49
6.2 Prisoner's Dilemma (3-Players Version) . . . 52
6.3 Lambda Environment . . . 54
6.4 Predator-Prey (Pursuit Game) . . . 57
6.5 Aggregation of Results: Towards Social Intelligence Evaluation . . . 60
6.6 Discussion . . . 62

7 Properties About Social Intelligence Testbeds 64
7.1 Boundedness . . . 66
7.2 Interactivity . . . 67
7.2.1 Action Dependency . . . 68
7.3 Non-Neutralism . . . 69
7.3.1 Reward Dependency . . . 69
7.3.2 Slot Result Dependency . . . 70
7.4 Anticipation . . . 71
7.4.1 Competitive Anticipation . . . 72
7.4.2 Cooperative Anticipation . . . 73
7.5 Secernment . . . 73
7.5.1 Fine and Coarse Discrimination . . . 74
7.5.2 Strict Total and Partial Grading . . . 75
7.6 Validity . . . 77
7.7 Reliability . . . 78
7.8 Efficiency . . . 79
7.9 Team Symmetry . . . 80
7.10 Summary of Properties . . . 81

8 Characterising Several Multi-Agent and Social Scenarios 85
8.1 Graphical Analysis for the Properties . . . 85
8.2 Matching Pennies . . . 89
8.3 Prisoner's Dilemma . . . 92
8.4 Predator-Prey (Pursuit Game) . . . 95
8.5 Pac-Man . . . 98
8.6 RoboCup Soccer . . . 100
8.7 Discussion . . . 103

9 Conclusions and Future Work **111**

Bibliography **115**

Appendices **125**

A Matching Pennies Properties **126**
A.1 Action Dependency . . . 127
A.2 Reward Dependency . . . 132
A.3 Fine Discrimination . . . 136
A.4 Strict Total Grading . . . 141
A.5 Partial Grading . . . 148
A.6 Slot Result Dependency . . . 155
A.7 Competitive Anticipation . . . 156

B Prisoner's Dilemma Properties **160**
B.1 Action Dependency . . . 160
B.2 Reward Dependency . . . 164
B.3 Fine Discrimination . . . 167
B.4 Strict Total Grading . . . 170
B.5 Partial Grading . . . 175
B.6 Slot Result Dependency . . . 180
B.7 Competitive Anticipation . . . 182

C Predator-Prey Properties **185**
C.1 Action Dependency . . . 185
C.2 Reward Dependency . . . 193
C.3 Fine Discrimination . . . 202
C.4 Strict Total Grading . . . 209
C.5 Partial Grading . . . 231
C.6 Slot Result Dependency . . . 252
C.7 Competitive Anticipation . . . 255
C.8 Cooperative Anticipation . . . 261